

## (IN THE COMMITTEE.)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 30) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Amusements Tax Act,'" a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting.

Mr. *Loutet* moved, seconded by Mr. *King*,—

Whereas by the "Daylight Saving Act," chapter 65, R.S.B.C. 1924, section 2, it is provided that "The Lieutenant-Governor in Council may prescribe a period in each year in which the time, for general purposes in the Province, shall be seven hours behind Greenwich time";

And whereas by section 3 of the said Act it is provided that "The Lieutenant-Governor in Council may make regulations varying the reckoning of Pacific Standard Time as defined by section 43 of the 'Interpretation Act,' and may prescribe the period in each year in which the regulations so made shall be in force";

And whereas the principle of daylight saving has been adopted in several Provinces of Canada, and has generally proved to be of benefit to the people thereof:

Be it therefore Resolved, That this Legislative Assembly do put itself on record as favouring the principle of daylight saving for this Province.

And be it further Resolved, That a humble Address be presented to His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor in Council, praying that the necessary regulations may be promulgated for the purpose of bringing into force the said daylight saving throughout this Province in accordance with the provisions of the said Act, and that copies of this Resolution and the preamble thereto be forwarded to the Honourable the Clerk of the Executive Council and other proper officials of the Provincial Government.

A debate arose.

On the motion of the Hon. Mr. *Maitland*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting.

By leave of the House, the following question standing on the Order Paper in the name of Mr. *Gray* was withdrawn:—

Has a report been made to the Department on the condition of the Fraser River Bridge at New Westminster; and, if yes, what was the nature of such report?

The Hon. Mr. *Bruhn* presented a Return in reply to the following questions standing on the Order Paper in the name of Mr. *Gillis*:—

1. Has any road-making or other machinery been sold by the Department since August 20th, 1928?

2. If so, what machinery, to whom, at what price, and what was original cost of machinery in each case?

Mr. *Hanna* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

1. Was any sum advanced by the Department of Industries to the Fraser Valley Tie & Timber Company, Limited? If yes, what amount?

2. If so, has any payment been received from said company, and what was the amount of such payment, and is any sum still owing by said company; and, if yes, what sum?

The Hon. Mr. *Jones* replied as follows:—

"1. No.

"2. Answered by No. 1."

Mr. *Pearson* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following question:—

Is any rate of interest being paid by the Government to the Canadian Bank of Commerce; and, if so, what rate?

The Hon. Mr. *Jones* replied as follows:—

"Five and one-half per cent."

Mr. *Pearson* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

1. Have any loans been made by the Department of Industries since January 1st, 1931?
2. If yes, to whom made, for what purpose, and for what amount in each case?

The Hon. Mr. *Jones* replied as follows:—

“1. Yes.

“2. B.C. Livestock Exchange, Limited, Vancouver, stockyard; Livestock Exchange, abattoir and kindred activities, \$25,000. C. E. Phillips, Fort St. John, construction and operation of a flour-mill, \$15,000.”

Mr. *Pattullo* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following question:—

Are any Provincial Government obligations due in New York this year in respect of: (a) Debentures; (b) Treasury bills; (c) interest? If yes, what amount in each case?

The Hon. Mr. *Jones* replied as follows:—

“Yes. (a) Debentures, yearly instalment on serial issues, \$352,000; (b) Treasury bills, \$4,015,000; (c) interest, \$3,731,076.50; total, \$8,098,076.50.”

Mr. *King* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following question:—

Has office of Deputy Mining Recorder at Copper City been closed; and, if so, when, on what grounds, and where is business now handled?

The Hon. Mr. *Jones* replied as follows:—

“Yes; on August 23rd, 1930; offices at Usk and Terrace meet requirements.”

Mr. *King* asked the Hon. the Minister of Public Works the following questions:—

1. What staff is employed in Public Works Office, Prince George, including engineers, assistant engineers, superintendents, general foremen, but excluding foremen?
2. What was the total for salaries of the above in each of the years 1929, 1930, 1931, and what proportion of same was charged to: (a) District vote; (b) highway loans; (c) special votes; (d) relief?

The Hon. Mr. *Bruhn* replied as follows:—

“1. The staff employed in Public Works Office, Prince George, comprises one District Engineer's clerk, two clerk-stenographers, and one Unemployment Relief Camp accountant and warehouseman. This staff carries out the Public Works accounting and clerical work for Fort George section of Fort George Electoral District and for Omineca Electoral District. (Engineers, Assistant Engineers, Superintendents, and General Foremen are field staff or employees and are consequently not included.)

“2. Total salaries for above as follows: 1929-30, \$3,447.50; 1930-31, \$3,420; 1931-32 (to date), \$4,975.92 (includes remuneration of temporary assistance which was employed in Prince George office in connection with relief-work in Fort George and Omineca Electoral Districts). Proportion of total charged: (a) To district vote, *nil*; (c) to special votes, *nil*; (b) and (d) to ‘B.C. Loan Act,’ section 6E, \$1,842.83; to administration, \$10,000.59.”

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2.30 o'clock p.m. to-morrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.44 p.m.

---

## Friday, March 18th, 1932.

Prayers by Mr. Speaker.

HALF-PAST TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion “That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair” for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

On the motion of Mr. *Wrinch*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting.

Mr. *Twigg* presented the Ninth Report of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:—

## REPORT No. 9.

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,

March 18th, 1932.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the preamble of the undermentioned Bill has been proved, and the Bill ordered to be reported with amendments.

Bill (No. 54) intituled "An Act respecting Cultus Lake Park."

All of which is respectfully submitted.

H. D. TWIGG, *Chairman*.

The report was read and received.

The Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

On the motion of the Hon. Mr. *Pooley*, the House proceeded to Orders of the Day, "Private Bills."

Bill (No. 50) intituled "An Act relating to the Corporation of the City of Victoria" was read a second time.

To be committed at the next sitting.

Bill (No. 53) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Delta Water Works Act, 1929'" was read a second time.

To be committed at the next sitting.

Order for "Public Bills" called.

On the second reading of Bill (No. 33) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Municipalities Aid Act'" a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Pattullo*, adjourned to the next sitting.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the second reading of Bill (No. 26) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Land Act.'"

Bill read a second time.

To be committed at the next sitting.

Bill (No. 12) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Insurance Act'" was read a second time. To be committed at the next sitting.

On the second reading of Bill (No. 32) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Trade Licences Act'" a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Pattullo*, adjourned to the next sitting.

The Hon. Mr. *Howe* presented a Return in reply to the following questions standing on the Order Paper in the name of Mr. *Hanna*:—

1. Were any books, published in Great Britain, purchased during 1931 for the Legislative Library; and, if so, what was the title, author, and the price of each such book?

2. Were any books, published in Canada, purchased during 1931 for the Legislative Library; and, if so, what was the title, author, and the price of each such book?

3. Were any books, published in the United States, purchased during 1931 for the Legislative Library; and, if so, what was the title, author, and the price of each such book?

4. Were any books purchased for the Legislative Library during 1931, published in any other countries than the above; and, if so, what number, in what countries were said books published, and what total amount was paid for books published in each country?

Mr. *MacPherson* asked the Hon. the Minister of Public Works the following question:—

Is one A. J. McCool in the employ of the Department in Esquimalt or Saanich Ridings; and, if so, in what capacity is he employed, and at what rate of pay?

The Hon. Mr. *Bruhn* replied as follows:—

"No."

Mr. *MacPherson* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

1. Did a delegation, any members of which represented the Government, attend a meeting of the Canadian Good Roads Association at Lucerne-in-Quebec during 1931?

2. If so, of whom did the delegation consist, and was there any cost to the public, and how much?

The Hon. Mr. *Bruhn*, Minister of Public Works, replied as follows:—

"1. No.

"2. See answer to question 1."

Mr. *King* asked the Hon. the Minister of Public Works the following question:—

Have Henry Carter and Thomas A. Burroughs, or either of them, been employed by the Department on Texada Island during 1930; and, if so, in what capacity, at what rate of pay, and what total amount was received by each?

The Hon. Mr. *Bruhn* replied as follows:—

"Yes. Henry Carter part of time Maintenance Foreman at \$4.75 per day; part of time Construction Foreman at \$6.50 per day; total wages, \$1,241.24. Thomas A. Burroughs, labourer at different times at \$3.75 and \$4 per day; total wages, \$315.37."

Mr. *King* asked the Hon. the Minister of Public Works the following question:—

Is there a Government ferry at Copper City? If so, is any ferryman employed; and, if so, whom, at what salary, and when appointed.

The Hon. Mr. *Bruhn* replied as follows:—

"Yes. H. W. Clark, appointed April 22nd, 1931, since which time he has been paid an average of \$45 per month for summer and winter service."

Mr. *MacPherson* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands the following questions:—

1. Has a grant been made by the Department to East Creston Irrigation District; and, if so, for what purpose?

2. Have any similar grants been made by the Department since April 1st, 1931; and, if so, what amounts and to what districts?

The Hon. Mr. *Lougheed* replied as follows:—

"1. No.

"2. Answered by No. 1."

Mr. *MacPherson* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands the following question:—

Have any sawlogs been exported from lands formerly classified as Dominion Government Railway Belt, during 1931; and, if so, what quantities?

The Hon. Mr. *Lougheed* replied as follows:—

"6,392,221 F.B.M."

Mr. *Wrinch* asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture the following question:—

Has any agreement been entered into since August 20th, 1928, between the Department or any Department of the Government and any real-estate firm concerning the sale of lands, Sumas Reclamation Project? If so, what firm, and upon what terms?

The Hon. Mr. *Atkinson* replied as follows:—

"No; but under section 9 of the 'Sumas Drainage, Dyking, and Development District Act' the Commissioner of the district was authorized to enter into an agreement with Fell & Scharfe for a commission of 12 per cent., including 2 per cent. for advertising."

Mr. *Wrinch* asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture the following question:—

Were any of the following imported into the Province during 1931; and, if so, what was total value in each case: Hogs, sheep, cattle, butter, eggs?

The Hon. Mr. *Atkinson* replied as follows:—

"Yes. Hogs, \$2,136,464; sheep, \$492,252; cattle, \$1,871,542; butter, \$2,245,357; eggs, \$32,764."

Mr. *Wrinch* asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture the following question:—

Has any amount been paid since August 20th, 1928, by way of commissions on sale of lands, Sumas Reclamation Project; and, if yes, to whom have such commissions been paid, and what total amounts in each case?

The Hon. Mr. *Atkinson* replied as follows:—

“Yes; by the Commissioner of the district: Abbotsford Brokerage & Realty Co., \$911.57; Pemberton a Sons, Vancouver, \$161.67; Fell & Scharfe, Ltd., Vancouver, \$87,081.87.”

Mr. *Wrinch* asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture the following question:—

What was the total number of sheep, cattle, and hogs, respectively, in the Province as at December 31st, 1931?

The Hon. Mr. *Atkinson* replied as follows:—

“Sheep, 167,000; cattle, 325,200; hogs, 61,000.”

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 3 o'clock p.m. on Monday next.

And then the House adjourned at 4.25 p.m.

## Monday, March 21st, 1932.

THREE O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Mr. Speaker.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion “That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair” for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

On the motion of Mr. *MacNaughton*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting.

On the motion of the Hon. Mr. *Tolmie*, the House proceeded to the Orders of the Day, “Public Bills.”

On the second reading of Bill (No. 30) intituled “An Act to amend the ‘Amusements Tax Act,’” Mr. *A. M. Manson* rose to a point of order.

Mr. Speaker reserved his decision.

On the motion of Hon. Mr. *Maitland*, Bill (No. 34) intituled “An Act to amend the ‘Companies Act’” was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be read a second time at the next sitting.

Bill (No. 4) intituled “An Act to amend the ‘Vital Statistics Act’” was again committed. Reported complete with amendment.

Report to be considered at the next sitting.

Bill (No. 24) intituled “An Act respecting Canned Fish and Canneries” was again committed.

Reported complete without amendment.

Report adopted.

Third reading at the next sitting.

Mr. *MacPherson* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

1. Have any securities of the Province been sold since January 1st, 1932? If so, what amounts, when sold, and for what prices?

2. Was any commission paid for such sale; and, if yes, to whom, and what amount? Were any agents appointed for this purpose; and, if so, who?

The Hon. Mr. Jones replied as follows:—

"1 and 2. Yes; \$5,000,000 Province of British Columbia 15-year gold sinking fund debentures dated February 15th, 1932, maturing on February 15th, 1947, bearing interest at 6 per cent. per annum; sold at a net cost basis to the Province of 6.777 per cent., sold to the public at 6.50 per cent.; purchasing syndicate being: The Canadian Bank of Commerce; Royal Bank of Canada; Bank of Nova Scotia; Dominion Securities Corporation, Ltd.; Wood, Gundy & Co., Ltd.; Fry, Mills, Spence & Co., Ltd.; R. A. Daly & Co., Ltd.; Hanson Bros., Incorporated; and A. E. Ames & Co., Ltd. No commission paid."

Mr. Pearson asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

1. Has any amount been expended from Loan Bill, being chapter 37, Statutes of British Columbia, 1931, section 6 (d), for acquisition of Court-house site, Victoria?

2. If so, how much, and for what purpose?

The Hon. Mr. Jones replied as follows:—

"1 and 2. Yes; \$4,237.13 for architectural expenses, draughting, etc."

Mr. MacPherson asked the Hon. the Minister of Public Works the following questions:—

1. Is a telegraph operator for the Parliament Buildings employed by the Department?

2. If yes, who is the present incumbent; what yearly salary does he receive; when was he appointed to the position; and what salary will he receive for the fiscal year ending March 31st, 1932?

3. Who was his predecessor, and what salary did his predecessor receive during his last year in the employ of the Department?

4. Does this official receive any emolument from any source in addition to salary from the Department?

The Hon. Mr. Bruhn replied as follows:—

"1. Yes.

"2. J. T. O'Brien, appointed December 1st, 1929. See Public Accounts as to past and present remuneration.

"3. The late A. E. Starr, whose duties, during his last illness and subsequent thereto, were performed by J. J. Jackson, pending appointment of present incumbent. For remuneration see Public Accounts.

"4. Yes."

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. to-day.

And then the House adjourned at 5.10 p.m.

## Monday, March 21st, 1932.

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

On the motion of Mr. Spencer, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion moved by Mr. Loutet on 17th instant, as follows:—

Whereas by the "Daylight Saving Act," chapter 65, R.S.B.C. 1924, section 2, it is provided that "The Lieutenant-Governor in Council may prescribe a period in each year in which the time, for general purposes in the Province, shall be seven hours behind Greenwich time":

And whereas by section 3 of the said Act it is provided that "The Lieutenant-Governor in Council may make regulations varying the reckoning of Pacific Standard Time as defined by section 43 of the 'Interpretation Act,' and may prescribe the period in each year in which the regulations so made shall be in force":

And whereas the principle of daylight saving has been adopted in several Provinces of Canada, and has generally proved to be of benefit to the people thereof:

Be it therefore Resolved, That this Legislative Assembly do put itself on record as favouring the principle of daylight saving for this Province.

And be it further Resolved, That a humble Address be presented to His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor in Council, praying that the necessary regulations may be promulgated for the purpose of bringing into force the said daylight saving throughout this Province in accordance with the provisions of the said Act, and that copies of this Resolution and the preamble thereto be forwarded to the Honourable the Clerk of the Executive Council and other proper officials of the Provincial Government.

The motion was negatived.

On the motion of the Hon. Mr. *Pooley*, the House proceeded to Orders of the Day, "Private Bills."

Bill (No. 55) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Vancouver Stock Exchange Act, 1907'" was committed.

Reported complete without amendment.

Report adopted.

Bill read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 52) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Bishop of Vancouver Island Incorporation Act'" was committed.

Reported complete without amendment.

Report adopted.

Bill read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 50) intituled "An Act relating to the Corporation of the City of Victoria" was committed.

Reported complete with amendments.

Report to be considered at the next sitting.

Bill (No. 53) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Delta Water Works Act, 1929'" was committed.

Reported complete without amendment.

Report adopted.

Bill read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 54) intituled "An Act respecting Cultus Lake Park" was read a second time. To be committed at the next sitting.

The Hon. Mr. *Pooley* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

J. W. FORDHAM JOHNSON,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled "An Act to amend the 'Game Act,'" and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*

*March 21st, 1932.*

*Ordered,* That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 35) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Game Act,'" a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.  
Report adopted.  
Bill introduced and read a first time.  
Second reading at the next sitting.

Mr. *MacPherson* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following question:—

Have any licences to sell beer been granted in Kaslo-Slocan Riding from August 20th, 1928, to March 1st, 1932? If yes, where, when, and to whom in each case?

The Hon. Mr. *Pooley* replied as follows:—

"Yes. Place, date of issue of original licence (for year 1932), and to whom issued, as follows: New Denver, December 16th, 1931, Andrew Jacobson, Newmarket Hotel; Silvertown, December 18th, 1931, Theodor Anderson, Selkirk Hotel; Edgewood, December 28th, 1931, Emil Niederman, Arrow Lakes Hotel; Kaslo, December 31st, 1931, S. J. Reuter and E. H. Latham, King George Hotel."

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2.30 o'clock p.m. to-morrow.  
And then the House adjourned at 10.30 p.m.

## Tuesday, March 22nd, 1932.

HALF-PAST TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Mr. Speaker.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

On the motion of the Hon. Mr. *Bruhn*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting.

Mr. *Berry* presented the First Report of the Select Standing Committee on Agriculture, as follows:—

REPORT No. 1.

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,  
March 31st, 1932.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Agriculture begs leave to report as follows:—  
That the undermentioned Bill has been approved.  
Bill (No. 22) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Milk Act.'"  
All of which is respectfully submitted.

J. W. BERRY, *Chairman*.

The report was read and received.  
The Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

On the motion of the Hon. Mr. *Atkinson*, it was *Ordered* that Bill (No. 22) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Milk Act'" be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting.

Bill (No. 34) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Companies Act'" was read a second time. To be committed at the next sitting.

On the second reading of Bill (No. 27) intituled "An Act to confer certain Powers upon the Lieutenant-Governor in Council relating to the Business of Insurance" a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Pattullo*, adjourned to the next sitting.

The report on Bill (No. 50) intituled "An Act relating to the Corporation of the City of Victoria" was adopted.

Bill read a third time and passed.

Mr. *Pattullo* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following question:—

Were there any unexpended balances as at February 1st, 1932, in respect of Loan Acts? If yes, what amounts in each case?

The Hon. Mr. *Jones* replied as follows:—

"Borrowing-powers, February 1st, 1932:—

Consolidated Revenue—' Loan Act, 1916 ' .....	\$220.00	
Highways and Bridges—' Loan Act, 1929 ' .....	1,440.00	
Buildings—		
' Loan Act, 1922 ' .....	\$789.00	
' Loan Act, 1923 ' .....	854.15	
' Loan Act, 1931 ' .....	75,000.00	
		76,643.15
B.C. University—		
' Loan Act, 1920 ' .....	\$10,000.00	
' Loan Act, 1930 ' .....	73,590.00	
		83,590.00
P.G.E. Railway Construction—		
' Loan Act, 1920 ' .....	\$165.65	
' Loan Act, 1921 ' .....	80.80	
' Loan Act, 1928 ' .....	2,939,000.00	
		2,939,246.45
Conservation—' Loan Act, 1931 ' .....	15,000.00	
Crown Property Development—' Loan Act, 1925 ' .....	55,000.00	
B.C. Electric Railway—' Loan Act, 1921 ' .....	93,000.00	
Dewdney Dyking Relief—' Loan Act, 1929 ' .....	125.00	
Land Settlement Board—' Loan Act, 1925 ' .....	8,213.00	
' Soldiers' Land Act '—' Loan Act, 1927 ' .....	20,000.00	
Industries—' Loan Act, 1919 ' .....	986,000.00	
		\$4,278,477.60 "

Mr. *Pattullo* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

1. Have any amounts of money been borrowed by the Government since January 1st, 1931? If yes, from whom, terms of loan, rate of interest, and sale price; were public tenders called in each case? If not, what sums were borrowed without public tender?

2. Is any amount owing at this date to the Canadian Bank of Commerce for money borrowed: (1) On Treasury bills; (2) overdraft? If yes, what amount in each case?

The Hon. Mr. *Jones* replied as follows:—

"1 and 2. Financing during period January 1st, 1931, to February 1st, 1932:—

"Amount sold—

\$5,000,000.00. On February 15th, 1931, to mature February 15th, 1936: Debentures of the Province, Series FW and FX, sold on a five-year term basis, bearing interest at  $4\frac{1}{4}$  per cent. per annum, payable in Canadian and U.S. funds, the price received being 98.40 per 100, or a cost basis of 4.61 per cent. Purchasers: A. E. Ames & Co., Ltd.; Dillon, Read & Co.;

Dominion Securities Corporation, Ltd.; Wood, Gundy & Co., Ltd.;  
The Canadian Bank of Commerce; and The Royal Bank of Canada.  
(Competitive bids received.) Proceeds of these issues were applied  
to repay Treasury bills outstanding at the bank.

\$4,057,000.00.	On April 1st, 1931, to mature in serial instalments, \$142,000 per annum for 11 years, \$140,000 annually for 9 years, and \$120,000 annually for 10 years, the last date of payment being April 1st, 1961. Serial debenture issue FY, bearing interest at 4½ per cent. per annum, payable in Canadian and U.S. funds, the price received being 98.60 per 100, or a cost basis of 4.627 per cent. Purchasers: Fry, Mills, Spence & Co., Ltd.; McLeod, Young, Weir & Co., Ltd.; Bell, Gouinlock & Co., Ltd.; and Victor W. Odlum, Brown & Co., Ltd. (Competitive bids received.) The proceeds of this issue were applied to repay an issue of 9-month Treasury bills, Series TBF, issued on June 16th, 1930.
4,227,000.00.	On May 15th, 1931, debentures to mature in serial instalments, \$163,000 in 1937, \$166,000 from 1938 to 1941, and \$170,000 from 1942 to 1961, Series FZ, bearing interest at 4 per cent. per annum, payable in Canadian and U.S. funds, the price received being 94.63 per 100, or a cost basis of 4.455 per cent. Purchasers: Fry, Mills, Spence & Co., Ltd.; McLeod, Young, Weir & Co., Ltd.; Bell, Gouinlock & Co., Ltd.; and Victor W. Odlum, Brown & Co., Ltd. (Competitive bids.) The proceeds of this issue were applied to repay an issue of Treasury bills, Series TBE, issued on May 28th, 1930, maturing May 28th, 1931.
3,500,000.00.	On May 15th, 1931, by way of five-year 4-per-cent. debentures, Series GA, payable in Canadian and U.S. funds, sold at 98.68 per 100, or a cost basis of 4.25 per cent. Purchasers: Fry, Mills, Spence & Co., Ltd.; McLeod, Young, Weir & Co., Ltd.; Bell, Gouinlock & Co., Ltd.; and Victor W. Odlum, Brown & Co., Ltd. (Competitive bids.) The proceeds of this issue were applied to repay Treasury bills outstanding at the bank.
\$16,784,000.00	
3,371,664.00.	On November 25th, 1931, to mature November 25th, 1932, Treasury bill of the Province, Series TBQ, bearing interest at 5¼ per cent. per annum, payable in Canadian funds, sold to the Dominion Government on a 5¼-per-cent. cost basis. The amount sold covers the cost of U.S. money required to refund a Treasury bill maturing in New York.
11,789,126.53.	Various Treasury bills sold to The Canadian Bank of Commerce for the purposes as set out in the Loan Acts authorizing their issue, and for the purpose of repayment of issues TBH and TBI, matured August 20th, 1931.
\$31,944,790.53	

“ Summary—

Gross sales during period .....	\$31,944,790.53
Part of the proceeds of which repaid .....	21,873,800.00
	\$10,070,990.53
New borrowings during this period .....	
<i>Deduct—</i>	
Instalment on June 29th, 1931, on Serial Issue EV .....	\$210,000.00
Annual Mortgage Instalment on B.C. House, London .....	9,700.00
	219,700.00
	\$9,851,290.53

“ 2. (1) Treasury bills, \$8,726,326.53 (included in foregoing statement); (2) overdrafts, \$893,695.87.”

Mr. *MacPherson* asked the Hon. the Provincial Secretary the following question:—

Was there any amount outstanding against the Department as at February 1st, 1932; and, if so, what was such amount?

The Hon. Mr. *Howe* replied as follows:—

“Yes; impossible to state.”

Mr. *MacPherson* asked the Hon. the Provincial Secretary the following question:—

Have any plebiscites regarding sale of beer been held in Kaslo-Slocan Riding since August 20th, 1928? If yes, where, when, and with what result in each case?

The Hon. Mr. *Howe* replied as follows:—

“Yes. See below:—

Kaslo-Slocan Electoral District—	Date of Poll.	Yes.	No.	Rejected.
Edgewood Polling Division.....	July 11, 1931	75	13	1
New Denver Polling Division.....	Aug. 8, 1931	87	54	3
Silverton Polling Division.....	Aug. 8, 1931	64	34	....
Kaslo Polling Division .....	Oct. 15, 1931	162	122	2
Nakusp Polling Division.....	Dec. 12, 1931	123	146	6
Burton Polling Division.....	Jan. 16, 1932	75	27	4”

Mr. *King* asked the Hon. the Minister of Public Works the following questions:—

1. Were tenders called for China Creek Cut-off, Castlegar-Trail Highway, during 1931?
2. If yes, who was the successful tenderer, and what was the amount of his tender?
3. If yes, was Government road equipment loaned for use on this work; what equipment was so loaned; was any rental received for same, and, if so, how much; and what disposition was made of equipment loaned, after the completion of the work?

The Hon. Mr. *Bruhn* replied as follows:—

“1. Yes; for surfacing.

“2. Pacific Engineers on unit-price basis.

“3. Drag-line equipment, \$290; Diamond Crushing Plant, \$1,310. Plant returned to Department.”

Mr. *Sutherland* asked the Hon. the Minister of Public Works the following questions:—

1. Have surveys of road been carried out on north bank of Fraser River between Agassiz and Haig since August 20th, 1928?
2. If so, what was total cost of said surveys to date, and has any construction-work been carried out on such road?

The Hon. Mr. *Bruhn* replied as follows:—

“1. Yes.

“2. \$3,790.98. No construction-work carried out yet.”

Mr. *King* asked the Hon. the Minister of Public Works the following questions:—

1. Was a bridge built over the Nechako River at or near Prince George during 1931?
2. If yes, was cement used in its construction; and, if so, was such cement supplied by the Government or by a contractor; and, if by the latter, were tenders called for such cement? What kind and what quantities of cement were used?

The Hon. Mr. *Bruhn* replied as follows:—

“1. Yes.

“2. 7,560 sacks of Portland cement supplied by Government.”

Mr. *Hanna* asked the Hon. the Commissioner of Fisheries the following question:—

Was there any amount outstanding against the Department as at February 1st, 1932; and, if so, what was such amount?

The Hon. Mr. *Howe* replied as follows:—

“Yes; impossible to state.”

Mr. *Sutherland* asked the Hon. the Minister of Public Works the following questions:—

1. Has any amount been expended to date since August 20th, 1928, on Canoe-Sicamous Road, Salmon Arm Riding? If yes, what is such amount?

2. If yes, what is the total length of road: (a) Already constructed; (b) to be constructed?

The Hon. Mr. *Bruhn* replied as follows:—

"1. Yes; \$92,267.65.

"2. (a) 11 miles incomplete, but open to traffic; (b) 2 miles."

And then the House adjourned at 5.14 p.m.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2.30 o'clock p.m. to-morrow.

## Wednesday March 23rd, 1932.

Prayers by Mr. Speaker.

HALF-PAST TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

The Hon. Mr. *Lougheed* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

J. W. FORDHAM JOHNSON,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled "An Act respecting Petroleum and Natural Gas," and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*

*March 22nd, 1932.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 36) intituled "An Act respecting Petroleum and Natural Gas," a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

On the motion of Mr. *Uphill*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting.

Bill (No. 21) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Motor-vehicle Act'" was committed.

Reported complete with amendment.

Report to be considered at the next sitting.

Bill (No. 12) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Insurance Act'" was committed.

Reported complete with amendments.

Report to be considered at the next sitting.

Bill (No. 51) intituled "An Act to amend 'The Victoria Lumber and Manufacturing Company, Limited, Act'" was committed.

Reported complete with amendments.

Report to be considered at the next sitting.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. to-day.

And then the House adjourned at 5.55 p.m.

## Wednesday March 23rd, 1932.

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

The House continued to sit after midnight.

THURSDAY, MARCH 24TH.

Mr. *Sutherland* moved, seconded by Mr. *MacPherson*,—

To strike out all the words after the word "That," and insert the following: "this House regrets the inordinate Provincial public expenditures which have taken place during the life of the present Parliament; and this House further regrets proposed heavy imposts of additional taxation."

Question proposed—"Shall the words proposed to be struck out stand part of the question?"

*Resolved* in the affirmative on the following division:—

YEAS—31.

Messieurs

<i>Loutet</i>	<i>Lister</i>	<i>Tolmie</i>	<i>Beatty</i>
<i>Carson</i>	<i>Alward</i>	<i>Pooley</i>	<i>Cornett</i>
<i>Rutledge</i>	<i>Heggie</i>	<i>Hinchliffe</i>	<i>Shelly</i>
<i>Fitzsimmons</i>	<i>Hayward</i>	<i>Macintosh</i>	<i>Bruhn</i>
<i>Kingston</i>	<i>Twigg</i>	<i>Michell</i>	<i>Atkinson</i>
<i>Berry</i>	<i>Maitland</i>	<i>MacNaughton</i>	<i>Lougheed</i>
<i>Borden</i>	<i>Howe</i>	<i>Manson, M.</i>	<i>McKenzie, W. A.</i>
<i>Kirk</i>	<i>Jones</i>	<i>Schofield</i>	

NAYS—10.

Messieurs

<i>King</i>	<i>MacPherson</i>	<i>Kergin</i>	<i>Pattullo</i>
<i>Hanna</i>	<i>Gillis</i>	<i>Sutherland</i>	<i>Gray</i>
<i>Pearson</i>	<i>Wrinch</i>		

PAIRS :

Messieurs

<i>Spencer</i>	<i>Manson, A. M.</i>
----------------	----------------------

Debate resumed on the main motion.

*Resolved* in the affirmative on the following division:—

YEAS—30.

Messieurs

<i>Loutet</i>	<i>Alward</i>	<i>Pooley</i>	<i>Beatty</i>
<i>Carson</i>	<i>Heggie</i>	<i>Hinchliffe</i>	<i>Cornett</i>
<i>Fitzsimmons</i>	<i>Hayward</i>	<i>Macintosh</i>	<i>Shelly</i>
<i>Kingston</i>	<i>Twigg</i>	<i>Michell</i>	<i>Bruhn</i>
<i>Berry</i>	<i>Maitland</i>	<i>MacNaughton</i>	<i>Atkinson</i>
<i>Borden</i>	<i>Howe</i>	<i>Manson, M.</i>	<i>Lougheed</i>
<i>Kirk</i>	<i>Jones</i>	<i>Schofield</i>	<i>McKenzie, W. A.</i>
<i>Lister</i>	<i>Tolmie</i>		

NAYS—11.

Messieurs

*Uphill*  
*King*  
*Hanna*

*Pearson*  
*MacPherson*  
*Gillis*

*Wrinch*  
*Kergin*  
*Sutherland*

*Pattullo*  
*Gray*

PAIRS :

Messieurs

*Spencer**Manson, A. M.*

(IN THE COMMITTEE OF SUPPLY.)

3. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$15,953.32 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Premier's Office to 31st March, 1933.

The Committee reported the Resolution.  
Report to be considered at the next sitting.  
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 3 o'clock p.m. to-day.

And then the House adjourned at 2.02 a.m.

## Thursday, March 24th, 1932.

THREE O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Mr. Speaker.

The Hon. Mr. *Lougheed* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

J. W. FORDHAM JOHNSON,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled "An Act to amend the 'Coal and Petroleum Act,'" and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*March 22nd, 1932.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 37) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Coal and Petroleum Act,'" a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.  
Report adopted.  
Bill introduced and read a first time.  
Second reading at the next sitting.

On the Order being called for the second reading of Bill (No. 28) intituled "An Act respecting Chiropractors," Mr. Speaker delivered the following decision:—

*Honourable Members:*

In connection with Bill No. 28 ("An Act respecting Chiropractors") I have to point out to the House a number of irregularities.

Sections 4, 5, and 7 of the Bill confer certain powers upon the Crown which cannot be made the subject of legislation by a private member without the consent of the Crown being obtained. These sections place certain machinery in the hands of the Provincial Secretary and the Lieutenant-Governor in Council, the effect of which is to constitute the Government the moving force in bringing the British Columbia Chiropractors' Association into being, and also casts upon the Government a supervision concerning certain future operations of said association. These provisions violate the parliamentary rule that a private member may not interfere with, control, or dictate the activities of the Crown by conferring powers upon it. Private members cannot take away from the constitutional advisers of the Lieutenant-Governor the initiative in introducing measures affecting the Executive Government and the prerogatives of the Crown. (See in this connection the decision of Mr. Speaker Marchand, Desjardins' Speakers' Decisions (Quebec), p. 383, March 24th, 1890; also decisions in Vol. 1, B.C. Speakers' Decisions, indexed under heading "Government Policy.") The consent of the Crown must, therefore, be obtained before legislation of this nature can become law.

Section 13 of the Bill is subject to the same objection, as it imposes fines and imprisonment penalties which can only be done with the consent of the Crown. (See decision of Mr. Speaker Anglin in the Canadian House of Commons Journals, Vol. 10, p. 119; and my own decision of last Session, recorded at pp. 128 and 129 of the Journals of this House, 1931.)

Section 14 is irregular in that it provides that fines recovered under the Bill shall be paid to the Board of Chiropractors. This is an interference with the revenues of the Crown and must be first recommended by a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, not perhaps necessarily under the sign-manual, but, in any event, by the formal declaration of the authorized Minister from his seat recommending the matter to the consideration of the House. (See the decision of Mr. Speaker Trimble at p. 48 of the Journals of this House for 1877; and the decision of Mr. Speaker Turcotte in Desjardins' Speakers' Decisions (Quebec), pp. 125, 127, May 20th, 1881.)

Section 16 provides that persons belonging to the Chiropractors' Association shall be exempt from jury service. If a private member could initiate legislation of this kind, every class of persons within the Province could be similarly exempted. Such matters lie within the constitutional prerogative of the Crown and must receive its consent.

Section 21 interferes with the duties of a Crown official and obviously is a usurpation of the Crown's prerogative.

All the above sections, with the exception of section 14, can be made regular by a submission to the House of a formal consent by the Crown at any time before the third reading of the Bill. But, if not obtained, constitutional procedure will prevent the Speaker from putting the motion for third reading to the House. (See decision of Mr. Speaker Wurtele in Desjardins' Speakers' Decisions (Quebec), p. 231, April 30th, 1885.)

With regard to section 14, as this interferes with the revenues of the Crown, it must, as above intimated, be preceded by Royal Message, and I must accordingly rule it out of order forthwith.

C. F. DAVIE, *Speaker*.

On the Order being called for the second reading of Bill (No. 29) intitled "An Act respecting Drugless Physicians," Mr. Speaker delivered the following decision:—

*Honourable Members:*

Bill No. 29 ("An Act respecting Drugless Physicians") is also open to some of the above objections.

Sections 4, 5, and 9, for the reasons before mentioned, require the consent of the Crown.

Section 13 likewise, in my view, requires Royal consent. The "Summary Convictions Act" contains machinery for imprisoning convicted persons who have not paid fines. The decision to impose a prison sentence upon citizens of the country is a constitutional responsibility falling upon the Government, to be exercised by it after mature consideration concerning the liberties of the subject. Such a matter, in my view, is essentially one of Crown prerogative in accordance with the principles underlying responsible government, and not one which should be left to the mere whim of a body of private citizens clothed with no authority responsible to the people.

C. F. DAVIE, *Speaker*.

HALF-PAST THREE O'CLOCK P.M.

His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor having entered the House, and being seated in the chair,—

*William H. Langley*, Esquire, the Clerk of the House, read the titles to the following Bills:—

- (No. 1) An Act to amend the "Evidence Act."
- (No. 2) An Act to amend the "Private Detectives' Licensing Act."
- (No. 3) An Act to amend the "Fisheries Act."
- (No. 6) An Act to amend the "Fire Marshal Act."
- (No. 7) An Act to amend the "Legal Professions Act."
- (No. 8) An Act to amend the "Woodmen's Lien for Wages Act."
- (No. 9) An Act to facilitate the Discovery and Working of Placer Mines.
- (No. 11) An Act to amend the "Placer-mining Act."
- (No. 13) An Act to amend the "Highway Act."
- (No. 14) An Act to amend the "Ferries Act."
- (No. 15) An Act to amend the "Forest Act."
- (No. 16) An Act to amend the "Weekly Half-holiday Act."
- (No. 20) An Act to amend the "Collection Agents' Licensing Act."
- (No. 23) An Act to repeal the "Topaz Avenue Gaol-site Disposal Act."
- (No. 50) An Act relating to the Corporation of the City of Victoria.
- (No. 52) An Act to amend the "Bishop of Vancouver Island Incorporation Act."
- (No. 53) An Act to amend the "Delta Water Works Act, 1929."
- (No. 55) An Act to amend the "Vancouver Stock Exchange Act, 1907."

His Honour was pleased, in His Majesty's name, to give assent to the said Bills.

The said assent was announced by the Clerk of the House in the following words:—

"In His Majesty's name, His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor doth assent to these Bills."

The following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be read a second time at the next sitting:—

On the motion of Mr. *MacNaughton*—Bill (No. 40) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Medical Act.'"

On the motion of the Hon. Mr. *Maitland*—Bill (No. 39) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Companies Clauses Act.'"

Bill (No. 22) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Milk Act'" was read a second time. To be committed at the next sitting.

On the second reading of Bill (No. 36) intituled "An Act respecting Petroleum and Natural Gas" a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Pattullo*, adjourned to the next sitting.

Bill (No. 18) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Execution Act'" was committed. Reported complete without amendment. Report to be considered at the next sitting.

Bill (No. 24) intituled "An Act respecting Canned Fish and Canneries" was read a third time and passed.

The report on Bill (No. 21) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Motor-vehicle Act'" was adopted.

Bill read a third time and passed.

The report on Bill (No. 12) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Insurance Act'" was adopted.

Bill read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 25) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Public Schools Act'" was again committed. Reported complete with amendments. Report to be considered at the next sitting.

Bill (No. 26) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Land Act'" was committed.

Reported complete without amendment.

Report adopted.

Bill read a third time and passed.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the second reading of Bill (No. 32) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Trade Licences Act.'"

Bill read a second time.

To be committed at the next sitting.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the second reading of Bill (No. 27) intituled "An Act to confer certain Powers upon the Lieutenant-Governor in Council relating to the Business of Insurance."

Bill read a second time.

To be committed at the next sitting.

On the Order being called for the second reading of Bill (No. 30) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Amusements Tax Act,'" Mr. Speaker delivered the following decision:—

*Honourable Members:*

On the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 30) intituled "An Act to amend the Amusements Tax Act," the honourable and learned member for Omineca raised an objection against the discussion of the merits of the Bill by the Honourable the Minister of Finance on the grounds that the matter of the amusements tax had been brought forward in the Budget Speech, and that if, during the debate thereon, another debate on the amusements tax itself were raised, the House would be confronted with a dual debate upon one subject.

The point raised by the honourable and learned member is of considerable importance in connection with the business of the House, and falls under the term of "blocking." It is a fundamental rule of parliamentary practice that a question already ordered for consideration by the House may not be anticipated by a motion (*May*, 272) or by a debate (*May*, 307). The effect of this rule of procedure is that the introduction of a Bill will block discussion upon a motion (whether previous or not) involving the same subject-matter. The abuse to which this practice lends itself became the subject of a debate in the British Commons on March 27th, 1907, and, after attention had been drawn to the injustices of the procedure, the House passed the following resolution: "That to put a motion on the Order Paper of this House, or to introduce a Bill, so as to prevent discussion in this House of motions for which procedure has been obtained in the ballot, or of definite matters of urgent public importance, is hurtful to the usefulness of this House and an infringement of the rights of its members" (171 Parl. Deb. 4s., pp. 1883 to 1891). However, no sessional or Standing Order was passed to cover the matter, and later on in the Session the matter again came up (176 Parl. Deb. 4s., 631). Sir Henry Campbell Bannerman asked the Speaker whether the effect of the presentation of a Bill of which notice had been given would prevent discussion of a motion which stood in his name. The Speaker replied: "Reluctantly, I feel compelled to answer that question in the affirmative. The rule against anticipation is well known, and I cannot discriminate between Resolutions put down by the Government and Resolutions put down by a private member. The right honourable gentleman will, I have no doubt, remember an occasion before Easter when something of the same sort occurred. A Bill was given notice of with the view of preventing a Resolution being taken. On that occasion I was obliged to hold that the fact that notice had been given of a Bill prevented the Resolution coming on. I may add this: that the rule, however good it was originally, is liable to be stretched, and may become a considerable abuse."

With reference to the point of order raised for my decision, I find, on referring to the Votes and Proceedings, that the "Amusements Tax Act" was introduced into the House on March 17th, and that the Estimates, upon which the Budget Speech was founded, were presented to the House one day earlier—namely, on March 16th—and there appears therein an item of estimated revenue derivable from the amusement-tickets tax amounting to \$375,000.

I am accordingly obliged to rule that the effect of introducing the "Amusements Tax Act" will be to prevent discussion on the amusements tax during the Budget or any other debate other than in debate on second reading of the "Amusements Tax Act."

In order to remedy this situation in so far as Tax Bills are concerned, it would be a convenient practice in the future if the Finance Minister would delay introducing taxation legislation until after the conclusion of the Budget debate.

C. F. DAVIE, *Speaker*.

On the motion of the Hon. Mr. Jones, "That the said Bill be read a second time now," a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. Pattullo, adjourned to the next sitting.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the second reading of Bill (No. 33) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Municipalities Aid Act.'"

Bill read a second time on the following division:—

YEAS—22.

Messieurs

<i>Carson</i>	<i>Alward</i>	<i>Hinchliffe</i>	<i>Cornett</i>
<i>Rutledge</i>	<i>Hayward</i>	<i>Macintosh</i>	<i>Bruhn</i>
<i>Fitzsimmons</i>	<i>Howe</i>	<i>Michell</i>	<i>Atkinson</i>
<i>Uphill</i>	<i>Jones</i>	<i>MacNaughton</i>	<i>Lougheed</i>
<i>Kingston</i>	<i>Tolmie</i>	<i>Schofield</i>	<i>McKenzie, W. A.</i>
<i>Borden</i>	<i>Pooley</i>		

NAYS—7.

Messieurs

<i>Hanna</i>	<i>Gillis</i>	<i>Kergin</i>	<i>Pattullo</i>
<i>Pearson</i>	<i>Wrinch</i>	<i>Sutherland</i>	

PAIRS:

Messieurs

<i>Berry</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Heggie</i>	<i>Gray</i>
<i>Loutet</i>	<i>MacPherson</i>
<i>Maitland</i>	<i>Manson, A. M.</i>

To be committed at the next sitting.

The report on Bill (No. 51) intituled "An Act to amend 'The Victoria Lumber and Manufacturing Company, Limited, Act'" was adopted.

Bill read a third time and passed.

Mr. MacPherson asked the Hon. the Minister of Public Works the following questions:—

1. Was a building for housing machinery constructed at Cloverdale during 1931; and, if so, at what total cost?

2. If yes, were tenders called for the construction of such building; by whom were tenders submitted; and for what amounts in each case; or, if not built by tender, by whom were lumber and hardware supplied, and at what total cost in each case?

3. If yes, how many men were employed in the construction of said building, and what scale of wages was paid to each?

The Hon. Mr. Bruhn replied as follows:—

"1. Yes; \$5,796.80.

"2. No. Lumber: Mohawk Lumber Company, \$1,317.62. Hardware: McDonell Metal Manufacturing Company, \$135.60; Cunningham-Trapp, Limited, \$122.33; A. D. Morrison, \$35.41; Hamilton Hardware Company, \$10.65.

"3. Eighteen. Two at \$5; two at \$4.25; nine at \$4; two at \$3.75; and three at \$3.60."

Mr. MacPherson asked the Hon. the Minister of Public Works the following question:—

Were tenders for supply of cordwood for any public buildings called for by the Department in Kamloops, August, 1931? If so, who was the successful tenderer; what was the amount of his tender; what other tenders were received; and what was the amount of the tender in each case?

The Hon. Mr. Bruhn replied as follows:—

"No."

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. to-day.

And then the House adjourned at 5.30 p.m.

## Thursday, March 24th, 1932.

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

4. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,000 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of The Executive (Ministers without Portfolio) to 31st March, 1933.

5. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$11,800 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1933.

8. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$15,879.80 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Horticultural Branch, to 31st March, 1933.

9. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$12,000 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Control of Fruit and Vegetable Pests, Diseases, etc., to 31st March, 1933.

10. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$28,020 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Plant Quarantine Branch, to 31st March, 1933.

11. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$11,821.60 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Plant Pathology and Entomology, to 31st March, 1933.

12. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$5,500 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Control of Foul-brood, Diseases, etc., to 31st March, 1933.

13. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$20,310.88 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Live Stock Branch, to 31st March, 1933.

14. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$47,996.72 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, District Agriculturists, to 31st March, 1933.

15. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$18,743.20 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Veterinary Branch, to 31st March, 1933.

16. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$9,063.20 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Field Crop Branch, to 31st March, 1933.

17. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$26,930.92 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Dairy Branch, to 31st March, 1933.

18. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$6,000 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Tubercular Cattle, to 31st March, 1933.

19. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$5,000 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Automobiles and Accessories, etc., to 31st March, 1933.

20. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10,000 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Associations, Fairs, etc., to 31st March, 1933.

21. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$6,500 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Vancouver Exhibition Association, to 31st March, 1933.

22. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,000 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, B.C. Agricultural and Industrial Association, to 31st March, 1933.

23. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$4,532 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Corporation of the City of Victoria (Exhibition Buildings), to 31st March, 1933.

24. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,000 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Interior Provincial Fair Association, to 31st March, 1933.

25. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,000 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Chilliwack Agricultural Association, to 31st March, 1933.

26. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$3,981.40 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Farmers' Institutes, to 31st March, 1933.

27. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$3,921.76 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Women's Institutes, to 31st March, 1933.

28. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,250 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Seed Improvement, etc., to 31st March, 1933.

29. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$3,750 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, International, National, and Provincial Exhibits, etc., to 31st March, 1933.

30. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,000 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Control of Noxious Weeds, etc., to 31st March, 1933.

31. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$12,000 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Rebates on Stumping-powder, etc., to 31st March, 1933.

32. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$500 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Grasshopper Extermination, etc., to 31st March, 1933.

33. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$750 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, "Pound District Act," to 31st March, 1933.

34. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$3,000 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Boys' and Girls' Clubs, to 31st March, 1933.

35. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$3,750 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Bulletins, Circulars, Publications, etc., to 31st March, 1933.

36. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,000 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Kamloops Agricultural Association, to 31st March, 1933.

37. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$3,840.40 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Soil Classification, to 31st March, 1933.

38. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,000 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, In Aid of Kamloops Bull Sale, to 31st March, 1933.

39. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$784.80 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Incidentals and Contingencies, to 31st March, 1933.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.  
Report to be considered at the next sitting.  
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 3 o'clock p.m. on Tuesday next.

And then the House adjourned at 10.55 p.m.

---

**Tuesday, March 29th, 1932.**

THREE O'CLOCK P.M.

At the request of Mr. Speaker, prayers were read by the Clerk of the House.

On the motion of the Hon. Mr. *Pooley*, the House proceeded to the Orders of the Day, "Public Bills."

On the second reading of Bill (No. 37) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Coal and Petroleum Act'" a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Pattullo*, adjourned to the next sitting.

Bill (No. 39) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Companies Clauses Act'" was read a second time.

To be committed at the next sitting.

On the second reading of Bill (No. 31) intituled "An Act to amend certain Acts providing for the Imposition and Collection of a Tax on Gasoline" a debate arose.

Bill read a second time on the following division:—

YEAS—29.

Messieurs

<i>Loutet</i>	<i>Heggie</i>	<i>Jones</i>	<i>Schofield</i>
<i>Carson</i>	<i>Spencer</i>	<i>Pooley</i>	<i>Cornett</i>
<i>Fitzsimmons</i>	<i>Walkem</i>	<i>Hinchliffe</i>	<i>Shelly</i>
<i>Kingston</i>	<i>Hayward</i>	<i>Macintosh</i>	<i>Bruhn</i>
<i>Berry</i>	<i>Twiggy</i>	<i>Michell</i>	<i>Atkinson</i>
<i>Borden</i>	<i>Maitland</i>	<i>MacNaughton</i>	<i>Lougheed</i>
<i>Kirk</i>	<i>Howe</i>	<i>Manson, M.</i>	<i>McKenzie, W. A.</i>
<i>Lister</i>			

NAYS—12.

Messieurs

<i>Uphill</i>	<i>Pearson</i>	<i>Wrinch</i>	<i>Pattullo</i>
<i>King</i>	<i>MacPherson</i>	<i>Kergin</i>	<i>Manson, A. M.</i>
<i>Hanna</i>	<i>Gillis</i>	<i>Sutherland</i>	<i>Gray</i>

To be committed at the next sitting.

Bill (No. 22) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Milk Act'" was committed.

Reported complete without amendment.

Report to be considered at the next sitting.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the second reading of Bill (No. 5) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Moving Pictures Act.'"

On the motion of Mr. *Cornett*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the second reading of Bill (No. 30) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Amusements Tax Act.'"

Bill read a second time.

To be committed at the next sitting.

Bill (No. 32) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Trade Licences Act'" was committed.

Progress reported.

Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Bill (No. 33) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Municipalities Aid Act'" was committed.

Reported complete without amendment.

Report to be considered at the next sitting.

Bill (No. 54) intituled "An Act respecting 'Cultus Lake Park'" was committed.

Reported complete with amendment.

Report to be considered at the next sitting.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. to-day.

And then the House adjourned at 5.47 p.m.