

Monday, September 19, 1983

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *M. Iwasawa*.

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

Order for Committee of Supply called.

By leave, on the motion of the Hon. *H. W. Schroeder*, the House proceeded to "Public Bills and Orders."

On the order called for the adjourned debate on the amendment to the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 3) intituled *Public Sector Restraint Act*, the Leader of the Opposition sought the attention of the Chair to be recognized in debate.

Upon a point of order being taken by the Government House Leader, Mr. Speaker ruled that an amendment to the motion for second reading, not being a substantive motion, was not "any other proceeding of the House," as contemplated under Standing Order 45A. Accordingly the Leader of the Opposition could not be recognized in further debate having already spoken for more than 40 minutes.

The Leader of the Opposition, having failed to take his place after Mr. Speaker had risen, was escorted from the Chamber on the directive of the Chair.

The ruling of the Speaker was challenged.

The Speaker's ruling was sustained on the following division:

YEAS—26

<i>Parks</i>	<i>Fraser, A. V.</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
		<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>		<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Pelton</i>	
<i>Mowat</i>		<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>		<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		

NAYS—17

<i>Blencoe</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>
<i>Rose</i>	<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Brown</i>		<i>Howard</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>		
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Skelly</i>		<i>Macdonald</i>
	<i>Gabelmann</i>		

The debate on the amendment continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Mitchell*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion of the Hon. *H. W. Schroeder*, that the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m., a debate arose.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Howard* that the debate be adjourned to the next sitting of the House, the House divided.

Motion negated on the following division:

YEAS—16

<i>Blencoe</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>
	<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Howard</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>			
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Skelly</i>		
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>		

NAYS—27

<i>Parks</i>	<i>Fraser, A. V.</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Richmond</i>
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
		<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>		<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Pelton</i>	
<i>Mowat</i>		<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>		<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Lauk* that the debate be adjourned to the next sitting of the House, the House divided.

Motion negated on the following division:

YEAS—12

<i>Blencoe</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	
		<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Howard</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>			
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Skelly</i>		

NAYS—27

<i>Parks</i>	<i>Fraser, A. V.</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Richmond</i>
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
		<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>		<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Pelton</i>	
<i>Mowat</i>		<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>		<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Nicolson* that the House do now adjourn, Mr. Speaker ruled that the motion was an abuse of the rules and declined to put the question.

The Speaker's ruling was challenged.

The Speaker's ruling was sustained on the following division:

YEAS—27

<i>Parks</i>	<i>Fraser, A. V.</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Richmond</i>
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
		<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>		<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Pelton</i>	
		<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		

NAYS—12

<i>Blencoe</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	
<i>Rose</i>	<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	
<i>Passarell</i>			<i>Howard</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Skelly</i>		
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>		

The debate continued.

The motion of the Hon. *P. L. McGeer* that the question be now put was accepted by the Chair.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—28

<i>Parks</i>	<i>Fraser, A. V.</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Richmond</i>
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
		<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>		<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Pelton</i>	
<i>Mowat</i>		<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		

NAYS—15

	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>
	<i>Hanson</i>		<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Howard</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>			
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Skelly</i>		<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>		

Resolved, that the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m.

Upon a point of order being taken that the question was put at 9.10 p.m. but related to the hour of 8.30 p.m. and accordingly was a dropped order, Mr. Speaker ruled, citing the ruling of Mr. Speaker *Smith* of March 31, 1976, that the matter was not a dropped order and that the business of the House could proceed.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 6) intituled *Education (Interim) Finance Amendment Act, 1983*.

The debate continued.

Mr. *Hanson* moved the following amendment—

That the motion be amended by leaving out the word “now” and adding the words “on this day six months hence.”

The debate on the amendment continued.

The House continued to sit after midnight.

On the motion of Mr. *Kempf*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion of the Hon. *J. A. Nielsen*, the House adjourned at 9.10 a.m.

Tuesday, September 20, 1983

TEN O’CLOCK A.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

By leave, on the motion of the Hon. *J. A. Nielsen*, the House proceeded to “Public Bills and Orders.”

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the amendment to the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 6) intituled *Education (Interim) Finance Amendment Act, 1983*.

The debate on the amendment continued.

On the motion of Mr. *D’Arcy*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion of the Hon. *J. A. Nielsen*, the House adjourned at 11.55 a.m.

Tuesday, September 20, 1983

TWO O’CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *P. McCalman*.

Mr. *Macdonald* rose on a matter of privilege relating to the presence of security officers within the galleries.

Mr. Speaker stated that he would take the matter under advisement.

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

Mr. *Howard* asked leave to move a motion, pursuant to Standing Order 49.

Mr. Speaker ruled that it was not competent for a member to invoke Standing Order 49 under the circumstance that the House was not embarked upon the routine business of Motions and Adjourned Debates on Motions.

Mr. *Howard* asked leave that the House proceed to the routine business of Motions and Adjourned Debates on Motions.

Leave was not granted.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

By leave, on the motion of the Hon. *J. A. Nielsen*, the House proceeded to "Public Bills and Orders."

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the amendment to the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 6) intituled *Education (Interim) Finance Amendment Act, 1983*.

The debate on the amendment continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Lauk* that the debate be adjourned to the next sitting of the House, the House divided.

Motion negatived on the following division:

YEAS—18

<i>Blencoe</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>
<i>Rose</i>	<i>Hanson</i>		
<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Howard</i>
	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Lea</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Barnes</i>			

NAYS—27

	<i>Fraser, A. V.</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Richmond</i>
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	
<i>Reynolds</i>		<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>		<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Ree</i>		<i>Pelton</i>	
<i>Mowat</i>		<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		

The debate on the amendment continued.

The House divided.

The amendment was negatived on the following division:

YEAS—18

<i>Blencoe</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	
<i>Rose</i>	<i>Hanson</i>		<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Brown</i>		<i>Howard</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>		<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>		

NAYS—24

		<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Richmond</i>
<i>Reid</i>		<i>Strachan</i>	
<i>Reynolds</i>		<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>		<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
		<i>Pelton</i>	
<i>Mowat</i>		<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		

The debate was resumed on the main motion.

The Speaker's attention was drawn to the clock.

Resolved, that the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8 p.m. tonight.

On the motion of the Hon. *J. A. Nielsen*, the House adjourned at 6.01 p.m.

EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

The Hon. *J. A. Nielsen* sought leave to proceed to "Public Bills and Orders."

Leave was not granted.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.

Report to be considered at the next sitting.

Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The House proceeded to "Public Bills and Orders."

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 6) intituled *Education (Interim) Finance Amendment Act, 1983*.

During debate, Mr. *Rose* moved the following amendment—

That the motion be amended by leaving out all the words following “that” and substituting, therefore, the following: “. . . it is the opinion of this House that it is contrary to the interests of democracy to give a Minister of the Crown unilateral authority and powers to issue directives to previously autonomous and locally elected school trustees.”

Motion defeated.

The House continued to sit after midnight.

The debate continued.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—28

<i>Parks</i>		<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Richmond</i>
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>		<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>		<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
		<i>Pelton</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Mowat</i>		<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		

NAYS—7

	<i>Hanson</i>		
<i>Passarell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>		<i>Barrett</i>
	<i>Skelly</i>		<i>Macdonald</i>
	<i>Gabelmann</i>		

Bill (No. 6) read a second time.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the amendment to the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 3) intituled *Public Sector Restraint Act*.

The debate on the amendment continued.

The House divided.

The amendment was negatived on the following division:

YEAS—12

<i>Blencoe</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	
	<i>Hanson</i>		
	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Howard</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Skelly</i>		<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>		

NAYS—27

<i>Parks</i>		<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Richmond</i>
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>		<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>		<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
		<i>Pelton</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Mowat</i>		<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
	<i>McCarthy</i>		

The debate was resumed on the main motion.

During debate, Mr. Speaker ruled that a member who rose on a point of order, interrupting a member speaking during debate, could not move that the House proceed to another matter of business.

The Speaker's ruling was challenged and sustained on the following division:

YEAS—26

<i>Parks</i>		<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Richmond</i>
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>		<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>		<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Johnston</i>	
			<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Mowat</i>		<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		

NAYS—10

<i>Blencoe</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	
		<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Howard</i>
			<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Wallace</i>		<i>Stupich</i>	
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>		

On the motion of Mr. *Gabelmann* that the debate be adjourned to the next sitting of the House, the House divided.

Motion negatived on the following division:

YEAS—9

<i>Blencoe</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	
		<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Howard</i>
			<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Wallace</i>			<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>		

NAYS—23

<i>Parks</i>		<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Richmond</i>
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>		<i>Campbell</i>	
<i>Veitch</i>		<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
			<i>Rogers</i>
		<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
	<i>McCarthy</i>		

The debate continued.

During debate, Mr. Speaker ruled that a member who rose on a point of order, interrupting a member speaking during debate, could not move that the House proceed to another matter of business.

The Speaker's ruling was challenged and sustained on the following division:

YEAS—24

<i>Parks</i>		<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Richmond</i>
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>		<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>		<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	
<i>Segarty</i>		<i>Johnston</i>	
		<i>Pelton</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Mowat</i>		<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
	<i>McCarthy</i>		

NAYS—9

<i>Blencoe</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	
	<i>Hanson</i>		
		<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Howard</i>
<i>Wallace</i>			<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Barnes</i>			

The debate continued.

During debate, Mr. Speaker ruled that it was not competent for a member to move a dilatory motion after his time had expired in debate.

The Speaker's ruling was challenged and sustained on the following division:

YEAS—24

<i>Parks</i>		<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Richmond</i>
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>		<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>		<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	
<i>Segarty</i>		<i>Johnston</i>	
		<i>Pelton</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Mowat</i>		<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
	<i>McCarthy</i>		

NAYS—9

<i>Blencoe</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	
	<i>Hanson</i>		
		<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Howard</i>
<i>Wallace</i>			<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Barnes</i>			

The debate continued.

During debate, upon a point of order being taken, Mr. Speaker ruled that the matter was not a point of order.

The Speaker's ruling was challenged and sustained on the following division:

YEAS—24

<i>Parks</i>		<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Richmond</i>
<i>Reid</i>		<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>		<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>		<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>		<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
		<i>Pelton</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Mowat</i>		<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>		<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
	<i>McCarthy</i>		

NAYS—9

*Blencoe**Lockstead
Hanson**Sanford**Cocke
Howard
Barrett**Wallace
Barnes*

The debate continued.

An Honourable Member questioned the presence of a quorum in the House. The division bell was rung. Upon a count being taken, Mr. Speaker stated that a quorum was present.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Hanson*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

63 Mr. *Reynolds* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Parks and Housing and the Hon. the Minister of Environment the following questions:

1. On July 27, did any members of the Public Service in the Ministry of Lands, Parks and Housing and the Ministry of Environment leave their positions to attend a rally at the Parliament Buildings, and if so, how many?

2. In reference to No. 1, how many of these public servants will be paid for: (a) the whole day and (b) for part of the day?

3. Will any money be saved by Government as a result of No. 2, and if so, how much?

The Hon. *A. J. Brummet* replied as follows:

“Ministry of Lands, Parks and Housing

“1. On July 27, 180 employees of the Ministry of Lands, Parks and Housing abandoned their positions on that day.

“2. (a) None of these employees will be paid for the whole day; and (b) 178 of these employees will be paid for part of the day; 2 were absent for the whole day and will receive no pay for July 27.

“3. \$4,303.70 will be saved by the Government due to No. 2.

“Ministry of Environment

“1. 246 employees of the Ministry of Environment.

“2. (a) None of those employees will be paid for the full day; and (b) all of the 246 employees will be paid for part of the day.

“3. The amount of money saved by Government is \$7,120.”

81 Mr. *Reynolds* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Parks and Housing and the Hon. the Minister of Environment the following questions:

1. On August 10, did any members of the Public Service in the Ministry of Lands, Parks and Housing and the Ministry of Environment leave their positions to attend a rally at Empire Stadium, and if so, how many?

2. In reference to No. 1, how many of these public servants will be paid for: (a) the whole day and (b) for part of the day?

3. Will any money be saved by Government as a result of No. 2, and if so, how much?

The Hon. A. J. Brummet replied as follows:

“Ministry of Lands, Parks and Housing

“1. On August 10, 93 employees of Lands, Parks and Hosing left their positions to attend a rally at the Empire Stadium in Vancouver.

“2. (a) No employees will be paid for the whole day and; (b) 31 employees will be paid for part of the day; 62 were absent for the whole day and will receive no pay for August 10.

“3. \$5,972.46 will be saved by the Government as a result of No. 2.

“Ministry of Environment

“1. 95 employees of the Ministry of Environment.

“2. (a) None of those employees will be paid for the full day; and (b) 87 of the 95 employees will be paid for part of the day.

“3. The amount of money saved by Government is \$9,026.”

On the motion of the Hon. J. A. Nielsen the House adjourned at 1.45 p.m.

Wednesday, September 21, 1983

TWO O’CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. K. C. Goh.

Order called for “Oral Questions by Members”.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

By leave, on the motion of the Hon. J. A. Nielsen, the House proceeded to “Public Bills and Orders.”

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 3) intituled *Public Sector Restraint Act*.

The debate continued.

Mr. Hanson moved the following reasoned amendment—

That the motion be amended by leaving out all the words following “that” and substituting therefore the following: “it is the opinion of this House that every consideration of humanity, justice and policy demands that this Legislature oppose measures which would encourage practices of political patronage through powers of arbitrary dismissal of public employees.”

The debate on the amendment continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Skelly* that the House do now adjourn, the House divided.

Motion negatived on the following division:

YEAS—13

<i>Blencoe</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	
		<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Howard</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Lea</i>	
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Barnes</i>			

NAYS—24

<i>Parks</i>		<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Richmond</i>
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>		
<i>Reynolds</i>		<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>		<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Ree</i>		<i>Pelton</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
		<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempff</i>		<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Davis</i>			

The Hon. *P. L. McGeer* rose on a point of order, namely, that the amendment to the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 3) under debate had not been the subject matter of any notice on the Order Paper relating thereto, contrary to the rule as stated in Sir Erskine May, and that accordingly the amendment should be ruled out of order.

Mr. Speaker ruled that he would decline to rule the amendment out of order in view of the fact that the practice adopted by the House in the past was not to require notice with respect to a reasoned amendment.

The debate on the amendment continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Macdonald* that the House do now adjourn, the House divided.

Motion negatived on the following division:

YEAS—11

<i>Blencoe</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	
		<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Cocke</i>
	<i>D'Arcy</i>		<i>Howard</i>
<i>Wallace</i>			<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>		

NAYS—25

<i>Parks</i>		<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Richmond</i>
<i>Reid</i>		<i>Strachan</i>	
<i>Reynolds</i>		<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>		<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Johnston</i>	
<i>Ree</i>		<i>Pelton</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
		<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempff</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		

The debate on the amendment continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. S. Ritchie*, the debate was adjourned until later today.

On the motion of the Hon. *J. A. Nielsen*, it was *Resolved* that the House stand adjourned until 8 p.m.

And then the House adjourned at 5.57 p.m.

EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the amendment to the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 3) intituled *Public Sector Restraint Act*.

The debate on the amendment continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Blencoe* that the House do now adjourn, the House divided.

Motion negatived on the following division:

YEAS—11

<i>Blencoe</i>		<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Dailly</i>
<i>Rose</i>			<i>Cocke</i>
	<i>Brown</i>		
<i>Mitchell</i>		<i>Lea</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
	<i>Skelly</i>		<i>Macdonald</i>

NAYS—26

<i>Parks</i>		<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Richmond</i>
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>		<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>		<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Bennett</i>		<i>Schroeder</i>
		<i>Pelton</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Mowat</i>		<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>		<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		

The debate on the amendment continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Lea* that the House do now adjourn, the House divided.

Motion negatived on the following division:

YEAS—10

<i>Rose</i>		<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Dailly</i>
	<i>Brown</i>		<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>		<i>Lea</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
		<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>

NAYS—27

<i>Parks</i>		<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Richmond</i>
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>		<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>		<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Ree</i>		<i>Pelton</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Mowat</i>		<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
	<i>McCarthy</i>		

The debate on the amendment continued.

On the motion of Mrs. *Dailly* that the House do now adjourn, the House divided.

Motion negatived on the following division:

YEAS—12

<i>Rose</i>		<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Dailly</i>
<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Brown</i>		<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Lea</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
		<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>

NAYS—26

<i>Parks</i>		<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Richmond</i>
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>			<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>		<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>		<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Ree</i>		<i>Pelton</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Mowat</i>		<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
	<i>McCarthy</i>		

The debate on the amendment continued.

The House continued to sit after midnight.

On the motion of Mr. *Nicolson* that the House do now adjourn, the House divided.

Motion negatived on the following division:

YEAS—7

		<i>Nicolson</i>	
<i>Passarell</i>			<i>Barrett</i>
	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
	<i>Gabelmann</i>		

NAYS—26

<i>Parks</i>		<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Richmond</i>
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
		<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>		<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>		<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Ree</i>		<i>Pelton</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Mowat</i>		<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
	<i>McCarthy</i>		

The debate on the amendment continued.

On the motion being made that the House do now adjourn, Mr. Speaker ruled the motion out of order on the grounds that an identical motion had recently been rejected by the House and no intervening business had occurred.

The Speaker's ruling was challenged and sustained on the following division:

YEAS—26

<i>Parks</i>		<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Richmond</i>
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>		<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>		<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>		<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Ree</i>		<i>Pelton</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Mowat</i>		<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>		<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
	<i>McCarthy</i>		

NAYS—7

<i>Passarell</i>		<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
	<i>D'Arcy</i>		<i>Macdonald</i>
	<i>Skelly</i>		
	<i>Gabelmann</i>		

The debate on the amendment continued.

On the motion being made that the House do now adjourn, Mr. Speaker declined to put the question on the ground that the motion amounted to an abuse of the rules.

The Speaker's ruling was challenged and sustained on the following division:

YEAS—27

<i>Parks</i>		<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Richmond</i>
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>		<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>		<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>		<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Ree</i>		<i>Pelton</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Mowat</i>		<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>		<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		

NAYS—6

<i>Passarell</i>			<i>Barrett</i>
	<i>D'Arcy</i>		<i>Macdonald</i>
	<i>Skelly</i>		
	<i>Gabelmann</i>		

The debate on the amendment continued.

The House divided.

The amendment was negatived on the following division:

YEAS—6

<i>Passarell</i>			<i>Barrett</i>
	<i>D'Arcy</i>		<i>Macdonald</i>
	<i>Skelly</i>		
	<i>Gabelmann</i>		

NAYS—27

<i>Parks</i>		<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Richmond</i>
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>		<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>		<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>		<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>		<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Ree</i>		<i>Pelton</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Mowat</i>		<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>		<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>		
	<i>McCarthy</i>		

The debate was resumed on the main motion.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—28

<i>Parks</i>		<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Richmond</i>
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>		<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>		<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Johnston</i>	
<i>Ree</i>		<i>Pelton</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Mowat</i>		<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		

NAYS—11

<i>Blencoe</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	
		<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Howard</i>
	<i>D'Arcy</i>		
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Skelly</i>		<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>		

Bill (No. 3) read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting.

On the motion of the Hon. *J. A. Nielsen*, the House adjourned at 5.15 a.m.

Thursday, September 22, 1983

TEN O'CLOCK A.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *R. C. Nelson*.

Mr. *Howard* sought leave to move a motion.

Mr. Speaker stated that leave could not be sought at that particular stage in the proceedings.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

By leave, on the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House proceeded to "Public Bills and Orders."

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the amendment to the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 7) intituled *Property Tax Reform Act (No. 1), 1983*.

The debate on the amendment continued.

The House divided.

The amendment was negived on the following division:

YEAS—12

<i>Blencoe</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>		<i>Dailly</i>
<i>Rose</i>	<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Cocke</i>
	<i>Brown</i>		<i>Howard</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>		<i>Lea</i>	
		<i>Stupich</i>	

NAYS—29

<i>Parks</i>		<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Richmond</i>
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>		<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
		<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Ree</i>		<i>Pelton</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		

The debate was resumed on the main motion.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—29

<i>Parks</i>		<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Richmond</i>
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>		<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
		<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Ree</i>		<i>Pelton</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		

NAYS—12

<i>Blencoe</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>		<i>Dailly</i>
<i>Rose</i>		<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Brown</i>		
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Lea</i>	
		<i>Stupich</i>	

Bill (No. 7) read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House adjourned at 12.06 p.m.

Thursday, September 22, 1983

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

Order for Committee of Supply called.

By leave, on the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House proceeded to "Public Bills and Orders."

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the amendment to the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 9) intituled *Municipal Amendment Act, 1983*.

The debate on the amendment continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Lockstead* that the House do now adjourn, the House divided.

Motion negatived on the following division:

YEAS—12

	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	
<i>Rose</i>			
<i>Passarell</i>			<i>Howard</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>		<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Skelly</i>		<i>Macdonald</i>
	<i>Gabelmann</i>		

NAYS—29

		<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Richmond</i>
<i>Parks</i>			<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>		<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>		<i>Campbell</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Veitch</i>		<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Ree</i>		<i>Pelton</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Michael</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		

The debate on the amendment continued.

On the motion being made that the House do now adjourn, Mr. Speaker ruled the motion out of order on the grounds that an identical motion had recently been rejected by the House and no intervening business had occurred.

The Speaker's ruling was challenged and sustained on the following division:

YEAS—28

		<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Richmond</i>
<i>Parks</i>		<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>		<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Veitch</i>		<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Bennett</i>		<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Ree</i>		<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>		
	<i>McCarthy</i>		

NAYS—13

	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	
<i>Blencoe</i>	<i>Hanson</i>		
		<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Howard</i>
	<i>D'Arcy</i>		<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Skelly</i>		<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>		

The debate on the amendment continued.

The House divided.

The amendment was negatived on the following division:

YEAS—12

<i>Blencoe</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	
	<i>Hanson</i>		
		<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Howard</i>
	<i>D'Arcy</i>		<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Wallace</i>			<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>		

NAYS—28

<i>Parks</i>		<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Richmond</i>
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>		<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>		<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Ree</i>			<i>Rogers</i>
	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		

The debate was resumed on the main motion.

Mr. *Barrett* moved the following reasoned amendment—

That the motion be amended by leaving out all the words following “that” and substituting therefore the following: “. . . it is the opinion of this House that co-operation between municipalities and regional districts is necessary for orderly regional development.”

The debate on the amendment continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Lauk*, the debate was adjourned until later today.

The Hon. *W. S. Ritchie* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant Governor, which read as follows:

ROBERT G. ROGERS
Lieutenant Governor

The Lieutenant Governor transmits herewith amendments to Bill (No. 12) intituled *Property Tax Reform Act (No. 2), 1983* enclosed herewith, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
September 22, 1983.

(ENCLOSURE)

Sections 16.1 and 16.2, by adding the following sections after the heading *Hospital District Act Amendments*:

“16.1 Section 20 (2) of the *Hospital District Act*, R.S.B.C. 1979, c. 178, is amended

- (a) by striking out ‘\$200,000’ and substituting ‘a prescribed amount’, and
- (b) by striking out ‘\$.25 per thousand dollars of’ and substituting ‘a prescribed rate on’.

“16.2 Section 22 (1) (b) (i) is amended by striking out ‘\$4 per thousand dollars of and substituting ‘a prescribed rate on’.”

Section 35.1, by adding the following section:

“*Transitional—conversion of non-municipal assessment roll*

“35.1 (1) Where the assessment roll prepared under the *Assessment Act* for the 1983 taxation year shows the assessed value of land and improvements as percentage of actual value, fixed by the Lieutenant Governor in Council under B.C. Reg. 496/82, the assessed value of the land and improvements shall, for purposes of the 1984 taxation year,

- (a) be deemed to be the actual value of the land and improvements, and
- (b) for purposes of paragraph (a), be converted to actual value by multiplying the assessed value for the 1983 taxation year by the factor shown in the schedule which corresponds to the class of property, prescribed by the Lieutenant Governor in Council under section 26 of the *Assessment Act*, into which the land or improvements or both, fall.

“(2) The amendment of the assessment roll by the conversion of the assessed values under this section is not a matter in respect of which a complaint may be made under section 40 or an appeal may be taken under section 67 of the *Assessment Act*.”

Schedule, by adding the following schedule after section 36:

“SCHEDULE
(Section 35.1)

Prescribed Class	Corresponding Factor
1	10.
2	2.857
3	2.5
4	3.571
5	2.941
6	4.082
7	1.25
8	10.
9	10.”

By leave of the House, *Resolved*, That the said Message, and the amendments accompanying the same, be referred to the Committee having in charge Bill (No. 12).

The Hon. *W. S. Ritchie* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant Governor, which read as follows:

ROBERT G. ROGERS
Lieutenant Governor

The Lieutenant Governor transmits herewith amendments to Bill (No. 7) intituled *Property Tax Reform Act (No. 1), 1983* enclosed herewith, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,
September 22, 1983.*

(ENCLOSURE)

Section 2, by deleting paragraph (b) and substituting the following:

“(b) by repealing subsection (8) and substituting the following:

“(8) For the purposes of subsection (7), in determining the assessed value

- (a) for general municipal purposes for the 1983 taxation year, “the assessed value for the preceding year” means the assessed value shown on the assessment roll for other than general municipal purposes for the 1982 taxation year multiplied by 10, and
- (b) for other than general municipal purposes for the 1984 taxation year, “the assessed value for the preceding year” means the assessed value shown on the assessment roll for other than general municipal purposes for the 1983 taxation year multiplied by 10.”

Section 7, by deleting “and” at the end of paragraph (a), by adding “. and” at the end of paragraph (b) and by adding the following paragraph:

“(c) by adding the following subsections:

“(1.1) In 1984 no debt shall be contracted by a city, town or district which causes the aggregate debt for all purposes, other than those listed in subsection (2), to exceed 20% of the total of

(a) the average of

- (i) the total assessed value for general municipal purposes of the taxable land and improvements in the municipality, determined under section 26 (19) (a) of the *Assessment Act*, before its repeal under the *Property Tax Reform Act (No. 1), 1983* for the 1982 taxation year, and
- (ii) the total taxable value for general municipal purposes of the taxable land and improvements in the municipality, determined under
 - (A) section 26 (11) of the *Assessment Act* for the 1983 taxation year, and
 - (B) section 26 (7) of the *Assessment Act* for the 1984 taxation year,

multiplied by a percentage established by the minister for that municipality for each of the 1983 and 1984 taxation years, and

(b) the value of the utility systems and other municipal enterprises for which the municipality has a subsisting certificate of self liquidation granted by the inspector, the value to be determined by the cost of the systems and enterprises less the amounts approved by the auditor for depreciation.

“(1.2) Commencing in 1985, no debt shall be contracted by a city, town or district which causes the aggregate debt for all purposes, other than those listed in subsection (2), to exceed 20% of the total of

- (a) the average of the total taxable value for general municipal purposes of the taxable land and improvements in the municipality, determined under section 26 (7) of the *Assessment Act*, multiplied by a percentage established by the minister for that municipality for each of the current and 2 preceding taxation years, and
- (b) the value of the utility systems and other municipal enterprises for which the municipality has a subsisting certificate of self liquidation granted by the inspector, the value to be determined by the cost of the systems and enterprises less the amounts approved by the auditor for depreciation.”

By leave of the House, *Resolved*, That the said Message, and the amendments accompanying the same, be referred to the Committee having in charge Bill (No. 7).

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8 p.m. tonight.

And then the House adjourned at 5.53 p.m.

EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Mr. *Rose* rose on a matter of privilege relating to questions asked during Question Period.

Mr. Speaker stated he would take the matter under advisement.

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House proceeded to "Public Bills and Orders."

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the amendment to the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 9) intituled *Municipal Amendment Act, 1983*.

The debate on the amendment continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Lauk* that the debate be adjourned to the next sitting of the House, the House divided.

Motion negatived on the following division:

YEAS—13

<i>Rose</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>
		<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Cocke</i>
	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Howard</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>		<i>Lea</i>	
<i>Wallace</i>		<i>Stupich</i>	

NAYS—25

<i>Parks</i>			<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>		<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>		<i>Campbell</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Veitch</i>		<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Ree</i>		<i>Pelton</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Michael</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
		<i>Ritchie</i>	
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		

The debate on the amendment continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Rose* that the House do now adjourn, Mr. Speaker declined to put the question, pursuant to the provisions of Standing Order 44.

The debate on the amendment continued.

Mr. Speaker made a statement relating to the correct interpretation of Standing Order 44.

On the motion of Mrs. *Dailly* that the debate be adjourned to the next sitting of the House, the House divided.

Motion negatived on the following division:

YEAS—9

<i>Rose</i>		<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Dailly</i> <i>Cocke</i>
	<i>Brown</i>		
<i>Mitchell</i>		<i>Lea</i> <i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>

NAYS—28

<i>Parks</i>		<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>		<i>Campbell</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Veitch</i>		<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Segarty</i>		<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Ree</i>		<i>Pelton</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Michael</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		

The House continued to sit after midnight.

Ms. *Brown* sought to move that the provisions of Standing Order 44 be suspended, in accordance with the provisions of Standing Order 45 (1) (j).

The Speaker ruled that such a motion could not be made.

The Speaker's ruling was challenged and sustained on the following division:

YEAS—25

<i>Parks</i>		<i>Chabot</i>	
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>		<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>			<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>		<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Ree</i>			<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Gardom</i>		<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		

NAYS—12

			<i>Dailly</i> <i>Cocke</i>
	<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	
<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Brown</i>		
	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Lea</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
		<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
	<i>Gabelmann</i>		

The debate on the amendment continued.

During debate on the reasoned amendment the First Member for Victoria sought to move a subamendment. The Speaker ruled that a reasoned amendment, being in the nature of a *pro forma* or stereotyped motion on the stage of a Bill, is not subject to an amendment and cited the 18th Edition of Sir Erskine May, page 383.

The Speaker's ruling was challenged and sustained on the following division:

YEAS—26

<i>Parks</i>		<i>Chabot</i>	
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>		<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>		<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>		<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
		<i>Pelton</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>		<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		

NAYS—7

	<i>Hanson</i>		
<i>Passarell</i>			
	<i>D'Arcy</i>		<i>Barrett</i>
	<i>Skelly</i>		<i>Macdonald</i>
	<i>Gabelmann</i>		

On the motion of Mr. *Skelly* that the House do now adjourn, the House divided.

Motion negatived on the following division:

YEAS—9

<i>Blencoe</i>			
	<i>Hanson</i>		
<i>Passarell</i>		<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Howard</i>
	<i>D'Arcy</i>		<i>Barrett</i>
	<i>Skelly</i>		<i>Macdonald</i>

NAYS—27

		<i>Chabot</i>	
<i>Parks</i>			<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>		<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>		<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Veitch</i>		<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Pelton</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Ree</i>		<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>		
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		

Mr. *Macdonald* sought to move an amendment to the reasoned amendment. The Speaker cited his earlier ruling to the effect that the reasoned amendment was not subject to amendment.

The Speaker's ruling was challenged and sustained on the following division:

YEAS—27

<i>Parks</i>		<i>Chabot</i>	
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>		<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>		<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Johnston</i>	
<i>Ree</i>		<i>Pelton</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Gardom</i>		<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		

NAYS—9

<i>Blencoe</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	
		<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Howard</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Skelly</i>		<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Barnes</i>			

The debate on the amendment continued.

Mr. *Barnes* sought to move an amendment to the amendment. The Speaker ruled the motion out of order, citing the earlier rulings.

The Speaker's ruling was challenged and sustained on the following division:

YEAS—28

<i>Parks</i>		<i>Chabot</i>	
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>		<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>		<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Johnston</i>	
<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Pelton</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
	<i>McCarthy</i>		

NAYS—8

<i>Blencoe</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	
		<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Howard</i>
<i>Wallace</i>			<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Barnes</i>			

The debate on the amendment continued.

The Hon. *P. L. McGeer* moved that the question be now put.

Upon a point of order being taken, the Speaker stated that in his opinion the motion did not offend the provisions of Standing Order 46 (1).

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—27

<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>		<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>		<i>Campbell</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	
<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Johnston</i>	
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Pelton</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>

NAYS—9

<i>Blencoe</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	
		<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Howard</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Skelly</i>		<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Barnes</i>			

The question on the amendment being put, the House divided.

The amendment was negated on the following division:

YEAS—10

<i>Blencoe</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	
		<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Howard</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Barnes</i>			

NAYS—27

<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>		<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>		<i>Campbell</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	
<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Pelton</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Michael</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	

The debate was resumed on the main motion.

On the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 9), the House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—26

<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>		<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>		<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Johnston</i>	
<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Pelton</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
	<i>McCarthy</i>		

NAYS—7

<i>Blencoe</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Howard</i>
<i>Wallace</i>		<i>Lauk</i>	
<i>Barnes</i>			

Bill (No. 9) read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 26) intituled *Employment Standards Amendment Act, 1983*, a debate arose.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Ms. *Sanford* that the House do now adjourn, the House divided.

Motion negatived on the following division:

YEAS—7

<i>Blencoe</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Howard</i>
<i>Wallace</i>		<i>Lauk</i>	
<i>Barnes</i>			

NAYS—26

<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>		<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>		<i>Campbell</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	
<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
	<i>McCarthy</i>		

The debate continued.

During debate, Mr. *Howard* sought to move that the House proceed to Motion 5 on the Order Paper.

The Speaker ruled that the motion was not in order.

The Speaker's ruling was challenged and sustained on the following division:

YEAS—25

<i>Parks</i>		<i>Chabot</i>	
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
		<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>		<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Johnston</i>	
<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>		<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
	<i>McCarthy</i>		

NAYS—12

<i>Blencoe</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	
		<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Cocke</i>
	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Howard</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>		<i>Lea</i>	
<i>Wallace</i>			
<i>Barnes</i>			

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Nicolson* that the House do now adjourn, the House divided.

Motion negatived on the following division:

YEAS—10

<i>Blencoe</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>		
<i>Rose</i>		<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Cocke</i>
	<i>Brown</i>		<i>Howard</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>		<i>Lea</i>	
<i>Wallace</i>			

NAYS—28

<i>Parks</i>		<i>Chabot</i>	
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>		<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>		<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Johnston</i>	
<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>		<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Mitchell*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House adjourned at 9.20 a.m.

Friday, September 23, 1983

TEN O'CLOCK A.M.

Prayers by Father *H. S. Hurd*.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

By leave, on the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House proceeded to "Public Bills and Orders."

Bill (No. 7) intituled *Property Tax Reform Act (No. 1), 1983* was committed.

The Committee rose, reported progress and asked leave to sit again.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 26) intituled *Employment Standards Amendment Act, 1983*.

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the debate was adjourned until later today.

His Honour the Lieutenant Governor having entered the House, and being seated in the Chair—

E. George MacMinn, Deputy Clerk, read the titles to the following Bills:

Bill (No. 13) *Tobacco Tax Amendment Act, 1983*.

Bill (No. 17) *Miscellaneous Statutes (Finance Measures) Amendment Act, 1983*.

Bill (No. 18) *Pension (Public Service) Amendment Act, 1983*.

Bill (No. 20) *College and Institute Amendment Act, 1983*.

Bill (No. 25) *Harbour Board Repeal Act*.

Bill (No. 29) *British Columbia Cellulose Company Repeal Act*.

Bill (No. 30) *Ocean Falls Corporation Repeal Act*.

Bill (No. 31) *Regulations Act*.

Bill (No. M 201) *Estate Administration Act Amendment Act, 1983*.

Bill (No. Pr 401) *An Act Respecting Okanagan Bible College*.

His Honour was pleased in Her Majesty's name to give assent to the said Bills.

The said assent was announced by *Ian M. Horne*, Q.C., Clerk of the House, in the following words:

"In Her Majesty's name, His Honour the Lieutenant Governor doth assent to these Bills."

His Honour the Lieutenant Governor was then pleased to retire.

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House adjourned at 12.38 p.m.