

Thursday, September 16, 1982

NINE-THIRTY O'CLOCK A.M.

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House proceeded to "Public Bills in the Hands of Private Members."

On the motion for second reading of Bill (No. M 206) intituled *Resource Investment Corporation Amendment Act (Voting Rights)*, a debate arose.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. R. Bennett* (Premier) that the debate be adjourned to the next sitting of the House, the House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—30

<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Hyndman</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Richmond</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Chabot</i>		

NAYS—20

<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	
	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Hall</i>	
<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Howard</i>
	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	
<i>Brown</i>		<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Leggatt</i>		

On the motion for second reading of Bill (No. M 207) intituled *An Act to Establish an Institute of Native Indian Languages for British Columbia*, a debate arose.

The Hon. *L. A. Williams* (Attorney General) rose on a point of order, namely, that Bill (No. M 207) involved the expenditure of public funds.

Mr. Speaker ruled Bill (No. M 207) out of order pursuant to Standing Order 66.

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House proceeded to "Public Bills and Orders."

On the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 80) intituled *Constitution Amendment Act, 1982*, a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Davis*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion of the Hon. *R. H. McClelland* the House adjourned at 11.58 a.m.

Thursday, September 16, 1982

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Father A. Dion.

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

On the motion of the Hon. G. B. Gardom, the House proceeded to "Public Bills and Orders."

On the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 80) intituled *Constitution Amendment Act, 1982*, a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mrs. Jordan, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 78) intituled *Coal Amendment Act, 1982*, a debate arose, which was, on the motion of the Hon. B. R. D. Smith, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

70 Mr. Skelly asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture and Food the following questions:

During the fiscal year 1981/82—

1. What pesticides did the Ministry purchase, in what quantities and at what cost?
2. What quantities of each pesticide were used, for what purpose and what was the total cost of each program?
3. What amount of each pesticide remained in storage or was disposed of at the end of the year?
4. What pesticides and in what quantities are presently in the possession of the Ministry?

The Hon. H. W. Schroeder replied as follows:

"1. The Ministry purchased only small quantities of pesticides during the fiscal year 1981/82. Almost all pesticides used were provided by other agencies.

"2. The Knapweed Control Program used approximately 36 litres of Tordon at a cost of \$19,790. Most control programs are administered locally by regional districts and municipalities assisted by grants from the Ministry of Agriculture and Food. Only small quantities of pesticides were used by this Ministry for test and demonstration purposes for 1981–1982. Total cost of each program is not available since employment and transportation costs are also involved.

"3. Only small quantities of pesticides remained in storage this past fiscal year. Almost all of these pesticides are used for test and demonstration purposes during the year.

"4. See 3."

75 Mrs. Wallace asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture and Food the following questions:

Regarding the Aid to Developing Countries Report, 1981–1982—

1. Of the 55 projects, were the grants in all instances equivalent to the requests?

2. If not, what were the original requests in each instance?
3. Were the grants all matching grants, i.e., did each organization provide an equivalent amount of money?
4. Were there any applications which were refused and if so, name the organizations and the amounts requested?
5. (a) What organization actually requested funding for World Food Day; (b) did they put up a matching grant; (c) what was the cost of (i) information sent to schools and (ii) newsletters sent to 1,000 addresses; and (d) in regard to each of the following, what was the cost, to whom was it paid, and for what purposes: (i) speakers bureau, (ii) university seminars, (iii) Agriculture Canada Research Station Open House and (iv) sponsored "fast" organized by NGO's?
6. Who are the members of the Advisory Committee?

The Hon. *H. W. Schroeder* replied as follows:

"1. No.

"2. Soil Management and Conservation, \$14,145; Swine Repopulation, Dominican Republic, \$15,000; Rangpur Dinajpur Rehabilitation Service, Bangladesh, \$20,000; Floods, Bangladesh, \$5,000; Fire and Cyclone, Burma, \$5,000; Earthquake, China, \$5,000; Drought, Mozambique, \$7,500; Butler Agricultural Cooperative, Grenada, \$4,550; Community Irrigation Systems, Peru, \$13,500; Piggery Project, Nicaragua, \$11,600; Rural Potable Water Systems, Honduras, \$15,000; Sulawesi Rural Community Development, Indonesia, \$15,000; Alale Water Project, Kenya, \$20,200; Nongsamet Dam, Thailand, \$15,400; Kenema Village Project, Sierra Leone, \$56,000; Leprosy Referral Ward Integration, Zambia, \$20,000; School Children's Screening Program, India, \$5,000; Rural Eye Camps, India, \$30,000; Rural Eye Camps, Bangladesh, \$12,500; Printing Press, Chile, \$5,500; Printing Equipment, Dominica, \$5,000; Agricultural Education in Schools, Grenada, \$1,715; Integrated Rural Development Program, Lesotho, \$15,000; Bore Hole and Pump, The Gambia, \$7,000; Fish Farming Development, Tanzania, \$6,250; Land Settlement and Community Development Program, Paraguay, \$20,000; Vocational Training Farm Program, Brazil, \$10,000; Adult Training Program, Costa Rica, \$15,000; Workshop for the Physically Handicapped, Kenya, \$20,000; Huther Integrated Rural Development Project, India, \$15,000; Small Animal Husbandry, Ivory Coast, \$12,000; Women's Development, Sao Tome and Principe, \$6,000; Shikarpur High School, Bangladesh, \$12,000; Hololo Vegetable Production, Lesotho, \$5,000; Asiphilisane Farmers' Association, Swaziland, \$8,700; Tiverny-Welsh Project, Haiti, \$12,500; Self-Help Agricultural Employment Project, Botswana, \$10,000; Mingala Agriculture, Central African Republic, \$13,000; Bangui Community Development, Central African Republic, \$19,440; Kambata Community Development, Ethiopia, \$9,800; Msalato Farm Project, Tanzania, \$3,265; Nyankynde Community Cattle Project, Zaire, \$11,760; West Nile Famine Prevention, Uganda, \$34,900.

"3. No.

"4. Canadian Red Cross Society, Earthquake Relief, Greece, \$3,000; CARE Canada, Rural Potable Water Systems, Guatemala, \$15,000; OXFAM Canada, Agriculture Program, Chile, \$15,000; Bulawayo Water Systems, Zimbabwe, \$2,000; Rural Development Assistance, Zimbabwe, \$2,000; Plenty Relief Society of Canada, Solola Agricultural Project, Guatemala, \$6,250; UNICEF, Rural Health Services, Sao Tome and Principe, \$15,175.

“5. (a) None; (b) no; (c) (i) \$1,626, (ii) \$520 approximately; (d) (i) none, (ii) none, (iii) none, (iv) \$1,993 paid to Vrlak Robinson, Vancouver, for sponsorship poster/entry forms.

“6. S. B. Peterson, Dr. J. F. Newberry, J. F. Newman and P. H. Pettyfer.”

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House adjourned at 5.49 p.m.

Friday, September 17, 1982

TEN O'CLOCK A.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *C. A. Barker*.

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House proceeded to “Public Bills and Orders.”

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 78) intituled *Coal Amendment Act, 1982*.

Bill (No. 78) read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 79) intituled *Homeowner Interest Assistance Act*, a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Ms. *Brown*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for third reading of Bill (No. 69) intituled *Motor Vehicle Amendment Act (No. 2), 1982*, the House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS — 49

<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Hyndman</i>
<i>Passarell</i>		<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Ree</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>		<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Kemp</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>
<i>Barber</i>	<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Richmond</i>
<i>Brown</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Smith</i>
	<i>Lea</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Skelly</i>		<i>Gardom</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Howard</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Sanford</i>		<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Waterland</i>

Bill (No. 69) read a third time and passed.

Mr. *Lauk* rose on a point of order and sought leave to move a motion without notice pursuant to Standing Order 49.

Mr. Speaker ruled that the Rules would not permit the Honourable Member to proceed under Standing Order 49.

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House adjourned at 1.05 p.m.

Monday, September 20, 1982

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *J. Smith*.

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

Mr. *Barrett* asked leave to move Motion 33.

Leave was not granted.

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House proceeded to "Public Bills and Orders."

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 79) intituled *Homeowner Interest Assistance Act*, a debate arose.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to *nemine contradicente* on the following division:

YEAS — 50

<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Hyndman</i>
<i>Passarell</i>		<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Ree</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Davis</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	
		<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Richmond</i>
<i>Brown</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Smith</i>
	<i>Lea</i>		<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Skelly</i>	<i>King</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Howard</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Levi</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Waterland</i>

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

Bill (No. 78) intituled *Coal Amendment Act, 1982* was committed, reported complete without amendment.

On the motion for third reading of Bill (No. 78) the House divided.

Motion agreed to *nemine contradicente* on the following division:

YEAS — 50

Wolfe	Mussallem	Segarty	Hyndman
Passarell		Strachan	Ree
Mitchell	Lorimer	Davis	Jordan
Hanson	Hall	Kempf	Ritchie
Wallace	Nicolson	Nielsen	
		Fraser	Richmond
Brown	Dailly	McGeer	Hewitt
Barnes	Stupich	Phillips	Brummet
Lockstead	Lauk	Curtis	Smith
	Lea	Bennett	Schroeder
Skelly	King	Gardom	McClelland
Gabelmann	Howard	Williams	Heinrich
Sanford	Barrett	McCarthy	Rogers
	Macdonald	Chabot	Waterland

Bill (No. 78) read a third time and passed.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 43) intituled *Commercial Appeals Commission Act*, a debate arose.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to *nemine contradicente* on the following division:

YEAS — 51

Wolfe	Mussallem	Segarty	Hyndman
Passarell		Strachan	Ree
Mitchell	Lorimer	Davis	Jordan
Hanson	Hall	Kempf	Ritchie
Wallace	Nicolson	Nielsen	
		Fraser	Richmond
Brown	Dailly	McGeer	Hewitt
Barnes	Stupich	Phillips	Brummet
Lockstead	Lauk	Curtis	Smith
	Lea	Bennett	Schroeder
Skelly	King	Gardom	McClelland
Gabelmann	Howard	Williams	Heinrich
Sanford	Barrett	McCarthy	Rogers
Levi	Macdonald	Chabot	Waterland

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

43 Ms. Brown asked the Hon. the Minister of Human Resources the following questions:

With reference to the Individual Opportunity Plan—

1. How many persons obtained regular full-time employment through the plan?
2. How many persons obtained part-time employment through the plan?
3. How many persons found employment at the minimum wage through the plan?
4. How many persons were still in jobs after 3 months and after 6 months?

The Hon. *G. M. McCarthy* replied as follows:

“The information requested by Ms. *Brown* in questions 1 to 4 is not collected by the Ministry of Human Resources. The focus of the Individual Opportunity Plan is to increase the independence of income assistance recipients by helping them develop individual plans that specifically meet their own needs. Rather than automatically referring employable clients to jobs for which they may or may not be suited or prepared, Ministry staff are assessing their skills, aptitudes and employment goals before developing individualized ‘actions plans’.

“A plan may have several steps and may vary in the amount of time required to complete it—from days to years.

“While employment is the eventual long-term goal for clients involved in the Opportunity Plan, it will not always be the short-term objective. Some participants will first be involved in training or up-grading courses.

“Approximately 6,500 income assistance recipients are involved in Individual Opportunity Plans during any given month. Program areas include: work experience, over 1,000 clients; education and training, over 3,500 clients; counselling and self directed placement, over 1,900 clients.

“This is an increase of 2,400 since July 1981.

“From July 1981 to May 1982, approximately 14,000 people participated in an Individual Opportunity Plan.

“An example of the success of one of the above programs is Job Action. This self-directed program assists almost job-ready clients to acquire the skills needed to find and keep a job.

“On the average, 100 clients participate in Job Action each month with approximately 1,500 income assistance recipients having participated since its inception. The average number placed in employment is 70 per cent.

“This program currently available in nine regions, received in 1982/83, \$1 million in additional funds to allow for expansion to other areas in the Province.

“The Employment Opportunity Program is a joint program offered by the Ministry of Labour and Ministry of Human Resources specifically oriented towards job creation through work experience.

“Employers provide on-the-job training and work experience for income assistance recipients in return for a wage subsidy from the Ministry of Labour.

“483 income assistance recipients participated in this program during 1981/82.

“A special pilot project is being developed in Vancouver jointly with the C.E.I.C. geared towards single female parents on income assistance.”

57 Mr. *Stupich* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following question:

For each year since the inception in 1966, what has been the total amount made available to the Province of British Columbia from Canada Pension Plan funds and how has this been allocated?

The Hon. *H. A. Curtis* replied as follows:

“For each year since 1966 the Province of British Columbia has received the following amounts from Canada Pension Plan funds and allocated them as shown:

"Distribution of Canada Pension Plan Funds
April 1, 1966 to March 31, 1982
(Fiscal Year April 1 to March 31)
(All Figures in \$ Million)

Fiscal Year	B. C. School District Capital Financing Authority	B. C. Regional Hospital District Financing Authority	B. C. Educational Institutions Capital Financing Authority	B. C. Hydro and Power Authority
1966/67	—	—	—	—
1967/68	—	—	—	80,396
1968/69	24,418	16,936	—	95,001
1969/70	40,819	18,920	—	65,862
1970/71	9,155	5,000	—	68,396
1971/72	17,101	4,928	—	110,949
1972/73	45,007	17,000	—	109,182
1973/74	37,213	10,150	—	73,847
1974/75	88,368	21,476	—	91,105
1975/76	103,203	48,703	—	66,609
1976/77	128,642	60,598	—	26,546
1977/78	93,704	66,253	—	—
1978/79	91,296	57,000	25,291	40,353
1979/80	88,592	83,780	33,515	68,833
1980/81	64,544	81,515	58,416	78,651
1981/82	99,508	128,130	104,078	—
Total	931,570	620,389	221,300	975,730

	B. C. Railway Company	B. C. Buildings Corporation	B. C. Systems Corporation	Total
1966/67	—	—	—	—
1967/68	—	—	—	80,396
1968/69	—	—	—	136,355
1969/70	—	—	—	125,601
1970/71	—	—	—	82,551
1971/72	—	—	—	132,978
1972/73	5,658	—	—	176,847
1973/74	23,189	—	—	144,399
1974/75	12,681	—	—	213,630
1975/76	35,461	—	—	253,976
1976/77	34,962	—	—	250,748
1977/78	32,000	5,000	—	196,957
1978/79	—	35,086	—	249,026
1979/80	41,376	18,300	—	334,396
1980/81	—	19,000	—	302,126
1981/82	—	20,000	26,507	378,223
Total	185,327	97,386	26,507	3,058,209"

63 Mr. Skelly asked the Hon. the Minister of Forests the following questions:

During the fiscal years 1976/77 to 1981/82—

1. What pesticides did the Ministry purchase in each year, in what quantities and at what cost?
2. What quantities of each pesticide were used in each year, for what purpose and what was the total cost of each program?
3. What amount of each pesticide remained in storage or was disposed of at the end of each year?
4. What pesticides and in what quantities are presently in the possession of the Ministry?

The Hon. *T. M. Waterland* (Minister of Forests) stated that, in his opinion, the reply to Question 63 should be in the form of a Return and that he had no objection to laying such Return upon the table of the House, and thereupon presented such Return.

71 Mr. *Skelly* asked the Hon. the Minister of Transportation and Highways the following questions:

During the fiscal year 1981/82—

1. What pesticides did the Ministry purchase, in what quantities and at what cost?
2. What quantities of each pesticide were used during the year, for what purpose and what was the total cost of each program?
3. What amount of each pesticide remained in storage or was disposed of at the end of the year?
4. What pesticides and in what quantities are presently in the possession of the Ministry?

The Hon. *A. V. Fraser* replied as follows:

“1. The Ministry purchased:

Herbicide	Quantity Purchased	Cost
		\$
Tordon 22K	2 669 L	51,405
Tordon 10K	100 L	235
Roundup	519 L	12,168
Casoron	527 kg	1,858
Spike 5G	400 kg	176
Simazine 80W	1 354 kg	15,652
Primatol	1 938 L	14,565
Total		<u>96,058</u>

“2. The quantity of each pesticide used during the year was as follows:

Herbicide	Quantity Used	Program Cost
Tordon 22K	1 799 L	(1) Noxious weed control program, \$306,021.
Tordon 10K	11 kg	
Roundup	382 L	
Simazine 80W	1 038 kg	(2) Shoulder sterilization program, \$135,312.
Aatrex	189 kg	
Primatol	3 944 L	
Spike 5G	594 kg	
Casoron	140 kg	
Hyvar-X	249 kg	

All reference to Aatrex lists old stock that was inadvertently omitted in lists for the 1980/81 and previous fiscal years.

“3. The amount of each pesticide remaining in storage or disposed of was:

Herbicide	Quantity in Storage (As of Mar. 31/82)	Quantity Disposed of
Tordon 22K	2 632 L	(1) 22 L of Tordon 22K was given to Creston Valley Beef Growers Association for R/W spraying.
Tordon 10K	668 kg	
Roundup	72 L	
Primatol	2 444 L	

Herbicide	Quantity in Storage (As of Mar. 31/82)	Quantity Disposed of
Aatrex	108 kg	(2) 189 L of Tordon 22K was given to Regional District of Kootenay-Boundary for R/W spraying.
Treflan	45 kg	
Spike 5G	426 kg	
Casoron	433 kg	
Dycleer	23 L	(3) 101 L of Roundup was given to Ministry of Agriculture and Food for R/W spraying.
Simmazine 80W	1 049 kg	
Hyvar-X	23 kg	
Esteron 6E	15 L	

All reference to Aatrex lists old stock that was inadvertently omitted in lists for the 1980/81 and previous fiscal years.

The chemicals Aatrex, Dycleer, Hyvar-X, Treflan and Esteron will be disposed of in 1982/83 through the Pollution Control Branch. These chemicals have remained in stock for some time and will no longer be used.

“4. The pesticides presently in the possession of the Ministry are:

Herbicide	Quantity in Stock (As of June 15/82)
Tordon 22K	2 334 L
Tordon 10K	668 kg
Roundup	418 L
Primatol	4 431 L
Aatrex	68 kg
Treflan	45 kg
Spike 5G	188 kg
Casoron	286 kg
Dycleer	23 L
Simmazine 80W	544 kg
Hyvar-X	23 kg
Esteron 6E	15 L

All reference to Aatrex lists old stock that was inadvertently omitted in lists for the 1980/81 and previous fiscal years.

Quantities shown as ‘Presently in Stock’ also reflect chemicals purchased and used after April 1, 1982.”

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

Tuesday, September 21, 1982

NINE-THIRTY O’CLOCK A.M.

The Hon. *D. M. Phillips* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant Governor, which read as follows:

HENRY P. BELL-IRVING
Lieutenant Governor

The Lieutenant Governor transmits herewith Bill (No. 82) intituled *Small Business Development Act* and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
September 21, 1982.

By leave of the House, Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of the Hon. *L. A. Williams*, the House proceeded to "Public Bills and Orders."

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 77) intituled *Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources Amendment Act, 1982*, a debate arose.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to *nemine contradicente* on the following division:

YEAS — 49

	<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Hyndman</i>
<i>Passarell</i>		<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Ree</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Davis</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	
		<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Richmond</i>
<i>Brown</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Smith</i>
	<i>Lea</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Skelly</i>	<i>King</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Howard</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Barrett</i>		<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Levi</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Waterland</i>

Bill (No. 77) read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting.

Bill (No. 76) intituled *Miscellaneous Statutes Amendment Act (No. 2), 1982* was read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 75) intituled *Criminal Injury Compensation Amendment Act, 1982*, a debate arose.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to *nemine contradicente* on the following division:

YEAS — 50

<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Hyndman</i>
<i>Passarell</i>		<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Ree</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Davis</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	
		<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Richmond</i>
<i>Brown</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Smith</i>
	<i>Lea</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Skelly</i>	<i>King</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Howard</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Barrett</i>		<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Levi</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Waterland</i>

Bill (No. 75) read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting.

Bill (No. 43) intituled *Commercial Appeals Commission Act* was committed, reported complete with amendments.

Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

Bill (No. 79) intituled *Homeowner Interest Assistance Act* was committed.

The Chairman reported progress and asked leave to sit again.

Report to be considered at the next sitting.

The Hon. *H. A. Curtis* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant Governor, which read as follows:

HENRY P. BELL-IRVING
Lieutenant Governor

The Lieutenant Governor transmits herewith Bill (No. 81) intituled *Rate Increase Restraint Act* and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
September 21, 1982.

By leave of the House, Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting.

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House adjourned at 11.58 a.m.

Tuesday, September 21, 1982

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *E. L. Butler*.

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

Mr. *Strachan* asked leave to move Motion 29 on the Order Paper.

Leave was not granted.

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House proceeded to consideration of Motion 34 on the Order Paper.

34 The Hon. *G. B. Gardom* to move—

Be it resolved that, as the *Constitution Act, 1982* provides that an amendment to the Constitution of Canada may be initiated by the Legislative Assembly of a province, We, the Members of the Legislative Assembly of the Province of British Columbia hereby

authorize the Governor General to issue a Proclamation under the Great Seal of Canada to amend section 7 of the *Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms* so that it reads as follows:

“7. Everyone has the right to life, liberty, security of the person and enjoyment of property, and the right not to be deprived thereof except in accordance with the principles of fundamental justice”,

and urge that the Legislative Assemblies of all other provinces and the Senate and the House of Commons pass similar resolutions.

A debate arose.

Motion agreed to *nemine contradicente* on the following division:

YEAS — 52

Wolfe	Mussallem	Segarty	Hyndman
Passarell		Strachan	Ree
Mitchell	Lorimer	Davis	Jordan
Hanson	Hall	Kempf	Ritchie
	Nicolson	Nielsen	Vander Zalm
Barber	Cocke	Fraser	Richmond
Brown	Dailly	McGeer	Hewitt
Barnes	Stupich	Phillips	Brummet
Lockstead	Lauk	Curtis	Smith
	Lea	Bennett	Schroeder
Skelly	King	Gardom	McClelland
Gabelmann	Howard	Williams	Heinrich
Sanford	Barrett	McCarthy	Rogers
Levi		Chabot	Waterland

By leave, on the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, Motions 31 and 32 standing in his name on the Order Paper were withdrawn.

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House proceeded to “Public Bills and Orders.”

Bill (No. 79) intituled *Homeowner Interest Assistance Act* was committed, reported complete with amendment.

On the motion for third reading of Bill (No. 79), the House divided.

Motion agreed to *nemine contradicente* on the following division:

YEAS — 53

Wolfe	Mussallem	Segarty	Hyndman
Passarell	Leggatt	Strachan	Ree
Mitchell	Lorimer	Davis	Jordan
Hanson		Kempf	Ritchie
Wallace	Nicolson	Nielsen	Vander Zalm
Barber	Cocke	Fraser	Richmond
Brown	Dailly	McGeer	Hewitt
Barnes	Stupich	Phillips	Brummet
Lockstead	Lauk	Curtis	Smith
	Lea	Bennett	Schroeder
Skelly	King	Gardom	McClelland
Gabelmann	Howard	Williams	Heinrich
Sanford	Barrett	McCarthy	Rogers
Levi		Chabot	Waterland

By leave, Bill (No. 79) read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 76) intituled *Miscellaneous Statutes Amendment Act (No. 2), 1982* was committed, reported complete with amendments.

By leave, Bill (No. 76) read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 77) intituled *Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources Amendment Act, 1982* was committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 75) intituled *Criminal Injury Compensation Amendment Act, 1982* was committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed.

On the order for second reading of Bill (No. 81) intituled *Rate Increase Restraint Act* being called, Mr. *Lauk* rose on a point of order as to the propriety of second reading of Bill (No. 81) appearing on the Orders of the Day.

Mr. Speaker stated he would take the matter under advisement.

The Hon. *H. A. Curtis* moved adjournment of the debate until the next sitting of the House.

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House adjourned at 5.55 p.m.

Wednesday, September 22, 1982

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *W. J. Bingham*.

Mr. Speaker delivered his reserved decision as follows:

Honourable Members:

Shortly before the hour of adjournment yesterday afternoon, upon the Order being called for Second Reading of Bill (No. 81), *Rate Increase Restraint Act*, objection was taken that as the said Bill had received its First Reading during the morning sitting of the House, Standing Order 81 should have precluded a motion for Second Reading of the Bill on the same day without leave of the House.

Objection was also taken that as the Hansard "blues" indicated the Bill was *Ordered* for Second Reading "at the next sitting after today", the Bill ought not to have appeared on the Order Paper for Second Reading until today. With respect to this objection, it was ascertained from the Hansard tape that the "blues" were in error and that the House had in fact *Ordered* the said Bill for Second Reading "at the next sitting", which, of course, was the afternoon sitting of the House.

Hansard tapes further disclosed that prior to the House making its Order for Second Reading at the next sitting, leave to move the prerequisite motion to do so was neither sought nor given. On further points of order, objections were then taken by the Honourable Members for Prince Rupert, the First Member for Vancouver Centre, Nelson-Creston and Coquitlam-Moody, that the Chair ought to have intervened and directed the attention of the House to the necessity for leave being given to advance the Bill two stages on the same day, although no objection was made at the time. That point was well taken, as clearly the House was in effect, by its actions, suspending Standing Order 81—notwithstanding the fact that the Bill in question was the fourth Bill in a series of Bills which had, at the same sitting, been advanced two stages without objection being taken by any member present. Accordingly, the Chair assumed that in the absence of any member calling for leave in any of these four instances, it was the will of the House to advance its business in that manner with respect to each of the Bills which proceeded in like fashion.

The assistance of all honourable members is always appreciated when it is perceived that leave is required and that fact accordingly drawn to the attention of the Chair. However, there is no question that the Speaker, when of the opinion that any proceeding is contrary to the rules and practices of the House, should draw the attention of the House to that fact.

With respect to Bill (No. 81), it is the opinion of the Chair that it was, irrespective of the question of leave, properly on the Order Paper for Second Reading during the afternoon sitting yesterday, as that was the disposition of the Bill *Ordered* by the House during the morning sitting.

W. K. DAVIDSON, *Speaker*

Order called for “Oral Questions by Members.”

Mr. *Strachan* asked leave to move Motion 29 on the Order Paper.

Leave was not granted.

The Hon. *L. A. Williams* (Attorney General) made a ministerial statement relating to the release of prison inmates on parole.

Mr. *Barrett* made a statement relating to the same matter.

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House proceeded to “Public Bills and Orders.”

Bill (No. 43) intituled *Commercial Appeals Commission Act* was read a third time and passed.

On the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 82) intituled *Small Business Development Act*, a debate arose, which was, on the motion of the Hon. *P. L. McGeer*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Mr. Wolfe presented the following report:

REPORT

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,

September 15, 1982

MR. SPEAKER,

Your Special Committee appointed on November 23, 1981, to prepare and report lists of members to compose the Select Standing Committees of this House for the present Session begs to report that a meeting was held on September 15, 1982, pursuant to Standing Order 72A (6), for the purpose of appointing two members to the Crown Corporation Reporting Committee to fill vacancies which occurred on August 10, 1982.

Members appointed this day are: *Patricia J. Jordan* and *Peter S. Hyndman*.

Respectfully submitted.

EVAN M. WOLFE, *Chairman*

The report was taken as read and received.

The Hon. *H. A. Curtis* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant Governor, which read as follows:

HENRY P. BELL-IRVING

Lieutenant Governor

The Lieutenant Governor transmits herewith Bill (No. 86) intituled *Financial Administration Amendment Act (No. 2), 1982* and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
September 22, 1982.

By leave of the House, Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House adjourned at 5.55 p.m.

Thursday, September 23, 1982

NINE-THIRTY O'CLOCK A.M.

The House proceeded to "Public Bills in the Hands of Private Members."

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. M 201) intituled *Dangerous Health Practices Act*, a debate arose, which was, on the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. M 202) intituled *An Act Respecting the Televising and Other Broadcasting of Debates and Proceedings of the Legislative Assembly of British Columbia*, a debate arose, which was, on the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. M 203) intituled *An Act to Regulate Smoking in Public Places*, a debate arose, which was, on the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. M 204) intituled *Employee Participation Enhancement Act*, a debate arose, which was, on the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. M 206) intituled *Resource Investment Corporation Amendment Act (Subsidiaries Disclosure)*, a debate arose, which was on the motion of the Hon. *W. R. Bennett*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House proceeded to "Public Bills and Orders."

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 82) intituled *Small Business Development Act*, a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Cocke*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House adjourned at 11.56 a.m.

Thursday, September 23, 1982

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Father *R. Cunningham*.

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

Mr. *Lauk* rose on a matter of privilege.

Mr. Speaker stated he would take the matter under advisement.

Mr. *Strachan* asked leave to move Motion 29 on the Order Paper.

Leave was not granted.

By leave, on the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House proceeded to "Public Bills and Orders."

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 82) intituled *Small Business Development Act*, a debate arose.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to *nemine contradicente* on the following division:

YEAS — 47

<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Hyndman</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>Leggatt</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Ree</i>
			<i>Jordan</i>
	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	
	<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Richmond</i>
<i>Brown</i>			<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Lea</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Skelly</i>	<i>King</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Howard</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Sanford</i>		<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Levi</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Waterland</i>

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 81) intituled *Rate Increase Restraint Act*, a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Stupich*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion of the Hon. *L. A. Williams*, the House adjourned at 5.57 p.m.

Friday, September 24, 1982

TEN O'CLOCK A.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *A. K. Strom*.

By leave, on the motion of Mr. *King*, Bill (No. M 208) intituled *Job Creation Council of British Columbia Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

Mr. *Strachan* asked leave to move Motion 29 on the Order Paper.

Leave was not granted.

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House proceeded to "Public Bills and Orders."

On the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 86) intituled *Financial Administration Amendment Act (No. 2), 1982*, a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Kempf*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House adjourned at 12.55 p.m.

Monday, September 27, 1982

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Archdeacon *W. Greenhalgh*.

The Hon. *W. R. Bennett* (Premier) made a statement relating to the death of Mrs. Margaret Murray.

Mr. Speaker stated that he would convey to the family of the late Mrs. Murray the condolences of the Members of the Legislative Assembly.

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

Mr. *Strachan* asked leave to move Motion 29 on the Order Paper.

Leave was not granted.

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House proceeded to "Public Bills and Orders."

On the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 86) intituled *Financial Administration Amendment Act (No. 2), 1982*, a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Nicolson*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion of the Hon. *H. W. Schroeder*, the House adjourned at 5.56 p.m.

Tuesday, September 28, 1982

NINE-THIRTY O'CLOCK A.M.

The Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, on behalf of the Hon. *L. A. Williams*, presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant Governor, which read as follows:

HENRY P. BELL-IRVING
Lieutenant Governor

The Lieutenant Governor transmits herewith Bill (No. 88) intituled *Miscellaneous Statutes Amendment Act (No. 3), 1982* and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,
September 27, 1982.*

By leave of the House, Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House proceeded to "Public Bills and Orders."

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 86) intituled *Financial Administration Amendment Act (No. 2), 1982*, a debate arose.

The debate continued.

Mr. *Nicolson* moved the following amendment:

That the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 86) intituled *Financial Administration Amendment Act (No. 2), 1982* be amended by deleting all the words following the word "that" and substituting therefore the following:

"It is not in keeping with proper fiscal policy to have no limitation on the aggregate amount of money which may be raised by borrowings under the *Financial Administration Act*."

The debate on the amendment continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Barrett*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House adjourned at 12.03 p.m.

Tuesday, September 28, 1982

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Captain the Rev. *E. W. Taylor*.

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

Mr. *Strachan* asked leave to move Motion 29 on the Order Paper.

Leave was not granted.

Mr. *Howard* asked leave to move Motion 13 on the Order Paper.

Leave was not granted.

The Hon. *W. N. Vander Zalm* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant Governor, which read as follows:

HENRY P. BELL-IRVING
Lieutenant Governor

The Lieutenant Governor transmits herewith Bill (No. 89) intituled *School Services (Interim) Act* and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
September 28, 1982.

By leave of the House, Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House proceeded to "Public Bills and Orders."

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 81) intituled *Rate Increase Restraint Act*.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to *nemine contradicente* on the following division:

YEAS — 47

<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Hyndman</i>
<i>Passarell</i>		<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Ree</i>
	<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Davis</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>
<i>Barber</i>		<i>Fraser</i>	
<i>Brown</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>D'Arcy</i>		<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Skelly</i>	<i>King</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	
<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Howard</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>	
<i>Levi</i>		<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Waterland</i>

Bill read a second time.

The Hon. *H. A. Curtis* (Minister of Finance) sought leave to move that Bill (No. 81) be referred to a Committee of the Whole House to be considered forthwith.

Leave was not granted.

Bill (No. 81) *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the amendment to Bill (No. 86) intituled *Financial Administration Amendment Act (No. 2), 1982*.

The debate on the amendment continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *A. J. Brummet*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The Hon. *H. W. Schroeder* (Minister of Agriculture and Food) tabled the Provincial Agricultural Land Commission Annual Report for the year ended March 31, 1982.

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House adjourned at 6.03 p.m.

Wednesday, September 29, 1982

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *R. Johnstone*.

By leave, on the motion of Mr. *Howard*, Bill (No. M 209) intituled *Industrial Development Act Amendment Act, 1982* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

Mr. *Strachan* asked leave to move Motion 29 on the Order Paper.

Leave was not granted.

Mr. *Barrett* asked leave to move Motion 33 on the Order Paper.

Leave was not granted.

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House proceeded to "Public Bills and Orders."

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 89) intituled *School Services (Interim) Act*, a debate arose, which was, on the motion of the Hon. *J. H. Heinrich*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion of the Hon. *R. H. McClelland*, the House adjourned at 5.52 p.m.

Thursday, September 30, 1982

NINE-THIRTY O'CLOCK A.M.

The Hon. *B. R. D. Smith* (Minister of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources) made a ministerial statement relating to a review of the proposals for the construction of a natural gas pipeline to Vancouver Island.

Mr. *D'Arcy* made a statement relating to the same matter.

The Hon. *G. B. Gardom* asked leave to proceed to "Public Bills and Orders."

Leave was not granted.

Order called for the second reading of Bill (No. M 205) intituled *Resource Investment Corporation Amendment Act (Voting Rights)*, and Bill (No. M 208) intituled *Job Creation Council of British Columbia Act*.

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House proceeded to "Public Bills and Orders."

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 89) intituled *School Services (Interim) Act*.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Levi*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House adjourned at 11.59 a.m.

Thursday, September 30, 1982

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *G. Faraday*.

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

Mr. *Strachan* asked leave to move Motion 29 on the Order Paper.

Leave was not granted.

Mr. *Barrett* asked leave to move Motion 33 on the Order Paper.

Leave was not granted.

By leave, on the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House proceeded to "Public Bills and Orders."

Bill (No. 88) intituled *Miscellaneous Statutes Amendment Act (No. 3), 1982* was read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 89) intituled *School Services (Interim) Act*.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *T. M. Waterland*, the debate was adjourned until later today.

Bill (No. 82) intituled *Small Business Development Act* was committed, reported complete without amendment.

On the motion for the third reading of Bill (No. 82) the House divided.

Motion agreed to *nemine contradicente* on the following division:

YEAS — 51

Wolfe	Mussallem	Segarty	Hyndman
Passarell	Leggatt	Strachan	Ree
Mitchell	Lorimer	Davis	Jordan
	Hall	Kempf	Ritchie
Wallace	Nicolson		Vander Zalm
	Cocke	Fraser	Richmond
Brown	Dailly	McGeer	Hewitt
	Stupich	Phillips	Brummet
Lockstead		Curtis	Smith
D'Arcy	Lea	Bennett	Schroeder
Skelly	King	Gardom	McClelland
Gabelmann	Howard	Williams	Heinrich
Sanford	Barrett	McCarthy	Rogers
Levi	Macdonald	Chabot	Waterland

Bill (No. 82) read a third time and passed.

Upon the order being called for continuation of the adjourned debate on the second reading of Bill (No. 89) intituled *School Services (Interim) Act*, Mr. Nicolson rose on a point of order, namely, that the prior items of business appearing on the Order Paper under "Public Bills and Orders" had precedence.

Mr. Speaker ruled that as the House had embarked upon "Public Bills and Orders," it was competent for the House Leader to call Government business in any sequence.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 89) intituled *School Services (Interim) Act*.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. Ree, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion of the Hon. G. B. Gardom, the House adjourned at 5.52 p.m.

Friday, October 1, 1982

TEN O'CLOCK A.M.

Prayers by Rabbi V. Reinstein.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Ms. Sanford, Bill (No. M 210) intituled *An Act for the Protection of Video Display Terminal Operators* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House proceeded to "Public Bills and Orders."

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 89) intituled *School Services (Interim) Act*.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Strachan*, the debate was adjourned until later today.

Mr. Speaker declared a short recess.

His Honour the Lieutenant Governor having entered the House, and being seated in the Chair—

Ian D. Izard, Esq., Law Clerk and Clerk Assistant, read the titles to the following Bills:

Bill (No. 43) *Commercial Appeals Commission Act*.

Bill (No. 69) *Motor Vehicle Amendment Act (No. 2), 1982*.

Bill (No. 75) *Criminal Injury Compensation Amendment Act, 1982*.

Bill (No. 76) *Miscellaneous Statutes Amendment Act (No. 2), 1982*.

Bill (No. 77) *Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources Amendment Act, 1982*.

Bill (No. 78) *Coal Amendment Act, 1982*.

Bill (No. 79) *Homeowner Interest Assistance Act*.

Bill (No. 82) *Small Business Development Act*.

His Honour was pleased in Her Majesty's name to give assent to the said Bills.

The said assent was announced by *Ian M. Horne*, Q.C., Clerk of the House, in the following words:

"In Her Majesty's name, His Honour the Lieutenant Governor doth assent to these Bills."

His Honour the Lieutenant Governor was then pleased to retire.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 89) intituled *School Services (Interim) Act*.

On the motion of Mr. *Strachan*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The Hon. *H. A. Curtis* (Minister of Finance) tabled the British Columbia Assessment Authority Annual Report for 1981.

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House adjourned at 12.41 p.m.

Monday, October 4, 1982

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Padre *W. Yates*.

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House proceeded to "Public Bills and Orders."

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 89) intituled *School Services (Interim) Act*.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Howard*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House adjourned at 5.55 p.m.

Tuesday, October 5, 1982

NINE-THIRTY O'CLOCK A.M.

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House proceeded to "Public Bills and Orders."

Bill (No. 81) intituled *Rate Increase Restraint Act* was committed and reported complete with amendment.

Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

The Chairman further reported that in consideration of Mr. *Stupich's* proposed amendment of section 1 of Bill (No. 81), the Committee had divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *H. A. Curtis*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—19

<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	
<i>Hanson</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Barber</i>		<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Howard</i>
<i>Brown</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	
		<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>

NAYS—27

<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Hyndman</i>	
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>
	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Richmond</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
	<i>Chabot</i>		

The Chairman further reported that in consideration of Mr. *Stupich's* proposed amendment of section 2, line 13 of Bill (No. 81), the Committee had divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Stupich*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—19

	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Wallace</i>		<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Howard</i>
<i>Barber</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	
<i>Brown</i>		<i>Stupich</i>	
<i>Barnes</i>			

NAYS—27

	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Hyndman</i>	
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Richmond</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Chabot</i>		

By leave, the Hon. *G. B. Gardom* (Minister of Intergovernmental Relations) tabled a document entitled, Discussion Proposal for a New Expropriation Act.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 89) intituled *School Services (Interim) Act*.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. N. Vander Zalm*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion of the Hon. *H. W. Schroeder*, the House adjourned at 12.01 p.m.

Tuesday, October 5, 1982

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Father *H. F. Hurd*.

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House proceeded to "Public Bills and Orders."

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 89) intituled *School Services (Interim) Act*.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—29

<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Hyndman</i>	
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Richmond</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Chabot</i>		

NAYS—23

<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Wallace</i>		<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Howard</i>
<i>Barber</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	
<i>Brown</i>		<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Leggatt</i>		

Bill read a second time.

The Hon. *W. N. Vander Zalm* (Minister of Education) sought leave to move that Bill (No. 89) be referred to a Committee of the Whole House to be considered forthwith.

Leave was not granted.

Bill (No. 89) *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

Bill (No. 88) intituled *Miscellaneous Statutes Amendment Act (No. 3), 1982* was committed, reported complete with amendments.

Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the amendment to the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 86) intituled *Financial Administration Amendment Act (No. 2), 1982*.

The debate on the amendment continued.

The House divided.

The amendment was negatived on the following division:

YEAS—21

	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Wallace</i>		<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Howard</i>
<i>Barber</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Brown</i>		<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
	<i>Leggatt</i>		

NAYS—28

<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Richmond</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Chabot</i>		

The debate was resumed on the main motion.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—28

<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Richmond</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Chabot</i>		

NAYS—21

	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Wallace</i>		<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Howard</i>
<i>Barber</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Brown</i>		<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
	<i>Leggatt</i>		

Bill (No. 86) read a second time.

The Hon. *H. A. Curtis* (Minister of Finance) sought leave to move that Bill (No. 86) be referred to a Committee of the Whole House to be considered forthwith.

Leave was not granted.

The Hon. *G. B. Gardom* moved that the House do now adjourn.

Prior to resolution of the question, the Hon. *H. A. Curtis* (Minister of Finance) rose on a point of order, namely, whether leave had been granted with respect to committal of Bill (No. 86). Mr. Speaker observed that leave had not been granted.

On the same point of order, the Hon. *H. A. Curtis* (Minister of Finance) stated that no objection had been taken and sought the guidance of the Chair.

Mr. Speaker stated that he had prematurely recognized the House Leader and ruled that the motion of the House Leader should not have been accepted by the Chair pending the previous disposition of the Bill.

The Hon. *H. A. Curtis* (Minister of Finance) moved that Bill (No. 86) be referred to a Committee of the Whole House to be considered at the next sitting after today.

Mr. Speaker ruled that it was competent for the Chair to accept the motion to commit the Bill in priority to the motion that the House do now adjourn.

Bill (No. 86) *Ordered* to be placed on Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

2 Mr. *Kempf* asked the Hon. the Provincial Secretary and Minister of Government Services the following question:

In view of the 17-week pregnancy leave provision in the federal postal workers' latest contract, what is the estimated cost to the Province of British Columbia of a similar provision being included in the forthcoming British Columbia Government Employees' Union contract?

The Hon. *J. R. Chabot* replied as follows:

"If a benefit similar to the one in the postal workers' contract were introduced in the public service the cost, based on present salary levels and leave usage, would be \$1.75 million per annum."

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House adjourned at 5.45 p.m.

Wednesday, October 6, 1982

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Major *R. Newbury*.

Mr. Speaker made a statement as follows:

Honourable Members:

Yesterday, at the conclusion of the sitting, certain matters occurred affecting the procedure and dignity of this House upon which the Chair feels obliged to comment, lest uncertainty remains in the minds of any honourable members.

I have had the opportunity to examine the "Blues" and the parliamentary authorities which bear on the proceedings in question.

In relation to the procedural matters, it seems to the Chair that the essence of the problem was that the House Leader moved a motion to adjourn before the Minister of Finance had moved the motion to refer Bill (No. 86) to the Committee of the Whole for consideration at the next sitting after the day upon which the said Bill received second reading.

Honourable members have, from time to time, urged the Chair to intervene immediately should the Chair perceive any irregularities in proceedings. I refer to the Speaker's decision made as recently as September 22, 1982, in this House which states, in part, as follows:

"However, there is no question that the Speaker, when of the opinion that any proceedings are contrary to the rules and practices of the House, should draw to the attention of the House that fact".

In the proceedings which arose yesterday there is no doubt the Speaker did not give the Minister of Finance the opportunity of moving the normal motion prior to recognizing the House Leader. Perhaps the Chair might be permitted to say in its defence that the Government House Leader moved the adjournment motion in a rather pre-emptory fashion, but in any event, it is undoubtedly the Chair's responsibility to intervene when he perceives a motion being offered to the House of any nature and from any source which might be considered an abuse. Standing Order 44 expressly empowers the Speaker to refuse to put such a motion. However, in the heat of the moment, the Chair undoubtedly fell into error by prematurely recognizing the Honourable House Leader, and it was only after hearing the points of order raised by the Minister of Finance to the effect that he had believed that leave to commit Bill (No. 86) forthwith had not been refused, that the Chair was fully cognizant of the problem.

It was the Chair's opinion at this point, that the Minister of Finance should be permitted the opportunity to move the normal committal motion, leave having been refused to commit the Bill forthwith. To suggest that any honourable member could properly interrupt *pro forma* proceedings relating to the progress of a Bill with a motion to adjourn is not in accordance with the Chair's understanding of accepted parliamentary practice.

A further examination of the "Blues" leaves the Chair with the impression that some honourable members were of the opinion that had the adjournment motion been voted upon and either passed or defeated, the Bill in question could not have proceeded further. This is, of course, not in keeping with the authorities and I refer all honourable members to the 18th edition of Sir Erskine May, page 353, which clearly states that a motion for committal may be received at any time after second reading.

I will now touch briefly on a further matter, which, in the Chair's opinion, seriously affects the dignity of this House. To differ with the Speaker's ruling is the undoubted right of every member of every parliamentary body in the Commonwealth. But how that disagreement is expressed is a matter which has been the subject of comment over many hundreds of years of British parliamentary history. In this jurisdiction, any member who disagrees with the ruling of the Chair may, of course, appeal such a decision to the House under the existing rules. If a member of the House feels aggrieved by a decision or a series of decisions which he perceives to be inappropriate or unfair, he may move a substantive motion by giving notice of such motion in the usual way. He may not, under any circumstance, stand in this Chamber and use the language which was used yesterday at the close of proceedings.

It has been held time and again that an accusation of partiality in the discharge of the Speaker's duties is a breach of privilege or contempt of the House and I refer all honourable members to Sir Erskine May's Parliamentary Practice, 18th edition, page 148.

Let me conclude by saying again that every member has the undoubted right to disagree with the rulings of the Chair, but this right does not carry with it a licence to express that disagreement in terms that amount to contempt of the Legislature.

W. K. DAVIDSON, *Speaker*

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

The Hon. *C. H. Richmond* (Minister of Tourism) tabled the Annual Report of the Ministry of Tourism for the year ended March 31, 1982.

Mr. *Macdonald* asked leave, pursuant to Standing Order 35, to move adjournment of the House to discuss a matter of urgent public importance relating to the potential takeover of Inland Natural Gas Company Limited by Trans Mountain Pipe Line Co. Ltd.

Mr. Speaker reserved his opinion.

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House proceeded to "Public Bills and Orders."

Upon the order being called for the House to proceed to the committal of Bill (No. 86) intituled *Financial Administration Amendment Act (No. 2), 1982*, Mr. *Howard* rose on a point of order, namely, that the Votes and Proceedings did not disclose that the Bill was *Ordered* for committal.

Mr. Speaker stated that the records of the Table disclosed that committal of the Bill had been *Ordered* for this day and that the Journals would reflect the correct disposition of the Bill.

Bill (No. 86) intituled *Financial Administration Amendment Act (No. 2), 1982* was committed, reported complete without amendment.

On the motion for the third reading, the House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—29

<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Hyndman</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Strachan</i>		<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Richmond</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Chabot</i>		

NAYS—24

<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Howard</i>
<i>Barber</i>	<i>Sanford</i>		<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Brown</i>	<i>Levi</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
	<i>Leggatt</i>		

Bill read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 81) intituled *Rate Increase Restraint Act* was read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 88) intituled *Miscellaneous Statutes Amendment Act (No. 3), 1982* was read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 89) intituled *School Services (Interim) Act* was committed.

The Committee reported progress and asked leave to sit again.

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House adjourned at 5.56 p.m.

Thursday, October 7, 1982

NINE-THIRTY O'CLOCK A.M.

The Hon. *G. B. Gardom* sought leave to proceed to "Public Bills and Orders."

Leave was not granted.

The House proceeded to "Public Bills in the Hands of Private Members."

The Hon. *G. B. Gardom* asked leave to proceed under second reading to Bill (No. M 205) intituled *Resource Investment Corporation Amendment Act (Voting Rights)*.

Leave was not granted.

On the order for adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. M 201) intituled *Dangerous Health Practices Act*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House on the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*.

On the order for adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. M 202) intituled *An Act Respecting the Televising and Other Broadcasting of Debates and Proceedings of the Legislative Assembly of British Columbia*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House on the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*.

On the order for adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. M 203) intituled *An Act to Regulate Smoking in Public Places*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House on the motion of the Hon. G. B. Gardom.

On the order for adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. M 204) intituled *Employee Participation Enhancement Act*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House on the motion of the Hon. G. B. Gardom.

On the order for adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. M 206) intituled *Resource Investment Corporation Amendment Act (Subsidiaries Disclosure)*, a debate arose.

On the motion of the Hon. G. B. Gardom, on behalf of the Hon. W. R. Bennett, that the debate be adjourned to the next sitting of the House, the House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—27

Wolfe		Hyndman	Brummet
Mussallem	Phillips	Ree	Smith
Segarty	Curtis	Jordan	Schroeder
Strachan		Ritchie	McClelland
Davis	Gardom	Vander Zalm	Heinrich
Kempf	Williams	Richmond	
Nielsen	McCarthy	Hewitt	Waterland
Fraser	Chabot		

NAYS—18

Passarell	Lockstead	Lorimer	Lauk
	D'Arcy	Hall	Lea
	Skelly	Nicolson	King
Wallace	Gabelmann	Cocke	Howard
	Sanford		
Brown	Levi	Stupich	

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. M 208) intituled *Job Creation Council of British Columbia Act*, a debate arose.

The Hon. G. M. McCarthy (Minister of Human Resources) rose on a point of order, namely, that Bill (No. M 208) involved the expenditure of public funds.

Mr. Speaker ruled Bill (No. M 208) out of order, on the grounds that the Bill imposed an obligation on the Crown, in addition to involving expenditure, and cited the decision of Mr. Speaker Pooley (Speaker's Decisions, volume 1, page 19).

The Speaker's ruling was challenged.

The Speaker's ruling was sustained on the following division:

YEAS—28

Wolfe	McGeer	Hyndman	Brummet
Mussallem	Phillips	Ree	Smith
Segarty	Curtis	Jordan	Schroeder
Strachan		Ritchie	McClelland
Davis	Gardom	Vander Zalm	Heinrich
Kempf	Williams	Richmond	
Nielsen	McCarthy	Hewitt	Waterland
Fraser	Chabot		

NAYS—22

<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Howard</i>
	<i>Sanford</i>		<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Brown</i>	<i>Levi</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
	<i>Leggatt</i>		

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. M 209) intituled *Industrial Development Act Amendment Act, 1982*, a debate arose.

The Hon. *D. M. Phillips* (Minister of Industry and Small Business Development) rose on a point of order.

Mr. Speaker ruled Bill (No. M 209) out of order as it imposed an obligation on the Crown (Speaker's Decisions, volume 1, page 19).

The Speaker's ruling was challenged.

The Speaker's ruling was sustained on the following division:

YEAS—28

<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Hyndman</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Strachan</i>		<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Richmond</i>	
<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Chabot</i>		

NAYS—21

<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Howard</i>
	<i>Sanford</i>		<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Brown</i>	<i>Levi</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	
	<i>Leggatt</i>		

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. M 210) intituled *An Act for the Protection of Video Display Terminal Operators*, a debate arose.

The Hon. *J. A. Nielsen* rose on a point of order, namely, that Bill (No. M 210) involved the imposition of penalties and accordingly, the Bill was out of order in the hands of a private member.

Mr. Speaker ruled the Bill out of order citing the decision of Mr. Speaker Davie (Speaker's Decisions, volume 3, page 23).

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House proceeded to "Public Bills and Orders."

The committal of Bill (No. 89) intituled *School Services (Interim) Act* was resumed.

The Committee reported progress and asked leave to sit again.

The Hon. *J. R. Chabot* (Provincial Secretary and Minister of Government Services) tabled a report of the Activities of the Compensation Stabilization Program for the period February 18 to July 29, 1982.

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House adjourned at 11.58 a.m.

Thursday, October 7, 1982

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Captain the Rev. *E. Taylor*.

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

Mr. *Howard* rose on a matter of privilege relating to alleged misrepresentation of proceedings of the House contained in a newspaper column, and tabled a copy of the said newspaper column.

Mr. Speaker stated he would reserve his decision.

The Hon. *J. A. Nielsen* (Minister of Health) tabled the Annual Report of the Ministry of Health for the year 1981.

By leave, on the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House proceeded to "Public Bills and Orders."

The committal of Bill (No. 89) intituled *School Services (Interim) Act* was resumed. Bill committed, reported complete with amendments.

The Chairman further reported that, in consideration of section 3 of Bill (No. 89), the Committee had divided and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Howard*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—27

<i>Wolfe</i>		<i>Hyndman</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
		<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Richmond</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Chabot</i>		

NAYS—25

<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Howard</i>
	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Brown</i>	<i>Levi</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Leggatt</i>		

The Chairman further reported that, in consideration of section 4 of Bill (No. 89), the Committee had divided and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Lauk*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—27

<i>Wolfe</i>		<i>Hyndman</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
		<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Richmond</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Chabot</i>		

NAYS—24

<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Howard</i>
	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Brown</i>	<i>Levi</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Barnes</i>			

By leave, Bill (No. 89) as reported to be considered forthwith.

On the motion for the third reading of Bill (No. 89) the House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—29

<i>Wolfe</i>		<i>Hyndman</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Richmond</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Chabot</i>		

NAYS—22

<i>Passarell</i>		<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>		<i>Lea</i>
<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Howard</i>
	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Brown</i>	<i>Levi</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Barnes</i>			

Bill (No. 89) read a third time and passed.

On the motion of the Hon. *J. R. Chabot*, it was *Ordered*—

That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until it appears to the satisfaction of Mr. Speaker, after consultation with the Government, that the public interest requires that the House shall meet, or until Mr. Speaker may be advised by the Government that it is desired to prorogue the Fourth Session of the Thirty-second Parliament of the Province

of British Columbia. Mr. Speaker may give notice that he is so satisfied or has been so advised and thereupon the House shall meet at the time stated in such notice, and, as the case may be, may transact its business as if it had been duly adjourned to that time; and

That, in the event of Mr. Speaker being unable to act owing to illness or other cause, the Deputy Speaker shall act in his stead for the purpose of this order.

A debate arose.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—27

<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Ree</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Richmond</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Chabot</i>		<i>Waterland</i>

NAYS—24

<i>Passarell</i>		<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Howard</i>
	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Brown</i>	<i>Levi</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Leggatt</i>		

Mr. Speaker declared a short recess.

His Honour the Lieutenant Governor having entered the House, and being seated in the Chair—

Ian D. Izard, Esq., Law Clerk and Clerk Assistant, read the titles to the following Bills:

Bill (No. 81) *Rate Increase Restraint Act*.

Bill (No. 86) *Financial Administration Amendment Act (No. 2), 1982*.

Bill (No. 88) *Miscellaneous Statutes Amendment Act (No. 3), 1982*.

Bill (No. 89) *School Services (Interim) Act*.

His Honour was pleased in Her Majesty's name to give assent to the said Bills.

The said assent was announced by *Ian M. Horne*, Q.C., Clerk of the House, in the following words:

"In Her Majesty's name, His Honour the Lieutenant Governor doth assent to these Bills."

His Honour the Lieutenant Governor was then pleased to retire.

4 Mr. *Howard* asked the Hon. the Minister of Tourism the following question:

Did the Minister of Tourism approve the wording of the first paragraph under the heading of "Resident Awareness Program:" of the "Marketing Branch" in the Annual Report of the Ministry of Tourism, 1980?

The Hon. *C. H. Richmond* replied as follows:

“The wording referred to by the Honourable Member was a printing error, not caught during the proofreading.”

39 Mr. *Howard* asked the Hon. the Minister of Tourism the following question:

How many tourists has the Minister been able to attract to the Skeena River near Bella Coola?

The Hon. *C. H. Richmond* replied as follows:

“This again was an editor’s error, the wrong caption was placed beneath the photograph.”

40 Mr. *Howard* to ask the Hon. the Minister of Tourism the following questions:

1. Has the Ministry of Tourism developed a guideline or definition of the phrase “quality guests”?

2. If so, have the guidelines or the definition been circulated to (a) travel agencies and others engaged in the business of attracting tourists to British Columbia and (b) the Federal Department of Employment and Immigration in order that officials of that Department may be appropriately guided in their examination of tourists who come to British Columbia?

3. Will the guidelines or definition be tabled in the Legislature?

The Hon. *C. H. Richmond* replied as follows:

“At the time that this statement was made, I was not the Minister of Tourism. However, if I may comment, in my opinion, all tourists are quality.”

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House adjourned at 4.18 p.m.

K. W. DAVIDSON, *Speaker*

DISSOLUTION

His Honour the Lieutenant Governor by His Proclamation issued on the 7th day of April 1983, was pleased to dissolve the Thirty-second Legislative Assembly of the Province.