

Monday, April 28, 1975

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *P. W. R. Isles*.

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

On the motion that the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow, the House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—22

<i>Lewis</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>
<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Strachan</i>		

NAYS—14

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Bennett</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Curtis</i>	<i>McGeer</i>		

And then the House adjourned at 6.05 p.m.

Tuesday, April 29, 1975

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *John Francis*.

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

269. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$402,657 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Minister Without Portfolio to 31st March 1976.

The Committee reported the Resolution.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Chairman further reported that during consideration of Vote 269 the Committee had divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Bennett* the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—28

<i>Lewis</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Skelly</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>

NAYS—14

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Bennett</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>		

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 6.02 p.m.

Tuesday, April 29, 1975

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mrs. *Jordan*, the Order for second reading of Bill (No. 43) intituled *Home Buyers' Protection Act* was discharged.

Bill *Ordered* dropped from the Order Paper.

And then the House adjourned at 11.01 p.m.

Wednesday, April 30, 1975

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *G. Patrickson*.

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

The House proceeded to the Order "Presenting Reports by Standing and Special Committees."

Ms. *Brown* presented the Report of the Select Standing Committee on Health, Education, and Human Resources, as follows:

REPORT

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,

April 30, 1975

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Health, Education, and Human Resources begs leave to report as follows:

On March 26, 1974, the Select Standing Committee on Health, Education, and Human Resources was empowered by the House "To Examine Into and Study the Subject-matter in Item 4 of the White Paper Tabled in the House by the Minister of Education" and "To Report to the Legislative Assembly on the Said Subject-matter at the Next Following Session".

Item 4 of the White Paper deals with the subjects of school district organization and administration and the system of teacher salary bargaining, including learning and working conditions contracts.

Hearings were held in Victoria on May 28; Smithers, September 4; Dawson Creek, September 5; Vancouver, September 6; Castlegar, September 16; and Salmon Arm, September 17.

Following advertisements placed in the newspapers of the Province inviting public participation, the Committee held six public hearings and heard 62 briefs. Twenty-seven briefs were submitted to school boards, individual trustees, or regional trustee organizations. Twenty-eight briefs were submitted by local teacher organizations, individual teachers, or regional teacher organizations. Among these was a brief from the Provincial Administrators Organization. The B.C. Teachers' Federation made two briefs, one in Victoria, May 28, and one at Salmon Arm, September 17. The B.C. School Trustees' Association made a single presentation at Salmon Arm. Only two briefs were made on behalf of citizens, one by the Burnaby Citizen's Association and one by Mrs. Tunya Audain of Vancouver. A brief was presented by the B.C. School District Secretary-Treasurers' Association. A faculty member of Selkirk College made a presentation in Castlegar. In addition, a number of briefs were mailed to the Secretary and Chairman of the Select Standing Committee.

The following briefs were presented at the public hearings:

Victoria, May 28, 1974: Greater Victoria Teachers' Association; South Vancouver Island Agreement Co-ordinator; Sooke Teachers' Association; Cowichan District Teachers' Association; Nanaimo District Teachers' Association; and Cowichan School Board.

Smithers, September 4, 1974: Smithers District Teachers' Association; School Trustees, School District No. 52, Prince Rupert; School Trustees, School District No. 80, Kitimat; School District No. 54, Smithers; North Central District Council of the B.C. Teachers' Federation; Supervisor of Elementary Education; Skeena-Cassiar District Teachers' Association; and Prince Rupert District Teachers' Association.

Dawson Creek, September 5, 1974: Peace River South Teachers' Association; School District No. 28, Board of School Trustees, Quesnel; School District No. 60, Peace River North; and School District No. 59, Peace River South.

Vancouver, September 6, 1974: Mrs. T. Audain, independent citizen, parent; Coquitlam Teachers' Association; B.C. School District Secretary-Treasurers' Association; Sechelt School Board; School District No. 75, Mission; Victoria School Board; Lake Cowichan School Board, School District No. 66; Vancouver School Adminis-

trators' Association; Burnaby Citizens' Association; Vancouver School Board; School District No. 63, Saanich; School District No. 68, Nanaimo; Vancouver Elementary School Teachers' Association; West Vancouver Teachers' Association; and Vancouver School Teachers' Association.

Castlegar, September 16, 1974: B.C. School Trustees' Association (West Kootenay Zone); Castlegar School Board, School District No. 9; Creston Valley Teachers' Association; Windermere School Board, School District No. 4; Selkirk College, faculty member; Nelson Teachers' Association; Cranbrook School Board, School District No. 2; North Columbia Teachers' Association; Trail School Board, School District No. 11; Trail Teachers' Association; and Castlegar Teachers' Association.

Salmon Arm, September 17, 1974: School District No. 89; Central Okanagan Teachers' Association; Public Schools Principals' and Vice-Principals' Association; North Okanagan Secondary Principals' and Vice-Principals' Association; Shuswap Administrators; North Okanagan Principals' and Vice-Principals' Association; B.C. School Trustees' Association; B.C. Teachers' Federation; Board of Trustees, School District No. 23; Shuswap Teachers' Association; School District No. 40; Kamloops District Teachers' Federation; Okanagan Valley Teachers' Association; School District No. 77; Summerland Teachers' Association; and Kamloops School District No. 24.

Your Committee wishes to take this opportunity to express its gratitude to all of the individuals and organizations who contributed their time and experience in making submissions to the Committee, thereby assisting it in discharging its responsibilities.

Following a number of meetings held by the Select Standing Committee, the following recommendations are hereby presented:

(1) *School district amalgamation*—School district amalgamations should be approached only with the greatest of caution and only after public hearings have been held. The context of amalgamation should not be measured only in terms of dollars but also in terms of community responsiveness and the adequacy of the learning experience. The Committee urges boards and school trustees to continue to examine the possible regionalization of certain services wherever these are practical and it is feasible to do so.

(2) *Collective bargaining*—After hearing many opinions as to the form collective bargaining should take, and suggestions for local, zonal, and Provincial bargaining, the Committee believes the negotiations on a zonal basis should be encouraged but that zones should be entered into on a voluntary basis, preserving the right of a board of school trustees and a teachers' association to exercise their local autonomy by bargaining at the local level, if they so prefer. The Okanagan Region has been an example in the past of successful zonal negotiations. To that end, the Committee recommends that the Minister of Education, prior to the opening of negotiations in any given calendar year and in consultation with the B.C. Teachers' Federation and the B.C. School Trustees' Association, establish a system of zones for the consideration of the associations and their respective memberships. These zones should be established prior to September 1 in any given calendar year. Each school board and teachers' association should then decide whether it wishes to participate in bargaining in the zones which have been established. Should they decide that they would prefer to bargain individually, either the board or the local teachers' association could opt out of the zonal arrangement which has been established.

The Committee recommends that both trustees and teachers take advantage of the opportunities which the Department of Labour intends to provide in training personnel to be more effective in the collective bargaining process. The Committee also recommends that in the event the parties fail to establish an arbitration board, the Department of Labour appoint an arbitrator.

The Committee reaffirms that the final resolution of disagreements in the bargaining process should be through the form of arbitration after a full process of negotiation and conciliation has been attempted. Further, to ensure the successful completion of arbitration, the Committee recommends that the provisions of the *Public Schools Interim Arbitration Procedure Act* passed by the Legislature in its last Session be incorporated into the *Public Schools Act*. However, the section of the *Public Schools Interim Arbitration Procedure Act* with reference to deadlines should not be included. The time limits would remain as they are now, under the *Public Schools Act*.

Finally, the Committee recommends that the scope of bargaining be as it is currently defined in the *Public Schools Act*.

Respectfully submitted.

ROSEMARY BROWN, *Chairperson*

The report was taken as read and received.

And then the House adjourned at 6.03 p.m.

Thursday, May 1, 1975

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Father *James Glendon*.

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

38. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$124,447 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Minister's Office, to 31st March 1976.

39. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$6,312,017 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, General Administration, to 31st March 1976.

40. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,401,917 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Provincial Education Media Centre, to 31st March 1976.

41. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$990,122 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Correspondence School, to 31st March 1976.

42. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$8,582,036 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Publication Services Branch, to 31st March 1976.

43. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,330,246 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Jericho Hill School, to 31st March 1976.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Chairman further reported a division on an amendment moved by Mr. *Schroeder*, as follows:

“That the salary of the Hon. the Minister of Education, as provided for in Vote 38, be reduced by \$1.”

The Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Schroeder* the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—15

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Phillips</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	

NAYS—32

<i>Liden</i>	<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Lewis</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Radford</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Anderson, G. H.</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

136 Mr. *Gibson* asked the Hon. the Minister of Transport and Communications the following questions:

1. What was the total cost of the waiting-room at the Saturna Island ferry terminal at Lyall Harbour, Saturna?
2. What was the cost of drilling a well for this terminal?
3. Was the well used?
4. If not, what is the source of water, and the cost?
5. How much did the sewage-treatment plant cost?

6. Has a permit for the treatment plant been issued by the Pollution Control Branch?

7. What is the annual operating cost of this waiting-room facility?

The Hon. *R. M. Strachan* replied as follows:

"1. \$50,000.

"2. \$2,500.

"3. No, as water had high salt content.

"4. From Money Bros. (Pederson); connection cost, \$840.70; monthly rate, \$15 (water restricted to use in waiting-room, not for ships' use).

"5. \$6,100.

"6. Application for permit from Pollution Control Branch now being processed, expected to be issued shortly.

"7. Approximately \$8,000 per annum."

139 Mr. *Wallace* asked the Hon. the Minister of Transport and Communications the following questions:

With regard to ICBC ads inserted in newspapers reading as follows: "Autoplan Announces Easier Claim Service For Victoria Motorists. 1. Sometimes you can Dial-A-Claim. 2. Monday isn't our best day either"—

1. How many newspapers carried this advertisement or an advertisement similar to this?

2. On how many different days were these ads inserted?

3. What was the total cost of these particular advertisements?

The Hon. *R. M. Strachan* replied as follows:

"1. Three.

"2. Three.

"3. \$993.60."

And then the House adjourned at 6 p.m.

Thursday, May 1, 1975

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Without the Committee rising, the Chairman reported that during debate on Vote 44 he had ruled that certain matters raised were *sub judice*, were not relevant to the vote under consideration, and were more properly matters to be dealt with in the Select Standing Committee on Public Accounts and Economic Affairs, and that his ruling had been challenged.

The Chairman's ruling was sustained on the following division:

YEAS—31

<i>Liden</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Daily</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Lewis</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Anderson, G. H.</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>
<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	

NAYS—17

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Phillips</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Bennett</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Curtis</i>			

44. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,307,446 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Research and Development, to 31st March 1976.

45. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$406,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Universities Council, to 31st March 1976.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 10 o'clock a.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 10.55 p.m.

Friday, May 2, 1975

TEN O'CLOCK A.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *Leo Robert*.

Mr. *Wallace* rose on a point of privilege relating to certain questions and answers in Committee of Supply on the Estimates of the Department of Education.

Mr. Speaker stated he would examine the matter raised by the honourable member.

The Hon. *David Barrett* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:

WALTER S. OWEN
Lieutenant-Governor

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith Bill (No. 73) intituled *School Tax Removal and Resource Grant Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
May 1, 1975

By leave of the House, Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *D. G. Cocke* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:

WALTER S. OWEN
Lieutenant-Governor

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith Bill (No. 71) intituled *Community Care Facilities Licensing Amendment Act, 1975*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
May 1, 1975

By leave of the House, Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *D. G. Cocke* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:

WALTER S. OWEN
Lieutenant-Governor

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith Bill (No. 72) intituled *Medical Services Amendment Act, 1975*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
May 1, 1975

By leave of the House, Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *D. D. Stupich* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Administrator, which read as follows:

JOHN L. FARRIS
Administrator

The Administrator transmits herewith Bill (No. 65) intituled *Farm Products Industry Improvement Amendment Act, 1975*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
April 24, 1975

By leave of the House, Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

46. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$268,994,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Post-secondary Education and Training, to 31st March 1976.

47. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$436,500,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Grants to School Districts, to 31st March 1976.

48. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$22,300,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Teachers' Superannuation Fund, to 31st March 1976.

49. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,000,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Night School Grants and Training Programs, to 31st March 1976.

50. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,951,759 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Salary Contingencies, to 31st March 1976.

51. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Advances *re* Rural School Taxes, to 31st March 1976.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Chairman further reported that in consideration of Vote 47 the Committee had divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *Eileen E. Dailly*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—27

<i>Liden</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Lewis</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Levi</i>
<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Young</i>	

NAYS—13

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Bennett</i>
<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Curtis</i>			

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. on Monday next.

And then the House adjourned at 12.34 p.m.

Monday, May 5, 1975

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *Philip Calkins*.

Mr. Speaker made a statement relating to the matter of privilege raised by the Honourable Member for Oak Bay on Friday last.

Mr. *D. A. Anderson* rose on a matter of privilege relating to statements made outside the House concerning a member of the Government.

Mr. Speaker ruled that the matter raised was not one of privilege.

The Hon. *R. M. Strachan* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:

WALTER S. OWEN
Lieutenant-Governor

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith Bill (No. 69) intituled *Automobile Insurance Amendment Act, 1975*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
April 29, 1975

By leave of the House, Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *R. M. Strachan* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:

WALTER S. OWEN
Lieutenant-Governor

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith Bill (No. 68) intituled *Insurance Corporation of British Columbia Amendment Act, 1975*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
April 29, 1975

By leave of the House, Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

By leave of the House, the Hon. *David Barrett* (Premier) made a statement relating to increased export price for natural gas.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

—
The Committee rose and reported progress.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

Mr. *Curtis* rose on a point of order namely, the similarity of the titles to Bill (No. 26) and Bill (No. 73).

Mr. Speaker stated that he would take the matter under advisement.

And then the House adjourned at 5.58 p.m.

Tuesday, May 6, 1975

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *William O'Brien*.

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

By leave of the House, the Hon. *A. B. Macdonald* (Attorney-General) presented the Report of the Courts Division of the Justice Development Commission for the period April 1, 1974, to November 30, 1974.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

—
The Committee rose and reported progress.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Ms. *Sanford*, the Order for second reading of Bill (No. 18) intituled *An Act to Amend the Land Registry Act* was discharged.

Bill *Ordered* dropped from the Order Paper.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *David Barrett*, the Order for second reading of Bill (No. 26) intituled *School Tax Removal Act* was discharged.

Bill *Ordered* dropped from the Order Paper.

And then the House adjourned at 5.55 p.m.

Tuesday, May 6, 1975

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

102. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$150,127 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Housing, Minister's Office, to 31st March 1976.

The Committee reported the Resolution.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Chairman further reported that in consideration of Vote 102 the Committee had divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Bennett*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—31

<i>Lewis</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Radford</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Anderson, G. H.</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Levi</i>
<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Lauk</i>	

NAYS—14

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Phillips</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Bennett</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>		

The Chairman further reported that during consideration of Vote 103 the Committee had divided on the motion to rise and report the Resolution, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Phillips*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—31

<i>Lewis</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Radford</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Anderson, G. H.</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Levi</i>
<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Lauk</i>	

NAYS—12

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Phillips</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Bennett</i>
<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Smith</i>

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 11 p.m.

Wednesday, May 7, 1975

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *W. Z. Van Druten*.

By leave of the House, the Hon. *D. G. Cocke* (Minister of Health) made a statement relating to a list kept by the Department of Health recording the names of physicians who rendered accounts in excess of the fees provided by the Medical Services Plan of British Columbia.

The Hon. *A. B. Macdonald* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:

WALTER S. OWEN
Lieutenant-Governor

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith Bill (No. 75) intituled *Status of Men and Women Amendment Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
May 7, 1975

By leave of the House, Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

103. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,939,174 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Housing, General Administration, to 31st March 1976.

104. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$4,500,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Housing, Elderly Citizens Renters' Grant, to 31st March 1976.

105. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10,000,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Housing, Grants in Aid of Construction of Homes for Elderly Citizens, to 31st March 1976.

106. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,000,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Housing, Grants in Aid of Special Care Homes, to 31st March 1976.

107. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$69,720,459 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Housing, Housing and Development, to 31st March 1976.

108. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$690,240 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Housing, Salary Contingencies, to 31st March 1976.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Chairman further reported that in consideration of Vote 103 the Committee had divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Smith*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—28

<i>Liden</i>	<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Lewis</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Radford</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Anderson, G. H.</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Levi</i>

NAYS—13

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Phillips</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Morrison</i>			

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

1 Mr. *Bennett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following questions:

1. Has the Provincial Government purchased any land for park purposes since January 15, 1974, to date?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what were the acreages involved in each case and (b) what was the price per acre paid?

3. What firm or individual, if any, acted for the Parks Branch in effecting the purchase?

4. What commission, if any, was paid with respect to each purchase?

The Hon. *Jack Radford* replied as follows:

"1. Yes.

"2. (a) 117 acres (7,500 feet of waterfront), 192 acres (9,200 feet of waterfront, 73 acres (800 feet of lakefront), 103 acres (2,000 feet of waterfront), 402 acres (4,000 feet of river frontage), 0.05 acre, 40 acres, 11 acres (2,000 feet of lakefront), 97 acres (6,600 feet of waterfront), 47.5 acres (1,700 feet of lakefront), 40 acres, 11.8 acres (4,600 feet of waterfront,) and (b) \$2,453, \$763, \$1,918, \$388, \$87, \$3,800, \$1,250, \$1,270, \$773, \$1,179, \$500, \$2,203.

"3. Not applicable.

"4. None."

2 Mr. *Bennett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following questions:

1. What was the total expenditure for (a) development and (b) maintenance in parks where an overnight camping-fee was charged during the calendar year 1974?

2. What was the total income from fees in these parks?

The Hon. *Jack Radford* replied as follows:

"1. (a) \$4,127,000 and (b) \$3,597,000 (fiscal year).

"2. \$709,707 (fiscal year)."

121 Mr. *Curtis* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following questions:

With respect to the Minister's earlier proposal to establish a bicycle trail from the Greater Victoria area to Lake Cowichan over the Canadian National right-of-way—

1. Does the Minister still endorse this proposal?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what is the status of negotiations with (a) Canadian National Railways and (b) The Greater Victoria Water Board and (or) its Commissioner?

3. Is the Minister optimistic that the trail will be established over most or all of the route described in the preamble above?

The Hon. *Jack Radford* replied as follows:

"1. Yes.

"2. (a) Negotiations under way but not resolved at present date and (b) held in abeyance pending results of right-of-way negotiations.

"3. The Environment and Land Use Committee is currently analysing the various proposed uses for the right-of-way and will be making a recommendation shortly."

The House proceeded to "Presenting Reports by Standing and Special Committees."

Mr. *Lockstead* presented the Second Report of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:

REPORT NO. 2

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
May 7, 1975

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:

Your Committee recommends that Bill (No. 50) intituled *An Act to Incorporate The Institute of Accredited Public Accountants of British Columbia* not proceed further.

Your Committee's decision is based on the conclusion that the principal aims and objects of the petitioners do not require private legislation to be effectively accomplished.

Your Committee further expresses its appreciation to the petitioners for their efforts and concern in relation to the educational standards of their members.

Your Committee further recommends that the deposit paid by the petitioners be refunded.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

D. F. LOCKSTEAD, *Chairman*

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

And then the House adjourned at 6.03 p.m.

Thursday, May 8, 1975

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *R. C. Crawley*.

By leave of the House, the Hon. *Norman Levi* (Minister of Human Resources) made a statement relating to Indian cut-off lands.

The following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today:

On the motion of the Hon. *Ernest Hall*, Bill (No. 61) intituled *Emergency Programme Act*.

On the motion of Ms. *Sanford*, Bill (No. 78) intituled *Land Registry Amendment Act, 1975*.

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Without the Committee rising, the Chairman reported that, pursuant to Standing Order 43, he had ordered the Second Member for Victoria to discontinue his speech for having persisted in irrelevance, and the member had refused so to do.

Mr. Speaker, upon completion of the report, asked the Second Member for Victoria if he was prepared to abide by the ruling of the Chairman. Upon the Second Member for Victoria refusing to do so, Mr. Speaker ordered the member to withdraw from the Chamber for the remainder of the sitting.

Without the Committee rising, the Chairman reported that, pursuant to Standing Order 43, he had ordered the Member for North Okanagan to discontinue her speech for having persisted in statements irrelevant to Vote 6, and the member had refused so to do.

Mr. Speaker, upon completion of the report, asked the Member for North Okanagan if she was prepared to abide by the ruling of the Chairman. Upon the member refusing to do so, Mr. Speaker ordered the Member for North Okanagan to withdraw from the Chamber for the remainder of the sitting.

The Committee rose and reported progress.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

The Hon. *Ernest Hall* (Minister of Travel Industry) presented the Annual Report of the Department of Travel Industry for the year ended December 31, 1974.

151 Mr. *Phillips* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:

1. How many contracts were awarded for the construction of a bridge over the Skeena River at Kitwanga?
2. Who were the contracts awarded to?
3. What was the total price of each contract?

The Hon. *G. R. Lea* replied as follows:

"1. Two contracts awarded; bids close for third and final contract on May 21, 1975.

- "2. Contract No. 1, Dillingham Corporation; Contract No. 2, Canon Limited.
 "3. Contract No. 1, \$962,387; Contract No. 2, \$1,072,000."

And then the House adjourned at 6 p.m.

Thursday, May 8, 1975

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Mrs. *Jordan* rose on a matter of privilege relating to remarks made by her in the Committee of Supply during the afternoon sitting.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Without the Committee rising, the Chairman reported that he had ruled that the conduct of the Chairman could only be debated on a substantive motion, and that his ruling had been challenged.

The Committee divided.

Mr. *Gibson* rose on a point of order, namely, that the Chairman had been present in the House during the division on the Chairman's ruling, and that he had failed to vote contrary to Standing Order 16 (2).

Mr. Speaker ruled that a further division should be taken, and the House again divided.

The Chairman's ruling was sustained on the following division:

YEAS—28

<i>Liden</i>	<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>
<i>Lewis</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Radford</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Anderson, G. H.</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Levi</i>

NAYS—15

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Cummings</i>
<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	

Mr. *D. A. Anderson* rose on a point of order, namely, that pursuant to Standing Order 9, requiring Mr. Speaker to preserve order and decorum and decide all questions of order, Mr. Speaker should rule that the Member for Skeena should not preside as Chairman as he had an interest in the Vote under consideration.

Mr. Speaker ruled that the Member for Skeena was not, under the circumstances, precluded from acting as Chairman of the Committee.

Without the Committee rising, the Chairman reported that the Member for North Okanagan had persisted in irrelevance in debate.

Mr. Speaker made a statement relating to procedure and conduct of business in the Committee.

The Chairman resumed the chair.

6. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$4,413,655 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Production and Marketing Programs, to 31st March 1976.

The Committee reported the Resolution.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Chairman further reported that in consideration of Vote 6 the Committee had divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Bennett*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—29

<i>Lewis</i>	<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Radford</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Anderson, G. H.</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Levi</i>
<i>Dent</i>			

NAYS—15

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Bennett</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 10 o'clock a.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 11.02 p.m.

Friday, May 9, 1975

TEN O'CLOCK A.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *T. W. Chapman*.

By leave of the House, the Hon. *W. S. King* (Minister of Labour) made a statement in relation to an inquiry into the construction industry in British Columbia.

On the motion of the Hon. *Jack Radford*, Bill (No. 70) intituled *Fisheries Amendment Act, 1975*, was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

7. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$48,388,601 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, General and Financial Services, to 31st March 1976.

8. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$4,345,770 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Special and Regulatory Services Programs, to 31st March 1976.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. on Monday next.

And then the House adjourned at 1.11 p.m.

Monday, May 12, 1975

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *Charles A. Barker*.

By leave of the House, Mr. *McGeer* made a statement relating to his resignation from the Liberal caucus.

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

Mr. *L. A. Williams* rose on a point of privilege and made a statement relating to his resignation from the Liberal caucus.

On leave being requested by the Hon. *Eileen E. Dailly* that the House proceed to "Public Bills and Orders," leave was not granted.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.

The Chairman further reported that he had ruled that the motion to rise, report progress, and ask leave to sit again was in order, and that his ruling had been challenged.

The Chairman's ruling was appealed to the House.

The Chairman's ruling was sustained on the following division:

YEAS—26

<i>Liden</i>	<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Lewis</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Radford</i>	<i>Levi</i>
<i>Anderson, G. H.</i>	<i>Barrett</i>		

NAYS—17

<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Phillips</i>
<i>McGeer</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Bennett</i>
<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Morrison</i>			

Mr. *Bennett* asked leave to move a motion pursuant to Standing Order 49.

Leave was not granted.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *David Barrett*, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee again rose and reported progress.

Report to be considered at the next sitting.

Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Chairman further reported that on the motion that the Committee rise and report progress, the Committee had divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *David Barrett*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—25

<i>Liden</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Lewis</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Anderson, G. H.</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Radford</i>	<i>Levi</i>
<i>Cummings</i>			

NAYS—17

<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Phillips</i>
<i>McGeer</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Bennett</i>
<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Morrison</i>			

Mr. *D. A. Anderson* rose on a point of order, namely, that Standing Order 45 (3) had not been complied with.

Mr. Speaker stated that he would take the matter under advisement.

Order called for "Public Bills and Orders."

Bill (No. 1) intituled *Perpetuities Act* was read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 2) intituled *Patients' Estates Amendment Act, 1975* a debate arose.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—27

<i>Liden</i>	<i>Dent</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Lewis</i>	<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Levi</i>
<i>Anderson, G. H.</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Radford</i>	

NAYS—17

<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Phillips</i>
<i>McGeer</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Bennett</i>
<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Morrison</i>			

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

The following Bills were read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today:

Bill (No. 3) intituled *Public Trustee Amendment Act, 1975*.

Bill (No. 4) intituled *Investment Contracts Amendment Act, 1975*.

Bill (No. 5) intituled *Administration Amendment Act, 1975*.

Bill (No. 6) intituled *Co-operative Associations Amendment Act, 1975*.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 8) intituled *Limitations Act* a debate arose.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—28

<i>Liden</i>	<i>Dent</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Radford</i>
<i>Lewis</i>	<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Anderson, G. H.</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Levi</i>

NAYS—17

<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Phillips</i>
<i>McGeer</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Bennett</i>
<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Morrison</i>			

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

The following Bills were read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today:

Bill (No. 7) intituled *Farmers' and Women's Institutes Amendment Act, 1975.*

Bill (No. 9) intituled *Real Estate Amendment Act, 1975.*

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 20) intituled *Securities Amendment Act, 1975* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Phillips*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 6 p.m.

Tuesday, May 13, 1975

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *H. M. Hunter.*

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

Mr. *Phillips* rose on a point of order relating to the Hansard "blues" of Monday, May 12, 1975.

Mr. Speaker made a statement relating to proceedings in Committee of Supply on May 12, 1975, and the Chairman accepting a motion that the Committee rise and report progress when a vote has been presented and withdrawn from the Committee without question put on the vote.

Mr. Speaker stated, *inter alia*, as follows:

This abrupt practice has been in common usage for many years to meet the requirements of the sessional order that is adopted each Session: “. . . that this House will, at its next sitting, resolve itself into a Committee to consider the Supply to be granted to Her Majesty and that this Order have precedence over all other business except Introduction of Bills, until disposed of.”

Such motion was adopted in this House last February 27. Whether the House stays in Committee or not appears to rest on whether other matters deserve the consideration of the House. Where the Government wishes to postpone consideration of Estimates, it has in such cases in the past complied with the precedence order by rising without debate or question put on any money vote, and the House Leader moving that the Committee rise, report progress, and ask leave to sit again.

Last Friday the matter of Standing Order 45A was raised, and it being the conclusion of the 45th sitting contemplated by the new rule adopted last year, and also the hour of adjournment under Standing Orders—being after 1 o'clock—the Committee rose. I was asked in the House whether the questions still remaining to be put to the Committee of the Whole House should have been put. I stated that since the rules of the House prevail when we are in the House, “the House will carry on its normal business of adjournment.”

I stated further, in reference to the precedence order for Committee of Supply, “that unless there has been a substantive change, this House will resolve itself into Committee of Supply so that on the next sitting after today, whenever that is appointed by the House, that Committee will be called again until all its business is disposed of or until it rises, and is called again on a subsequent day.”

When you try to find precedents for this matter, looking in the present edition of May is not much help because the present British practice upon interruption by the adjournment hour is that all questions may be put unless there is an objection, in which case the Chairman leaves the chair and the House can, however, deal with exempted business, but that is not controversial business. That is not our case here.

Looking at British practice as it was prior to that Standing Order in the present rules in Britain, May's 13th edition, at pages 349 and 350, deals with precedence orders of the House which prescribe the times at which and the proceedings by which the remaining part, or the whole of the Committee stage, the report stage, the Committee in report stages, etc., should be disposed of. Analogous to our situation, May states at page 350 of that edition: “when the time appointed for its conclusion is reached, such questions as may be necessary to dispose of that stage or portion shall be put forthwith from the chair as soon as the question which is under discussion at the appointed time and which is then to be put forthwith shall have been disposed of.”

May then goes on to state, “On days on which proceedings under the Order are to be brought to a conclusion,”—which was the case in Standing Order 45A—“or in some cases on any allotted day, dilatory motions, or that the Chairman report progress or leave the chair, are forbidden unless moved by the Government when the question thereon is to be put without amendment or debate.” It makes an

exception for the Government on the business of the time coming to its close on the appointed day, where the Chairman is to leave the chair on the motion of the Government.

It appears that the decision of the House yesterday supporting the Chairman's ruling seems consonant with that statement of the practice in May, and that such a motion may be accepted by the chair in Committee, despite the word "forthwith."

G. H. DOWDING, *Speaker*

Mr. *Smith* asked leave to move a motion pursuant to Standing Order 49.

Leave was not granted.

On leave being requested by the Hon. *Eileen E. Dailly* that the House proceed to "Public Bills and Orders," leave was not granted.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Chairman further reported that on the motion that the Committee rise and report progress, the Committee had divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Phillips*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—30

<i>Liden</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Radford</i>
<i>Lewis</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Anderson, G. H.</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Levi</i>
<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Barrett</i>		

NAYS—13

<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Phillips</i>
<i>Gibson</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Morrison</i>			

Order called for "Public Bills and Orders."

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 20) intituled *Securities Amendment Act, 1975*.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

The following Bills were read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today:

Bill (No. 46) intituled *Police Amendment Act, 1975*.

Bill (No. 48) intituled *Mortgage Brokers Amendment Act, 1975*.

Bill (No. 10) intituled *Fair Sales Practices Amendment Act, 1975*.

Bill (No. 71) intituled *Community Care Facilities Licensing Amendment Act, 1975*.

Bill (No. 72) intituled *Medical Services Amendment Act, 1975*.

Bill (No. 45) intituled *Bee Act*.

Bill (No. 65) intituled *Farm Products Industry Improvement Amendment Act, 1975*.

Bill (No. 19) intituled *Pacific North Coast Native Co-operative Loan Amendment Act, 1975*.

Bill (No. 75) intituled *Status of Men and Women Amendment Act*.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.44 p.m.

Tuesday, May 13, 1975

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Without the Committee rising, the Chairman reported that during consideration of Vote 9 he had ordered the Member for South Peace River to take his seat, and the member had refused so to do.

Mr. Speaker, upon completion of the report, asked the Member for South Peace River to take his seat. Upon the Member for South Peace River refusing to do so, Mr. Speaker ordered the member to withdraw from the Chamber for the remainder of the sitting.

Mr. Speaker asked the Hon. the Leader of the Opposition to take his seat, and upon the member refusing to do so, Mr. Speaker ordered the member to withdraw from the Chamber for the remainder of the sitting.

9. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$580,510 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Provincial Land Commission, to 31st March 1976.

10. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$185,714 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Milk Board, to 31st March 1976.

11. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$86,036 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Attorney-General's Office, to 31st March 1976.

The Committee reported the Resolutions,
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Chairman further reported that in consideration of Vote 9 the Committee had divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Chabot*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—27

<i>Lewis</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Radford</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Anderson, G. H.</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Lauk</i>	

NAYS—13

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Gibson</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>			

The Chairman further reported that during consideration of Vote 10 the Committee had divided on a motion that the Chairman leave the chair, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Gibson*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—13

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Gibson</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>			

NAYS—27

<i>Lewis</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Radford</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Anderson, G. H.</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Lauk</i>	

The Chairman further reported that in consideration of Vote 10 the Committee had divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Chabot*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—27

<i>Lewis</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Radford</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Anderson, G. H.</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Lauk</i>	

NAYS—13

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Gibson</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>			

The Chairman further reported that in consideration of Vote 11 the Committee had divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Chabot*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—26

<i>Lewis</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Radford</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Anderson, G. H.</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>		

NAYS—13

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Gibson</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>			

The Chairman further reported that during consideration of Vote 12 he had ruled that a motion to rise, report Resolutions, and ask leave to sit again was out of order, and that his ruling had been challenged.

The Chairman's ruling was sustained on the following division:

YEAS—27

<i>Lewis</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Radford</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Anderson, G. H.</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Lauk</i>	

NAYS—13

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Gibson</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>			

The Chairman further reported that Mr. *Gibson* had moved that Mr. *McGeer* be now heard, pursuant to Standing Order 38, and the Chairman had ruled the motion out of order.

The Chairman's ruling was appealed to the House.

The Chairman's ruling was sustained on the following division:

YEAS—27

<i>Lewis</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Radford</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Anderson, G. H.</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Lauk</i>	

NAYS—13

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Gibson</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>			

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 10.56 p.m.

Wednesday, May 14, 1975

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *J. P. Travis*.

By leave of the House, Mr. *D. A. Anderson* presented a petition as follows:

*To the Honourable the Legislative Assembly of British Columbia
in Legislature Assembled:*

The petition of the undersigned, *Olaf Baumgartner*, of *Richmond, B.C.*, humbly showeth:

- (1) Whereas my enjoyment of my property at 1135 River Road in the Municipality of *Richmond* is being unduly hampered by the application of expropriation proceedings; and

(2) Whereas my personal liberty is in jeopardy as a result of my efforts to protect my rights and property.

I hereby humbly pray that your Honourable House will move swiftly to consider legislative changes in the expropriation field of the sort proposed by the Law Reform Commission of British Columbia, thereby protecting others from the problems which have befallen me.

And as duty bound, your petitioner will ever pray.

Dated at Victoria, B.C., this 14th day of May 1975.

OLAF BAUMGARTNER

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

Mr. *D. A. Anderson* rose on a point of order relating to the availability of magnetic tape recordings of proceedings in the House.

Mr. Speaker made a statement with relation thereto.

Mr. *Smith* asked leave to move a motion pursuant to Standing Order 49.

Leave was not granted.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Without the Committee rising, the Chairman reported that during consideration of Vote 14 he had ordered the Member for North Peace River to take his seat, and the member had refused so to do.

Mr. Speaker explained the applicable Rule with relation to the point of order raised.

Without the Committee rising, the Chairman reported that he had ruled that the fact of interim supply having been previously granted did not empower the Committee to deal with each vote other than to pass, negative, or reduce such vote, and that his ruling had been challenged.

By leave of the House, the challenge of the Chairman's ruling was withdrawn.

12. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$865,448 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Executive and Administrative, to 31st March 1976.

13. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$7,880,171 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Justice Planning, to 31st March 1976.

14. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$6,447,738 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Legal Services, to 31st March 1976.

15. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,241,332 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Manpower Development, to 31st March 1976.

16. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$12,604,705 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Courts, to 31st March 1976.

17. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$4,656,790 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Prosecution Services, to 31st March 1976.

18. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$8,191,248 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Sheriffs' Offices, to 31st March 1976.

19. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,584,252 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Official Reporters, to 31st March 1976.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Chairman further reported that in consideration of Vote 12 the Committee had divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Chabot*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—29

<i>Liden</i>	<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Radford</i>
<i>Lewis</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Anderson, G. H.</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Levi</i>
<i>Rolston</i>			

NAYS—13

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Gibson</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>			

The Chairman further reported that he had ruled, pursuant to Standing Order 45A, that the Member for North Peace River did not have a right to debate, and that his ruling had been challenged.

The Chairman's ruling was sustained on the following division:

YEAS—30

<i>Liden</i>	<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Radford</i>
<i>Lewis</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Anderson, G. H.</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Levi</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Barrett</i>		

NAYS—13

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Gibson</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>			

The Chairman further reported that during consideration of Vote 13 the Committee had divided on a motion that the Chairman leave the chair, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *McGeer*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—12

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Fraser</i>
<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Smith</i>

NAYS—30

<i>Liden</i>	<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Radford</i>
<i>Lewis</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Anderson, G. H.</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Levi</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Barrett</i>		

The Chairman further reported that in consideration of Vote 13 the Committee had divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Chabot*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—30

<i>Liden</i>	<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Radford</i>
<i>Lewis</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Anderson, G. H.</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Levi</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Barrett</i>		

NAYS—12

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Jordan</i>

The Chairman further reported that in consideration of Vote 14 the Committee had divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Chabot*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—30

<i>Liden</i>	<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Radford</i>
<i>Lewis</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Anderson, G. H.</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Levi</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Barrett</i>		

NAYS—13

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Gibson</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>			

The Chairman further reported that in consideration of Vote 15 the Committee had divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Chabot*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—29

<i>Liden</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Radford</i>
<i>Lewis</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Anderson, G. H.</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Levi</i>
<i>Cummings</i>			

NAYS—12

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Gibson</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Jordan</i>

The Chairman further reported that during consideration of Vote 16 the Committee had divided on a motion to rise and report Resolutions, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Gibson*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—13

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Gibson</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>			

NAYS—29

<i>Lewis</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Radford</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Anderson, G. H.</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Dent</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Levi</i>
<i>Cummings</i>			

The Chairman further reported that he had ruled that the matter raised by the Member for Columbia River was not a point of order, and that his ruling had been challenged.

The Chairman's ruling was sustained on the following division:

YEAS—29

<i>Lewis</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Radford</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Anderson, G. H.</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Dent</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Levi</i>
<i>Cummings</i>			

NAYS—12

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Jordan</i>

The Chairman further reported that in consideration of Vote 16 the Committee had divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Chabot*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—29

<i>Liden</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Radford</i>
<i>Lewis</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Anderson, G. H.</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Levi</i>
<i>Cummings</i>			

NAYS—12

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Jordan</i>

The Chairman further reported that in consideration of Vote 17 the Committee had divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Chabot*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—29

<i>Liden</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Radford</i>
<i>Lewis</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Anderson, G. H.</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Levi</i>
<i>Cummings</i>			

NAYS—11

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Gibson</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	

The Chairman further reported that in consideration of Vote 18 the Committee had divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Chabot*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—28

<i>Liden</i>	<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Radford</i>
<i>Lewis</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Anderson, G. H.</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Levi</i>

NAYS—10

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Wallace</i>		

The Chairman further reported that in consideration of Vote 19 the Committee had divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Chabot*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—29

<i>Liden</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Radford</i>
<i>Lewis</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Anderson, G. H.</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Levi</i>
<i>Cummings</i>			

NAYS—12

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Jordan</i>

The Chairman further reported that during consideration of Vote 20 the Committee had divided on a motion to rise and report Resolutions, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *McGeer*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—12

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Jordan</i>

NAYS—28

<i>Liden</i>	<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Radford</i>
<i>Lewis</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Anderson, G. H.</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Levi</i>

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.37 p.m.

Thursday, May 15, 1975

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Mr. *A. R. D. Robertson*.

On the motion of Mr. *Wallace*, Bill (No. 89) intituled *Podiatry Amendment Act, 1975*, was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

Mr. Speaker made the following statement:

Honourable Members,—I was asked for my comments on the financial procedures used in Committee of the Whole House as compared to the British practice to be found in their Standing Orders and particularly in May, 18th edition, at page 717.

Because of the present British Standing Order 18 (5) (b), their rules include passing what are called votes on account. *See* in that regard page 724 of that edition of May where it states:

Matters which can be discussed upon the grant on which an advance is sought, may be discussed, in anticipation, upon the motion for the grant on account; though the proper occasion to examine the grants in detail is when the final grant to complete the sum demanded is proposed to the House.

And at page 717:

The motion states the total sum required; and the various amounts needed for each department, which compose that sum, are stated in a schedule appended to the resolution. The question proposed thereon from the chair follows the terms of the resolution, and places the total sum, the aggregate grant, before the House for its decision; and upon that question amendments can be moved for the reduction of the whole grant, or for the reduction or the omission of the items whereof the grant is composed.

It should be noted in this regard that May states at page 716 that the British House revised its definition of the business of Supply in rule changes in 1966.

To give an example, in the British Committee of Supply they could be dealing with Vote 10 for 10 million pounds (£10 million), pass a vote on account for 1 million pounds (£1 million) and subsequently go back to vote and debate the remaining 9 million pounds (£9 million).

That procedure results from their Standing Order 18 (5) (b) which is not in our Standing Orders.

In Ottawa, Bourinot's 4th edition states:

In the Canadian House on a number of occasions it became necessary owing to pressure of time to obtain supply for a short period before the estimates could be passed in due course and, consequently, by an agreement between the parties, a certain proportion of the estimates (one-fifth, one-sixth, or one-third) were passed without discussion and included with all the resolutions previously passed in an appropriation Bill which promptly received the Royal Assent. Later the balance of the estimates were fully discussed and voted in due course.

Of course, Ottawa has in its Standing Order 1 a similar provision as in our first Standing Order, yet that House has developed its own financial procedure different to the system described in Britain. A certain proportion of the estimates were passed without discussion and later the balance of the estimates were discussed and voted on in due course.

That variation of procedure developed and was sanctioned by the chair.

May states that the financial procedures have developed through actions of the chair over a long period and the same is true in Ottawa and in this House. The long established financial procedure in this House entails in matters of interim supply a Bill, in this case now enacted as Bill (No. 11), *Supply Act, No. 1, 1975*, which has been given Royal Assent.

The Bill states in section 1 as follows:

1. From and out of the Consolidated Revenue Fund there may be paid and applied in such manner and at such times as the Lieutenant-Governor in Council may determine a sum not exceeding in the whole \$537 million towards defraying the several charges and expenses of the Public Service of the Province for the fiscal year ending March 31, 1976, not otherwise provided for, and being substantially $\frac{1}{2}$ of the total amount of the votes of the main estimates for the fiscal year ending March 31, 1976, as laid before the Legislative Assembly of the Province of British Columbia at the present session.

And in section 2 as follows:

2. No sum out of the supply shall be issued to any purpose other than those provided in the main estimates, or in excess of the estimate of expenditures therein, and the due application of all moneys expended under the authority of this Act shall be accounted for to Her Majesty.

May explains the development of financial procedure at page 691 of the 18th edition as follows:

The Standing Orders cover a comparatively small portion of the field of financial procedure, and the prescription and application of the general rules of that procedure are based largely on practice.

Further down it states:

In the case of expenditure by estimate (supply) and of taxation (ways and means) the expression of the financial initiative of the Crown is not required under any Standing Order but (as stated earlier) is dependent on practice based on ancient usage.

To say our practice is wrong when it developed, as did the British practice, "based largely on practice" is to say all previous Speakers of our House have been derelict in bringing before the House a practice contrary to that used in Britain. Since our practice, after 1871, developed from the procedures approved by the chair it would be wrong in my view to make such an assertion as to our long-established procedure.

As has been mentioned, this House approved a lump sum in interim supply Bill (No. 11), which is now the law, and the House left it to the Government to allocate and use that money in any departments in the main estimates still to be voted on but subject to the words "due application of all moneys expended under the authority of this Act shall be accounted for to Her Majesty."

Even if we had Britain's Standing Order 18 with votes on account it could not now be made practicable in view of the present practice and the Statute, *Interim Supply Act, No. 1, 1975*.

If we were shifted to the British practice we would have to revise these estimates daily, a ludicrous and impracticable alteration after 100 years of a differing procedure.

G. H. DOWDING, *Speaker*

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Without the Committee rising, the Chairman reported that he had ruled that the long-established practice in the Committee of Supply was to put the question to the Committee in the form "Shall Vote 21 pass?" and that his ruling was appealed.

The Chairman's ruling was sustained on the following division:

YEAS—28

<i>Lewis</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Radford</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Anderson, G. H.</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Levi</i>

NAYS—14

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Gibson</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Wallace</i>		

Without the Committee rising, the Chairman reported that the Member for North Vancouver-Capilano, upon being requested and ordered by the chair to withdraw an unparliamentary expression, had refused to do so.

Mr. Speaker requested the member to withdraw the words in question and, upon the member refusing so to do, Mr. Speaker ordered the Member for North Vancouver-Capilano to withdraw from the House for the remainder of the sitting.

20. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$20,131,203 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Police Services, to 31st March 1976.

The Committee reported the Resolution.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Chairman further reported that in consideration of Vote 20 the Committee had divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Chabot*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—29

<i>Lewis</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Radford</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Anderson, G. H.</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Levi</i>
<i>D'Arcy</i>			

NAYS—14

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Gibson</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Richter</i>		

Mr. *Wallace* asked leave to move that the House recess for 30 minutes.

Leave was not granted.

The House proceeded to “Public Bills in the Hands of Private Members.”

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 12) intituled *Royal Roads Military College Degrees Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of the Hon. *Eileen E. Dailly*, on behalf of Mr. *Gorst*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 13) intituled *British Columbia Recycling Corporation Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Steves*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 14) intituled *British Columbia Coastal Zone Commission Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Steves*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Order called for “Public Bills and Orders.”

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 23) intituled *Special Funds Appropriation Act, 1975* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Lockstead*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.54 p.m.