

Friday, February 24th, 1928.

QUARTER-PAST TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Mr. Speaker.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

2. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$128,420 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Legislation to 31st March, 1929.

54. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$25,890 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, General Office, to 31st March, 1929.

55. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$13,740 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Companies Branch, to 31st March, 1929.

56. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$12,050 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Insurance Branch, to 31st March, 1929.

57. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, "Fire Marshal Act," to 31st March, 1929.

58. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$7,240 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Municipal Branch, to 31st March, 1929.

59. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$13,917 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Censor of Moving Pictures, to 31st March, 1929.

60. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$27,627 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Sheriffs' Offices, to 31st March, 1929.

61. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$3,150 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Official Guardian, to 31st March, 1929.

62. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$170,354 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Land Registry Offices, to 31st March, 1929.

63. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$79,019 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Supreme and County Courts, to 31st March, 1929.

64. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$693,681 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Provincial Police, to 31st March, 1929.

65. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$83,573 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, County Gaols, to 31st March, 1929.

66. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$28,550 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Girls' Industrial School, to 31st March, 1929.

67. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$101,350 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Administration of Justice, to 31st March, 1929.

68. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10,000 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Coroners' Inquests and Inquiries, to 31st March, 1929.

69. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10,000 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Constitutional Litigation and General Law Costs, to 31st March, 1929.

71. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,500 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Promotion of Uniformity of Legislation in Canada, to 31st March, 1929.

72. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,500 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Incidentals and Contingencies (not otherwise provided for), to 31st March, 1929.

73. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Administration of "Mothers' Pensions Act," to 31st March, 1929.

3. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$15,232 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Premier's Office to 31st March, 1929.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Mr. *Colley* presented the Fourth, Fifth, and Sixth Reports of the Select Standing Committee on Private Bills and Standing Orders, as follows:—

REPORT No. 4.

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
February 21st, 1928.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Private Bills and Standing Orders begs leave to report as follows:—

That the preamble of the undermentioned Bill has been proved, and the Bill ordered to be reported with amendments.

Bill (No. 50) intituled "An Act relating to the Corporation of the City of Victoria."
All of which is respectfully submitted.

VICTOR W. ODLUM, *Secretary*.

REPORT No. 5.

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
February 24th, 1928.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Private Bills and Standing Orders begs leave to report as follows:—

That the Standing Orders in connection with the undermentioned petition have not been complied with, inasmuch as the copy of petition, Bill, notices, and the fee were not deposited with the Clerk of the House eight clear days before the opening of the Session.

No. 4—E. E. Billinghamurst, Provisional Liquidator of the Westholme Lumber Company, Limited.

Your Committee recommends that the Standing Orders be suspended to enable the petitioner to proceed with the Bill without payment of double fees.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

VICTOR W. ODLUM, *Secretary*.

REPORT No. 6.

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
February 24th, 1928.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Private Bills and Standing Orders begs leave to report as follows:—

That the time-limit for presenting Private Bills be extended to February 28th.

That the time-limit for presenting reports be extended to March 7th.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

VICTOR W. ODLUM, *Secretary*.

The reports were read and received.

The Rules were suspended and the reports adopted.

Mr. *Jones* asked the Hon. the Minister of Labour the following questions:—

1. How many people from British Columbia were sent to the Prairies during the harvest season of 1927?

2. What is the number of unemployed at the present time in British Columbia?

The Hon. Mr. *Manson* replied as follows:—

"1. 7,703.

"2. Unplaced applicants at the various Provincial Government branches of the Employment Service of Canada on January 31st numbered 3,041."

Mr. *Pooley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands the following questions:—

1. What amount was paid to C. M. Walde for the valuation of Lot 5, Block 37, Fernie, belonging to the Viger Estate?
2. What amount was paid to the witnesses who attended the hearing before the Commissioner?
3. What amount was paid to the counsel for the Crown?
4. What amount was paid to the Commissioner?
5. What was the total succession duty and probate duty paid or payable?
6. What duty would have been payable on the basis of the estate's return to the Court and Finance Department of the value of this property?

The Hon. Dr. *MacLean* (to whom these questions should have been addressed) replied as follows:—

"1. \$15.

"2. Nil.

"3. \$116.22.

"4. \$25.

"5. Probate duty, \$7.90; succession duty, \$12.32.

"6. Probate duty, \$7.90; succession duty, nil.

"This was a test case made in this district in order to establish the point that the price of real estate obtained through the process of a tax sale was not the 'fair market value' as provided in the 'Succession Duty Act.'"

Mr. *Schofield* asked the Hon. the Minister of Public Works the following questions:—

1. What sums of money have been expended, and on what works, in North Vancouver Constituency in the years 1924, 1925, 1926, and 1927?
2. Have any contracts been let? If so, to whom?

The Hon. Dr. *Sutherland* replied as follows:—

"1 and 2. Public Accounts and Annual Reports of Minister of Public Works give this information up to the fiscal year ending March 31st, 1927."

Mr. *Jones* asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture the following questions:—

1. How many land-settlement areas have been established in the Province by the Land Settlement Board?
2. Where are they located and the area of each?
3. How many acres in each area were purchased by the Land Settlement Board?
4. What was the total sum paid for land purchased in each area?
5. What has been the total acreage sold in each area, and how many settlers were located in each area as at January 1st, 1928?
6. What have been the total cash receipts from the sale of land in each area up to January 1st, 1928?
7. What is the total sum of arrears of payment due the Land Settlement Board by purchasers, both principal and interest, as at January 1st, 1928, in each area?

The Hon. Mr. *Barrow* replied as follows:—

"1. Thirteen.

"2. Telkwa, 17,214 acres, Area No. 1; Vanderhoof, 35,358 acres, Area No. 2; Marten Lake, 14,268 acres, Area No. 4; Prince George, 18,663 acres, Area No. 5; Smithers, 5,310 acres, Area No. 6; Rose Lake, 6,521 acres, Area No. 7; Alexandria, 3,311 acres, Area No. 8; 10-Mile Lake, 4,875 acres, Area No. 9; Francois Lake, 15,621 acres, Area No. 10; Bulkley Valley, 19,232 acres, Area No. 11; Vanderhoof, 31,556 acres, Area No. 12; Fort George-Quesnel, 16,349 acres, Area No. 13; Cariboo, 4,580 acres, Area No. 14.

"3. Telkwa, 5,484 acres; Vanderhoof, 13,730 acres; Marten Lake, 3,872 acres; Prince George, 11,601 acres; Smithers, 1,368 acres; Rose Lake, 3,617 acres; Alexandria, 1,625 acres; 10-Mile Lake, 4,058 acres; Francois Lake, 3,327 acres; Bulkley Valley, 2,456.64 acres; Vanderhoof, 4,101 acres; Fort George-Quesnel, 2,014 acres; Cariboo, 767 acres.

"4. Telkwa, \$30,699.45; Vanderhoof, \$99,614.20; Marten Lake, \$17,371.72; Prince George, \$59,018.70; Smithers, \$5,318.40; Rose Lake, \$68.70; Alexandria, \$1,448.88; 10-Mile Lake,

\$16,455.54; Francois Lake, \$28,753.93; Bulkley Valley, \$7,840.99; Vanderhoof, \$2,807.13; Fort George-Quesnel, \$4,937.22; Cariboo, \$20.78.

" 5. Telkwa, 5,450 acres, 41 settlers; Vanderhoof, 9,460 acres, 58 settlers; Marten Lake, 2,332.25 acres, 14 settlers; Prince George, 7,842 acres, 48 settlers; Smithers, 765.2 acres, 6 settlers; Rose Lake, 160 acres, 1 settler; Alexandria, 320 acres, 2 settlers; 10-Mile Lake, 1,273 acres, 6 settlers; Francois Lake, 2,578 acres, 16 settlers; Bulkley Valley, 2,456.64 acres, 16 settlers; Vanderhoof, 426.7 acres, 3 settlers; Fort George-Quesnel, 1,934.96 acres, 14 settlers; Cariboo, *nil*.

" 6. Telkwa, \$24,549.98; Vanderhoof, \$45,863.12; Marten Lake, \$8,529.35; Prince George, \$25,677.45; Smithers, \$5,013.38; Rose Lake, \$478.55; Alexandria, \$176.51; 10-Mile Lake, \$2,816.35; Francois Lake, \$13,721.41; Bulkley Valley, \$4,774.52; Vanderhoof, \$1,130.90; Fort George-Quesnel, \$3,015.24; Cariboo, *nil*.

" 7. Telkwa, \$6,739.76; Vanderhoof, \$6,552.24; Marten Lake, \$3,542.37; Prince George, \$3,720.74; Smithers, *nil*; Rose Lake, \$11.17; Alexandria, *nil*; 10-Mile Lake, \$313.63; Francois Lake, \$2,430.16; Bulkley Valley, \$1,703.37; Vanderhoof, \$155.05; Fort George-Quesnel, \$1,359.86; Cariboo, *nil*."

Mr. *Bruhn* asked the Hon. the Minister of Public Works the following questions:—

1. Has a request been made by the people of Carlin and White Lake, in Salmon Arm Electoral District, for the construction of a road and bridge across Carlin Gulch connecting White Lake with Carlin Station?

2. If so, is it the intention of the Government to carry out the work, and when?

3. Why has the work not been carried out?

The Hon. Dr. *Sutherland* replied as follows:—

" 1. Yes.

" 2 and 3. Under consideration."

Mr. *Peck* asked the Hon. the Minister of Public Works the following questions:—

1. Have representations been made to the Department for providing ferry service between some point on Vancouver Island and Gabriola Island? If so, from whom?

2. Has the Department looked into the matter? If so, between what points is the proposed ferry to operate?

3. Have any steps been taken by the Government towards establishing the said proposed ferry service?

The Hon. Dr. *Sutherland* replied as follows:—

" 1. Yes.

" 2. Yes; the proposed termini are being investigated as to suitability of location, etc.

" 3. Now under consideration."

Mr. *Peck* asked the Hon. the Commissioner of Fisheries the following questions:—

1. Is the Minister aware of demand for the pelts or hides of walrus or sea-lion, existing in large numbers at the northern extremity of Vancouver Island and a menace to fish-life in the waters adjacent to the British Columbia Coast, by Scottish tanners and manufacturers of leather goods?

2. If so, are steps being taken towards profitable utilization of these destructive animals?

The Hon. Mr. *Sloan* replied as follows:—

" 1 and 2. No official information."

Mr. *Lyons* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:—

1. Is W. E. Williams employed by the Province in the fisheries case now before the Supreme Court of Canada?

2. Is the said W. E. Williams a partner of the Attorney-General?

3. If not a partner, when was the partnership dissolved?

The Hon. Mr. *Manson* replied as follows:—

" 1. Yes.

" 2. No.

" 3. 1926."

The Hon. Dr. *Sutherland* presented a Return in answer to the following questions standing on the Order Paper in the name of Mr. *Pooley*:—

1. How much money has been spent by the present Government on the extension of the Island Highway between Campbell River and Sayward: (a) At the Sayward end of the highway; (b) at the Campbell River end?
2. How many miles of road-construction has been accomplished in each section?
3. What is the total amount of money spent by the Government in the Sayward Valley on road-construction?
4. How many soldier settlers were employed on this road-construction during the last two years?
5. What was the total number of men given employment?
6. Has any compensation been paid to settlers in the Sayward Valley on claims for destruction of timber during the slashing operations for highway-construction?
7. Has any part of the contemplated roadway on which slashing operations have taken place been abandoned by the Government? If so what is the length and width and what amount of money spent on such slashing operations (if any)?
8. Is work being proceeded with on the completion of the highway between Campbell River and Sayward Valley?
9. What mileage remains still to be completed?

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2.30 o'clock p.m. on Monday next.

And then the House adjourned at 5.37 p.m.

Monday, February 27th, 1928.

HALF-PAST TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Mr. Speaker.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

4. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$13,920 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1929.
5. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$40,096 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, General Office, to 31st March, 1929.
6. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$3,180 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Workshop and Shipping Office, to 31st March, 1929.
7. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$3,970 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Statistics, to 31st March, 1929.
8. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$11,190 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Markets Commissioner, to 31st March, 1929.
9. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$47,590 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Horticultural Branch, to 31st March, 1929.
10. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$12,500 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Control of Fruit Pests, Diseases, etc., to 31st March, 1929.
11. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$27,170 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Inspection of Imported and Exported Horticultural and Field Products, to 31st March, 1929.
12. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$4,160 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Plant Pathology, to 31st March, 1929.
13. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$4,830 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Entomological Branch, to 31st March, 1929.

14. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$12,600 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Live Stock Branch, to 31st March, 1929.

15. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$28,410 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, District Agriculturists, to 31st March, 1929.

16. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$20,100 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Veterinary Branch, to 31st March, 1929.

17. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$14,700 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Poultry Branch, to 31st March, 1929.

18. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$19,000 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Field Crop Branch, to 31st March, 1929.

19. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$16,120 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Dairy Branch, to 31st March, 1929.

21. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$15,000 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Tubercular Cattle—Compensation, Supplies, Temporary Assistance, etc., to 31st March, 1929.

22. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$11,000 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Automobiles and Accessories, etc., to 31st March, 1929.

23. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$45,000 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Fairs, etc., to 31st March, 1929.

24. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$12,000 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Farmers' Institutes, etc., to 31st March, 1929.

25. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$11,000 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Women's Institutes, etc., to 31st March, 1929.

26. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$6,000 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Seed Improvement, etc., to 31st March, 1929.

27. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$4,000 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Departmental Exhibits, etc., to 31st March, 1929.

28. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,000 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Compensation *re* Foul-brood in Bees, to 31st March, 1929.

29. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,000 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Control of Noxious Weeds, etc., to 31st March, 1929.

30. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$250 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Western Canada Live Stock Union, to 31st March, 1929.

31. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$20,000 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Grants to Settlers in Aid of Purchase of Stumping-powder, to 31st March, 1929.

32. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$750 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Gopher Extermination, etc., to 31st March, 1929.

33. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$27,000 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Services hitherto paid by Dominion Government under "Agricultural Instruction Act," to 31st March, 1929.

34. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$11,000 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Grasshopper Extermination, etc., to 31st March, 1929.

35. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,500 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, "Pound District Act Amendment Act, 1918," Sec. 2, to 31st March, 1929.

37. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$600 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, In Aid of Ploughing-matches, etc., to 31st March, 1929.

38. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$250 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Bonus to Winning Exhibitors at Chicago International Exposition, to 31st March, 1929.

39. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$500 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, British Columbia Bee-keepers' Associations, to 31st March, 1929.

40. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,500 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, British Columbia Dairymen's Association, to 31st March, 1929.

41. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$250 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, British Columbia Entomological Society, to 31st March, 1929.

42. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$3,500 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, British Columbia Fruit-growers' Association, to 31st March, 1929.

43. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,000 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, British Columbia Goat-breeders' Association, to 31st March, 1929.

44. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,500 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, British Columbia Poultry Association, to 31st March, 1929.

45. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$3,000 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, British Columbia Stock-breeders' Association, to 31st March, 1929.

46. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,250 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, British Columbia Certified Seed-potato Growers' Association, to 31st March, 1929.

47. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,750 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, British Columbia Wool-growers' Associations, to 31st March, 1929.

48. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$6,000 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Promotion of Sheep Industry, to 31st March, 1929.

49. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$500 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Promotion of Swine Industry, to 31st March, 1929.

50. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$7,500 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, In Aid of Tobacco Industry, to 31st March, 1929.

51. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,200 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, In Aid of Horse-breeding, to 31st March, 1929.

52. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$3,000 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Incidentals and Contingencies, to 31st March, 1929.

74. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$31,874 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, General Office, to 31st March, 1929.

75. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$86,880 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Free Text-book Branch, to 31st March, 1929.

76. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$13,940 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Agricultural Education, to 31st March, 1929.

77. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$115,230 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Industrial Education, to 31st March, 1929.

78. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$102,210 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Inspection of Schools, to 31st March, 1929.

79. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$86,205 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Normal Schools, to 31st March, 1929.

80. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$33,650 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, School for the Deaf and the Blind, to 31st March, 1929.

81. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,584,100 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, *Per Capita* Grants, to 31st March, 1929.

82. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$685,000 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Teachers' Salaries, to 31st March, 1929.

83. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$200,000 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, School Buildings, to 31st March, 1929.

84. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$4,300 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Grants to Libraries, to 31st March, 1929.

85. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$16,300 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Examination of Teachers and High School Entrance Classes, to 31st March, 1929.

86. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$44,000 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Conveying Children to Central Schools, to 31st March, 1929.

87. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$22,000 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Summer Schools, to 31st March, 1929.

88. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$6,000 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Incidentals and Contingencies, to 31st March, 1929.

90. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Rural district school taxes, advances under sec. 26, "Public Schools Act Amendment Act," chap. 74, 1918, to 31st March, 1929.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.

Report to be considered at the next sitting.

Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

On the motion of the Hon. Dr. *MacLean*, Bill (No. 28) intituled "An Act to amend the 'British Columbia University Act'" was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be read a second time at the next sitting.

The following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and referred to the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills:—

On the motion of Mr. *I. A. Mackenzie*—Bill (No. 53) intituled "An Act relating to the Westholme Lumber Company, Limited, and the Corporation of the City of Victoria."

With the leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. Mr. *Manson*—Bill (No. 30) intituled "An Act for the Relief of The Corporation of the District of Coquitlam."

On the motion of the Hon. Dr. *MacLean*, seconded by the Hon. Mr. *Manson*, it was *Resolved*,—

That on this and all following days of the Session there shall be two distinct sittings in each day, one from 2 p.m. to 6 p.m. and the other from 8 p.m. until adjournment, unless otherwise ordered.

Mr. *Catherwood* asked the Hon. the Minister of Public Works the following questions:—

1. Were tenders called for the fill across the flats at Stave River?
2. If so, how many tenders were received; the amount of each tender; and what tender was accepted; and what was the estimated cost?
3. Did the Government consult with the Council of the municipality before classifying the above-mentioned road as a primary road, as required under section 37 (1) (a) of the "Highway Act"?

The Hon. Dr. *Sutherland* replied as follows:—

"1. Yes.

"2. Four tenders received, as follows: \$31,765 (accepted); \$35,320; \$35,926; \$57,226.50. Estimated cost, \$52,930. These sums are exclusive of surfacing.

"3. Yes."

Mr. *Jones* asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture the following questions:—

1. What has been the total sum of moneys loaned by the Land Settlement Board under the "Land Settlement Act" up to January 1st, 1928: (a) The amount loaned to farmers; (b) the amount loaned Sumas Dyking Commissioners; (c) the amount loaned all others, specifying in detail?

2. What is the total sum in arrears as at January 1st, 1928, principal and interest?

3. What was the total sum of deficits up to January 1st, 1928, during the operations of the said Board?

4. What has been the total amount paid in salaries and travelling expenses from the commencement of the Act to January 1st, 1928?

The Hon. Mr. *Barrow* replied as follows:—

"1. Amount loaned, \$4,481,329.42: (a) Loaned to farmers, \$878,566; (b) loaned to Sumas, \$3,420,069.74; (c) loaned to all others, \$182,693.68, as follows: West Nicomen Dyking District,

\$87,623.68; Cameron Drainage District, \$8,420; Surrey Dyking District, \$30,000; Comox Creamery Association, \$10,000; Pouce Coupe Creamery Association, \$5,000; Columbia Valley Association, \$5,550; Cariboo Farmers' Association, \$5,500; Salmon Arm Farmers' Association, \$8,000; Kootenay Milk Producers' Association, \$3,000; Chilliwack Growers' Association, \$9,600; Berry Growers' Co-operative Association, \$10,000.

" 2. Arrears on loans: Principal, \$65,959.95; interest, \$244,033.25; total, \$309,993.20.

" 3. \$381,269.41.

" 4. \$245,937.47."

Mr. Jones asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands the following questions:—

1. What was the sum of money that was advanced to the water districts under the Conservation Fund up to December 31st, 1923?

2. What sum of money was paid as interest on the above loans by the water districts up to December 31st, 1923?

3. What is the total sum of money that was added by the moratorium between December 31st, 1923, and February 15th, 1928?

4. What is the total sum of money due the Conservation Fund by the borrowers as at February 15th, 1928: (a) Principal; (b) interest.

The Hon. Mr. Pattullo replied as follows:—

" 1. \$2,250,963.19.

" 2. \$158,460.28.

" 3. \$593,883.28.

" 4. (a) \$2,116,357.60; (b) \$705,929.16."

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2.15 o'clock p.m. to-morrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.58 p.m.

Tuesday, February 28th, 1928.

QUARTER-PAST TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Mr. Speaker.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

108. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$540 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Labour, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1929.

109. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$17,820 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Labour, General Office, to 31st March, 1929.

110. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$7,100 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Labour, Minimum Wage Board, to 31st March, 1929.

111. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$41,751 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Labour, Employment Service, to 31st March, 1929.

112. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$9,248 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Labour, Factories Inspection, to 31st March, 1929.

113. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$217,050 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Labour, Administration of "Old-age Pensions Act," to 31st March, 1929.

114. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$13,870 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1929.

115. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$474,434 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Salaries, to 31st March, 1929.

116. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$12,500 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Temporary Assistance, to 31st March, 1929.

117. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$198,000 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Expenses (including General Office and Branches), to 31st March, 1929.

118. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$300,000 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forest Protection Fund, to 31st March, 1929.

119. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$20,000 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Lumber Trade Extension, to 31st March, 1929.

120. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$15,000 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Reconnaissance and Cruising, to 31st March, 1929.

121. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$5,000 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Insect Damage: Investigation and Control, to 31st March, 1929.

122. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$18,000 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forest Research, to 31st March, 1929.

123. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$5,000 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Land Classification, to 31st March, 1929.

124. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$5,000 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Grazing: Range Improvement, to 31st March, 1929.

125. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$35,000 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Water Surveys, to 31st March, 1929.

126. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$7,500 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, British Columbia Hydrometric Survey, to 31st March, 1929.

127. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$7,500 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, "Soldiers' Land Act," Chap. 80, 1918, to 31st March, 1929.

128. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$120,000 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Land Surveys, to 31st March, 1929.

129. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$30,000 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Photo-topographical Surveys, to 31st March, 1929.

130. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$15,000 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Lithograph Maps, to 31st March, 1929.

131. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,000 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Refunds under "Land Act"; Refunds under "Revenue Act Amendment Act, 1920," to 31st March, 1929.

132. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$5,000 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Adjustment of Rebate on Purchase Price of South Okanagan Lands, to 31st March, 1929.

133. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$5,000 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Foreshore Investigations, to 31st March, 1929.

134. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$5,000 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Parks Administration, to 31st March, 1929.

135. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10,000 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Publicity, to 31st March, 1929.

136. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10,000 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, General Investigations, to 31st March, 1929.

137. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$7,500 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Immigration, to 31st March, 1929.

138. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10,000 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Contingencies, to 31st March, 1929.

149. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$12,680 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1929.

150. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$42,078 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, General Office, to 31st March, 1929.

151. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$82,154 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Provincial Board of Health, to 31st March, 1929.

152. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$30,094 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Provincial Library and Archives, to 31st March, 1929.

153. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$17,252 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, "Public Libraries Act," to 31st March, 1929.

154. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$11,580 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Provincial Museum, to 31st March, 1929.

155. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$698,863 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Mental Hospitals, to 31st March, 1929.

156. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$32,897 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Provincial Home, Kamloops, to 31st March, 1929.

157. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$282,920 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Tubercular Hospital and Farm, to 31st March, 1929.

158. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$87,630 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Boys' Industrial School, to 31st March, 1929.

159. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$42,610 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Administration of "Infants Act," to 31st March, 1929.

160. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$18,169 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Provincial Home for Incurables, to 31st March, 1929.

161. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$627,850 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Grants to Hospitals and Charities, to 31st March, 1929.

162. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$15,270 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Civil Service Commission, to 31st March, 1929.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be read a second time at the next sitting:—

On the motion of Mr. *Hinchliffe*—Bill (No. 34) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Testator's Family Maintenance Act.'"

On the motion of the Hon. Dr. *Sutherland*—Bill (No. 29) intituled "An Act relating to The Corporation of the District of Burnaby."

On the motion of Dr. *Wrinch*—Bill (No. 31) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Produce Marketing Act.'"

With the leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. Mr. *Manson*—Bill (No. 33) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Mechanics' Lien Act.'"

The Hon. Mr. *Pattullo* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

R. RANDOLPH BRUCE,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled "An Act to amend the 'Superannuation Act,' " and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 27th, 1928.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 27) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Superannuation Act,'" a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting.

The Hon. Mr. *Manson* presented a Return to the following questions standing on the Order Paper in the name of Mr. *Catherwood*:—

1. Has any request been made during the last two years by the employees of the Liquor Control Board for an increase in salary?
2. If so, when?
3. Has any increase been granted? If so, how much and to what employees?
4. Has any request been made by the employees for life-insurance policies from the Government or the Liquor Control Board?
5. If so, when, and by whom?
6. Have any policies been issued; and, if so, how many and for what amounts?
7. If any policies have been issued, what is the total amount of premiums paid, and to whom were such premiums paid?

Mr. *Schofield* asked the Hon. the Minister of Public Works the following questions:—

1. Have any surveys been made in connection with any proposed road along the east side of Kootenay Lake to obviate the use of the present ferry between Nelson City and Kootenay Landing?
2. If so, what amount of money has been spent thereon, and when was the survey made?
3. Has any money been spent on clearing or preparing the said road? If so, how much, when was it spent, and how many men were employed?
4. If the said survey or preliminary work has been done, what is the estimated cost of the road?
5. When will it be started and when will it be completed?

The Hon. Dr. *Sutherland* replied as follows:—

- "1. Yes.
- "2. \$4,819.03, during summer of 1925.
- "3. Yes; \$9,556.61, October to December, 1927; 74 men working during November.
- "4. \$236,000, approximately.
- "5. Matter now under consideration."

Mr. *Pooley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Public Works the following questions:—

1. What was the total cost of the new bridge on the Island Highway, known as Parsons Bridge?
2. Was the work done by contract or by day-labour?
3. If by contract, what were the tenders received, who was awarded the contract, and at what figure?
4. If the work was done by contract, were there any extras? If so, what was the amount thereof; to cover what headings?
5. Is the work finished? If not, when will it be finished?
6. Are all accounts paid? If not, what amount remains unpaid?

The Hon. Dr. *Sutherland* replied as follows:—

- "1. \$41,753.03.
- "2. Day-labour.
- "3 and 4. Answered by No. 2.
- "5. Work completed.
- "6. Yes."

Mr. *Kennedy* asked the Hon. the Minister of Public Works the following questions:—

1. What was the valuation of each road, highway, and trail in British Columbia represented in the Balance-sheet as of March 31st, 1925, as being worth \$11,728,307.99?
2. What is the valuation of each road, highway, and trail in British Columbia, represented in the Balance-sheet as of March 31st, 1927, as being worth \$49,704,492.35?

The Hon. Dr. *Sutherland* replied as follows:—

"1. This valuation is for trunk and main roads only, which was first shown in Public Accounts, March 31st, 1917, \$4,130,254.48, made up as follows:—

Main and trunk roads—

Trans-Provincial Road	\$274,277.42
Cariboo Trunk Road	494,147.75
Columbia Trunk Road	238,158.92
Cranbrook Trunk Road	54,845.13
Dewdney Trunk Road	85,889.36

International Highway—

Delta	84,144.37
Kingsway	267,000.90
Vancouver Island Trunk Road	846,874.16

Atlin—

Burdette-O'Donnel	18,320.72
Telegraph Creek-Dease	37,518.78

Alberni—

Clayoquot-Ucluelet	32,707.91
San Josef Valley	51,974.10

Banff-Windermere

Cranbrook-Gateway

Elko-Waldo

Fort George-Giscome

Kamloops—

Hemingsville-Thompson

North Thompson

South Thompson

Okanagan—Westside Lake Shore

Phoenix-Summit

Richmond—Gibson-Sechelt

Similkameen—Fairview-Penticton

Sinclair Diversion—Columbia

Skeena—

Main Interior

Keefe's Landing

\$3,307,345.31

Strathcona Park, Vancouver Island

Marine Drive—

North and West Vancouver

Point Grey, including Fourth Avenue and Granville Street, Vancouver

Sidewalks and sewers, Stewart

\$4,130,254.48

"To this amount in each subsequent year was added the cost of construction charged to Capital Accounts as shown in the Public Accounts and Public Works Report; up to and including the final year ended March 31st, 1925.

"2. In the Public Accounts, March 31st, 1926, Balance-sheet, page N vi., is shown under Capital Assets, 'Highways, \$48,111,300,' which item is explained by Comptroller-General on page N xi. The valuation as of March 31st, 1927, is the capital expenditure on roads during fiscal year 1926-27, \$1,593,192.35, as shown in Public Accounts and Public Works Reports, added to the amount shown in the previous years' reports."

Mr. *Bruhn* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:—

1. Has the Fire Marshal furnished a report on the fire which occurred at the Royal Alexandra Apartments, Vancouver?
2. If a report has been received, what was the nature thereof?
3. To what cause did the Fire Marshal attribute the said fire?
4. Will the Attorney-General table a copy of the report?

The Hon. Mr. *Manson* replied as follows:—

- "1. Yes.
- "2. Full. Will make report available to any honourable member upon request.
- "3. See answer to question 2.
- "4. See answer to question 2."

Mr. *Catherwood* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:—

1. How many distilleries are there in the Province?
2. How many distilleries are selling to the Liquor Control Board?
3. What tax or licence do they pay to the Provincial Government?
4. How many men are regularly employed in each distillery?
5. How much liquor was purchased from each distillery within the Province during the past year?
6. How much spirituous liquor was purchased from outside the Province during the past year?

The Hon. Mr. *Manson* replied as follows:—

- "1. Distilleries licensed by Dominion Government.
- "2. One.
- "3. None.
- "4. No information.
- "5 and 6. See Sixth Annual Report of the Liquor Control Board."

Mr. *Jones* asked the Hon. the Minister of Public Works the following questions:—

1. What have been the total receipts from the Kelowna-Westbank Ferry for the year ending January 1st, 1928, since the Government assumed operation?
2. What have been the total expenditures on the said ferry during this period?
3. How many passengers were transported during this period?
4. How many automobiles and trucks were transported?

The Hon. Dr. *Sutherland* replied as follows:—

- "1 and 2. The Profit and Loss Statement, April 1st to December 31st, 1927, is as follows:—

Receipts		\$16,153.20	
Less unredeemed commutation tickets		58.80	
			\$16,094.40
Operating expense—			
Wages	\$9,050.00		
Fuel	930.95		
Miscellaneous supplies	1,309.83		
Sundry expenses	268.38		
Repairs to engine and hull	442.39		
Repairs to landings	1,007.55		
Allowance for overhaul	225.00		
Allowance for depreciation	1,340.29		
Allowance for relief ferry	215.32		
Financial expense—			
Interest at 5 per cent. on capital investment of \$43,741.25	1,640.29		
Deficit			636.20
		\$16,730.60	\$16,730.60

- "3. 33,053.

- "4. Automobiles, 13,989; trucks, 1,140."

Mr. *Catherwood* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:—

1. How many licensed breweries are there in the Province?
2. How many breweries sell beer to the Liquor Control Board?
3. What licence fee does a brewery pay to the Provincial Government?
4. How many men are employed in each brewery in the Province?
5. How much beer was purchased by the Liquor Control Board last year from breweries within the Province?
6. How much beer was purchased by the Liquor Control Board last year from outside the Province?
7. Were any breweries prosecuted last year for violation of the law, or have any been prosecuted during the past six years? If so, for what cause, and what breweries were so prosecuted?

The Hon. Mr. *Manson* replied as follows:—

- "1. Breweries are licensed by the Dominion Government.
- "2. Eleven.
- "3. Nil.
- "4. No information.
- "5. See Sixth Annual Report of the Liquor Control Board.
- "6. None.
- "7. None within the last year; one within the last six years—namely, The Cranbrook Brewing Co., Ltd., for an offence against section 54 of the 'Government Liquor Act.' In addition, disciplining action was taken by the Board against the Rainier Brewing Co., Ltd., and Westminster Brewery, Ltd."

Mr. *Hayward* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands the following questions:—

Referring to questions and answers, Votes and Proceedings No. 23:—

1. What is the name and address of each firm from whom motor-cars and trucks were purchased?
2. What amount was allowed in each case on old car or truck?

The Hon. Mr. *Pattullo* replied as follows:—

"1. Quesnel Garage, Quesnel; M. Dumond, Asheroff; Dearborn Motors, Kamloops; Nelson Transfer, Nelson; Hanson's Garage, Cranbrook; Fernie Garage, Fernie; Peebles Garage, Nelson; R. Buerge, Needles; W. T. Blair, Penticton; Burr Motors, Princeton; Taylor Motors, Kelowna; Sutherland Garage, Kimberley; Prince George Motors, Prince George; Henry Motors, Smithers; Burns Lake Garage, Burns Lake; Shillings Garage, Vernon; Fraser Motors, New Westminster; Vancouver Motors, Vancouver; Weeks Motors, Nanaimo; T. Pitt, Ltd., Duncan; Blunt & Passie, Courtenay; Begg Motors, Victoria; Day, Smith & Co., Vancouver; Begg Bros., Ltd., Vancouver; Kootenay Garage, Nelson.

"2. 3 at \$175, 5 at \$100, 4 at \$125, 3 at \$75, 1 at \$50, 1 at \$260, 2 at \$150, 2 at \$160, 1 at \$185, 1 at \$290, 1 at \$240, 1 at \$300, 3 at \$200, 1 at \$325, 2 at \$225, 1 at \$450, and 1 at \$375."

Mr. *Peck* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands the following questions:—

1. Is it the intention of the Government to sell the Kitsault Indian Reserve at Alice Arm or any part of it?
2. If so, will it be done by public auction?
3. Have any offers been received for this reserve?
4. If so, from whom?
5. If the reserve is sold, what does the Government propose to do with the buildings now located on it?

The Hon. Mr. *Pattullo* replied as follows:—

- "1. Under the terms of the agreement with the Dominion Government regarding 'cut-offs' this reserve will be sold.
- "2. Under the terms of the above agreement, yes.
- "3. No official knowledge of any.
- "4. Answered by No. 3.
- "5. Will be considered when land is offered for sale."

Mr. *Kennedy* asked the Hon. the Minister of Public Works the following questions:—

1. Has a new bridge been built by the Government during the past year across Kingfisher Creek, near Mabel Lake?
2. If yes, was it necessary to build temporary bridge to carry traffic during construction?
3. Give names of all employed on this work, together with dates and amounts paid to each?
4. What was the total cost to the Government?

The Hon. Dr. *Sutherland* replied as follows:—

- "1. Yes.
- "2. Yes.
- "3. Return tabled by the Hon. the Minister of Public Works.
- "4. \$5,311.43."

Mr. *Lister* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:—

1. How many export liquor houses are there in this Province?
2. What is the tax or licence fee imposed by the Provincial Government on each?
3. How many liquor concerns in this Province have Customs bond?
4. What are the names and were there any new ones during the past year?
5. How many of these have been consented to by the Attorney-General of this Province?
6. Has any request for cancellation of any of these ever been made by the Attorney-General to the Minister of Customs?
7. Has any ever been cancelled? If so, what companies and for what cause?
8. Does the Scottish Canadian Exporters Liquor Company hold a licence from the Liquor Control Board? If so, when was it taken out? When was this company registered?
9. Has this company been prosecuted for violation of the law during the past year?
10. Has the Liquor Board or the Attorney-General's Department cancelled the licence or taken any action? If not, why not?

The Hon. Mr. *Manson* replied as follows:—

- "1. Nine.
- "2. See section 63, 'Government Liquor Act.'
- "3. Customs bonds are granted by Federal Government.
- "4. See answer to question 3.
- "5. None by present Attorney-General.
- "6. Yes, on various occasions, and also before the Royal Commission on Customs and before the Interprovincial Conference.
- "7. It is understood that the Minister of National Revenue has agreed to request of the Attorney-General and that formal notice has been served by him on bonded warehouse licensees that no more liquor is to be taken into bond after February 29th, and that stocks must be disposed of by June 30th, 1928.
- "8. Yes. December 28th, 1927.
- "9. Yes.
- "10. No, as no authority exists. Provincial jurisdiction does not extend to trade and commerce."

Mr. *Lister* asked the Hon. the Minister of Public Works the following questions:—

1. Were any improvements carried out during the year 1927 on the Barnet-Hastings Road, leading into Vancouver City?
2. If so, what was the nature thereof and what was the cost?

The Hon. Dr. *Sutherland* replied as follows:—

- "1. Yes.
- "2. Approximately 2.6 miles of cement concrete paving 18 feet wide, costing to date \$132,565.39, with outstanding accounts estimated at \$5,485, making a total of \$138,050.39, of which amount the Corporation of Burnaby's share is \$51,644.61. Additional work comprised improvement of alignment at Delta Avenue, including excavation, moving street-car tracks and high-tension poles, and compensation for land, and costing approximately \$10,000; also gravel-surfacing portions on the south side of road between Boundary Road and Sperling Avenue, part

of the cost of which is allotted to the Corporation of Burnaby, who also assumed the additional cost incidental to the changes made in type and location of pavement, these changes being made at the request of the municipality."

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2.15 o'clock p.m. to-morrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.23 p.m.

Wednesday, February 29th, 1928.

QUARTER-PAST TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Mr. Speaker.

The Hon. Mr. *Barrow* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

R. RANDOLPH BRUCE,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled "An Act to provide Relief for the Sumas Drainage, Dyking, and Development District," and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 28th, 1928.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 32) intituled "An Act to provide Relief for the Sumas Drainage, Dyking, and Development District," a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting.

Mr. *Colley* presented a petition from Mattock Bros., Barclay Bros., S. Ramage, and others, praying that the main-line territory from Chase to Lytton remain within the scope of the "Produce Marketing Act."

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion moved by Mr. *Woodward* on February 14th, as follows:—

Whereas the Dominion of Canada is rich in the natural raw products required to make a rich, happy, and prosperous people:

And whereas our minerals are the subject of much inquiry by outside capitalists who are looking for safe investments:

And whereas we have five Provinces in this great Dominion of Canada containing from 10 to 20 per cent. of the total coal wealth of the entire world:

And whereas coal-mines in Canada now operating and shipping coal are very much handicapped in finding profitable markets for their coal in the Provinces of Quebec and Ontario, which are the centre of population in Canada, because of their long railroad-haul:

Be it therefore Resolved, That this Legislative Assembly of the Province of British Columbia, now in Session, believes it would be in the interest of the Dominion of Canada to grant and pay

a subsidy to the railroad for the carrying of coal from each Province, on a graduated scale to be regulated by the Railroad Commission as per the distance of each Province from the markets of Ontario and Quebec.

And be it further Resolved, That, an humble Address be presented to His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, praying that a copy of this Resolution and Preamble be transmitted to the Honourable the Secretary of State or other proper official at Ottawa.

And, further, that copies of same be sent to each and every Provincial Government.

Motion agreed to.

Mr. *Davie* rose to a point of order with reference to the notice of motion standing on the Order Paper in the name of Mr. *Stoddart* relating to the extension of the Pacific Great Eastern Railway to Prince George.

Mr. Speaker reserved his decision.

Mr. *Davie* moved, seconded by Mr. *Bruhn*,—

Whereas it has been publicly announced that there is to be an exchange of Ministers between Canada and Japan:

And whereas it is the intention of this Legislature, representing the people of this Province, to do the utmost in its power to prevent further encroachment upon industrial, trading, and agricultural activities within British Columbia by any race or races whose customs or practices or economic standards of living may threaten eventually to lower the recognized Canadian standard of living, and of any race or races with whom it is not desirable that Canadians should intermarry:

And whereas the treaty between Great Britain and Japan in 1911, as ratified in part by the Canadian Parliament in 1913, is inimical to this Province in so far as such treaty has, as its effect, the prevention of the successful enactment by this Legislature of legislation designed to curb the present unfair competition, in the Province, of Japanese with Canadian citizens:

Be it therefore Resolved, That the Dominion Government be requested to abrogate the said treaty in so far as it interferes with the rights of this Legislature to deal with Japanese and to declare the extent of their civil rights.

And be it further Resolved, That the Dominion Government be requested not to allow any further naturalization as Canadians of any member of such races hereinbefore referred to.

And be it further Resolved, That an humble Address be presented to His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, praying that he will cause to be conveyed to the Secretary of State for Canada the foregoing Preamble and Resolution.

A debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Twigg*, adjourned to the next sitting.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

139. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$12,185 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1929.

140. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$19,972 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines, General Office, to 31st March, 1929.

141. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$42,210 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines, Mines Inspection Branch, to 31st March, 1929.

142. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$17,124 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines, Bureau of Mines, to 31st March, 1929.

143. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$14,080 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines, Mine-rescue and Training Stations, to 31st March, 1929.

144. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$12,500 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines, Grants, to 31st March, 1929.

145. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$120,000 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines, "Mines Development Act," Supplementary, to 31st March, 1929.

146. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$42,000 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines, "Mineral Survey and Development Act," Supplementary, to 31st March, 1929.

147. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$4,000 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines, Subsidy *re* Explosives to assist *Bona-fide* Mineral Prospectors, to 31st March, 1929.

148. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$8,000 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines, Advertising, Incidentals, and Contingencies, to 31st March, 1929.

107. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$19,620 be granted to His Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Fisheries, to 31st March, 1929.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.

Report to be considered at the next sitting.

Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

On the motion of Mr. Neclands, seconded by Mr. Browne, it was *Resolved*,—

That the Order for the second reading of Bill (No. 26) intituled "An Act to incorporate the Hairdressers of British Columbia" be discharged, and that the Bill be referred to a Select Committee consisting of Mrs. Smith and Messrs. Coventry, Munn, Kennedy, and McPherson, with power to report its findings and recommendations to the House.

Dr. Wrinch presented the Fourth Report of the Select Standing Committee on Agriculture, as follows:—

REPORT No. 4.

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,

February 29th, 1928.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Agriculture begs leave to report as follows:—

That we have now considered all the recommendations as submitted to this Committee by the Advisory Board of the Farmers' Institutes and associates, and in concluding our report on their recommendations have endorsed their resolution as follows:—

Medical Service to Settlers and Health Insurance.—It is recommended that a careful and sympathetic consideration be given to the needs of settlers in outlying districts through the lack and high cost of medical service and the advisability of State assistance in this matter, to be given through the establishment of some form of health insurance and maternity benefits, which, in our opinion, should be contributory.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

H. C. WRINCH, *Chairman*.

The report was read and received.

Mr. Munn presented two reports from the Select Committee appointed on January 25th, 1927, *re* the "Mothers' Pensions Act" and the "Workmen's Compensation Act," as follows:—

REPORT No. 1.

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,

February 9th, 1928.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Committee appointed to examine the provisions of the "Workmen's Compensation Act" begs leave to report as follows:—

On January 25th, 1927, a Select Committee of this House was appointed to examine the provisions of the "Workmen's Compensation Act" and the "Mothers' Pensions Act" and the regulations made thereunder, and to inquire into the administration thereof, and generally to investigate the effects and results of the said Acts and to report its findings to the House.

The appointment of the Committee was well advertised throughout the Province; a number of public sittings were held. The attendance altogether with the correspondence received by the different members proved that the press of the Province had given excellent publicity to the existence and purpose of the Committee.

Representatives of various organizations, trades-unions, and a number of individuals attended the sittings of the Committee. The three members of the Workmen's Compensation

Board attended each sitting of the Committee, prepared to answer any questions. In every instance any files asked for were promptly placed in the hands of the Committee.

The creation of some form of appeal was suggested by several of the complaining witnesses, but while the trades-unions may be safely assumed to represent the intelligent opinion of labour, it is a significant fact that no trades-union or workmen's organization has asked for the creation of a court of appeal; and the same is true of the employers' and medical organizations. The various railwaymen's organizations definitely opposed any appeal.

Your Committee does not feel justified in recommending any change in this respect at the present time.

Your Committee feels that, in justice to the workers, it is advisable that certain diseases, directly traceable to labour in various industries, and which are not now classified as "industrial diseases," be so classified.

Your Committee, while recognizing the efficiency of the administration of the "Workmen's Compensation Act," after considering many individual cases, is of the opinion that if more elasticity were shown in the administration of that Act it would tend to diminish materially the possibility of irritation and dissatisfaction arising.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. E. MUNN.
TOM UPHILL.
MARY E. SMITH.
A. MCC. CREERY.
J. HINCHLIFFE.

REPORT No. 2.

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
February 9th, 1928.

MR. SPEAKER :

Your Select Committee appointed to examine the provisions of the "Mothers' Pensions Act" and to inquire into the administration of that Act begs leave to report as follows:—

A Select Committee of the House was appointed on January 25th, 1927, and assigned the duty of examining the provisions of the "Mothers' Pensions Act" and inquiring into the administration of that Act.

The Committee held a number of public sittings, the purposes of which were made generally known through the newspapers. All those who had any matters they wished to discuss, either on the Statute itself or the manner in which it was being administered, were given the fullest opportunity to make any representations or offer any criticisms they wished.

There was some general discussion as to widening the scope of the Act so as to cover certain types of border-line cases. All the cases brought to the attention of the Committee were gone into carefully with three members of the Mothers' Pensions Board, who appeared and produced the files covering the cases under discussion. In each and every case where assistance had not been granted it was apparent that under the Statute the applicant could not fulfil the qualifications necessary to participate in its benefits. In each case the Board had endeavoured to ascertain all the salient facts, and it was due to lack of jurisdiction that the claims were disallowed.

It is evident that the Act must prescribe certain definite limits. That cases will fall just outside the Statute is inevitable regardless of whether the limits are widened or restricted.

As a result of our own observations and from the evidence adduced, we are of the opinion that the "Mothers' Pensions Act" is being efficiently administered; therefore your Committee after a year's deliberation do recommend that, if possible, a reciprocal arrangement be entered into between the Provinces, whereby recipients of the mothers' pension who may for one reason or another have to leave one Province and go to another be not debarred from receiving the pension.

Your Committee is of the opinion that if a widow be left with a house worth over \$1,500, instead of being forced to dispose of it before she can qualify for the mothers' pension, as at present, she should be allowed to retain the house, but that in her case 5 per cent. of the value of the house over and above \$1,500 should be annually deducted from the maximum pension allowed.

Your Committee is also of the opinion that a similar principle should be adopted in regard to widows who have more than \$500 in money. The surplus over and above \$500 should be deposited with the Government and the full amount of the pension be paid. Upon the determination of the pension the original sum deposited with the Government would be returned to the widow or her beneficiaries.

We recommend that the matters dealt with in this report be carefully considered by the Legislature, as the adoption of some of these recommendations would entail an amendment to the Act.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. E. MUNN.
TOM UPHILL.
MARY E. SMITH.
A. McC. CREERY.
J. HINCHLIFFE.

The reports were received.

Mr. Gray presented the report of the Select Committee appointed on February 14th on Bill (No. 21) intituled "An Act to include the Inhabitants of The Corporation of The District of South Vancouver and the Corporation of Point Grey, and the Respective Areas thereof, within the City of Vancouver," as follows:—

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
February 29th, 1928.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Committee on "Greater Vancouver Act" begs to report the Bill with amendments to the House.

A. WELLS GRAY, *Chairman.*

The report was received.

The Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

On the motion of Mr. I. A. Mackenzie, it was *Ordered* that Bill (No. 21) intituled "An Act to include the Inhabitants of The Corporation of The District of South Vancouver and the Corporation of Point Grey, and the Respective Areas thereof, within the City of Vancouver" be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting.

Mr. Catherwood asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture the following questions:—

1. Is the assessment on Sumas Reclamation Project issued by the Dyking Commissioner valid?

2. How many Courts of Revision have been held? When and where?

In answer to the above the Hon. Mr. Barrow presented a Return.

Mr. Jones asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture the following questions:—

1. What is the total construction cost, including interest and maintenance, of the Sumas drainage and dyking scheme to December 31st, 1927?

2. What has been the total sum of money advanced by the Land Settlement Board to the Sumas drainage and dyking reclamation up to December 31st, 1927, including interest and all moneys advanced?

3. For what work or improvement was this sum expended and what was the amount expended on each?

4. What acreage supervised by the Dyking Commissioner was cropped during 1927?

5. What was the quantity of products produced?

6. What returns in cash have been received?

7. What was the total expense of the farming operations carried on under supervision of the Dyking Commissioner?

8. Did the Dyking Commissioner make a profit or a loss in these operations?

9. Has the Land Settlement Board and the Dyking Commissioner made any profit or loss in operating this project since 1924? If so, how much and to whom is this debited or credited?

In answer to the above the Hon. Mr. Barrow presented a Return.

Mr. Jones asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture the following questions:—

1. In the estimates for the reclamation of Sumas Lake, was an item included for the dredging of Marshall Creek?
2. What was the estimated cost?
3. Has the Government, or the Land Settlement Board, undertaken this work? If so, how much has been spent?
4. If not, when is the Government, or the Land Settlement Board, or Dyking Commissioner going to undertake it?

In answer to the above the Hon. Mr. Barrow presented a Return.

Mr. Jones asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture the following questions:—

1. What acreage of land has been sold in the Sumas drainage and dyking scheme by the Land Settlement Board and Dyking Commissioner?
2. For what price has it been sold?
3. What sum of money has been received in cash from sales?
4. How many settlers have located on these lands as a result of these sales?
5. How many barns have been built by the Dyking Commissioner and what has been the cost incurred?
6. To what account is this amount charged?
7. What quantity of hay, grain, etc., were stored in these barns?

In answer to the above the Hon. Mr. Barrow presented a Return.

Mr. Jones asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture the following questions:—

1. What was the total sum levied on the area in the Sumas Reclamation Project during 1926 and 1927, respectively, for repayment of moneys advanced by the Land Settlement Board?
2. What amount was paid by the land-owners of Sumas in 1926 and 1927, respectively?
3. What is the total amount of repayments due and unpaid as at January 1st, 1928?
4. What steps does the Government propose to take in the collection of these unpaid levies?

In answer to the above the Hon. Mr. Barrow presented a Return.

Mr. Hinchliffe asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands the following questions:—

1. Has the Government sold or otherwise disposed of its interest in the Kitsilano Indian Reserve?
2. If so, to whom, and for what consideration?
3. Does the sale or disposal (if any) include the foreshore rights?

The Hon. Mr. Pattullo replied as follows:—

"1. The Government has agreed to transfer all its right in the Kitsilano Indian Reserve to the Dominion of Canada, as represented by the Superintendent-General of Indian Affairs, for the sum of \$350,000.

"2. Answered by No. 1.

"3. No."

Mr. Davie asked the Hon. the Minister of Public Works the following questions:—

1. What has been the total sums of money expended by the Department of Public Works on roads and bridges in the Cowichan-Newcastle Electoral District during each of the years 1920, 1921, 1922, 1923, 1924, 1925, 1926, and 1927, setting out the amounts for each year and treating the Districts of Cowichan and Newcastle separately?
2. What is the road mileage in, respectively, Cowichan and Newcastle, under Provincial Government jurisdiction?

The Hon. Dr. Sutherland replied as follows:—

"1. This information is contained in the Annual Reports of the Hon. the Minister of Public Works.

"2. Cowichan, 145 miles; Newcastle, 241 miles."

Mr. *Catherwood* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:—

1. What are the names of all firms, except breweries, who during 1926-27 sold liquor to the Government?
2. What are the names of all persons who during 1926-27 sold liquor to the Government, whether agents of companies or not, with their addresses?

The Hon. Mr. *Manson* replied as follows:—

- "1. See Sixth Annual Report of the Liquor Control Board, Appendix A.
- "2. See Sixth Annual Report of the Liquor Control Board, Appendix A."

Mr. *W. A. McKenzie* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:—

1. Is there a Liquor Vendor's Store at Telegraph Creek? If yes, who is the Liquor Vendor?
2. What were the receipts from the Telegraph Creek Liquor Vendor's Store for the year 1927?

The Hon. Mr. *Manson* replied as follows:—

- "1. Yes. H. W. Dodd.
- "2. See Appendix C, Sixth Annual Report of the Liquor Control Board."

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2.15 o'clock p.m. to-morrow.
And then the House adjourned at 5.35 p.m.

Thursday, March 1st, 1928.

QUARTER-PAST TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Mr. Speaker.

Mr. *Perry* rose to a matter of privilege, and on his motion, seconded by Mr. *Browne*, it was *Resolved*,—

Whereas the Rules require that reports of Select and Standing Committees shall be made to this House, it is to be regretted that information was given to certain sections of the press regarding some alleged findings made by the Private Bills Committee at its *en camera* meeting held yesterday.

The Hon. Mr. *Pattullo* (on behalf of the Hon. Dr. *MacLean*) presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

R. RANDOLPH BRUCE,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled "An Act to amend the 'Poll-tax Act,'" and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 1st, 1928.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 37) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Poll-tax Act,'" a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting.

The Hon. Mr. *Pattullo* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

R. RANDOLPH BRUCE,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled "An Act to amend the 'Infants Act,'" and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 1st, 1928.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 38) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Infants Act,'" a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting.

The Hon. Mr. *Pattullo* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

R. RANDOLPH BRUCE,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled "An Act to amend the 'Provincial Elections Act,'" and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 1st, 1928.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 35) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Provincial Elections Act,'" a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting.

The Hon. Mr. *Pattullo* (on behalf of the Hon. Dr. *MacLean*) presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

R. RANDOLPH BRUCE,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled "An Act to borrow the Sum of Four million six hundred thousand Dollars for the Purposes therein specified," and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 1st, 1928.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 41) intituled "An Act to borrow the Sum of Four million six hundred thousand Dollars for the Purposes therein specified," a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting.

The Hon. Mr. *Barrow* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

R. RANDOLPH BRUCE,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled "An Act to amend the 'Land Settlement and Development Act,'" and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 1st, 1928.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 36) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Land Settlement and Development Act,'" a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the second reading of Bill (No. 18) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Woodmen's Lien for Wages Act.'"

Bill read a second time.
To be committed at the next sitting.

On the second reading of Bill (No. 25) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Semi-monthly Payment of Wages Act'" a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Perry*, adjourned to the next sitting.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 31) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Produce Marketing Act'" Mr. *I. A. Mackenzie* rose to a point of order.

Mr. Speaker reserved his decision.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the second reading of Bill (No. 20) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Small Debts Courts Act.'"

Bill read a second time.
To be committed at the next sitting.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the second reading of Bill (No. 9) intituled "An Act to provide for the Imposition and Collection of a Tax on Gasoline."

On the motion of Mr. *Pooley*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the second reading of Bill (No. 19) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Water Act.'"

On the motion of Mr. *McPherson*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting.

On the second reading of the amendments to Bill (No. 16) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Provincial Parks Act,'" introduced by Message on February 22nd, a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Pooley*, adjourned to the next sitting.

On the motion of the Hon. Mr. *Barrow*, the Order for the second reading of Bill (No. 7) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Noxious Weeds Act'" was discharged, and the Bill *Ordered* to be dropped from the Order Paper.

Bill (No. 22) intituled "An Act respecting Canadian Farm Loans" was read a second time. To be committed at the next sitting.

Bill (No. 24) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Insurance Act'" was read a second time. To be committed at the next sitting.

Bill (No. 28) intituled "An Act to amend the 'British Columbia University Act'" was read a second time. To be committed at the next sitting.

Bill (No. 29) intituled "An Act relating to The Corporation of the District of Burnaby" was read a second time. To be committed at the next sitting.

On the second reading of Bill (No. 27) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Superannuation Act'" a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Twigg*, adjourned to the next sitting.

On the second reading of Bill (No. 33) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Mechanics' Lien Act'" a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Peck*, adjourned to the next sitting.

On the second reading of Bill (No. 34) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Testator's Family Maintenance Act'" a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *I. A. Mackenzie*, adjourned to the next sitting.

On the second reading of Bill (No. 21) intituled "An Act to include the Inhabitants of The Corporation of The District of South Vancouver and the Corporation of Point Grey, and the Respective Areas thereof, within the City of Vancouver" a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Hinchliffe*, adjourned to the next sitting.

On the second reading of Bill (No. 32) intituled "An Act to provide Relief for the Sumas Drainage, Dyking, and Development District" a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Jones*, adjourned to the next sitting.

Bill (No. 52) intituled "An Act to perpetuate certain Building Restrictions in certain Portions of District Lot 550, in the City of North Vancouver, which front on Grand Boulevard in said City" was read a second time. To be committed at the next sitting.

On the second reading of Bill (No. 50) intituled "An Act relating to the Corporation of the City of Victoria" a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *I. A. Mackenzie*, adjourned to the next sitting.

Bill (No. 17) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Trust Companies Act'" was committed. Progress reported. Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Bill (No. 8) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Municipalities Aid Act'" was committed. Reported complete without amendment. Report adopted. Third reading at the next sitting.

Bill (No. 23) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Electrical Energy Inspection Act'" was committed.

Reported complete without amendment.

Report adopted.

Third reading at the next sitting.

The Hon. Mr. *Manson* presented the Annual Report of the Workmen's Compensation Board for the Year ended December 31st, 1927.

On the motion of Dr. *Wrinch*, the Fourth Report of the Select Standing Committee on Agriculture was adopted.

Mr. *Schofield* asked the Hon. the Minister of Public Works the following questions:—

1. What work has been done on the road between Dutch Creek and Invermere on the west side of Windermere Lake?

2. Has a new road been started? If so, were any surveys made in connection therewith? If yes, by whom were the surveys made and what was the cost?

3. What is the total mileage of the new road between Dutch Creek and Invermere?

4. How many miles have been constructed?

5. What further work is required to complete it?

6. How much money has been spent on this road yearly since it was started to the end of December, 1927?

7. How much money has been expended in all?

8. How much money will have to be expended to complete the road, including gravelling, over and above what has already been expended?

9. Who has been in charge of this work since its commencement?

10. Has any of this work been let by contract? If so, who were the contractors, what was the amount of their bids, and what was the amount paid them respectively?

11. How many teams of horses were employed during each year in its construction?

12. Who owns the teams and how much money was paid each teamster?

The Hon. Dr. *Sutherland* replied as follows:—

"1. New road partially constructed.

"2. Yes; survey by D. McMillan. Cost, \$2,865.97.

"3. 15.4 miles.

"4. 11.5 miles.

"5. Grading 3.9 miles, gravelling 15.4 miles.

"6. 1923, \$735.78; 1924, \$1,978.04; 1925, \$7,149.63; 1926, \$8,998.82; 1927, \$12,499.62.

"7. \$31,361.89.

"8. \$18,500, estimated.

"9. Foreman A. Ritchie under supervision of Assistant District Engineer.

"10. No.

"11. 1925, 8 teams; 1926, 8 teams; 1927, 9 teams.

"12. Teams owned by J. Duncan, W. Armstrong, C. Fitzsimmons, J. Hill, and Invermere Meat Market. Teamsters paid \$3.75 per day."

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2.15 o'clock p.m. to-morrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.57 p.m.