

Tuesday, March 1st, 1960

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Brigadier C. Milley.

On the motion of Mr. Turner, Bill (No. 64) intituled "An Act respecting Fair Accommodation Practices" was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be read a second time at the next sitting after to-day.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

4. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$25,352 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1961.

5. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$102,260 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, General Administration, to 31st March, 1961.

6. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$182,999 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Markets and Statistics Branch, to 31st March, 1961.

7. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$173,271 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Horticultural Branch, to 31st March, 1961.

8. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$24,686 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Plant Pathology Branch, to 31st March, 1961.

9. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$20,340 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Entomology Branch, to 31st March, 1961.

10. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$19,097 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Apiary Branch, to 31st March, 1961.

11. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$419,363 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Live Stock Branch, to 31st March, 1961.

12. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$60,806 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Dairy Branch, to 31st March, 1961.

13. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$65,758 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Poultry Branch, to 31st March, 1961.

14. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$57,596 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Field Crops and Seed Improvement Branch, to 31st March, 1961.

15. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$11,510 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Farmers' Institutes, to 31st March, 1961.

16. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$12,722 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Women's Institutes, to 31st March, 1961.

17. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$80,471 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Soil Survey Branch, to 31st March, 1961.

18. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$252,579 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Development and Extension Branch, to 31st March, 1961.

19. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$49,724 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Engineering Branch, to 31st March, 1961.

20. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$28,738 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, 4-H Clubs, to 31st March, 1961.

21. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$12,328 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Land Settlement Board, to 31st March, 1961.

22. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$16,113 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Dyking Commission, to 31st March, 1961.

23. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$81,197 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Southern Okanagan Lands Project, to 31st March, 1961.

24. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$100,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Okanagan Flood-control, to 31st March, 1961.

25. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$69,532 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Milk Board, to 31st March, 1961.

26. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$77,800 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Temporary Assistance, to 31st March, 1961.

27. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$13,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Motor-vehicles and Accessories, to 31st March, 1961.

28. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$70,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Grants and Subsidies, to 31st March, 1961.

29. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$15,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Rebates on Stumping-powder, to 31st March, 1961.

30. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$50,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Subsidies on Agricultural Lime, to 31st March, 1961.

31. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Federal-Provincial Farm Labour Service, to 31st March, 1961.

32. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,000,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Recoverable Expenditure, Farmers' Land-clearing Assistance, to 31st March, 1961.

33. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$19,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Farmers' Domestic Water Assistance, to 31st March, 1961.

34. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Investigation of Doukhobor Lands, to 31st March, 1961.

35 (Statutory). *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$750 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, "Pound District Act," to 31st March, 1961.

36 (Statutory). *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$32,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, "Grasshopper-control Act," to 31st March, 1961.

37 (Statutory). *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,200 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, "Natural Products Marketing (B.C.) Act," to 31st March, 1961.

38. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$30,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Pest-control, to 31st March, 1961.

39. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$70,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Fraser River Bank Protection, to 31st March, 1961.

40. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$16,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Assistance to Seed-cleaning Plant Construction, to 31st March, 1961.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* (Minister of Lands and Forests) presented the Report of the Lands Service for the Year ended December 31st, 1959.

By leave of the House, the following notice of questions, standing on the Order Paper in the name of Mr. *Eddie*, was withdrawn:—

With reference to the "Department of Commercial Transport Act, 1959":—

1. What was the amount collected by way of overload permits under this Act to December 31st, 1959?

2. What was the amount collected by way of oversize permits under this Act to December 31st, 1959?

3. What was the total cost of administration, including salaries for the collection of overload and oversize permits?

Mr. *Gregory* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

1. When was work commenced on the cut and bridge known as the Nechako structure at Prince George?

2. When was work stopped?

3. Why was work stopped?

4. What was the cost of the cut and bridge to December 31st, 1959?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. Work on cut south end of bridge commenced November, 1956; work on bridge commenced December, 1956.
- “ 2. December, 1957.
- “ 3. Weather conditions.
- “ 4. \$1,330,221; this figure includes the cost of crushing and stock-piling of 180,000 tons of gravel surfacing material.”

Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With reference to the road between Ruby Creek and Seabird Bluffs:—

1. Were tenders called for construction or reconstruction on the road?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) which companies submitted bids and for what amount; (b) was a contract granted and, if so, what was the successful bid; (c) did the successful company run into financial difficulties and, if so, did this cause delay in construction; and (d) is the successful company continuing with this project?
3. What was the original estimated date of completion?
4. Has the project been completed or what is the present estimated date of completion?
5. How much has been paid to the relevant company to date?
6. What is the final estimated cost of this project?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. Yes.
- “ 2. (a) McClay Construction Company Limited, \$427,867.20; Belmont Construction Company Limited, \$478,553.10; Highway Construction Company Limited, \$479,232.70; Campbell-Bennett Limited, \$521,302.40; Dawson, Wade & Company Limited, \$533,909.80; General Construction Company Limited, \$552,431; Emil Anderson Construction Company Limited, \$557,171; W. C. Arnett & Company Limited, \$602,020; Peter Kiewit Sons Company of Canada Limited, \$605,808.20; and Perini Pacific Limited, \$683,607.34; (b) contract awarded to McClay Construction Company Limited in the amount of \$427,867.20; (c) yes; and (d) yes, as at February 23rd, 1960.
- “ 3. January 31st, 1960.
- “ 4. No; August 15th, 1960.
- “ 5. \$159,837.14.
- “ 6. No accurate estimate available.”

Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With reference to Bridge Project 185, page 43, Minister of Highways Report, 1958/59:—

1. Has this project been completed?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what was the total amount paid for this project?
3. If the answer to No. 1 is no, (a) which company is constructing this project, (b) what is the total amount paid to date for this project, and (c) what was the original estimated date of completion and what is the present estimated date of completion?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. No.
- “ 2. See No. 1.
- “ 3. (a) (i) General contractor, Con-Bridge Limited, Toronto, and (ii) steel-work contractor, Western Bridge & Steel Fabricators Limited, Vancouver; (b) \$2,253,155.45; (c) (i) November, 1959, or August, 1960, if materials unobtainable in time, and (ii) spring of 1961.”

Mrs. *Haggen* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With respect to highway link presently under construction known as the Christina Lake–Blueberry Highway:—

1. What is the total mileage involved?
2. What mileage is now completed, except for hard surfacing?
3. Is the total mileage now completed or under contract?
4. Is it the intention to hard surface any of this highway in 1960 and, if yes, how many miles?
5. When is it anticipated that the highway will be completed, including hard surfacing, and open for traffic?
6. Is there a major bridge project pending on this highway?
7. Is the contract let for construction of this bridge and, if yes, to whom?
8. If not, when is it expected to be let and what is the estimated cost?
9. What has been (a) survey, (b) construction, and (c) right-of-way purchase costs to date?
10. What is the anticipated final cost of the said highway?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. 45.8 miles.
- “ 2. Mileage completed and bituminous base processed (temporary pavement), 18.6; mileage completed but not paved (expressed as proportion of work completed), 14.8.
- “ 3. Under contract.
- “ 4. Yes; bituminous base process, 18.3 miles.
- “ 5. 1961.
- “ 6. Yes.
- “ 7. No.
- “ 8. The date is not known at this time; \$1,000,000.
- “ 9. (a) \$193,132, (b) \$6,359,645, and (c) \$70,279.
- “ 10. \$11,484,000; this total does not include Kinnaird Interchange and approach to new Columbia River Bridge.”

Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

1. Were any employees of the Department of Highways laid off in each of the following months: (a) July, 1958, and July, 1959; (b) August, 1958, and August, 1959; (c) September, 1958, and September, 1959; (d) October, 1958, and October, 1959; (e) November, 1958, and November, 1959; (f) December, 1958, and December, 1959?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how many employees were laid off in the relevant months?
3. If the answer to No. 1 indicates reduction in staff, how many of these men were (a) temporary employees and (b) permanent employees?

4. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what was the reason for reduction in staff; (b) of the men laid off listed as temporary, how many had been employed for more than twelve months; (c) what was the longest period any of these men have been in the employ of the Department; (d) of the men paid off listed as permanent, how many had been employed for more than twelve months; and (e) what was the longest period any of the permanent staff had been in the employ of the Department?

The Hon. P. A. Gaglardi replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. 1958: July, 86; August, 105; September, 88; October, 91; November, 131; and December, 127. 1959: July, 91; August, 112; September, 101; October, 134; November, 162; and December, 172.

“ 3. (a) All, with the exception of 3 (b), and (b) five permanent.

“ 4. (a) Seasonal lay-offs, completion of day-labour projects, termination of ferry service, disciplinary action, resignation, and physical incapacity; (b) *nil*; (c) approximately eleven months; (d) five; and (e) nine years and ten months.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. to-morrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.57 p.m.

Wednesday, March 2nd, 1960

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. S. H. Cassells.

The Hon. R. W. Bonner presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

F. M. Ross,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled “An Act to amend the Administration Act,” and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 29th, 1960.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 44) intituled “An Act to amend the Administration Act,” a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after to-day.

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

F. M. Ross,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled “An Act to amend the Bills of Sale Act,” and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 29th, 1960.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 47) intituled “An Act to amend the Bills of Sale Act,” a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after to-day.

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

F. M. Ross,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled “An Act to amend the Companies Act,” and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 25th, 1960.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 43) intituled “An Act to amend the Companies Act,” a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after to-day.

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

F. M. Ross,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled "An Act to amend the Small Debts Courts Act," and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 8th, 1960.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 21) intituled "An Act to amend the Small Debts Courts Act," a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after to-day.

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

F. M. Ross,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled "An Act to amend the Magistrates Act," and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 4th, 1960.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 7) intituled "An Act to amend the Magistrates Act," a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after to-day.

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

F. M. Ross,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled "An Act to amend the Evidence Act," and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 16th, 1960.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 37) intituled "An Act to amend the Evidence Act," a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after to-day.

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

F. M. Ross,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled "An Act to amend the Racing Commission Act," and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 4th, 1960.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 5) intituled "An Act to amend the Racing Commission Act," a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after to-day.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

41. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$25,890 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Attorney-General's Office, to 31st March, 1961.

42. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$148,188 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, General Administration, to 31st March, 1961.

43. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$93,376 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Companies Office, to 31st March, 1961.

44. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$58,994 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Insurance and Real Estate Office, to 31st March, 1961.

45. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$32,790 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Securities Office, to 31st March, 1961.

46 (Statutory). *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$35,374 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, "Credit Unions Act," to 31st March, 1961.

47 (Statutory). *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$122,826 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, "Fire Marshal Act," to 31st March, 1961.

48. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$19,751 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Censor of Moving Pictures, to 31st March, 1961.

49. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$142,604 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Sheriffs' Offices, to 31st March, 1961.

50. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$66,856 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Official Administrator, to 31st March, 1961.

51. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$47,538 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Official Committee, to 31st March, 1961.

52. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$8,226 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Official Guardian, to 31st March, 1961.

53. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$765,642 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Land Registry Offices, to 31st March, 1961.

54. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$334,315 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Supreme and County Courts, to 31st March, 1961.

55. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$170,862 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Official Court Reporters, to 31st March, 1961.

56. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,077,470 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Motor-vehicle Branch, to 31st March, 1961.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, the House reverted to the Order "Introduction of Bills."

On the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, Bill (No. 48) intituled "An Act to amend the Conditional Sales Act" was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be read a second time at the next sitting after to-day.

Mr. *Gregory* asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture the following questions:—

1. What tonnage of feed-grains has been shipped from (a) Peace River and (b) Creston upon which Federal freight assistance has been drawn?
2. Did the Minister of Agriculture promise that there would be a Provincial subsidy on feed-grain movement from the Peace River area?
3. If the answer to No. 2 is yes, what action, if any, has been taken to implement that promise?

The Hon. *N. P. Steacy* replied as follows:—

"1. (a) In 1959 it is estimated that Federal freight assistance was claimed on 27,660 tons of feed-grain shipped over the Pacific Great Eastern Railway from other points in the Province; there is no way of ascertaining the tonnage of Peace River feed-grain shipped to British Columbia points from the Peace River via Edmonton and which might qualify for Federal freight assistance; (b) the Federal freight assistance policy does not apply to shipments of feed-grain from Creston to other points in the Province.

"2. No.

"3. Answered by No. 2."

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8 o'clock p.m. to-day.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

Wednesday, March 2nd, 1960

EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

57. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,925,600 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Policing by Royal Canadian Mounted Police, to 31st March, 1961.

58. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$50,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Sundry Incidental Police Expenses, to 31st March, 1961.

59. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$75,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Doukhobor Affairs, to 31st March, 1961.

60. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$85,624 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Corrections Office, to 31st March, 1961.

61. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,078,094 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Oakalla Prison Farm (including Young Offenders' Unit), to 31st March, 1961.

62. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$269,776 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Women's Gaol, to 31st March, 1961.

63. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$269,946 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Prince George Gaol, to 31st March, 1961.

64. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$180,054 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Kamloops Gaol and Forest Camp, to 31st March, 1961.

65. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,128,651 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Haney Correctional Institution (including Forest Camps and New Haven), to 31st March, 1961.

66. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$339,188 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Probation Office, to 31st March, 1961.

67. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$372,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Administration of Justice, to 31st March, 1961.

68. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Promotion of Uniformity of Legislation, to 31st March, 1961.

69. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$60,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Coroners' Inquests and Inquiries, to 31st March, 1961.

70. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$59,546 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Narcotic Drug Treatment, to 31st March, 1961.

71. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$25,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Constitutional Litigation and General Law Costs, to 31st March, 1961.

72. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Magistrates' Conference, to 31st March, 1961.

73. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$7,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Incidentals and Contingencies, to 31st March, 1961.

74. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10,800 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Master in Chambers—Salary, to 31st March, 1961.

75 (Statutory). *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$9,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, "Court of Appeal Act," to 31st March, 1961.

76 (Statutory). *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$14,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, "Supreme Court Act," to 31st March, 1961.

77 (Statutory). *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$15,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, "County Courts Act," to 31st March, 1961.

78 (Statutory). *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$55,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, "Magistrates Act," to 31st March, 1961.

192. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$3,645 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1961.

193. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$45,591 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce, General Administration, to 31st March, 1961.

194. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$65,976 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce, Agent-General's Office and British Columbia House, London, England, to 31st March, 1961.

195. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$144,004 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce, Bureau of Economics and Statistics, to 31st March, 1961.

196. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$166,368 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce, Mechanical Tabulation Division, to 31st March, 1961.

197. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$20,920 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce, Industrial and Trade Office, to 31st March, 1961.

198. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$4,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce, Temporary Assistance, to 31st March, 1961.

199. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$75,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce, Advertising and Publicity, to 31st March, 1961.

200. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$63,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce, Trade Promotion, to 31st March, 1961.

201. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$8,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce, Provincial Exhibits, British Columbia Building, to 31st March, 1961.

202. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$250,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce, Grant to British Columbia Research Council, to 31st March, 1961.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. to-morrow.

And then the House adjourned at 10.15 p.m.

Thursday, March 3rd, 1960

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. Dr. *F. E. H. James*.

The Hon. *W. D. Black* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

F. M. ROSS,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith amendments to Bill (No. 42) intituled "An Act respecting Public Service Group Insurance," enclosed herewith, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 1st, 1960.

(ENCLOSURE.)

Section 2: To strike out the definition of "employee" and to substitute the following:—

" 'employee' means a person in receipt of a salary as compensation for service rendered to the Crown in right of the Province and who is a contributor to the Superannuation Fund under Part I or who has discontinued contributions under section 12 of the 'Civil Service Superannuation Act': "

Ordered, That the said Message, and the amendments accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the proposed amendments to Bill (No. 42) intituled "An Act respecting Public Service Group Insurance," a draft of which is annexed to the Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, be referred to the Committee having in charge Bill (No. 42).

Resolution reported.
Report adopted.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

The Committee reported progress.
 Report to be considered at the next sitting.
 Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Mr. *Matthew* presented the Eleventh Report of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:—

REPORT NO. 11.

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
 March 3rd, 1960.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the preamble of Bill (No. 52) intituled “An Act to amend the Northwest Telephone Company Act, 1929,” has been proved, and the Bill ordered to be reported with amendments, and your Committee also recommends that the additional sum of \$300, paid by the petitioners for late filing, be refunded.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman*.

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

Mr. *Matthew* presented the Twelfth Report of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:—

REPORT NO. 12.

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
 March 3rd, 1960.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the preamble of Bill (No. 55) intituled “An Act to amend the Vancouver Charter ” has been proved, and the Bill ordered to be reported with amendments.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman*.

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8 o'clock p.m. to-day.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

Thursday, March 3rd, 1960

EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

F. M. Ross,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled "An Act to amend the Mineral Act," and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 22nd, 1960.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 41) intituled "An Act to amend the Mineral Act," a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after to-day.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

79. *Resolved,* That a sum not exceeding \$2,850 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Commercial Transport, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1961.

80. *Resolved,* That a sum not exceeding \$74,614 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Commercial Transport, General Administration, to 31st March, 1961.

81. *Resolved,* That a sum not exceeding \$72,568 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Commercial Transport, Engineering Branch, to 31st March, 1961.

82. *Resolved,* That a sum not exceeding \$608,820 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Commercial Transport, Weigh-scale Branch, to 31st March, 1961.

203. *Resolved,* That a sum not exceeding \$24,804 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Labour, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1961.

204. *Resolved,* That a sum not exceeding \$260,939 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Labour, General Administration, to 31st March, 1961.

205. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$6,900 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Labour, Board of Industrial Relations, to 31st March, 1961.

206. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$43,202 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Labour, Factories Inspection, to 31st March, 1961.

207. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$408,960 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Labour, Apprenticeship Branch, to 31st March, 1961.

208. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$3,972 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Labour, Trade-schools Branch, to 31st March, 1961.

209. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$136,538 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Labour, Labour Relations Branch, to 31st March, 1961.

210. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$11,122 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Labour, "Indian Advisory Act," to 31st March, 1961.

211. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$4,200 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Labour, Motor-vehicles and Accessories, to 31st March, 1961.

212. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Labour, Temporary Assistance, to 31st March, 1961.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Mr. *Gregory* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:—

With reference to motor-vehicle registrations in British Columbia in 1959:—

1. How many were registered in British Columbia?
2. How many were registered on Vancouver Island?
3. How many were registered in Greater Victoria (including Victoria City, Oak Bay, Saanich, and Esquimalt)?

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* replied as follows:—

“ Total motor-vehicle registrations in 1959 were:—

- “ 1. In British Columbia, 535,061.
- “ 2. On Vancouver Island, 93,648.
- “ 3. In Greater Victoria, 53,508.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. to-morrow.

And then the House adjourned at 10.50 p.m.

Friday, March 4th, 1960

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *R. W. Popma*.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

Bill (No. 1) intituled "An Act to amend the Grazing Act" was read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after to-day.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 2) intituled "First Narrows Bridge Gift Act, 1960," a debate arose.

The House divided.

The motion was agreed to on the following division:—

YEAS—25.

Messieurs

<i>Brothers</i>	<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Steacy</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Smith</i>	<i>Shelford</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Sharp</i>
<i>Roche</i>	<i>Reid</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Asselstine</i>
<i>Shirreff</i>	<i>Price</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Richter</i>	<i>Corbett</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Brown, Mrs.</i>	<i>Carnell</i>	<i>Wicks</i>
<i>Matthew</i>			

NAYS—12.

Messieurs

<i>Bryan</i>	<i>Squire</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Haggen, Mrs.</i>
<i>Gregory</i>	<i>Eddie</i>	<i>Uphill</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>Cox</i>	<i>Gargrave</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Turner</i>

PAIRS:

Messieurs

<i>Lundell</i>	<i>Gibbs</i>
<i>Westwood</i>	<i>Harding</i>

The following Bills were read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after to-day:—

Bill (No. 5) intituled "An Act to amend the Racing Commission Act."

Bill (No. 7) intituled "An Act to amend the Magistrates Act."

Bill (No. 8) intituled "An Act to amend the Summary Convictions Act."

Bill (No. 9) intituled "An Act to amend the Fire Marshal Act."

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 12) intituled "An Act to amend the Attachment of Debts Act" a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Strachan*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The following Bills were read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after to-day:—

Bill (No. 13) intituled "An Act to amend the Execution Act."

Bill (No. 14) intituled "An Act to amend the Quieting Titles Act."

Bill (No. 17) intituled "An Act to amend the Wife's Protection Act."

Bill (No. 18) intituled "An Act to amend the Constitution Act."

Bill (No. 20) intituled "An Act to amend the Department of Mines Act."

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 21) intituled "An Act to amend the Small Debts Courts Act" a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Strachan*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The following Bills were read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after to-day:—

Bill (No. 22) intituled "An Act to amend the Trustee Act."

Bill (No. 27) intituled "An Act to repeal the Hospital Construction Act, 1950."

Bill (No. 28) intituled "Nancy Sloan Act."

Bill (No. 29) intituled "An Act to amend the Improvement Districts Assistance Loan Act."

Bill (No. 30) intituled "An Act to amend the Pacific Great Eastern Construction Loan Act, 1954."

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 31) intituled "An Act to amend the Toll Highways and Bridges Authority Act" a debate arose.

The House divided.

The motion was agreed to on the following division:—

YEAS—27.

Messieurs

<i>Brothers</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Brown, Mrs.</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Smith</i>	<i>Matthew</i>	<i>Steady</i>	<i>Sharp</i>
<i>Bryan</i>	<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Asselstine</i>
<i>Gregory</i>	<i>Shelford</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Roche</i>	<i>Reid</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Shirreff</i>	<i>Price</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Wicks</i>
<i>Richter</i>	<i>Corbett</i>	<i>Carnell</i>	

NAYS—10.

Messieurs

<i>Cox</i>	<i>Gargrave</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>Squire</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Haggen, Mrs.</i>	<i>Turner</i>
<i>Eddie</i>	<i>Uphill</i>		

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 32) intituled "An Act to amend the Revenue Act" a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Strachan*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The following Bills were read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after to-day:—

Bill (No. 33) intituled "An Act to amend the Provincial Home-owner Grant Act."

Bill (No. 34) intituled "An Act to amend the Workmen's Compensation Act."

Bill (No. 35) intituled "An Act respecting the Cancellation of Statutory Authorizations for the Borrowing of Money by the Province."

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 36) intituled "An Act to amend the Water Act" a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Cox*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 37) intituled "An Act to amend the Evidence Act" a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Gargrave*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Bill (No. 39) intituled "An Act to amend the Irrigation District Rehabilitation Act" was read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after to-day.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 41) intituled "An Act to amend the Mineral Act" a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Nimsick*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, the House reverted to the Order "Introduction of Bills."

The following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be read a second time at the next sitting after to-day:—

On the motion of the Hon. *W. K. Kiernan*—Bill (No. 70) intituled "An Act to repeal the War Mariners' Benefits Act."

On the motion of the Hon. *W. K. Kiernan*—Bill (No. 71) intituled "An Act to repeal the Provisional Free Miners' Certificates (Placer) Act."

On the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*—Bill (No. 65) intituled "Wills Act."

On the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*—Bill (No. 49) intituled "An Act to amend the Assignment of Book Accounts Act."

On the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*—Bill (No. 15) intituled "An Act respecting Legitimacy."

On the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*—Bill (No. 61) intituled "An Act to amend the Securities Act."

On the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*—Bill (No. 62) intituled "An Act to amend the Supreme Court Act."

Upon the suggestion of Mr. Speaker that "pairs" be not recorded, by leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, seconded by Mr. *Strachan*, it was *Ordered* that "pairs" be recorded pending further discussion of this matter.

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* (Minister of Lands and Forests) presented the Annual Report of the Forest Service of the Department of Lands and Forests for the Calendar Year 1959.

Mr. *Gregory* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following question:—

For the last twelve-consecutive-month period for which figures are conveniently available, what percentage of the social service tax collected in the Province was collected in respect of meals sold for consumption on the premises?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

"Not known."

Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Council the following questions:—

With reference to the sale of Pacific Great Eastern Railway bonds to the public during 1959:—

1. Was any money spent in advertising the bond issue?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what was the total amount spent?
3. Which companies and (or) individuals received this money and in each case in what amount?
4. What was the total amount subscribed for bonds by residents of British Columbia?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. Yes.
- “ 2. \$92,395.46.
- “ 3. James Lovick & Company, the company's advertising agency, which, in turn, paid the various advertising media.
- “ 4. Not known.”

Mr. *Gargrave* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

1. Did the Provincial Government purchase any debentures issued by school districts in the Province in the year 1959?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, in what amount and in which districts?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. Yes.
- “ 2. School district debentures purchased by the Province of British Columbia during the year 1959 were as follows:—

School District.	Par Value of Debentures.
No. 2. Cranbrook.....	\$20,000
No. 3. Kimberley.....	33,000
No. 4. Windermere.....	27,000
No. 5. Creston.....	45,000
No. 8. Slokan.....	10,000
No. 10. Arrow Lakes.....	17,000
No. 12. Grand Forks.....	33,000
No. 13. Kettle Valley.....	11,000
No. 14. Southern Okanagan.....	24,000
No. 15. Penticton.....	106,000
No. 16. Keremeos.....	9,000
No. 20. Salmon Arm.....	34,000
No. 22. Vernon.....	100,000
No. 23. Kelowna.....	100,000
No. 24. Kamloops.....	323,000
No. 27. Williams Lake.....	505,000
No. 28. Quesnel.....	136,000
No. 29. Lillooet.....	38,000
No. 30. South Cariboo.....	53,000
No. 31. Merritt.....	114,000
No. 32. Fraser Canyon.....	50,000
No. 34. Abbotsford.....	153,000

School District.	Par Value of Debentures.
No. 35. Langley	\$137,000
No. 36. Surrey	942,000
No. 37. Delta	248,000
No. 38. Richmond	469,000
No. 39. Vancouver	984,000
No. 41. Burnaby	442,000
No. 42. Maple Ridge	352,000
No. 43. Coquitlam	604,000
No. 44. North Vancouver	680,000
No. 45. West Vancouver	355,000
No. 47. Powell River	122,000
No. 52. Prince Rupert	276,000
No. 53. Terrace	130,000
No. 54. Smithers	8,000
No. 57. Prince George	170,000
No. 59. Peace River South	109,000
No. 60. Peace River North	200,000
No. 61. Greater Victoria	300,000
No. 62. Sooke	200,000
No. 64. Saltspring	12,000
No. 65. Cowichan	7,000
No. 66. Lake Cowichan	24,000
No. 67. Ladysmith	180,000
No. 68. Nanaimo	252,000
No. 71. Courtenay	100,000
No. 72. Campbell River	46,000
No. 75. Mission	194,000
No. 76. Agassiz	9,000
No. 78. Enderby	9,000
No. 80. Kitimat	152,000
No. 81. Fort Nelson	53,000
Total	\$9,707,000 "

Mr. *Gregory* asked the Hon. the Prime Minister the following questions:—

With reference to the British Columbia Energy Board:—

1. Have the members of the Board been sworn in and, if so, when were they sworn in?

2. Has the Board been assigned any projects and, if so, what projects and when was each assigned?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes; February 15th, 1960.

“ 2. Yes; by Order in Council No. 11, approved by the Lieutenant-Governor in Council on January 11th, 1960, ‘ . . . that the British Columbia Energy Board study and report from time to time to the Executive Council upon (a) existing and estimated energy resources of the Province, (b) existing and estimated energy requirements of the Province, (c) the extent to which the development and application of various energy resources to Provincial requirements may or should be related one to the other, and (d) any specific matter affecting energy referred to the Board by the Executive Council.’ ”

Mr. *Gregory* asked the Hon. the Premier and president of the Pacific Great Eastern Railway the following questions:—

With respect to the Pacific Great Eastern Railway, in each of the years 1952 to 1959:—

1. What was the average car-days for each of the months June to November, inclusive?
2. What was the freight revenue for each of the months June to November, inclusive?
3. What was the passenger revenue for each of the months June to November, inclusive?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Figures not available for years 1952 to 1956, inclusive.

	June.	July.	August.	September.	October.	November.
1957.....	13.68	14.75	12.44	12.32	12.21	12.43
1958.....	13.26	11.94	10.89	11.03	12.83	10.33
1959.....	9.64	11.36	10.20	11.31	12.16	12.95

	(In \$000's.)							
	1952.	1953.	1954.	1955.	1956.	1957.	1958.	1959.
“ 2. June	222	311	348	502	698	935	725	1,137
July	243	350	453	444	769	863	817	1,117
August	271	345	457	594	804	704	872	1,076
September	305	400	438	575	621	586	901	1,011
October	276	362	419	618	746	703	962	1,015
November	264	461	477	533	803	623	795	858
“ 3. June	16	14	16	13	14	62	56	62
July	17	20	19	13	18	69	59	64
August	19	19	20	17	22	89	72	73
September	12	12	11	16	43	59	43	60
October	13	11	7	9	31	44	41	42
November	8	9	7	8	39	41	39	41 ”

Mr. *Gregory* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following question:—

Has the survey for a dental faculty at the University of British Columbia, referred to in the Speech from the Throne on January 25th, 1955, been completed and, if so, when was it completed?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* replied as follows:—

“ Yes; completed in 1956.”

Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

With respect to bond issues for school construction:—

1. Were any bond issues authorized by the Government in 1958 and 1959 and, if so, in what total amount for each year?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is in the affirmative, what was the total amount of these bonds floated in the two relevant years?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes; 1958, \$18,922,000, and 1959, \$12,123,000.

“ 2. 1958, \$18,922,000, and 1959, \$12,123,000.”

Mr. *Gregory* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

1. In each month since the Deas Island Tunnel was opened, (a) how many vehicles drove through it, (b) how many vehicles drove through it without paying a toll, (c) what amount was collected in tolls, and (d) what amount was paid for salaries and wages of persons employed in the operation of the tunnel?

2. (a) What was the total cost of the Deas Island Tunnel project, including acquisition of property and construction of approach roads, and (b) what was the estimated cost before construction commenced?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1.

	1959.							
	May 25/31.	June.	July.	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.
(a).....	43,617	185,942	249,786	245,516	173,308	172,019	151,186	156,230
(b) Free (police, employees).....	557	2,091	2,956	1,924	1,709	2,017	1,933	2,105
August 1st, free day.....				14,993				
(c).....	\$16,743	\$55,114	\$69,998	\$67,132	\$46,729	\$44,619	\$40,140	\$39,298
(d).....	\$857	\$7,383	\$7,754	\$7,913	\$6,925	\$7,091	\$7,330	\$6,886

“ 2. (a) \$27,080,268 and (b) \$21,135,000.”

Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

With reference to Order in Council No. 2197, 1959, providing for the payment of advertising expenses in connection with the Provincial net debt:—

1. What was the total amount finally paid out for the purpose specified?

2. Which companies and (or) individuals received this money and in each case in what amount?

3. Were any advertisements placed in newspapers or in any other advertising media outside the Province of British Columbia?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. \$15,902.98.

“ 2. James Lovick & Company (advertising agency), \$15,902.98.

“ 3. Yes.”

Mr. *Gregory* asked the Hon. the Premier and president of the Pacific Great Eastern Railway Company the following questions:—

With reference to Pacific Great Eastern Railway parity bonds sold to the public in 1959:—

1. Were any of the bonds surrendered for redemption in any month prior to February, 1960, and, if so, in what aggregate amount in each month?

2. Does the railway keep funds on hand to redeem bonds and, if so, what amount was on hand for the purpose in each month prior to February, 1960?

3. If the railway does not keep funds on hand to redeem bonds, where does it get money to redeem them and what rate of interest, if any, does it pay on money used for redemption?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1 and 2. No.

“ 3. Not applicable.”

Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Council the following questions:—

1. Has the Government made any payments to the University of British Columbia under the matching "dollar for dollar" grant referred to on page 184, Journals of the Legislative Assembly, 1958?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, in what amount?
3. If the answer to No. 1 is no, why not?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

- "1. No.
- "2. Not applicable.
- "3. Grant to commence in fiscal year 1960/61 and funds provided in Estimates."

Mr. *Gregory* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following question:—

For each of the years 1952 to the present, what was the total of land and improvement taxes collected in the unorganized districts of the Province?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

"Land and improvement taxes collected in the unorganized districts of the Province under the 'Taxation Act,' the 'Esquimalt and Nanaimo Railway Belt Land Tax Act,' the 'Esquimalt and Nanaimo Land Grant Tax Exemption Ratification Act,' and forest-protection taxes were: 1952/53, \$3,814,958.79; 1953/54, \$4,498,760.96; 1954/55, \$5,504,155.72; 1955/56, \$5,667,351.13; 1956/57, \$6,082,090.24; 1957/58, \$6,396,665.07; and 1958/59, \$6,554,802.37."

Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Council the following questions:—

With reference to the Royal Commission in the matter of the British Columbia Power Commission:—

1. What was the total cost of the Commission?
2. How many copies of the British Columbia Government News containing the full report of the Commission were (a) printed and (b) distributed?
3. What was the total cost of (a) printing and (b) distribution referred to in No. 2?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

- "1. \$58,371.26.
- "2. (a) 66,000 and (b) 65,000.
- "3. (a) and (b) \$5,323.37."

Mr. *Cox* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following questions:—

1. Were any teachers without proper certification teaching in the Province at the end of the 1959 fall term?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how many were teaching in (a) elementary schools and (b) secondary schools?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* replied as follows:—

- "1. Yes.
- "2. Teachers employed in public schools with temporary certificates as at October 31st, 1959, were (a) in elementary schools, 151, and (b) in secondary schools, 184."

Mr. *Gregory* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

1. What was the cost of the inquiry conducted by Dean Earl D. MacPhee as Royal Commissioner on the tree-fruit industry of British Columbia?

2. How many copies of Dean MacPhee's report were (a) printed, (b) distributed, and (c) sold, and at what price per copy?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

"1. \$129,166.60.

"2. (a) 1,000, (b) 609, and (c) 291 at \$7 each."

Mr. *Gregory* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

1. Has the Minister had representations from contractors to hasten progress payments on work being done?

2. As at December 31st, 1959, what sum of money was owing contractors for work either completed or by way of progress payments and unpaid?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

"1. No.

"2. Not known, but no delay caused by Treasury."

Mr. *Gregory* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

1. Does the Province of British Columbia do its banking business with the Canadian Bank of Commerce?

2. If so, what was the Province's credit or debit balance with the said bank on the 1st and 15th days of each month in the years 1952 to 1959, inclusive?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

"1. No; not entirely.

"2. Not applicable."

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. on Monday next.

And then the House adjourned at 4.58 p.m.

Monday, March 7th, 1960

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Mr. Speaker.

Mr. *Gregory*, arising in his place, informed the House of the vacancy occurring by the death of *Philip Archibald Gibbs*, late member for the Electoral District of Oak Bay.

On the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, seconded by Mr. *Turner*, it was *Ordered*,—

That the Rules be suspended to permit the moving, without notice, of a motion concerning the late member for Oak Bay.

On the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, seconded by Mr. *Gregory*, it was *Resolved*,—

That this House express its sincere regret at the death of *Philip Archibald Gibbs*, Esquire, member for the Electoral District of Oak Bay, and that the Speaker do convey to Mrs. Gibbs and the family of the late member the condolences and sincere sympathy of the members of the Legislative Assembly *nem con*.

At the request of Mr. Speaker, the House expressed its sympathy by standing in silence.

On the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, seconded by Mr. *Turner*, it was *Ordered*,—

That this House proceed immediately to adjournment as an indication of our respect for the late member for Oak Bay whose passing has been noted with profound regret by all members of this House.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. to-morrow.

And then the House adjourned at 2.21 p.m.

Tuesday, March 8th, 1960

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *A. E. H. Cameron*.

The Hon. *W. D. Black* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

F. M. Ross,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled "An Act to amend the Civil Service Superannuation Act," and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 3rd, 1960.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 69) intituled "An Act to amend the Civil Service Superannuation Act," a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after to-day.

The Hon. *W. D. Black* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

F. M. Ross,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled "An Act to amend the Greater Victoria Water District Act," and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 2nd, 1960.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 66) intituled "An Act to amend the Greater Victoria Water District Act," a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after to-day.

The Hon. *W. D. Black* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

F. M. Ross,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled "An Act for the Protection of Archæological and Historic Sites and Objects," and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 3rd, 1960.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 67) intituled "An Act for the Protection of Archæological and Historic Sites and Objects," a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after to-day.

The following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be read a second time at the next sitting after to-day:—

On the motion of the Hon. *E. C. F. Martin*—Bill (No. 46) intituled "An Act to amend the Pharmacy Act."

On the motion of the Hon. *E. C. F. Martin*—Bill (No. 45) intituled “An Act to amend the Change of Name Act.”

On the motion of Mr. *Dowding*—Bill (No. 79) intituled “An Act to amend the Public Utilities Act.”

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

The Committee reported progress.

Report to be considered at the next sitting.

Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* (on behalf of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* (Minister of Finance)) presented the report submitted in accordance with section 53 of the “Administration Act” of Returns by Official Administrators from January 1st, 1959, to December 31st, 1959.

Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With reference to Project 881, contract awarded to R & L Construction Company for \$1,477,860, page 25, Report of Minister of Highways, 1957/58:—

1. Has this project been completed?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what was the final amount paid for this project and (b) what was the date of completion of the project?
3. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, has the surface of this road broken up since completion and what is the present condition of the surface?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

- “1. Yes; work completed, but some funds still retained.
- “2. (a) \$1,972,161.23 and (b) September 22nd, 1959.
- “3. Eleven miles of the contract were pulvi-mixed, all of which is in good shape, the remaining 6 miles were left as a gravel road and, during the fall, became rough and dusty.”

Mr. *Cox* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:—

In regard to the distribution of beer by the glass:—

1. Is there any regulation regarding the amount of beer that is to be sold in (a) a 10-cent glass of beer and (b) a 20-cent glass of beer?
2. How many ounces of beer are in a keg of beer?
3. What is the price to the hotels for a keg of beer?

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* replied as follows:—

- “1. (a) No and (b) no.
- “2. There are 2,000 ounces in a keg (half barrel) of beer.
- “3. A keg (half barrel) of beer is sold to hotels for \$17.25, except in certain remote areas.”

Mr. *Gregory* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

1. Was any trouble encountered at the site of the replacement of the Spuzzum Bridge on the Trans-Canada Highway and, if so, what was its nature?

2. Will the rectification, due to unsatisfactory footings, cost an additional \$1,500,000, and if not the sum, what sum?

3. Who is responsible for the engineering in this case?

4. Is the added cost, if any, the responsibility of the Government or the contractors?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes; soil and geological investigation on the bridge-site carried out by a soils consultant engaged for this work showed bed-rock at certain depths and the design of the bridge was based on this information. During excavation for the arch abutments the bed-rock upon which they were to be founded was not encountered at the previously determined depths. Drilling disclosed the bed-rock to be at a much greater depth at both arch abutments, necessitating a major revision to the design of the arch abutments and a lesser revision to the design of the steel arch.

“ 2. Additional cost of revised arch abutments, approximately \$700,000; additional cost of revised steel arch, approximately \$280,000. If correct information regarding depth to bed-rock had been received in the first instance, the estimated cost of the structure would have been increased by approximately the foregoing amounts. The selection of a different site, where possibly improved soil conditions for the bridge might have been found, would have involved similar additional costs to the highway approach work, together with unsatisfactory alignment and grades.

“ 3. Soils investigation, Rocanen Engineering Company Limited; design of structure and supervision of construction, A. B. Sanderson & Company Limited.

“ 4. The Government.”

Mr. *Bryan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

In connection with the Trans-Canada Highway contract, Craigellachie to Kay Falls:—

1. How many sub-contractors were engaged on this project?

2. Have all sub-contractors been paid to date and, if not, why not?

3. Did the Minister or his officials have any correspondence in writing or by telephone with any of the sub-contractors involved?

4. Did the Minister write any letters or give any verbal commitment respecting assurances of work to any sub-contractors?

5. Did the Minister or any of his staff write any letters or make any verbal offers to any sub-contractor for settlement for their accounts?

6. Have any Federal payments for work done on this section been deferred or questioned?

7. To date, what payments, if any, have been made on this section and what sum, if any, has been received from the Federal grant?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Not known.

“ 2. Not known; payment to sub-contractors is the responsibility of the general contractor.

“ 3. Yes.

“ 4, 5, and 6. No.

“ 7. \$2,459,337.35 and \$1,232,437.37.”

Mr. *Gregory* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

1. Is there trouble at the south side of the new Port Mann Bridge structure and, if so, what is its nature?
2. Who is responsible for the engineering in this case?
3. Is the Department involved in the action for damages being instituted by Canadian National Railways?
4. What, if any, protection work is now being undertaken to preserve the Canadian National Railways right-of-way?

The Hon. *P. A. Gagliardi* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. No.
- “ 2. C.B.A. Engineering Limited.
- “ 3. The Department is not aware of any action for damages being instituted by the Canadian National Railways.
- “ 4. Normal precautionary measures as per Canadian National Railways engineering specifications and safety requirements.”

Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

With reference to the Provincial Tsawwassen—Swartz Bay ferry service:—

1. Was the position advertised and were any applications received for the position of assistant general manager and, if so, how many?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is in the affirmative, what were the names and relevant qualifications of each applicant?
3. Was the position advertised and were any applications received for the position of secretary to the assistant general manager and, if so, how many?
4. If the answer to No. 3 is in the affirmative, (a) what were the names and relevant qualifications of each applicant and (b) was any applicant appointed and, if so, which one?
5. Are any or all prospective employees being asked to sign any document containing an agreement not to strike?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. Yes; seventy-one applications.
- “ 2. Names and qualifications not subject to release because of large number of confidential nature.
- “ 3. No secretarial position was either advertised or filled for the general manager or his assistant; Temporary Stenographer—Grade 2 provided by the Civil Service Commission.
- “ 4. Answered by No. 3.
- “ 5. No.”

Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With reference to Bridge Project 185:—

1. Has any construction difficulty been experienced on this bridge and, if so, what is the present situation?
2. Have diamond-drill crews drilled test-holes at No. 2 pier and, if so, what were the results of these test drillings?
3. Have diamond-drill crews drilled test-holes at No. 3 pier and, if so, what were the results of these drillings?
4. Has a test hole or holes been dug in the base of No. 2 pier and, if so, what did the test reveal?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“ 1. There have been no unusual construction difficulties; bridge pier construction is now nearing completion.

“ 2. Core drilling of all piers involving underwater concrete was required as part of normal inspection. At Pier No. 2 the core of the underwater grout concrete disclosed some sections where the grout had not completely penetrated all the interstices of the aggregate. These areas are now being regouted at the contractor's expense.

“ 3. Diamond drilling was carried out at Pier No. 3 to inspect the underwater tremie concrete. Results show that the concrete is of good quality except for a few gravel pockets which were regouted at the contractor's expense.

“ 4. No.”

Mr. *Nimsick* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With respect to the highway link presently under construction known as the Salmo—Creston Cut-off:—

1. What is the total mileage involved?

2. Have contracts been let for the complete highway, except for black-topping?

3. If the answer to No. 2 is no, how many miles are still to be let and when will the contracts be called?

4. When will the highway be completed, less hard surfacing, and able to handle traffic?

5. When will the highway be completed with hard surfacing?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“ 1. 42.05 miles.

“ 2. No.

“ 3. 12.87 miles; March 7th, returnable March 25th.

“ 4. 1961.

“ 5. As soon as possible.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8 o'clock p.m. to-day.

And then the House adjourned at 5.58 p.m.

Tuesday, March 8th, 1960

EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

183. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$31,020 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1961.

184. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,889,296 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, General Administration, to 31st March, 1961.

185. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$80,300,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, Roads, Bridges, Ferries, and Wharves, to 31st March, 1961.

186. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$550,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, Maintenance of Toll Facilities, to 31st March, 1961.

187. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$650,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, Highways within Municipal Limits, to 31st March, 1961.

188. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$20,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, Vehicle Damage Claims, to 31st March, 1961.

189. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$446,295 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, Highway Signs, Signals, Traffic-control, etc., to 31st March, 1961.

190. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$3,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, Grants and Subsidies, to 31st March, 1961.

191. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,500,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, Purchase of New Equipment, to 31st March, 1961.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 12) intituled "An Act to amend the Attachment of Debts Act."

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

The Bill was read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after to-day.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 21) intituled "An Act to amend the Small Debts Courts Act."

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

The Bill was read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after to-day.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 37) intituled "An Act to amend the Evidence Act."

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

The Bill was read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after to-day.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. to-morrow.

And then the House adjourned at 9.48 p.m.

Wednesday, March 9th, 1960

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. Dale Dunn.

The Hon. *W. D. Black* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Administrator, which read as follows:—

A. C. DESBRISAY,
Administrator.

The Administrator transmits herewith a Bill intituled "An Act to amend the Municipalities Enabling and Validating Act," and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 8th, 1960.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 74) intituled "An Act to amend the Municipalities Enabling and Validating Act," a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after to-day.

The Hon. *W. D. Black* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Administrator, which read as follows:—

A. C. DESBRISAY,
Administrator.

The Administrator transmits herewith a Bill intituled "An Act to amend the Municipal Act," and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 8th, 1960.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 76) intituled "An Act to amend the Municipal Act," a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after to-day.

The Hon. *W. D. Black* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Administrator, which read as follows:—

A. C. DESBRISAY,
Administrator.

The Administrator transmits herewith a Bill intituled "An Act to amend the Greater Nanaimo Sewerage and Drainage District Act," and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 8th, 1960.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 75) intituled "An Act to amend the Greater Nanaimo Sewerage and Drainage District Act," a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after to-day.

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Administrator, which read as follows:—

A. C. DESBRISAY,
Administrator.

The Administrator transmits herewith a Bill intituled "An Act to amend the Metalliferous Mines Regulation Act," and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 7th, 1960.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 72) intituled "An Act to amend the Metalliferous Mines Regulation Act," a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after to-day.

The following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be read a second time at the next sitting after to-day:—

On the motion of the Hon. *E. C. F. Martin*—Bill (No. 77) intituled "An Act to amend the Mental Hospitals Act."

On the motion of the Hon. *W. D. Black*—Bill (No. 81) intituled "An Act to amend the Greater Vancouver Sewerage and Drainage District Act."

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, the House proceeded to routine business, "Motions and Adjourned Debates on Motions."

Mr. Brothers moved, seconded by *Mr. Newton*,—

That in order to establish the declared position of the Province of British Columbia that they are doing everything to expedite the settlement of the Columbia River problem that all correspondence between the Provincial Government and the Dominion Government in connection with the development of the Columbia River be filed in this House.

A debate arose.

Mr. Gregory moved in amendment, seconded by *Mr. Bryan*,—

That the motion be amended by adding at the end thereof the words "when such correspondence has been tabled in the House of Commons at Ottawa."

A debate arose.

Amendment agreed to.

Motion as amended agreed to.

Mr. Strachan moved, seconded by *Mr. Harding*,—

That a copy of the report submitted to the Comptroller of Water Rights on December 30th, 1959, by *Mr. W. C. Mainwaring*, on behalf of the Peace River Power Development Company, be tabled with this House forthwith.

A debate arose.

On the motion by the Hon. *R. W. Bonner* to adjourn the debate, a point of order was taken by *Mr. Strachan* that the motion to adjourn was an abuse of the Rules and that *Mr. Speaker* should decline, therefore, to propose the question to the House.

Mr. Speaker stated that, as the members would have further opportunity to debate the motion, he would rule that the motion to adjourn was not an abuse of the Rules and that the question should be put.

Mr. Strachan appealed from the ruling of the Chair.

The House divided.

The ruling of the Chair was sustained on the following division:—

YEAS—32.

Messieurs

<i>Brothers</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Steady</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Speare</i>	<i>Matthew</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Murray</i>
<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Asselstine</i>
<i>Smith</i>	<i>Shelford</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Westwood</i>
<i>Roche</i>	<i>Reid</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Shirreff</i>	<i>Price</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Newton</i>	<i>Corbett</i>	<i>Carnell</i>	<i>Martin</i>
<i>Richter</i>	<i>Brown, Mrs.</i>	<i>Lundell</i>	<i>Gaglardi</i>

NAYS—13.

Messieurs

<i>Bryan</i>	<i>Eddie</i>	<i>Uphill</i>	<i>Harding</i>
<i>Gregory</i>	<i>Gargrave</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>Cox</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Haggen, Mrs.</i>	<i>Turner</i>
<i>Squire</i>			

Question put and motion agreed to.

On the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner* that the House proceed to the Orders of the Day, a point of order was taken by Mr. *Gregory* that it could not be done without the unanimous consent of the House.

Mr. Speaker ruled that the motion was in order, giving his reasons as follows:—

Honourable Members,—Under Standing Order No. 25, under the heading of “Routine Business,” the House, at the beginning of to-day’s sitting, on the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, as House Leader, went into “Motions and Adjourned Debates on Motions.” No objection having been taken, it is assumed that this was done by unanimous consent of the House. The House Leader then called the Order “Committee of Supply,” which Order has precedence, by virtue of the motion agreed to on the 11th day of February, 1960, as follows: “That this House will, at its next sitting, resolve itself into a Committee to consider the Supply to be granted to Her Majesty, and that this Order have precedence over all other business, except introduction of Bills, until disposed of.”

The calling of this Order at this stage is provided for under Standing Order No. 33, which states: “A motion for reading or proceeding to the Orders of the Day shall have precedence to any motion before the House. No amendment to, or debate on, this motion shall be allowed.”

I therefore rule that it is in order for this House to proceed, upon motion, to Orders of the Day “Committee of Supply.”

L. H. SHANTZ, *Speaker*.

Mr. *Gregory* appealed from the ruling of the Chair.

The House divided.

The ruling of the Chair was sustained on the following division:—

YEAS—28.

Messieurs

<i>Brothers</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Steady</i>	<i>Murray</i>
<i>Speare</i>	<i>Matthew</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Asselstine</i>
<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Shelford</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Westwood</i>
<i>Smith</i>	<i>Reid</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Shirreff</i>	<i>Price</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Newton</i>	<i>Corbett</i>	<i>Carnell</i>	<i>Martin</i>
<i>Richter</i>	<i>Brown, Mrs.</i>	<i>Lundell</i>	<i>Gaglardi</i>

NAYS—13.

Messieurs

Bryan
Gregory
Cox
Squire

Eddie
Gargrave
Dowding

Uphill
Nimsick
Haggen, Mrs.

Harding
Strachan
Turner

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

The Committee reported progress.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Mr. *Matthew* presented the Thirteenth Report of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:—

REPORT NO. 13.

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,

March 9th, 1960.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the preamble of Bill (No. 53) intituled “An Act to amend the Shaughnessy Heights Building Restriction Act, 1922,” has been proved, and the Bill ordered to be reported with amendments.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman*.

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

Mr. *Matthew* presented the Fourteenth Report of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:—

REPORT NO. 14.

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,

March 9th, 1960.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the preamble of Bill (No. 54) intituled “An Act to amend the Shaughnessy Heights Building Restriction Act, 1922,” has been proved, and the Bill ordered to be reported with amendments.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman*.

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8 o'clock p.m. to-day.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

Wednesday, March 9th, 1960

EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

83. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$22,180 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1961.

84. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$94,486 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, General Administration, to 31st March, 1961.

85. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$49,068 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of General Educational Services, Curriculum Branch, to 31st March, 1961.

86. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$29,304 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of General Educational Services, Tests, Standards, and Research Branch, to 31st March, 1961.

87. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$157,627 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of General Educational Services, Adult Education Branch, to 31st March, 1961.

88. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$129,945 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of General Educational Services, High Correspondence School, to 31st March, 1961.

89. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$81,807 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of General Educational Services, Elementary Correspondence School, to 31st March, 1961.

90. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$99,334 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of General Educational Services, Provincial Library, to 31st March, 1961.

91. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$40,828 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of General Educational Services, Provincial Archives, to 31st March, 1961.

92. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$176,320 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of General Educational Services, Public Library Commission, to 31st March, 1961.

93. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$68,095 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of General Educational Services, Provincial Museum, to 31st March, 1961.

94. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of General Educational Services, Text-book Branch, to 31st March, 1961.

95. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$676,342 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of Local Educational Services, Inspection of Schools and School Services, to 31st March, 1961.

96. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$355,816 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of Special Educational Services, Jericho Hill School, to 31st March, 1961.

97. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$76,885 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of Teacher Registration and Examinations, Teacher Registration and Examinations, to 31st March, 1961.

98. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$59,672 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of Finance and Accounting Services, Finance Branch, to 31st March, 1961.

99. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$725,475 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of Finance and Accounting Services, Federal-Provincial Training Programmes, to 31st March, 1961.

100. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$56,137,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of Finance and Accounting Services, Grants toward Cost of Education, to 31st March, 1961.

101. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$3,000,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of Finance and Accounting Services, Teachers' Superannuation Fund 6% ("Special Assistance in the Cost of Education Act"), to 31st March, 1961.

102. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$50,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of Finance and Accounting Services, Special Aid to School Districts, to 31st March, 1961.

103. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$75,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of Finance and Accounting Services, Night-school Grants, to 31st March, 1961.

104. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$20,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of Finance and Accounting Services, Education of Soldiers' Dependent Children and Expenses, to 31st March, 1961.

105. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$220,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of Finance and Accounting Services, Library and Library Association Grants, to 31st March, 1961.

106. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$705,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of Finance and Accounting Services, Free Text-books, Maps, etc., to 31st March, 1961.

107. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$8,400,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of Finance and Accounting Services, Grants to University of British Columbia, to 31st March, 1961.

108. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,028,800 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of Finance and Accounting Services, Grants to University of Victoria (Victoria College), to 31st March, 1961.

109. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$97,845 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of Finance and Accounting Services, University Endowment Lands Account, to 31st March, 1961.

110. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$22,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of Finance and Accounting Services, Incidentals, Grants, and Contingencies, to 31st March, 1961.

111. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$43,800 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of Finance and Accounting Services, Temporary Assistance, to 31st March, 1961.

112. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$420,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of Finance and Accounting Services, Student-aid and Teacher-training Scholarships and Bursaries, to 31st March, 1961.

113 (Statutory). *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of Finance and Accounting Services, "Public Schools Act" and "Public Libraries Act," Advances *re* Rural School and Library Taxes, to 31st March, 1961.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* (Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance) presented the Annual Report of the Division of Vital Statistics for the Year 1957.

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* (Attorney-General) presented the Annual Report of the Director of Correction for the Year ended March 31st, 1959.

Mr. *Nimsick* asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Council the following questions:—

With reference to the Swartz Bay—Tsawwassen ferry service:—

1. Was any land purchased by the Government for this project in the vicinity of Swartz Bay?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) from whom was the land purchased and (b) in each individual case, what was the acreage purchased and what was the purchase price?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

"1. Yes.

"2. (a) Coal Island Limited and R. A. and L. C. Davis and (b) 1.22 acres for \$7,500, including improvements, and 5.95 acres for \$4,760, including improvements."

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. to-morrow.

And then the House adjourned at 10.49 p.m.