

**Monday, February 1, 1965**

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *E. W. S. Gilbert*.

On the motion of Mr. *Macdonald*, Bill (No. 4) intituled *An Act to Amend the Insurance Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the Address in reply to the Speech of His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor at the opening of the Session.

The debate continued.

By leave of the House, Mrs. *Haggen* tabled the following paper: A petition of the Women against High Prices Committee addressed to the Hon. the Premier.

On the motion of Mr. *MacSorley*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

**5** Mr. *Macdonald* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:—

1. Do any Magistrates in the Province hold law degrees and, if so, how many?
2. What is the total number of Magistrates in the Province?

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Fifty-four Magistrates hold law degrees or are qualified to practice law and preside over jurisdictions containing approximately 75 per cent of Provincial populations. Five lay Magistrates reached retirement age in 1964. Four were replaced by legally trained Magistrates and there was no replacement in the fifth case. There are two vacancies outstanding because of the death or resignation of legally trained men. This number includes Small Debts Court and Family Court functions.

“ 2. As of January 31, 1965, 215; of whom seven are District Magistrates.”

**38** Mr. *Gargrave* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With reference to the Williams Lake-Bella Coola Road:—

1. What were the total amounts spent on this road in the last five fiscal years on a yearly basis?
2. In each of the past five fiscal years, how much was spent on a yearly basis on (a) maintenance, (b) new construction, and (c) black-topping?
3. What is the total length of this road and how many miles are black-topped?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“ 1. 1960/61, \$1,007,989.59; 1961/62, \$201,186.26; 1962/63, \$84,935.94; 1963/64, \$248,929.19; and 1964/65 (to January 27, 1965), \$333,386.84.

“ 2. 1960/61, maintenance, \$34,766.69, new construction, \$960,606.11, black-topping, \$12,616.79; 1961/62, maintenance, \$34,968.48, new construction, \$143,467.78, black-topping, \$22,750; 1962/63, maintenance, \$65,876.30, new

construction, \$19,059.64, black-topping, *nil*; 1963/64, maintenance, \$42,611.77, new construction, \$124,658.92, black-topping, \$81,658.50; and 1964/65 (to January 27, 1965), maintenance, \$71,647.43, new construction, \$103,807.26, black-topping, \$157,932.15.

“3. Total length, 313.3 miles, and miles black-topped, 12.34.”

**43** Mr. *Turner* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

1. Has Interior Tire Consultants received any moneys from the Department of Highways since February, 1964?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what was the total payment?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“1. Yes.

“2. \$6,813.90.”

**49** Mr. *Eddie* asked the Hon. the Minister of Labour the following questions:—

1. Were any cases presented to the Workmen's Compensation Counsellor during the year 1964?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how many cases were presented?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* replied as follows:—

“1. Yes.

“2. 344.”

**89** Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following question:—

With respect to the sale of spirituous liquors in British Columbia:—

In the year ended December 31, 1964, what were the gallonage sales for the Liquor Control Board of (a) spirituous liquors other than through licensed outlets, (b) spirituous liquors through licensed outlets, (c) wines other than through licensed outlets, (d) wines through licensed outlets, (e) malt liquors other than through licensed outlets, and (f) malt liquors through licensed outlets?

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* replied as follows:—

“Records are kept only on the fiscal-year basis and for the period April 1, 1963, to March 31, 1964, the following information is submitted by way of reply: (a) 1,851,869 gallons, (b) 192,942 gallons, (c) 1,162,263 gallons, (d) 37,107 gallons, (e) 9,744,980 gallons, and (f) 14,304,432 gallons.”

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

**Tuesday, February 2, 1965**

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Major *A. Miller*.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the Address in reply to the Speech of His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor at the opening of the Session.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Robinson*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion of the Hon. *R. G. Williston*, seconded by the Hon. *W. K. Kiernan*, it was *Ordered*,—

Resolved, That the listed reports requested last Session from the Forest Service be referred to the Select Standing Committee on Forestry and Fisheries to consider

- (a) a report on the operation of the 30–50 per cent contract clause in tree-farm licence documents;
- (b) a report on the changes in quota during 1964;
- (c) a report regarding alleged blackmail practices as they relate to bidding for Crown timber;

and to study

- (a) proposed amendments to Parts IV and V of the *Forest Act* in the matter of timber leases and special timber licences designed to bring legislation in line with modern conditions;
- (b) the position of the sawlog operator within public sustained-yield units in a developing pulp harvest economy;
- (c) the management techniques to be followed in the sale of timber in the non-regulated area east and west of Mission City.

**45** Mr. *Eddie* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following question:—

With reference to the Deas Island Throughway, Tunnel–United States Border portion, what is the total construction cost to date?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“\$15,366,737.”

**95** Mr. *Calder* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With respect to the Stewart–Hyder Road contracts let since January 1, 1963:—

1. What is the identification and the amount of each contract let under the highway-building programme?
2. What has been the total amount paid contractors for work completed or under construction?
3. What are the names of the contractors?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“1. No contracts have been called.

“2 and 3. Answered by No. 1.”

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

---

---

## Tuesday, February 2, 1965

---

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the Address in reply to the Speech of His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor at the opening of the Session.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Matthew*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 10.52 p.m.

---

---

## Wednesday, February 3, 1965

---

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *H. T. Allen*.

On the motion of Mr. *Macdonald*, Bill (No. 8) intituled *An Act Respecting Privacy* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the Address in reply to the Speech of His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor at the opening of the Session.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. *McLeod*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The House proceeded to the Order "Presenting Reports by Standing and Special Committees."

Mr. *Matthew* presented the First Report of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:—

REPORT No. 1

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,  
February 3, 1965.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

The Standing Orders in respect to the petition for leave to introduce a Private Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Vancouver Charter* have been complied with, and your Committee recommends that the petitioner be allowed to proceed with the Bill.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman*.

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

Mr. *Matthew* presented the Second Report of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:—

REPORT No. 2

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,  
February 3, 1965.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

The Standing Orders in respect to the petition for leave to introduce a Private Bill intituled *An Act to Ratify an Agreement Bearing Date the 16th Day of November, 1964, between the City of Prince Rupert, Canadian National Railway Company, and Her Majesty the Queen in Her Right of Her Province of British Columbia* have been complied with, and your Committee recommends that the petitioner be allowed to proceed with the Bill.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman*.

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

Mr. *Matthew* presented the Third Report of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:—

REPORT No. 3

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,  
February 3, 1965.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

The Standing Orders in respect to the petition for leave to introduce a Private Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Pacific National Exhibition Incorporation Act*

have been complied with, and your Committee recommends that the petitioner be allowed to proceed with the Bill.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman*.

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

Mr. *Matthew* presented the Fourth Report of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:—

REPORT No. 4

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,  
February 3, 1965.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

The Standing Orders in respect to the petition for leave to introduce a Private Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Shaughnessy Heights Building Restriction Act, 1922*, have been complied with, and your Committee recommends that the petitioner be allowed to proceed with the Bill.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman*.

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

Mr. *Matthew* presented the Fifth Report of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:—

REPORT No. 5

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,  
February 3, 1965.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

The Standing Orders in respect to the petition for leave to introduce a Private Bill intituled *An Act to Incorporate the United Home Life Insurance Company* have been complied with, and your Committee recommends that the petitioner be allowed to proceed with the Bill.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman*.

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

Mr. *Matthew* presented the Sixth Report of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:—

REPORT No. 6

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,  
February 3, 1965.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

The Standing Orders in respect to the petition for leave to introduce a Private Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the British Columbia Life & Casualty Company Act*,

1958, have been complied with, and your Committee recommends that the petitioner be allowed to proceed with the Bill.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman*.

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

Mr. *Matthew* presented the Seventh Report of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:—

REPORT NO. 7

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,

February 3, 1965.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

The Standing Orders in respect to the petition for leave to introduce a Private Bill intituled *An Act to Incorporate Columbia Life & Casualty Insurance Company* have been complied with, and your Committee recommends that the petitioner be allowed to proceed with the Bill.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman*.

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

The Hon. *W. D. Black* (Provincial Secretary) presented the Report of the Civil Service Commission, Province of British Columbia, January 1 to December 31, 1964.

**1** Mr. *Macdonald* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following question:—

What was the total number of employees in narcotic drug treatment as at December 31, 1964?

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* replied as follows:—

“ 13.”

**2** Mr. *Macdonald* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:—

1. What was the total number of staff employed at Oakalla Prison Farm as at December 31, 1964?

2. What was the total number of prisoners held at Oakalla Prison Farm as at December 31, 1964?

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* replied as follows:—

“ 1. 453.

“ 2. 913.”

**3** Mr. *Macdonald* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:—

1. What was the total number of staff employed at the Corrections Office as at December 31, 1964?

2. What was the total number of staff employed in Gaol Services as at December 31, 1964?

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. 19.
- “ 2. 1,002.”

**4** Mr. *Macdonald* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:—

1. What was the total number of staff of the Probation Office as at December 31, 1964?
2. What was the total number of Probation Officers—Grade 1 employed in the Probation Office as at December 31, 1964?
3. What was the total number of Probation Officers—Grade 2 employed in the Probation Office as at December 31, 1964?
4. What was the total case load carried by the above Probation Officers?

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. 101.
  - “ 2. 25.
  - “ 3. 26.
  - “ 4. 2,726 cases.
- “(Additional to the 51 Probation Officers categorized as Grades 1 and 2 above, 14 Probation Officers in other grades are likewise employed.)”

**6** Mr. *Macdonald* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following question:—  
What was the average *per diem* cost of keeping a prisoner at Oakalla Gaol in 1964?

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* replied as follows:—

“The *per diem* cost of keeping one prisoner in Oakalla Gaol for the fiscal year ended March 31, 1964, was \$5.88.”

**62** Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

1. Were there any supervisors employed in the Social Welfare Branch who lacked university training in the calendar year 1964?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how many?
3. Were there any social workers, exclusive of supervisors, employed in the Social Welfare Branch who lacked university training in the calendar year 1964?
4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, how many?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. No.
- “ 2. Answered by No. 1.
- “ 3. Yes.
- “ 4. 97.”

**63** Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With respect to the Boys' Industrial School:—

1. What was the total number of boys held as at December 31, 1964?
2. What was the total number of staff employed as at December 31, 1964?
3. What was the total number of social workers employed as at December 31, 1964?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. 152.
- “ 2. 71, plus 10 under the Department of Public Works.
- “ 3. Three.”

**64** Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With respect to the Girls' Industrial School:—

- 1. What was the total number of girls held as at December 31, 1964?
- 2. What was the total number of staff employed as at December 31, 1964?
- 3. What was the total number of social workers employed as at December 31, 1964?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. 91.
- “ 2. 50, plus 3 part time 'as and when required.'
- “ 3. Three.”

**65** Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following question:—

Were any individuals given in-service training by the Social Welfare Branch training division during 1964 and, if so, how many?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“ Initial training was given to 57 individuals and advanced training to 36.”

**66** Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With respect to the number of social workers employed by the Social Welfare Branch as at December 31, 1964:—

- 1. What was the total number employed?
- 2. How many of the total number employed held B.S.W. or M.S.W. degrees?
- 3. How many were employed in the field exclusive of administrators and supervisors?
- 4. How many of those in No. 3 were not in possession of B.S.W. or M.S.W. degrees?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. 310.
- “ 2. 122.
- “ 3. 244.
- “ 4. 188.”

**68** Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following question:—

What were the total case loads by regions in the Social Welfare Branch as at December 31, 1964?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“ Region 1, 10,841; Region 2, 32,878; Region 3, 9,309; Region 4, 5,355; Region 5, 4,445; Region 6, 11,279; Region 7, 2,377; and Region 8, 2,041.”

**69** Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With reference to boards of review under the social assistance regulations:—

1. How many applications were received for boards (a) in each month of 1964 and (b) for the complete calendar year 1964?
2. How many boards were set up in 1964?
3. How many cases were resolved in the applicant's favour during 1964 (a) after hearing by a board of review and (b) after application for a board but without hearing by a board?
4. From what areas of the Province were the total number of applications received?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

"1. (a) January, 2; February, 3; March, 6; April, 7; May, 1; June, *nil*; July, 2; August, 3; September, 2; October, 3; November, 5; December, 5; and (b) 39.

"2. 39.

"3. (a) 32 and (b) separate statistics not kept of cases which are resolved without a hearing by a board of review.

"4. Cobble Hill, 1; Crescent Beach, 1; Gray Creek, 1; Hammond, 2; Haney, 1; Langley, 1; Nanaimo, 1; Prince George, 1; Richmond, 1; Saanich, 3; Sidney, 1; Surrey, North, 2; Vancouver City, 16; Vancouver, North, 2; Victoria, 3; Westside, 1; and Williams Lake, 1."

**72** Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With respect to payments of supplementary social assistance:—

1. Are any recipients in receipt of pay at the present time?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how many and (b) how many receive the maximum allowance of \$24?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

"1. Yes.

"2. (a) 30,463 and (b) approximately 19,750."

**74** Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following question:—

What was the total number of social workers in the Social Welfare Branch, by regions, as at December 31, 1964?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

"Region 1, 40; Region 2, 59; Region 3, 37; Region 4, 28; Region 5, 26; Region 6, 42; Region 7, 20; Region 8, 15; and total, 267."

**75** Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

1. In each social welfare office in the Province, what is the actual number of social workers employed as at December 31, 1964, exclusive of supervisors?
2. In each relevant office what is the total case load?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“ 1 and 2. Numbers of social workers employed and total case load in each office was as follows:—

	Number of Social Workers	Total Case Load
<i>Region 1</i>		
Alberni .....	4	1,049
Campbell River .....	2	535
Courtenay .....	4	863
Duncan .....	4	1,011
Nanaimo .....	8	2,311
Victoria District .....	6½	1,591
Saanich .....	2½	912
Victoria City .....	9	2,569
<i>Region 2</i>		
New Westminster District .....	5	1,306
Powell River .....	2	383
Vancouver District .....	5	1,070
Burnaby .....	14	3,563
Coquitlam .....	4½	850
New Westminster City .....	5½	1,762
North Vancouver .....	5	1,527
Richmond .....	4	1,042
Vancouver City .....	60	20,871
West Vancouver .....	2	504
<i>Region 3</i>		
Kamloops .....	8	1,343
North Kamloops .....	3	550
Kelowna .....	3	673
Lillooet .....	3	519
Oliver .....	2	648
Penticton .....	5	932
Revelstoke .....	1	263
Salmon Arm .....	3	733
Vernon .....	4	926
Kamloops City .....	2	661
Kelowna City .....	2	807
Penticton City .....	2	708
Vernon City .....	1	546
<i>Region 4</i>		
Cranbrook .....	5	1,213
Creston .....	2	559
Fernie .....	1	394
Grand Forks .....	2	546
Nelson .....	6	1,150
New Denver .....	2	392
Trail .....	5	1,101
<i>Region 5</i>		
Prince George .....	7½	1,537
Prince George (sub) .....	4	819
Quesnel .....	4	906
Vanderhoof .....	3	462
Williams Lake .....	3	721
<i>Region 6</i>		
Abbotsford .....	8	2,277
Chilliwack .....	10	2,532
Haney .....	4½	1,193
Langley .....	5	1,224
White Rock .....	2	551
Surrey .....	14	3,502

*Region 7*

	Number of Social Workers	Total Case Load
Burns Lake .....	2	297
Prince Rupert .....	6	794
Smithers .....	3	686
Terrace .....	3½	600

*Region 8*

Dawson Creek .....	7	1,312
Fort St. John .....	5	729 "

**76** Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With respect to grants of social assistance made between April 1, 1964, and December 31, 1964:—

1. How many individuals were granted Social Allowance?
2. What was the case load and total number of recipients on a monthly basis?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“ 1 and 2. Social Allowance granted and case load on a monthly basis was as follows:—

	Social Allowance Case Load	Total Number of Recipients of Social Allowance
April .....	28,291	63,029
May .....	28,147	61,784
June .....	27,911	60,905
July .....	26,754	57,899
August .....	26,457	56,427
September .....	25,818	55,992
October .....	25,946	55,769
November .....	27,218	58,759 "

**80** Mrs. *Haggen* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With reference to recipients of Old-age Assistance, Old Age Security, and Supplementary Social Allowance:—

1. Are there any recipients of Old-age Assistance who are not in receipt of Supplementary Social Allowance?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, do any of these recipients hold valid health services identity cards and, if so, how many?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. Yes, but numbers not available.”

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

Thursday, February 4, 1965

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. E. A. Wright.

By leave of the House, the Hon. W. A. C. Bennett made the following statement:—

“Mr. Speaker, yesterday when I arrived at my office, I was amazed to have a message from one of the Vancouver newspapers that a certain letter purporting to be signed by me had arrived in Ottawa at the Prime Minister's office in May, 1964.

“I immediately summoned all my small staff in the Premier's office to make sure no such letter of any type had been sent, not only as suggested but to anybody else at any time. They assured me no such letter had been sent.

“Realizing this was a completely phoney letter I immediately asked the Attorney-General to come to the Premier's office. He came forthwith. I asked him immediately to get in touch with the R.C.M.P. and tell them to get in touch with the Ottawa detachment and run down this phoney letter and investigate the case to the nth degree, which he did.

“Immediately following that I telephoned the Prime Minister of Canada in his office at Ottawa and talked with him and told him about this letter. He said he had never seen the letter, and he would send for it forthwith, and accepted my assurance that it was a phoney letter.

“I pointed out to the Prime Minister that a letter might arrive like that in the Prime Minister's office and would not be referred to him, but sent immediately by a member of his staff to the Minister of Immigration and become part of a file. At times I have been in Ottawa since and no mention was ever made of this letter. If a situation like this had not developed, I would never have heard about this phoney letter. The Prime Minister said he would immediately get in touch with the Department and see that he got the letter forthwith.

“The next development yesterday afternoon was this: The R.C.M.P. detachment, not the same officer, telephoned the Attorney-General and said that on the week-end before—it was Wednesday when we found out—a certain person had come to them and admitted to them that they had written a letter—this particular letter—without any knowledge of the Premier and without any permission of the Premier. Later in the afternoon the Attorney-General received by special delivery a letter from the R.C.M.P. confirming this. The peculiar thing about this is—and there are many peculiar things about this in the Prime Minister's office and the R.C.M.P.—if they had this statement over the week-end, why didn't they advise the Chief Law Officer of the Province, and through him the Premier, or why didn't they advise the Prime Minister of Canada? They didn't have any reaction until the Attorney-General had, hours before, telephoned them about this matter.

“So I again telephoned the Prime Minister's office this morning. The Prime Minister was not in his office, he was en route to Toronto. But I talked to his secretary and further explained the situation, about this statement that the R.C.M.P. had and didn't make known to us until we started to investigate yesterday and how odd it was. I advised the secretary of the Prime Minister that I intended to make a statement on the floor of this House at the opening today.

“I have instructed the Attorney-General to forward the letter from the R.C.M.P. of British Columbia carrying this statement immediately to the Prime Minister of Canada, with the request that the Prime Minister immediately submit it to the Dorion Commission so they can look into the full background and investigate the whole situation.”

The following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* referred to the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills:—

On the motion of Mr. Price—Bill (No. 51) intituled *An Act to Amend the Vancouver Charter*.

On the motion of Mr. Price—Bill (No. 53) intituled *An Act to Amend the Pacific National Exhibition Incorporation Act*.

On the motion of Mr. Price—Bill (No. 54) intituled *An Act to Amend the Shaughnessy Heights Building Restriction Act, 1922*.

On the motion of Mr. Price—Bill (No. 56) intituled *An Act to Amend the British Columbia Life & Casualty Company Act, 1958*.

On the motion of Mr. Matthew—Bill (No. 55) intituled *An Act to Incorporate the United Home Life Insurance Company*.

On the motion of Mr. MacSorley—Bill (No. 57) intituled *An Act to Incorporate Columbia Life & Casualty Insurance Company*.

On the motion of Mr. Speare—Bill (No. 52) intituled *An Act to Ratify an Agreement Bearing Date the 16th Day of November, 1964, between the City of Prince Rupert, Canadian National Railway Company, and Her Majesty the Queen in Her Right of Her Province of British Columbia*.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the Address in reply to the Speech of His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor at the opening of the Session.

The debate continued.

The House divided.

The motion was agreed to on the following division:—

YEAS—32

Messieurs

<i>Lundell</i>	<i>Shelford</i>	<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Huhn</i>
<i>Speare</i>	<i>Price</i>	<i>MacSorley</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Smith</i>	<i>Brothers</i>	<i>McLeod</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Carnell</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Robinson</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Martin</i>
<i>Matthew</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Gaglardi</i>
<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Richter</i>

NAYS—19

Messieurs

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Eddie</i>	<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Squire</i>
<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Macfarlane</i>	<i>Harding</i>
<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Gargrave</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>Calder</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Haggen, Mrs.</i>	<i>Turner</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>McKay</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	

On the motion of the Hon. W. A. C. Bennett, seconded by the Hon. R. W. Bonner, it was *Ordered*,—

That this House will, at its next sitting, resolve itself into a Committee to consider the Supply to be granted to Her Majesty, and that this Order have precedence over all other business, except introduction of Bills, until disposed of.

A debate arose.

Mr. Dowding moved an amendment, seconded by Mr. Gargrave,—

That the motion be amended by adding after the word “except” the words “and oral questions.”

A debate arose.

The House divided.

The amendment was negatived on the following division:—

YEAS—18

Messieurs

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Macfarlane</i>	<i>Squire</i>
<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Gargrave</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Harding</i>
<i>Calder</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Haggen, Mrs.</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>McKay</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Turner</i>
<i>Eddie</i>	<i>Perrault</i>		

NAYS—32

Messieurs

<i>Lundell</i>	<i>Shelford</i>	<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Huhn</i>
<i>Speare</i>	<i>Price</i>	<i>MacSorley</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Smith</i>	<i>Brothers</i>	<i>McLeod</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Carnell</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Robinson</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Martin</i>
<i>Matthew</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Gaglardi</i>
<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Richter</i>

The debate was resumed on the main motion.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:—

YEAS—50

Messieurs

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Shelford</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Squire</i>	<i>Price</i>	<i>Skillings</i>
<i>Calder</i>	<i>Harding</i>	<i>Brothers</i>	<i>Little</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>
<i>Eddie</i>	<i>Turner</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Huhn</i>
<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Lundell</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Gargrave</i>	<i>Speare</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>McKay</i>	<i>Carnell</i>	<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Robinson</i>	<i>MacSorley</i>	<i>Martin</i>
<i>Macfarlane</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>McLeod</i>	<i>Gaglardi</i>
<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Matthew</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Haggen, Mrs.</i>	<i>Bruch</i>		

On the motion of the Hon. W. A. C. Bennett, seconded by the Hon. R. W. Bonner, it was Ordered,—

That this House will, at its next sitting, resolve itself into a Committee to consider of the Ways and Means for raising the Supply to be granted to Her Majesty.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to *nemine contradicente*.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2.30 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

## Friday, February 5, 1965

---

HALF-PAST TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *A. E. King*.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, seconded by the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, it was *Ordered*,—

That the Public Accounts for the Fiscal Year 1963/64 be referred to the Select Standing Committee on Public Accounts.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* (Minister of Finance) presented the Report of the Comptroller-General, pursuant to the provisions of the *Audit Act*, chapter 22, R.S.B.C. 1960.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith—

Estimates of sums required for the service of the Province for the fiscal year ending March 31, 1966;

Schedule A. Sums required by Her Majesty to make good certain sums expended for the public service for the period ended March 31, 1964, and to indemnify the several officers and persons for making such expenditure; and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*February 5, 1965.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Estimates accompanying the same, be referred to the Committee of Supply.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* moved, seconded by the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

A debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Strachan*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Succession Duty Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*February 4, 1965.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

## (IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 5) intituled *An Act to Amend the Succession Duty Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.  
Report adopted.  
Bill introduced and read a first time.  
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Income Tax Act, 1962*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*February 4, 1965.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

## (IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 7) intituled *An Act to Amend the Income Tax Act, 1962*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.  
Report adopted.  
Bill introduced and read a first time.  
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Revenue Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*February 4, 1965.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

## (IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 6) intituled *An Act to Amend the Revenue Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* (Minister of Finance) presented the Pacific Great Eastern Railway Company Statement of Accounts as at December 31, 1964.

**87** Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Labour the following questions:—

With respect to the *Fair Employment Practices Act*:—

1. How many charges were laid under the *Fair Employment Practices Act* in the years 1961, 1962, 1963, and 1964?

2. How many convictions were obtained, by years?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* replied as follows:—

“ 1. None.

“ 2. Answered by No. 1.”

**100** Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:—

1. What was the average *per diem* cost of keeping one inmate at Chilliwack Forest Camp in 1964?

2. What was the average *per diem* cost of keeping one inmate at Haney Correctional Institution in 1964?

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* replied as follows:—

“ 1. For the fiscal year ended March 31, 1964, \$6.07.

“ 2. For the fiscal year ended March 31, 1964, \$11.62.”

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. on Monday next.

And then the House adjourned at 4.54 p.m.

**Monday, February 8, 1965**

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *J. D. Watson*.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. K. Kiernan*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The Hon. *F. X. Richter* (Minister of Agriculture) presented the Fifty-ninth Annual Report of the Department of Agriculture.

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* (Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance) presented the Sixty-eighth Annual Report of the Public Health Services of British Columbia, Health Branch, Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, for the year ended December 31, 1964.

**12** Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

With reference to Provincial Government advertising:—

1. Has any advertising been placed in the North Shore Citizen since July, 1963?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what is the total amount of Government expenditure involved?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

- " 1. Yes.
- " 2. \$133.28."

**13** Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following question:—

With respect to amounts paid by the Federal Government under the *Unemployment Assistance Act*, what was the amount paid to the Province of British Columbia under terms of this Act in the years 1962/63 and 1963/64?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

" The amounts paid to the Province of British Columbia were as follows: 1962/63, \$15,929,303.96, and 1963/64, \$16,485,248.23."

**15** Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

1. Did the Provincial Government purchase any debentures issued by school districts in the Province in 1964?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, in what amounts and in which districts?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

- " 1 and 2. See page 19, 1965 Budget Speech."

**16** Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

With reference to the Tax Sale Suspense Fund:—

1. What was the total amount paid into this Fund in 1963/64?
2. Has any money paid into this Fund since 1951/52 been transferred to general revenue and, if so, how much?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. \$76,960.47.

“ 2. No.”

**17** Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following question:—

In each school district in the Province which has rural components, what were the total sums collectable under the *Public Schools Act* in each rural component for the school budget year of 1964?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ Unorganized rural component of school districts and 1964 school requisitions: No. 1, Fernie, \$313,561; No. 2, Cranbrook, \$170,914; No. 3, Kimberley, \$349,931; No. 4, Windermere, \$181,150; No. 5, Creston, \$244,412; No. 6, Kootenay Lake, \$58,069; No. 7, Nelson, \$380,882; No. 8, Slocan, \$146,392; No. 9, Castlegar, \$597,434; No. 10, Arrow Lakes, \$201,485; No. 11, Trail, \$376,701; No. 12, Grand Forks, \$120,433; No. 13, Kettle Valley, \$85,018; No. 14, Southern Okanagan, \$200,625; No. 15, Penticton, \$104,083; No. 16, Keremeos, \$56,797; No. 17, Princeton, \$65,136; No. 18, Golden, \$86,093; No. 19, Revelstoke, \$91,833; No. 20, Salmon Arm, \$233,014; No. 21, Armstrong-Spallumcheen, \$6; No. 22, Vernon, \$197,063; No. 23, Kelowna, \$471,817; No. 24, Kamloops, \$852,032; No. 25, Barriere, \$87,583; No. 26, Birch Island, \$178,397; No. 27, Williams Lake, \$479,759; No. 28, Quesnel, \$404,429; No. 29, Lillooet, \$496,624; No. 30, South Cariboo, \$217,275; No. 31, Merritt, \$226,731; No. 32, Fraser Canyon, \$226,550; No. 33, Chilliwack, \$127,501; No. 34, Abbotsford, \$7,382; No. 36, Surrey, \$5,222; No. 38, Richmond, \$276; No. 40, New Westminster, \$43,482; No. 42, Maple Ridge, \$15,958; No. 43, Coquitlam, \$766,632; No. 44, North Vancouver, \$2,537; No. 45, West Vancouver, \$28,020; No. 46, Sechelt, \$694,290; No. 47, Powell River, \$307,641; No. 48, Howe Sound, \$656,540; No. 49, Ocean Falls, \$458,267; No. 50, Queen Charlotte, \$100,963; No. 51, Portland Canal, \$9,932; No. 52, Prince Rupert, \$474,584; No. 53, Terrace, \$119,903; No. 54, Smithers, \$64,081; No. 55, Burns Lake, \$90,935; No. 56, Vanderhoof, \$110,929; No. 57, Prince George, \$662,566; No. 58, McBride, \$137,425; No. 59, Peace River South, \$323,139; No. 60, Peace River North, \$794,490; No. 61, Greater Victoria, \$116,524; No. 62, Sooke, \$596,757; No. 63, Saanich, \$153,021; No. 64, Gulf Islands, \$257,506; No. 65, Cowichan, \$404,264; No. 66, Lake Cowichan, \$586,973; No. 67, Ladysmith, \$118,572; No. 68, Nanaimo, \$1,558,005; No. 69, Qualicum, \$237,713; No. 70, Alberni, \$416,713; No. 71, Courtenay, \$474,660; No. 72, Campbell River, \$1,106,940; No. 73, Alert Bay, \$188,335; No. 74, Quatsino, \$322,270; No. 75, Mission, \$103,283; No. 76, Agassiz, \$73,772; No. 77, Summerland, \$8,123; No. 78, Enderby, \$55,829; No. 79, Ucluelet-Tofino, \$92,471; No. 81, Fort Nelson, \$68,423; No. 82, Chilcotin, \$16,859; and No. 83, Portage Mountain, \$27,500.

“Unattached, 1964 school requisitions: Amai, \$178; Atlin, \$3,571; Bamfield, \$6,275; Belmont Park, \$59; Comox Airport, \$92; Esperanza, \$643; Fair Harbour, \$3,098; Good Hope Lake, \$25; Kyuquot, \$2,494; Lower Post, \$633; McDame Creek, \$38,698; Muchalat, \$8,510; Tahsis River, \$55,481; Telegraph Creek, \$910; University Hill, \$220,403; Zeballos, \$11,795; total, \$21,242,302.”

**56** Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Council the following questions:—

1. Were any printed copies of the Budget Speech for 1964 distributed to other than elected members?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how many were so distributed and (b) what was the total cost to the Government of the copies so distributed?

3. Did any source, other than the Government, pay for the printing and (or) distribution of the copies referred to in No. 2?

4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, (a) who were the organizations and (or) persons concerned and (b) what was the total cost to them of printing and (or) distribution?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) 26,000 and (b) \$10,400.

“ 3. No.

“ 4. Not applicable.”

**58** Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Council the following questions:—

With reference to the financial statement of the Toll Highways and Bridges Authority for 1963 printed by the Queen's Printer:—

1. How many copies were printed?

2. What was the cost per copy?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. 500.

“ 2. 76 cents.”

**88** Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

In the fiscal year ended March 31, 1964:—

1. What percentage of gasoline tax collected in British Columbia was collected in the City of Vancouver?

2. What percentage of gasoline tax collected in British Columbia was collected, in total, in the City and District of North Vancouver and the Municipality of West Vancouver?

3. What percentage of sales tax collected in British Columbia was collected in Vancouver?

4. What percentage of sales tax collected in British Columbia was collected in the City and District of North Vancouver and the Municipality of West Vancouver?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1, 2, 3, and 4. Not known, breakdown of tax collected by specified city or municipality not maintained.”

**91** Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

With respect to bond issues for school construction:—

1. Were any bond issues authorized by the Government in 1964 and, if so, in what total amount?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what was the total amount of those bonds floated?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. Yes, \$20,000,000.
- “ 2. \$20,000,000.”

**101** Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

1. How many employees were engaged in the work of the Consumer Taxation Branch, Social Services Tax Section, as at December 31, 1964?
2. What were the total costs of the Social Services Tax Section of the Consumer Taxation Branch from January 1, 1964, to December 31, 1964?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. 105.
- “ 2. \$689,911.74.”

**104** Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

1. What is the assessed value of (a) land and (b) improvements of Provincial Crown properties within the boundaries of: (i) City of North Vancouver; (ii) District of North Vancouver; and (iii) Municipality of West Vancouver?
2. What grants in lieu of taxes were paid to each of the foregoing municipal bodies in the years 1960, 1961, 1962, 1963, and 1964?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. Assessed values for 1964 were: (a) (i) *nil*, (ii) \$14,080, (iii) \$21,686, and (b) (i) *nil*, (ii) \$75,800, (iii) \$25,800.
- “ 2. City of North Vancouver, *nil* for all years; District of North Vancouver, *nil* for years 1960 to 1963, \$1,063.95 in 1964; District of West Vancouver, *nil* for years 1960 to 1962, \$281.33 in 1963 and \$615.54 in 1964; excludes grants equivalent to normal municipal taxes paid by the British Columbia Liquor Board.”

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

**Tuesday, February 9, 1965**

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *David Metzger*.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Macdonald*, the Order for the second reading of Bill (No. 4) intituled *An Act to Amend the Insurance Act* was discharged, and the Bill *Ordered* dropped from the Order Paper.

On the motion of Mrs. *Haggen*, the following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today:—

Bill (No. 9) intituled *An Act to Amend the Fireworks Regulation Act*.

Bill (No. 10) intituled *An Act to Amend the Equal Pay Act*.

On the motion of Mr. *Barrett*, Bill (No. 11) intituled *An Act Respecting the Standard Display of Prices* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Skillings*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

**103** Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

With respect to the Pacific Great Eastern Railway:—

1. During the year 1964 were any written requests made to the Federal Government with respect to a Federal grant to the Pacific Great Eastern Railway?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what were the dates of the requests and (b) in terms of dollars, how much assistance was requested?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

"1. Yes.

"2. (a) April 15, 1964; October 22, 1964; November 17, 1964; and (b) \$19,834,000."

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.33 p.m.

**Tuesday, February 9, 1965**

---

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *R. G. Williston*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* (Attorney-General) presented the following papers:—

The Forty-second Annual Report of the Fire Marshal for the year ended December 31, 1963.

Annual Report of the Director of Correction for the year ended March 31, 1964.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 10.32 p.m.

---

---

**Wednesday, February 10, 1965**

---

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *Colin Campbell*.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *D. L. Brothers*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* (Premier) presented the Annual Report of the Milk Board for the year ended December 31, 1964.

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* (Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources) presented the Annual Report of the Forest Service for the year ended December 31, 1964.

**60** Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Council the following questions:—

With reference to the Pacific Great Eastern Railway, the Workmen's Compensation Board, the British Columbia Toll Authority, and the British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority:—

1. Have any of these agencies since March 19, 1964, paid any moneys to Evergreen Press Limited?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what is the total amount paid by each agency to date?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. The British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority paid \$20,865.70.”

**113** Mr. *Dowding* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

With respect to the special coach used by the president of the Pacific Great Eastern Railway on the said railway:—

1. Did the Premier travel in the said special coach accompanied by either of the following persons: (a) Harry Stonehill or (b) Al Williamson?

2. Was the said special coach used last year to carry the said Harry Stonehill and Al Williamson?

3. If the answer to No. 2 is yes, who authorized the use of the said special coach?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1, 2, and 3. No.”

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.57 p.m.

---

---

**Thursday, February 11, 1965**

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Father *W. H. Bullock*.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion “ That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair ” for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *E. C. F. Martin*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

**11** Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

With reference to the Queen Charlotte Islands:—

1. What was the total amount of Government revenue from the islands in the last five fiscal years?
2. What were the amounts of revenue by source in the past five fiscal years?
3. What was the total Government expenditure on the islands in the last five fiscal years?
4. What were the expenditures by function on the islands in the last five fiscal years?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“Information not available.”

**14** Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

With reference to payments to physicians and surgeons in private practice by the Government and its agencies in the fiscal years 1962/63 and 1963/64:—

1. What was the total amount paid in each year?
2. Which departments or agencies made the payments and in what amounts?
3. What were the general classifications of services paid for and what amounts were paid in each classification?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“Information not available.”

**54** Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Council the following questions:—

With reference to litigation costs involved in placing the British Columbia Electric Company under public ownership and the Premier's answer to Question No. 125 on this subject recorded in the Journals of 1964:—

1. Have any further costs been paid?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what is the total amount paid to date, (b) what are the names of the individuals or firms who received these moneys and in what amounts were they paid, and (c) is the total amount now paid the final amount?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“1. Yes.

“2. (a) Total amount paid by British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority from commencement of litigation to date, \$904,667; (b) Davis, Hossie, Campbell, Brazier & McLorg, barristers and solicitors, \$201,572; Paine, Edmonds, Mercer & Williams, barristers and solicitors, \$46,757; Harman, McKenzie & Murphy, barristers and solicitors, \$50,805; Blake, Cassels & Graydon, barristers and solicitors, \$8,055; Oscar F. Lundell, Lawson & McIntosh, barristers and solicitors, \$2,295; Marshall & Stevens Limited, valuation engineers, \$31,611; H. Zinder & Associates, utility consultants, \$72,949; R. Grant Limited, appraisers, \$8,680; England, Leonard, McPherson & Company, accountants, \$1,333; McDonald, Currie & Company, accountants, \$54,650; Peat, Marwick & Mitchell, accountants, \$1,000; Price, Waterhouse & Company, accountants, \$7,233; International Power & Engineering Consultants, \$561; Dr. H. L. Purdy, \$21,191; Royal Trust Company, \$83,282; British Columbia Power Corporation, \$311,586; Arthur J. Cowan, \$600; miscellaneous office expenses, \$507; and (c) yes.”

**55** Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Council the following questions:—

With reference to litigation costs involved in the placing of the Peace River Power Development Company under public ownership:—

1. Have any of these costs been paid?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what is the total amount paid to date and (b) what are the names of the individuals or firms who received these moneys and what amounts were they paid?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. Yes.
- “ 2. (a) Total amount paid by British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority was \$14,663 and (b) Campney, Owen & Murphy, barristers and solicitors, \$6,000; Davis, Hossie, Campbell, Brazier & McLorg, barristers and solicitors, \$8,663.”

**108** Mr. *Dowding* asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Council the following questions:—

1. Did any letter relating to one Harry Stonehill emanate from the office of the Premier as stated by Mr. Hal Dornan in a report in the Vancouver Times of February 3, 1965?
2. If so, (a) to whom was it addressed and (b) what were the contents?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

- “ 1 and 2. See Votes and Proceedings, Thursday, February 4, 1965.”

**109** Mr. *Shelford* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following question:—

How many gallons of gasoline were purchased by all departments and paid for by the Province of British Columbia during the years 1959, 1960, 1961, 1962, 1963, and 1964, and what average price was paid per gallon in each of those years?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

- “ Information not available.”

**111** Mr. *Dowding* asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Council the following question:—

With respect to a statement attributed to the Hon. the Attorney-General that a person has admitted using the letterhead of the Hon. the Premier and signing the name of the Premier in a letter to Mr. Hal Dornan, (a) who was such person, (b) has such person been charged under section 309 of the Criminal Code for making a false document knowing it to be false with intent that it should be used or acted upon as genuine, and (c) if not, why not?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

- “ See statement in Votes and Proceedings, Thursday, February 4, 1965. Inquiries by R.C.M.P. touching this matter are continuing in course of completion.”

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.37 p.m.

## Thursday, February 11, 1965

---

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *F. X. Richter*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 11.03 p.m.

---

---

## Friday, February 12, 1965

---

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Mr. Speaker.

By leave of the House, the Hon. *L. R. Peterson* (Minister of Labour) presented the Report of the Industrial Inquiry Commission in the matter of a dispute between the Pacific Great Eastern Railway Company and the Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen, Lodges 845 and 1080.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. D. Black*, Bill (No. 13) intituled *An Act to Amend the Public Documents Disposal Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *L. R. Peterson*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

In answer to the following question standing on the Order Paper in the name of Mr. *Macdonald*:—

**93** Mr. *Macdonald* asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Council the following questions:—

With reference to free transit passes on British Columbia Hydro buses:—

1. Are passes issued to any individuals or groups of individuals other than members of the Legislative Assembly?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what are the names of the groups and individuals and (b) what is the total number of passes issued including those given to members of the Legislative Assembly?

The Hon. the Premier and President of the Council stated that, in his opinion, the reply should be in the form of a Return and that he had no objection to laying such Return upon the table of the House, and thereupon presented such Return.

**57** Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Council the following questions:—

With reference to the annual financial report of the Pacific Great Eastern Railway Company for 1963 published in accordance with the *Public Bodies Information Act*:—

1. How many copies were printed?
2. What was the name of the printer?
3. What was the cost per copy?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. 200.
- “ 2. Pacific Great Eastern Railway printing-shop.
- “ 3. 27.14 cents.”

**59** Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Council the following questions:—

With reference to the British Columbia Toll Authority Ferry System:—

1. Were free travel facility passes provided on the ferries to any persons other than members of the Legislature during the years 1964 and 1965?

2. If the answer to any part of No. 1 is yes, what were the names of the persons provided with passes in each relevant year?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1 and 2. See answer to Question No. 2, page 57, Journals of the Legislative Assembly, 1964. No change except D. Price replaces E. C. Pollock, sales representative; H. Yeadon, supervising steward, has left the Authority service and A. Kreiger, supervisor of terminals, added.”

**60** The Hon. the Premier and President of the Council replied further to his answer of February 10, as follows:—

“ 2. Pacific Great Eastern Railway, \$1,911.18, to December 31, 1964, which is end of company fiscal year; Workmen's Compensation Board, \$6,006.90; and British Columbia Toll Highways and Bridges Authority, *nil*.”

**112** Mr. *McGeer* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

With reference to Provincial Government advertising:—

1. What was the cost of the advertisement placed by the Provincial Government on page 4 of the Kamloops Daily Sentinel of October 24, 1964?
2. Under what vote was this advertisement authorized?
3. How many newspaper advertisements with a similar purpose were placed in 1964?
4. What was the total cost of such advertisements in 1964?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. \$68.56.
- “ 2. Vote 158.
- “ 3. Six in total.
- “ 4. \$402.80 in total.”

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2.30 o'clock p.m. on Monday next.

And then the House adjourned at 5.18 p.m.

## Monday, February 15, 1965

HALF-PAST TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *W. W. McPherson*.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, it was *Ordered* that the Rules be suspended to permit the moving, without notice, of a motion by Mr. *Strachan*, the Leader of Her Majesty's Loyal Opposition.

Moved by Mr. *Strachan*, seconded by the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*,—

“ That an address be presented to the Honourable *W. A. C. Bennett*, Premier of British Columbia, as follows:—

“ Be it known that the Hon. *William Andrew Cecil Bennett*, LL.D., today attains a record of continuing service as Premier of the Province of British Columbia. This record of 4,582 days stands unequalled since the advent of responsible government in British Columbia. In recognition of his untiring devotion and service given to this high office, the Legislative Assembly of the Province of British Columbia extends to him sincere felicitations on his significant and historic contribution to the people of our beloved Province.”

Motion agreed to *nemine contradicente*.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion “ That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair ” for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. D. Black*, on behalf of the Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

By leave of the House, the Hon. *L. R. Peterson* (Minister of Labour) presented an Agreement dated February 15, 1965, between the Pacific Great Eastern Railway and the Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen, Lodges 845 and 1080.

**9** Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following questions:—

With reference to the cost of school textbooks in British Columbia:—

1. What was the total cost to the Government for textbooks in the fiscal year 1963/64?
2. What was the average textbook cost per pupil in the fiscal year 1963/64?
3. What were the names of the companies or individuals supplying the textbooks, and what amount was paid to each relevant company or individual?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* replied as follows:—

“ 1. \$966,952.56.

“ 2. \$2.45.

“ 3. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company Incorporated, \$11,000; Appleton-Century Crofts, \$914.06; Bellhaven House, \$15,300; The Book Society of Canada Limited, \$39,600; Bruce Publishing Company Incorporated, \$1,455; Clarke, Irwin & Company Limited, \$89,874.64; Clarke & Stuart Company Limited, \$41,-796.30; Collier-Macmillan (Canada) Limited, \$60,347.74; The Copp Clark Publishing Company Limited, \$186,097.26; J. M. Dent & Sons Canada Limited, \$139,368.60; Dominion Government Printing Bureau, Ottawa, \$403.22; Evergreen Press Limited, \$7,759.33; W. J. Gage Limited, \$317,151.50; Ginn & Company, \$93,725.40; Harper Brothers Publishers, Incorporated, \$1,310; Holt, Rinehart & Winston of Canada Limited, \$87,957.17; Institute of Applied Arts Limited, \$39,424; Longman's Canada Limited, \$27,913.25; McAinsh & Company Limited, \$152; McGraw-Hill Company of Canada Limited, \$35,397.50; McLelland & Stewart Limited, \$5,621; Macmillan Company of Canada Limited, \$273,061.25; Thomas Nelson & Sons Canada Limited, \$65,192.76; Oxford University Press, \$4,659.25; Sir Isaac Pitman & Sons Canada Limited, \$16,988; Prentice-Hall Incorporated, \$315; Queen's Printer, Province of British Columbia, \$624; The Ryerson Press, \$34,453.13; Saunders of Toronto Limited, \$6,400; Schaum Publishing Company Incorporated, \$250; C. Schribner & Sons Incorporated, \$65; St. John Ambulance Association, \$2,392; University of British Columbia Book Store, \$627.50; University of Toronto Press, \$400; Waterloo Music Company Limited, \$2,520.”

**50** Mr. *Eddie* asked the Hon. the Minister of Labour the following questions:—

With respect to commercial fishermen applying for workmen's compensation as independent operators during 1964:—

1. Did any apply for compensation and, if so, how many and how many in each month?
2. What was the total number of months where compensation coverage was provided?
3. What were the total payments paid to the Workmen's Compensation Board therefor?
4. How many claims were made for the following: (a) Death claims, (b) claims for temporary total disability, (c) claims for permanent partial disability, and (d) claims for permanent total disability?
5. How many of the claims were approved and how many were rejected?

6. What was the total amount of money paid out by the Workmen's Compensation Board pursuant thereto?

7. Were any of the claims rejected solely on the grounds that the fishermen concerned had not paid a premium for coverage?

With respect to commercial fishermen who suffered industrial accidents during 1964:—

8. Did any suffer fatal accidents?

9. If the answer to No. 8 is yes, how many were covered by workmen's compensation under (a) the independent operators' plan and (b) those sections of the Act which define certain categories of fishermen as workmen?

10. Did any commercial fishermen suffer either temporary total disability, permanent partial disability, or permanent total disability and, if so, how many of these were covered by workmen's compensation (a) as workmen under the Act or (b) as independent operators?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* replied as follows:—

" 1. Yes, 1,822, as follows: January, 164; February, 57; March, 286; April, 526; May, 287; June, 299; July, 166; August, 17; September, 13; October, 5; November, 2; and December, *nil*.

" 2. 9,286.

" 3. \$74,885.07.

" 4. (a) None, (b) 65, (c) 3, and (d) none.

" 5. 64 were approved and 4 were disallowed.

" 6. \$47,041.61. This amount does not include any provision for amounts still to be paid on unfinalled claims or any provision for administration charges or other special levies to the class funds.

" 7. No.

" 8. Yes.

" 9. (a) None and (b) one.

" 10. Yes. (a) 146 and (b) 64."

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.08 p.m.

**Tuesday, February 16, 1965**

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *R. A. Hoult*.

On the motion of the Hon. *F. X. Richter*, the following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today:—

Bill (No. 18) intituled *An Act to Amend the Fur-farm Act*.

Bill (No. 15) intituled *An Act to Amend the Live-stock Public Sales Act*.

On the motion of Mr. *Barrett*, Bill (No. 17) intituled *An Act Respecting the Rehabilitation of Prisoners* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Bruch*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Order called for "Public Bills and Orders."

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 5) intituled *An Act to Amend the Succession Duty Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Strachan*, for Mr. *Gargrave*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 6) intituled *An Act to Amend the Revenue Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Macdonald*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

By leave the House reverted to "Presenting Reports by Standing and Special Committees."

Mr. *Matthew* presented the Eighth Report of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:—

REPORT NO. 8

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,  
February 16, 1965.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the petitioners have advised your Committee that they wish to withdraw Bill (No. 57) intituled *An Act to Incorporate Columbia Life & Casualty Insurance Company*.

Your Committee recommends accordingly that the said Bill be withdrawn and that the funds deposited by the petitioners, namely \$1,475, be refunded to them. All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman*.

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.53 p.m.