

**Monday, June 7, 1982**

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Captain *R. Moulton*.

The Hon. *H. A. Curtis* made a ministerial statement relating to ministerial expense accounts and, by leave, tabled Financial Administration Act Regulations Respecting Ministerial Allowances and Province of British Columbia Budget and Administrative Policy.

Mr. *Howard* made a statement relating to the same matter.

By leave, the Hon. *J. A. Nielsen* made a ministerial statement relating to correspondence with the Royal Columbian Hospital.

Mr. *Cocke* made a statement relating to the same matter.

The Hon. *J. A. Nielsen* tabled a copy of a telex to the Chairman of the Board, Royal Columbian Hospital, and others, and letter in response to the telex.

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

Order for Committee of Supply called.

By leave, on the motion of the Hon. *L. A. Williams*, the House proceeded to "Public Bills and Orders."

On the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 4) intitled *Motor Vehicle Amendment Act, 1982*, a debate arose.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to *nemine contradicente* on the following division:

YEAS — 50

<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Ree</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>Leggatt</i>	<i>Davis</i>	<i>Richmond</i>
<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Richie</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>
<i>Barber</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Brown</i>	<i>Cocke</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Smith</i>
	<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
	<i>King</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Howard</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Hyndman</i>
<i>Levi</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>Waterland</i>

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

Bill (No. 3) intituled *Offence Amendment Act, 1982* was read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Without the Committee rising, the Chairman reported that in the Committee he had ruled that in Committee of Supply exhibits could not be introduced and that the ruling had been challenged. The ruling of the Chairman was sustained on the following division:

YEAS—27

<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Davidson</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>
	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Richmond</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Gardom</i>		<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Hyndman</i>
<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>		

NAYS—24

<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Hall</i>	
<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Howard</i>
<i>Barber</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Brown</i>	<i>Levi</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
	<i>Leggatt</i>		

The Committee rose and reported progress.  
Report to be considered at the next sitting.  
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Mr. Speaker delivered his reserved decision as follows:

*Honourable Members:*

It is evident that alleged matters of privilege are being raised in the House with ever increasing frequency, and while there exists an abundant source of parliamentary procedure available to members, I consider it appropriate to deal with the matter now before me more extensively than is perhaps usual, in order that Honourable Members may be even better informed and not fall into procedural errors which could be well avoided. I also seek the indulgence of the House to permit me to comment at some length on matters ancillary to my ruling which itself is founded upon a long established rule of this and other assemblies, as well as a previous ruling of the Chair in this Assembly in a like case.

On May 27 last, the Honourable Member for Alberni rose on a matter of privilege arising from a statement made in committee by the Minister of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources saying that he had in his possession a certain petition which asked

that two projects, the Keenleyside Dam and the Murphy Creek Dam, be pushed ahead in order to create employment in the area. The Honourable Member then stated that upon reading the preamble of the petition, he had noted there was no mention of the Murphy Creek project made therein. The petition was tabled, by leave, in the House by the Honourable Minister, also on May 27 last and upon tabling, the document was identified by the Minister as the petition to which he had referred in committee.

The Honourable Member referred the Chair to page 138 of the 18th Edition of Sir Erskine May and indicated that this authority held, and I quote: "The House may treat a misstatement as a contempt." However, in examining the reference, I find the learned author's statement to be, and I quote: "The House may treat the making of a deliberately misleading statement as a contempt." The Honourable Member's reference to the authority of Sir Erskine May clearly was not accurate but I conclude that his error was completely inadvertent.

The Chair then reserved a ruling and subsequently, on June 2 last, the Honourable Minister of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources rose in the House on a matter of personal privilege. However, before the Honourable Minister's statement had been completed, a point of order was taken, namely, that he was not entitled to be heard in relation to a matter of privilege which was then under advisement by the Chair. The Chair indicated that the Honourable Minister had the right to be heard but thereafter asked if there was leave of the House for the Honourable Minister to proceed. Some honourable members objected and leave of the House was not granted.

It is important to comment upon this issue because I find that my first impression in the House, when reading the citation in Sir Erskine May's 17th Edition, at page 373, was erroneous and I am now satisfied, upon fuller consideration and examination of the authorities, that the question of leave ought not to have been put to the House. On closer reading of that citation, it is clear that the question of "leave" there referred to is by "leave" of Mr. Speaker, and not of the House. This is clarified at page 374, where the learned author states, quote: "A member has been permitted by the Speaker to make, at a subsequent sitting, an explanation regarding alleged misrepresentation in debate."

I pause here to say, as I did upon your electing me to the Office of Speaker, that, if at any time I fall into error that the fault be imputed to me and not to the Assembly.

With reference to the additional point of order raised by the Honourable the Leader of the Opposition, namely, that the Honourable Minister of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources ought not to be heard while a ruling was under advisement, I find no authority stating that a member may not be allowed by the Chair to make a personal statement on privilege solely on the ground that a ruling is pending. An involved member, of course, always has the right to be heard before withdrawing while a motion on privilege is before the House (ref.: Sir Erskine May, 18th Edition, at page 167).

In any event, I am satisfied that my further consideration has led me to a correct interpretation of Sir Erskine May upon reading a remarkably similar occurrence disclosed in Hansard of November 5, 1973, at page 1281, where the Hon. A. B. Macdonald moved, in part, as follows:

"Resolved that this House hereby instruct a committee of selection, appointed on September 13 last, to name a committee on privileges to examine the statements made in the House."

At a later date one of the members involved was allowed by the Chair, on a matter of privilege, to make his statement without any call for "leave of the House" to do so. Hansard further shows that part of the statement clearly dealt with issues before the Committee. Upon completion of the Member's statement, the Chair declined to make

any comment about the matter as it was then before a committee. Significantly, "leave of the House" was not invoked or required on that occasion and in fact, the matter had progressed even further than a deferred ruling and had actually reached a committee. In any event, the rule as to "leave", as I now perceive it, seems to make much sense as surely the Chair ought, while considering a matter of importance, to have before it all relevant information including any statement from an involved member openly presented in the House. This is analogous to a Court, upon application, and with leave of the Court, allowing new evidence to be brought forward even after the closing of a case but before any decision has been reached.

In any event, the fact that the Honourable Member was denied leave from making his statement is not, in the result, material to my conclusions as the matter is finally governed by other circumstances. It is important, however, for me to have dwelt at some length on this issue, lest at some future time a statement, possibly of material consequence, be incorrectly withheld from the House.

In the absence of the Honourable Minister's statement which, if concluded, may or may not have affected the outcome, a conclusion must now be reached on material which may be allowed as properly before the House and therefore before the Chair. That material is the statement of the matter of privilege by the Honourable Member for Alberni and the tabling of the petition, recorded in Votes and Proceedings of May 27 last, together with the motion sought to be moved by him. That motion is properly tendered, as notice to the Chair, of the motion intended to be moved should there be found a *prima facie* case of breach of privilege and, as notice, it is analogous to a notice of motion placed on the order paper as a part of the material before the Chair—and its contents are examined.

The motion read, in part, as follows:

"That a Special Committee of Privilege be appointed to consider the matter of a deliberate misleading of this House by the Minister of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources."

Honourable Members will readily see that, if sought to be moved, the motion could not be in order as it purports to refer to a Committee on Privilege, a fact assumed by its terms to having been already established, namely, a deliberate misleading of this House by the Minister of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources. In other words, the motion, if carried, would not enable the Committee to make a decision as to whether or not there had been any "deliberate misleading" and surely that is the very issue to be decided—that is the very purpose for which the House might form a Committee on Privilege.

I refer again to the case of the motion (identical except for the fact that the words were spoken in the House) moved by the Hon. A. B. Macdonald, as it provided that the Committee was to "examine the statements". That was a correct form of reference as quite clearly it did not purport to pre-determine the essential issue or present to the committee as a *fait accompli* that a contempt had in fact been committed.

The Honourable Member may, in preparing his motion, have referred to another form of motion adopted by the House on the issue of whether electronic surveillance was a contempt. That motion referred the matter of electronic surveillance to a committee as an established fact because it had already been admitted by the RCMP. The matter then went to a Committee of Privileges to determine if electronic surveillance was either a contempt of the House, or a breach of privilege. However, as the Honourable Member may have felt he was on safe ground but misled himself in using the form of an earlier motion, I would be reluctant to rule this matter out of order on this ground alone, and I do not do so. I need not, therefore, at this time make any final determination of the several questions of whether or not the tendered motion could be allowed to be moved at all in its

present form, whether at this stage it is open to be amended, and whether or not some other member could propose a new motion.

Honourable Members, there is an undisputed principle of parliamentary law that the House cannot take cognizance of what takes place in committee, except on the Chairman's report to the House upon previous order of the Committee. All members know that almost daily the Committee of the Whole, either on bills or of supply, reports to the House through the Chairman what has taken place in committee and asks leave to record the same in the Journals. This again recognizes the important and fundamental principle to which I have referred.

The substantive question, however, arose in my mind as to whether proceedings in committee are likewise governed by this principle when it is alleged that there is a matter of privilege involved. The answer to this question is to be found in a Speaker's Decision of the House, which is found in Volume I of Speaker's Decisions, at pages 122 and 123. This decision also was on a matter of privilege arising from allegations of misrepresentation occurring in committee, no report of which had been made to the House by the Chairman. The Chair ruled the intended motion out of order and that the House could not take cognizance of what had occurred in committee without a report from the Committee. As I have said, the fact that the Committee of the Whole House frequently reports to the House through the Chairman that divisions have taken place in committee recognizes this fundamental and important principle.

This procedure is confirmed by Sir Erskine May, 16th Edition, at pages 381 and 606, where it is stated that when matters of privilege arise in committee, the proper course is to make a report to the House on a motion that the Chairman report progress. If the matter is of a nature which requires immediate attention of the House, the Committee reports forthwith and presumably, in other cases, when the Committee rises in the ordinary course of its business.

Even further confirmation is to be found in the Journals of this House, 1981, at page 48, from which I quote, as follows:

"The Committee rose and reported progress and asked leave to sit again this day, and further reported that a matter of privilege had been raised in the Committee on March 24 last.

"Mr. Speaker stated that he would consider the matter reported and declared a recess for that purpose."

It may happen that a member does not have all pertinent information necessary for him to immediately raise a matter of privilege in committee with respect to which a report is required to the House. In such a case, the matter would be raised at the earliest opportunity at a later sitting of the Committee for the purpose of report to the House. In fact, there is authority which goes so far as to say that a later parliament may consider a matter of privilege alleged to have occurred during a previous parliament.

Under these circumstances, I cannot find any grounds upon which the previous ruling to which I have referred, frequently followed in practice, ought not to be followed by the House. I must rule, therefore, that upon the admissible material before the Chair I am unable to find any *prima facie* case, based on the various authorities I have brought to your attention.

The Chair has previously observed that many points of order and matters of privilege are of great importance and may require lengthy deliberation and all such decisions are considered in a careful and expeditious manner. As some honourable members have expressed concern that an earlier decision had not been given to the House, I must point out that the Chair was awaiting the possible tabling of additional documents which the Honourable Minister of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources

indicated would be forthcoming. It was not until a few days ago, when the Honourable Minister was denied leave to make his statement, that it became apparent to the Chair that there could be no further material for examination.

H. W. SCHROEDER, *Speaker*

His Honour the Lieutenant Governor having entered the House, and being seated in the Chair—

*Ian D. Izard, Esq.*, Law Clerk and Clerk Assistant, read the titles to the following Bills:

- (No. 2) *Court of Appeal Act.*
- (No. 5) *Geothermal Resources Act.*
- (No. 6) *Assessment Amendment Act, 1982.*
- (No. 8) *Municipalities Enabling and Validating Amendment Act, 1982.*
- (No. 10) *Municipal Amendment Act, 1982.*
- (No. 12) *Health Cost Stabilization Act.*
- (No. 14) *Family Relations Amendment Act, 1982.*
- (No. 15) *Revenue Sharing Amendment Act, 1982.*
- (No. 16) *Resource Revenue Stabilization Fund Act.*
- (No. 17) *Home Owner Grant Amendment Act, 1982.*
- (No. 18) *System Amendment Act, 1982.*
- (No. 20) *Income Tax Amendment Act, 1982.*
- (No. 21) *Taxation (Rural Area) Amendment Act, 1982.*
- (No. 22) *Gasoline Tax Amendment Act, 1982.*
- (No. 23) *Motive Fuel Use Tax Amendment Act, 1982.*
- (No. 24) *Financial Administration Amendment Act, 1982.*
- (No. 25) *Ferry Corporation Amendment Act, 1982.*
- (No. 29) *Tobacco Tax Amendment Act, 1982.*
- (No. 31) *Agriculture and Food Statutes Amendment Act, 1982.*
- (No. 32) *Municipal Expenditure Restraint Act.*
- (No. 34) *Taxation (Rural Area) Amendment Act (No. 2), 1982.*
- (No. 36) *Finance Statutes Amendment Act, 1982.*
- (No. 38) *Corporation Capital Tax (Bank Rate Increase) Amendment Act, 1982.*
- (No. 40) *Hydro and Power Authority Amendment Act, 1982.*
- (No. 41) *Constitutional Question Amendment Act, 1982.*
- (No. 42) *Forest Amendment Act, 1982.*
- (No. 45) *Transpo 86 Corporation Amendment Act, 1982.*
- (No. 47) *Traffic Victims Indemnity Fund Repeal Act.*
- (No. 48) *Limitation Amendment Act, 1982.*

His Honour was pleased in Her Majesty's name to give assent to the said Bills.

The said assent was announced by *Ian M. Horne, Q.C.*, Clerk of the House, in the following words:

"In Her Majesty's name, His Honour the Lieutenant Governor doth assent to these Bills."

His Honour the Lieutenant Governor was then pleased to retire.

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House adjourned at 5.48 p.m.

**Tuesday, June 8, 1982**

NINE-THIRTY O'CLOCK A.M.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *R. H. McClelland* (Minister of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources), it was *Resolved* that the Order for Second Reading of Bill (No. 33) intituled *Mineral Amendment Act, 1982* be discharged.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

28. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$212,539 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources, Minister's Office, to 31st March 1983.

29. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$22,333,208 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources, Resource Management Program, to 31st March 1983.

30. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,595,367 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources, British Columbia Utilities Commission, to 31st March 1983.

31. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$5,000,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources, Fort Nelson Indian Band Royalties Agreement, to 31st March 1983.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.  
Report to be considered at the next sitting.  
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Chairman further reported that on the motion that Vote 29 be reduced by \$291,439 the Committee had divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Howard*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—21

<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Hall</i>	
<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Howard</i>
<i>Barber</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
	<i>Levi</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	

## NAYS—27

<i>Brummet</i>			<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Richmond</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Hyndman</i>
<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>		

The Chairman further reported that on the motion that Vote 30 be reduced by \$41,825 the Committee had divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Howard*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

## YEAS—21

<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Hall</i>	
	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Howard</i>
<i>Barber</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
	<i>Levi</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	
	<i>Leggatt</i>		

## NAYS—27

<i>Brummet</i>			<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Richmond</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Hyndman</i>
<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>		

The Chairman further reported that in consideration of Vote 30, the Committee divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Howard*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

## YEAS — 49

<i>Brummet</i>	<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Segarty</i>	
<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Leggatt</i>		<i>Ree</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Davis</i>	<i>Richmond</i>
	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>
<i>Barber</i>	<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
	<i>Dailly</i>		<i>Hewitt</i>
	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>D'Arcy</i>		<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Skelly</i>	<i>King</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Howard</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Hyndman</i>
<i>Levi</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>Waterland</i>



*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 11.59 a.m.

---

---

**Tuesday, June 8, 1982**

---

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. Canon *Robert McCrae*.

The Hon. *J. J. Hewitt* (Minister of Agriculture and Food), tabled the Annual Report of British Columbia Aid to Developing Countries, 1981-82.

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

By leave, the Hon. *E. M. Wolfe* (Provincial Secretary and Minister of Government Services), tabled a discussion paper: Developing a Pension Policy for the Future.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

22. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$299,040 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Ministry of Education, Minister's Office, to 31st March 1983.

23. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$12,447,315 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Ministry of Education, Management Operations and Educational Finance, to 31st March 1983.

24. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$966,085,922 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Ministry of Education, Public Schools Education, to 31st March 1983.

---

The Committee reported the Resolutions.  
Report to be considered at the next sitting.  
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Chairman further reported that on the motion that Vote 22 be reduced by \$52,822 the Committee had divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Barber*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

## YEAS—22

<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Hall</i>	
<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>		<i>Howard</i>
<i>Barber</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
	<i>Levi</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
	<i>Leggatt</i>		

## NAYS—27

<i>Brummet</i>			<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Richmond</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Hyndman</i>
<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>		

The Chairman further reported that on the motion that Vote 23 be reduced by \$1,195,380 the Committee had divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *L. A. Williams*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

## YEAS—22

<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Hall</i>	
<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>		<i>Howard</i>
<i>Barber</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
	<i>Levi</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
	<i>Leggatt</i>		

## NAYS—27

<i>Brummet</i>			<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Richmond</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Hyndman</i>
<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>		

The Chairman further reported that on the motion that Vote 24 be reduced by \$418,420 the Committee had divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

## YEAS—22

<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Hall</i>	
<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>		<i>Howard</i>
<i>Barber</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
	<i>Levi</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
	<i>Leggatt</i>		

## NAYS—27

<i>Brummet</i>			<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Richmond</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Hyndman</i>
<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>		

Mr. Speaker delivered his reserved decision as follows:

*Honourable Members:*

At the afternoon sitting of June 3, 1982, the Honourable Member for Skeena rose on a matter of privilege relating to questions taken on notice by the Honourable Minister of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources and answered during Question Period.

Essentially, the Honourable Member for Skeena stated that the answers by the Minister, were not, in his own words, "in accordance with the facts". The Member from Skeena then read from, and tabled, certain documents including a telex stamped "Received, June 11, 1980", and a memo dated December 2, 1980.

The Chair, when examining matters of privilege founded upon allegations of misleading the House, must examine the evidence as presented but only to the extent necessary to determine whether a *prima facie* case exists. Such an examination discloses statements by the Minister relating to limousine transportation and a telex touching on, *inter alia*, the same subject matter. The Minister says, "I did not order this limousine", and the Honourable Member for Skeena says that the material filed contradicts this statement of the Minister.

Unless there is evidence that a member has deliberately mislead the House a matter of privilege has not been established. (See May, 18th Edition, page 138.) The Chair's examination of the Hansard Report and the material filed by the Honourable Member for Skeena leads to the inescapable conclusion that this falls within the broad category of a dispute as to allegations of fact which, as stated in Beauchesne, 4th Edition, at Citation 113, "does not fulfill the conditions of parliamentary privilege."

A similar matter of privilege was raised by the Honourable Member for Coquitlam-Moody on Friday, May 28, relating to statements made by the Minister of Industry and Small Business Development. An examination of this matter leads the Chair to the same conclusion, in that, the Minister and the Member have disagreed as to what percentage of certain contracts have been let inside British Columbia and what percentage have been let outside British Columbia. This is clearly another dispute as to facts and accordingly does not qualify as a matter of privilege.

It might be appropriate to observe that in this Chamber, by its very nature, countless disputes relating to allegations of fact will arise—and with great frequency. To routinely convert those disagreements into what amounts to a charge of deliberately misleading the House would be contrary to well established traditions observed throughout all parliaments. This tradition states that all honourable members will accept the word of all other honourable members—a tradition which I commend to this House.

H. W. SCHROEDER, *Speaker*

7 Mr. King asked the Hon. the Minister of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources the following questions:

With respect to the Revelstoke Dam—

1. At the time of commencement of construction what was the estimated total cost of construction?
2. What is the total amount spent or encumbered to the latest date available?
3. To the latest date available, what is the current revised estimate of total cost of construction to completion in current, as spent, dollars?

The Hon. R. H. McClelland replied as follows:

“1. At the time of commencement of construction, the total estimated cost was \$1,488,000,000. This amount includes related substations, transmission lines, corporate overhead and interest during construction.

“2. Total expenditures to March 31, 1982, are \$1,013,862,532 and additional commitments at March 31, 1982, are \$331,723,276.

“3. The current forecast of the total is \$1,828,000,000. This estimate is currently under review.”

On the motion of the Hon. G. B. Gardom, the House adjourned at 6.03 p.m.

---

**Wednesday, June 9, 1982**

---

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. Charles McKnight.

Order called for “Oral Questions by Members.”

Mr. Howard rose on a point of privilege relating to statements made in the House by the Minister of Finance and the Minister of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources and tabled a Treasury Board Directive.

Mr. Speaker stated that he would take the matter under advisement and further that a member, when stating a matter of privilege, should use parliamentary language.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

25. Resolved, That a sum not exceeding \$279,335,383 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Ministry of Education, Post Secondary Colleges and Institutes, to 31st March 1983.

26. Resolved, That a sum not exceeding \$17,734,328 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Ministry of Education, Independent Schools, to 31st March 1983.

27. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Ministry of Education, Financing Transactions, to 31st March 1983.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.  
Report to be considered at the next sitting.  
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Chairman further reported that on the motion that Vote 25 be reduced by \$93,050 the Committee had divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

## YEAS—22

<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Howard</i>
<i>Barber</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
	<i>Levi</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>

## NAYS—28

<i>Brummet</i>	<i>McGeer</i>		<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Richmond</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Hyndman</i>
<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>		

The Chairman further reported that on the motion that Vote 26 be reduced by \$32,597 the Committee had divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

## YEAS—22

<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Howard</i>
<i>Barber</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
	<i>Levi</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>

## NAYS—28

<i>Brummet</i>	<i>McGeer</i>		<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Richmond</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Hyndman</i>
<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>		

The Chairman further reported that in consideration of Vote 26, the Committee divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

## YEAS — 51

<i>Brummet</i>	<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Segarty</i>	
<i>Passarell</i>		<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Ree</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>		<i>Richmond</i>
<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>
<i>Barber</i>	<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Lea</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Skelly</i>	<i>King</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Howard</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Hyndman</i>
<i>Levi</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>Waterland</i>

Mr. *Mussallem* presented the petition of the City of Vancouver praying for the passing of an Act intituled *An Act to Amend the Vancouver Charter*.

On the motion of Mr. *Mussallem*, the Rules were suspended and the petition of the City of Vancouver was received.

The Hon. *W. N. Vander Zalm* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant Governor, which read as follows:

HENRY P. BELL-IRVING  
*Lieutenant Governor*

The Lieutenant Governor transmits herewith Bill (No. 49) intituled *Municipal Amendment Act (No. 2), 1982* and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*May 25, 1982.*

By leave of the House, Bill introduced and read a first time.  
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *L. A. Williams* presented the 1981 Annual Report Law Reform Commission of British Columbia and the 10th Annual Report of the Criminal Injury Compensation Act of British Columbia, January 1, 1981 to December 31, 1981.

**13** Mrs. *Wallace* asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture and Food the following questions:

1. Does the Provincial Agricultural Land Commission have a lease agreement on the following land in the Municipality of Langley: Portions of Lots 19 and 28 of the northwest quarter of Section 15, Township 11, Plan 1447, New Westminster District?
2. If yes, what are the terms of the lease, in particular, who is the land leased to, is there an option to renew the lease, how long is the land leased for, and what rent, if any, is being paid by the lessee?

3. If no, have there been any lease agreements on this land in the past, and if so, what, if any, conditions of the former leases continue?

The Hon. *J. J. Hewitt* replied as follows:

“1. Yes.

“2. Leased to Minister of Forests. 30-year lease April 1, 1978 to March 31, 2008, with another 30-year option. Rent—nominal fee of \$1 per annum.”

**16** Mrs. *Wallace* asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture and Food the following question:

Relative to Farm Income Assurance, what were the balances in each of the plans as at the end of the last fiscal year?

The Hon. *J. J. Hewitt* replied as follows:

“Balances as at March 31, 1982, are: Blueberries, \*(\$252,670.41); broiler hatching eggs, (\$2,427.80); greenhouse, \$126,624.59; potatoes, \$4,004.06; processing strawberries, (\$102,626.85); processing vegetables, (\$129,859.56); raspberries, (\$996.36); sheep, \$266.01; swine, (\$5,805,513.48); and tree fruits, (\$7,304,014.57).

“Beef claims for 1981 continue to be received and processed. Balance cannot be provided until completion of processing of these claims.

“\* Parentheses denotes deficit amounts.”

**17** Mrs. *Wallace* asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture and Food the following questions:

1. What are the anticipated premium receipts from producers participating in all Farm Income Assurance Programs during the current fiscal year?

2. What will be the disposition of any interest accruing as a result of advance payments of these premiums?

The Hon. *J. J. Hewitt* replied as follows:

“1. \$21,120,810.

“2. Interest is calculated monthly on the farmers' portion of the balance in the fund for each subplan. The interest earned is credited to each subplan annually.”

**58** Mr. *Stupich* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following question:

For the fiscal years 1980–81 and 1981–82, what was the breakdown of social services tax revenue between that paid by consumers and that paid by industry?

The Hon. *H. A. Curtis* replied as follows:

“The breakdown of social services tax revenue between that paid by consumers and that paid by industry for the fiscal years 1980–81 and 1981–82 was as follows:

Fiscal year 1980–81	Amount	Percentage
Tax paid by consumers.....	\$389,377,000	53.6
Tax paid by industry.....	336,658,000	46.4
Total tax collected.....	726,035,000	100.0

Fiscal year 1981-82	Amount	Percentage
Tax paid by consumers .....	\$583,549,000	51.9
Tax paid by industry .....	540,763,000	48.1
Total tax collected .....	1,124,312,000	100.0"

On the motion of the Hon. *L. A. Williams* the House adjourned at 6.03 p.m.

## Thursday, June 10, 1982

NINE-THIRTY O'CLOCK A.M.

Mr. Speaker delivered his reserved opinion as follows:

*Honourable Members:*

On Friday last, June 4, 1982, the Honourable Member for Comox sought to move adjournment of the House pursuant to Standing Order 35, to discuss a matter of urgent public importance, namely, continuing levels of high unemployment within the Province.

The Member's statement which clearly identified the matter as being of a continuing nature negates the essential degree of urgency required by the Standing Order.

I would refer honourable members to my previous decisions given the same day and April 8 and May 7 of this Session.

H. W. SCHROEDER, *Speaker*

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.

Report to be considered at the next sitting.

Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House adjourned at 12.02 p.m.

## Thursday, June 10, 1982

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *G. A. McMechan*.

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."



By leave, the Hon. *H. A. Curtis*, Minister of Finance, tabled a copy of a cancelled cheque dated November 12, 1980, and ticket stubs to which he had previously referred in the House.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

63. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$205,621 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Ministry of Municipal Affairs, Minister's Office, to 31st March 1983.

64. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10,382,288 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Ministry of Municipal Affairs, Operations and Administration, to 31st March 1983.

65. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$235,000,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Ministry of Municipal Affairs, Revenue Sharing Fund, to 31st March 1983.

66. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$100,633,308 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Ministry of Municipal Affairs, Transit Services, to 31st March 1983.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.  
Report to be considered at the next sitting.  
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Chairman further reported that on the motion that Vote 63 be reduced by \$4,000 the Committee had divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *J. R. Chabot*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—15

<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>		
<i>Mitchell</i>		<i>Hall</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Skelly</i>		<i>King</i>
<i>Wallace</i>			<i>Howard</i>
<i>Barber</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	
		<i>Stupich</i>	
	<i>Leggatt</i>		

NAYS—28

<i>Brummet</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Davidson</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Richmond</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Hyndman</i>
<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>		

The Chairman further reported that on the motion that Vote 64 be reduced by \$226,543 the Committee had divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Barber*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

## YEAS—15

<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>		
<i>Mitchell</i>		<i>Hull</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Skelly</i>		<i>King</i>
<i>Wallace</i>			<i>Howard</i>
<i>Barber</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	
		<i>Stupich</i>	
	<i>Leggatt</i>		

## NAYS—28

<i>Brummet</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Davidson</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Richmond</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Hyndman</i>
<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>		

Mr. *Ree* presented the petition of the Seaboard Life Insurance Company praying for the passing of an Act intituled *Seaboard Assurance Company Act, 1953, Amendment, 1982*.

On the motion of Mr. *Ree* the Rules were suspended and the petition of the Seaboard Life Insurance Company was received.

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom* the House adjourned at 6.01 p.m.

---

**Friday, June 11, 1982**

---

TEN O'CLOCK A.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *R. J. McPherson*.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

By leave, on the motion of the Hon. *L. A. Williams*, the House proceeded to "Public Bills and Orders."

Bill (No. 11) intituled *Special Appropriations Act* read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 30) intituled *Social Service Tax Amendment Act, 1982* was committed, reported complete with amendments.

Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 39) intituled *Housing and Employment Development Financing Act*, a debate arose.

The debate continued.

Mr. King moved the following amendment:

That all the words after "That" be deleted and the following substituted: "this Legislature views the current economic crisis as completely unacceptable and calls on the Government to bring to the Legislature forthwith adequate measures to deal with this crisis."

Mr. Speaker reserved his decision as to whether or not a reasoned amendment required notice to be placed on the Order Paper and further as to the content of the amendment itself but stated that he would allow debate on the amendment to continue, pending a ruling from the Chair.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. H. A. Curtis that the debate be adjourned to the next sitting of the House, the House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—27

<i>Brummet</i>	<i>McGeer</i>		<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Richmond</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Gardom</i>		<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Hyndman</i>
	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>		

NAYS—16

<i>Passarell</i>		<i>Hall</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>			<i>King</i>
<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Skelly</i>		
<i>Wallace</i>			
<i>Barber</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
	<i>Levi</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
	<i>Leggatt</i>		

On the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 46) intituled *Home Purchase Assistance Amendment Act, 1982*, a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. Barber, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

By leave of the House, the Hon. G. B. Gardom moved, seconded by Mr. Macdonald, that this Assembly, recognizing the horrors of nuclear war and holocaust, urges

all world governments to increase their efforts to end the nuclear arms race and to reduce and finally eliminate all nuclear weaponry.

A debate arose.

The House observed one minute's silence.

Motion agreed to *nemine contradicente*.

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House adjourned at 12.55 p.m.

---

---

## Monday, June 14, 1982

---

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Captain *H. Moore*.

The Hon. *J. R. Chabot* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant Governor, which read as follows:

HENRY P. BELL-IRVING  
*Lieutenant Governor*

The Lieutenant Governor transmits herewith Bill (No. 54) intituled *Land Amendment Act, 1982* and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*June 10, 1982.*

---

By leave of the House, Bill introduced and read a first time.  
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

Order for Committee of Supply called.

By leave, on the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House proceeded to "Public Bills and Orders."

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 46) intituled *Home Purchase Assistance Amendment Act, 1982*.

Bill (No. 46) read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

Bill (No. 49) intituled *Municipal Amendment Act (No. 2), 1982* read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 52) intituled *Waste Management Act*, a debate arose.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—29

<i>Brummet</i>	<i>McGeer</i>		<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Richmond</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Hyndman</i>
<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>		

NAYS—22

<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>		<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>		<i>Hall</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Skelly</i>		<i>King</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Howard</i>
<i>Barber</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Brown</i>	<i>Levi</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
	<i>Leggatt</i>		

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.  
Report to be considered at the next sitting.  
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Mr. Speaker delivered his reserved decisions as follows:

*Honourable Members:*

On Wednesday, June 9, 1982, the Honourable Member for Skeena rose on a matter of privilege relating to statements made by the Minister of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources and the Minister of Finance. The Member at that time tabled a Treasury Board Directive, dated May 20, 1980, and in his remarks referred to documents tabled by the Minister of Finance on June 7, 1982, one being Financial Administration Act Regulations, dated October, 1981, and the other being Budget and Administrative Policy Guidelines and Forms relating to hospitality expenses.

It seems that the essence of the position taken by the Member for Skeena is that the guidelines contained in the Treasury Board Directive of 1980 are sufficiently similar to the present Budget and Administrative Policy Guidelines as to be indistinguishable and he therefore takes exception to the statement of the Minister of Finance to the effect that the mechanisms in place in 1980 were inadequate and have now been improved.

The Minister of Finance in his statement to the House on June 7, refers to documents he tabled at that time and says that the Financial Administration Act Regulations and the new forms, presently in place, require detail of hospitality expenditures, and detail of who was in attendance. The form in question also appears to require written approval of the Minister, the Deputy Minister or Treasury Board. There is no evidence such forms were available in 1980 and as the Minister has advised the House the forms in question are new forms, his word as an honourable member must be accepted by the House.

As the Honourable Member for Skeena has also included in his matter of privilege, statements made by the Minister of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources, the Chair re-examined the statement made by that Minister on June 3, 1982, at which time the Minister said, "No bill or voucher to authorize payment ever came to my attention".

Both Honourable Ministers have stated to this House, on separate occasions, that they did not in 1980, nor would they now, authorize the expenditure of public funds for the purchase of theatre tickets for personal use. There is no material before the Chair which contradicts these assertions and I am unable to conclude that the cumulative effect of the material before me raises a *prima facie* case of privilege.

The Chair must also comment on the form of motion, tendered in the usual way, by the Member for Skeena. Matters of privilege, upon being stated, are accompanied by a motion which normally proposes to refer the matter to a committee of privileges should the Chair find that the matter falls into the ambit of privilege and a *prima facie* case has been established. Under the practice in this House the motion tendered by the Honourable Member for Skeena would appear to be out of order in that it takes the form of a substantive motion to be moved on notice—in short, the motion does not conform procedurally to the rules relating to the statement of a matter of privilege.

For the stated reasons, and upon examination of all the material available to the Chair, I must find the question raised by the Member does not qualify under the rules which have been adopted by this House relating to matters of privilege.

H. W. SCHROEDER, *Speaker*

#### *Honourable Members:*

On Friday, June 11, in debate on the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 39) *Housing and Employment Development Financing Act*, the Honourable Member for Shuswap-Revelstoke moved to amend the motion as follows:

"That all the words after 'That' be deleted and the following substituted: 'this Legislature views the current economic crisis as completely unacceptable and calls on the Government to bring to the Legislature forthwith adequate measures to deal with this crisis.'"

This amendment takes the form of a reasoned amendment, a form of some antiquity which has, on few occasions, been used in this House. The 12th Edition of Sir Erskine May's *Parliamentary Practice* describes such a motion as follows:

"It is also competent to a member, who desires to place on record any special reasons for not agreeing to the second reading, or other subsequent stage of a bill, to move, as an amendment to the question, a resolution declaratory of some principle adverse to, or differing from the principles, policy, or provisions of the bill; or expressing opinions as to any circumstances connected with its introduction or prosecution; or otherwise opposed to its progress; or seeking further information in relation to the bill by committees, commissions, or the production of papers. Every such resolution, however, like other amendments upon orders of the day, must 'strictly relate to the bill, which the house, by its order, has resolved upon considering.'"

A later edition of Sir Erskine May's *Parliamentary Practice*, the 18th, indicates that such a motion must be placed "on the Paper". My perusal of the Journals of this House

indicates that where reasoned amendments have been moved, notice has not been given, nor asked. In addition, our Standing Order 48 which relates to notice requirements, specifically excludes notice in the case of Public Bills after their introduction and accordingly notice is not required, although it would always be appreciated as a courtesy to the Chair.

The second matter on which I reserved decision is related to the wording of the amendment.

The principle of relevancy applying to amendments governs a reasoned amendment. May's 18th Edition states that the amendment must "strictly relate to the Bill which the House, by its order, has resolved upon considering", and must not include in its scope other Bills then standing for consideration by the House.

The amendment is drawn broadly, it does not refer to the Bill or to its subject matter, namely, the creation of a corporation with power to finance various projects, and the scope of the amendment is clearly so broad as to include other Bills on the Order Paper.

In addition, it does not fall within the categories listed in the 12th Edition of Sir Erskine May and therefore, for these several reasons, the amendment is out of order.

H. W. SCHROEDER, *Speaker*

On the motion of the Hon. G. B. Gardom the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

## Tuesday, June 15, 1982

NINE-THIRTY O'CLOCK A.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.

Report to be considered at the next sitting.

Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 11.59 a.m.

## Tuesday, June 15, 1982

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Major R. Newbury.

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

Order for Committee of Supply called.

By leave, on the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House proceeded to "Public Bills and Orders."

Bill (No. 46) intituled *Home Purchase Assistance Amendment Act, 1982* was committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 49) intituled *Municipal Amendment Act (No. 2), 1982* was committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 39) intituled *Housing and Employment Development Financing Act*.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to *nemine contradicente* on the following division:

YEAS — 54

<i>Brummet</i>	<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Davidson</i>
<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Leggatt</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Ree</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Davis</i>	<i>Richmond</i>
<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>
<i>Barber</i>		<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Brown</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Lea</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Skelly</i>	<i>King</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Howard</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Hyndman</i>
<i>Levi</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>Waterland</i>

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

42. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$170,140 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Ministry of Forests, Minister's Office, to 31st March 1983.

43. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$126,536,777 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Ministry of Forests, Forest and Range Resource Management, to 31st March 1983.

44. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$17,956,320 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Ministry of Forests, Fire Suppression, to 31st March 1983.



The Committee reported the Resolutions.  
Report to be considered at the next sitting.  
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Chairman further reported that on the motion that Vote 42 be reduced by \$713, the Committee had divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *King*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

## YEAS—22

<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Hanson</i>		<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>		<i>Howard</i>
<i>Barber</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Brown</i>	<i>Levi</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	
	<i>Leggatt</i>		

## NAYS—29

<i>Brummet</i>	<i>McGeer</i>		<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Richmond</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Hyndman</i>
<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>		

The Chairman further reported that on the motion that Vote 43 be reduced by \$10,324,310, the Committee had divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *King*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

## YEAS—22

<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Hanson</i>		<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>		<i>Howard</i>
<i>Barber</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Brown</i>	<i>Levi</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	
	<i>Leggatt</i>		

## NAYS—29

<i>Brummet</i>	<i>McGeer</i>		<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Richmond</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Hyndman</i>
<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>		

The Hon. *W. N. Vander Zalm* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Administrator, which read as follows:

N. T. NEMETZ  
*Administrator*

The Administrator transmits herewith Bill (No. 51) intituled *Urban Transit Authority Amendment Act, 1982* and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*June 15, 1982.*

By leave of the House, Bill introduced and read a first time.  
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

By leave, the Hon. *A. V. Fraser* tabled Air Service Branch Logs, April 1980 to March 1981, inclusive.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

**19** Mr. *Passarell* asked the Hon. the Minister of Forests the following questions:

1. Was the Kaska-Dene Council given three weeks notice that 350,000 m<sup>3</sup> of timber in the Liard Valley near Lower Post would be tendered for sale on June 1 next?
2. If so, what was the reason?

The Hon. *T. M. Waterland* replied as follows:

"1. The timber sale licence was advertised in the April 1, 1982 Gazette for public auction by the District Manager, Dease Lake, B.C., at 11 a.m. on June 1, 1982. The advertisement was also in the Vancouver Province, The Terrace, Kitimat Daily Herald in Terrace and the Whitehorse Star. Posters were sent to the post offices located at Watson Lake, Dease Lake, Atlin, Cassiar, Iskut, Telegraph Creek and Smithers.

"2. The Kaska-Dene Council may be referring to the letter dated May 5, 1982, they received from the Regional Manager which was in answer to their letter of May 4, 1982."

**20** Mr. *Passarell* asked the Hon. the Minister of Forests the following questions:

1. Did the Ministry of Forests ensure that native people resident in the Liard Valley near Lower Post had full opportunity to participate in the sale of 350,000 m<sup>3</sup> of timber in the area?
2. If not, what was the reason?

The Hon. *T. M. Waterland* replied as follows:

"1. The timber sale licence has been advertised for the required eight weeks in order to give all interested parties time to investigate the offer.

"2. The offer is in accordance with the regulations and the *Forest Act*."

**21** Mr. *Passarell* asked the Hon. the Minister of Forests the following question:

What steps has the Ministry taken to ensure that logging in the Liard Valley near Lower Post will not have an adverse impact upon the hunting, fishing and trapping activities on which the Kaska-Dene depend for their economic livelihood?

The Hon. *T. M. Waterland* replied as follows:

“The Regional Manager has corresponded with the Fish and Wildlife Branch of the Ministry of Environment to inform them that the vehicle for delineation, referral and Forest Service decisions on deferred areas will be the harvesting plan that is required to be submitted by the licensee not later than three months after the award of A16558. A maximum cut of 350 000 m<sup>3</sup> may be harvested from the area which contains an estimated 671 000 m<sup>3</sup> and this should provide for leave areas as necessary.”

**22** Mr. *Passarell* asked the Hon. the Minister of Forests the following question:

What steps has the Ministry taken to ensure that the Kaska-Dene Council will be able to participate fully in the management of the forest resource in their area?

The Hon. *T. M. Waterland* replied as follows:

“The Kaska-Dene Council has been invited by the Regional Manager of the Region in his letters of May 5, 1982 and May 26, 1982 to review five-year plans in the Forest Service offices at Dease Lake and Lower Post when they are prepared and to submit written information and comments on forest and ancillary resource management in the timber sale licence area.”

**36** Mr. *Stupich* asked the Hon. the Minister of Human Resources the following question:

With respect to the unpaid claims reserves in the Automobile Insurance Act Fund, how much was charged against this reserve during the year ended February 28, 1982, on account of claims for each of the years 1974, 1975, 1976, 1977, 1978, 1979, 1980 and 1981?

The Hon. *G. M. McCarthy* replied as follows:

“This question should be directed to the Minister responsible for ICBC.”

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

---

**Wednesday, June 16, 1982**

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Father *R. Palmer*.

The House proceeded to the Order “Presenting Reports by Standing and Special Committees.”

Mr. *Strachan* presented the First and Second Reports of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:

## REPORT NO. 1

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,

June 16, 1982

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:

That the Standing Orders have been complied with relating to the petition for leave to introduce a Private Bill intituled *An Act to Amend The Vancouver Charter*, except for late filing, but with respect thereto the petitioner has paid double fees, in accordance with Standing Order 98 (3).

Your Committee recommends that a refund of one-half of fees paid be made.

Your Committee recommends that the petitioner be allowed to proceed with the said Bill.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

W. B. STRACHAN, *Chairman*

## REPORT NO. 2

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,

June 16, 1982

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:

That the Standing Orders have been complied with relating to the petition for leave to introduce a Private Bill intituled *Seaboard Assurance Company Act, 1953, Amendment Act, 1982*, except for late filing, but with respect thereto the petitioner has paid double fees, in accordance with Standing Order 98 (3).

Your Committee recommends that a refund of one-half of fees paid be made.

Your Committee recommends that the petitioner be allowed to proceed with the said Bill.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

W. B. STRACHAN, *Chairman*

The Reports were read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the Reports adopted.

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

The Hon. *E. M. Wolfe* (Provincial Secretary and Minister of Government Services) tabled the British Columbia Buildings Corporation Annual Report, 1981-82.

The Hon. *R. H. McClelland* (Minister of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources) tabled the B.C. Hydro Annual Report, 1981-82.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

By leave, on the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House proceeded to "Public Bills and Orders."

Bill (No. 30) intituled *Social Service Tax Amendment Act, 1982* read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 39) intituled *Housing and Employment Development Financing Act* was committed, reported complete without amendment.

On the motion for third reading of Bill (No. 39) the House divided.

Motion agreed to *nemine contradicente* on the following division:

YEAS — 51

<i>Brummet</i>	<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Davidson</i>
<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Leggatt</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Ree</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Davis</i>	<i>Richmond</i>
<i>Hanson</i>		<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>
<i>Barber</i>	<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Brown</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Lockstead</i>		<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Lea</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Skelly</i>	<i>King</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Howard</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Sanford</i>		<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Hyndman</i>
<i>Levi</i>		<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>Waterland</i>

Bill (No. 39) read a third time and passed.

On the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 54) intituled *Land Amendment Act, 1982*, a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Hanson*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.

Report to be considered at the next sitting.

Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 10 o'clock a.m. tomorrow.

**68** Mr. *Skelly* asked the Hon. the Minister of Environment the following questions:

For the calendar year 1981—

1. How many pollution control permits were issued and how many amendments to pollution control permits were issued?
2. What was the average cost to taxpayers of a pollution control permit or amendment?
3. What amount of revenue was generated by the Province through the issuance of pollution control permits?

The Hon. *C. S. Rogers* replied as follows:

“1. Permits issued in 1981, 246; and amendments issued in 1981, 196.

“2. Separate costs for issuing permits are not recorded by the Waste Management Branch. Application processing is one part of overall branch activity.

“3. None.”

And then the House adjourned at 5.58 p.m.

### Thursday, June 17, 1982

TEN O'CLOCK A.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.

Report to be considered at the next sitting.

Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

By leave, the Hon. *R. H. McClelland* (Minister of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources) tabled a Summary Report and a Technical Report of Commissioner Inquiry on British Columbia's Requirements, Supply and Surplus of Natural Gas and Natural Gas Liquids.

On the motion of the Hon. *R. H. McClelland* the House adjourned at 11.59 a.m.

### Thursday, June 17, 1982

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Capt. *G. Patrick*.

The Hon. *C. S. Rogers* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Administrator, which read as follows:

N. T. NEMETZ  
*Administrator*

The Administrator transmits herewith Bill (No. 55) intituled *Wildlife Act* and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*June 17, 1982.*

By leave of the House, Bill introduced and read a first time.  
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

On a division being called on the motion, "That the Bill be placed on Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today", Mr. Speaker stated that such a division appeared to be unprecedented and stated he would reserve his decision as to whether or not such a division was appropriate, and in the meantime the division should proceed without setting a precedent.

Motion agreed to *nemine contradicente* on the following division:

YEAS — 49

<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Davidson</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Ree</i>
<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Richmond</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>
<i>Barber</i>	<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>
<i>Brown</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Lea</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>King</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Howard</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
		<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>Hyndman</i>
			<i>Waterland</i>

The Hon. *L. A. Williams* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Administrator, which read as follows:

N. T. NEMETZ  
Administrator

The Administrator transmits herewith Bill (No. 53) intituled *Miscellaneous Statutes Amendment Act, 1982* and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*June 15, 1982.*

By leave of the House, Bill introduced and read a first time.  
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

Mr. *Howard* rose on a point of order, namely, that in so far as Bill (No. 53) intituled *Miscellaneous Statutes Amendment Act, 1982* contained amendments to a number of Statutes, the Bill ought to be divided.

Mr. Speaker stated he would reserve his decision.

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

49. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$262,008 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Ministry of Human Resources, Minister's Office, to 31st March 1983.

50. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$985,997,572 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Ministry of Human Resources, Ministry Programs, to 31st March 1983.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.  
Report to be considered at the next sitting.  
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Chairman further reported that on the motion that Vote 49 be reduced by \$31,464.75 the Committee had divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Nicolson* the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—22

<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Hanson</i>		<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Howard</i>
<i>Barber</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Brown</i>		<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>

NAYS—25

	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Davidson</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Richmond</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
	<i>Gardom</i>		<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Hyndman</i>
<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>		

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House adjourned at 5.55 p.m.

---

**Friday, June 18, 1982**

---

TEN O'CLOCK A.M.

Prayers by Major *R. Pell*.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

By leave, on the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House proceeded to "Public Bills and Orders."



The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 54) intituled *Land Amendment Act, 1982*.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—27

<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Ree</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Richmond</i>	<i>Smith</i>
	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Hyndman</i>
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		<i>Waterland</i>
	<i>Wolfe</i>		

NAYS—21

<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Skelly</i>		<i>King</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Howard</i>
<i>Barber</i>		<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Brown</i>		<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>

Bill (No. 54) read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

Bill (No. 4) intituled *Motor Vehicle Amendment Act, 1982*, was committed, reported complete with amendments.

Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

Bill (No. 3) intituled *Offence Amendment Act, 1982*, was committed, reported complete with amendments.

Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. on Monday next.

Bill (No. 52) intituled *Waste Management Act* was committed.

The Committee rose, reported progress, and asked leave to sit again. Report to be considered at the next sitting.

The Chairman further reported that in consideration of an amendment to section 1 of Bill (No. 52) the Committee had divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. C. S. Rogers the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—18

<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	
<i>Mitchell</i>		<i>Hall</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Skelly</i>		<i>King</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Howard</i>
<i>Barber</i>		<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Brown</i>			<i>Macdonald</i>

## NAYS—27

<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>McGeer</i>		<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>
	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Richmond</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Hyndman</i>
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
	<i>Wolfe</i>		

Without the Committee rising, the Chairman reported to Mr. Speaker that in consideration of an amendment to section 4 of Bill (No. 52) intituled *Waste Management Act*, the Chairman had ruled the amendment out of order as it violated Standing Order 66 and his decision was challenged.

The ruling of the Chair was sustained.

The Hon. *R. H. McClelland* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Administrator, which read as follows:

N. T. NEMETZ  
*Administrator*

The Administrator transmits herewith Bill (No. 56) intituled *Petroleum and Natural Gas Amendment Act, 1982* and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*June 18, 1982.*

By leave of the House, Bill introduced and read a first time.  
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *H. A. Curtis* made a ministerial statement relating to a telex sent by him to the Federal Minister of Finance in regard to the economic situation in Canada.

Mr. *Barrett* made a statement relating to the same matter.

The Hon. *D. M. Phillips* (Minister of Industry and Small Business Development) tabled the 8th Annual Report of the British Columbia Development Corporation.

The Hon. *L. A. Williams* (Attorney General) tabled the Annual Report, Ministry of Attorney General, 1981-82.

**42** Ms. *Brown* asked the Hon. the Minister of Human Resources the following questions:

With reference to the Council of the '80s—

1. How often has the Council met, where, and how many persons attended each meeting?
2. What are the names of those persons on the Council?
3. In which towns do these persons live?
4. Do the members of the Council receive any remuneration, honorarium expenses or moneys from the Government?

The Hon. *G. M. McCarthy* replied as follows:

"1. The Council of the '80s has met three times in Vancouver: September 9, 1980, May 8, 1981, and February 19, 1982. Approximately 90 people attended the initial meeting in September, 22 attended the second meeting and 14 attended the third meeting which was limited to Vancouver and Lower Mainland members. In addition, members meet with local ministry staff in their own communities.

"2 and 3. A list of names of Council members and towns in which they reside follows: Mark Ando, West Vancouver; A. V. (Vill) Backman, Vancouver; Leonard Bawtree, Enderby; Val Beeston, Qualicum; Vic Bowman, Prince George; David Bruce, Vancouver; Art Cameron, West Vancouver; Larry Chalmers, Kelowna; Craig Clark, North Vancouver; Ted Cohen, Vancouver; G. Henry Coleman, Nelson; Herbert J. Conroy, Calgary; Lawrence Dampier, Vancouver; Tam Deachman, Vancouver; W. R. Dibble, New Westminster; Rev. Walter Donald, Nelson; Davene Dunn, Golden; D. R. Earle, Vancouver; Rev. A. Gordon Faraday, Penticton; George Fierheller, Vancouver (Chairman); Doug Finley, Kelowna; Russ Fraser, Vancouver; Ron Gadsby, Agassiz; Stan Glazer, Richmond; Louis J. Graziano, Vancouver; May C. Gutteridge, Vancouver; Lee Hafft, Vancouver; W. M. (Bill) Hamilton, Vancouver; Jack Hawthorne, Victoria; R. F. Hendy, Vancouver; G. H. D. (Gerry) Hobbs, Vancouver; John E. Hoegg, Vancouver; Hans Holst, Vancouver; Wm. J. Howard, West Vancouver; Lillian Hudson, Vancouver; Peter Kains, Vancouver; W. J. Kazun, Vancouver; Gary Kenwood, Vancouver; David L. Killam, Richmond; Laverne Kilner, Nanaimo; Dawn Kopp, Tsawwassen; Lorne Kramer, Surrey; Peggy Lee, Vancouver; Robert H. Lee, Vancouver; Ald. Doug Little, Vancouver; Earl Little, Fort St. John; Andy Livingstone, Vancouver; Donna Mackey, West Vancouver; C. Woody MacLaren, Vancouver; Erick Mahrt, Comox; Norris Martin, Vancouver; Keith Matthew, Delta; Brian Maunder, Vancouver; Eric McCook, North Vancouver; John Murchie, Vancouver; Molly O'Dell, Maple Ridge; Frank Ogden, Vancouver; Ralph Olds, Vancouver; Helen Patterson, Vancouver; David Padler, Vancouver; Chuck Railton, Penticton; Brian Ratcliffe, Vancouver; Edgar Reiswig, Enderby; Leonard Remple, Surrey; J. E. (Ernest) Richardson, Vancouver; Elizabeth Richter, Victoria; Clive Roberts, Vancouver; M. Roden, Prince George; Henry Roethel, Victoria; Gordon Rowntree, Vancouver; George Sakellaridis, Vancouver; Joseph Segal, Vancouver; Gardner Shaw, Surrey; Klay Shumann, Vancouver; Keith Siddal, Vancouver; Thomas A. Simons, Vancouver; William Sleeman, Vancouver; C. R. Mallory Smith, Richmond; Ed Snider, Burnaby; Roy D. Spooner, Vancouver; Wade and Dorothy Stoneman, Vancouver; Paul E. Termansen, West Vancouver; Jean Thorne, Victoria; David S. Toban, Vancouver; Richard Tompkies, Halfmoon Bay; Audrey Tompkies, Fort St. John; Dora Tysoe, Vancouver; Eldon Unger, Chilliwack; Rod Verstrate, Terrace; George Wainborn, Vancouver; Lorne Welsh, Fort St. John; Fred von Shellwitz, Campbell River; Art Wiebe, Victoria; George Wilkinson, Vancouver; Joan Williamson, Sicamous; Peter Wing, Kamloops; and Earl Wood, Langley.

"4. Members do not receive any remuneration or honorarium. Minimal travel expenses have been paid on occasion for some members from outlying areas."

**46** Ms. *Brown* asked the Hon. the Minister of Human Resources the following question:

With reference to the Zenith Child Abuse line, what are the figures for 1979, 1980, 1981 and to date in 1982 for the following categories: anonymous, by neighbours, by parent, by family member, by child involved, any by professional or agency; and other calls: parents wanting help, children lonely or wanting help with problems, information about child abuse, other problems, and crank calls and hang-ups?

The Hon. G. M. McCarthy replied as follows:

ZENITH HELPLINE FOR CHILDREN STATISTICS

Type of Call	Aug. 79	Sep. 79	Oct. 79	Nov. 79	Dec. 79	Jan 80	Feb. 80	Mar. 80	Apr. 80	May 80	Jun 80
Anonymous.....	17	56	1 200	865	621	471	407	397	432	298	875
Neighbour.....	20	53	82	71	42	46	44	55	48	51	67
Parent.....	n/a	9	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Family Member.....	5	17	92	83	51	38	43	40	45	33	77
Child Involved.....	11	45	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Agency or Professional.....	2	5	24	30	20	21	25	14	26	30	27
Other Calls.....	n/a	n/a	126	135	94	72	45	57	60	60	76
Regarding Self.....	28	215	1 126	731	627	570	443	409	488	368	522
Information of Child Abuse/ Neglect.....	8	114	184	129	116	68	119	96	159	137	160
Counselling/Other Problems.....	26	288	460	366	264	262	201	199	209	178	327
Crank.....	7	545	106	114	66	35	45	15	10	77	405
Total Calls.....	124	1 347	1 875	1 486	1 060	852	711	647	735	707	1 360

Type of Call	Jul 80	Aug 80	Sep. 80	Oct. 80	Nov. 80	Dec 80	Jan 81	Feb 81	Mar 81	Apr 81	May 81
Anonymous.....	1 016	912	471	420	471	370	347	233	227	419	355
Neighbour.....	71	86	75	82	48	57	49	61	56	57	48
Parent.....	29	38	12	22	22	17	19	19	24	42	62
Family Member.....	89	125	46	60	72	39	39	43	39	43	37
Child Involved.....	n/a	n/a	n/a	2	156	88	135	107	154	164	19
Agency or Professional.....	29	68	94	64	120	70	26	32	35	40	38
Other Calls.....	95	118	82	50	84	54	61	53	58	79	66
Regarding Self.....	828	763	517	457	332	280	256	304	261	314	272
Information of Child Abuse/ Neglect.....	223	236	177	158	128	109	100	102	103	114	55
Counselling/Other Problems.....	534	458	301	248	297	193	195	210	224	287	267
Crank.....	778	627	243	231	287	226	241	112	100	222	144
Total Calls.....	2 163	2 050	1 215	1 060	1 160	988	924	785	818	1 045	912

Type of Call	Jun. 81	Jul 81	Aug 81	Sep 81	Oct. 81	Nov. 81	Dec 81	Jan 82	Feb 82	Mar. 82	Apr. 82
Anonymous.....	355	472	801	621	534	449	314	396	318	293	293
Neighbour.....	55	43	97	72	76	32	26	36	38	39	27
Parent.....	43	44	70	113	61	68	58	46	34	29	28
Family Member.....	31	42	59	76	78	46	33	54	43	37	37
Child Involved.....	188	223	344	353	277	225	180	272	234	131	149
Agency or Professional.....	30	44	51	41	41	34	41	30	42	49	35
Other Calls.....	90	70	102	124	87	82	62	83	81	76	83
Regarding Self.....	311	356	486	314	313	279	197	275	236	299	266
Information of Child Abuse/ Neglect.....	116	67	166	122	116	69	77	109	87	103	68
Counselling/Other Problems.....	258	322	580	480	416	311	254	358	289	291	262
Crank.....	161	199	475	292	212	160	130	117	125	101	98
Total Calls.....	959	1 059	1 699	1 473	1 230	1 000	749	976	887	890	778

Some calls may appear in more than one column. For example: an anonymous call concerning possible child abuse which was dealt with by initial telephone counselling and then referred to a ministry office for follow-up would appear as an anonymous call, a counselling call, and a call concerning child abuse.

The figures in this table differ in some cases from previously released figures. The recording and reporting systems have undergone extensive revision and some identified problems are still to be resolved. The figures in this table have been generated by computer analysis of all previous recording systems; however, systems difficulties can and do result in under-reporting in all categories.

The variance in calls in the "crank" column results from procedural changes in the recording system. Up to June 1980, there was no computer reporting category for crank calls or hang-ups. The figures obtained prior to June 1980 came from a manual check on written records where such observations were made.

**47** Ms. *Brown* asked the Hon. the Minister of Human Resources the following question:

With reference to child apprehension in British Columbia, what is the breakdown of the parents of children apprehended in 1978/79, 1979/80, 1980/81 and to date in 1982: single parents, parents on social assistance, breakdown by age, and percentage of cases involving native Indian parents?

The Hon. *G. M. McCarthy* replied as follows:

	1979/80	1980/81	1981/82 (excluding Vancouver)
"Parents on Social Assistance .....	803	770	395
Both parents native Indian.....	19.4%	18.2%	15.4%
One parent native Indian .....	14.6%	12.7%	14.0%

Information on marital status and age of parents is not collected."

**49** Ms. *Brown* asked the Hon. the Minister of Human Resources the following questions:

With reference to Pharmacare—

1. How many persons have received payments under Pharmacare since its inception in 1977?
2. What is the total paid to patients to date?
3. What is the total paid to pharmacists for prescription fees to date?
4. What is the total cost of drugs prescribed and supplied by pharmacists to date?

The Hon. *G. M. McCarthy* replied as follows:

"1. Since the inception of Universal Pharmacare benefits on June 1, 1977 through May 31, 1982, 293,385 individual claims were accepted for processing.

"2. From June 1, 1977 through May 31, 1982, the total paid directly to patients was \$29,638,426.

"3. From April 1, 1977 through April 20, 1982, \$84,450,146 were paid directly to pharmacists for dispensing fees.

"4. From April 1, 1977 through April 20, 1982, \$112,262,493 were paid directly to pharmacists for drugs prescribed and supplied."

And then the House adjourned at 1.10 p.m.

---

**Monday, June 21, 1982**

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Mr. *J. W. Robertson*.

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

The Hon. *T. M. Waterland* (Minister of Forests) made a ministerial statement relating to the forest fire situation in the Province.

Mr. *King* made a statement relating to the same matter.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

By leave, on the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House proceeded to "Public Bills and Orders."

Bill (No. 54) intituled *Land Amendment Act, 1982* was committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

---

The Committee rose and reported progress.  
Report to be considered at the next sitting.  
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Hon. *G. B. Gardom* moved—

On this occasion of the birth of an heir-apparent to Our Throne, this House expresses heartiest congratulations and the greatest of joy to their Royal Highnesses, the Prince and Princess of Wales.

Mr. *Ree* presented the petition of the Vancouver Stock Exchange praying for the passing of an Act intituled *An Act to Amend the Vancouver Stock Exchange Act*.

On the motion of Mr. *Ree*, the Rules were suspended and the petition of the Vancouver Stock Exchange was received.

On the motion of Mr. *Ree*, Bill (No. Pr 401) intituled *Seaboard Assurance Company Act, 1953, Amendment Act, 1982* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* referred to the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills.

By leave of the House, Ms. *Brown* presented two petitions relating to Rape Crisis Centres in the Province.

**23** Mr. *Passarell* asked the Hon. the Minister of Forests the following question:

Have any logs, to date, been exported from the Province of British Columbia by Silver Grizzly Timber Company and, if so, how many?

The Hon. *T. M. Waterland* replied as follows:

"Yes, a total volume of 1 320 724.9 m<sup>3</sup> has been exported from Crown lands under awarded timber sales."

**24** Mr. *Passarell* asked the Hon. the Minister of Forests the following question:

Have any logs, to date, been sold by Silver Grizzly Timber Company to timber processing facilities within British Columbia and, if so, what quantity?

The Hon. *T. M. Waterland* replied as follows:

“Yes, a total volume of 121 907.8 m<sup>3</sup> of pulp logs have been sold to MacMillan Bloedel.”

**25** Mr. *Passarell* asked the Hon. the Minister of Forests the following question:

How much revenue has been paid to the Government of British Columbia by Silver Grizzly Timber Company, including stumpage fees and other logging taxes?

The Hon. *T. M. Waterland* replied as follows:

“A total of \$3,994,497.21 has been billed to Silver Grizzly Timber Company. This includes stumpage, scaling charges, and fee in lieu of manufacture. Silver Grizzly presently has a credit of \$127,252.40.”

**26** Mr. *Passarell* asked the Hon. the Minister of Forests the following question:

Have any applications been received from other companies for timber logged by Silver Grizzly Timber Company in northwest British Columbia and, if so, what other companies?

The Hon. *T. M. Waterland* replied as follows:

“No. Export was authorized under section 136 (1) (b) of the Act and there was no requirement to offer the timber to domestic firms after it had been cut and prior to export.”

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House adjourned at 5.56 p.m.

---

## Tuesday, June 22, 1982

---

NINE-THIRTY O'CLOCK A.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.

Report to be considered at the next sitting.

Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Mr. Speaker delivered his reserved decision as follows:

*Honourable Members:*

On Thursday last, after introduction and first reading of Bill (No. 53) intituled *Miscellaneous Statutes Amendment Act, 1982*, the Honourable Member for Skeena rose on a point of order, namely, that in so far as Bill (No. 53) contained amendments to a number of statutes, “the Bill ought to be divided.”

Sir Erskine May, in the 12th Edition, states at page 254, as follows:

“The ancient rule that when a complicated question is proposed to the house, the house may order such a question to be divided, is observed in the following manner. When two or more separate propositions are embodied in a motion or in an amendment, the Speaker calls the attention of the house to the circumstances; and, if objection be taken, he puts the question on such propositions separately, restricting debate to each proposition in its turn; though this course is rarely adopted”, etc.

This reference to a “complicated question” refers to motions generally and does empower the Chair under proper circumstances to divide a motion and to then put separate questions to the House on each proposition contained in the original motion. However, this power of the Chair to so intervene is not extended to a Bill before the House, such as the *Miscellaneous Statutes Amendment Act*.

If it is the will of the House to divide a Bill, the appropriate course is for the committee on the Bill to be so instructed by the House and it is not for the Chair to intervene on a point of order as raised by the Honourable Member for Skeena. (Ref.: Sir Erskine May, 17th Edition, at page 539.)

H. W. SCHROEDER, *Speaker*

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House adjourned at 11.55 a.m.

**Tuesday, June 22, 1982**

TWO O’CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *J. Senkiw*.

Order called for “Oral Questions by Members.”

Mr. Speaker requested the Member for Coquitlam-Moody and the Member for Kootenay to withdraw from the House for the remainder of the day’s sitting for failing to take their places after Mr. Speaker rose in the House and called for order.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

By leave, on the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House proceeded to “Public Bills and Orders.”

Bill (No. 3) intituled *Offence Amendment Act, 1982* read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 4) intituled *Motor Vehicle Amendment Act, 1982* read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 52) intituled *Waste Management Act* was committed, reported complete with amendments.

Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.



The Chairman further reported that in consideration of an amendment to add section 5A to Bill (No. 52) intituled *Waste Management Act*, the Committee had divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Skelly*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

## YEAS—21

<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Hall</i>	
<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>		<i>Howard</i>
	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Brown</i>	<i>Levi</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>

## NAYS—27

<i>Brummet</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Ree</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Richmond</i>	<i>Smith</i>
	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Hyndman</i>
<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>		

The Chairman further reported that in consideration of section 13 of Bill (No. 52) the Committee had divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *L. A. Williams*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

## YEAS—27

<i>Brummet</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Ree</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Richmond</i>	<i>Smith</i>
	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Hyndman</i>
<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>		

## NAYS—22

<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>		<i>Howard</i>
	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Brown</i>	<i>Levi</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>

The Chairman further reported that in consideration of an amendment to add section 14A to Bill (No. 52) the Committee had divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Skelly*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

## YEAS—23

<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>		<i>Howard</i>
<i>Barber</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Brown</i>	<i>Levi</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>

## NAYS—27

<i>Brunmet</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Ree</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Richmond</i>	<i>Smith</i>
	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Hyndman</i>
<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>		

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.

Report to be considered at the next sitting.

Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.58 p.m.

**Wednesday, June 23, 1982**

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *D. A. Smith*.

The Hon. *E. M. Wolfe* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant Governor, which read as follows:

HENRY P. BELL-IRVING  
*Lieutenant Governor*

The Lieutenant Governor transmits herewith Bill (No. 13) intituled *Election Amendment Act, 1982* and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*April 30, 1982.*

By leave of the House, Bill introduced and read a first time.  
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion that the Bill be placed on Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today, the House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS — 51

<i>Brummet</i>	<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Davidson</i>
<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Leggatt</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Ree</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Davis</i>	<i>Richmond</i>
<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>
<i>Barber</i>	<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Brown</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
		<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Lockstead</i>		<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>D'Arcy</i>			<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Skelly</i>	<i>King</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Howard</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Hyndman</i>
<i>Levi</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>Waterland</i>

NAY—1

*Lea*

Mr. *Howard* rose on a point of order, namely, the requirements of Standing Order 8 pertaining to a member's attendance.

Mr. Speaker stated that the interpretation of the House has been that Standing Order 8 is satisfied by a member being present in the precinct.

The Speaker's statement was challenged.

Mr. Speaker ruled that his statement as to the interpretation of Standing Order 8 was not subject to challenge.

The ruling of the Chair was challenged.

The ruling of the Chair was sustained on the following division:

YEAS—30

<i>Brummet</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Davidson</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Richmond</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Strachan</i>		<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Hyndman</i>
<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>Hall</i>	

NAYS—22

<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>		<i>Lea</i>
<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Howard</i>
<i>Barber</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Brown</i>	<i>Levi</i>		<i>Macdonald</i>
	<i>Leggatt</i>		

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

The Hon. *R. H. McClelland* rose on a point of order seeking to correct a quotation by another member of his comments made outside the House.

Mr. Speaker ruled that Standing Order 42 applied only to speeches given in the House.

The Hon. *R. H. McClelland* rose on a matter of privilege, namely, that the misquotation by an honourable member of comments made by the Minister outside the House was a breach of privilege.

Mr. Speaker reserved his decision.

By leave, Mr. *Cocke* tabled a copy of a newspaper article from the Times-Colonist of June 15, 1982.

Mr. *Barrett* rose on a point of order, namely, that a document referred to by a Minister must be tabled.

Mr. Speaker ruled that a document generally ought to be tabled if it had been quoted verbatim, but that the rule did not apply where the document had merely been referred to.

Mr. Speaker made a statement with respect to the application of Standing Order 42.

Mr. *Leggatt* rose on a point of order with respect to the conduct in Question Period and the application of the relevancy rule.

Mr. Speaker stated that he would take the matter under advisement.

The Hon. *E. M. Wolfe* made a ministerial statement relating to the appointment of Mr. Derril T. Warren as a Commissioner under the *Public Inquiry Act* with respect to elections, and by leave tabled a copy of an Order in Council appointing Mr. Warren as Commissioner.

Mrs. *Dailly* made a statement relating to the same matter.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

By leave, on the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House proceeded to "Public Bills and Orders."

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 56) intituled *Petroleum and Natural Gas Amendment Act, 1982*, a debate arose.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—28

<i>Brummet</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Davidson</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Richmond</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Strachan</i>		<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Hyndman</i>
<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Fraser</i>			

## NAYS—22

<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Hall</i>	
<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Howard</i>
<i>Barber</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Brown</i>	<i>Levi</i>		<i>Macdonald</i>
	<i>Leggatt</i>		

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

## (IN THE COMMITTEE)

10. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$149,981 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Ministry of Attorney General, Minister's Office, to 31st March 1983.

11. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$61,814,944 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Ministry of Attorney General, Administration and Support Services, to 31st March 1983.

12. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,897,930 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Ministry of Attorney General, Superior and County Judiciary, to 31st March 1983.

13. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$9,366,316 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Ministry of Attorney General, Provincial Judiciary, to 31st March 1983.

14. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$65,895,693 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Ministry of Attorney General, Police Services, to 31st March 1983.

15. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$43,191,802 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Ministry of Attorney General, Court Services, to 31st March 1983.

16. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$74,430,116 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Ministry of Attorney General, Corrections, to 31st March 1983.

17. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$8,668,456 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Ministry of Attorney General, Legal Services to Government, to 31st March 1983.

18. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$13,844,474 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Ministry of Attorney General, Criminal Justice, to 31st March 1983.

19. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$35,632,301 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Ministry of Attorney General, Statutory Services, Boards, Commissions, to 31st March 1983.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.  
Report to be considered at the next sitting.  
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Chairman further reported that on the motion that Vote 10 be reduced by \$12,600 the Committee had divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

## YEAS—23

<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Howard</i>
	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Brown</i>	<i>Levi</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
	<i>Leggatt</i>		

## NAYS—27

<i>Brummet</i>	<i>McGeer</i>		<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Richmond</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Strachan</i>		<i>Ritchie</i>	
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Hyndman</i>
<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>		

The Chairman further reported that on the motion that Vote 11 be reduced by \$4,528,830 the Committee had divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

## YEAS—23

<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Howard</i>
	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Brown</i>	<i>Levi</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
	<i>Leggatt</i>		

## NAYS—27

<i>Brummet</i>	<i>McGeer</i>		<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Richmond</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Strachan</i>		<i>Ritchie</i>	
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Hyndman</i>
<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>		

The Chairman further reported that on the motion that Vote 17 be reduced by \$121,877 the Committee had divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

## YEAS—23

<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Howard</i>
	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Brown</i>	<i>Levi</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
	<i>Leggatt</i>		

## NAYS—27

<i>Brummet</i>	<i>McGeer</i>		<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Richmond</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Strachan</i>		<i>Ritchie</i>	
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Hyndman</i>
<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>		

On the motion of Mr. *Mussallem*, Bill (No. Pr 402) intituled *An Act to Amend the Vancouver Charter*, was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* referred to the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills.

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House adjourned at 5.58 p.m.

---

**Thursday, June 24, 1982**

---

NINE-THIRTY O'CLOCK A.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

By leave, on the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House proceeded to "Public Bills and Orders."

Bill (No. 28) intituled *Compensation Stabilization Act* was again committed, reported complete with amendments.

Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

The Chairman further reported that in consideration of section 17 of Bill (No. 28) the Committee had divided and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Barrett*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

## YEAS—27

<i>Brummet</i>			<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Richmond</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Strachan</i>		<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Hyndman</i>
<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>		

## NAYS—19

<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	
<i>Mitchell</i>			<i>Lea</i>
<i>Hanson</i>		<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Howard</i>
<i>Barber</i>	<i>Sanford</i>		<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Brown</i>	<i>Levi</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	
	<i>Leggatt</i>		

The Chairman further reported that in consideration of section 36 of Bill (No. 28) the Committee had divided and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *H. A. Curtis*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

## YEAS—28

<i>Brummet</i>			<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Richmond</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Hyndman</i>
<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>		

## NAYS—18

<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	
<i>Mitchell</i>			<i>Lea</i>
<i>Hanson</i>		<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Howard</i>
<i>Barber</i>	<i>Sanford</i>		<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Brown</i>	<i>Levi</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	

The Chairman further reported that in consideration of the Schedule to Bill (No. 28) the Committee had divided and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *H. A. Curtis*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:



## YEAS—28

Brummet  
Mussallem  
Segarty  
Strachan  
Davis  
Kempf  
Nielsen  
Fraser

Phillips  
Curtis  
Bennett  
Gardom  
Williams  
McCarthy  
Wolfe

Ree  
Richmond  
Ritchie  
Vander Zalm  
Jordan  
Hewitt

Heinrich  
Smith  
Rogers  
McClelland  
Chabot  
Hyndman  
Waterland

## NAYS—18

Passarell  
Mitchell  
Hanson  
Wallace  
Barber  
Brown

Lockstead  
  
Gabelmann  
Sanford  
Levi

Lorimer  
  
Nicolson  
Cocke  
  
Stupich

Lea  
King  
Howard  
Barrett

Mr. *Strachan* presented the Third Report of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:

## REPORT NO. 3

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,  
June 24, 1982

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:

That the Standing Orders have been complied with relating to the petition for leave to introduce a Private Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Vancouver Stock Exchange Act*, except for late filing, but with respect thereto the petitioner has paid double fees, in accordance with Standing Order 98 (3).

Your Committee recommends that the petitioner be allowed to proceed with the said Bill.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

W. B. STRACHAN, *Chairman*

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

On the motion of Mr. *Ree*, Bill (No. Pr 403) intituled *An Act to Amend the Vancouver Stock Exchange Act*, was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* referred to the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills.

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House adjourned at 12 o'clock noon.