

Wednesday, 15th November, 1922.

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Mr. Speaker.

Mr. *Jackson* presented the Second and Third Reports from the Select Standing Committee on Private Bills and Standing Orders, as follows:—

REPORT No. 2.

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
November 15th, 1922.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Private Bills and Standing Orders begs leave to report as follows:—

That the Standing Orders in connection with the undermentioned petition have been substantially complied with.

No. 1—Corporation of the City of Victoria.

Your Committee would recommend that the petitioners be allowed to proceed with the Bill. All of which is respectfully submitted.

M. B. JACKSON, *Chairman*.

The report was received.

The Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

REPORT No. 3.

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
November 15th, 1922.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Private Bills and Standing Orders begs leave to report as follows:—

That the preamble to Bill (No. 50) intituled "The Shaughnessy Heights Building Restriction Act, 1922" has been proved, and the Bill ordered to be reported with amendments.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

M. B. JACKSON, *Chairman*.

The report was received.

The Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

On the motion of Mr. *Clearihue*, Bill (No. 51) intituled "The Victoria City Act, 1922" was introduced, read a first time, and referred to the Committee on Private Bills and Standing Orders.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion moved by the Hon. Mr. *Stoan* on November 10th, as follows:—

Whereas the "Immigration Act" of Canada and regulations thereunder have failed to stem the tide of Asiatic immigration into Canada:

And whereas the industrial and economic life of Canada, and particularly of the Province of British Columbia, is threatened by competition forced by a growing foreign population with a lower standard of living than that necessary for the well-being of Anglo-Saxon civilization:

And whereas there is a strong and compelling sentiment developing in Canada, especially marked in the Province of British Columbia, at present most affected, that effective protective measures must be adopted:

Therefore be it Resolved, That this Legislative Assembly places itself on record as being in favour of the enactment of such amendment to the "Immigration Act" of Canada as is necessary to completely prohibit Asiatic immigration into Canada.

And be it further Resolved, That an humble Address be presented to His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, praying that a copy of the Resolution hereinbefore set out be transmitted to the Hon. the Secretary of State or other proper official at Ottawa.

And on the amendment thereto moved by Mr. *Bowser*, as follows:—

That all words in the Resolution after the words “Anglo-Saxon civilization” be struck out, and the following added:—

“And whereas there is a strong and compelling sentiment now in Canada, especially marked in the Province of British Columbia, at present most affected, for the total exclusion of Asiatic immigration into Canada:

“And whereas at the last Session of the House of Commons of Canada a resolution was moved requiring the total exclusion of Asiatic immigration into Canada:

“And whereas the said resolution was amended and passed by the said House of Commons so as to strike out the words ‘total exclusion,’ and substitute the words ‘effective restriction’:

“And whereas this resolution so passed as amended, if in any way carried into effect by law in Canada in actual practice, can only mean that some treaty or arrangement will be made with some Asiatic power or people or that regulations will be made in Canada for the admission into Canada of Asiatics under certain restrictions:

“And whereas, if any such treaty or arrangement is made or if any regulation is passed, unless the rights of this Province are preserved, it will have the effect of curtailing the Provincial right of this Province to enact legislation prohibiting the employment of Asiatics in industries of the Province or prohibiting the ownership of lands by Asiatics in this Province, both of which are a growing menace to our people:

“And whereas practically all Asiatic immigration into Canada comes into or through the Province of British Columbia, and as a result the menace of this immigration will always be much greater in British Columbia than in other parts of Canada:

“Therefore be it Resolved, That this Legislative Assembly places itself on record as being opposed to the making of any treaty or arrangement with any Asiatic power or the passing of any regulations by the House of Commons of Canada or under the ‘Immigration Act’ dealing with the immigration of Asiatics into Canada unless the same have been made subject to the approval of the Legislative Assembly of the Province of British Columbia before the same becomes effective, or unless such treaty or regulations specially reserves to the Province of British Columbia power and the right to pass laws prohibiting the ownership by Asiatics of land or interests therein in British Columbia and the employment of Asiatics in industries in British Columbia.

“And be it further Resolved, That this Legislative Assembly places itself on record as being in favour of the enactment of such amendment to the ‘Immigration Act’ of Canada as is necessary to totally prohibit any Asiatic immigration into Canada.

“And be it further Resolved, That an humble Address be presented to His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, praying that a copy of the Resolution hereinbefore set out be transmitted to the Hon. the Secretary of State or other proper official at Ottawa.”

And on the amendment, to the amendment moved by Mr. *Duncan* on 14th instant, as follows:—

That the amendment be amended by striking out the following words in the eighth paragraph: “unless the same have been made subject to the approval of the Legislative Assembly of the Province of British Columbia before the same becomes effective, or.”

A point of order was raised by the Hon. Mr. *Oliver* on the amendment moved by Mr. *Bowser*. Mr. Speaker reserved his decision.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion moved by Mr. *Hinchliffe* on 10th instant, as follows:—

Resolved, That this Legislative Assembly regrets that the Board administering the “Mothers’ Pensions Act” has adopted the policy that in all cases where the applicant is the wife or widow of a returned soldier, if the death or desertion of the husband occurred within six months of the date of his discharge from the Army, that the case would be dealt with by the Patriotic Fund and no assistance would be granted under the “Mothers’ Pensions Act.”

And on the amendment thereto moved by the Hon. Mr. *Oliver* on 13th instant, as follows:—

That all the words of the Resolution after the first word "That" be struck out, and the following words substituted therefor:—

"Whereas it has been alleged that the Board administering the 'Mothers' Pensions Act' has adopted the policy that in all cases where the applicant is the wife or widow of a returned soldier, if the death or desertion of the husband occurred within six months of the date of his discharge from the army, that the case would be dealt with by the Patriotic Fund, and no assistance would be granted under the 'Mothers' Pensions Act':

"And whereas it would appear that an arrangement has been entered into between the before-mentioned Board and Patriotic Fund officials whereby the wife or widow of a returned soldier as beforementioned receives as large or a larger allowance than she would otherwise receive under the provisions of the 'Mothers' Pensions Act':

"And whereas it is alleged that as a result of the alleged policy and arrangement hereinbefore referred to wrong has accrued:

"Therefore be it Resolved, That a Select Committee, consisting of five Members of this House—namely, Messrs. *Farris*, *I. A. Mackenzie*, *Hinchcliffe*, *Duncan*, and Mrs. *Smith*—be appointed to confer with the Board administering the 'Mothers' Pensions Act' and with officials administering the Patriotic Fund for the purpose of ascertaining if wrong has been done as a result of the alleged policy and arrangement, and that such Committee shall report their findings and recommendations to this House."

Question proposed—"Shall the words proposed to be struck out stand part of the question?" and Resolved in the negative on the following division:—

YEAS—13.

Messieurs

<i>McRae</i> ,	<i>Esling</i> ,	<i>Hinchcliffe</i> ,	<i>Jones</i> ,
<i>Catherwood</i> ,	<i>Schofeld</i> ,	<i>Lister</i> ,	<i>Bowser</i> ,
<i>Pearson</i> ,	<i>Hunter</i> ,	<i>McKenzie, W. A.</i> ,	<i>Pooley</i> .
<i>McDonald, A.</i> ,			

NAYS—30.

Messieurs

<i>Hanes</i> ,	<i>Jackson</i> ,	<i>Oliver</i> ,	<i>Buckham</i> ,
<i>Nelands</i> ,	<i>Perry</i> ,	<i>Manson</i> ,	<i>Whiteside</i> ,
<i>Guthrie</i> ,	<i>Yorston</i> ,	<i>Sloan</i> ,	<i>Mrs. Smith</i> ,
<i>Uphill</i> ,	<i>MacDonald, K. C.</i> ,	<i>Campbell</i> ,	<i>Barrow</i> ,
<i>Duncan</i> ,	<i>Anderson</i> ,	<i>Ramsay</i> ,	<i>Sutherland</i> ,
<i>Menzies</i> ,	<i>Paterson</i> ,	<i>Kergin</i> ,	<i>Pattullo</i> .
<i>Burde</i> ,	<i>Farris</i> ,	<i>Mackenzie, I. A.</i>	<i>MacLean</i> .
<i>Clearihue</i> ,	<i>Hart</i> ,		

Question proposed—"Shall the words proposed to be substituted stand part of the question?" and Resolved in the affirmative on the following division:—

YEAS—30.

Messieurs

<i>Hanes</i> ,	<i>Jackson</i> ,	<i>Oliver</i> ,	<i>Buckham</i> ,
<i>Nelands</i> ,	<i>Perry</i> ,	<i>Manson</i> ,	<i>Whiteside</i> ,
<i>Guthrie</i> ,	<i>Yorston</i> ,	<i>Sloan</i> ,	<i>Mrs. Smith</i> ,
<i>Uphill</i> ,	<i>MacDonald, K. C.</i> ,	<i>Campbell</i> ,	<i>Barrow</i> ,
<i>Duncan</i> ,	<i>Anderson</i> ,	<i>Ramsay</i> ,	<i>Sutherland</i> ,
<i>Menzies</i> ,	<i>Paterson</i> ,	<i>Kergin</i> ,	<i>Pattullo</i> ,
<i>Burde</i> ,	<i>Farris</i> ,	<i>Mackenzie, I. A.</i>	<i>MacLean</i> .
<i>Clearihue</i> ,	<i>Hart</i> ,		

NAYS—13.

Messieurs

<i>McRae,</i>	<i>Esling,</i>	<i>Hinchliffe,</i>	<i>Jones,</i>
<i>Catherwood,</i>	<i>Schofield,</i>	<i>Lister,</i>	<i>Bowser,</i>
<i>Pearson,</i>	<i>Hunter,</i>	<i>McKenzie, W. A.,</i>	<i>Pooley.</i>
<i>McDonald, A.,</i>			

The Resolution as amended was carried on the following division:—

YEAS—30.

Messieurs

<i>Hanes,</i>	<i>Jackson,</i>	<i>Oliver,</i>	<i>Buckham,</i>
<i>Neclands,</i>	<i>Perry,</i>	<i>Manson,</i>	<i>Whiteside,</i>
<i>Guthrie,</i>	<i>Yorston,</i>	<i>Sloan,</i>	<i>Mrs. Smith,</i>
<i>Uphill,</i>	<i>MacDonald, K. C.,</i>	<i>Campbell,</i>	<i>Barrow,</i>
<i>Duncan,</i>	<i>Anderson,</i>	<i>Ramsay,</i>	<i>Sutherland,</i>
<i>Menzies,</i>	<i>Paterson,</i>	<i>Kergin,</i>	<i>Pattullo,</i>
<i>Burde,</i>	<i>Farris,</i>	<i>Mackenzie, I. A.,</i>	<i>MacLean.</i>
<i>Clearihue,</i>	<i>Hart,</i>		

NAYS—13.

Messieurs

<i>McRae,</i>	<i>Esling,</i>	<i>Hinchliffe,</i>	<i>Jones,</i>
<i>Catherwood,</i>	<i>Schofield,</i>	<i>Lister,</i>	<i>Bowser,</i>
<i>Pearson,</i>	<i>Hunter,</i>	<i>McKenzie, W. A.,</i>	<i>Pooley.</i>
<i>McDonald, A.,</i>			

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion moved by Mr. *Esling* on 13th instant, as follows:—

That an Order of this House be granted for a Return of all correspondence passing between the Government and (a) the representative of Messrs. *Vickers, Limited*; (b) Mr. *Landahl*, dealing with the matter of the establishing of the iron and steel industry in the Province.

The debate was, on the motion of the Hon. Mr. *Pattullo*, adjourned to the next sitting.

The Hon. Mr. *Sloan* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Administrator, which read as follows:—

J. A. MACDONALD,
Administrator.

The Administrator transmits herewith a Bill intituled "An Act to ratify and make Provision for the Carrying-out of an Agreement between His Majesty in Right of the Dominion and His Majesty in Right of the Province relating to the Examination of Iron-ore Deposits in British Columbia," and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,

November 15th, 1922.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 34) intituled "An Act to ratify and make Provision for the Carrying-out of an Agreement between His Majesty in Right of the Dominion and His Majesty in Right of the Province relating to the Examination of Iron-ore Deposits in British Columbia," a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting.

Bill (No. 18) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Dyking Assessments Adjustment Act, 1905'" was committed.

Progress reported.

Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Bill (No. 22) intituled "An Act respecting Public Printing" was committed.

Reported complete without amendment.

Report adopted.

Third reading at the next sitting.

Bill (No. 2) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Pacific Great Eastern Settlement Act'" was committed.

Reported complete without amendment.

Report adopted.

Third reading at the next sitting.

Bill (No. 19) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Highway Act'" was committed.

Progress reported.

Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Mr. *Poolcy* asked the Hon. the Minister of Public Works the following questions:—

1. Who is the Road Foreman in charge of the work at Whaletown?
2. When was he appointed?
3. What salary is he in receipt of?
4. Where does he reside?
5. Did the Farmers' Institute at Whaletown make complaints against actions of the foreman in connection with his work at Whaletown?
6. If so, when were such protests received by the Department?
7. Has the Department made any investigation into these charges?
8. If so, what has been the result?

The Hon. Dr. *Sutherland* replied as follows:—

"1. J. O'Donnell.

"2. 1917.

"3. Wages, \$4.50 per day when required.

"4. Smelt Bay.

"5. Yes. On account of non-residence in immediate vicinity.

"6. January, 1922.

"7. Yes.

"8. The Engineer reports that, although the foreman lives in the southern portion of the island, about 6 miles from Whaletown, the work does not suffer on account of this. He reports further that Mr. O'Donnell is an honest and good worker. The Engineer is not aware of any good reason for making a change at present."

Mr. *Poolcy* asked the Hon. the Minister of Public Works the following questions:—

1. Was one J. D. Watson employed by the Public Works Department in connection with road-work during the year 1922 in the Skeena District?
2. If so, in what capacity was he working?
3. What time, giving particulars, did he put in during the season?
4. What amount was paid him?

The Hon. Dr. *Sutherland* replied as follows:—

"1. Yes.

"2. Foreman.

"3. May, 9 days at \$5.50 per day, \$49.50; June, 25 days at \$5.50 per day, \$137.50; July, 7 days at \$5.50 per day, \$38.50.

"4. Answered by No. 3."

Mr. *Pooley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Public Works the following questions:—

1. Was one Boyd Young employed by the Public Works Department in connection with road-work during the year 1921 in the Skeena District?
2. If so, in what capacity was he working?
3. What time, giving particulars, did he put in during the season?
4. What amount was paid him?

The Hon. Dr. *Sutherland* replied as follows:—

- "1. No.
"2, 3, and 4. Answered by No. 1."

Mr. *Pooley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Public Works the following questions:—

1. Was one Boyd Young employed by the Public Works Department in connection with road-work during the year 1922 in the Skeena District?
2. If so, in what capacity was he working?
3. What time, giving particulars, did he put in during the season?
4. What amount was paid him?

The Hon. Dr. *Sutherland* replied as follows:—

- "1. No.
"2, 3, and 4. Answered by No. 1."

Mr. *Pooley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Public Works the following questions:—

1. Was one J. D. Watson employed by the Public Works Department in connection with road-work during the year 1921 in the Skeena District?
2. If so, in what capacity was he working?
3. What time, giving particulars, did he put in during the season?
4. What amount was paid him?

The Hon. Dr. *Sutherland* replied as follows:—

- "1. Yes.
"2. Foreman.
"3. October, 26 days at \$5.50 per day, \$143; November, 13½ days at \$5.50 per day, \$74.25.
"4. Answered by No. 3."

Mr. *A. McDonald* asked the Hon. the Minister of Public Works the following questions:—

1. How much was expended on the Cariboo Main Trunk Road, Ashcroft to Quesnel, each year, for the years 1920, 1921, and 1922?
2. During said years how much was expended each year on the Lillooet District portion of said road?
3. How much has been expended on roads in general in Lillooet District to date for 1922?
4. How much was paid out of the latter expenditure for supervision?

The Hon. Dr. *Sutherland* replied as follows:—

- "1. Fiscal year 1920-21, \$38,639.61; fiscal year 1921-22, \$54,994.26; fiscal year 1922-23 (to date), \$14,400.75.
"2. 1920-21, \$18,343.14; 1921-22, \$11,439.59; 1922-23 (to date), \$7,699.33.
"3. Fiscal year 1922-23 (to date), \$44,036.16.
"4. \$2,785.48."

Mr. *Jones* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands the following questions:—

1. What sum of money has been expended in construction, including purchase price, of the Southern Okanagan Irrigation Project up to October 1st, 1922?
2. What acreage was it possible to irrigate during the season of 1922?
3. What has been the total acreage of fruit land sold to October 1st, 1922?
4. What sum of money has been received from purchasers of fruit lands up to October 1st, 1922?
5. What is the estimated acreage of fruit land to be irrigated during the season of 1923?

6. What methods have been adopted to expedite the sales of land in this area?
7. What amount of money has been expended in advertising the sale of these lands up to October 1st, 1922?
8. How many men were employed on the project during the months of September and October, 1922?
9. How many engineers and assistants were employed during the months of September and October, 1922?

The Hon. Mr. *Pattullo* replied as follows:—

- "1. Total sum expended to October 1st, 1922, \$2,583,539.68.
- "2. 3,167 acres.
- "3. 1,356.57 acres.
- "4. \$48,926.05 cash; balance of principal outstanding, \$245,239.53.
- "5. Expected that not less than 1,900 acres will be under cultivation.
- "6. Advertising, literature, maps, and personal contact.
- "7. For newspaper advertising, \$1,352.62, in addition to maps, pamphlets, etc., printed by King's Printer.
- "8. Names on pay-roll for September, 61; names on pay-roll for October, 44.
- "9. For September, one Engineer, one Assistant Engineer, and two chain and rod men. October same as September."

Mr. A. *McDonald* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands the following questions:—

1. What is the amount of revenue charged for grazing fees in the Lillooet District for 1922?
2. What is the nature of the range improvements and cost of same for the year?
3. Have any of the stockmen been prosecuted for trespass on the ranges?
4. If so, and if convicted, give names and fine imposed in each case?

The Hon. Mr. *Pattullo* replied as follows:—

- "1. Collected, \$2,174.20.
- "2. The boundary between the Cariboo and Lillooet Electoral Districts divides several range units, and as fees are collected for the Cariboo Grazing District which includes the two electoral districts, the collections for the units so divided are approximated as nearly as possible. Stinking Lake, Big Bar Range; a dangerous lake, taking a heavy annual toll of cattle, fenced with 1½ miles, 5-strand barb-wire fence, cost \$535.39. Mud-hole, Lac la Hache, log fence, \$25; mud-hole, Lac la Hache, log fence, \$25. Other improvements to the extent of \$1,035 authorized. Progress not yet reported. Land and water rights have been reserved in 1922 for stock. On September 2nd, 1920, Lillooet Stock-raisers' Association passed resolution requesting no money be expended pending further consideration by association. Association has not had a quorum at last two annual meetings. The Department has, consequently, considered it advisable to go ahead with important work.

"3. Yes.

"4. Chas. Kostering and Wm. Grinder. Fine, \$10 each."

Mr. *Schofield* asked the Hon. the Minister of Public Works the following questions:—

1. What amount of money was expended on the Anarchist Mountain Road during the season of 1922?
2. What was the estimated cost of this work?
3. Was the work done by contract or day-labour?
4. What is the total mileage of new road built during the season of 1922 on Anarchist Mountain?
5. What is the total mileage of new road built during the seasons of 1920, 1921, and 1922 on Anarchist Mountain?
6. What is the total cost of work done during the same period?

The Hon. Dr. *Sutherland* replied as follows:—

"1. \$9,698.66.

"2. \$4,000 per mile.

"3. Day-labour.

"4. Three miles graded 16 feet wide, 2 miles gravelled, and 3½ miles cleared and stumped.

"5. Approximately 9¼ miles.

"6. \$160,801.79. This includes approximately \$9,000 for plant and equipment that has since been used on other work."

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. to-morrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.18 p.m.

Thursday, 16th November, 1922.

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Mr. Speaker.

The Hon. Mr. *Pattullo* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Administrator, which read as follows:—

J. A. MACDONALD,
Administrator.

The Administrator transmits herewith a Bill intituled "An Act to amend the 'Water Act, 1914,'" and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,

November 16th, 1922.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 35) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Water Act, 1914,'" a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting.

Mr. Speaker delivered his reserved decision on the point of order raised by the Hon. Mr. *Oliver* on 15th instant on the amendment moved by Mr. *Bowser* to the motion moved by the Hon. Mr. *Sloan* on 10th instant, as follows:—

"On the adjourned debate on the motion moved by the Hon. Mr. *Sloan* on November 10th, objection was taken by the Hon. Mr. *Oliver* to the amendment proposed by Mr. *Bowser*, in that it proposes to strike out certain words of the original motion and then reinsert the words to be struck out with no change in the meaning, and with little or no change in the wording.

"In the original motion I find these words, which it is proposed to strike out: 'Therefore be it Resolved, That this Legislative Assembly places itself on record as being in favour of the enactment of such amendment to the "Immigration Act" of Canada as is necessary to completely prohibit Asiatic immigration into Canada.'

"And in the amendment these words are proposed to be added: 'And be it further Resolved, That this Legislative Assembly places itself on record as being in favour of the enactment of such amendment to the "Immigration Act" of Canada as is necessary to totally prohibit any Asiatic immigration into Canada.'

"These paragraphs are almost identical in wording; there is no change in the import.

"Again, in the original motion I find these words, which it is proposed to strike out: 'And be it further Resolved, That an humble Address be presented to His Honour the Lieutenant-

Governor, praying that a copy of the Resolution hereinbefore set out be transmitted to the Hon. the Secretary of State or other proper official at Ottawa.' And in the amendment to the motion I find the same paragraph without change proposed to be added.

"The question then arises, can an amendment propose to strike out certain words of the original motion and then reinsert them? *May*, 12th edition, page 261, says: 'An amendment is also out of order if it is inconsistent with words in the motion already agreed upon.'

"It would be inconsistent to strike out a whole paragraph of a motion and then reinsert it unchanged under the guise of an amendment.

"I would point out that if the amendment is agreed to, the House will have resolved that the words quoted shall not stand part of the question, and on this point *May*, page 267, says: 'That a question being once made and carried in the affirmative or negative cannot be questioned again, but must stand as a judgment of the House.'

"I am of the opinion that the presentation of this amendment is imperfect, and that the proper procedure would be by way of motion to strike out only such words as it is intended should not be part of the motion as amended, and to insert in their proper place the words which it is desired to add. The motion is not to insert, but to strike out and add. The right to do this is unquestioned. The point at issue is: Can a paragraph be struck out of the original motion and afterwards be added without sufficient alteration to change its meaning?

"*May*, page 258, says that an amendment is 'to substitute in their place, that is in place of the words struck out, other words of a different import.' Page 267 says: 'A mere alteration of the words of a question without any substantial change in its object will not be sufficient to evade this rule.'

"The paragraphs in question are alike in both motion and amendment, and I am of the opinion that it is not in order for the Honourable Mover of the amendment to first ask that they be struck out and then that they be reinserted. Such a proposal is contrary to the practice governing procedure in this House, and I must rule the amendment out of order."

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion moved by the Hon. Mr. *Stoan* on 10th instant, as follows:—

Whereas the "Immigration Act" of Canada and regulations thereunder have failed to stem the tide of Asiatic immigration into Canada:

And whereas the industrial and economic life of Canada, and particularly of the Province of British Columbia, is threatened by competition forced by a growing foreign population with a lower standard of living than that necessary for the well-being of Anglo-Saxon civilization:

And whereas there is a strong and compelling sentiment developing in Canada, especially marked in the Province of British Columbia, at present most affected, that effective protective measures must be adopted:

Therefore be it Resolved, That this Legislative Assembly places itself on record as being in favour of the enactment of such amendment to the "Immigration Act" of Canada as is necessary to completely prohibit Asiatic immigration into Canada.

And be it further Resolved, That an humble Address be presented to His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, praying that a copy of the Resolution hereinbefore set out be transmitted to the Hon. the Secretary of State or other proper official at Ottawa.

On motion of the Hon. Mr. *Pattullo*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting.

Mr. *Pooley* moved, seconded by Mr. *Schofield*,—

That Colonel Napier be called and give evidence before the Public Accounts Committee, touching his knowledge pertaining to the accounts of the Liquor Control Board, and more especially to the matters under the headings of Breakage, Shortage, Burglary and Theft, and Administration Salaries.

The Hon. Mr. *Oliver* moved in amendment, seconded by the Hon. Mr. *Stoan*,—

That all the words of the Resolution after the first word "That" be struck out, and the following words be substituted therefor: "the Public Accounts Committee be instructed that it possesses all necessary power to procure the attendance of any witness whose evidence is necessary to the inquiry into any matter referred to it by this House, but that it has no power to inquire into any matter not so referred; and, further, that no report of Colonel Ross Napier

made to the Premier or any other member of the Executive Council has been referred to it, nor are the contents of any such report before the Committee for inquiry, nor can it so inquire unless instructed by Resolution of this House."

On the motion of Mr. *Hanes*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting.

Mr. *Esling* moved, seconded by Mr. *Schofield*,—

That an Order of the House be granted for a Return of correspondence between the Government and the Chief Engineer or the General Manager of the Pacific Great Eastern Railway, concerning recommendation as to a reduction of operating expenses on the above-mentioned road, since the receipt by the Government of the Sullivan report.

A debate arose, which was, on the motion of the Hon. Mr. *Oliver*, adjourned to the next sitting.

On the second reading of Bill (No. 27) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Provincial Elections Act'" a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Burde*, adjourned to the next sitting.

On the second reading of Bill (No. 28) intituled "An Act respecting Chiropractic" Mr. *Neelands* moved, seconded by Mr. *Guthrie*,—

That the Order for second reading of Bill No. 28 be discharged, and that the Bill be referred to a Select Committee of the House, consisting of Mrs. *Smith*, Messrs. *Pearson*, *Yorston*, *Guthrie*, *Paterson*, *Duncan*, and *McRae*, and that such Committee shall report its finding and recommendation to this House.

A debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Anderson*, adjourned to the next sitting.

Bill (No. 13) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Municipal Elections Act'" was committed.
Reported complete without amendment.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.

Bill (No. 15) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Execution Act'" was committed.
Reported complete without amendment.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.

Bill (No. 4) intituled "An Act to make Uniform the Law respecting Warehousemen's Liens" was committed.
Reported complete without amendment.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.

Bill (No. 20) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Supreme Court Act'" was committed.
Reported complete without amendment.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.

Bill (No. 23) intituled "An Act to make Uniform the Law respecting Conditions in Policies of Accident and Sickness Insurance" was committed.
Reported complete without amendment.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the second reading of Bill (No. 12) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Sale of Goods Act.'" Bill read a second time.
To be committed at the next sitting.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the second reading of Bill (No. 5) intituled "An Act to validate a certain Order in Council respecting the Corporation of the District of South Vancouver."

On the motion of Mr. *Pearson*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the second reading of Bill (No. 3) intituled "An Act respecting Legitimation by Subsequent Marriage."

On the motion of Mr. *Burde*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting.

On the second reading of Bill (No. 17) intituled "An Act to provide for the Inspection and Regulation of Premises and Equipment for the Generation, Transmission, Supply, or Use of Electrical Energy" a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *W. A. McKenzie*, adjourned to the next sitting.

On the second reading of Bill (No. 8) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Fire Marshal Act'" a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Bowser*, adjourned to the next sitting.

On the second reading of Bill (No. 30) intituled "An Act to provide for the Licensing of Insurance Agents and Insurance Adjusters" a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Perry*, adjourned to the next sitting.

Bill (No. 11) intituled "An Act to provide for the Maintenance of Children of Unmarried Parents" was again committed.

Progress reported.

Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

On the second reading of Private Bill (No. 50) intituled "Shaughnessy Heights Building Restriction Act, 1922" a debate arose, which was, on the motion of the Hon. Mr. *Manson*, adjourned to the next sitting.

Mr. *Jones* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:—

1. What property and buildings have been purchased by the Liquor Board up to September 30th, 1922?
2. Where are these located?
3. What was the price paid for each?
4. What is the present valuation of each by the Liquor Board?

The Hon. Mr. *Manson* replied as follows:—

- "1. Lots numbered 15, 16, 17, and 18, Block No. 68, Subdivision Lot 541, Vancouver District, British Columbia, Map 2565.
- "2. On Beatty Street, Vancouver, British Columbia.
- "3. \$150,000 was paid for lands and six-story brick building erected thereon.
- "4. Real estate, \$30,000; buildings (less depreciation), \$117,800, as at March 31st, 1922. Real estate, \$30,000; buildings (less depreciation), \$116,600, as at September 30th, 1922."

Mr. *Jones* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:—

1. What are the names of members of the Liquor Board Commission?
2. What are the monthly salaries of each member?
3. What sum of money has been granted each member for expenses since inception of the Board?

The Hon. Mr. *Manson* replied as follows:—

- "1 and 2. Archie Mainwaring Johnson, monthly salary, \$541.66; James Henderson Falconer, monthly salary, \$458.33; William Norman Winsby, monthly salary, \$458.33.
- "3. The following sums have been paid to the members of the Board for sustenance and travelling expenses from June 15th, 1921, to March 31st, 1922: A. M. Johnson, \$895.70; W. N. Winsby, \$1,030.20; J. H. Falconer, \$1,846.75."

Mr. *Esling* asked the Hon. the Minister of Public Works the following questions:—

1. On what unit prices were tenders based for the contract on the 15 miles known as Section F, Project 23, Rossland-Christina Lake section of the Trans-Provincial Highway?
2. On what unit prices were tenders based for the contract on the 19 miles known as Sections G and K, Project 23, Rossland-Christina Lake section of the Trans-Provincial Highway?

The Hon. Dr. *Sutherland* replied as follows:—

"1. Clearing, \$150 per acre; grubbing, \$350 per acre. Excavation: Solid rock, \$1.90 per cubic yard; loose rock, 90 cents per cubic yard; hard-pan, 90 cents per cubic yard; earth, 50 cents per cubic yard. Timber culverts: Logs, flattened, including ironwork, \$65 per F.B.M.; small timber boxes, \$80 per F.B.M. Retaining-walls, cedar cribbing (including iron). \$65 per F.B.M.; rock, dry, \$5.50 per cubic yard; riprap, loose, \$3 per cubic yard; riprap, hand-laid, \$4 per cubic yard; stone drains, excluding excavation, \$3 each; catch-basins, timber, \$10 each; hand-rails, log, 40 cents per lineal foot; timber bridges, trestle, \$72 per F.B.M.; solid rock, \$2.10 per cubic yard; loose rock, \$1.10 per cubic yard; earth, 70 cents per cubic yard.

"2. Clearing, \$100 per acre; grubbing, \$200 per acre. Excavation: Earth, 40 cents per cubic yard; hard-pan, 60 cents per cubic yard; loose rock, 55 cents per cubic yard; solid rock, \$1.34. Culverts: Sawn timber, \$80 per F.B.M.; logs, flattened, including ironwork, 30 cents per F.B.M. Excavation: In earth (foundations), 50 cents per cubic yard; hard-pan, \$1 per cubic yard; loose rock, \$1 per cubic yard; solid rock, \$2 per cubic yard. Cribbing, 26 cents per lineal foot; dry masonry, \$5 per cubic yard; riprap, \$3 per cubic yard; fencing, \$1.60 per rod; bridges, trestle, \$70 per F.B.M.; king-truss 60-foot span, including crib piers, \$43.40 per lineal foot of span."

Mr. *Jones* asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture the following questions:—

1. How many settlers in the Merville Area have received moneys under the progress-loan plan from the Department?
2. What sum of money has been paid to the settlers under the progress-loan plan?
3. What sum of money was paid in 1921, also in 1922?

The Hon. Mr. *Barrow* replied as follows:—

"1. 110. (Cash advanced to 94; cash and materials advanced to 110.)

"2. \$151,135.02. (Cash, \$23,633.59; stock, \$26,800.77; materials, \$100,700.66.)

"3. 1920: Cash, \$1,230.39; stock, \$4,831.42; materials, \$22,081.81; total, \$28,143.62. 1921: Cash, \$17,808; stock, \$20,249.80; materials, \$69,355.76; total, \$107,413.56. 1922: Cash, \$4,595.20; stock, \$1,719.55; materials, \$9,263.09; total, \$15,577.84. Grand total: Cash, \$23,633.59; stock, \$26,800.77; materials, \$100,700.66; total, \$151,135.02."

Mr. *Jones* asked the Hon. the Minister of Public Works the following questions:—

1. What sum of money has been received by the Department of Public Works from the Federal Government towards public highways in this Province to date?
2. What were the roads for which the amount was granted and the amount for each road?

The Hon. Dr. *Sutherland* replied as follows:—

"1. \$978,014.61.

"2. Project 1 A, Trans-Provincial (Island Highway, V.I.), Craigflower Bridge to Parson's Bridge, \$30,647.04; Project 1 B, Trans-Provincial (Island Highway, V.I.), Colwood to Langford, \$17,749.36; Project 1 C, Trans-Provincial (Island Highway, V.I.), Nanaimo City limits southerly, \$37,979.94; Project 4 A, Vancouver-Ladner, northerly portion No. 3 Road, Lulu Island, \$22,166.82; Project 4 B, Vancouver-Ladner, No. 5 and No. 9 (portion), Lulu Island, \$25,912.17; Project 4 C, Vancouver-Ladner, No. 3 Road and No. 9, Lulu Island, \$19,985.10; Projects 5 A and C, Ladner-New Westminster, portion Semiamo Road, Delta, \$68,451.46; Project 5 B, Ladner-New Westminster, portion Semiamo Road, Delta, \$33,139.45; Project 5 D, Ladner-New Westminster, portion Semiamo Road, Delta, \$2,610.49; Project 6 A, Marine Drive, city limits north, Vancouver westerly, \$8,380.88. Project 18 F, Fort George-Hazelton, Endako-Burns Lake; Project 18 G, Fort George-Hazelton, Burns Lake-Rose Lake; and Project 18 I, Fort George-Hazelton, North Bulkley-Houston, \$26,093.09. Project 19, Francois Lake, Burns Lake-Francois Lake, \$7,982.74; Projects 22 A and 22 B, Eagle Pass, Revelstoke West, \$79,059.15; Project 22 C, Eagle Pass, Mara Lake, \$20,374.82; Project 22 D, Eagle Pass, near Taft, \$54,425.78; Project 23 A, Trans-Provincial Highway, near Kitchener, \$2,528.60; Project 23 B, Trans-Provincial Highway, Thrums-Brilliant, \$12,487.43; Project 23 C, Trans-Provincial Highway, Osoyoos-9-Mile, \$52,316.46; Project 23 D, Trans-Provincial Highway, Rossland West, \$46,026.39; Project 9 A, Trans-Provincial (Yale Road), New Westminster Bridge southerly, \$70,182.09; Project 9 B, Trans-Provincial (Yale Road), continuation to Johnston Road, \$47,964.59; Project 9 C, Trans-Provincial Highway, Yale

Road, through Serpentine Flats, \$30,074.39; Project 9 D, Trans-Provincial (Yale Road), Cloverdale, \$23,716.41; Project 13, 1-Mile, Aspen Grove South, \$18,713.48; Project 15 B, Okanagan Valley (Fairview-Penticton), Demonstration Farm-McIntyre Creek, \$18,864.66; Project 16 A, Cariboo Road, Fort George-Hixon Creek, and Project 16 B, Cariboo Road, Hixon Creek-Quesnel, \$45,610.64; Project 16 C, Cariboo Road, Fort George-Summit Lake, \$2,599.86; Project 16 D, Cariboo Road, Dog Prairie-Quesnel, \$9,436.04; Project 23 E, Trans-Provincial, Kuskanook-Sirdar, \$8,828.04; Project 23 F, Trans-Provincial, Cascade East, \$91,962.88; Project 23 J, Trans-Provincial, near Creston, \$8,887.07; Project 23 H, Trans-Provincial, near Moyie Lake, \$15,761.55; Project 24 A, Kootenay Lake, Kaslo-Ainsworth, \$9,283.11; Project 24 B, Kootenay Lake, New Denver-Three Forks, \$7,812.63."

Mr. Jones asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture the following questions:—

1. How many officials are employed by the Land Settlement Board?
2. What are their names, and what is the monthly salary of each?
3. What sum of money has been paid in salaries to the officials and staff of the Land Settlement Board from January 1st, 1922, to November 1st, 1922?
4. What sum of money has been paid for expenses to the Land Settlement Board from January 1st, 1922, to November 1st, 1922?
5. What sum of money has been loaned by the Land Settlement Board to farmers during 1922 up to date November 1st?
6. How much of this was advanced under progress loans to farmers?

The Hon. Mr. Barrow replied as follows:—

"1. Nine.

"2. Davies, R. D., Victoria, monthly salary, \$300; Latta, W. S., Victoria, monthly salary, \$225; Hunt, R. A., Victoria, monthly salary, \$185; Sonley, C. E., Victoria, monthly salary, \$175; Hughes, T. L., Victoria, monthly salary, \$125; Munro, D. D., Telkwa, monthly salary, \$225; Sutton, R. G., Prince George, monthly salary, \$175; Halley, K. G., Courtenay (Development Area No. 1), monthly salary, \$200; Rowberry, A. H., Creston (Development Area No. 2), monthly salary, \$200.

"3. \$29,352.62.

"4. \$6,542.80.

"5. \$48,850 (exclusive of development areas).

"6. \$48,850."

Mr. Hinchliffe asked the Hon. the Minister of Public Works the following questions:—

1. Did the Public Works Department in March, 1922, advertise for tenders for the supplying of coal for use in the public buildings?
2. What amount of coal was specified?
3. What kind of coal was specified?
4. From whom were tenders received?
5. What was the price asked by each tender?
6. From what mine was the coal offered by each tender?
7. When was the tender let?
8. Who secured the contract?

The Hon. Dr. Sutherland replied as follows:—

"1. Yes.

"2. Nut coal, Parliament Buildings, 1,000 tons; lump coal, Parliament Buildings, 100 tons; lump coal, Government House, 110 tons; lump coal, Court-house, 60 tons; lump coal, Provincial Normal School, 300 tons.

"3. No coal was specified, but tenderers were requested to state name of mine from which coal was to be supplied.

"4. The Victoria Wood & Coal Co.; J. Kingham & Co.; Mackay & Gillespie, Ltd.; W. Walker & Son; R. Hall & Sons; The Victoria Fuel Co.

"5. Victoria Wood & Coal Co., Parliament Buildings, lump \$10.60, nut \$10.35; Normal School, lump \$10.80; Court-house, lump \$10.60; Government House, lump \$10.60; J. Kingham

& Co., Parliament Buildings, lump \$11.70, nut \$10.70; Normal School, lump \$11.70; Court-house, lump \$11.40; Government House, lump \$11.50; Mackay & Gillespie, Parliament Buildings, lump \$11.25, nut \$10.65; Normal School, lump \$11.50; Court-house, lump \$11.25; Government House, lump \$11.25; W. Walker & Son, Parliament Buildings, lump \$11.45, nut \$10.70; Normal School, lump \$11.65; Court-house, lump \$11.45; Government House, lump \$11.45; R. Hall & Sons, Parliament Buildings, lump \$11.40, nut \$10.75; Normal School, lump \$11.50; Court-house, \$11.40; Government House, lump \$11.45; Victoria Fuel Co., Parliament Buildings, lump \$11.45, nut \$10.50; Normal School, lump \$11.75; Court-house, lump \$11.45; Government House, lump \$11.45.

"6. Vancouver Island coal-mines, with the exception of the Victoria Wood & Coal Co., who tendered on Princeton coal.

"7. Court-house, August 31st, 1922; Government House, August 31st, 1922; Parliament Buildings, August 18th, 1922; Normal School, August 2nd, 1922.

"8. Mackay & Gillespie, Parliament Buildings, Court-house, and Government House; R. Hall & Sons, Normal School."

Mr. *Hunter* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:—

1. The number of Government Liquor Stores, where situated, the names of Vendor and Assistant Vendor, with salary of each so employed?
2. The revenue received from each store since opening from liquor sales, also revenue from permits up to September 30th, 1922?
3. Amounts paid to each city, town, or municipality since July, 1921, to date, with dates of said payments?
4. The names of all employees discharged and reason for same?
5. The number and names of returned men employed by the Board and positions?

The Hon. Mr. *Manson* replied as follows:—

"1. Sixty-three. Place, Vendor and salary per month, and Assistant and salary per month as follows:—

Abbotsford; Rowley, J. M., \$175; no Assistant.
 Alberni; Sharpe, W., \$175; no Assistant.
 Ashcroft; McKay, R. J., \$175; no Assistant.
 Atlin; Williams, J., \$175; no Assistant.
 Anyox; Brown, F. F., \$175; Esplin, J. W., \$135.
 Campbell River; McCuaig, R., \$175; no Assistant.
 Chilliwack; Laughlin, H. A., \$175; Pelly, A. F., \$135.
 Courtenay; Stewart, H. McG., \$175; Herd, A. H., \$135.
 Cranbrook; Santo, W. S., \$175; Gougeon, P. J., \$135.
 Cumberland; Thomson, R., \$175; no Assistant.
 Coquitlam; Millard, A. R., \$175; no Assistant.
 Coalmont; Fraser, John K., \$175; no Assistant.
 Duncan; Hayward, H. B., \$175; no Assistant.
 Fernie; McLean, J., \$175; Duthie, W. E., \$150.
 Grand Forks; Campbell, R., \$175; no Assistant.
 Golden; Greene, J. C., \$175; Langlands, A. M., \$135.
 Greenwood; Pope, E., \$175; no Assistant.
 Invermere; Stockdale, F. C., \$175; no Assistant.
 Kamloops; McGill, N. A., \$175; Herod, T. M., \$150.
 Kaslo; Abey, F. T., \$175; no Assistant.
 Kelowna; Calder, W. B. M., \$175; Gray, S., \$135.
 Keremeos; Baldwin, G., \$175; no Assistant.
 Ladner; McCrae, E. A., \$175; no Assistant.
 Ladysmith; Fraser, W. G., \$175; no Assistant.
 Langley Prairie; Kells, D. W., \$175; no Assistant.
 Lillooet; Hurley, D., \$175; no Assistant.
 Merritt; Sowerby, A. E., \$175; Eastwood, I., \$135.
 McBride; Veale, R. S., \$175; no Assistant.
 Mission; Michie, J., \$175; no Assistant.

Michel; Ball, B., \$175; no Assistant.
 Nanaimo; Gibson, E. C., \$175; Proctor, A. D., \$150.
 Nelson; Hume, J. F., \$175; Gilebrist, C. M., \$150.
 New Westminster; McMurphy, J. P., \$175; Vincent, J. W., \$150.
 North Vancouver; Wilks, J., \$175; McLagan, J. C., \$135.
 Ocean Falls; Hally, A. M., \$175; no Assistant.
 Penticton; Roe, F. L., \$175; MacKirdy, D. G., \$135.
 Powell River; Watson, C., \$175; no Assistant.
 Pouce Coupe; Hart, G., \$175; no Assistant.
 Prince George; Sutherland, G., \$175; Thomson, E., \$135.
 Prince Rupert; Millar, W., \$175; Youngman, C. L., \$150.
 Princeton; Forsythe, J. B., \$175; no Assistant.
 Quesnel; Donnelly, J. J., \$175; no Assistant.
 Revelstoke; Macdonnell, A. J., \$175; Macdonald, D. J., \$135.
 Rossland; Collis, A. T., \$175; no Assistant.
 Salmon Arm; Woodbridge, C. E., \$175; no Assistant.
 Sidney; Smith, F. E. W., \$175; no Assistant.
 South Westminster; Wise, John W., \$125; no Assistant.
 Smithers; Dawson, W. J., \$175; no Assistant.
 Stewart; Noble, W., \$175; no Assistant.
 Terrace; McKinnon, D. A., \$175; no Assistant.
 Trail; Caldicott, H. C., \$175; Doubt, W. F., \$135.
 Vancouver—
 808 Pender Street West; Lavan, A. K., \$175; Collett, T., \$135.
 219 Hastings Street East; Merritt, C. L., \$175; Dickson, A. D., \$150.
 758 Powell Street; MacDonald, D. A., \$175; Purcell, G., \$150.
 602 Robson Street; Gordon, R. H., \$175; Nett, M. J., \$150.
 65 Cordova Street East; Flanagan, F., \$175; Patterson, C. B., \$150.
 547 Beatty Street; Sadler, G. H., \$175; Fowler, J. H., \$150.
 1213 Granville Street; Dawson, J. T., \$175; Smedley, G., \$150.
 Vernon; Brazier, A. G., \$175; Wright, U. T., \$135.
 Victoria—
 709 Johnson Street; Ashwell, A. D., \$175; Thompsett, H., \$150.
 Humboldt Street; Youngs, E. G., \$175; McLennan, D., \$135.
 Williams Lake; Slater, W. H., \$175; no Assistant.
 Yahk; Pearron, L., \$175; no Assistant.

"2. Revenue derived from each store by liquor sales from date of opening to September 30th, 1922, as follows: Abbotsford, \$69,641.90; Ashcroft, \$57,904.70; Atlin, \$24,310.40; Anyox, \$106,706.70; Chilliwack, \$99,445.10; Coquitlam, \$29,594.18; Courtenay, \$122,291.73; Cranbrook, \$191,505.94; Cumberland, \$99,624; Campbell River, \$24,291.45; Duncan, \$78,377.95; Fernie, \$215,986.60; Golden, \$84,052.80; Grand Forks, \$41,974.40; Greenwood, \$42,942.05; Invermere, \$4,288.35; Kamloops, \$287,546.51; Kaslo, \$11,364.30; Kelowna, \$161,681.25; Keremeos, \$23,856.50; Ladner, \$40,964.90; Ladysmith, \$82,237; Langley Prairie, \$413.25; Lillooet, \$39,596.15; Merritt, \$104,345.37; McBride, \$2,980.85; Michel, \$84,949.75; Mission, \$52,283.30; Nanaimo, \$328,329.60; Nelson, \$314,982.65; North Vancouver, \$129,928.18; New Westminster, \$315,937.45; Penticton, \$171,594.76; Port Alberni, \$71,676.83; Pouce Coupe, \$34,534.45; Prince George, \$222,479.25; Prince Rupert, \$355,660.15; Princeton, \$99,836.10; Powell River, \$7,368.10; Quesnel, \$60,173.70; Revelstoke, \$164,754.19; Rossland, \$60,506.25; Salmon Arm, \$19,096.20; Sidney, \$4,503.90; Smithers, \$76,538.14; South Westminster, \$9,997; Stewart, \$69,565.35; Terrace, \$12,105.36; Trail, \$105,339.55; Vernon, \$206,880.15; Williams Lake, \$68,502.90; Yahk, \$15,729.15; Victoria, Johnson Street, \$913,780.75; Victoria, Yates Street (closed), \$42,360.55; Victoria, Humboldt Street, \$45,249; Vancouver, Beatty Street, \$233,499.38; Vancouver, Cordova Street, \$610,944.30; Vancouver, Granville Street, \$388,248.95; Vancouver, Hastings Street, \$988,256.83; Vancouver, Pender Street, \$1,076,146.43; Vancouver, Powell Street, \$288,167.40; Vancouver, Robson Street, \$904,658.86; Telegraph Creek, \$2,470.75. Revenue derived from permits, period June 15th, 1921, to September 30th, 1922, \$488,604.50.

"3. See page D 64 of the first Annual Report of the Liquor Control Board tabled October 31st, 1922. Dates of payment: As to \$200,000, December 19th, 1921; as to \$600,000, July 25th, 1922.

"4. Not deemed advisable in public interest to furnish information requested.

"5. Not deemed advisable to publish names and positions of returned men in employ of the Liquor Control Board. As of September 30th, 1922, there were 126 returned men in such employ."

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. to-morrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.07 p.m.

Friday, 17th November, 1922.

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Mr. Speaker.

The Hon. the Minister of Finance presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Administrator, which read as follows:—

J. A. MACDONALD,
Administrator.

The Administrator transmits herewith—

Estimates of sums required for the service of the Province for the fiscal year ending 31st March, 1924;

Supplementary Estimates of Expenditure for the financial year ending 31st March, 1923;

Schedule A. Sums granted to His Majesty to make good certain Sums expended for the

Public Service for the period ended 31st March, 1922, and to indemnify the several

Officers and Persons for making such Expenditures;

and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,

November 17th, 1922.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Estimates accompanying the same, be referred to the Committee of Supply.

On the motion of the Hon. the Premier, the House proceeded to the Orders of the Day.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

The Hon. the Minister of Finance moved, seconded by the Hon. the Premier, "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the Chair."

A debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. Jones, adjourned to the next sitting.

The Hon. Dr. Sutherland presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Administrator, which read as follows:—

J. A. MACDONALD,
Administrator.

The Administrator transmits herewith a Bill intituled "An Act to amend and consolidate the 'Boilers Inspection Act,'" and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,

November 17th, 1922.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 37) intituled "An Act to amend and consolidate the 'Boilers Inspection Act,'" a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
 Bill introduced and read a first time.
 Second reading at the next sitting

The Hon. Dr. *MacLean* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Administrator, which read as follows:—

J. A. MACDONALD,
Administrator.

The Administrator transmits herewith a Bill intituled "An Act to consolidate and amend the 'Public Schools Act,'" and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
November 16th, 1922.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 36) intituled "An Act to consolidate and amend the 'Public Schools Act,'" a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
 Bill introduced and read a first time.
 Second reading at the next sitting.

Bill (No. 11) intituled "An Act to provide for the Maintenance of Children of Unmarried Parents" was again committed.

Progress reported.
 Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Bill (No. 18) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Dyking Assessments Adjustment Act, 1905'" was again committed.

Reported complete with amendments.
 Report to be considered at the next sitting.

Bill (No. 14) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Local Improvement Act'" was committed.

Reported complete without amendment.
 Report to be considered at the next sitting.

Bill (No. 24) intituled "An Act to make Uniform the Law respecting Conditions in Policies of Automobile Insurance" was committed.

Reported complete without amendment.
 Report to be considered at the next sitting.

Bill (No. 12) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Sale of Goods Act'" was committed.

Reported complete without amendment.
 Report to be considered at the next sitting.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the second reading of Bill (No. 8) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Fire Marshal Act.'"

Bill read a second time.
 To be committed at the next sitting.

Bill (No. 35) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Water Act, 1914'" was read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting.

Bill (No. 50) intituled "The Shaughnessy Heights Building Restriction Act, 1922" was read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting.

Mr. *Anderson* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands the following questions:—

1. What is the total acreage of land purchased by the present Provincial Government, known as the Southern Okanagan Irrigation Project?
2. What was the purchase price paid?
3. From whom were such lands purchased? If from an incorporated company, who were the directors of such company at the time of purchase?
4. Was any estimate or estimates made of the amount of money required to completely develop the irrigable portion of these lands by any engineer in the employ of the Government before purchase was made?
5. Who made the estimate or estimates (if any) referred to in question 4, and what were the total amounts of such estimates and the dates of same?
6. What other estimates (if any) were submitted to the Government prior to purchase, and from whom were such estimates obtained, and what are the names of the engineers who made same, and who paid such engineers for these estimates?
7. Has a complete classification of the irrigable and arable acreages been made?
8. If so, when and what are the amounts and types of such classification?
9. What is the total arable and irrigable acreage?
10. What is the total cost of all development-work done to November 1st, 1922, including interest during construction?
11. What is the estimated amount required to complete the development-work necessary before all the land is sold?
12. What is the area allocated for townsite purposes?
13. What is the total estimated amount which will be received from the sale of such townsites?

The Hon. Mr. *Pattullo* replied as follows:—

- "1. 22,000.
- "2. \$350,000.
- "3. Southern Okanagan Land Company. Directors: W. T. Shatford, Hon. L. W. Shatford, Robert Martin, Jas. Robinson, H. P. Eckardt, R. B. White, S. C. Smith, F. W. Padmore, R. Russell, V. C. Haynes, and Geo. F. Guernsey.
- "4. Yes.
- "5. Wm. Young; \$935,000 for 13,960 acres; September 13th, 1918.
- "6. Estimates were submitted by Southern Okanagan Land Company, made by Elwood Mead, F. H. Latimer, and J. C. Dufresne. Said engineers were presumably paid by the company.
- "7. No.
- "8. Answered by No. 7.
- "9. 12,000, plus 1,700 acres in Myers Flat region, for which latter area the water-supply is problematical.
- "10. To October 1st, 1922, \$2,233,539.60; interest, approximately \$237,000.
- "11. \$1,100,000.
- "12. About 380 acres.
- "13. Will depend upon conditions."

Mr. *Pearson* asked the Hon. the Minister of Public Works the following questions:—

1. Are there any bridges over navigable waters in this Province not controlled and maintained by this Government?
2. If so, state location of such bridge or bridges?

The Hon. Dr. *Sutherland* replied as follows:—

- "1. Bridges crossing navigable waters are under the jurisdiction of the Federal Government. The Department only keeps a record of bridges on which Provincial money has been expended.
- "2. Answered by No. 1."

Mr. *Anderson* asked the Hon. the Minister of Public Works the following questions:—

1. What is the mileage of roads in each electoral district, respectively located: (a) In Provincial territory; (b) in municipal territory (roads receiving Provincial or Federal assistance)?

2. What was the total amount expended in each electoral district, respectively, for the fiscal year ending March 31st, 1922; such totals to include expenditures under legislative appropriations, B.C. Highway Loan Acts, and Federal aid?

The Hon. Dr. *Sutherland* replied as follows:—

"1 and 2. Answered as follows:—

District.	Provincial Territory (Unorganized) Mileage.	Municipal Territory (Organized) Mileage.	Total Mileage.	Expenditure.
Alberni	582.50	3.21	587.71	\$ 52,486 44
Atlin	300.75	300.75	69,885 42
Cariboo	1,333.50	1,333.50	97,812 10
Chilliwack	35.60	48.85	84.45	26,767 46
Columbia	455.85	455.85	42,303 44
Comox	432.75	2.45	435.20	68,243 39
Cowichan	190.00	14.00	204.00	39,511 30
Cranbrook	407.94	407.94	98,031 12
Delta	39.20	39.20	343,895 47
Dewdney	76.22	47.80	124.02	64,841 35
Esquimalt	348.45	348.45	90,509 21
Fernie	428.80	428.80	61,269 81
Fort George	1,067.00	1,067.00	159,556 29
Grand Forks	283.80	283.80	255,768 21
Greenwood	392.00	2.00	394.00	24,149 12
Islands	293.88	293.88	73,615 78
Kamloops	1,439.00	25.00	1,464.00	116,630 10
Kaslo	256.00	256.00	54,870 00
Lillooet	1,851.00	1,851.00	54,800 19
Nanaimo	57.00	57.00	110,784 11
Nelson	5.84	5.84	2,029 91
Newcastle	235.00	0.50	235.50	21,520 00
New Westminster ..	0.94	0.13	1.07	1,001 28
North Okanagan ...	774.20	34.40	808.60	109,784 63
North Vancouver ...	221.87	221.87	19,337 51
Omineca	820.50	820.50	96,384 72
Prince Rupert	353.90	353.90	68,162 16
Revelstoke	259.95	259.95	230,851 09
Richmond	22.80	22.80	77,917 43
Rossland	57.20	57.20	56,163 55
Saanich	31.50	31.50	5,792 17
Similkameen	633.93	6.30	640.23	104,854 05
Slocan	336.25	336.25	48,643 18
South Okanagan ...	541.75	15.00	556.75	46,149 68
South Vancouver	22.80	22.80	2,558 31
Trail	413.00	413.00	82,315 65
Yale	793.00	6.00	799.00	78,092 28 "

Mr. *Bowser* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

1. What was the net debt of the Province on March 31st, 1916?

2. What was the net debt of the Province on November 1st, 1922?

3. What amounts of money have been borrowed by the present Government since they assumed office up to November 1st, 1922?

4. What amount is owing to the Canadian Bank of Commerce for money borrowed on Treasury bills, giving particulars of same?

5. When do these bills fall due?

6. Has any money been borrowed by the present Government from any other bank or from any other source on Treasury bills?

7. What amount is still available for borrowing purposes under the various "Loan Acts" passed by the Legislature, giving particulars?

The Hon. Mr. *Hart* replied as follows:—

" 1. Gross debt	\$21,153,146 00
<i>Less</i> sinking funds, collateral, and revenue-producing debt	3,421,712 72

Net debt	\$17,732,433 28
----------------	-----------------

" 2. Gross debt	\$66,159,961 00
<i>Less</i> sinking funds, collateral, and revenue-producing debt	28,562,613 45

Net debt	\$37,597,347 55
----------------	-----------------

" 3. In addition to the amounts given in answers printed in the Journals of the Legislative Assembly for 1920 (pages 38 and 39), 1921 (pages 32 and 33), and 1921 (Second Session) (pages 44 and 45), the following amounts have been borrowed:—

Loan Act, 1921 (Second Session)	\$ 1,500,000 00
Pacific Great Eastern Loan Act, 1921	125,000 00
Loan Act, 1921	430,000 00
B.C. Highway Act, 1920	250,000 00
Loan Act, 1920	75,000 00

Total	\$ 2,380,000 00
-------------	-----------------

" 4. \$1,293,000, as under:—

Pacific Great Eastern Railway Co. (Loan Act, 1921)	\$ 318,000 00
Pacific Great Eastern Railway Co. (Loan Act, 1920)	25,000 00
B.C. Highways (Loan Act, 1920)	250,000 00
Land Settlement Board (Loan Act, 1917)	145,000 00
University of British Columbia (Loan Act, 1920)	100,000 00
Forest Protection (Loan Act, 1921)	300,000 00
B.C. Electric, change of road (Loan Act, 1921)	50,000 00
Conservation of irrigation (Loan Act, 1921)	50,000 00
Soldiers' Land Act (Loan Act, 1921)	30,000 00
Department of Industries (Loan Act, 1919)	25,000 00

" 5. January 2nd, 1923.

" 6. No.

" 7. B.C. University (Loan Act, 1920)	\$ 2,900,000 00
B.C. Highway Act (Loan Act, 1920)	1,750,000 00
P.G.E. Construction (Loan Act, 1921)	745,900 00
Department of Industries (Loan Acts, 1919-20)	1,975,000 00
Soldiers' Land Act (Loan Act, 1921)	14,490 00
Conservation Fund (Loan Act, 1921)	385,000 00
B.C. Electric, change in road (Loan Act, 1921)	185,000 00
Consolidated Revenue (Loan Act, 1921)	3,500,000 00
Land Settlement Board (Loan Act, 1921)	500,000 00

Total	\$11,955,390 00"
-------------	------------------

Mr. *Pooley* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

1. Did F. C. Wade, the Agent-General at London, make a trip to this Province in 1920?
2. If so, what was the object of his visit to British Columbia?
3. Did he draw full salary while absent from London?

4. How long was he away from London? .

5. Did the Government pay the transportation and expenses of his trip from London to British Columbia and back?

6. If so, how much?

The Hon. Mr. *Oliver* replied as follows:—

"1. Yes.

"2. Leave of absence on account of ill-health.

"3. Yes.

"4. Nine weeks.

"5. No.

"6. Answered by No. 5."

Mr. *Poolcy* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

1. Did F. C. Wade, the Agent-General at London, make a trip to this Province in 1922?

2. If so, what was the object of his visit to British Columbia?

3. Did he draw full salary while absent from London?

4. How long was he away from London?

5. Did the Government pay the transportation and expenses of his trip from London to British Columbia and back?

6. If so, how much?

The Hon. Mr. *Oliver* replied as follows:—

"1. Yes.

"2. Departmental business.

"3. Yes.

"4. Approximately three months.

"5. Yes.

"6. \$1,500. This includes expenses visiting Toronto, Ottawa, and the Kootenays; \$300 was also allowed for trip to Central British Columbia and Vancouver Island points."

Mr. W. A. *McKenzie* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

1. On what date did W. A. Blair resign the secretaryship in the Agent-General's Office in London, England?

2. Was any retiring allowance granted said W. A. Blair?

3. If so, what amount was allowed?

4. Were the travelling expenses for himself and his family paid from London, England, to Vancouver, B.C.; and, if so, what amount was allowed?

5. What reasons did Mr. Blair advance for resigning this position?

The Hon. Mr. *Oliver* replied as follows:—

"1. October 1st, 1921.

"2. Yes.

"3. \$975, equivalent to three months' salary.

"4. Yes; \$1,611.09.

"5. Ill-health."

Mr. *Catherwood* asked the Hon. the Minister of Public Works the following questions:—

1. What was the cost of making the Matsqui Dyke at Riverside suitable for traffic to and from the Mission-Matsqui Ferry?

2. To what account or vote was this charged?

3. What was the cost of repairing the ferry approach on the Matsqui side of above ferry landing during the present year?

The Hon. Dr. *Sutherland* replied as follows:—

"1. \$3,790.78.

"2. Vote 248.

"3. \$3,564.09."

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. on Monday next.

And then the House adjourned at 4.40 p.m.

Monday, 20th November, 1922.

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Mr. Speaker.

The Hon. Mr. *Pattullo* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Administrator, which read as follows:—

J. A. MACDONALD,
Administrator.

The Administrator transmits herewith a Bill intituled "An Act to provide Relief in Relation to certain Licences and Leases under the 'Coal and Petroleum Act,'" and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
November 20th, 1922.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 38) intituled "An Act to provide Relief in Relation to certain Licences and Leases under the 'Coal and Petroleum Act,'" a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting.

The Hon. Mr. *Manson* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Administrator, which read as follows:—

J. A. MACDONALD,
Administrator.

The Administrator transmits herewith a Bill intituled "An Act to amend the 'Factories Act,'" and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
November 20th, 1922.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 39) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Factories Act,'" a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting.

The Hon. Mr. *Manson* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Administrator, which read as follows:—

J. A. MACDONALD,
Administrator.

The Administrator transmits herewith a Bill intituled "An Act to amend the 'Village Municipalities Act,'" and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
November 20th, 1922.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 40) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Village Municipalities Act,'" a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion moved by the Hon. Mr. *Sloan* on November 10th, as follows:—

Whereas the "Immigration Act" of Canada and regulations thereunder have failed to stem the tide of Asiatic immigration into Canada:

And whereas the industrial and economic life of Canada, and particularly of the Province of British Columbia, is threatened by competition forced by a growing foreign population with a lower standard of living than that necessary for the well-being of Anglo-Saxon civilization:

And whereas there is a strong and compelling sentiment developing in Canada, especially marked in the Province of British Columbia, at present most affected, that effective protective measures must be adopted:

Therefore be it Resolved, That this Legislative Assembly places itself on record as being in favour of the enactment of such amendment to the "Immigration Act" of Canada as is necessary to completely prohibit Asiatic immigration into Canada.

And be it further Resolved, That an humble Address be presented to His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, praying that a copy of the Resolution hereinbefore set out be transmitted to the Hon. the Secretary of State or other proper official at Ottawa.

The Resolution was carried on the following vote:—

YEAS—37.

Messieurs

<i>Hanes,</i>	<i>Clarihue,</i>	<i>Perry,</i>	<i>Henniger,</i>
<i>Neelands,</i>	<i>Jackson,</i>	<i>Yorston,</i>	<i>Kergin,</i>
<i>Guthrie,</i>	<i>Hunter,</i>	<i>Anderson,</i>	<i>Mackenzie, I. A.,</i>
<i>Uphill,</i>	<i>Hinchliffe,</i>	<i>Paterson,</i>	<i>Buckham,</i>
<i>Wallinger,</i>	<i>Lister,</i>	<i>Hart,</i>	<i>Smith, Mrs.</i>
<i>McRae,</i>	<i>McKenzie, W. A.,</i>	<i>Oliver,</i>	<i>Barrow,</i>
<i>Esling,</i>	<i>Jones,</i>	<i>Manson,</i>	<i>Sutherland,</i>
<i>Schofield,</i>	<i>Bowser,</i>	<i>Sloan,</i>	<i>Pattullo,</i>
<i>Duncan,</i>	<i>Pooley.</i>	<i>Campbell,</i>	<i>MacLean.</i>
<i>Menzies,</i>			

On the motion of the Hon. Mr. *Oliver*, seconded by Mr. *Bowser*, it was *Resolved*,—

That the names of those absent from the House on the motion being put be recorded in to-day's Votes and Proceedings.

The following are the names of the Members who were so absent: Messieurs *Farris, K. C. MacDonald, Ramsay, Whiteside, A. McDonald, Pearson, Catherwood,* and *Burde.*

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion moved by Mr. *Esling* on 13th instant, as follows:—

That an Order of this House be granted for a Return of all correspondence passing between the Government and (a) the representative of Messrs. Vickers, Limited; (b) Mr. Landahl, dealing with the matter of the establishing of the iron and steel industry in the Province.

The House divided, and the motion was negatived on the following division:—

YEAS—15.

Messieurs

<i>Hanes,</i>	<i>Wallinger,</i>	<i>Hunter,</i>	<i>Jones,</i>
<i>Neelands,</i>	<i>McRae,</i>	<i>Hinchliffe,</i>	<i>Bowser,</i>
<i>Guthrie,</i>	<i>Esling,</i>	<i>Lister,</i>	<i>Pooley.</i>
<i>Uphill,</i>	<i>Schofield,</i>	<i>McKenzie, W. A.,</i>	

NAYS—22.

Messieurs

<i>Duncan,</i>	<i>Anderson,</i>	<i>Campbell,</i>	<i>Mrs. Smith,</i>
<i>Menzies,</i>	<i>Paterson,</i>	<i>Henniger,</i>	<i>Barrow,</i>
<i>Clearihue,</i>	<i>Hart,</i>	<i>Kergin,</i>	<i>Sutherland,</i>
<i>Jackson,</i>	<i>Oliver,</i>	<i>Mackenzie, I. A.</i>	<i>Pattullo.</i>
<i>Perry,</i>	<i>Manson,</i>	<i>Buckham,</i>	<i>MacLean.</i>
<i>Yorston,</i>	<i>Stoan,</i>		

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion moved by Mr. *Pooley* on 16th instant, as follows:—

That Colonel Napier be called and give evidence before the Public Accounts Committee, touching his knowledge pertaining to the accounts of the Liquor Control Board, and more especially to the matters under the headings of Breakage, Shortage, Burglary and Theft, and Administration Salaries.

And on the amendment thereto moved by the Hon. Mr. *Oliver* on the same day, as follows:—

That all the words of the Resolution after the first word "That" be struck out, and the following words be substituted therefor: "the Public Accounts Committee be instructed that it possesses all necessary power to procure the attendance of any witness whose evidence is necessary to the inquiry into any matter referred to it by this House, but that it has no power to inquire into any matter not so referred; and, further, that no report of Colonel Ross Napier made to the Premier or any other member of the Executive Council has been referred to it, nor are the contents of any such report before the Committee for inquiry, nor can it so inquire unless instructed by Resolution of this House."

On the motion of Mr. *I. A. Mackenzie*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion moved by Mr. *Esling* on 16th instant, as follows:—

That an Order of the House be granted for a Return of correspondence between the Government and the Chief Engineer or the General Manager of the Pacific Great Eastern Railway, concerning recommendation as to a reduction of operating expenses on the above-mentioned road, since the receipt by the Government of the Sullivan report.

Motion agreed to.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the Chair" for the purpose of going into Committee of Supply.

On the motion of Mr. *Bowser*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting.

Bill (No. 8) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Fire Marshal Act'" was committed. Progress reported.

Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the second reading of Bill (No. 3) intituled "An Act respecting Legitimation by Subsequent Marriage."

Bill read a second time.

To be committed at the next sitting.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the second reading of Bill (No. 17) intituled "An Act to provide for the Inspection and Regulation of Premises and Equipment for the Generation, Transmission, Supply, or Use of Electrical Energy."

Bill read a second time.

To be committed at the next sitting.

Bill (No. 35) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Water Act, 1914'" was committed.

Progress reported.

Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The following Bills were read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting:—

Bill (No. 21) intituled "An Act to amend the 'Pound District Act.'"

Bill (No. 37) intituled "An Act to amend and consolidate the 'Boilers Inspection Act.'"

Bill (No. 36) intituled "An Act to consolidate and amend the 'Public Schools Act.'"

Mr. *Uphill* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

1. What was the date A. N. Mouat entered the service of the Government?
2. What was the amount paid him as salary for the first year?
3. Did the Government pay the travelling expenses of A. N. Mouat and family on removal from Alberta to this Province?
4. If so, what was the amount?
5. What salary is being paid A. N. Mouat for 1922?

The Hon. Mr. *Hart* replied as follows:—

"1. August 1st, 1917.

"2. \$4,466.64.

"3. No.

"4. Answered by No. 3.

"5. \$6,000."

Mr. *Pooley* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:—

1. What amount has been received by the Province under the heading of "Fines collected" under the "Government Liquor Act" covering the period from June 15th, 1921, to September 30th, 1922?
2. What amount has been received by the Province under the heading of "Estreated Bail" under the "Government Liquor Act" covering the same period?

The Hon. Mr. *Manson* replied as follows:—

"1 and 2. Fines and estreated bail under the 'Government Liquor Act' are not segregated, but are included under the general heading of 'Court fees and fines.' It is therefore impossible to answer this question in its present form."

Mr. *Wallinger* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

1. What sum of money was paid by the Government to the Hon. T. D. Pattullo, Minister of Lands, for expenses on his trip to Eastern Canada during the spring of 1922?
2. For what purpose was the trip undertaken?

The Hon. Mr. *Hart* replied as follows:—

"1. \$1,350.

"2. Indian reserves, immigration, and other matters."

Mr. *Burde* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following questions:—

1. Has Miss Louise A. DeW. Moore been employed by the Victoria Board of School Trustees as a teacher for any period during the past five years?

2. If so, why has her name not appeared in reports of the Department of Education as a member of the Victoria School staff?

3. What is the character of the certificate held by her? When was it granted to her and what qualifications did she possess?

4. Did Miss Moore pass any departmental qualifying examination to determine her academic qualifications?

5. Does she hold a Provincial Normal School certificate? If not, why has she not been required to comply with the same requirements as other teachers?

6. At what salary was she first engaged and what salary does she now receive?

7. Will the Department of Education grant similar certificates to that held by Miss Moore to all applicants having equivalent qualifications?

The Hon. Dr. *MacLean* replied as follows:—

"1. Yes; regularly employed since February, 1918.

"2. Her name does appear in the list of Victoria High School teachers in the Department's report for 1920-21 and will appear again in the report for 1921-22.

"3. She was granted a temporary certificate for 1918 and for 1919 and a certificate as teacher of commercial subjects in 1920. Her qualifications are as follows: (a) Intermediate and senior certificate of the Maritime Business College, Halifax; (b) diploma (post-graduate) of the Business Educators' Association of Canada; (c) teacher's certificate (Isaac Pitman & Sons); (d) several years' practical experience as book-keeper and stenographer.

"4. No.

"5. No. Commercial teachers' certificates obtained in other Provinces are accepted *pro tanto* by this Department.

"6. At rate of \$1,020 per annum; present salary, \$1,995 per annum.

"7. No. The requirements for a commercial teacher's certificate have been materially raised during the present year."

Mr. *Neelands* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following questions:—

1. What was the average enrolment of pupils in the public schools of the Province for the year ending June 30th, 1922?

2. What was the enrolment in the high schools for the same period?

3. How many students were enrolled at the University of British Columbia for the academic year 1921-22?

4. What percentage of the pupils finishing the public school course enter high school?

5. What percentage of students entering high school finish the three-year course?

6. What percentage of the children entering school at the age of 6 years reach the University?

The Hon. Dr. *MacLean* replied as follows:—

"1. Enrolment for 1921-22 of pupils in public schools (including high schools), 91,919.

"2. Enrolment in high schools, 8,634.

"3. Undergraduates and graduates, 1,014; short courses, 217; total, 1,231.

"4. Approximately 70 per cent.

"5. Approximately 40 per cent.

"6. Approximately 11 per cent. qualify for admission and about 4½ per cent. enter the University."

Mr. W. A. *McKenzie* asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture the following questions:—

1. Is C. E. Whitney-Griffiths in the employ of the Department of Agriculture?

2. If so, in what capacity?

3. What salary and other allowances is he in receipt of?

4. What amount of money has been paid C. E. Whitney-Griffiths in salary and other expenses during the years 1917, 1918, 1919, 1920, 1921, and 1922?

The Hon. Mr. *Barrow* replied as follows:—

"1. No.

"2. Answered by No. 1.

"3. Is paid travelling expenses and per diem allowance when engaged in extension and educational work in connection with Farmers' Institutes.

"4. For fiscal year 1917-18, no payments made; for fiscal year 1918-19, expenses \$135.56; for fiscal year 1919-20, per diem allowance \$117.50, expenses \$827.66; for fiscal year 1920-21, per diem allowance \$400, expenses \$744.66; for fiscal year 1921-22, per diem allowance \$605, expenses \$918.15."

Mr. *Jones* asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture the following questions:—

1. To whom was the contract given for the reclamation of the first unit of Sumas Lake?
2. What was the amount of the accepted tender for this work?
3. By whom is the work being carried on at present?
4. If contractors have been changed, what were the reasons?
5. What has been the total sum paid out on reclamation of Sumas Lake to November 1st, 1922?
6. What sum has been paid by the Land Settlement Board for machinery?
7. Has this amount been charged to the contractors?
8. If so, what is the balance still due from said contractors on account of said machinery?

The Hon. Mr. *Barrow* replied as follows:—

"1. Marsh Construction Company, Limited.

"2. \$1,182,063.99. This was a unit bid based on Engineer's estimate, and subject to increase or decrease, according to actual quantities of material moved.

"3. Marsh Construction Company, Limited.

"4. Contractors have not been changed.

"5. \$1,439,195.72. Marsh Construction Company on original contract, \$1,056,088.03; other expenditures (Sumas Dam, McGillivray Creek pumping plant, engineering, purchase of right-of-way, debts of former Commissioners, etc.), \$383,107.69.

"6. \$45,000.

"7. Yes.

"8. Paid in full."

Mr. *Bowser* asked the Hon. the Minister of Railways the following questions:—

1. Was A. F. Proctor appointed Chief Engineer of the Railway Department?
2. If so, when?
3. What salary was the said Proctor receiving as Chief Engineer?
4. Has said Proctor resigned as Chief Engineer of the Railway Department?
5. If so, when?
6. Has the resignation of said Proctor been accepted by the Government?
7. If so, what was the date of acceptance of the resignation?

The Hon. Dr. *MacLean* replied as follows:—

"1. Yes.

"2. April 1st, 1918. Order in Council No. 1741.

"3. \$300 per month at appointment. Later increased to \$375.

"4. Yes.

"5. October 20th, 1922.

"6. Yes.

"7. As of October 31st, 1922."

Mr. *Lister* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

1. Is W. A. McAdam now in the employment of the Department of Finance?
2. If so, in what capacity?
3. What salary is he in receipt of?
4. Was his original appointment or promotion approved of by the Civil Service Commission?
5. How long has the said McAdam been in the service of the Department?

The Hon. Mr. *Hart* replied as follows:—

"1. Yes.

"2. Assistant Deputy Minister of Finance.

"3. \$225 per month.

"4. Original appointment was not under the Civil Service Commissioner; appointment to present position approved of by the Commissioner.

"5. Two years."

Mr. *Wallinger* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

1. What length of time was the Hon. Minister of Lands absent in Europe on his recent trip?

2. What was the total expense of this trip taken by the Hon. Minister of Lands?

3. For what purpose was the trip undertaken?

The Hon. Mr. *Hart* replied as follows:—

"1. About three and a half months.

"2. Account not yet rendered.

"3. Immigration and other matters."

Mr. *Menzies* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following questions:—

1. Have any complaints been received by the Department of Education that the religious beliefs of pupils attending any of the high schools of the Province are being detrimentally influenced by the teaching of history in the Matriculation classes? If so, what school has been concerned with such complaint?

2. Does the Department consider that the text-book in use lends itself to such abuse?

3. Has complaint been made by any School Board, on its own behalf or on behalf of others, that the text-book is objectionable from a religious standpoint? If so, what School Board has been concerned in such complaint?

4. Does the Department of Education intend to discontinue the use of such text-book in the High School Matriculation classes?

The Hon. Dr. *MacLean* replied as follows:—

"1. Yes; Victoria High School.

"2. No.

"3. The Secretary of the Victoria School Board forwarded a copy of a letter which it received complaining of the text-book in matriculation history and the result of instruction given in that subject in the High School.

"4. The book in use was prescribed by the University for matriculation candidates and was accepted by the Department. Other histories are being reviewed by the University and the Department with a view to the selection and authorization of a new book."

Mr. *Hanes* asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture the following questions:—

1. Is it the intention of the Government to spend \$75,000 or other sum to improve the Vedder River and Chilliwack River?

2. If so, what portion of the cost will be charged to the Sumas Lake reclamation scheme?

3. Has the cost been estimated by engineers?

4. Is it the intention of the Government to break up or remove the log-jam on the Chilliwack River?

5. If so, will it be in the interest of the safety of the Sumas Lake reclamation scheme?

The Hon. Mr. *Barrow* replied as follows:—

"1. Yes; to protect bridge at Vedder Crossing and to remove log-jams in Vedder River between Vedder Crossing and B.C.E.R. bridge to protect Provincial Highway, private property, and Sumas reclamation scheme, under provisions of 'River-bank Protection Act.'

"2. Twenty-five per cent. to Sumas scheme, 25 per cent. to property-owners benefited, and 50 per cent. to Public Works Department for protecting roads and bridge.

"3. Yes; \$75,000.

"4. No work is intended above the Vedder Crossing.

"5. Answered by No. 4."

Mr. *Menzies* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following questions:—

1. Are any District Supervisors of Agricultural Education employed by the Department of Education? If so, what are their names, where are they stationed, and when were they appointed?

2. What duties do such District Supervisors perform and what salaries are they receiving from the Department of Education?

The Hon. Dr. *MacLean* replied as follows:—

"1 and 2. Yes. J. C. Readey, Chilliwack, April 1st, 1915, salary \$2,320; E. L. Small, Cloverdale, August 1st, 1916, salary \$2,220; J. E. Britton, Kelowna, August 1st, 1916, salary \$2,220; J. M. Shales, Langley, August 1st, 1918, salary \$2020; A. M. McDermott, New Westminster, April 1st, 1919, salary \$1,900; V. B. Robinson, Penticton, August 1st, 1920, salary \$1,900; W. H. Grant, Salmon Arm, August 1st, 1921, salary \$1,900. Contributions from School Boards towards salaries of District Supervisors to March 31st, 1923, by agreement, will be as follows: Chilliwack City and Municipality, \$1,450; Surrey Municipality, \$1,387.50; Langley Municipality, \$1,262.50; Kelowna and Rutland (7 months), \$977.50; Penticton Municipality (10 months), \$769.70; New Westminster, \$1,187.50; Salmon Arm City and Municipality, \$1,187.50.

"Duties of District Supervisors: (1.) Teaching in their respective high schools a two-year course in agriculture as outlined by the College of Agriculture and the Department of Education as preparatory to matriculation, and, in most cases, a year's course in general science preliminary to more advanced science and agriculture.

"(2.) Supervision of instruction in elementary agriculture in the public schools of their respective districts, including nature-study and elementary science, school-gardening, school-supervised home-gardening, and other agricultural projects, such as potato-growing, corn-growing, seed-growing, chicken-raising, and the feeding and management of pure-bred breeding pigs, market hogs, calves, etc. The District Supervisor visits the schools of his district regularly, assisting the teachers by giving instruction in the class-room and in the garden, and by generally assisting them in more effectively carrying out this part of their school-work.

"(3.) Special out-of-school instruction for high-school students in general farm methods, including farm surveys, dairying, orcharding, stock-judging, draining, field crops, etc.

"(4.) Special extension courses for boys and girls not attending school, including adults who may wish to devote part of their time during the winter months to agricultural studies. These courses are sometimes held in conjunction with the College of Agriculture short courses.

"(5.) Supplying timely articles on live agricultural topics for the benefit of the public through the local press.

"(6.) Personal advice and assistance to farmers and fruit-growers, gardeners, etc., in their respective districts, dealing with many important local problems.

"(7.) Assisting and working in co-operation with farmers' organizations in their respective districts.

"(8.) Assisting the School Boards and the Department in the matter of school-grounds improvement.

"(9.) Assisting in and usually leading in all local organizations for the benefit of the young people, such as athletic associations and community organization."

Mr. *Esling* asked the Hon. the Minister of Railways the following questions:—

1. Has the Government terminated its arrangement with the Northern Construction Company for construction-work on the Pacific Great Eastern?

2. If so, on what date was such arrangement terminated?

3. What amount has been paid the Northern Construction Company for work done on the Pacific Great Eastern from January 1st, 1922, to date?

The Hon. Dr. *MacLean* replied as follows:—

"1. Yes.

"2. October 31st, 1922.

"3. Total payments since January 1st, 1922, \$167,107.35, made up as follows: \$90,363.14 for work done since January 1st, 1922; \$76,744.21 for work done prior to January 1st, 1922."

Mr. *Hunter* asked the Hon. the Minister of Public Works the following questions:—

1. Were tenders called for the completion of the Denver-Three Forks Wagon-road?
2. If so, what were the amounts of tenders submitted?
3. What was the mileage to be completed?
4. What is the estimated cost of completing the said road?

The Hon. Dr. *Sutherland* replied as follows:—

- “1. Yes.
- “2. One tender submitted, \$45,700; tender not accepted; work done by day-labour.
- “3. Construction, 3.64 miles; reconstruction, 1.52 miles; total, 5.16 miles.
- “4. Estimated cost to complete the 5.16 miles, \$39,300.”

Mr. *W. A. McKenzie* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands the following question:—

What amount of money has been expended on oil investigations during each of the years 1919, 1920, 1921, and 1922 to November 1st?

The Hon. Mr. *Pattullo* replied as follows:—

“Expenditures by fiscal years, April 1st to March 31st: 1919-20, \$4,156.18; 1920-21, \$14,989.66; 1921-22, \$77,892.36; 1922 (to November 1st), \$28,462.87.”

Mr. *Catherwood* asked the Hon. the Minister of Railways the following question:—

To what firm was the insurance on the building equipment, etc., of the Pacific Great Eastern Railway given for the years 1921, 1922-23?

The Hon. Dr. *MacLean* replied as follows:—

“The Alliance Insurance Co., through the firm of Gillespie, Hart & Todd, Ltd.”

Mr. *Guthrie* asked the Hon. the Minister of Public Works the following questions:—

1. What are the names and nationalities of all the employees in and around Government House?
2. What salary do they receive in each case?

The Hon. Dr. *Sutherland* replied as follows:—

- “1. No information on their nationality.
- “2. Head Gardener, E. Barlow, \$100 per month; Gardeners, W. Darling, W. Grant, C. Thomas, A. Godfrey, and G. Draper, \$4 per day; C. Young, Nightwatchman and Gardener, \$80 per month.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. to-morrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.30 p.m.