

Monday, March 20, 1972

EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

139. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$35,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Environmental and Land Use Committee, to 31st March 1973.

The Committee rose and reported the Resolution.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

The House resumed the debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 3) intituled *An Act to Amend the Public Schools Act*.

The debate continued.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—30

Messieurs

Kripps, Mrs.	McCarthy, Mrs.	Fraser	Chant
Mussallem	Jordan, Mrs.	Campbell, B.	Loffmark
Price	Dawson, Mrs.	Wolfe	Gaglardi
Capozzi	Kiernan	Smith	Campbell, D. R. J.
LeCours	Williston	McDiarmid	Brothers
Jefcoat	Bennett	Chabot	Shelford
Tisdalle	Peterson	Skillings	Richter
Bruch	Black		

NAYS—17

Messieurs

Gardom	Hall	McGeer	Dowding
Wallace	Williams, R. A.	Williams, L. A.	Nimsick
Cocke	Calder	Macdonald	Barrett
Hartley	Clark	Strachan	Daily, Mrs.
Lorimer			

PAIR:

Messieurs

Merilees

Brousson

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* (President of the Council) presented the Thirty-third Annual Report of the Public Utilities Commission for the year ended December 31, 1971.

37 Mr. *Cocke* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:

With respect to hospital treatment of emotionally disturbed children—

1. Did any general hospital under British Columbia Hospital Insurance Service operate psychiatric wards for children during the year ended December 31, 1971?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, where are such units located, how many beds involved, and how many children treated during the year indicated in No. 1?
3. Are any such units referred to in No. 1 at present (a) under construction and (b) approved in principle?
4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, at what locations and how many beds?
5. Has any request for such facilities been renewed from the Health Sciences Centre, University of British Columbia?
6. If answer to No. 5 is affirmative, at what stage of development is such project?

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* replied as follows:

"1. Yes.

"2. Vancouver General Hospital, eight beds, 259 admissions involving 127 children. Hospitals operating psychiatric wards report that admissions and bed allocations are determined by the hospital medical staff, the attending physician, and the administration according to the diagnosis and state of urgency indicated by the admitting doctor at the time of admission, but without regard to age or sex of the patient. In many hospitals beds are not specifically designated for any particular disorder or for patients coming within a specified age group. In some cases patients under 16 years of age requiring psychiatric treatment are admitted to a pediatric ward.

"3. (a) No and (b) no. There has been a delay in getting into operation a new 20-bed unit at the Eric Martin Institute, Royal Jubilee Hospital, Victoria. However, arrangements have now been completed to allow the programme to get under way.

"4. See No. 3.

"5. There has been a request from the British Columbia Centennial Child and Family Psychiatric Centre Committee for a centre which would be located at the University of British Columbia. It is understood that the application has the support of the Board of Governors of the University of British Columbia on the understanding that the project would not involve commitment of university funds?

"6. The project has been reviewed by the Department as part of the proposed Health Sciences Centre complex and would have to be achieved within the total funds and beds allocated to the Health Sciences Centre complex. It is understood that, alternatively, the university has included such a project in their five-year-plan under Health Resources funds."

128 Mr. *Nimsick* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following questions:

1. Did the Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources pay the cost of the drainage of Taylor Slough in the vicinity of Kimberley?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what was the total cost of the project, (b) what was the cost of labour, (c) what was the cost of the pipe, (d) what was

the size or sizes of the pipe used, (e) what was the footage in each pipe size, and (f) what was the price per foot for each pipe size?

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* replied as follows:

"1. Yes, a part of the cost.

"2. (a) Total cost of the project was \$46,644.96, (b) not known (cannot be separated), (c) \$16,493.49, including couplers, elbows, and special manholes, (d) 30-inch and 24-inch, (e) 1,000 feet of 30-inch size and 1,360 feet of 24-inch size, and (f) 30-inch, \$7, 24-inch, \$5.80 and \$4.85."

By leave of the House, the Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* tabled copies of correspondence relating to *An Act to Amend the Canada Labour Code*.

And then the House adjourned at 11.28 p.m.

Tuesday, March 21, 1972

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *A. E. King*.

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:

JOHN R. NICHOLSON
Lieutenant-Governor

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Podiatry Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 21, 1972

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 99) intituled *An Act to Amend the Podiatry Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of Mr. *Gardom*, Bill (No. 102) intituled *An Act to Amend the Pollution Control Act, 1967*, was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

The House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 49) intituled *An Act to Amend the Social Assistance Act*.

The debate continued.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—33

Messieurs

<i>Ney</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Peterson</i>	<i>Skillings</i>
<i>Marshall</i>	<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Wenman</i>	<i>McCarthy, Mrs.</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Kripps, Mrs.</i>	<i>Jordan, Mrs.</i>	<i>Campbell, B.</i>	<i>Gaglardi</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Dawson, Mrs.</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>Campbell, D. R. J.</i>
<i>Price</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>Capozzi</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>McDiarmid</i>	<i>Shelford</i>
<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Jefcoat</i>			

• NAYS—18

Messieurs

<i>Brousseau</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Dowding</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Daily, Mrs.</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Clark</i>		

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 67) intituled *Safety Engineering Services Act*.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Strachan*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

And then the House adjourned at 5.56 p.m.

Tuesday, March 21, 1972

EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

The following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today:

On the motion of Mr. *Clark*, Bill (No. 103) intituled *Credit Information Protection Act*.

On the motion of Mr. Clark, Bill (No. 104) intituled *An Act Respecting the Broadcasting of Debates and Proceedings of the Legislative Assembly of British Columbia*.

On the motion of Mr. Barrett, Bill (No. 105) intituled *An Act to Amend the Coal Act*.

On the motion of Mr. Barrett, Bill (No. 106) intituled *An Act to Amend the Protection of Children Act*.

The House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 67) intituled *Safety Engineering Services Act*.

The debate continued.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—37

Messieurs

Ney	Williams, L. A.	Kiernan	McDiarmid
Merilees	Capozzi	Williston	Chabot
Brousson	LeCours	Bennett	Skillings
Gardom	Little	Peterson	Chant
Wenman	Tisdalle	Black	Loffmark
Kripps, Mrs.	Bruch	Fraser	Campbell, D. R. J.
Mussallem	McCarthy, Mrs.	Campbell, B.	Brothers
Price	Jordan, Mrs.	Wolfe	Shelford
Clark	Dawson, Mrs.	Smith	Richter
McGeer			

NAYS—12

Messieurs

Cocke	Hall	Macdonald	Nimsick
Hartley	Williams, R. A.	Strachan	Barrett
Lorimer	Calder	Dowding	Dailly, Mrs.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 81) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipal Finance Authority Act*.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 78) intituled *An Act to Amend the Insurance Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. Hartley, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 82) intituled *An Act to Amend the Workmen's Compensation Act, 1968*, a debate arose.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to *nemine contradicente* on the following division:

YEAS—49

Messieurs

Ney	Kripps, Mrs.	LeCours	Campbell, B.
Merilees	Mussallem	Little	Wolfe
Marshall	Price	Tisdalle	Smith
Brousson	McGeer	McCarthy, Mrs.	McDiarmid
Gardom	Williams, L. A.	Jordan, Mrs.	Chabot
Wallace	Macdonald	Dawson, Mrs.	Skillings
Cocke	Strachan	Kiernan	Chant
Hartley	Dowding	Williston	Loffmark
Lorimer	Nimsick	Bennett	Campbell, D. R. J.
Hall	Barrett	Peterson	Brothers
Williams, R. A.	Dailly, Mrs.	Black	Shelford
Calder	Capozzi	Fraser	Richter
Wenman			

PAIR:

Messieurs

Vogel

Clark

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 89) intituled *Kootenay Canal Land Acquisition Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. Nimsick, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Bill (No. 91) intituled *Statute Law Amendment Act* was read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 92) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipalities Enabling and Validating Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. Lorimer, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 98) intituled *An Act to Amend the Pollution Control Act, 1967*, a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. Hall, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The House proceeded to the Order "Private Bills."

The following Bills were read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today:

Bill (No. 50) intituled *An Act to Amend the Vancouver Charter*.

Bill (No. 51) intituled *An Act to Amend the Trinity Junior College Act*.

The House reverted to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

Bill (No. 62) intituled *An Act to Amend the Pipe-lines Act* was committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed.

And then the House adjourned at 11 p.m.

Wednesday, March 22, 1972

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. F. Otke.

On the motion of Mrs. Daily, Bill (No. 107) intituled *An Act to Amend the Hospital Insurance Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. Little, Bill (No. 93) intituled *The Public Service Salary Act* standing in his name on the Order Paper was withdrawn.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

140. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$292,289 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, General Administration, to 31st March 1973.

141. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$956,104 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Lands Branch, to 31st March 1973.

142. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$300,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Pre-servicing Crown Lands for Sale or Lease, to 31st March 1973.

143. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,547,825 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Surveys and Mapping Branch, to 31st March 1973.

144. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, *University Endowment Lands Administration Act*, to 31st March 1973.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

And then the House adjourned at 6 p.m.

Wednesday, March 22, 1972

EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Mr. Marshall made a statement regarding his party affiliation.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. W. A. C. Bennett, it was *Ordered* that there be substituted in lieu of Bill (No. 25) intituled *Gift Tax Act*, the Bill in revised form for consideration on second reading.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The House continued to sit after midnight.

THURSDAY, MARCH 23

145. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$23,307,259 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, General Administration, Protection, and Management of Forests, to 31st March 1973.

146. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$4,984,925 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Reforestation and Forest Nursery, to 31st March 1973.

147. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$25,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Forestry and Correction Camp Programme, to 31st March 1973.

148. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$237,480 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Forest Research, to 31st March 1973.

149. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$86,950 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Public Information and Education, to 31st March 1973.

150. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$169,761 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Forest Service Training-school, to 31st March 1973.

151. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$25,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Grant to Canadian Forestry Association, to 31st March 1973.

152. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$3,000,750 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Engineering Services and Forest-development Roads, to 31st March 1973.

153. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$3,100,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Fire Suppression, to 31st March 1973.

154. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,395,400 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Forest Inventory, to 31st March 1973.

155. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Scaling Fund, to 31st March 1973.

156. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,824,250 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Silviculture, to 31st March 1973.

157. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$235,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Grazing Range Improvement Fund, to 31st March 1973.

158. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$20,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Peace River Community Pastures, to 31st March 1973.

159. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,644,562 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Reservoir Waterway Improvements, to 31st March 1973.

160. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$127,990 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, General Administration, to 31st March 1973.

161. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$834,178 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Water Rights Branch, to 31st March 1973.

162. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$25,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Assistance to Improvement Districts, to 31st March 1973.

163. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$35,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Canadian Council of Resource Ministers, to 31st March 1973.

164. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$50,604 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Inspector of Dykes, to 31st March 1973.

165. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$843,578 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Water Investigation Branch, to 31st March 1973.

166. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,605,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Investigations, Hydraulic Surveys, and Projects, to 31st March 1973.

167. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$300,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Environmental Quality Studies, to 31st March 1973.

168. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$95,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Okanagan Flood Control, to 31st March 1973.

169. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$6,400,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, *Canada-British Columbia Joint Development Act*, to 31st March 1973.

170. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$105,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, British Columbia Hydrometric Stream-gauging, to 31st March 1973.

171. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$317,579 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Southern Okanagan Lands Project, to 31st March 1973.

172. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,552,430 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Pollution Control, to 31st March 1973.

173. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$645,572 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Laboratory Services, to 31st March 1973.

174. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$4,887,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Preparation of Libby Reservoir, to 31st March 1973.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

164 Mr. *Hall* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following questions:

1. Have any ready-mix concrete businesses applied for pollution control permits to allow them to discharge wastes into any body of water in the Province?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, have any permits been granted?
3. If the answer to No. 2 is yes, what is the date of each permit and the name of the company and the body of water concerned?

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* replied as follows:

- "1. No.
- "2. Not applicable.
- "3. Not applicable."

165 Mr. *Gardom* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:

1. Is the Government prepared to furnish full-time, legally qualified Provincial Judges parity in pay and pension, on the County Court scale, with the Federal Judges?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, when?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* replied as follows:

"1 and 2. County Court Judges' salaries are fixed by the *Federal Judges Act* without annual or regular increases. The full-time Provincial Court Judges have been receiving annual increases and I would hope that such increases might continue."

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 12.06 a.m.

Thursday, March 23, 1972

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *Peter DeBruyne*.

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:

JOHN R. NICHOLSON
Lieutenant-Governor

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *Companies Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 23, 1972

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 66) intituled *Companies Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

43. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$16,810 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Commercial Transport, Minister's Office, to 31st March 1973.

44. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$106,066 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Commercial Transport, General Administration, to 31st March 1973.

45. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$182,698 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Commercial Transport, Engineering Branch, to 31st March 1973.

46. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$992,238 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Commercial Transport, Weigh-scale Branch, to 31st March 1973.

175. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$45,260 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, Minister's Office, to 31st March 1973.

176. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,946,914 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, General Administration, to 31st March 1973.

177. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$34,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, Grants and Subsidies, to 31st March 1973.

178. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$650,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, Grants in Aid of Mining Roads and Trails, to 31st March 1973.

179. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$250,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, Grants in Aid of Roads and Trails, Petroleum and Natural Gas, to 31st March 1973.

180. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$3,300,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, Construction of Cassiar-Stewart Road, to 31st March 1973.

181. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$40,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, Grubstaking Prospectors, to 31st March 1973.

182. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$100,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, Special Mineral Surveys, to 31st March 1973.

183. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$150,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, *Iron Bounty Act*, to 31st March 1973.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Mr. Tisdalle presented the First and Second Reports of the Select Standing Committee on Social Welfare and Education, as follows:

REPORT No. 1

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
March 23, 1972

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Social Welfare and Education begs leave to report as follows:

On February 11, 1972, on the motion of the Hon. *D. L. Brothers*, seconded by the Hon. *Grace McCarthy*, it was *Ordered*—

That the Select Standing Committee on Social Welfare and Education report on the definition of the tenure of office of the members of the teaching staffs in the universities and on procedures followed by the universities relating to this matter, and to make such recommendations as the Committee considers necessary.

Since that date the following people have appeared before the Committee:

Dean Ian McTaggart-Cowan, Vice-President William Armstrong, and Dean Phillip White, representing the University of British Columbia.

Mr. Robert V. Kubicek, Dr. Richard A. Spencer, and Dr. Peter Pearse, representing the Faculty Association of the University of British Columbia.

Mr. Robert McDiarmid, past Vice-President of the Alma Mater Society, University of British Columbia, representing a private point of view.

Mr. Douglas Aldridge, President of the Alma Mater Society, University of British Columbia, representing the Alma Mater Society.

Dr. D. J. MacLaurin, Dr. Izzud-Din Pal, and Dr. E. Horne, representing the University of Victoria.

Dr. B. G. Wilson and Dr. B. P. Beirne, representing Simon Fraser University.
 Dr. Dorothy Smith and Miss S. Day, representing the Women's Action Group of the University of British Columbia.

Dr. Francis E. Murray, Professor of Mechanical Engineering, University of British Columbia, representing a private point of view.

Objectives

Simply stated, the objectives of the Committee were to examine the meaning of the word "tenure" as it applies to the faculties of universities, to assess the advantages and disadvantages of the practice, and to study the procedures followed by the universities in granting tenure to their teachers.

Material Examined

In the course of the hearings, the Committee has had access to the following documents:

University of Oregon review by President Clark.

Brief submitted by the Alma Mater Society, University of Victoria.

Briefs presented by the three public universities and by individual groups and persons.

A bibliography prepared by the Association of Universities and Colleges of Canada, listing publications respecting academic freedom on tenure.

An extensive survey made by the Canadian Association of University Teachers of tenure in institutions of higher education in Canada in December 1970.

An extract from the *Chronicle of Higher Education* dated November 15, 1971: State-College Association's Statement on Academic Freedom.

A Statement by the Commission on the government of the University of Toronto on tenure.

Articles

Guidelines on Appointment and Tenure, the C.A.U.T.s or the A.U.C.S.s, by Bruce Dunlop.

A Price on Academic Freedom, by Harold Greer.

Tenure: Protection for Academic Freedom or Academic Sloth, by Doris Hopper.

Tenure: It's Impossible to Fire Incompetents, by Leonard Taylor.

In Defence of Academic Tenure, by Fritz Machlup.

An Extract from Faculty Handbook, UBC.

FACULTY APPOINTMENTS

A General Statement of Faculty Responsibilities and Criteria for Appointment, Promotion, Renewal of Contract, Appointment Without Term and Salary Review, Simon Fraser University.

Extracts from An Academic Guide Book—A Sample of Questions compiled by students of the University of Victoria relevant to the assessment of teaching.

Tenure Document, University of British Columbia.

TOWARD A DEFINITION

There appeared to be substantial agreement among those representing the official point of view of the three public universities that the granting of "tenure" to a faculty member is the provision in the employment contract between the univer-

sity and the faculty member of a term that the duration of the contract is for an indefinite period or in other words an "appointment without term." There was also common understanding among the three groups that a faculty member may terminate a tenured appointment by resignation, repudiation, or retirement.

There seemed less certainty about the power of the university to terminate such an appointment. Most representatives agreed that there are "ways" that a university can find to end the tenure of unsatisfactory faculty members but, with the exception of "dismissal for cause," these are not explicitly stated in the faculty handbooks.

ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES OF THE TENURE SYSTEM

In defence of the tenure system, three basic arguments are made: It protects freedom in teaching and research; it provides security of employment; and it is a means of recognizing long and valued service.

In addition, the university representatives pointed out that the lengthy and involved selection procedures used in granting tenure gave some protection to the university from unproductive and unsatisfactory faculty members. They also agreed that the selection procedures were a major source of the controversy surrounding the tenure system and each informed the Committee that these procedures were under review.

Finally, the university representatives advised the Committee that, in order to remain competitive in attracting good teachers and scholars, no single university could afford to abandon the tenure system.

Those who oppose tenure generally do so on the grounds that, once gained, there is often a slacking-off of effort on the part of the faculty member, resulting in an increase of "dead wood" in departments. Moreover, they point out that the granting of tenure is not reciprocal, since a tenured person is free to move and is not committed in any way to the university which gave him tenure.

Most witnesses appearing before the Committee to present opposing points of view did not appear to oppose tenure *per se*. They opposed the method by which tenure is granted. Representatives of students, for example, felt that a more formal structure should be established to ensure that the student point of view is heard. The representatives of the Women's Action Group felt that women were discriminated against in the ratio of tenured appointments between men and women. One individual protested the fact that universities did not in their tenure documents specify the means of discontinuing a tenure appointment.

UNIVERSITY AUTONOMY AND ACADEMIC FREEDOM

In making the following observations, which university authorities may wish to consider, the Committee wishes to reaffirm its belief in the principles of university autonomy and academic freedom and to state its understanding of them. The Committee believes that institutions of higher education are conducted for the common good, which depends upon the free search for, and the exposition of, truth and understanding. Academic freedom is indispensable to a democratic society. The academic community must be free to participate in the democratic process of government as citizens, to learn and to teach what scholarship suggests is the truth, to question what is believed to have been the truth, and to publish without fear of reprisal what scholarship has discovered.

The Committee takes the position that academic freedom and responsibility are inseparable and must be considered simultaneously. They are shared by members of the academic community, including students. Tenure, on the other hand, is a

specific provision of employment accorded to those members of the university who qualify for it.

In setting forth the following observations, the Committee is in no way purporting to abrogate the principles it believes in. In no way would it attempt to impose these recommendations on any university. But having listened objectively to a number of different points of view, and after studying a number of pertinent documents, the Committee feels that it might be able to be helpful. It is in this spirit that it makes the following observations:

- (a) That the practice followed by universities in granting "appointments without term" be continued;
- (b) That the three public universities of the Province work together to agree on a common definition of "appointment without term";
- (c) That there be no discrimination, in terms of race, religion, sex, or politics.

Respectfully submitted.

JOHN D. TISDALE, *Chairman*

REPORT No. 2

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
March 23, 1972

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Social Welfare and Education begs leave to report as follows:

On February 28, 1972, on the motion of the Hon. *L. R. Peterson*, it was *Ordered* that Bill (No. 30) intitled *Family Relations Act* be referred to the Select Standing Committee on Social Welfare and Education.

Since that date your Committee has received and heard submissions by many individuals and by such organizations as the Family Law Subsection of the Canadian Bar Association, the Status of Women Council, the British Columbia Association of Social Workers, and the Prosecutor for the City of Vancouver. Your Committee also consulted with solicitors from the Department of the Attorney-General.

Your Committee recommends that Bill (No. 30) the *Family Relations Act* proceed with the following amendments:

Subsection (1) of section 5 should be struck out and the following substituted:

"(1) Where a spouse applies for alimony, maintenance, or judicial separation, the judge may, in his discretion, having regard to the conduct of the spouses, refuse to grant all or part of the order applied for."

The word "illegitimate" should be deleted in the first line of paragraph (iii) of clause (a) of section 15.

The words "and in addition may" should be inserted in the body of subsection (1) of section 25 between clauses (b) and (c).

The words "or, where special circumstances warrant, to such other person or persons as the interests of the child require;" should be added at the end of clause (d) of subsection (1) of section 25.

The following should be added as subsection (4) of section 25:

"(4) No person shall unlawfully interfere with the custody of, or access to, a child awarded to a person under subsection (1)."

The words "that may be subsequently varied to meet changed circumstances," should be inserted after the word "order," in the second line of subsection (3) of section 35.

The following should be added as subsection (9) of section 52:

“(9) For the purposes of this part, a maintenance order or a complaint made under reciprocal enforcement of support legislation may be treated as a maintenance order made provisionally where the respondent was neither served within the reciprocating state nor present or represented at a hearing therein.”

Your Committee also recommends that the Law Clerk, pursuant to Standing Order 94, delete the marginal notes opposite clauses (i), (ii), (iii), (iv), and (v) of clause (a) of section 15, and that he change the marginal note opposite section 59 to read “Where order made in language other than English”.

Respectfully submitted.

JOHN D. TISDALLE, *Chairman*

On the motion of Mr. *Tisdalle*, the reports were taken as read and received.

By leave of the House the Rules were suspended and the reports adopted.

Mr *Price* presented the Fifth Report of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:

• REPORT NO. 5

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
March 23, 1972

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:

With reference to Bill (No. 47) intituled *Sunshine Comstock Mines Limited (Non-Personal Liability) Mineral Claims Act*, it is the opinion of your Committee that the circumstances surrounding the subject-matter of the said Bill warrant that the Bill be proceeded with, and your Committee recommends accordingly.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

B. PRICE, *Chairman*

The report was read and received.

On the motion that the Rules be suspended and the report adopted, leave was not granted.

Mr. *Price* presented the Sixth and Seventh Reports of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:

REPORT NO. 6

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
March 23, 1972

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:

With reference to Bill (No. 68) intituled *An Act to Amend the Legal Professions Act*, it is the opinion of your Committee that the said Bill should be proceeded with, with amendment, namely, by adding the following to section 8 of the

said Bill: "by adding at the end of subsection (1) (c) the following words: 'and (d) two shall be members of the Society appointed by the Provincial Council of the British Columbia Branch of the Canadian Bar Association'."

All of which is respectfully submitted.

B. PRICE, *Chairman*

REPORT NO. 7

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
March 23, 1972

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:

With reference to Bill (No. 29) intituled *An Act to Amend the Chartered Accountants Act*, it is the opinion of your Committee that the said Bill should be proceeded with, with amendment, namely, by adding the following at the end of section 5: "or to practise as a chartered accountant."

All of which is respectfully submitted.

B. PRICE, *Chairman*

The reports were read and received.

On the motion of Mr. Price the Rules were suspended and the reports adopted.

The Hon. D. R. J. Campbell (Minister of Municipal Affairs) presented a report of the First Citizens' Fund Advisory Committee for the period April 1, 1971, to March 21, 1972.

169 Mr. Hartley asked the Hon. the Minister of Commercial Transport the following questions:

1. Does the Department of Commercial Transport set and (or) enforce standards for railway roadbed construction or maintenance?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how many inspectors does the Department have and (b) how many miles of public and private railroads are there in British Columbia?
3. Is it considered good railway management to have rotten, punky ties in the railroad bed?

The Hon. F. X. Richter replied as follows:

"1. New railway lines are subject to approval under section 179 of the *Railway Act*. Existing railways are subject to inspection under sections 178 and 180 of the *Railway Act*.

"2. (a) Six inspectors as of March 1972 and (b) there are approximately 1,523 miles of common carrier railways and 256 miles of industrial and recreational railways under the British Columbia *Railway Act*.

"3. Under a normal tie replacement programme the tie condition on any section of line will vary from 'new' to 'ready for replacement.' Some of the ties in the latter group may have some rotten or punky sections."

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 6.07 p.m.

Friday, March 24, 1972

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Canon C. P. Bishop.

The following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today:

On the motion of Mr. Gardom, Bill (No. 108) intituled *The Automotive Repair Act*.

On the motion of Mr. Gardom, Bill (No. 109) intituled *An Act to Amend the Supreme Court Act*.

On the motion of Mr. Dowding, Bill (No. 110) intituled *An Act to Amend the Landlord and Tenant Act*.

On the motion of Mr. Dowding, Bill (No. 111) intituled *An Act to Amend the Court Rules of Practice Act*.

On the motion of Mr. Macdonald, Bill (No. 112) intituled *The Environmental Bill of Rights Act, 1972*.

The House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 78) intituled *An Act to Amend the Insurance Act*.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 92) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipalities Enabling and Validating Act*.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 25) intituled *Gift Tax Act* a debate arose.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—42

Messieurs

Ney	Price	Jordan, Mrs.	McDiarmid
Merilees	Macdonald	Dawson, Mrs.	Chabot
Mussallem	Strachan	Kiernan	Skillings
Cocke	Dowding	Bennett	Chant
Hartley	Nimsick	Peterson	Loffmark
Lorimer	Barrett	Black	Gagardi
Hall	Dailly, Mrs.	Fraser	Campbell, D. R. J.
Williams, R. A.	Vogel	Campbell, B.	Brothers
Calder	Jefcoat	Wolfe	Shelford
Wenman	Tisdalle	Smith	Richter
Kripps, Mrs.	McCarthy, Mrs.		

NAYS—6

*Messieurs**Brousson
Gardom**Clark
McGeer**Williams, L. A.**Capozzi*

PAIR:

*Messieurs**LeCours**Wallace*

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and Bill (No. 68) intituled *An Act to Amend the Legal Professions Act* was read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

Bill (No. 99) intituled *An Act to Amend the Podiatry Act* was read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

Bill (No. 3) intituled *An Act to Amend the Public Schools Act* was committed, reported complete with amendments. Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

The Committee further reported that in consideration of section 10, as amended, the Committee divided.

The Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Barrett*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the division on section 10, as amended, be recorded in the Journals of the House as follows:

YEAS—30

*Messieurs**Ney
Merilees
Mussallem
Wenman
Kripps, Mrs.
Price
Capozzi
Vogel**Jefcoat
Tisdalle
McCarthy, Mrs.
Jordan, Mrs.
Dawson, Mrs.
Kiernan
Williston
Bennett**Peterson
Black
Fraser
Campbell, B.
Wolfe
Smith
McDiarmid**Skillings
Chant
Gaglardi
Campbell, D. R. J.
Brothers
Shelford
Richter*

NAYS—15

*Messieurs**Brousson
Cocke
Hartley
Lorimer**Hall
Williams, R. A.
Calder
Clark**McGeer
Williams, L. A.
Strachan
Dowding**Nimsick
Barrett
Dailly, Mrs.*

PAIRS:

*Messieurs**Loffmark
LeCours
Little**Macdonald
Wallace
Marshall*

The Committee further reported that in consideration of the amendment to section 16 the Committee divided.

The Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Barrett*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the division on the amendment to section 16 be recorded in the Journals of the House as follows:

YEAS—27

Messieurs

<i>Merilees</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Peterson</i>	<i>Skillings</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>McCarthy, Mrs.</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Kripps, Mrs.</i>	<i>Jordan, Mrs.</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Gaglardi</i>
<i>Price</i>	<i>Dawson, Mrs.</i>	<i>Campbell, B.</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>Capozzi</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>Shelford</i>
<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>McDiarmid</i>	

NAYS—15

Messieurs

<i>Ney</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Dailly, Mrs.</i>
<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Clark</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	

PAIRS:

Messieurs

<i>Loffmark</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Wallace</i>
<i>Little</i>	<i>Marshall</i>
<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Brousson</i>
<i>Wenman</i>	<i>Cocke</i>

The Committee further reported that in consideration of the amendment to section 21 the Committee divided.

The Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Barrett*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the division on the amendment to section 21 be recorded in the Journals of the House as follows:

YEAS—29

Messieurs

<i>Ney</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Peterson</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Merilees</i>	<i>McCarthy, Mrs.</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Skillings</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Jordan, Mrs.</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Wenman</i>	<i>Dawson, Mrs.</i>	<i>Campbell, B.</i>	<i>Gaglardi</i>
<i>Kripps, Mrs.</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>Price</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Shelford</i>
<i>Capozzi</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>McDiarmid</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Vogel</i>			

NAYS—13

Messieurs

Hartley
Lorimer
Hall
Williams, R. A.

Calder
Clark
McGeer

Williams, L. A.
Strachan
Dowding

Nimsick
Barrett
Dailly, Mrs.

PAIRS:

Messieurs

Loffmark
LeCours
Little
Tisdalle

Macdonald
Brousson
Cocke
Gardom

Mr. B. Campbell presented the Report of the Special Committee on Classification of Motion-picture Films, as follows:

REPORT

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,

March 24, 1972

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Special Committee on the Classification of Motion-picture Films begs leave to report as follows:

Pursuant to motion of February 8, 1972, your Special Committee on the Classification of Motion-picture Films was ordered convened to study the following matter:

Resolved, That a Special Committee be appointed to review the policy governing the classification of motion-picture films prior to their display within the Province and to make recommendations to the House for any revision thereof deemed to be in the public interest.

The organizational meeting was held on Tuesday, February 15, 1972. Mr. Burt Campbell, M.L.A., was elected as chairman and the Hon. Isabel P. Dawson, Minister without Portfolio, as secretary.

The Committee held eight meetings and the following individuals and groups appeared before it with submissions:

Mr. Ray McDonald, Director of Film Classification.

B.C. Projectionists Local 348, IATSE and MPMO of the United States and Canada.

Motion Picture Theatre Association of British Columbia.

Vancouver Film Board.

Mr. Les Wedman, film critic, *Vancouver Sun*.

B.C. Civil Liberties Association.

Pacific Federation of Film Societies.

Miss A. Joan Reynertson, Associate Professor and Director of Film Studies, Department of Theatre, University of British Columbia.

Mr. Peter McNelly, film critic, *Victoria Times*.

Vancouver and District Council of Churches.

Roman Catholic Archdiocese of Vancouver.

Mr. James Spears, former film critic, *Vancouver Province*.

Submissions from the public were also considered by Committee members.

The Committee viewed two movies at meetings, *A Clockwork Orange* and *The Stewardesses*, while individual Committee members took numerous opportunities to see other films as well.

The Committee is grateful to the Director of Film Classification, Mr. McDonald, who attended all meetings and provided a great deal of valuable information to the Committee. The kindness of Warner Bros. Distributing (Canada) Ltd. and Astral Films Ltd. in providing films, and of Famous Players Ltd. in providing screening facilities, is appreciated and acknowledged.

It is the opinion of the Committee that the *Motion Pictures Act* of 1970 is working well. That the way the Act is being administered and the manner in which the classification system works are quite successful is attested to by the fact that other provinces are adopting procedures initiated here. British Columbia's "warning" system, for example, is being followed in varying degree by most of the other provincial film classifiers. They find the warnings protect both majority and minority groups.

With regard to the three classification categories:

1. "General, being suitable for all persons."

This category should contain nothing that might offend any normal individual or group. The Committee recommends that the advertising of all films which the Director has classified as "General" should convey prominently the words "General Entertainment" in all advertising. It is also recommended that the words "Parental Guidance Recommended" be affixed by the Director whenever he believes it necessary, along with appropriate caption.

2. "Adult, being unsuitable for, or of no interest to, persons under the age of 18 years."

This classification advises parents that the Director considers such pictures unsuitable for children. There is no age-limit on attendance, and none is proposed, since it is felt that parents should know best the emotional limits and the understanding capacity of their own children. The Committee recommends that this category be renamed "Mature Entertainment," being unsuitable for, or of no interest to, persons under the age of 18 years; that the advertising of all films which the Director classifies as "Mature Entertainment" should convey prominently the words "Mature Entertainment," and that appropriate warning captions be inserted in advertising by the Director.

3. "Restricted, being suitable only for persons of the age of 18 years or over. Unless authorized by the Director, or unless the person is accompanied by his parent or other responsible adult person, no person under the age of 18 years shall attend or be permitted to attend a motion-picture theatre at which a film classified as 'restricted' is exhibited or displayed."

It is this classification which is so greatly misunderstood because of the words "Restricted—No Admittance to Persons under 18" inserted in all advertising by the Director. In actual fact, persons under that age may attend if accompanied by a parent or other responsible adult. The Committee recommends that a consent form be required by the Director.

The Committee emphasizes that the restricted category must be guarded constantly and maintained with the utmost zeal. It must never be allowed to become a receptacle for filmed trash.

The Committee considered a fourth, or "X Category," but rejected it.

The Committee is of the considered opinion that it is lack of detailed knowledge about the classification system and what it sets out to do that is a cause of concern amongst many people. Not a few of them believe, for example, that

warning comments are used by theatres to promote a movie. While this might be the case in some instances, warning captions are in fact required by the Director of Film Classification so that movie-goers are forewarned as to what to expect.

The Committee further recommends that all available methods be employed to better inform the public about film classification and how it works. Pamphlets are presently available in some theatres advising patrons how to obtain a more detailed brochure explaining film classification. These pamphlets should be available in all motion-picture theatres. As well, consideration should be given to periodically advertising the availability of the brochure on the theatre page of newspapers, and to making advertising trailers available to theatres informing their patrons as to how they might obtain further information on film classification.

The Committee also recommends that the staff of the classification Director's office be increased to provide a larger number of experienced people in this field in the interests of future continuity.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

B. CAMPBELL, *Chairman*

On the motion of Mr. B. Campbell, the report was taken as read and received.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. on Monday next.

And then the House adjourned at 6.05 p.m.

Monday, March 27, 1972

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Major H. Tilley.

By leave, the House proceeded to the Order "Motions and Adjourned Debates on Motions."

Mr. Price moved, seconded by Mrs. Kripps—

That Report No. 5 of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills be adopted.

Motion agreed to.

The House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

Bill (No. 47) intituled *Sunshine Comstock Mines Limited (Non-Personal Liability) Mineral Claims Act* was read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the third reading of Bill (No. 3) intituled *An Act to Amend the Public Schools Act* a debate arose.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—30

Messieurs

<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Kripps, Mrs.</i>	<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Price</i>	<i>McCarthy, Mrs.</i>	<i>Campbell, B.</i>	<i>Gagliardi</i>
<i>Capozzi</i>	<i>Jordan, Mrs.</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>Campbell, D. R. J.</i>
<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Dawson, Mrs.</i>	<i>McDiarmid</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Shelford</i>
<i>Little</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Peterson</i>		

NAYS—19

Messieurs

<i>Brousson</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Clark</i>	<i>Dowding</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Marshall</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Daily, Mrs.</i>
<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	

Bill read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 25) intituled *Gift Tax Act* was committed, reported complete without amendment.

On the motion for the third reading of Bill (No. 25) the House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—45

Messieurs

<i>Ney</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>McCarthy, Mrs.</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Jordan, Mrs.</i>	<i>McDiarmid</i>
<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Dawson, Mrs.</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Skillings</i>
<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Daily, Mrs.</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Hall</i>	<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Williams, R. A.</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Peterson</i>	<i>Gagliardi</i>
<i>Calder</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Campbell, D. R. J.</i>
<i>Wenman</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>Kripps, Mrs.</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Campbell, B.</i>	<i>Shelford</i>
<i>Price</i>	<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Macdonald</i>			

NAYS—8

Messieurs

<i>Brousson</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Clark</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Marshall</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Capozzi</i>

Bill read a third time and passed.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 89) intituled *Kootenay Canal Land Acquisition Act*.

The debate continued.

The House divided.

YEAS—29

Messieurs

<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>McCarthy, Mrs.</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Marshall</i>	<i>Jordan, Mrs.</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Wenman</i>	<i>Dawson, Mrs.</i>	<i>Campbell, B.</i>	<i>Gaglardi</i>
<i>Kripps, Mrs.</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>Campbell, D. R. J.</i>
<i>Price</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>Capozzi</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Shelford</i>
<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Peterson</i>	<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Jefcoat</i>			

NAYS—18

Messieurs

<i>Brousson</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Dowding</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Daily, Mrs.</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Clark</i>		

The following Bills were committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed:

Bill (No. 65) intituled *An Act to Amend the Forest Act.*

Bill (No. 68) intituled *An Act to Amend the Legal Professions Act.*

Bill (No. 70) intituled *Criminal Injuries Compensation Act.*

Bill (No. 71) intituled *An Act to Amend the Public Libraries Act.*

Bill (No. 72) intituled *An Act to Amend the Highway Act.*

Bill (No. 77) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipal Act* was committed.

The Committee rose and reported progress.

The Committee asked leave to sit again.

The House reverted to the Order "Presenting Reports by Standing and Special Committees."

Mr. *Price* presented the Eighth Report of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:

REPORT NO. 8

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
March 27, 1972

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:

That the preamble to Bill (No. 52) intituled *An Act to Incorporate Vanco Insurance Company* has been proved, and the Bill *Ordered* to be reported with amendments.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

B. PRICE, *Chairman*

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

And then the House adjourned at 6.04 p.m.

Monday, March 27, 1972

EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

The House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

Bill (No. 77) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipal Act* was recommitted, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed.

The Committee further reported that in consideration of section 20, the Committee divided.

The Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *D. R. J. Campbell*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the division on section 20 be recorded in the Journals of the House as follows:

YEAS—30

Messieurs

<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>McCarthy, Mrs.</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Wenman</i>	<i>Jordan, Mrs.</i>	<i>Campbell, B.</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Kripps, Mrs.</i>	<i>Dawson, Mrs.</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>Gaglardi</i>
<i>Price</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Campbell, D. R. J.</i>
<i>Capozzi</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>McDiarmid</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Shelford</i>
<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Peterson</i>	<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Black</i>		

NAYS—17

Messieurs

<i>Brousson</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Dowding</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Marshall</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Daily, Mrs.</i>
<i>Cocke</i>			

PAIRS:

Messieurs

<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Calder</i>
<i>Merilees</i>	<i>Clark</i>

The Committee further reported that in consideration of section 27, the Committee divided.

The Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *D. R. J. Campbell*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the division on section 27 be recorded in the Journals of the House as follows:

YEAS—32

Messieurs

<i>Merilees</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Peterson</i>	<i>Skillings</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Wenman</i>	<i>McCarthy, Mrs.</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Kripps, Mrs.</i>	<i>Jordan, Mrs.</i>	<i>Campbell, B.</i>	<i>Gaglardi</i>
<i>Price</i>	<i>Dawson, Mrs.</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>Campbell, D. R. J.</i>
<i>Capozzi</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>McDiarmid</i>	<i>Shelford</i>
<i>Little</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Richter</i>

NAYS—18

Messieurs

<i>Brousson</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Dowding</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Marshall</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Dailly, Mrs.</i>
<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Clark</i>		

PAIR:

Messieurs

Vogel *Calder*

The Committee further reported that in consideration of section 28, the Committee divided.

The Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Barrett*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the division on section 28 be recorded in the Journals of the House as follows:

YEAS—32

Messieurs

<i>Merilees</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Skillings</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Peterson</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Wenman</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Kripps, Mrs.</i>	<i>McCarthy, Mrs.</i>	<i>Campbell, B.</i>	<i>Gaglardi</i>
<i>Price</i>	<i>Jordan, Mrs.</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>Campbell, D. R. J.</i>
<i>Clark</i>	<i>Dawson, Mrs.</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>Capozzi</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>McDiarmid</i>	<i>Richter</i>

NAYS—17

Messieurs

Brousson
Wallace
Marshall
Cocke
Hartley

Lorimer
Hall
Williams, R. A.
McGeer

Williams, L. A.
Macdonald
Strachan
Dowding

Nimsick
Barrett
Dailly, Mrs.
Fraser

PAIR:

*Messieurs**Vogel**Calder*

The Committee further reported that in consideration of section 29, the Committee divided.

The Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Barrett*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the division on section 29 be recorded in the Journals of the House as follows:

YEAS—33

Messieurs

Merilees
Mussallem
Gardom
Wenman
Kripps, Mrs.
Price
Clark
Capozzi
LeCours

Little
Jefcoat
Tisdalle
McCarthy, Mrs.
Jordan, Mrs.
Dawson, Mrs.
Kiernan
Williston

Bennett
Peterson
Black
Campbell, B.
Wolfe
Smith
McDiarmid
Chabot

Skillings
Chant
Loffmark
Gaglardi
Campbell, D. R. J.
Brothers
Shelford
Richter

NAYS—16

Messieurs

Brousson
Wallace
Marshall
Cocke

Hartley
Lorimer
Hall
Williams, R. A.

McGeer
Williams, L. A.
Strachan
Dowding

Nimsick
Barrett
Dailly, Mrs.
Fraser

PAIR:

*Messieurs**Vogel**Calder*

Bill (No. 67) intituled *Safety Engineering Services Act* was committed, reported complete with amendments. Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

Bill (No. 74) intituled *An Act to Amend the Pari Mutuel Betting Tax Act* was committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 78) intituled *An Act to Amend the Insurance Act* was committed, reported complete with amendments. Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

Bill (No. 79) intituled *First Citizens of British Columbia Corporation Act* was committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 81) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipal Finance Authority Act* was committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 82) intituled *An Act to Amend the Workmen's Compensation Act, 1968*, was committed, reported complete with amendments. Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

The Committee further reported that in consideration of section 14, the Committee divided.

The Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Barrett*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the division on section 14 be recorded in the Journals of the House as follows:

YEAS—31

Messieurs

<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Wenman</i>	<i>McCarthy, Mrs.</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Kripps, Mrs.</i>	<i>Jordan, Mrs.</i>	<i>Campbell, B.</i>	<i>Gaglardi</i>
<i>Price</i>	<i>Dawson, Mrs.</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>Campbell, D. R. J.</i>
<i>Capozzi</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>McDiarmid</i>	<i>Shelford</i>
<i>Little</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Peterson</i>	<i>Skillings</i>	

NAYS—17

Messieurs

<i>Brousseau</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Clark</i>	<i>Dowding</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Marshall</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Dailly, Mrs.</i>
<i>Cocke</i>			

PAIR:

Messieurs

<i>Vogel</i>	<i>McGeer</i>
--------------	---------------

And then the House adjourned at 11.46 p.m.