

Monday, February 28, 1972

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *W. Bahan*.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

The following Bills were committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed:

Bill (No. 1) intituled *An Act to Amend the Evidence Act*.

Bill (No. 4) intituled *An Act to Amend the Conditional Sales Act, 1961*.

Bill (No. 6) intituled *An Act to Amend the Assignment of Book Accounts Act, 1961*.

Bill (No. 7) intituled *An Act to Amend the Supreme Court Act*.

Bill (No. 8) intituled *An Act to Amend the Court of Appeal Act*.

Bill (No. 9) intituled *British Columbia Railway Act*.

Bill (No. 29) intituled *An Act to Amend the Chartered Accountants Act* was read a second time and *Ordered* referred to the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills.

Bill (No. 30) intituled *Family Relations Act* was read a second time.

On the motion of the Hon. *L. R. Peterson* that the Bill be referred to the Select Standing Committee on Social Welfare and Education, a debate arose.

The mover of the motion replied, and the Deputy Speaker ruled the debate closed.

The ruling of the Deputy Speaker was challenged, and the ruling was sustained on the following division:

YEAS—31

Messieurs

<i>Ney</i>	<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Peterson</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Merilees</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Marshall</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Gaglardi</i>
<i>Wenman</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>Campbell, D. R. J.</i>
<i>Kripps, Mrs.</i>	<i>McCarthy, Mrs.</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Jordan, Mrs.</i>	<i>McDiarmid</i>	<i>Shelford</i>
<i>Price</i>	<i>Dawson, Mrs.</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Capozzi</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Skillings</i>	

NAYS—17

Messieurs

<i>Brousson</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Clark</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Daily, Mrs.</i>
<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>LeCours</i>
<i>Hartley</i>			

Bill *Ordered* referred to the Select Standing Committee on Social Welfare and Education.

The following Bills were read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today:

Bill (No. 13) intituled *Queen Elizabeth II British Columbia Centennial Scholarship Act*.

Bill (No. 14) intituled *An Act to Amend the Provincial Home Acquisition Act*.

Bill (No. 15) intituled *An Act to Amend the Provincial Home-owner Grant Act*.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 16) intituled *An Act to Amend the Centennial Cultural Fund Act*, a debate arose.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—42

Messieurs

<i>Merilees</i>	<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>
<i>Marshall</i>	<i>Price</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Skillings</i>
<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>McCarthy, Mrs.</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Jordan, Mrs.</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Dawson, Mrs.</i>	<i>Gaglardi</i>
<i>Hall</i>	<i>Dailly, Mrs.</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Campbell, D. R. J.</i>
<i>Williams, R. A.</i>	<i>Capozzi</i>	<i>Peterson</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>Calder</i>	<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Shelford</i>
<i>Wenman</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Kripps, Mrs.</i>	<i>Little</i>		

NAYS—4

Messieurs

<i>Brousson</i>	<i>Clark</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>
-----------------	--------------	---------------	------------------------

PAIR:

Messieurs

<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Gardom</i>
---------------	---------------

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:

JOHN R. NICHOLSON
Lieutenant-Governor

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Social Assistance Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 28, 1972

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 49) intituled *An Act to Amend the Social Assistance Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of the Hon. *L. R. Peterson*, seconded by the Hon. *R. R. Loffmark*, it was *Ordered*—

That the Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* be discharged from further attendance on the Select Standing Committee on Social Welfare and Education and that the said Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* be added to the Select Standing Committee on Public Accounts and Printing.

Mr. *Price* moved, seconded by Mrs. *Kripps*—

That this House recommends that where simple, noncontentious amendments are needed with respect to a Private Bill, the Department of the Attorney-General implement procedures to avoid the expense involved in petitioning for a Private Bill in such case.

A debate arose, which was, on the motion of the Hon. *L. R. Peterson*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

135 Mr. *Smith* asked the Hon. the Minister of Mines and Petroleum Resources the following questions:

With respect to the full-time Civil Servants employed by the Petroleum and Natural Gas Branch—

1. How many employees were on the Provincial payroll at the end of the fiscal years 1969, 1970, and 1971?

2. What was the amount of the gross payroll for the fiscal years 1969, 1970, and 1971?

The Hon. *F. X. Richter* replied as follows:

"1. 1969, 40; 1970, 42; 1971, 42.

"2. 1969, \$272,872; 1970, \$338,724; 1971, \$370,212."

136 Mr. *Smith* asked the Hon. the Minister of Mines and Petroleum Resources the following questions:

With respect to the Estimates of Revenue and Expenditure for the fiscal years ended March 31, 1969, 1970, and 1971—

1. What was the total revenue to the Crown for each of the aforementioned years from the petroleum and natural gas resource?

2. What was the total expenditure for each of these years under Vote 225 (1969), Vote 210 (1970), and Vote 189 (1971)—Grants in Aid of Roads and Trails, Petroleum and Natural Gas?

The Hon. *F. X. Richter* replied as follows:

"1. 1968/69, \$38,785,342.30; 1969/70, \$40,705,702.22; 1970/71, \$40,-286,304.03.

"2. 1968/69, *nil*; 1969/70, *nil*; 1970/71, *nil*."

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* (Premier) presented the Annual Return for the calendar year 1971 submitted in accordance with section 53 of the *Administration Act, Revised Statutes of British Columbia, 1960*.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 6.05 p.m.

Tuesday, February 29, 1972

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *H. W. Kerley*.

The following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting of the House after today:

On the motion of the Hon. *Isabel P. Dawson*, Bill (No. 31) intituled *An Act to Amend the Hearing-aid Regulation Act*.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. K. Kiernan*, Bill (No. 32) intituled *An Act to Amend the Litter Act*.

On the motion of Mr. *Lorimer*, Bill (No. 63) intituled *An Act to Repeal the Queen's Counsel Act*.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

The following Bills were committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed:

Bill (No. 13) intituled *Queen Elizabeth II British Columbia Centennial Scholarship Act*.

Bill (No. 14) intituled *An Act to Amend the Provincial Home Acquisition Act*.

Bill (No. 15) intituled *An Act to Amend the Provincial Home-owner Grant Act*.

Bill (No. 16) intituled *An Act to Amend the Centennial Cultural Fund Act*.

Bill (No. 17) intituled *An Act to Amend the Revenue Surplus Appropriation Act, 1969*, was read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 26) intituled *An Act to Amend the Motor-vehicle Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. Hall, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The following Bills were read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today:

Bill (No. 27) intituled *An Act to Amend the Jury Act*.

Bill (No. 28) intituled *An Act to Amend the Administration Act*.

Bill (No. 33) intituled *An Act to Amend the Summary Convictions Act*.

Bill (No. 35) intituled *An Act to Amend the Mortgage Brokers Act*.

Bill (No. 36) intituled *An Act to Amend the Regional Parks Act*.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 37) intituled *An Act to Amend the Constitution Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. Macdonald, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 18) intituled *Green Belt Protection Fund Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. Cocke, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

Moved by the Hon. W. A. C. Bennett that the motion "That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow" be rescinded.

Mr. Speaker ruled that such a motion would require unanimous consent.

Consent was not given.

And then the House adjourned at 6 p.m.

Wednesday, March 1, 1972

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. D. L. Hall.

The Hon. L. R. Peterson presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:

JOHN R. NICHOLSON
Lieutenant-Governor

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *Frustrated Contracts Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 18, 1972

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 34) intituled *Frustrated Contracts Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Chairman reported progress.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

At the hour of 6 o'clock p.m. Mr. Speaker left the chair.

Wednesday, March 1, 1972

EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

The Hon. *J. R. Chabot* (Minister of Labour) presented the Fifty-fifth Annual Report of the Workmen's Compensation Board for the year ended December 31, 1971.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* (Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance) presented regulations pursuant to section 37 of the *Hospital Act*, as follows: 290/71, 188/71, and 210/71.

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* (Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance) presented the Seventy-fifth Annual Report of the Public Health Services of British Columbia for the year ended December 31, 1971.

31 Mr. *Lorimer* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:

1. Were any suicides committed in Provincial institutions in the year 1971?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what number and in which institutions during the year 1971 did the suicides occur?

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* replied as follows:

"1. Yes.

"2. Riverview Hospital, six (two patients in residence, two patients while on 'visit leave' in the community, two patients while on unauthorized absence); Skeena-view Hospital, one.

"NOTE—The reply has reference to institutions of the Mental Health Branch only."

33 Mr. *Cocke* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:

With respect to The Woodlands School—

1. Is there a known number of patients awaiting entry?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how many were known to be waiting as at December 31, 1971?
3. Were there any empty beds in The Woodlands School as at December 31, 1971?
4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, (a) how many and (b) what was the reason?

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* replied as follows:

"1. Yes.

"2. 107.

"3. Yes.

"4. (a) 57 and (b) because of transfer of patients to Glendale Hospital, Victoria, in November and December. Twenty beds reserved for patients to be admitted in January and February, further applications being processed. A limited number of beds is always kept for emergencies in the psychiatric wards and for return of patients whose community placement has not been successful."

35 Mr. *Cocke* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:

1. Did any graduate psychiatric nurses resign from the Mental Health Services during the year 1971?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how many resigned and (b) what reasons, if any, were given for resignation?

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* replied as follows:

"1. Yes.

"2. (a) 226 and (b) personal reasons, 68; pregnancy, 22; travel, 20; further education, 16; leaving area, 31; other employment, 42; family reasons, 19; health reasons, 8; total, 226."

36 Mr. *Cocke* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:

With respect to the operational cost of Riverview Hospital for the fiscal year 1970/71—

1. What was the total cost of operations?

2. What part of this cost was expended on (a) salaries of psychiatrists, (b) salaries of physicians other than psychiatrists, (c) pharmaceuticals, and (d) other medical expenses?

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* replied as follows:

"1. \$13,839,877.99.

"2. (a) \$423,972.15, (b) \$310,396.18, (c) \$408,497.02, and (d) \$326,410.52."

39 Mr. *Cocke* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:

1. Are there any established positions for speech therapists in the Mental Health Services?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how many positions and at what locations and (b) how many of these positions were filled as of December 31, 1971?

3. Did any resignations of speech therapists occur during the year ended December 31, 1971?

4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, what was the number of resignations and the reasons given for each resignation?

5. Have there been any applications for the position of speech therapist (a) refused by reason of section 53 of the *Civil Service Act* or (b) accepted by reason of section 46 of the *Civil Service Act*?

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* replied as follows:

"1. Yes.

"2. (a) Two, one each at The Woodlands School and the British Columbia Youth Development Centre and (b) two.

"3. Yes.

"4. One, pregnancy.

"5. (a) and (b) no."

40 Mr. *Cocke* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:

With reference to private hospitals (nursing-homes) caring for all types of chronic patients (those capable of rehabilitation, those needing skilled nursing care and medical supervision, and those needing custodial care)—

1. How many profit hospitals are there in the Province and what is the total number of beds in these hospitals?

2. How many nonprofit hospitals are there in the Province and what is the total number of beds in these hospitals?

3. Are any patients occupying beds in nonprofit hospitals who do not qualify for \$1-per-day coverage under the British Columbia Hospital Insurance Service and, if so, how many?

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* replied as follows:

"1. 62 units totalling 3,114 beds, these are licensed under section 8 of the *Hospital Act*.

"2. 35 units totalling 2,104 beds, these are designated under section 25 of the *Hospital Act* and are not licensed as private hospitals. The latest recorded number of eligible patients rather than the number of beds is included for hospitals operated by the Federal Government. The figure excludes rehabilitation hospitals and units.

"3. Yes, 41 patients in extended-care facilities referred to in No. 2."

42 Mr. Cocke asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following question:

Are any facilities or services being operated by mental health societies under section 5 of the *Mental Health Act, 1964*?

The Hon. R. R. Loffmark replied as follows:

"No."

44 Mr. Cocke asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:

With respect to Medical Health Officers employed by the Health Branch—

1. What was the total number of established positions as of December 31, 1971?

2. Were any of the positions vacant?

3. If the answer to No. 2 is yes, where are these vacancies located?

4. Were any resignations received from Medical Health Officers during the year ended December 31, 1971?

5. If the answer to No. 4 is yes, (a) how many and (b) what reasons were given for such resignations?

The Hon. R. R. Loffmark replied as follows:

"1. Established positions, 19 (i.e., permanent).

"2. Yes.

"3. Saanich.

"4. No.

"5. Not applicable."

66 Mr. Hall asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:

With respect to Health Branch activities in the field of pesticide residue study—

1. Have previous research studies been continued or replaced?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what staff are employed, (b) what funds were expended in fiscal years 1968/69, 1969/70, and 1970/71, and (c) is a report available to the members of this House?

The Hon. R. R. Loffmark replied as follows:

"1. No, not during the fiscal year 1970/71.

"2. (a) Answered in response to Question 68 on Order Paper at last Session, (b) as in (a), and (c) yes, copy of report is available to members of the House."

94 Mr. Cocke asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:

With reference to "facilities" under the *Treatment Resources Act*—

1. Have any "facilities" under this Act been developed?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, in the case of each facility (a) where is it located, (b) how many persons are served by it, and (c) what was the amount of the Government grant?

The Hon. R. R. Loffmark replied as follows:

"1. Yes.

"2. (a) Bevan Lodge Association, Courtenay, (b) 74, (c) \$11,750; (a) Children's Foundation, Vancouver, (b) 32, (c) \$18,484.61; (a) Dr. Endicott

Home, Creston, (b) 51, (c) \$35,000; (a) Granville School Society, Vancouver, (b) 16, (c) \$36,666.66; (a) Kelowna Group Living Home Society, Kelowna, (b) 7, (c) \$12,667; (a) Kelowna and District Society for the Mentally Retarded, Kelowna, (b) 14, (c) \$45,000; (a) Kinsmen Club of Golden, Golden, (b) 8, (c) \$10,291.50; (a) Nanaimo Youth Services Association, Nanaimo, (b) 6, (c) \$15,000; (a) Pacific Centre for Human Development, Victoria, (b) 49, (c) \$79,435.15; (a) Penticton and District Association for the Mentally Retarded, Penticton, (b) 40, (c) \$38,500; (a) Powell River Association for the Mentally Retarded, Powell River, (b) 7, (c) \$20,000; (a) Powell River and District Youth Services Council, Powell River, (b) 8, (c) \$20,031; (a) Sevenoaks Children's Centre, Victoria, (b) 24, (c) \$57,305; (a) Vanderhoof and District Association for the Mentally Retarded, Vanderhoof, (b) 8, (c) \$17,000; (a) Vancouver Association for the Mentally Retarded, Vancouver (b) 14, (c) \$33,723.37; (a) Vernon and District Association for the Retarded, Vernon, (b) 50, (c) \$5,333; (a) Victoria Churches Group Homes Association, Victoria, (b) 6, (c) \$10,230; (a) Youth Resources Society, Vancouver, (b) 46, (c) \$32,895."

140 Mr. Clark asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:

With regard to the advertising campaign to discourage drinking and driving during the winter of 1971—

1. Was the campaign designed and handled by an advertising agency?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what agency?
3. What was the total expenditure for this campaign?
4. How was this expenditure divided, by media?

The Hon. L. R. Peterson replied as follows:

"1. Yes.

"2. J. Walter Thompson Company Limited.

"3. \$92,889.

"4. Newspapers, \$24,620; radio, \$40,734; television, \$27,535."

And then the House adjourned at 10.35 p.m.

Thursday, March 2, 1972

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. P. C. Rolston.

The Hon. F. X. Richter presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:

JOHN R. NICHOLSON
Lieutenant-Governor

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Petroleum and Natural Gas Act, 1965*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,
March 1, 1972*

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 61) intituled *An Act to Amend the Petroleum and Natural Gas Act, 1965*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *F. X. Richter* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:

JOHN R. NICHOLSON
Lieutenant-Governor

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Pipe-lines Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 1, 1972

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 62) intituled *An Act to Amend the Pipe-lines Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

47. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$54,505 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Minister's Office, to 31st March 1973.

48. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$3,366,771 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, General Administration, to 31st March 1973.

49. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$667,927 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Correspondence School, to 31st March 1973.

50. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$5,779,108 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Curriculum Resources Branch, to 31st March 1973.

51. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,147,595 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Jericho Hill School, to 31st March 1973.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

And then the House adjourned at 6.04 p.m.

Thursday, March 2, 1972

EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

52. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$144,700,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Post-secondary Education and Training, to 31st March 1973.

53. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$15,000,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Capital Expenditures, Provincial Technical and Vocational Schools, and Grants to School Districts, to 31st March 1973.

54. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$260,570,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Grants to School Districts, to 31st March 1973.

55. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$8,520,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Teachers' Superannuation Fund, to 31st March 1973.

56. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,000,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Unemployment Insurance (Teachers'), to 31st March 1973.

57. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$260,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Night-school Grants and Training Programmes, to 31st March 1973.

58. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$40,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Education of Soldiers' Dependent Children and Expenses, to 31st March 1973.

59. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Advances *re* Rural School and Library Taxes, to 31st March 1973.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Hon. *W. D. Black* (Provincial Secretary) presented the Twenty-second Annual Report of the British Columbia Indian Advisory Committee and of the Director, Indian Advisory Act for the year ended December 31, 1971.

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* (Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources) presented the Annual Report of the British Columbia Lands Service of the Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources for the twelve months ended December 31, 1971.

21 Mr. *McGeer* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions: With respect to the Fort Nelson–Fort Simpson Highway in British Columbia—

1. How much money was spent on this highway between January 1, 1971, and January 1, 1972?
2. What is the target date for completion of the British Columbia portion of the highway?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:

- “1. *Nil*.
- “2. Not programmed at this time.”

125 Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions: With reference to the answer to Question 106, Votes and Proceedings, February 11, 1972, and specifically the amount of \$39,942.74 paid by insurance companies for damage to Government vehicles—

1. Was any of this amount paid for damage to Government vehicles that were in the “self-insured” category?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what was the total amount paid for the “self-insured” vehicles?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:

- “1. Yes.
- “2. \$38,907.90.”

133 Mr. *Wolfe* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions: In connection with the policy of our Province in self-insuring Government vehicles and further to Question 106—

1. What was the total number of accidents involving self-insured Government vehicles in the year 1971?
2. In reference to self-insured Government vehicles, what was the total amount paid for outside public liability and property damage arising out of accidents during the year 1971?
3. What was the estimated reserve for unpaid outside liability claims as at December 31, 1967?

4. In connection with self-insured Government vehicles, do the estimated costs of physical damage and outside liability (as recorded in Question 106 and this question) include (a) our office and administrative costs and (b) legal fees?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:

- "1. 1,099.
- "2. \$49,980.60.
- "3. No information available.
- "4. (a) and (b) No."

142 Mr. *Nimsick* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:

With reference to property purchases arising from the flooding to be caused by Libby Dam—

1. How many private properties have been purchased to December 31, 1971?
2. In the case of each property purchased, what was the name of the owner and the purchase price?
3. Do any properties remain to be purchased?
4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, what is the name of each property-owner concerned?
5. Were all the properties appraised?
6. If the answer to No. 5 is no, how many are yet to be appraised and who are the owners concerned?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:

- "1. 47.
- "2. Some owners settled on the basis that the Department would not disclose names or amounts publicly but amounts will ultimately appear in Public Accounts.
- "3. Yes.
- "4. Owners with whom agreement not yet reached are: G. T. Uphill, G. M. and G. A. Munro, Crows Nest Industries Limited, E. and J. Strauss, C. D. Mitchell, Director V.L.A., A. Chernicki, C. A. and R. C. Marcer, B. Palmer (estate), E. N. and M. B. Fitzpatrick, T. A. Lindberg, H. W. Sharp, D. Cullen, C. D. Reay, A. W. Rosicky, Domtar Limited, Kirk Limited, S. and S. C. Hildebrand, A. D. Rosicky, A. J. and J. R. Rosicky, G. L. and M. D. Larsen, City Dairy Limited, K. Johnson, M. Jurik, and J. B. Charlesworth.
- "5. Yes.
- "6. Answered by No. 5."

143 Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:

1. Are there any plans for the completion of the black-topping of the Tula-meen—Coalmont—Princeton Highway?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what are the plans?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:

- "1. Partial plans.
- "2. Reconstruct and pave from Mile 8.7 to 11.5."

144 Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:

1. Were tenders called for the extending of the North Shore Highway east

beyond Ruby Creek to Haig (Project 1864)?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what is the planned completion date?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:

"1. Yes.

"2. Late fall of 1972."

145 Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:

1. With regard to Highway 3 (Hope–Princeton Highway), is consideration being given to straightening out curves, building passing lanes, and generally upgrading this highway?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what improvements are planned and (b) when do you expect these to be undertaken?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:

"1. Yes, constructing more passing lanes.

"2. (a) Various sections and (b) in the 1972/73 fiscal year."

146 Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:

1. Does a dangerous situation exist on the road from Boston Bar between the Canadian National Railways right-of-way and the North Bend aerial ferry?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, are steps being taken to correct the hazard?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:

"1. No.

"2. See No. 1."

147 Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:

1. Has the Department of Highways any plans to upgrade, improve, and resurface the roads in Dodding Subdivision, Lower Nicola?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what are the plans and (b) when will this work commence?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:

"1. Yes.

"2. Minor reconstruction and roadmix paving, 2.83 miles."

148 Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:

1. Will further work be done to improve the Mamit Lake–Highland Valley Road?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, will work be done on straightening and improving and will the present black-top be extended?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:

"1. Yes.

"2. Yes, including black-top extension."

149 Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:

1. With regard to Highway 12 (Lytton–Lillooet), is consideration being given to straightening out curves, building passing lanes, and generally upgrading this highway?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what improvements are planned and (b) when do you expect these to be undertaken?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:

“1. Only resurfacing with minor betterments at this time.

“2. (a) *See* No. 1 and (b) fiscal year 1972/73.”

150 Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:

1. Is there an access road between Hope and Merritt via the Coquihalla?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, does the Department of Highways intend opening this Coquihalla short route to the public for limited access—say on weekends and week-days between 5 p.m. and 8 a.m.?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:

“1. Yes, a private road in part.

“2. No.”

151 Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:

1. Has the Department any plans for black-topping the road between Lillooet, Shalalth, and Gold Bridge?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what are the plans?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:

“1. No.

“2. *See* No. 1.”

152 Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:

1. Does a dangerous situation exist on the Lytton Access Road north to the Thompson River Bridge on Highway 12?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, are steps being taken to correct the hazard?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:

“1. No.

“2. *See* No. 1.”

153 Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:

1. Has the Department of Highways any plans to improve and extend the road between Squamish and Lillooet?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what are the plans?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:

“1. Yes.

“2. Commence improvement of present forestry access and logging road via Duffy Lake.”

154 Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:

1. Is action being taken to improve the road between D'Arcy and Seaton Portage?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what action is being taken?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:

"1. No.

"2. See No. 1."

155 Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:

1. Are there plans to extend and improve to four lanes British Columbia Highway 1 from Hope west to Floods?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what are the plans, (b) has a contract been let, and (c) when will work commence?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:

"1. Yes.

"2. (a) It is expected that a contract will be called for the above section in the 1972/73 fiscal year, (b) no, see No. 2 (a), and (c) not known, see No. 2 (a)."

And then the House adjourned at 11.25 p.m.

Friday, March 3, 1972

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *Harold Pendray*.

On the motion of Mr. *Macdonald*, Bill (No. 64) intituled *An Act to Amend the Libel and Slander Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.

Report to be considered at the next sitting.

Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Hon. *W. D. Black* (Provincial Secretary) presented the Overall Medical Services Plan of British Columbia Operating Statement for the year ended March 31, 1971.

45 Mr. *Cocke* asked the Hon. the Provincial Secretary the following questions:

With reference to the operation of the British Columbia Overall Medical Services Plan for 1970/71, including coverage by certified carriers—

1. What was the total number of persons, excluding dependents, covered under the family rate?

2. What was the total number of persons covered under the single rate?

3. What was the total number of dependents covered?

4. Did any of the persons covered in Nos. 1 and 2 receive premium subsidies of 90 or 50 per cent?

5. If the answer to No. 4 is yes, how many families receive (a) 90 per cent or (b) 50 per cent subsidy, and how many single persons receive (a) 90 per cent or (b) 50 per cent subsidy?

6. What was the average claim payment per family?

7. What was the average payment for service?

8. What was the *per capita* cost of insured services?

9. What was the *per capita* administration cost?

10. What percentage of the total costs was for administration?

11. In the case of each individual carrier, (a) what was the total number of persons covered, (b) what were the total premiums received, and (c) what was the total amount of reimbursement for (i) salaries and (ii) general office expense?

12. With reference to the total amount paid for physicians' services, how much was for medical services and how much for surgical services?

13. How many doctors rendered services under the Plan?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:

"1. As at March 31, 1971, under the family rate of two, 188,642, and under the family rate of three or more, 318,311.

"2. As at March 31, 1971, 366,612.

"3. As at March 31, 1971, 1,285,491.

"4. Yes.

"5. As at March 31, 1971: (a) Families receiving 90 per cent subsidy, family of two, 32,188; family of three or more, 30,739; total, 62,927; (b) families receiving 50 per cent subsidy, family of two, 10,822; family of three or more, 6,825; total, 17,647; single persons receiving (a) 90 per cent subsidy, 133,109; and (b) 50 per cent subsidy, 21,438.

"6. This information not available.

"7. From the figures available as at March 31, 1971, \$7.61.

"8. The average monthly *per capita* cost of insured services for the period ended March 31, 1971, was \$5.16.

"9. The average monthly *per capita* cost of administration for the period ended March 31, 1971, was 23.7 cents.

"10. 4.49 per cent.

"11. (a) Persons covered under the Overall Medical Services Plan of British Columbia as at March 31, 1971, through licensed carriers: British Columbia Medical Plan, 934,790; C.U. & C. Health Services Society, 239,059; Medical Services Association, 985,207; total, 2,159,056.

"(b) Premiums received and remitted to the Medical Services Commission through licensed carriers: British Columbia Medical Plan, \$24,538,065.37; Canadian Pacific Employees' Medical Association, \$121,692.70; C.U. & C. Health Services Society, \$9,641,614.68; Medical Services Association, \$39,348,751.88; total, \$73,650,124.63.

“(c) (i) Reimbursement for proportionate salaries and employee benefits: British Columbia Medical Plan, \$1,457,376.09; Canadian Pacific Employees’ Medical Association, \$13,027.71; C.U. & C. Health Services Society, \$599,281.23; Medical Services Association, \$1,440,445.09; (ii) reimbursement for proportionate general office expenses: British Columbia Medical Plan, \$1,195,020.79; Canadian Pacific Employees’ Medical Association, \$11,054.28; C.U. & C. Health Services Society, \$296,653.20; Medical Services Association, \$886,146.64.

“12. This information not available.

“13. This information not available.”

89 Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Provincial Secretary the following questions:

With reference to privately owned “approved laboratories” under the Medical Services Act Regulations—

1. Have any private laboratories been approved?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how many have been approved, (b) in each one approved what are the names of the owner or owners, shareholders, and financial beneficiaries of all categories, and (c) in the case of each laboratory what are the total amounts paid since the date of its approval by the Overall Medical Services Plan?

3. Have any doctors been given authority to refer to laboratories in which they have a direct or indirect interest?

4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, what is the name of the doctor and the name of the laboratory in each case?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* stated that, in his opinion, the reply should be in the form of a Return and that he had no objection to laying such Return upon the table of the House, and thereupon presented such Return.

96 Mr. *Cocke* asked the Hon. the Provincial Secretary the following questions:

With reference to investments made by the Overall Medical Services Plan of British Columbia for the fiscal year 1970/71—

1. What was the total amount invested?

2. What are the full details of each amount invested, including the rate of interest being received?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:

“1. As at March 31, 1971, \$39,530,000.

“2. As at March 31, 1971: Toronto-Dominion Bank, 7 per cent, 5-year term, due March 1, 1976, \$10,000,000; Bank of Montreal, 6 per cent, 5-year term, due March 19, 1976, \$5,000,000; Royal Bank of Canada, 5.9 per cent, 50-day term, due April 13, 1971, \$4,000,000; Toronto-Dominion Bank, 5.75 per cent, 49-day term, due April 13, 1971, \$2,000,000; Bank of British Columbia, 5.05 per cent, 33-day term, due April 5, 1971, \$1,000,000; Toronto-Dominion Bank, 4.02 per cent, 24-day term, due April 5, 1971, \$1,000,000; Bank of British Columbia, 4.25 per cent, 62-day term, due May 17, 1971, \$4,000,000; Toronto-Dominion Bank, 3.5 per cent, 35-day term, due April 26, 1971, \$2,000,000; Toronto-Dominion Bank, 4.06 per cent, 114-day term, due July 15, 1971, \$2,000,000; Bank of Nova Scotia, 3.5 per cent, 36-day term, due May 5, 1971, \$2,000,000; Bank of British Columbia, 3.52 per cent, 47-day term, due May 17, 1971, \$2,000,000; British Columbia Hydro, 7 per cent parities, matures August 15, 1972, \$4,530,000.”

124 Mr. *Cocke* asked the Hon. the Provincial Secretary the following questions:

With reference to the Financial Statement of the Overall Medical Services Plan, 1970/71—

1. What was the total amount disbursed for physicians' fees?
2. What was the amount disbursed for diagnostic tests?
3. What were the specific types of diagnostic tests ordered and the total amount disbursed in each type?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* stated that, in his opinion, the reply should be in the form of a Return and that he had no objection to laying such Return upon the table of the House, and thereupon presented such Return.

130 Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Provincial Secretary the following questions:

With reference to laboratories and radiological facilities in public hospitals—

1. Does the Medical Services Commission have the authority to designate these facilities as approved facilities and grant them a "Certificate of Approval"?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) does the Commission make any charge to the hospital for this certificate and (b) does the Commission require a hospital granted a certificate to obtain any other form of certification from any other Crown or private agency?
3. If the answer to No. 2 (b) is yes, (a) what is the agency and under what legislation is it empowered to grant further approval and (b) does the Commission refuse to pay for the use of approved facilities to the hospital until approval from another agency has been granted to the hospital?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:

- "1. Yes.
- "2. (a) and (b) No.
- "3. Not applicable."

156 Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following questions:

With regard to Snass Creek, Paradise Valley—

1. Did the Princeton and District Fish and Game Club apply to have this area set aside as a recreational reserve?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what action has been taken with regard to their request?

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* replied as follows:

- "1. Yes.
- "2. The area was examined and found to be more suited and more needed for other uses than for exclusive recreational use."

The Hon. *C. M. Shelford*, on behalf of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* (Premier), presented The Milk Board Annual Report for the year ended December 31, 1971.

The House proceeded to the Order "Presenting Petitions."

Mrs. *Kripps* presented the petition of James Lorne Patrick, Brian Milton Rudkin, and Philip Thomas Sampson for leave to introduce a Private Bill intituled *An Act to Incorporate Vanco Insurance Company*.

On the motion of Mrs. *Kripps* the Rules were suspended and the petition of James Lorne Patrick, Brian Milton Rudkin, and Philip Thomas Sampson was *Ordered* received.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. on Monday next.

And then the House adjourned at 5.55 p.m.

Monday, March 6, 1972

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *A. J. C. Johnson*.

On the motion of Mr. *Ney*, Bill (No. 69) intituled *Mobile Home Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Chairman reported progress.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

And then the House adjourned at 6 p.m.

Monday, March 6, 1972

EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Mr. Speaker made the following statement:

Honourable Members—I am advised that answers to Questions 89 and 124 were not tabled in the form of Returns on Friday, March 3 and, accordingly, the answers will be printed in the Votes and Proceedings of today's date.

The Hon. *F. X. Richter* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:

JOHN R. NICHOLSON
Lieutenant-Governor

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *Sunshine Comstock Mines Limited (Non-Personal Liability) Mineral Claims Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 3, 1972

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 47) intituled *Sunshine Comstock Mines Limited (Non-Personal Liability) Mineral Claims Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of the Hon. *F. X. Richter* the Rules were suspended to permit the moving, without notice, of the following motion: "That the subject-matter of Bill (No. 47) be referred to the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills for consideration and report."

Motion agreed to.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

87. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$58,564 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Minister's Office, to 31st March 1973.

88. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$296,194 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Accounting Division, to 31st March 1973.

89. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,923,614 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, General Services, to 31st March 1973.

90. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$500,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Development of Alternative Care Facilities, to 31st March 1973.

91. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$561,994 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Division for Aid to Handicapped, to 31st March 1973.

92. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$20,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, *Hearing-aid Regulation Act*, to 31st March 1973.

93. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$713,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Grants for Health Agencies, to 31st March 1973.

94. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$100,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Cancer Control (Including Grants, Etc.), to 31st March 1973.

95. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$100,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Narcotic Addiction Treatment Centres, to 31st March 1973.

96. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$600,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Public Health Research, to 31st March 1973.

97. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$545,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Grants and Subsidies for Local Health Services, to 31st March 1973.

98. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$6,698,029 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Local Health Services, to 31st March 1973.

99. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$937,608 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Division of Laboratories, to 31st March 1973.

100. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$679,964 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Division of Vital Statistics, to 31st March 1973.

101. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$243,552 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Division of Venereal Disease Control, to 31st March 1973.

102. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$965,137 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Division of Tuberculosis Control, Administration and Out-patient Care, to 31st March 1973.

103. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,835,656 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Division of Tuberculosis Control, In-patient Care, to 31st March 1973.

104. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,050,910 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Mental Health Services, General Administration, to 31st March 1973.

105. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,260,504 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Mental Health Services, Division of Nursing Education, to 31st March 1973.

106. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$4,027,706 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Mental Health Services, Community Services, to 31st March 1973.

107. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$38,684,388 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Mental Health Services, In-patient Care, to 31st March 1973.

108. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$231,868,344 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Hospital Insurance Services, Hospital Insurance Service, to 31st March 1973.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

50 Mrs. *Daily* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following questions:

In the case of each school district in the Province—

1. What is the number of approved instructional units for 1972?
2. What is the number of approved special classes for 1972?
3. What was the total student enrolment as of October 1971?

The Hon. *D. L. Brothers* replied as follows:

School District No. Name	Instructional Units	Special Classes	Pupil Enrolment (F.T.E.)
1 Fernie.....	122	7.5	3,018
2 Cranbrook.....	170	9	4,100
3 Kimberley.....	97	5	2,247
4 Windermere.....	61	2	1,381
7 Nelson.....	207	7	4,700
9 Castlegar.....	118	3	2,752
10 Arrow Lakes.....	48	---	1,068
11 Trail.....	234	13.5	5,498
12 Grand Forks.....	62	5	1,476
13 Kettle Valley.....	31	1	692
14 Southern Okanagan.....	96	6	2,265
15 Penticton.....	215	12	5,085
16 Keremeos.....	29	.5	655
17 Princeton.....	41	2	961
18 Golden.....	74	3.5	1,780
19 Revelstoke.....	97	5	2,316
21 Armstrong-Spallumcheen.....	48	2.5	1,151
22 Vernon.....	285	18	6,806
23 Kelowna.....	510	25	12,479
24 Kamloops.....	659	44	15,882
26 Birch Island.....	45	1	1,045
27 Williams Lake.....	271	7.5	6,467
28 Quesnel.....	206	6.5	4,935
29 Lillooet.....	41	4	976
30 South Cariboo.....	94	5.5	2,292
31 Merritt.....	103	7.5	2,520
32 Hope.....	75	5.5	1,799
33 Chilliwack.....	387	27.5	9,210
34 Abbotsford.....	338	18.5	8,097
35 Langley.....	310	22	7,425
36 Surrey.....	1,176	74	28,663
37 Delta.....	509	25	12,662
38 Richmond.....	681	31.5	16,630
39 Vancouver.....	2,908	235.5	71,200

School District No. Name	Instructional Units	Special Classes	Pupil Enrolment (F.T.E.)
40 New Westminster.....	266	15.5	6,395
41 Burnaby.....	1,146	58	27,880
42 Maple Ridge.....	291	16	7,026
43 Coquitlam.....	990	58.5	24,572
44 North Vancouver.....	906	59	21,875
45 West Vancouver.....	344	22	8,301
46 Sechelt.....	99	6	2,355
47 Powell River.....	200	11.5	4,737
48 Howe Sound.....	107	9	2,566
49 Ocean Falls.....	38	2.5	900
50 Queen Charlotte.....	53	2	1,259
52 Prince Rupert.....	166	8	4,128
54 Smithers.....	108	6	2,625
55 Burns Lake.....	67	3.5	1,601
56 Vanderhoof.....	122	5	2,929
57 Prince George.....	717	41	17,824
59 Peace River South.....	263	12.5	6,417
60 Peace River North.....	217	7	5,298
61 Greater Victoria.....	1,271	85.5	30,899
62 Sooke.....	280	13	6,806
63 Saanich.....	224	14.5	5,326
64 Gulf Islands.....	34	2	781
65 Cowichan.....	250	19	5,946
66 Lake Cowichan.....	69	3	1,637
67 Ladysmith.....	107	6	2,504
68 Nanaimo.....	441	24	10,567
69 Qualicum.....	84	4.5	1,939
70 Alberni.....	353	25	8,702
71 Courtenay.....	302	18.5	7,393
72 Campbell River.....	220	13	5,325
75 Mission.....	159	8	3,639
76 Agassiz.....	44	3.5	1,005
77 Summerland.....	54	2	1,280
80 Kitimat.....	139	10	3,486
81 Fort Nelson.....	41	---	1,020
84 Vancouver Island West.....	42	1	965
85 Vancouver Island North.....	112	4	2,733
86 Creston-Kaslo.....	127	3	2,969
87 Stikine.....	17	---	391
88 Skeena-Cassiar.....	231	16	5,578
89 Shuswap.....	219	10.5	5,094

67 Mr. *Hall* asked the Hon. the Minister of Labour the following questions:

1. Were any claims presented to the Workmen's Compensation Counsellor during 1971?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how many claims were presented?
3. What percentage of the total claims received by the Board was referred to the Counsellor?
4. Is a breakdown into type of injuries of the claims referred to the Counsellor available?

The Hon. *J. R. Chabot* replied as follows:

- "1. Yes.
- "2. 732 Workmen's Compensation claims were presented to Compensation Consultant and Compensation Counsellor.
- "3. 0.6 per cent.
- "4. No."

89 Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Provincial Secretary the following questions:
With reference to privately owned "approved laboratories" under the Medical Services Act Regulations—

1. Have any private laboratories been approved?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how many have been approved, (b) in each one approved what are the names of the owner or owners, shareholders, and financial beneficiaries of all categories, and (c) in the case of each laboratory what are the total amounts paid since the date of its approval by the Overall Medical Services Plan?
3. Have any doctors been given authority to refer to laboratories in which they have a direct or indirect interest?
4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, what is the name of the doctor and the name of the laboratory in each case?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:

"1. Yes, but on an interim restricted temporary basis only; the Medical Services Commission has issued to date only interim temporary approvals while investigation, analyses of quality, collation of accurate data and reviews continue. In order to control and designate the type or types of procedures to be approved as insured services when performed at a facility, the Commission considered first three broad classifications as pathology, electrodiagnosis, and radiology and, second, under pathology, established five subcategories, as Category 3—full range of tests; Category 2A—a restricted range of hæmatology tests; Category 2B—a restricted range of microbiology tests; Category 2C—a restricted range of clinical chemistry tests; and Category 1, which included only the 11 specified tests that all physicians may perform for their patients as insured services under the regulations.

"2. (a) No private laboratory has been issued an interim certificate approving the full range of diagnostic laboratory services covered under the regulations. Examples: Echoencephalograms have been approved for major hospital facilities only, but five private facilities of practising neurologists have been issued temporary certificates for electroencephalograms; 15 private pathology laboratories (some operating from more than one address) have been issued interim temporary approval at the full Category 3 pathology level; 25 private facilities operated by radiologists have been issued temporary approval for radiology only; some 57 clinics, group practices, and partnerships have been issued interim certificates in various restricted categories in pathology Category 2 and Category 1 and a few of these have been temporarily approved also for radiology services; six group practices or partnerships have been issued temporary certificates for radiology only; a number of other temporary certificates have been issued for restricted ranges of tests for single practitioners operating laboratories particularly in the more isolated areas of the Province.

"(b) Complete information and full accurate data are still being collated and an accurate answer is not available at this time.

"(c) Information not available as interim certificates were effective November 15, 1971, and few claims for services rendered from that date have yet been processed for payment.

"3. In the practice of medicine, practically all physicians perform some diagnostic laboratory procedures from which they derive a direct or indirect financial benefit and particularly in group practice or clinic practice there are laboratory facilities of varying types; control is effected within quality standards by the restricted range of tests approved as stipulated in the temporary approvals. Of the 15 private pathology laboratories to which interim approval at Category 3 level has been issued, during the interim period of approval, referrals from physicians with

a financial interest are acceptable in three cases only—Northmount Medical Laboratory Services, North Vancouver, with referrals acceptable from Dr. W. Boldt, Internist and Specialist in Hæmatology; Clinical Laboratory Services (Inland Medical Laboratory Ltd.), Chilliwack, which shareholders include nearly all actively practising physicians in Chilliwack; and Vancouver Island Laboratories Ltd., in Nanaimo, with referrals acceptable from Drs. B. A. Horner and H. C. Welch, Internists. In electrodiagnosis only major hospitals have been approved for echoencephalograms; there are five private facilities of neurologists that received temporary approval for electroencephalograms and the practitioners, of course, have a financial interest in the work they perform. In radiology, 25 X-ray laboratories operated by radiologists have been issued temporary certificates of approval for radiology only and received referrals from other physicians with no interest in the facility. In others, as clinics or group practices, some with X-ray facilities (examples are Burris Clinic, Kamloops; Knox Clinic, Kelowna; Underhill Clinic, Kelowna; etc.), the practising physician partners have a direct or indirect financial interest. Until studies are complete an accurate and full answer is not available as yet.

“4. As listed below:

“1. Private pathology laboratories *with* qualified pathologist (solo practice): Nicolson Clinical Laboratory (Category 2), Port Coquitlam.

“2. Private pathology laboratories *with* qualified pathologist (groups, clinics, partnerships):

Inland Laboratories.....	Kamloops.
Valley Medical Laboratories.....	Kelowna.
B.C. Bio Medical Labs Ltd.....	Vancouver (various addresses).
Richmond Bio-Medical Labs.....	Vancouver (various addresses).
North Shore Medical Labs.....	North and West Vancouver.
Associated Clinical Labs Ltd.....	Vancouver (various addresses).
McNair Clinical Lab.....	Vancouver (various addresses).
Metropolitan Bio-Medical Lab.....	Vancouver (various addresses).
Vancouver Medical Laboratory.....	Vancouver.
Fairmont Medical Laboratories.....	Vancouver.
Fort Bio Medical Laboratory Ltd. (Category 2).....	Victoria.
Victoria Medical Laboratory.....	Victoria.
Yates Medical Laboratory.....	Victoria.

“3. Private pathology laboratories *with* qualified pathologist (with referring interests):

Clinical Laboratory Service.....	Chilliwack.
Vancouver Island Labs Ltd.....	Nanaimo.
Northmount Medical Lab Services.....	North Vancouver.

“4. Private restricted pathology laboratories *with no* qualified pathologist (solo practice):

Houston Medical Centre Ltd.....	Houston.
No. 5 Laboratory.....	Richmond.
Park Medical.....	Richmond.
Jenkins Laboratory.....	Rossland.
Surrey Medical Laboratory.....	Surrey.
Terrace Medical Labs.....	Terrace.
Willow Medical Laboratory.....	Vancouver.
Burrard Medical Laboratory.....	Vancouver.
Dr. W. L. Manning.....	Vancouver.
Dr. R. D. MacLaren.....	Vancouver.
Linten Laboratory.....	Victoria.

“5. Private restricted pathology laboratories *with no* qualified pathologist (groups, partners, clinics):

Medical Associates.....	Burnaby.
Drs. D. Mann and J. Dale.....	Burns Lake.
Dr. J. U. Coleman and Associates.....	Duncan.
The Fernie Medical Centre.....	Fernie.
Fort Nelson Medical Clinic.....	Fort Nelson.
Dr. L. C. Capling and Associates.....	Haney.
The Underhill Clinic Laboratory.....	Kelowna.

Knox Clinic Laboratory.....	Kelowna.
J. F. Haszard Clinic Laboratory.....	Kimberley.
Ladysmith Laboratories Ltd.....	Ladysmith.
The Medical Arts Centre.....	Nanaimo.
Caledonian Medical Surgical Clinic.....	Nanaimo.
Drs. H. C. Welch and B. A. Horner.....	Nanaimo.
Penticton Medical Arts Lab.....	Penticton.
Dr. J. L. Anderson and Associates.....	Port Alberni.
The Medical Clinic.....	Powell River.
Mark V Medical Laboratory.....	Prince George.
Prince George Medical Lab Ltd.....	Prince George.
Selkirk Medical Laboratory.....	Revelstoke.
Drs. G. Evans and I. M. Puetz.....	Richmond.
F.P.A. Services Limited.....	Rutland.
Kunechin Laboratories & Services Ltd.....	Sechelt.
Drs. Schmok, Beaton, MacDonald, Wong, and Fraser.....	Surrey.
Omineca Medical Laboratories.....	Terrace.
Hycroft Medical Laboratory Ltd.....	Vancouver.
Kirkpatrick & Sandilands Lab.....	Vancouver.
Killarney Medical Laboratory.....	Vancouver.
Oakridge Clinical Laboratory.....	Vancouver.
Drs. Piderman, Purkis, and Irvine.....	Vancouver.
Westbrook Laboratory.....	Vancouver.
North Shore Medical Dental Corporation Laboratory.....	North Vancouver.
Omineca Clinic.....	Vanderhoof.
The Medical Clinic.....	Vernon.
Drs. Kenning and Kenning.....	Victoria.
Medical Arts Laboratory.....	Victoria.
Hilltop Laboratory Limited.....	White Rock.
"6. Private X-ray laboratories <i>with</i> qualified radiologist (solo practice):	
Dr. J. G. McPhee.....	Coquitlam.
Dr. Kim H. Tan.....	Delta.
Dr. C. L. Hsu.....	Kimberley.
Columbian X-ray Associates.....	Vancouver.
Dr. H. Jackh.....	Victoria.
Dr. J. A. MacDonell.....	Victoria (various addresses).
"7. Private X-ray laboratories <i>with</i> qualified radiologist (groups, partnerships):	
Associated Roentgenologists.....	Langley (various addresses).
Kelowna Radiology.....	Kelowna.
Drs. Smart and Levell.....	Nanaimo.
Drs. Frinton, Fraser, and Keogh.....	New Westminster (various addresses).
Drs. Turnbull and Waldman.....	Parksville.
Drs. Lim, Lew, and Van Noort.....	Prince George.
Drs. Miller and McIver.....	Vancouver.
Dr. K. A. Elliot and Associates.....	Vancouver.
Drs. H. I. Brown and A. E. Lau.....	Vancouver (various addresses).
Drs. Trueman, Donovan, Garrow, Olacke, Longley, and Knickerbocker.....	Vancouver.
Drs. Dickey, Sloan, Norton, Greig, and Chetwynd.....	Vancouver (various addresses).
Drs. Stevenson, Campbell, and Associates.....	Vancouver (various addresses).
X-ray Associates.....	Vancouver (various addresses).
Eastvan X-ray Associates.....	Vancouver.
Associated Diagnostic Radiologists.....	Vancouver (various addresses).
Woodley and Fischer Radiological Services.....	Vernon.
Drs. Card, Wiens, and Bowsby.....	Burnaby (various addresses).
Drs. Brooke, Tait, and Associates.....	Vancouver (various addresses).
Drs. Bonnell, Roberts, Edmison, and Henry.....	Victoria (various addresses).

- "8. Private X-ray laboratories with *no* qualified radiologist (solo practice):
- | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------|
| Chase Medical Building Lab..... | Chase. |
| Gold River Medical Clinic..... | Gold River. |
| Dr. D. G. Vallis..... | Greenwood. |
| Morrison Medical Clinic..... | Hope. |
| Dr. S. G. Calleia..... | Tahsis. |
- "9. Private X-ray laboratories with *no* qualified radiologist (groups, partners):
- | | |
|--|-----------------|
| F. W. Green Clinic..... | Cranbrook. |
| Drs. S. R. Arber and M. Terwiel..... | Haney. |
| Medical Clinic..... | Invermere. |
| Tsawwassen Clinic..... | Ladner. |
| Drs. J. A. Berkeley and L. Wobick..... | Port Moody. |
| Elgin Medical Clinic..... | Port Coquitlam. |
- "10. Private restricted pathology and radiology laboratories (solo practice):
- | | |
|---|-----------------|
| Fort St. James Clinic..... | Fort St. James. |
| Dr. W. C. Carnaghan..... | Kitsault. |
| Dr. L. C. Kindree and Associates Ltd..... | Squamish. |
- "11. Private restricted pathology and radiology laboratories (groups, partners, clinics):
- | | |
|---|----------------|
| Drs. Sibley and Monks..... | Coquitlam. |
| Drs. Mewhort, Morrow, and Walshe..... | Lake Cowichan. |
| The Medical Clinic..... | Creston. |
| Dawson Creek Medical Clinic Lab..... | Dawson Creek. |
| Cowichan Medical Laboratories..... | Duncan. |
| Fernie Medical Centre..... | Fernie. |
| The Leroux Clinic..... | Fernie. |
| Caledonian X-ray..... | Nanaimo. |
| Osoyoos Medical Centre..... | Osoyoos. |
| Greene Clinic..... | Prince Rupert. |
| Sparwood Medical Services Ltd..... | Sparwood. |
| Medical Associates..... | Trail. |
| Doctors Medical Clinic..... | Victoria. |
| Seymour Medical Clinic..... | Vancouver. |
| Medical Associate Clinic..... | Nelson. |
| Burris Clinic..... | Kamloops. |
| Irving Clinic..... | Kamloops. |
| The Medical Clinic..... | Fort St. John. |
| Associate Medical Clinic..... | Cranbrook. |
| Medical Clinic of Castlegar and Kinnaird..... | Castlegar. |
| C. S. Williams Clinic..... | Trail. |
- "12. Private electrodiagnostic (E.E.G.'s only) (practising neurologists):
- | | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Fairmont E.E.G. Laboratory..... | Vancouver. |
| Lonsdale Laboratories Limited..... | North Vancouver. |
| Valley E.E.G. Laboratories Ltd..... | New Westminster and Burnaby. |
| Dr. W. R. Lysak..... | Victoria. |
| Theta Electrodiagnostic Labs Ltd..... | Victoria." |

105 Mr. Hall asked the Hon. the Minister of Labour the following questions:

With reference to the *Payment of Wages Act* for the year 1971—

1. Is a record maintained by the Board in respect of the number of complaints received of nonpayment of wages?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how many complaints were received by the Board in respect of nonpayment of wages, (b) how many cases were settled in favour of the employee, (c) how many cases were unable to be settled, (d) how many certificates under section 5 were issued, (e) how many prosecutions were initiated by the Board, and (f) what were the results of those prosecutions?

The Hon. J. R. Chabot replied as follows:

"1. No.

"2. See No. 1. See Annual Report for information concerning the *Payment of Wages Act*."