

**Tuesday, March 12, 1963**

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *Ward F. DeBeck*.

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act Respecting the Public Trustee*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*March 11, 1963.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 43) intituled *An Act Respecting the Public Trustee*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.  
Report adopted.  
Bill introduced and read a first time.  
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today:—

On the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*—Bill (No. 30) intituled *An Act to Amend the Credit Unions Act, 1961*.

On the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*—Bill (No. 35) intituled *An Act to Amend the Wives' and Children's Maintenance Act*.

On the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*—Bill (No. 34) intituled *An Act to Amend the Attachment of Debts Act*.

On the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*—Bill (No. 36) intituled *An Act to Amend the Administration Act*.

On the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*—Bill (No. 41) intituled *An Act to Amend the Insurance Act*.

On the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*—Bill (No. 40) intituled *An Act to Amend the Small Debts Courts Act*.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. D. Black*—Bill (No. 44) intituled *An Act to Amend the Children of Unmarried Parents Act*.

On the motion of Mr. *Macdonald*—Bill (No. 49) intituled *An Act to Amend the Trade-unions Act*.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

140. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$39,684 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1964.

The Committee reported the Resolution.  
Report to be considered at the next sitting.  
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* (Minister of Labour) presented the Forty-sixth Annual Report of the Workmen's Compensation Board of the Province of British Columbia for the year ended December 31, 1962.

Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Labour the following question:—  
What is the total number of injunctions issued in labour disputes in each year since 1952 to the present?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* replied as follows:—  
“A record of the number of injunctions issued by the Courts is not maintained by the Department of Labour.”

Mr. *Eddie* asked the Hon. the Minister of Labour the following questions:—  
With respect to commercial fishermen applying for workmen's compensation as independent operators during 1962:—

1. Did any apply for compensation and, if so, how many and how many in each month?
2. What was the total number of months where compensation coverage was provided?
3. What were the total payments paid to the Workmen's Compensation Board therefor?
4. How many claims were made for the following: (a) Death claims, (b) claims for temporary total disability, (c) claims for permanent partial disability, and (d) claims for permanent total disability?
5. How many of the claims were approved and how many were rejected?
6. What was the total amount of money paid out by the Workmen's Compensation Board pursuant thereto?

7. Were any of the claims rejected solely on the grounds that the fishermen concerned had not paid a premium for coverage?

With respect to commercial fishermen who suffered industrial accidents during 1962:—

8. Did any suffer fatal accidents?

9. If the answer to No. 8 is yes, how many were covered by workmen's compensation under (a) the independent operators' plan and (b) those sections of the Act which define certain categories of fishermen as workmen?

10. Did any commercial fishermen suffer either temporary total disability, permanent partial disability, or permanent total disability and, if so, how many of these were covered by workmen's compensation (a) as workmen under the Act or (b) as independent operators?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* replied as follows:—

" 1. Yes, 1,687, as follows: January, 125; February, 49; March, 224; April, 252; May, 574; June, 299; July, 117; August, 27; September, 12; October, *nil*; November, 8; and December, *nil*.

" 2. 8,808.

" 3. \$69,553.10.

" 4. (a) Four, (b) sixty-six, (c) one, and (d) none.

" 5. Sixty were approved and eleven were disallowed.

" 6. \$144,949.09. This amount does not include any provision for amounts still to be paid or unfinalled claims or any provision for administration charges or other special levies to the class funds.

" 7. No.

" 8. Yes.

" 9. (a) Four and (b) eight.

" 10. Yes, (a) 157 and (b) fifty-six."

Mr. *Calder* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

1. With reference to the Alaska-Yukon-British Columbia Conference, when and where was the last conference held?

2. Who attended the conference?

3. What were the mutual economic interests considered?

4. What were the agreements reached?

5. When and where will the next conference be held?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

" 1. The last Alaska-Yukon-British Columbia Conference was held in Juneau, Alaska, on June 20 and 21, 1961.

" 2. The Governor of Alaska, the Commissioner of Yukon Territory, and the Premier of British Columbia headed the delegations from each jurisdiction.

" 3. Consideration was given to the following subjects of mutual interest: Transportation, tourism, fishing, oil and gas industry, forestry, mining, agriculture, and power development.

" 4. No formal agreements were made but a greater understanding of the problems of mutual interest was achieved. Reports of both conferences are printed and available.

" 5. The next conference will be held in September, 1963, in Whitehorse, Yukon Territory."

Mr. Calder asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following questions:—

1. With reference to the Hecate Sustained-yield Unit, what is the present estimated annual allowable cut?
2. What companies hold quotas in the unit?
3. What percentage of the total cut is held by the quota holders?
4. Is the timber in the unit over-committed or under-committed, and by how much?

The Hon. R. G. Williston replied as follows:—

“ 1. Hecate Sustained-yield Unit, allowable annual cut, 15,500 M cubic feet as at March 6, 1963, as follows:—

Licensee	Assigned Annual Commitment (Quota) M Cubic Feet	Percentage of Allowable Annual Cut
Bay Lumber Company Limited	1,168	7.53
British Columbia Forest Products	862	5.56
Boyle & Dean Logging Limited	253	1.63
Carlson, Nels	7	0.04
Carlson, N., and Proctor, J.	1	0.01
Crown Zellerbach (Canada) Limited	690	4.41
Donaldson, J. J.	181	1.17
Donaldson Logging Company	147	0.95
Douglas Channel Sawmills Limited	1,562	10.08
Fleetwood Logging Company Limited	486	3.13
G & I Logging Limited	41	0.26
Hanson, Axel	19	0.12
Hoffman, Ervin	80	0.52
Iverson, Norman, and Bergman, John G.	15	0.12
Jetter, Charles R.	53	0.34
Jetter & Johanson Logging Company Limited	55	0.35
Johnson, Walter, and Duplisse, Victor	23	0.15
Lambert, Borje	150	0.97
Lewis, Charles L.	45	0.29
McKay, Harold J.	117	0.76
McNee, Peter E.	19	0.12
Morberg, Nils Rudolph	284	1.83
Murray, J. R., Timber Limited	369	2.38
Pohle Lumber Company Limited	59	0.38
Prince Rupert Sawmills Limited	3,129	20.20
Rae, James Bernard	77	0.50
Rae Logging Limited	4	0.02
Scotton Logging Company Limited	1,041	6.73
Scotton Logging Company Limited and Prince Rupert	485	3.13
Skeena Forest Products Limited	44	0.28
Skeena River Timber Company Limited	1,413	9.12
Skoglund Logging Limited	264	1.71
Western Plywoods Limited	233	1.50
Witty, Stanley, and Lambert, Borje	151	0.97
Witty, Stanley	65	0.42
Yukon Lumber Company Limited	1,091	7.05
District Forester	817	5.27
Total	15,500	100.00

“ NOTE.—The above-listed assigned commitments are subject to change due to timber-sale assignments and new timber sales being offered for auction.

“ 2. See above table.

“ 3. See above table.

“ 4. Fully committed.”

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

### Wednesday, March 13, 1963

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. Dr. *A. W. R. Ashley*.

The Hon. *W. D. Black* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act Respecting Training-schools for Children*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*March 12, 1963.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 46) intituled *An Act Respecting Training-schools for Children*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.  
Report adopted.  
Bill introduced and read a first time.  
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act Respecting the Boundaries of the Land Adjacent to the Okanagan River*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*March 12, 1963.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

## (IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 47) intituled *An Act Respecting the Boundaries of the Land Adjacent to the Okanagan River*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.  
Report adopted.  
Bill introduced and read a first time.  
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Motor-vehicle Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*March 12, 1963.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

## (IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 45) intituled *An Act to Amend the Motor-vehicle Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.  
Report adopted.  
Bill introduced and read a first time.  
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith amendments to Bill (No. 10) intituled *An Act to Amend the Succession Duty Act*, enclosed herewith, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*March 13, 1963.*

## (ENCLOSURE)

Section 2: To strike out clauses (a), (b), and (c) of section 2 and substitute the following:—

“(a) By striking out the definition of ‘child’ in subsection (1) and substituting:—

“‘child’ means

“(a) a legitimate child of the deceased;

“(b) an illegitimate child of a deceased mother; or

“(c) a person to whom during his infancy the deceased stood in loco parentis;”.

“(b) By inserting after the definition of ‘child’ in subsection (1) the following definition:—

“‘dependent’ means

“(a) wife of the deceased;

“(b) husband of the deceased; or

“(c) child of the deceased;”.

“(c) By inserting after the definition of ‘dutable value’ in subsection (1) the following definition:—

“‘interest in expectancy’ includes an estate, income, or interest in remainder or reversion and any other future interest, whether vested or contingent, but does not include a reversion expectant on the determination of a lease;”.

Section 5: (a) To strike out clauses (b), (c), (d), and (e) of subsection (1) of the proposed section 5 of the Act and substitute the following clauses:—

“(b) where the deceased is survived by a spouse, to property or the transmission of a beneficial interest in property passing on the death of the deceased to or for the benefit of a dependent where the sum of the value of the property passing on the death of the deceased to or for the benefit of dependents does not exceed sixty thousand dollars, and where the sum of the value of the property passing on the death of the deceased to or for the benefit of dependents exceeds sixty thousand dollars there is exempt from duty in the case of each dependent an amount of property that bears the same ratio to the dutiable value of property passing on the death of the deceased to him or for his benefit as sixty thousand dollars bears to the sum of the value of the property passing on the death of the deceased to dependents or for their benefit; nor

“(c) where a deceased is not survived by a spouse, to property or the transmission of a beneficial interest in property passing on the death of the deceased to or for the benefit of a child where the sum of the value of the property passing on the death of the deceased to or for the benefit of children does not exceed fifty thousand dollars, and where the sum of the value of the property passing on the death of the deceased to or for the benefit of children exceeds fifty thousand dollars there is exempt from duty in the case of each child an amount of property that bears the same ratio to the dutiable value of property passing on the death of the deceased to him or for his benefit as fifty thousand dollars bears to the sum of the value of the property passing on the death of the deceased to or for the benefit of children; nor

“(d) to property or the transmission of a beneficial interest in property passing on the death of the deceased to or for the benefit of a father, mother, grandfather, grandmother, grandchild, daughter-in-law, or son-in-law of

the deceased where the net value of all of the property passing on the death of the deceased does not exceed fifty thousand dollars; nor”.

(b) Renumber clauses (f) to (n), inclusive, as clauses (e) to (m).

Section 11: (a) To strike out subsections (2), (3), (4), (5), and (6) of the proposed new section 10A and substitute the following as subsection (2):—

“(2) There may be deducted from the duty imposed under this Act in respect of property or the transmission of a beneficial interest in property passing to or for the benefit of a father, mother, grandfather, grandmother, grandchild, daughter-in-law, and son-in-law of the deceased the amount (if any) by which the duty otherwise payable exceeds one-half of the portion of the amount (if any) by which the net value of all of the property passing on the death of the deceased exceeds fifty thousand dollars that bears the same ratio to the net value of all of the property passing on the death of the deceased that the duty otherwise payable in respect of property passing to or for the benefit of the person bears to the duty otherwise payable in respect of property passing to or for the benefit of all of the persons referred to in the heading of the second column of the Table of Rates in Schedule C.”

(b) Renumber subsection (7) of the proposed new section 10A as subsection (3).

Section 19: To strike out clause (a) of subsection (1) of the proposed section 33 and substitute the following:—

“(a) make payment not exceeding five thousand dollars to the widow or husband of the deceased; and”

Schedule C: In the first heading of the Second Column of the Table of Rates insert after “Child,” the word “Grandchild.”

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the amendments accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the proposed amendments to Bill (No. 10) intituled *An Act to Amend the Succession Duty Act*, a draft of which is annexed to the Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, be referred to the Committee having in charge Bill (No. 10).

Resolution reported.

Report adopted.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

141. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$177,486 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Accounting Division, to 31st March, 1964.

142. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$538,467 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, General Services, to 31st March, 1964.



143. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$340,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Grants *re* Local Health Services, to 31st March, 1964.

144. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$282,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Rehabilitation Services, Including Grants for Related Health Agencies, to 31st March, 1964.

145. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$416,208 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Cancer Control (Including Grants, etc.), to 31st March, 1964.

146. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Professional Training (Federal Programme), to 31st March, 1964.

147. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Child and Maternal Health (Federal Programme), to 31st March, 1964.

148. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Public Health Research (Federal Programme), to 31st March, 1964.

149. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, General Public Health (Federal Programme), to 31st March, 1964.

150. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,620,898 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Local Health Services, to 31st March, 1964.

151. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$340,149 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Division of Laboratories, to 31st March, 1964.

152. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$335,274 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Division of Vital Statistics, to 31st March, 1964.

153. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$61,212 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Division of Venereal Disease Control, to 31st March, 1964.

154. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$97,926 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Division of Tuberculosis Control, Divisional Headquarters, to 31st March, 1964.

155. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$381,973 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Division of Tuberculosis Control, Out-patient Care, to 31st March, 1964.

156. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$990,833 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Division of Tuberculosis Control, In-patient Care, to 31st March, 1964.

157. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Division of Tuberculosis Control, Tuberculosis Control (Federal Programme), to 31st March, 1964.

158. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$82,959 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Poliomyelitis Pavilion, to 31st March, 1964.

159. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$222,528 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Mental Health Services, General Administration, to 31st March, 1964.

160. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$953,518 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Mental Health Services, Division of Nursing Education, to 31st March, 1964.

161. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$847,624 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Mental Health Services, Community Services, to 31st March, 1964.

162. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$14,679,785 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Mental Health Services, In-patient Care, to 31st March, 1964.

163. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Mental Health Services, Mental Health Care (Federal Programme), to 31st March, 1964.

164. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$37,492,262 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Hospital Insurance Services, Hospital Insurance Service, to 31st March, 1964.

165. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$623,552 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Hospital Insurance Services, Provincial Infirmaries, to 31st March, 1964.

166. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$140,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Hospital Insurance Services, Long-term Pædiatric Care, to 31st March, 1964.

---

The Committee reported the Resolutions.  
Report to be considered at the next sitting.  
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* (Minister of Labour) presented the Annual Report of the Department of Labour of the Province of British Columbia for the year ended December 31, 1962.

Mr. *Turner* asked the Hon. the Minister of Labour the following questions:—

In respect of the Federal-Provincial vocational schools in British Columbia:—

1. Is any record kept of how many graduating students from these schools are placed in or secure gainful employment?

2. If yes, what are the records, give answer by years, trade and (or) occupation?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes; records are kept by the individual schools.

“ 2. Answered by No. 1.”

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

---

---

**Thursday, March 14, 1963**

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *T. Callaghan*.

The Hon. *W. D. Black* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipal Superannuation Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*March 14, 1963.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 62) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipal Superannuation Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Education of Soldiers' Dependent Children Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*March 14, 1963.*

*Ordered,* That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved,* That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 48) intituled *An Act to Amend the Education of Soldiers' Dependent Children Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.  
Report adopted.  
Bill introduced and read a first time.  
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act Respecting Universities*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*March 14, 1963.*

*Ordered,* That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved,* That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 63) intituled *An Act Respecting Universities*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.  
Report adopted.  
Bill introduced and read a first time.  
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today:—

On the motion of the Hon. *E. C. F. Martin*—Bill (No. 28) intituled *An Act to Amend the Chiropody Act*.

On the motion of the Hon. *E. C. F. Martin*—Bill (No. 29) intituled *An Act to Amend the Cornea Transplant Act*.

On the motion of Mrs. *Mather*—Bill (No. 65) intituled *An Act to Amend the Equal Pay Act*.

On the motion of the Hon. *L. R. Peterson*—Bill (No. 64) intituled *An Act to Incorporate the Notre Dame University of Nelson*.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.  
Report to be considered at the next sitting.  
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Pursuant to Order, Mr. *Corbett* presented the First Report of the Select Standing Committee on Public Accounts and Printing, as follows:—

REPORT NO. 1

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,  
March 13, 1963.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Public Accounts and Printing begs leave to report as follows:—

That the following motion was passed in the Committee on March 11, 1963, namely: Moved by Mr. *Perrault*, seconded by Mr. *Harding*, that the Committee ask leave of the House to permit the Royal Canadian Mounted Police report to be made available to the members of the Committee in camera with the counsel.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

I. F. CORBETT, *Chairman*.

The report was read and received.

Mr. *Corbett* asked leave of the House to suspend Standing Orders to permit the moving, without notice, of a motion to adopt the report.

Leave was not granted.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

Friday, March 15, 1963

---

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Mr. Speaker.

The Hon. *W. D. Black* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipalities Enabling and Validating Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*March 15, 1963.*

*Ordered,* That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved,* That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 69) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipalities Enabling and Validating Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. D. Black* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Public Services Medical Plan Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*March 15, 1963.*

*Ordered,* That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

## (IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 68) intituled *An Act to Amend the Public Services Medical Plan Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.  
 Report adopted.  
 Bill introduced and read a first time.  
 Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. D. Black* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Provide for the Payment of a Grant to Laura Ruth Newton*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*March 15, 1963.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

## (IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 74) intituled *An Act to Provide for the Payment of a Grant to Laura Ruth Newton*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.  
 Report adopted.  
 Bill introduced and read a first time.  
 Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. D. Black* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Constitution Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*March 15, 1963.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

## (IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 75) intituled *An Act to Amend the Constitution Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.  
 Report adopted.  
 Bill introduced and read a first time.  
 Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act Respecting the Family and Children's Court*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*March 15, 1963.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

## (IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 70) intituled *An Act Respecting the Family and Children's Court*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.  
 Report adopted.  
 Bill introduced and read a first time.  
 Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Land Registry Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*March 15, 1963.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.



## (IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 67) intituled *An Act to Amend the Land Registry Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.  
 Report adopted.  
 Bill introduced and read a first time.  
 Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act Respecting British Columbia School Districts Capital Financing Authority*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*March 15, 1963.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

## (IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 72) intituled *An Act Respecting British Columbia School Districts Capital Financing Authority*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.  
 Report adopted.  
 Bill introduced and read a first time.  
 Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Petroleum and Natural Gas Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*March 15, 1963.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

## (IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 66) intituled *An Act to Amend the Petroleum and Natural Gas Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.  
Report adopted.  
Bill introduced and read a first time.  
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Public Schools Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*March 15, 1963.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

## (IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 71) intituled *An Act to Amend the Public Schools Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.  
Report adopted.  
Bill introduced and read a first time.  
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith amendments to Bill (No. 31) intituled *An Act to Amend the Apprenticeship and Tradesmen's Qualification Act*, enclosed herewith, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*March 15, 1963.*

## (ENCLOSURE)

Section 4: To strike out section 4 and substitute:—

“4. Section 5 is repealed and the following substituted:—

“5. (1) For the purposes of this Act, the Lieutenant-Governor in Council may appoint

“(a) a Provincial Apprenticeship Committee to advise the Minister on all matters connected with the general conditions governing apprenticeship; and

“(b) a Director of Apprenticeship and Industrial Training and such other officers and clerks as may be considered necessary or expedient.

“(2) For the purposes of this Act, the Lieutenant-Governor in Council may provide for the appointment of Examining Boards.’”

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the amendments accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

## (IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the proposed amendments to Bill (No. 31) intituled *An Act to Amend the Apprenticeship and Tradesmen's Qualification Act*, a draft of which is annexed to the Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, be referred to the Committee having in charge Bill (No. 31).

---

Resolution reported.  
Report adopted.

The following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of Mr. *Perrault*—Bill (No. 78) intituled *An Act to Amend the River-bank Protection Act*.

On the motion of the Hon. *F. X. Richter*—Bill (No. 61) intituled *An Act to Amend the Milk Industry Act*.

On the motion of Mr. *Nimsick*—Bill (No. 77) intituled *An Act to Amend the Annual Holidays Act*.

On the motion of Mr. *Squire*—Bill (No. 76) intituled *An Act to Amend the Hours of Work Act*.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

## (IN THE COMMITTEE)

---

The Committee rose and reported progress.  
Report to be considered at the next sitting.  
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

Bill (No. 2) intituled *An Act to Repeal the Hospital Construction Aid Tax Act* was committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 3) intituled *An Act to Amend the Provincial Home-owner Grant Act* was committed, reported complete without amendment, and, on the motion that the Bill be read a third time now, the House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:—

YEAS—38

Messieurs

<i>Hobbs, Mrs.</i>	<i>Eddie</i>	<i>Price</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>Mather, Mrs.</i>	<i>Harding</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Speare</i>
<i>Rhodes</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Cox</i>	<i>Turner</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Carnell</i>
<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Westwood</i>
<i>Burrett</i>	<i>Robinson</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Haggen, Mrs.</i>	<i>Matthew</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Martin</i>
<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Huhn</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Squire</i>	<i>Shelford</i>		

NAYS—3

Messieurs

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>McKay</i>	<i>Perrault</i>
---------------	--------------	-----------------

PAIRS:

Messieurs

<i>Bate</i>	<i>Gibson</i>
<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Macfarlane</i>
<i>Corbett</i>	<i>Calder</i>
<i>Murray</i>	<i>Gargrave</i>

Bill read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 4) intituled *An Act Respecting the Removal of Tolls from Certain Toll-bridges in the Province* was committed, reported complete without amendment, and, on the motion that the Bill be read a third time now, a debate arose.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:—

YEAS—42

Messieurs

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Shelford</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>Hobbs, Mrs.</i>	<i>Squire</i>	<i>Price</i>	<i>Speare</i>
<i>Mather, Mrs.</i>	<i>Eddie</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Rhodes</i>	<i>Harding</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Carnell</i>
<i>Cox</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Murray</i>
<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Turner</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Westwood</i>
<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>McKay</i>	<i>Robinson</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Martin</i>
<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Matthew</i>	<i>Huhn</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Haggen, Mrs.</i>	<i>Bruch</i>		

## PAIRS:

## Messieurs

<i>Gaglardi</i>	<i>Gargrave</i>
<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Macfarlane</i>
<i>Bate</i>	<i>Gibson</i>
<i>Corbett</i>	<i>Calder</i>

Bill read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 6) intituled *An Act to Amend the Toll Highways and Bridges Authority Act* was read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 7) intituled *An Act to Amend the Probate Fees Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. Turner for Mr. Gargrave, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Bill (No. 8) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipalities Aid Act* was read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

Bill (No. 9) intituled *An Act to Amend the Income Tax Act, 1962*, was read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 10) intituled *An Act to Amend the Succession Duty Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. Turner for Mr. Gargrave, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Bill (No. 12) intituled *An Act to Amend the City of Greenwood Debt Refunding Act, 1941-42*, was read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

Bill (No. 1) intituled *An Act to Amend the Landlord and Tenant Act* was read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

Bill (No. 33) intituled *An Act to Amend the Supreme Court Act* was read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 34) intituled *An Act to Amend the Attachment of Debts Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. Nimsick, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 35) intituled *An Act to Amend the Wives' and Children's Maintenance Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mrs. Hagen, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Bill (No. 36) intituled *An Act to Amend the Administration Act* was read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 37) intituled *An Act to Amend the Securities Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. Nimsick, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 38) intituled *An Act to Amend the Co-operative Associations Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. Cox, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The following Bills were read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today:—

Bill (No. 39) intituled *An Act to Amend the Summary Convictions Act.*

Bill (No. 40) intituled *An Act to Amend the Small Debts Courts Act.*

Bill (No. 41) intituled *An Act to Amend the Insurance Act.*

Bill (No. 43) intituled *An Act Respecting the Public Trustee.*

Bill (No. 47) intituled *An Act Respecting the Boundaries of the Land Adjacent to the Okanagan River.*

Bill (No. 13) intituled *An Act to Amend the Logging Tax Act.*

Bill (No. 14) intituled *An Act to Amend the Line Fences Act.*

Bill (No. 15) intituled *An Act to Amend the Soldiers' Land Act.*

Bill (No. 16) intituled *An Act to Amend the Poultry and Poultry Products Act.*

Bill (No. 18) intituled *An Act to Amend the Stock Brands Act.*

Bill (No. 20) intituled *An Act to Amend the Horned Cattle Purchases Act.*

Bill (No. 21) intituled *An Act to Amend the Apiaries Act.*

Bill (No. 25) intituled *An Act to Amend the Meat Inspection Act.*

Bill (No. 26) intituled *An Act to Amend the Beef Cattle Producers' Assistance Act.*

Bill (No. 28) intituled *An Act to Amend the Chiropody Act.*

Bill (No. 29) intituled *An Act to Amend the Cornea Transplant Act.*

Bill (No. 46) intituled *An Act Respecting Training-schools for Children.*

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 44) intituled *An Act to Amend the Children of Unmarried Parents Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mrs. Mather, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 62) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipal Superannuation Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mrs. Hagen, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Bill (No. 50) intituled *An Act to Amend the Vancouver Charter* was read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* (President of the Council) presented the Twenty-fourth Annual Report of the Public Utilities Commission under the *Public Utilities Act* for the year ended December 31, 1962, and a report of activities pursuant to the *Cemeteries Act* and the *Prearranged Funeral Services Act*.

Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Council the following questions:—

With reference to the Savona Timber Company Limited:—

1. Has this company purchased any equipment from any department of the Provincial Government since 1960?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, in the case of each specific piece of equipment, (a) what was the evaluation, (b) who was the evaluator, (c) were bids received and, if so, what was the amount of each bid, and (d) what was the total price paid by Savona Timber Company Limited?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. No.

“ 2. Not applicable.”

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. on Monday next.

And then the House adjourned at 4.51 p.m.

## Monday, March 18, 1963

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *A. Calder*.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. D. Black*, it was *Ordered* that the Votes and Proceedings of March 15, 1963, be corrected as follows:—

By deleting the following from page 120:—

“ Bill (No. 44) intituled *An Act to Amend the Children of Unmarried Parents Act*.”

And to insert after the second reading of Bill (No. 46) the following:—

“ On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 44) intituled *An Act to Amend the Children of Unmarried Parents Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mrs. *Mather*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.”

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

167. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$35,660 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1964.

168. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,041,840 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, General Administration, to 31st March, 1964.

169. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$18,050,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, Roads, Bridges, Ferries, and Wharves—Maintenance and Operation, Repairs, Snow and Ice Removal, to 31st March, 1964.

170. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$13,000,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, Trans-Canada Highway—Capital Construction, to 31st March, 1964.

171. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$38,000,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, Roads, Bridges, and Ferries—Capital Construction, to 31st March, 1964.

172. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$250,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, Maintenance of Toll Facilities, to 31st March, 1964.

173. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$650,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, Highways within Municipal Limits, to 31st March, 1964.

174. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$35,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, Vehicle Damage Claims, to 31st March, 1964.

175. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$488,842 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, Highway Signs, Signals, Traffic-control, etc., to 31st March, 1964.

176. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$13,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, Grants and Subsidies, to 31st March, 1964.

177. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,250,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, Purchase of New Equipment, to 31st March, 1964.

---

The Committee reported the Resolutions.  
Report to be considered at the next sitting.  
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order “Public Bills and Orders.”

The following Bills were committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed:—

Bill (No. 1) intituled *An Act to Amend the Landlord and Tenant Act*.

Bill (No. 6) intituled *An Act to Amend the Toll Highways and Bridges Authority Act*.

Bill (No. 8) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipalities Aid Act*.

Bill (No. 9) intituled *An Act to Amend the Income Tax Act, 1962*.

Bill (No. 12) intituled *An Act to Amend the City of Greenwood Debt Refunding Act, 1941-42*.



- Bill (No. 13) intituled *An Act to Amend the Logging Tax Act.*
- Bill (No. 14) intituled *An Act to Amend the Line Fences Act.*
- Bill (No. 15) intituled *An Act to Amend the Soldiers' Land Act.*
- Bill (No. 16) intituled *An Act to Amend the Poultry and Poultry Products Act.*
- Bill (No. 18) intituled *An Act to Amend the Stock Brands Act.*
- Bill (No. 20) intituled *An Act to Amend the Horned Cattle Purchases Act.*
- Bill (No. 21) intituled *An Act to Amend the Apiaries Act.*
- Bill (No. 25) intituled *An Act to Amend the Meat Inspection Act.*
- Bill (No. 26) intituled *An Act to Amend the Beef Cattle Producers' Assistance Act.*
- Bill (No. 28) intituled *An Act to Amend the Chiropody Act.*
- Bill (No. 29) intituled *An Act to Amend the Cornea Transplant Act.*
- Bill (No. 33) intituled *An Act to Amend the Supreme Court Act.*
- Bill (No. 36) intituled *An Act to Amend the Administration Act.*
- Bill (No. 39) intituled *An Act to Amend the Summary Convictions Act.*
- Bill (No. 40) intituled *An Act to Amend the Small Debts Courts Act.*
- Bill (No. 41) intituled *An Act to Amend the Insurance Act.*
- Bill (No. 43) intituled *An Act Respecting the Public Trustee.*
- Bill (No. 46) intituled *An Act Respecting Training-schools for Children.*
- Bill (No. 47) intituled *An Act Respecting the Boundaries of the Land Adjacent to the Okanagan River.*

On the adjourned motion on the debate for the second reading of Bill (No. 7) intituled *An Act to Amend the Probate Fees Act* the debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

On the adjourned motion on the debate for the second reading of Bill (No. 10) intituled *An Act to Amend the Succession Duty Act* the debate continued, and on the motion of Mr. *Macfarlane* the debate was again adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Mr. *Dowding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following question:—

What was the total number of (a) psychiatric nurses, (b) registered nurses, and (c) psychiatric aides by category employed in the Mental Health Services as at December 31, 1962?

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* replied as follows:—

“(a) 943, (b) 111, and (c) 1,014.”

Mr. *Dowding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

1. What was the total number of permanent staff employed by the Mental Health Services as at December 31, 1962?
2. What was the total number of temporary staff employed by the Mental Health Services as at December 31, 1962?

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. 3,039 and 235 student psychiatric nurses for a total of 3,274.
- “ 2. Sixteen.”

Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

With respect to hospital construction:—

1. What was the total sum spent on construction of acute hospital accommodation in British Columbia in the year ended December 31, 1962?
2. How much of that sum was provided by the Provincial Government?
3. How much of that sum was provided by the Federal Government?
4. How much of that sum was provided by municipal governments?

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. \$6,825,185.
- “ 2. \$3,388,541.
- “ 3. \$921,400.
- “ 4. \$611,133. (The balance of community funds (\$1,904,111) required was provided by hospital improvement districts, public donations, and religious organizations.)”

Mr. *Turner* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

1. How many approved nursing homes in British Columbia?
2. How many patients can be accommodated in these homes?
3. What is the *per diem* cost to the Province per patient for chronic cases in approved nursing homes?
4. What is the *per diem* cost to the Province per patient for chronic cases in hospital?
5. How many patients in Government-approved hospitals are carrying Provincial medical welfare cards?

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* replied as follows:—

“ 1. As at February 28, 1963, there were sixty-six nursing-home facilities licensed as private hospitals under Part II of the *Hospital Act*.

“ 2. As at February 28, 1963, 2,516.

“ 3. This Department does not make payments on behalf of patients to nursing-home facilities.

“ 4. Payment for patients receiving active treatment in rehabilitation, chronic, and convalescent hospitals varies from one hospital to another. However, the average approved cost per patient-day for in-patient care in these facilities was \$14 in 1962.

“ 5. This information is not readily available because a tally of the number of social welfare recipients in hospital is not kept. Claims submitted by hospitals in respect of these patients are processed in the same manner as other hospital claims.”

Mrs. *Mather* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following question:—

What was the current *per diem per capita* patient cost figure for the Provincial Infirmaries as at March 31, 1962?

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* replied as follows:—

“\$9.17.”

Mr. *Dowding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

1. During the year 1962 did any psychiatrists resign from the Mental Health Services?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what was the total number of resignations, (b) in which departments did the resignations take place, and (c) what reasons, if any, were given for the resignations in each case?

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* replied as follows:—

“1. Yes.

“2. (a) Four; (b) Crease Clinic and Provincial Mental Hospital, 3; Mental Health Centre, Burnaby, 1; and (c) to enter private practice, 3; personal reasons, 1.”

Mr. *Dowding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

1. What was the total number of psychiatrists employed at the Provincial Mental Hospital at Essondale as at December 31, 1962?

2. What was the total number of patients in the Provincial Mental Hospital at Essondale as at December 31, 1962?

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* replied as follows:—

“1. Psychiatrists, 15; other medical specialists, 2; other physicians, 21; and total, 38.

“2. 2,762.”

Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

1. What was the total number of acute hospital beds available in British Columbia for patients on December 31, 1962?

2. How many of these beds were in public hospitals?

3. How many in Federal hospitals?

4. How many in private hospitals?

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* replied as follows:—

“1. 9,443.

“2. 8,800.

“3. 545. (It should be noted there is no fixed allocation of beds in veterans' hospitals between patients under care for pensionable disability and those under hospital insurance coverage. During 1962 the volume of care given to patients under British Columbia Hospital Insurance Service coverage in Federal hospitals required the use of approximately 545 beds.)

“4. Ninety-eight. (This figure includes the average number of beds utilized for patient-care for which patient-days are accepted by the British Columbia Hospital Insurance Service in Hollywood Hospital, New Westminster.)”

Mr. *Calder* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following question:—

With reference to Public Health Services, has the Provincial Government made any investigation into the need of a mobile dental clinic for dental treatment in unorganized areas of the Province?

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* replied as follows:—

“Yes. Sets of especially designed transportable dental equipment, incorporating the latest high-speed air-rotor units, are available for this purpose.”

Mr. *Cox* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With reference to persons being employed at the Boys' and Girls' Industrial Schools:—

1. What is the normal period of satisfactory temporary employment required before an employee is placed on permanent staff?

2. Are temporary employees entitled to participate in any benefits allowed to permanent employees?

3. If the answer to No. 2 is yes, what are the benefits?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“1. Six months' probationary period.

“2. Yes.

“3. Full-time, casual, continuous employees are entitled to same benefits as permanent employees; part-time, casual employees are entitled to same benefits as permanent employees with the exception of group life insurance.”

Mr. *Calder* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

1. Are there any community preventive dental clinics in British Columbia?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, where are they located?

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* replied as follows:—

“1. Yes.

“2. They are located in the following centres: Fernie, Michel-Natal, Jaffray, Cranbrook, Kimberley, Edgewater, Invermere-Windermere, Creston, Crawford Bay, Golden, Parson, New Denver, Crescent Valley, Nakusp, Grand Forks, Greenwood, Rock Creek, Revelstoke, Salmon Arm, Armstrong, Vernon, Enderby, Keremeos, Princeton, Kelowna, Summerland, Kamloops, Barriere, Clearwater, Blue River, Lillooet, Bralorne, Ashcroft, Clinton, Lytton, Merritt, Hope-Boston Bar, Chilliwack, Haney, Mission, Agassiz, Grandview Heights, Halls Prairie, East Kensington, Kensington Prairie, Port Kells, White Rock, Boundary Bay, Delta Central, East Delta, Ladner Christian, North Delta, Vancouver Wireless Station, New Westminster, Port Coquitlam, Sechelt Peninsula, Squamish, Pemberton, Woodfibre, Port Renfrew, Sidney, Ganges, Duncan, Lake Cowichan, Ladysmith, Chemainus, Nanaimo, Parksville, Bamfield, Tofino, Ucluelet, Powell River, Westview, Texada Island, Lasqueti Island, Denman Island-Hornby Island, Cumberland-Fanny Bay, Campbell River, Sayward, Cortez Island, Alert Bay, Sointula, Port McNeill, Kokish, Nimpkish Lake, Port Alice, Port Hardy, Lac la Hache, Quesnel, Sandspit, Queen Charlotte Islands, Port Clements, Masset, Stewart, Prince Rupert, Terrace, Hazelton, Houston, Quick, Telkwa, Fort St. John, Burns Lake, Southbank, Vanderhoof, Prince George, McBride, and Tahsis.”

Mr. *Dowding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following question:—

How many male and how many female patients were admitted to The Woodlands School during the calendar year 1962?

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* replied as follows:—

“Male patients, 122, and female patients, 95.”

Mr. *Dowding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following question:—

At The Woodlands School, how many (a) psychiatric nurses, (b) psychiatric aides, (c) social welfare workers, (d) teachers, and (e) psychologists were employed on January 1, 1962?

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* replied as follows:—

“(a) 248, (b) 355, (c) 5, (d) 13, and (e) 3.

“NOTE.—The corresponding figures for January 1, 1963, are as follows: (a) 251, (b) 346, (c) 8, (d) 13, and (e) 3.”

Mr. *Dowding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

1. Are there any mentally retarded patients in the Provincial Mental Hospital at Essondale?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how many are there at present and (b) how long have they been patients in the Mental Hospital?

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* replied as follows:—

“1. Yes.

“2. (a) As of December 31, 1962, 255, and (b) less than one year, 16; one to five years, 21; six to ten years, 44; and over ten years, 174.”

Mr. *Dowding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

With respect to The Woodlands School:—

1. Is there a known number of patients awaiting entry?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how many were known to be waiting as at December 31, 1962?

3. Were there any empty beds in The Woodlands School as at December 31, 1962?

4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, (a) how many and (b) what was the reason?

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* replied as follows:—

“1. Yes.

“2. Applications have been received on behalf of 816 persons. Clinical assessment has shown that 253 of these persons are not in immediate need of institutional care. Therefore, they have been placed in the deferred category. It is planned to continue the clinical assessment programme with respect to all new applications for admission in order that those who do not require immediate care in an institution may be identified.

“3. No; all beds are assigned.

“4. Answered by No. 3.”

Mr. *Dowding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

1. Did any graduate psychiatric nurses resign from the Mental Health Services during the year 1962?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how many resigned?

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. Personal and family reasons, 89; moving from the area, 42; other employment, 27; health reasons, 18; for further education, 13; miscellaneous reasons, 12; and total, 201.”

Mr. *Calder* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

With reference to Public Health Services:—

1. Is there a public health doctor at Cassiar?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what is his name and (b) has the Provincial Government any agreement to share a retainer fee for this doctor with Cassiar and (or) the Indian Affairs Branch?

3. If the answer to No. 2 (b) is yes, what is the amount paid by (a) Cassiar and (b) the Indian Affairs Branch?

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* replied as follows:—

“ 1. No; company doctor only.

“ 2. Answered by No. 1.

“ 3. Answered by No. 1.”

Mr. *Calder* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

With reference to Public Health Services:—

1. Is there a public health nurse at (a) Lower Post, (b) Telegraph Creek, and (c) Atlin?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what is the name and the salary paid to the public health nurse in each district, (b) has the Provincial Government any agreement with the Indian Affairs Branch to share the salary of the public health nurse for services rendered to the Indians in each district named, and (c) has the Provincial Government any agreement with the Department of Indian Affairs to share the cost of drugs provided to the Indians in each district named?

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* replied as follows:—

“ 1. (a) No, Public Health Services administered through Peace River Health Unit; (b) yes, resident nurse; and (c) no, Public Health Services administered through Peace River Health Unit.

“ 2. (a) Miss Lillian Whiteside is resident nurse at Telegraph Creek and receives a salary of \$356 per month, (b) one-half of Miss Whiteside's salary is recoverable from the Department of Indian Affairs, and (c) the Department of Indian Affairs pays for all curative drugs supplied to Indians. Biologicals for immunization are provided by the Provincial Government to all areas of the Province.”

Mr. *Dowding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following question:—

What is the current *per diem per capita* patient cost at The Woodlands School?

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* replied as follows:—

“ See Table A, page 21, Annual Report of the Mental Health Services Branch for year ended March 31, 1962.”

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

**Tuesday, March 19, 1963**

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rt. Rev. *D. A. G. Rankilor*.

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKE,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend and Repeal Certain Provisions of the Statute Law*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*March 18, 1963.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 73) intituled *An Act to Amend and Repeal Certain Provisions of the Statute Law*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Provide for an Exchange of Certain Petroleum and Natural-gas Rights and Certain Petroleum and Natural-gas Leases*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*March 19, 1963.*

*Ordered,* That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved,* That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 81) intituled *An Act to Provide for an Exchange of Certain Petroleum and Natural-gas Rights and Certain Petroleum and Natural-gas Leases*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.  
Report adopted.  
Bill introduced and read a first time.  
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act for the Validation of Documents and Procedures under the Mineral Act and Placer-mining Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*March 19, 1963.*

*Ordered,* That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved,* That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 82) intituled *An Act for the Validation of Documents and Procedures under the Mineral Act and Placer-mining Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.  
Report adopted.  
Bill introduced and read a first time.  
Second reading at the next sitting after today.



The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKE,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith amendments to Bill (No. 66) intituled *An Act to Amend the Petroleum and Natural Gas Act*, enclosed herewith, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*March 18, 1963.*

(ENCLOSURE)

Section 10: To insert in the proposed section 78c, in the last line, after the words “ of a ” the word “ normal ”.

To strike out of the proposed section 78d, in the second line, the word “ normal ”.

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the amendments accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the proposed amendments to Bill (No. 66) intituled *An Act to Amend the Petroleum and Natural Gas Act*, a draft of which is annexed to the Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, be referred to the Committee having in charge Bill (No. 66).

---

Resolution reported.  
Report adopted.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

---

The Committee reported progress.  
Report to be considered at the next sitting.  
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Order called for “ Public Bills and Orders.”

Bill (No. 7) intituled *An Act to Amend the Probate Fees Act* was committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed.

On the adjourned debate for the second reading of Bill (No. 34) intituled *An Act to Amend the Attachment of Debts Act*, the debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

On the adjourned debate for the second reading of Bill (No. 35) intituled *An Act to Amend the Wives' and Children's Maintenance Act*, the debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

On the adjourned debate for the second reading of Bill (No. 37) intituled *An Act to Amend the Securities Act*, the debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

On the adjourned debate for the second reading of Bill (No. 38) intituled *An Act to Amend the Co-operative Associations Act*, the debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

On the adjourned debate for the second reading of Bill (No. 44) intituled *An Act to Amend the Children of Unmarried Parents Act*, the debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 62) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipal Superannuation Act* the debate continued, and on the motion of Mr. Macdonald was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 10) intituled *An Act to Amend the Succession Duty Act*, the debate continued.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:—

YEAS—44

Messieurs

<i>Hobbs, Mrs.</i>	<i>Squire</i>	<i>Shelford</i>	<i>Huhn</i>
<i>Mather, Mrs.</i>	<i>Eddie</i>	<i>Price</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>Rhodes</i>	<i>Harding</i>	<i>Corbett</i>	<i>Speare</i>
<i>Calder</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Cox</i>	<i>Turner</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Murray</i>
<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Westwood</i>
<i>Gargrave</i>	<i>Robinson</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Mathew</i>	<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Martin</i>
<i>Haggen, Mrs.</i>	<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Gaglardi</i>
<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Bate</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Richter</i>

NAYS—5

Messieurs

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>McKay</i>	<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Macfarlane</i>
<i>Gibson</i>			

Bill read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

Bill (No. 27) intituled *An Act to Amend the Labour Relations Act* was read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 31) intituled *An Act to Amend the Apprenticeship and Tradesmen's Qualification Act*, the debate continued, and on the motion of Mr. *Robinson* was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The following Bills were read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today:—

Bill (No. 30) intituled *An Act to Amend the Credit Unions Act, 1961*.

Bill (No. 48) intituled *An Act to Amend the Education of Soldiers' Dependent Children Act*.

Bill (No. 67) intituled *An Act to Amend the Land Registry Act*.

Bill (No. 74) intituled *An Act to Provide for the Payment of a Grant to Laura Ruth Newton*.

Bill (No. 75) intituled *An Act to Amend the Constitution Act*.

By leave of the House, the House reverted to the Order "Presenting Reports by Standing and Special Committees."

Mr. *Corbett* presented the Second Report of the Select Standing Committee on Public Accounts and Printing, as follows:—

#### REPORT NO. 2

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,  
March 14, 1963.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Public Accounts and Printing begs leave to report as follows:—

Your Committee summoned Mr. Willard E. Ireland, Chairman of the Public Documents Committee, established by the *Public Documents Disposal Act (Revised Statutes of British Columbia, 1960, c. 314)*, and, having heard the submission on behalf of the Public Documents Committee, recommends that, in accordance with the provisions of the *Public Documents Disposal Act*, approval be given for the destruction of the various public documents as listed in the submission to the Public Documents Committee for 1963 in so far as the following departments of Government are concerned: Agriculture; Attorney-General; Commercial Transport; Education; Finance; Health Services and Hospital Insurance; Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce; Labour; Lands, Forests, and Water Resources; Mines and Petroleum Resources; Provincial Secretary; Recreation and Conservation; and Social Welfare.

Your Committee also recommends that the Provincial Archivist be asked to review the operative conditions of the *Public Records Disposal Act* and prepare recommendations for presentation to this Committee next year.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

I. F. CORBETT, *Chairman*.

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, the rules were suspended and the report adopted.

Mrs. *Hobbs* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following question:—

What was the total number of persons employed by the Department of Highways on the following dates: (a) July 31, 1962, (b) August 31, 1962, (c) September 30, 1962, (d) October 31, 1962, (e) November 30, 1962, and (f) December 31, 1962?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“ July 31, 1962, 4,704; August 31, 1962, 4,565; September 30, 1962, 4,237; October 31, 1962, 4,050; November 30, 1962, 3,930; and December 31, 1962, 3,792.”

Mrs. *Hobbs* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

1. Were any employees of the Department of Highways paid overtime work during the calendar year 1962?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how many were so paid and at what rate or rates?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. At straight time rates, 901, and at time and one-half rates, 3,621.”

Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With respect to the Port Mann Bridge:—

1. Prior to construction were drilling and other tests done at the bridge-site to determine the nature of the soil and the foundation requirements?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what was the total cost of drilling and (or) testing and (b) to whom was this money paid?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) \$164,230.53 and (b) \$124,344.09 to C.B.A. Engineering Limited, and \$29,886.44 to Boyles Bros. Engineering Drillers Limited and R. A. Spence.”

Mrs. *Hobbs* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With reference to the Department of Highways, Region No. 2, Vernon (North Okanagan), Minister of Highways Report, 1960/61:—

1. Does this district still have a District Engineer?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is no, has a District Superintendent been appointed to this district?

3. If the answer to No. 2 is yes, (a) when was this appointment made, (b) what is the name of the District Superintendent, (c) what qualifications does the Superintendent have fitting him for this appointment, and (d) was this appointment made by the Civil Service Commission?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“ 1. No.

“ 2. Yes.

“ 3. (a) November 1, 1961, (b) W. G. Helmsing, (c) considerable related experience, and (d) yes.”

Mr. *Calder* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

1. Was any money spent on the (a) Stewart Wing Dam and (b) Alice Arm Wing Dam in 1956, 1957, 1958, 1959, 1960, 1961, and 1962?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how much was spent on each dam in each year?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“ 1. (a) Yes and (b) no.

“ 2. Stewart Wing Dam: 1957/58, \$10,000; 1961/62, \$7,800.85.”

Mrs. *Hobbs* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With reference to the Kootenay Lake ferry between Harrop and Procter:—

1. How many life-jackets are carried on this ferry?
2. During 1962 did the number of passengers, including school-children, exceed the number of life-jackets carried on any trip?
3. If the answer to No. 2 is yes, (a) how many such trips were made, (b) what was the number of passengers on each relevant trip, and (c) what was the number of life-jackets carried?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Thirty life-jackets, two buoys, and a lifeboat.

“ 2. Yes.

“ 3. (a) No trip-by-trip record, (b) sixty to sixty-five, and (c) thirty.”

Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With respect to the Port Mann Bridge:—

1. When the bridge foundations were installed, was there any substantial difference in the soil conditions actually encountered and the condition suggested by pre-construction drilling?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, has the bridge consultant or any other competent soils expert been asked to account for any such difference?
3. If the answer to No. 2 is yes, what explanation has been given for any difference?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“ 1. No.

“ 2. Answered by No. 1.

“ 3. Answered by No. 1.”

Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With respect to the Port Mann Bridge:—

1. Were any recommendations made to the Department of Highways by the second lowest bidder on this project concerning the design of footings for this bridge?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what was the substance of such recommendations, (b) were these recommendations accepted, and (c) if the answer to (b) is no, why were these recommendations rejected?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) The alternate designs required 36-inch piles in lieu of 24-inch piles, (b) no, and (c) the design employing 24-inch piles was judged to be superior.”

Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With respect to the Port Mann Bridge:—

1. Were any representations made by Perini Pacific Limited to the Department of Highways concerning the definition of the term “glacial fill”?

2. Is there any record in the contractor's log book showing the time spent in drilling for (a) pile insertion through overburden and (b) the time spent drilling through glacial fill?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“1. No representations were made concerning the definition of the term ‘glacial fill.’ Representations were made concerning the term ‘glacial till.’

“2. No knowledge of records kept by the contractor. Consultants kept records of the time spent in drilling through the various layers described in the contract documents.”

Mr. *Calder* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

1. With reference to the Bear River 1961/62 floods in the Stewart area, were any parts of the Bear River Road damaged, and repaired?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what was the total cost of the road repairs?

3. Were any parts of the Stewart-Cassiar Road damaged, and repaired?

4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, what was the total cost of the road repairs?

5. Were any bridges of the Bear River Road damaged, and repaired?

6. If the answer to No. 5 is yes, what are the names of the bridges, and what was the total cost of repairs to each bridge?

7. Were any bridges of the Stewart-Cassiar Road damaged, and repaired?

8. If the answer to No. 7 is yes, what are the names of the bridges, and what was the total cost of repairs to each bridge?

9. Were any bridges on the Bear River Road and Stewart-Cassiar Road totally destroyed, and then rebuilt?

10. If the answer to No. 9 is yes, what are the names of the bridges, and what was the cost of rebuilding each bridge?

11. Was any assistance given by the Stewart-Cassiar Road construction companies to the Department of Highways in combating the flood?

12. If the answer to No. 11 is yes, (a) what are the names of the companies, (b) how much, if anything, was paid per day to each company for machinery rental, (c) how much, if anything, was paid per hour to each company for rental of a crawler-type shovel, or truck-mounted shovel, or front-mounted loader shovel, and (d) what was the total cost paid to each road construction company for assisting the Department of Highways in combating the flood?

13. What was the total cost spent by the Department of Highways in combating the flood?

14. Was the Stewart wing dam or dyke damaged, and repaired?

15. If the answer to No. 14 is yes, what was the total cost of the repairs?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“1. Yes.

“2. \$106,733.26.

“3. Yes.

“4. Exact information not available as necessary repairs were carried out in conjunction with contract construction work.

" 5. Yes.

" 6. Bear River Bridge No. 2 was damaged and repaired; Glacier Creek Bridge No. 85, while not destroyed, was rendered useless due to a change in course of Glacier Creek. A temporary bridge was built; a further change in the course of Glacier Creek and road repairs eliminated both the temporary bridge and Bridge No. 85. A new channel of Bear River required a temporary bridge near Bear River No. 2 Bridge; this was later washed out and a second temporary bridge built. A permanent bridge called the F. G. Goddard No. 83 was completed at this location in March, 1962. Costs were not segregated by individual bridge, but material costs other than native timber amounted to \$4,072.22.

" 7. Yes.

" 8. Rufus Creek Bridge, and exact cost not available as relocation was involved, and carried out in conjunction with contract construction work.

" 9. Yes.

" 10. Bitter Creek Bridge No. 80 (answered by No. 6 with regard to cost).

" 11. Yes.

" 12. (a) Dawson, Wade & Emil Anderson, Ben Ginter Construction Company; (b) daily costs for machinery rental varied from day to day; (c) Ben Ginter Construction Company received \$13 per hour for a 2¼-cubic-yard front-end loader; Dawson, Wade & Emil Anderson received \$14 per hour for ¾-cubic-yard Bucyrus Erie shovel, truck-mounted, and \$25.50 per hour for 1½-cubic-yard Northwest shovel, truck-mounted; and (d) Ben Ginter Construction Company, \$54,846.95; Dawson, Wade & Emil Anderson, \$36,408.23.

" 13. \$114,534.11 (exclusive of contract work).

" 14. Yes.

" 15. \$7,800.85."

Mr. *Calder* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

1. With reference to the \$56,368.94 which was spent on the Telegraph Creek-Dease Lake Road in 1957/58, what were the projects?
2. How much was spent on each project?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

- " 1. Day labour (minor betterments) and maintenance.
- " 2. Day labour, \$20,000, and maintenance, \$36,368.94."

Mrs. *Hobbs* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With respect to Project No. 779, contract awarded to Ben Ginter Construction Company, Kamloops, reference page J 89, Department of Highways Report, 1956/57:—

1. Has the project been completed?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what was the total amount paid the Ginter Construction Company for the project?
3. If the answer to No. 1 is no, (a) what is the total amount paid to the Ginter Construction Company to date and (b) how many miles have been constructed to date?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

- " 1. Yes.
- " 2. \$1,837,570.25.
- " 3. (a) and (b) Answered by No. 1."

Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following question:—

With respect to construction equipment: What is the total value, based on new replacement prices, of the construction equipment owned by the Department of Highways, (a) in totals and (b) by categories: (i) Shovels, (ii) crawler tractors, (iii) scrapers, (iv) crushers, (v) pulvimixers, (vi) power-graders, (vii) trucks, and (viii) miscellaneous?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“(a) \$26,765,200 and (b) (i) shovels, \$1,385,000; (ii) crawler tractors, \$3,764,000; (iii) scrapers, \$320,000; (iv) crushers, \$825,000; (v) pulvimixers, \$85,000; (vi) power-graders, \$6,203,000; (vii) trucks, \$6,785,600; and (viii) miscellaneous, \$7,397,600.”

Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With respect to the Port Mann Bridge:—

1. Was the contractor's log book signed by a representative of the consulting engineers each day that drilling for piles was being done?

2. Did the bridge consultant request and require data concerning the time spent drilling each type of material?

3. If the answer to No. 2 is no, has the Department of Highways demanded an explanation from the consulting engineers?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“1. No.

“2. The bridge consultant kept record of the time spent drilling each type of material.

“3. Answered by No. 2.”

Mrs. *Hobbs* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following question:—

With reference to District Vote—Roads, how much was spent in each electoral district as at December 31, 1962?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“The amount spent in each electoral district as at December 31, 1962, was: Alberni, \$95,171.78; Atlin North, \$111,561.40; Atlin South, \$72,227.21; Burnaby, \$41,322.34; Cariboo North, \$184,484.91; Cariboo South, \$250,676.79; Chilliwack, \$71,068.27; Columbia, \$124,331.63; Comox, \$192,816.51; Cowichan-Newcastle, \$120,703.63; Cranbrook, \$109,029.15; Delta, \$100,061.70; Dewdney, \$154,363.85; Esquimalt, \$126,540.02; Fernie, \$107,296.85; Fort George, \$271,057.45; Grand Forks-Greenwood, \$126,633.29; Kamloops, \$195,686.66; Kaslo-Slocan, \$165,000.05; Lillooet North, \$163,353.05; Lillooet South, \$41,279.02; Mackenzie, \$146,591.86; Nanaimo-Islands, \$125,463.55; Nelson-Creston, \$269,999.12; New Westminster, \$3,286.51; North Vancouver, \$101,765.53; Oak Bay, *nil*; Okanagan North, \$157,087.25; Okanagan South, \$120,240.03; Omineca East, \$103,265.93; Omineca West, \$155,940.62; Peace River North, \$157,905.31; Peace River South, \$233,126.86; Prince Rupert, \$78,612.16; Revelstoke, \$131,784.92; Rossland-Trail, \$133,386.62; Saanich, \$68,016.98; Salmon Arm, \$128,995.02; Similkameen, \$181,538.68; Skeena East, \$127,654.90; Skeena West, \$91,388.70; Vancouver-Point Grey, \$10,225.32; and Yale, \$185,078.06.”



Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following question:—

With respect to rental contracts: What procedure is employed (a) to obtain quotations and (b) to award rental contracts for equipment engaged by the Department of Highways for day-labour work?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“We have not had rental contracts as such, but have hired equipment on a daily basis at rates set out in a rental schedule drawn up in co-operation with other departments and the British Columbia Hydro.”

Mr. *Calder* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

1. Has the Provincial Government any agreement with a non-resident doctor to visit (a) Alice Arm, (b) Good Hope Lake, (c) Lower Post, (d) Atlin, and (e) Telegraph Creek?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is no, has the Provincial Government made any investigation into the advisability of such an agreement?

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* replied as follows:—

“1. (a) Public Health Services are administered through Director, Skeena Health Unit, (b) no, (c) Public Health Services are administered through Director, Peace River Health Unit, (d) arrangements with physicians from Whitehorse for periodic visits, and (e) nursing services provided by resident nurse.

“2. Answered by No. 1.”

Mr. *Rhodes* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With reference to Bridge Project 267 (Port Mann Bridge) Contracts Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5:—

1. Have Contracts Nos. 3, 4, or 5 been completed?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is in the affirmative, which contracts have been completed and what was the final amount paid on each contract?

3. Are any contracts uncompleted?

4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, what are the total amounts paid to date on each uncompleted contract?

5. Were there penalty clauses in all of the contracts let (Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5)?

6. If the answer to No. 5 is in the negative, which contract did not have penalty clauses and what was the name of the contractor involved?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“1. No.

“2. Not applicable.

“3. Yes, Contract Nos. 3, 4, and 5.

“4. Contract No. 3, \$7,590,969.29; Contract No. 4, \$3,765,472.22; Contract No. 5, \$2,372,884.45.

“5. No.

“6. Contract No. 1, Miller Cartage & Contracting Limited; Contract No. 2, Vancouver Pile Driving & Contracting Company Limited; Contract No. 3, Perini Pacific Limited; Contract No. 4, John Laing & Son (Canada) Limited; and Contract No. 5, Dominion Bridge Company Limited.”

Mr. *Calder* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following question:—

With reference to the maintenance of the Stewart-Cassiar Road: Is it the intention of the Department of Highways to consider the advisability of establishing a Department of Highways camp in the vicinity of Dease Lake in 1963?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“ Yes.”

Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With respect to construction equipment:—

1. Has the Department of Highways made a survey of construction equipment, by categories, presently available in the Province of British Columbia from private contractors?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) when was the survey taken, (b) what is the approximate dollar total of the equipment available, and (c) what percentage of this equipment was idle at the time of the survey?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“ 1. No.

“ 2. Answered by No. 1.

Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With respect to new equipment purchases:—

1. By categories, what amounts were expended in (a) 1960/61 and (b) 1961/62 to purchase: (i) Shovels, (ii) crawler tractors, (iii) scrapers, (iv) crushers, (v) pulvimixers, (vi) power-graders, (vii) trucks, and (viii) miscellaneous?

2. What was the dollar total of new equipment purchases in (a) 1960/61 and (b) 1961/62?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“ 1. (a) (i) Nil, (ii) \$211,163.99, (iii) nil, (iv) nil, (v) nil, (vi) \$427,882.04, (vii) \$473,906.22, (viii) \$317,361.63, and (b) (i) nil, (ii) \$132,402.47, (iii) \$121,684.50, (iv) nil, (v) nil, (vi) \$391,125.25, (vii) \$848,548.69, (viii) \$766,460.29.

“ 2. (a) \$1,430,313.88 and (b) \$2,260,221.20.”

Mr. *Calder* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

1. With reference to the flood-damaged sections of the Silbak Premier Road in the Portland Canal district, is it the intention of the Department of Highways to repair the flood-damaged sections and to maintain the road in 1963?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, when will the work begin?

3. If the answer to No. 1 is no, what are the Departmental reasons for refusing to repair the flood-damaged sections of the road?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“ 1. The flood-damaged sections are in the State of Alaska; maintenance on the British Columbia section will be carried out after the damaged sections are repaired.

“ 2. No information.

“ 3. Answered by No. 1.”

Mr. *Calder* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

1. With reference to the Alice Arm–Dolly Varden Truck-road, was any money spent for maintenance, repairs, and snow-removal in 1962?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how much?
3. Was part of the 1962 road grant returned to the Prince Rupert district highways office?
4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, (a) how much and (b) for what reason?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. Yes.
- “ 2. \$15,905.58.
- “ 3. No.
- “ 4. Answered by No. 3.”

Mr. *Calder* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

1. Was any money spent in 1962/63 in road construction and repairs, including snow-removal, on each of the following roads: (a) Telegraph Creek–Dease Lake Road, (b) Telegraph Creek–Glenora Road, (c) Cassiar to Stewart–Cassiar Junction Road, (d) Stewart–Cassiar Junction to Alaska Highway, (e) Atlin Highway, (f) Atlin–Surprise Lake Road, (g) Atlin–Spruce Creek Road, (h) Alice Arm Shore Road, (i) Stewart–Bear River Road, (j) Stewart–Hyder Road, and (k) Stewart–Premier Road?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what was the amount spent on each road?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. Yes.
- “ 2. Telegraph Creek–Dease Lake Road, \$17,100.34; Telegraph Creek–Glenora Road, \$899.32; Cassiar to Stewart–Cassiar Junction Road, \$42,454.58; Stewart–Cassiar Junction to Alaska Highway, \$58,694.11; Atlin Highway, \$10,656.09; Atlin–Surprise Lake Road, \$607.05; Atlin–Spruce Creek Road, \$218.93; Alice Arm Shore Road (not available, included in expenditure of \$16,032.01 covering all roads in the vicinity of Alice Arm); Stewart–Bear River Road, \$52,759.61; Stewart–Hyder Road, \$5,273.87; and Stewart–Premier Road, \$719.02.”

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.