

Tuesday, June 30, 1981

TEN O'CLOCK A.M.

The Hon. *A. V. Fraser* tabled the Annual Report of the British Columbia Ferry Corporation, March 31, 1981.

The Hon. *H. A. Curtis* tabled Province of British Columbia Ministry of Finance Statement of Crown Proceedings Payments, 1980-81.

By leave, on the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House proceeded to "Public Bills and Orders."

Bill (No. 27) intituled *Financial Administration Act* was read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

Bill (No. 31) intituled *Miscellaneous Statutes Amendment Act (No. 2), 1981* was again committed.

The Chairman reported progress and asked leave to sit again.

The Chairman further reported that in consideration of section 4 of Bill (No. 31), the Committee had divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom* the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—28

<i>Brummet</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Davidson</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Richmond</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
		<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Hyndman</i>
<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>		

NAYS—18

<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
		<i>Hall</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	
<i>Wallace</i>		<i>Cocke</i>	
<i>Barber</i>	<i>Sanford</i>		<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Brown</i>	<i>Levi</i>		<i>Macdonald</i>
	<i>Leggatt</i>		

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 11.59 a.m.

Tuesday, June 30, 1981

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *Cameron Reid*.

Mr. *Barnes* rose on a matter of privilege in relation to Report No. 6 of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills and stated the content of a proposed Notice of Motion.

Mr. Speaker reserved his decision.

Mr. Speaker delivered his reserved decision as follows:

Honourable Members:

On Friday last the First Member for Vancouver Centre rose on a matter of privilege and alleged that another member had obstructed a certain proposal under consideration by the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills. The Member suggested that his privilege as a member of the House had been thereby breached but did not indicate how the alleged obstruction had in fact impeded him in his service to the House.

In the 12th edition of Sir Erskine May, at page 62, it is noted:

"Both Houses of Parliament enjoy various privileges in their collective capacity, as constituent parts of the High Court of Parliament; which are necessary for the support of their authority and for the proper exercise of the functions entrusted to them by the constitution. Other privileges, again, are enjoyed by *individual members, which protect their persons and secure their independence and dignity.*"

The Votes and Proceedings show that the First Member for Vancouver Centre is not a member of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills and in the absence of any suggestion to the Chair by the Member as to how he has been impeded in his service to the House, I am unable, based on the statement of the Member for Vancouver Centre, to conceive of any way in which any privilege enjoyed by him as an individual member may have been breached.

Under these circumstances, there is no basis upon which the Chair is able to find a *prima facie* case of breach of privilege as earlier defined.

H. W. SCHROEDER, *Speaker*

On the motion of Mr. *Mussallem*, Bill (No. M 217) intitled *Compudsmen Act* was introduced, read a first time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of Ms. *Brown*, Bill (No. M 218) intituled *An Act to Amend the Guaranteed Available Income for Need Act, R.S. Chapter 158*, was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

The Hon. *E. M. Wolfe* tabled the British Columbia Heritage Trust Annual Report, 1980–81, and the Auditor's Report, British Columbia Heritage Trust, Financial Statements, as at March 31, 1981.

The Hon. *J. A. Nielsen* tabled the Ministry of Health Annual Report, 1980.

By leave, on the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House proceeded to "Public Bills and Orders."

Bill (No. 31) intituled *Miscellaneous Statutes Amendment Act (No. 2), 1981* was again committed.

Mr. Speaker resumed the Chair.

Without the Committee rising, the Chairman reported that the Honourable Member for Alberni had refused to withdraw an unparliamentary expression and refused also to obey an order from the Chair. Upon being ordered by Mr. Speaker to withdraw the allegation reported by the Chairman, the Member for Alberni refused to do so.

Mr. Speaker then quoted Standing Orders 19 and 20 and suspended the Member for the remainder of the sitting.

Bill reported complete with amendments.

The Chairman further reported that in consideration of section 6 of Bill (No. 31), the Committee had divided and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—29

<i>Brummet</i>	<i>McGeer</i>		<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Richmond</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Hyndman</i>
<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>		

NAYS—16

<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>		<i>Hall</i>	<i>Lea</i>
		<i>Nicolson</i>	
<i>Wallace</i>			
	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Brown</i>			<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Leggatt</i>		

The Chairman further reported that in consideration of section 12 of Bill (No. 31), the Committee had divided and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—27

<i>Brummet</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Ree</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Richmond</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Hyndman</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>		

NAYS—16

	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>		<i>Hall</i>	<i>Lea</i>
	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	
<i>Wallace</i>			
		<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Brown</i>	<i>Levi</i>		<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Leggatt</i>		

The Chairman further reported that in consideration of section 18 of Bill (No. 31), the Committee had divided and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—28

<i>Brummet</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Ree</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Richmond</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Hyndman</i>
<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>		

NAYS—17

Passarell	Lockstead	Lorimer	Lauk
Mitchell		Hall	Lea
	Skelly	Nicolson	
Wallace		Cocke	
	Sanford	Dailly	Barrett
Brown	Levi		
Barnes			

The Chairman further reported that in consideration of section 19 of Bill (No. 31), the Committee had divided and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—28

Brummet	McGeer		Heinrich
Mussallem	Phillips	Ree	Smith
Segarty	Curtis	Richmond	Rogers
	Bennett	Ritchie	McClelland
Davis	Gardom	Vander Zalm	Chabot
Kempf	Williams	Jordan	Hyndman
Nielsen	McCarthy	Hewitt	Waterland
Fraser	Wolfe		

NAYS—18

Passarell	Lockstead	Lorimer	Lauk
Mitchell		Hall	Lea
	Skelly	Nicolson	
Wallace		Cocke	
	Sanford	Dailly	Barrett
Brown	Levi		
Barnes	Leggatt		

The Chairman further reported that in consideration of section 58 of Bill (No. 31), the Committee had divided and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—19

	Lockstead	Lorimer	Lauk
Mitchell		Hall	Lea
Hanson	Skelly	Nicolson	
Wallace		Cocke	
Barber	Sanford	Dailly	Barrett
Brown		Stupich	
Barnes	Leggatt		

NAYS—28

<i>Brummet</i>	<i>McGeer</i>		<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Richmond</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Hyndman</i>
<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>		

The Chairman further reported that in consideration of section 68 of Bill (No. 31), the Committee had divided and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—27

<i>Brummet</i>	<i>McGeer</i>		<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Phillips</i>		<i>Smith</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Richmond</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Hyndman</i>
<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>		

NAYS—20

<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	
<i>Mitchell</i>		<i>Hall</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Hanson</i>		<i>Nicolson</i>	
<i>Wallace</i>		<i>Cocke</i>	
<i>Barber</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Brown</i>	<i>Levi</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Leggatt</i>		

The Chairman further reported that in consideration of section 121 of Bill (No. 31), the Committee had divided and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—26

<i>Brummet</i>	<i>McGeer</i>		<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Phillips</i>		<i>Smith</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Richmond</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
		<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Hyndman</i>
<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>		

NAYS—20

<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	
<i>Mitchell</i>		<i>Hall</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Hanson</i>		<i>Nicolson</i>	
<i>Wallace</i>		<i>Cocke</i>	
<i>Barber</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Brown</i>	<i>Levi</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Leggatt</i>		

By leave, Bill as reported considered forthwith.

Bill read a third time and passed.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. on Monday next.

1 Mr. *Barber* asked the Hon. the Minister of Municipal Affairs the following questions:

1. What was the total cost of producing the half-hour, prime-time television program, featuring the Hon. *W. N. Vander Zalm*, aired on BCTV, Sunday, November 16, 1980, including a reasonable estimate of staff time and use of in-house facilities?

2. What was the cost of purchasing broadcast time for this program?

3. What was the cost, if any, of publicity and advertising for this program?

The Hon. *W. N. Vander Zalm* replied as follows:

"1. The Ministry of Municipal Affairs was journal vouchered \$2,000 by the Ministry of Health for production costs for 'The Planning Act—A TV. Show for Discussion.' Other production assistance consisted of a few hours of the time of my Executive Assistant.

"2. The Ministry of Municipal Affairs paid \$8,250 to BCTV for broadcasting the show.

"3. The Ministry of Municipal Affairs paid \$2,375 to BCTV for the broadcasting of two commercials."

10 Mrs. *Wallace* asked the Hon. the Minister of Transportation and Highways the following questions:

1. Between December of 1979 and December of 1980, what is the total cost of upgrading the Cowichan Road including: scarifying, temporary paving, resurfacing and sweeping?

2. What is the total expenditure of the Ministry on reimbursing drivers for the deductible portion of ICBC insurance coverage of glass damage due to the condition of the Cowichan Road?

The Hon. *A. V. Fraser* replied as follows:

"1. From December 1979 to December 1980 expenditures on Highway 18 including: scarifying, temporary paving, resurfacing and sweeping: scarifying, \$53,000; pavement reshaping, \$309,229; surfacing sealing, \$325,999; sweeping, \$7,547; total, \$695,775.

"2. During the period from December 1, 1979 to December 31, 1980, the Ministry has authorized payment of \$22,461.67 to reimburse automobile owners for the uninsured portion of glass damage due to loose gravel on Cowichan Lake Road."

13 Mr. *Lea* asked the Hon. the Minister of Forests the following questions:

1. What were the revenues from timber sales, rents, and royalties received by the Crown from the blocks of Tree-farm Licence 39 located on the Queen Charlotte Islands for the years 1975 through 1979, broken down by year and by type of revenue?

2. What were the revenues the Crown received from the Moresby Blocks of Tree-farm Licence 2 for the years 1975 through 1979, broken down by year and by type of revenue?

3. What were the revenues the Crown received from TFL 24 for the same period broken down the same way?

4. What were the revenues the Crown received for the same period from the Public Sustained Yield Unit Lands on the Queen Charlotte Islands broken down the same way?

The Hon. *T. M. Waterland* replied as follows:

"1. Crown revenues (excluding taxes) from the Q.C.I. blocks of TFL 39:

	Stumpage \$	Royalty \$	Rent \$	Total \$
1975.....	605,041	117,097	99,866	822,004
1976.....	1,646,567	202,803	106,864	1,956,234
1977.....	2,572,798	239,945	106,788	2,919,531
1978.....	3,157,659	135,614	108,162	3,401,435
1979.....	6,859,155	301,985	123,768	7,284,908
Five-year total.....	14,841,220	997,444	545,448	16,384,112

"2. Crown revenues (excluding taxes) from the Moresby Blocks of TFL 2:

	Stumpage \$	Royalty \$	Rent \$	Total \$
1975.....	107,451	21,030	8,571	137,052
1976.....	102,789	56,465	8,571	167,825
1977.....	166,810	53,450	8,045	228,305
1978.....	399,941	50,192	8,300	458,433
1979.....	203,639	194,156	40,479	438,274
Five-year total.....	980,630	375,293	73,966	1,429,889

"3. Crown revenues (excluding taxes) from TFL 24:

	Stumpage \$	Royalty \$	Rent \$	Total \$
1975.....	406,976	30,477	11,240	448,693
1976.....	742,396	28,099	11,240	781,735
1977.....	1,044,188	10,359	14,481	1,069,028
1978.....	2,273,274	22,083	14,134	2,309,491
1979.....	4,391,312	22,193	74,813	4,488,318
Five-year total.....	8,858,146	113,211	125,908	9,097,265

"4. Crown revenues (excluding taxes) from lands in the Queen Charlotte PSYU: The Ministry of Forests does not have records of actual revenues received from the PSYU for past years broken down as requested, but it has records of timber volumes cut and scaled and other information which have enabled the compilation of the following estimates of the revenues in response to the question:

	Stumpage	Royalty	Rent	Total
	\$	\$	\$	\$
1975.....	311,000	*	*	*
1976.....	332,000	*	*	*
1977.....	808,000	*	*	*
1978.....	1,225,000	*	*	*
1979.....	3,148,000	*	*	*
Five-year total.....	5,860,000	*	*	*

* Unable to estimate accurately."

17 Mrs. Wallace asked the Hon. the Minister of Transportation and Highways the following question:

What was the total cost of repairs made to Tzouhalem Road subsequent to flooding in 1980 and in 1981?

The Hon. A. V. Fraser replied as follows:

"\$9,926."

18 Mr. Lockstead asked the Hon. the Minister of Transportation and Highways the following questions:

With reference to the upgrading of the road between Tumbler Ridge and Dawson Creek—

1. What is the estimated cost in 1981 dollars?
2. What is the total distance of work in kilometres?
3. What distance in kilometres is classified as "arterial highway"?
4. What distance in kilometres is classified as "secondary highway"?
5. What surface type classification is assigned over what distances? (If part of the answer is surface type 'A', please distinguish between Portland Cement Concrete and Bituminous Plantmix.)
6. How many kilometres of the road are new construction?
7. How many kilometres of the road are reconstruction?
8. For the distance identified in No. 7, what is the nature of the reconstruction and over what distance in kilometres? (For example, new diversion 'x' kilometres, widening 'y' kilometres, etc.)
9. What is the anticipated completion date of the highway?
10. For 15 years after the anticipated completion date, what is the estimated maintenance cost in each year in 1981 dollars?

The Hon. A. V. Fraser replied as follows:

- "1. Dawson Creek-Tumbler Ridge (via Tupper), \$25.3 million.
- "2. Tupper-Tumbler Ridge, 142.4 kilometres.
- "3. Nil.

- “4. *Nil*.
- “5. 1981, gravel; 1983, bituminous plantmix.
- “6. 136.4 kilometres.
- “7. 6 kilometres.
- “8. Widening, 6 kilometres.
- “9. 1983.
- “10. \$300,000 per year.”

20 Mr. *Lockstead* asked the Hon. the Minister of Transportation and Highways the following questions:

With reference to the new highway to be constructed between Chetwynd and Tumbler Ridge—

1. What is the total estimated cost in 1981 dollars?
2. What is the distance of work in kilometres?
3. What distance in kilometres is classified as “arterial highway”?
4. What distance in kilometres is classified as “secondary highway”?
5. What surface type classifications are assigned over what distances? (If part of the answer is surface type ‘A’, please distinguish between Portland Cement Concrete and Bituminous Plantmix.)
6. What is the anticipated completion date of the highway?
7. For 15 years after the anticipated completion date, what is the estimated maintenance cost in each year in 1981 dollars?

The Hon. *A. V. Fraser* replied as follows:

- “1. \$81 million.
- “2. 93.4 kilometres.
- “3. *Nil*.
- “4. *Nil*.
- “5. 1981, 23 kilometres gravel; 1984, 93.4 kilometres bituminous plantmix.
- “6. 1984.
- “7. \$250,000 per year.”

28 Mr. *Howard* asked the Hon. the Minister of Consumer and Corporate Affairs the following questions:

1. Does the Government of British Columbia regulate the sales or prices of alcoholic beverages on airplanes flying within the Province?
2. If so, why is Canadian Pacific Airlines permitted to charge \$1.50 for beer sold on flights within the Province when that same airline charges \$1 for beer sold on its flights to California?

The Hon. *P. S. Hyndman* replied as follows:

“1. The Government of British Columbia through the Liquor Control and Licensing Branch has the power to regulate sales or prices of alcoholic beverages on airplanes licensed in the Province. At the present time the price of wine is the only thing which is regulated.

“2. The answer given to No. 1 also answers this question. Because the price of beer is not regulated it would be up to C.P.A. to establish its own price. A

possible explanation for the difference in price is that C.P.A. is able to obtain beer for its flights to California at a lower cost. This is because the price it pays for beer for such flights is free of excise and sales taxes."

29 Mr. *Mitchell* asked the Hon. the Minister of Transportation and Highways the following questions:

With reference to the east-west highway in Langford—

1. Has a decision been made as to the alignment of the highway?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) does the alignment conform to the highway as proposed in the Western Community (Langford) Settlement Plan and (b) does the alignment conform to the Western Community Secondary (Glen Lake Area) Plan?
3. Has Greg Singer of the Ministry's highways planning department met with local community groups and received public input on the location of the highway?
4. Has Mr. Singer or any official of the Ministry met with the owners of the Can West Shopping Centre that is proposed to be constructed at or near Jacklin Road?
5. If the answer to No. 4 is yes, did the owners request any particular alignment of the highway?
6. If the answer to No. 5 is yes, (a) what was the alignment proposed by the developers; (b) did the Ministry accept the developer's alignment, or did the Ministry make a counter-proposal; (c) if the Ministry made a counter-proposal, what was the alignment proposed; and (d) do the developer's proposal and any Ministry counter-proposal conform to the Ministry's design standards in every respect?
7. Has the Ministry made any commitment to the owners of the Can West Shopping Centre that the Provincial Government will spend public funds to buy private land for a road right-of-way to connect this shopping centre to other parts of the community not now connected or proposed to be connected under the provisions of the existing Western Community Plan?
8. If the answer to No. 7 is yes, has the Minister decided to hold public hearings to obtain community input before the funds are spent?
9. Has the Ministry decided to extend Kelly Road through to make Jenkins Road-Glen Lake Road part of the major east-west highway system?
10. If the answer to No. 9 is yes, what studies have been made of the impact on that residential area?
11. What is the cost of construction for the alignment identified in No. 1?

The Hon. *A. V. Fraser* replied as follows:

"The proposal is not for a highway but rather for a major collector type street. It is an integral part of the network of major streets serving the internal travel within the Colwood, Langford, Glen Lake urban area.

"In response to the specific questions:

- "1. Yes, but it is designated as a collector street, not a trunk highway.
- "2. (a) The Western Community Settlement Plan dealt only with trunk highways and not collector streets and (b) yes, the alignment was in fact jointly selected by the Capital Regional District and the Glen Lake Area Residents Association.

"3. Yes. Mr. Singer dealt with the Glen Lake Area Residents Association. He indicated the Ministry preferred a line to the north of Jenkins through an undeveloped area. The Association and Capital Regional District selected Jenkins instead. This was acceptable to the Ministry.

"4. Yes.

"5. No. The owners followed the Secondary Plan and showed an alignment connecting to Jenkins.

"6. See No. 5.

"7. No. Right-of-way has been acquired on opportunity over the past several years for the Millstream extension and the Kelly Road extension. This process is continuing by dedication where appropriate and by purchase elsewhere.

"8. My Ministry has had many discussions with the Capital Regional District who have been developing a transportation model. We anticipate that the Capital Regional District will initiate public discussions in the future.

"9. Yes, it will be a collector street.

"10. This connection was included in the Glen Lake Settlement Plan.

"11. No estimate has been made."

35 Mr. *Howard* asked the Hon. the Minister of Transportation and Highways the following questions:

1. Has any money from the \$100,000,000 allocated by the *Special Purpose Appropriation Act, 1980* for "accelerated highway construction" been spent?

2. If so, for the periods ending on (a) December 31, 1980, and (b) March 31, 1981, what amount of such money was spent in each of the electoral districts of Atlin, Prince Rupert, Skeena, Omineca, Prince George South, Prince George North, South Peace River, North Peace River, and Cariboo?

The Hon. *A. V. Fraser* replied as follows:

"1. Yes.

"2. As per statement:

FISCAL YEAR 1980-81

Summary statement of expenditures incurred by the Ministry of Transportation and Highways under Bill 5—*Special Purpose Appropriation Act, 1980* (Accelerated Highway Construction) as at December 31, 1980 and March 31, 1981 in the electoral districts indicated.

Electoral District	December 31, 1980 (a)	March 31, 1981 (b)
	\$	\$
Atlin	5,035,118.18	5,924,129.90
Cariboo	9,340,269.05	10,442,776.13
North Peace River	4,555,759.46	9,359,049.82
Omineca	3,005,944.83	3,224,679.61
Prince George North	2,536,145.41	3,596,129.42
Prince George South	1,707,298.59	2,143,875.54
Prince Rupert	646,918.35	1,282,015.39
Skeena	2,308,139.36	3,168,658.31
South Peace River	3,765,294.74	4,399,087.06
Totals	32,918,887.97	43,540,401.18."

38 Mr. *Lockstead* asked the Hon. the Minister of Transportation and Highways the following questions:

1. What is the total cost of all radio advertisements containing the voice of the Minister of Transportation and Highways, which were aired during the period of the Easter vacation?

2. On which radio stations were these ads run, what was the number of airings in each radio station and what was the total bill submitted by each radio station for this ad campaign?

The Hon. *A. V. Fraser* (Minister of Transportation and Highways) stated that in his opinion the reply to Question 38 should be in the form of a Return and that he had no objection to laying such Return upon the table of the House and thereupon presented such Return.

40 Mr. *Skelly* asked the Hon. the Minister of Transportation and Highways the following questions:

During the fiscal years 1976-77 to 1980-81—

1. What pesticides did the Ministry purchase in each year, in what quantities and at what cost?

2. What quantities of each pesticide were used in each year, for what purpose and what was the total cost of each program?

3. What amount of each pesticide remained in storage or was disposed of at the end of each year?

4. What pesticides, in what quantities are presently in the possession of the Ministry?

The Hon. *A. V. Fraser* (Minister of Transportation and Highways) stated that in his opinion the reply to Question 40 should be in the form of a Return and that he had no objection to laying such Return upon the table of the House and thereupon presented such Return.

43 Mr. *Skelly* asked the Hon. the Minister of Environment the following questions:

During the fiscal years 1976-77 to 1980-81—

1. What pesticides did the Ministry purchase in each year, in what quantities and at what cost?

2. What quantities of each pesticide were used in each year, for what purpose and what was the total cost of each program?

3. What amount of each pesticide remained in storage or was disposed of at the end of each year?

4. What pesticides, in what quantities are presently in the possession of the Ministry?

The Hon. *C. S. Rogers* (Minister of Environment) stated that in his opinion the reply to Question 43 should be in the form of a Return and that he had no objection to laying such Return upon the table of the House and thereupon presented such Return.

44 Mr. *Stupich* asked the Hon. the Minister of Transportation and Highways the following questions:

1. What was the deficit or subsidy required to support the Gabriola Island ferry during the fiscal year 1980-81?
2. What is the estimate of the magnitude of this cost or subsidy during the 1981-82 fiscal year?

The Hon. *A. V. Fraser* replied as follows:

"The estimated revenue and expenditures for the Gabriola Island ferry are:

	Revenue \$	Expenditure \$	Deficit \$
1980-81.....	335,714	1,678,593	1,342,879
1981-82 (estimated).....	375,000	1,850,000	1,475,000."

47 Mr. *Stupich* asked the Hon. the Minister of Transportation and Highways the following questions:

With respect to a possible new major ferry terminal on or near Gabriola Island—

1. Is this proposal under review by the Minister's Ministry and/or British Columbia Ferry Corporation?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is "yes", at what stage is preliminary or basic design for the facility and where is it to be located?
3. If the answer to No. 1 is "yes", will the Minister make public such basic design before detailed working plans are authorized?

The Hon. *A. V. Fraser* replied as follows:

- "1. Yes, by the British Columbia Ferry Corporation and presently at the very preliminary basic review stage only.
- "2. Preliminary or basic design for the facility, and location, will be considered only after the basic review of the need for the facility is completed.
- "3. Information on the basic design will be made public after the basic preliminary review is complete."

53 Mr. *Stupich* asked the Hon. the Minister of Transportation and Highways the following questions:

1. Does the British Columbia Ferry Corporation have an exclusive contract with a specific operator for the provision and operation of coin operated games on B.C. Ferries?
2. What are the details of any contract referred to and replied to No. 1?
3. What is the number of coin operated games on each vessel in the B.C. Ferries fleet and what is the number of coin operated games installed in each of the British Columbia Ferry Corporation terminals?
4. Does the contract referred to in Nos. 1 and 2, specify an amount to be charged per play on each of the coin operated games, and if so, what are the specified charges?
5. Have there been any instances of these specified charges being violated?
6. For each vessel referred to in reply to No. 3, what is the revenue received by the British Columbia Ferry Corporation from coin operated games?

7. For each terminal listed in reply to No. 4, what is the revenue received by the British Columbia Ferry Corporation from coin operated games?

8. Are the contracts referred to in Nos. 1 and 2 open to competitive bid, and if so, on what basis and at what interval?

The Hon. A. V. Fraser replied as follows:

"1. Yes.

"2. Details of a three-year contract with the Ryan Company of Nanaimo and Victoria were:

Supplier must maintain games in near to new condition.

Supplier to provide full exchange dollar bill changers.

Supplier to provide latest type of game.

Supplier to provide 24-hour, seven-day service.

Supplier to provide meters with second audit counters at B.C.F.C. request.

Games must have full C.S.A. or acceptable equivalent approval.

All games must be solid state circuits that will not generate heat during continuous use.

All games must be of low flame material and able to function even when subject to gross abuse.

Games are restricted to a 25 cent per play charge.

The B.C.F.C. shall be the sole judge of game suitability compliance.

The Chief Steward shall collect all revenues and send the supplier monthly cheques for supplier's portion of the revenue.

Each game equipped with secure doors and revenue boxes. All revenue boxes will carry B.C.F.C. locks.

All service must be to the satisfaction of the B.C.F.C. and the contract is subject to cancellation on 14 days notice of default.

The supplier shall be responsible for all loss or liability.

Supplier will be responsible for complying with all B.C.F.C. rules and regulations.

"3. There are five or six games on each vessel depending on the space available. The terminals will have up to two games.

"4. A 25 cent per play charge is specified as part of the agreement.

"5. Yes, on one new machine. The supplier was advised and asked to insure that all games were 25 cents in accordance with the agreement.

"6. Major vessels average \$300 per day in the winter and \$475 in the summer (gross revenue).

"7. Only two terminals have video games revenue. These terminals report a total gross summer daily average of \$35 and a winter average of \$25 per terminal.

"8. Contracts are open to competitive bids every three years. Published requests for quotations are administered by the Purchasing Commission."

56 Mr. Lauk asked the Hon. the Minister of Human Resources the following questions:

1. How many publications have been printed at Provincial Government expense to promote the Pier B.C. project, and what were their names?

2. What quantity was printed for each publication listed in reply to No. 1?

3. What was the cost of printing each of these leaflets?

4. What additional cost (in-house or contracted) was incurred in the preparation of these publications?

The Hon. *G. M. McCarthy* replied as follows:

"1. (a) Postcards (Trade and Convention Centre information) and (b) trade paper (Capture the Pacific).

"2. (a) 10,000 postcards and (b) 400,000 trade papers.

"3. (a) \$538 and (b) \$20,387.44.

"4. None."

And then the House adjourned at 6.17 p.m.

Monday, July 6, 1981

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Mr. Speaker.

By leave, Mr. *Stupich*, on behalf of Mr. *Hall*, presented Report No. 1 of the Select Standing Committee on Public Accounts and Economic Affairs.

REPORT NO. 1

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,

June 30, 1981

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Public Accounts and Economic Affairs begs leave to report as follows:

Your Committee, chaired by *E. Hall*, has held 10 meetings. Officials of the Auditor General's office, including the Auditor General Mrs. Erma Morrison, officials of the Comptroller General's office, including the Comptroller General as well as various Ministry officials assisting the Comptroller General, appeared before the Committee. During the meetings the Committee reviewed the Report of the Auditor General for the year ending March 31, 1980 and made enquiries as to matters reported therein of the Auditor General, the Comptroller General and various Ministry officials. As well, the Committee received a presentation from Mr. Jean-Pierre Boisclair from the Canadian Comprehensive Auditing Foundation related to the section on the Comprehensive Audit in the Auditor General's Report.

Upon the request of the Chairman of the Public Documents Committee it was resolved that in accordance with the *Public Documents Disposal Act* approval be given for the destruction of various public documents as listed in the submission to the Public Accounts Committee for 1981 insofar as the following ministries are concerned: Consumer and Corporate Affairs, Education, Health, Human Resources, Labour, Lands, Parks, and Housing, the Provincial Secretary, Environment, Attorney General, Industry and Small Business.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

E. HALL, Chairman

The Report was taken as read and received, and by leave, adopted.

The Hon. *H. A. Curtis* (Minister of Finance) tabled Statement of Crown Proceedings Payments, 1980.

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

By leave, on the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House proceeded to "Public Bills and Orders."

Bill (No. 7) intituled *Motor Vehicle (All Terrain) Amendment Act, 1981*, was read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 27) intituled *Financial Administration Act* was committed and reported complete with amendments.

The Chairman further reported that in consideration of section 43 of Bill (No. 27), the Committee had divided and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—27

<i>Brummet</i>	<i>McGeer</i>		
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Phillips</i>		<i>Smith</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Richmond</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Hyndman</i>
<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>		

NAYS—23

<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>		
<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Howard</i>
<i>Barber</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Brown</i>		<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Leggatt</i>		

The Chairman further reported that in consideration of section 63, as amended, of Bill (No. 27), the Committee had divided and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—26

<i>Brummet</i>	<i>McGeer</i>		
<i>Mussallem</i>			<i>Smith</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Richmond</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Hyndman</i>
<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>		

NAYS—18

<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>		
<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Wallace</i>			<i>Howard</i>
<i>Barber</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
		<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Barnes</i>			

By leave, Bill (No. 27) as reported to be considered forthwith.

By leave, Bill (No. 27) read a third time and passed.

By leave, Mr. Speaker tabled Special Report No. 3, by the Ombudsman, to the Legislative Assembly of British Columbia (The Cuthbert Case).

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 10 o'clock a.m. tomorrow.

The Hon. *E. M. Wolfe* (Provincial Secretary and Minister of Government Services) tabled the 1980 Annual Report of the Provincial Secretary and Ministry of Government Services.

Mr. Speaker delivered his reserved decisions as follows:

Honourable Members:

On Tuesday last the Second Member for Vancouver Centre rose on a matter of privilege relating to Report No. 6 of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills which was, by Order, received by the House on June 26th last and, in connection therewith stated that as a member of that Committee he had no opportunity to discuss "what the Report would contain."

The Member further stated that the Report "appears" to be incorrect and that in presenting the Report the Chairman of the Committee had offended the privileges of the Honourable Members of that Committee, and further suggested that the Report would "mislead the Members."

As I indicated to the House in connection with the same Report, and another matter of privilege raised relating thereto, it is noted in the 12th edition of Sir Erskine May, at page 63, that:

"Both houses of Parliament enjoy various privileges in their collective capacity, as constituent parts of the High Court of Parliament; which are necessary for the support of their authority and for the proper exercise of the functions entrusted to them by the constitution. Other privileges, again, are enjoyed by individual members, which protect their persons and secure their independence and dignity."

The same author, in the 18th edition, at page 342, states:

"As a motion taken at the time for matters of privilege is thereby given precedence over the pre-arranged programme of public business, the Speaker requires to be satisfied both that, (1): there is a *prima facie* case that a breach of privilege has been committed; and (2): that the matter is being raised at the earliest opportunity."

In determining whether or not the matter has been raised at the earliest opportunity, I have considered the fact that the Report in question was received by the House on June 26, 1981, while the Honourable Member first raised the matter on June 30, 1981.

In support of the rule that a matter of privilege which claims precedence over other public business must be a subject which has recently arisen, Sir Erskine May cites the following instances:

"A matter concerning an article in a newspaper published on 6 May was refused precedence because it was not raised till the 14th";

"A speech reported on a Saturday because it was not raised until the following Tuesday"; and,

"When provincial newspapers appear in London on the morning of issue, the complaint should be raised on the day of issue."

It is clear, therefore, that the rule is one of very strict application. However, in view of intervening questions raised by other Honourable Members relating to the same Report, the Chair might have been able to find the matter had been raised with sufficient promptness. There is, however, a further impediment to a finding that this question could be placed before the House without the usual notice of motion. I refer Honourable Members to the 11th edition of Sir Erskine May, at page 273, where it is stated that a question of order, in the House or in a Committee thereof, *cannot* be treated as a matter of privilege. In almost identical circumstances, on the motion to receive the Report of a Standing Committee, objection was taken *on a point of order* that the Report was, on the admission of the Chairman, not the whole report as made by the Committee, that the same was incomplete, and was not a Report of the Committee, it could not be received. This objection was sustained by Mr. Speaker Weart and the Report was thereupon withdrawn. (*See Speaker's Decisions*, volume 2, page 26).

The almost identical objections raised by the Second Member for Vancouver Centre could have been brought to the attention of the Chair on a point of order on the motion for receiving the Report and may not now be raised as a matter of privilege.

Upon the authorities cited, there is no basis upon which the Chair is able to find a *prima facie* case of breach of privilege.

H. W. SCHROEDER, *Speaker*

Honourable Members:

On Monday last the First Member for Vancouver Centre, in connection with the same Report (No. 6 of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills) raised a further question while the Deputy Speaker was in the Chair in relation to the application of Standing Order 115. This Order provides that the Committee *must state the grounds on which it arrived at a decision that the preamble of a Private Bill had not been proved to its satisfaction.*

Examples of "grounds" for the decision, as contemplated in Standing Order 115, are given in Bourinot's Parliamentary Practice, 4th edition, at page 609. One of the various grounds cited was, and I quote: "Because no sufficient evidence was offered in favour of the preamble."

A reading of the Report in question discloses that the reason given was that "the assertions in the Petition are not substantiated", which very closely parallels the example cited by Bourinot.

In any event, without going further into its adequacy or otherwise, the Report was Ordered received by the House on June 26th last. It therefore appears to the Chair that the content of the Report would only be open for debate upon a motion, made on notice, for its adoption.

H. W. SCHROEDER, *Speaker*

And then the House adjourned at 6.06 p.m.

Tuesday, July 7, 1981

TEN O'CLOCK A.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 11.54 a.m.

Tuesday, July 7, 1981

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *Gilbert D. Smith*.

The Hon. *A. V. Fraser* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Administrator, which read as follows:

N. T. NEMETZ
Administrator

The Administrator transmits herewith Bill (No. 34) intituled *Motor Vehicle Amendment Act, 1981* and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House
July 7, 1981.

By leave of the House, Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *L. A. Williams* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Administrator, which read as follows:

N. T. NEMETZ
Administrator

The Administrator transmits herewith Bill (No. 33) intituled *Offence Admendment Act, 1981* and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House
July 7, 1981.

By leave of the House, Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. N. Vander Zalm* tabled the Annual Report 1980, Ministry of Municipal Affairs.

The Hon. *E. M. Wolfe* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Administrator, which read as follows:

N. T. NEMETZ
Administrator

The Administrator transmits herewith Bill (No. 35) intituled *Legislative Assembly Allowances and Pension Amendment Act, 1981* and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House
July 7, 1981.

By leave of the House, Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading, by leave, later today.

By leave, on the motion of Mr. *Leggatt*, Bill (No. M 219) intituled *An Act Respecting the Commercial Use of Lie Detectors* was introduced, read a first time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *L. A. Williams* tabled the Annual Report of the Ministry of Attorney General for the period April 1, 1980 to March 31, 1981.

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

Mr. *Hanson* rose on a matter of privilege, namely, the delay in printing a Bill after introduction and first reading.

Mr. Speaker indicated he would take the matter under advisement.

The Hon. *G. B. Gardom* made a statement relating to the wedding of His Royal Highness The Prince of Wales and Lady Diana Spencer.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

9. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$664,544 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Premier's Office, Premier's Office, to 31st March 1982.

3. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$3,006,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Auditor General, Auditor General, to 31st March 1982.

4. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$187,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Auditor General, Building Occupancy Charges, to 31st March 1982.

5. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$75,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Auditor General, Computer and Consulting Charges, to 31st March 1982.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered later today.
Committee to sit again later today.

The Chairman further reported that on the motion that Vote 9 be reduced by \$50,000 the Committee had divided and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom* the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—25

<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>		<i>Lea</i>
<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Howard</i>
<i>Barber</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Brown</i>	<i>Levi</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Leggatt</i>		

NAYS—28

<i>Brummet</i>	<i>McGeer</i>		<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Phillips</i>		<i>Smith</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Richmond</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Hyndman</i>
<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>		

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House proceeded to "Public Bills and Orders."

Bill (No. 35) intituled *Legislative Assembly Allowances and Pension Amendment Act, 1981* read a second time.

By leave, Bill (No. 35) was committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

1. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$6,725,454 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Legislation, Legislation, to 31st March 1982.

2. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$417,800 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Legislation, Crown Corporation Reporting Committee, to 31st March 1982.

6. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,236,125 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Ombudsman, Office of the Ombudsman, to 31st March 1982.

7. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$194,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Ombudsman, Building Occupancy Charges, to 31st March 1982.

8. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$30,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Ombudsman, Computer and Consulting Charges, to 31st March 1982.

Schedule A. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$3,837,753 be granted to Her Majesty to make good certain sums expended for the Public Service for the period ended March 31, 1980, and to indemnify the several officers and persons for making such expenditure.

Ministry of Attorney General	\$1,823,976
Ministry of Education	114,112
Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources	25,710
Ministry of Finance	405,259
Ministry of Health	204,250
Ministry of Human Resources	351,319
Ministry of Labour	555,765
Ministry of Lands, Parks and Housing	230,539
Ministry of Provincial Secretary and Government Services	126,823
	\$3,837,753

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered forthwith.

The Reports of Resolutions from the Committee of Supply on the 24th, 25th, 26th, 27th of March, the 6th, 7th, 9th, 24th, 27th of April, the 1st, 4th, 5th, 6th, 7th, 13th, 15th, 19th, 27th, 29th of May, the 4th, 9th, 10th, 16th, 18th, 19th, 25th and 29th of June and July 7th, 1981 were taken as read and received.

On the motion of the Hon. *H. A. Curtis* (Minister of Finance) the Rules were suspended and the Resolutions from the Committee of Supply were read a second time, taken as read, and agreed to.

Resolved, That the House doth agree with the Committee in the said Resolutions.

On the motion of the Hon. *H. A. Curtis* (Minister of Finance) it was *Ordered*—
That Mr. Speaker do now leave the Chair for the House to go into Committee of Ways and Means.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That towards making good the Supply granted to Her Majesty for the Public Service of the Province, there be granted from and out of the Consolidated Revenue Fund the following:

- (1) \$3,837,753 to make good certain sums expended for the fiscal year ending March 31, 1980.
- (2) \$6,671,495,000 towards defraying the several charges and expenses for the fiscal year ending March 31, 1982, such sum to include that authorized to be paid under section 1 of the *Supply Act, No. 1, 1981* and section 1 of the *Supply Act, No. 2, 1981*.

The Committee rose and reported the Resolution.
Resolution read a second time, taken as read and agreed to.

The Hon. *H. A. Curtis* (Minister of Finance) presented Bill (No. 29) intituled *Supply Act, No. 3, 1981*.

Ordered that the said Bill be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House recommending the introduction of Bill (No. 29) intituled *Supply Act, No. 3, 1981*.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

On the motion by leave for second reading of Bill (No. 29), a debate arose.

Motion agreed to *nemine contradicente* on the following division:

YEAS — 54

<i>Brummet</i>	<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Davidson</i>
<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Leggatt</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Davis</i>	<i>Richmond</i>
<i>Hanson</i>		<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>
<i>Barber</i>	<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Brown</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Lea</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Skelly</i>	<i>King</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Howard</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Hyndman</i>
<i>Levi</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>Waterland</i>

Bill read a second time.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the Bill referred to a Committee of the Whole House to be considered forthwith.

The Bill was committed, reported complete without amendment, and by leave, the Rules were suspended and the Bill *Ordered* to be read a third time.

Bill read a third time and passed.

Mr. *Howard* rose on a point of privilege relating to the answer given by the Hon. *D. M. Phillips* to the question of Mr. *Barber* during Oral Question Period and indicated to the House a motion intended to be moved in connection therewith.

Mr. *Howard* thereupon tabled, by leave, a copy of the 7th Annual Report, 1981, of the British Columbia Development Corporation.

Mr. Speaker stated he would take the matter under advisement.

On the motion for second reading of Bill (No. M 201) intituled *An Act To Prevent Uranium Exploration and Mining*, a debate arose, which was, on the motion of the Hon. R. H. McClelland, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

His Honour the Administrator having entered the House, and being seated in the Chair—

Ian D. Izard, Esq., Law Clerk and Clerk Assistant, read the titles to the following Bills:

- (No. 5) *Mineral Land Tax Amendment Act, 1981.*
- (No. 7) *Motor Vehicle (All Terrain) Amendment Act, 1981.*
- (No. 8) *Provincial Court Amendment Act, 1981.*
- (No. 14) *Provincial Debt Repayment Act.*
- (No. 16) *Medical Service Plan Act, 1981.*
- (No. 17) *Power Engineers and Boiler and Pressure Vessel Safety Act.*
- (No. 18) *Electrical Energy Inspection Amendment Act, 1981.*
- (No. 19) *Gas Amendment Act, 1981.*
- (No. 20) *Building Safety Standards Act.*
- (No. 21) *Petroleum and Natural Gas Amendment Act, 1981.*
- (No. 22) *Environment Management Act.*
- (No. 23) *Real Estate Amendment Act, 1981.*
- (No. 24) *Miscellaneous Statutes Amendment Act (No. 1), 1981.*
- (No. 26) *Social Service Tax Amendment Act (No. 2), 1981.*
- (No. 27) *Financial Administration Act.*
- (No. 28) *Notaries Act.*
- (No. 30) *Attorney General Statutes Amendment Act, 1981.*
- (No. 31) *Miscellaneous Statutes Amendment Act (No. 2), 1981.*
- (No. 32) *Civil Rights Protection Act.*
- (No. 35) *Legislative Assembly Allowances and Pension Amendment Act, 1981.*
- (No. Pr 401) *An Act Respecting Montreal Trust Company and Montreal Trust Company of Canada.*
- (No. Pr. 402) *West Kootenay Power and Light Company, Limited, Act, 1897, Amendment Act, 1981.*

His Honour the Administrator was pleased in Her Majesty's name to give assent to the said Bills.

The said assent was announced by *Ian M. Horne*, Q.C., Clerk of the House, in the following words:

“In Her Majesty's name, His Honour the Administrator doth assent to these Bills.”

Ian D. Izard, Esq., Law Clerk and Clerk Assistant, read the title to the following Bill:

- Bill (No. 29) *Supply Act, No. 3, 1981.*

His Honour the Administrator was pleased in Her Majesty's name to give assent to the said Bill.

The said assent was announced by *Ian M. Horne, Q.C.*, Clerk of the House, in the following words:

"In Her Majesty's name, His Honour the Administrator doth thank Her Majesty's loyal subjects, accept their benevolence, and doth assent to this Bill."

His Honour the Administrator was then pleased to retire.

On the motion of the Hon. *E. M. Wolfe*, it was *Ordered*—

That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until it appears to the satisfaction of Mr. Speaker, after consultation with the Government, that the public interest requires that the House shall meet, or until Mr. Speaker may be advised by the Government that it is desired to prorogue the Third Session of the Thirty-second Parliament of the Province of British Columbia. Mr. Speaker may give notice that he is so satisfied or has been so advised and thereupon the House shall meet at the time stated in such notice, and, as the case may be, may transact its business as if it had been duly adjourned to that time; and

That, in the event of Mr. Speaker being unable to act owing to illness or other cause, the Deputy Speaker shall act in his stead for the purpose of this order.

The following papers were presented:

By the Hon. *L. A. Williams*—

Annual Report, B.C. Racing Commission, January 1–December 31, 1980.

Annual Report, Legal Services Society, October 1, 1979–March 31, 1980.

Annual Report, Justice Development Commission:

1. April 1, 1979–March 31, 1980.
2. April 1, 1980–March 31, 1981.

Annual Report, B.C. Police Commission, January 1, 1980–December 31, 1980.

Annual Report, Corrections Branch, January 1, 1979–March 31, 1980.

Annual Report, *Protection of Privacy Act* (submitted by RCMP) part IV.1 *Criminal Code*, section 178.22 (5) C.C.:

1. Annual Report, year ending 1980.
2. Supplementary Report, year ending 1979.
3. Supplementary Report, year ending 1978.
4. Supplementary Report, year ending 1977.
5. Supplementary Report, year ending 1976.
6. Supplementary Report, year ending 1975.

64 Ms. *Brown* asked the Hon. the Minister of Human Resources the following question:

With reference to the Zenith Child Abuse line, what are the monthly figures for September 1980 to date for the following categories: anonymous, by neighbours, by parent, by family member, by child involved, and by professional or agency; and

other calls: parents wanting help, children lonely or wanting help with problems, information about child abuse, other problems, and crank calls or hang-ups?

The Hon. *G. M. McCarthy* replied as follows:

“Zenith Helpline for Children

Type of Call	Sept. '80	Oct. '80	Nov. '80	Dec. '80	Jan. '81
Anonymous* (excludes crank calls)	228	189	184	144	106
Neighbour	75	82	48	57	49
Parent	17	22	22	17	19
Family member	46	60	72	39	39
Child involved	n/a	2	156	88	135
Agency or professional	94	64	120	70	26
Other calls	82	50	84	54	61
Regarding self	517	457	332	280	256
Information on child abuse/neglect	177	158	128	109	100
Counselling/other problems	300	248	297	193	195
Crank calls	243	231	287	226	241
Total calls	1215	1060	1160	899	924

Type of Call	Feb. '81	Mar. '81	Apr. '81	May '81
Anonymous* (excludes crank calls)	121	127	197	211
Neighbour	61	56	57	48
Parent	19	24	42	62
Family member	43	39	43	37
Child involved	107	154	164	19
Agency or professional	32	35	40	38
Other calls	53	58	79	66
Regarding self	304	261	314	272
Information on child abuse/neglect	102	103	114	55
Counselling/other problems	210	224	287	267
Crank calls	112	100	222	144
Total calls	785	818	1045	912

* Anonymous calls include all calls, other than crank or prank calls, where the caller is not identified. Crank calls are listed as a separate category on this table.

NB: Some calls may appear in more than one column. For example, an anonymous call concerning possible child abuse which was dealt with by initial telephone counselling and then referred to a ministry office for follow-up would appear as an anonymous call, a counselling call, and a call concerning child abuse.”

65 Ms. *Brown* asked the Hon. the Minister of Human Resources the following question:

With reference to child apprehension in British Columbia, what is the breakdown of the parents of children apprehended to date in 1981: single parents, parents on social assistance, breakdown by age, and percentage of cases involving native Indian parents?

The Hon. *G. M. McCarthy* replied as follows:

	January 1981 - May 1981
“Parents on social assistance	314
Both parents native Indian	17.2%
One parent native Indian	15.0%

Information on marital status and age of parents is not collected.”

And then the House adjourned at 5.42 p.m.

Monday, November 23, 1981

TEN O'CLOCK A.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *W. J. Bingham*.

Mr. Speaker announced that His Honour the Lieutenant Governor was about to enter the Chamber.

His Honour the Lieutenant Governor having entered the House, and being seated in the Chair, was pleased to deliver the following gracious Speech:

Mr. Speaker and Members of the Legislative Assembly:

In closing this Third Session of the Thirty-second Parliament, it gives me much pleasure to remark on the conscientious manner in which you discharged your duties in all matters which were put before you.

While you were deliberating the business of the people of British Columbia, we were all saddened by the death of a courageous young British Columbian, Terry Fox, whose Marathon of Hope has inspired people throughout the world. It is heartening to know that my Government, in addition to awarding a large grant for the furtherance of cancer research while this young man was still among us, also established the Terry Fox Memorial Research Foundation to accelerate that work, and later further enshrined his name in British Columbia's historical record by dedicating one of our majestic mountains in his name.

We also mourn the passing of two men well respected by this Assembly:

Robert Martin Strachan, who served this House with distinction for 23 years as a Member, Leader of the Official Opposition, as a Minister of the Crown, and in later years, as British Columbia's Agent General in London, England;

James Knight Nesbitt, an honoured member of the Fourth Estate who reported on the deliberations and accomplishments of this Assembly for some four decades.

During the short recess you have had from this Assembly, your Speaker received a most gracious letter of appreciation from His Royal Highness The Prince of Wales for the illuminated copy of your unanimous Resolution, signed by you all, of congratulations on the engagement of His Royal Highness to Lady Diana Spencer. A similar message of thanks was also delivered to my Government's Agent

General in London in appreciation of British Columbia's wedding gift of matching hand-knit Cowichan sweaters. At the conclusion of his formal message, His Royal Highness personally wrote: "Would you please convey our warmest thanks to the Government and people of British Columbia for their most generous gift."

Also during the recess, my Government was the host of a most successful conference of all the Premiers of our nation. I am particularly pleased as favourable conferences of this type assist immeasurably our common cause of national unity.

Turning to your accomplishments of the past year, it was most gratifying to learn of your concern for the rights of all people of our Province with the passage of legislation designed to protect those rights from abuse by those who would not consider all people to be equal under God. In response to the United Nations General Assembly declaration of 1981 as the International Year of the Disabled, my Government initiated a provincial program of awareness of the contribution of disabled persons to the continuing development of British Columbia. Under the guidance of my Minister of Education, the year has been an unqualified success and will continue beyond the year to carry its message to all of our people.

Ours is a vast Province, rich in natural wealth—wealth that must be shared by all. It pleased me to learn that in your discussions, you have taken the first important steps towards development of our untold underground riches in the northeastern section of British Columbia.

At the same time, because of the potential danger in harvesting those natural resources, you approved legislation to protect our environment. Our people must not only be guaranteed a comfortable life from the earnings of our resources, but must be safe in the knowledge that the beauty of our natural inheritance is not threatened by that harvesting.

In that same vein, my Government is to be commended for steps it has taken to almost totally remove from use the leg-hold trap and, without impeding the trapping industry, introduce a more humane method of continuing with this productive and historic trade.

You took a major step toward the conservation of energy, and the convenience of the people of our Lower Mainland, through legislation that will initiate a public transportation system that will move people quickly and economically.

For the second consecutive year you showed fairness and consideration to the important small business sector of our Province's economy through a reduction in income tax, benefitting some 50,000 small business operators.

It was with great satisfaction that I noted your legislative actions to improve safety standards in our huge and vital building industry, and that you established an Advisory Council to ensure that all regulations respecting structural design, construction and alteration of buildings are made only after consultation and concurrence of this commission.

In the matters of the health of our people, you moved swiftly to ensure that health care remains within the financial reach of all, and with the *Financial Administration Act*, you provided for more stringent control of the financial affairs of our Province.

Concern for our senior citizens was exhibited in your decision to ease the financial burden of automobile insurance by establishing a program of financial assistance.

You also took legislative action to assist those people who rely on highly trained dogs to overcome a sight impairment by allowing those people to take their dogs with them into places of business.

During the period of your discussions, you were joined by a new Member for Kamloops, Claude Harry Richmond. I congratulate him on his success, and wish him well in his labours on behalf of his constituents and all of our people.

These are but a few highlights of your most recent deliberations to ensure that the people of this bounteous Province are guaranteed the basic aims of life.

Honourable Members, I now relieve you of your legislative duties, and I trust the blessing of Divine Providence will be with you as you shortly resume those duties.

The Hon. *E. M. Wolfe* (Provincial Secretary) then said:

Mr. Speaker and Members of the Legislative Assembly:

It is His Honour the Lieutenant Governor's will and pleasure that this Legislative Assembly be prorogued until 2 o'clock p.m. today, and this Provincial Legislative Assembly is hereby prorogued accordingly.

42 Mr. *Skelly* asked the Hon. the Minister of Forests the following questions:

During the fiscal years 1976-77 to 1980-81—

1. What pesticides did the Ministry purchase in each year, in what quantities and at what cost?
2. What quantities of each pesticide were used in each year, for what purpose and what was the total cost of each program?
3. What amount of each pesticide remained in storage or was disposed of at the end of each year?
4. What pesticides, in what quantities are presently in the possession of the Ministry?

The Hon. *T. M. Waterland* replied as follows:

"1, 2 and 3. The information is contained in the tables headed 'Ministry of Forests Pesticide Inventory' for the respective fiscal years.

"4. The information is contained in the table headed 'Ministry of Forests Pesticide Inventory, August 1981'."

The House prorogued at 10.20 a.m.

H. W. SCHROEDER, *Speaker*