

Wednesday, February 1, 1967

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Major *Robert McKerracher*.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the Address in reply to the Speech of His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor at the opening of the Session.

The debate continued.

Mr. *Nimsick* moved in amendment, seconded by Mr. *R. A. Williams*,—

That this House regrets that the Throne Speech omits any proposals to establish a Provincial housing authority to alleviate housing shortages, high rents, and overcrowding in our communities.

A debate arose.

Mr. *Perrault* moved an amendment to the amendment, seconded by Mr. *McGeer*,—

That the amendment be amended by substituting for the words "a Provincial housing authority" the words "an effective programme" so that the amendment would read:—

"That this House regrets that the Throne Speech omits any proposals to establish an effective programme to alleviate housing shortages, high rents, and overcrowding in our communities."

Mr. Speaker ruled the motion out of order as the mover and seconder had already spoken to the main motion.

The debate continued on the amendment.

The House divided.

The amendment was negatived on the following division:—

YEAS—15

Messieurs

<i>Hall</i>	<i>Vulliamy</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Dailly, Mrs.</i>	<i>Harding</i>
<i>Berger</i>	<i>Parkinson</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>Williams, R. A.</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Eddie</i>	

NAYS—38

Messieurs

<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Vogel</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>LeCours</i>
<i>Clark</i>	<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Shelford</i>	<i>Wenman</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>Macfarlane</i>	<i>McCarthy, Mrs.</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Jordan, Mrs.</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Dawson, Mrs.</i>	<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Bate</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>McDiarmid</i>	<i>Gaglardi</i>
<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Capozzi</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Little</i>	<i>Bennett</i>		

The debate was resumed on the main motion.

On the motion of Mr. *L. A. Williams*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Resolved, that the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 6.01 p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.58 p.m.

Wednesday, February 1, 1967

ONE MINUTE PAST SIX O'CLOCK P.M.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the Address in reply to the Speech of His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor at the opening of the Session.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Bruch*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* (Attorney-General) presented the Annual Report of the Director of Correction for the year ended March 31, 1966.

25 Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following question:—

With respect to the British Columbia Forest Service, how many permanent staff were employed in duties relating to forest protection in the year ended December 31, 1966?

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* replied as follows:—

“The total number of permanent staff employed on forest protection in the calendar year 1966 was as follows: Full-time protection staff, 49; staff whose duties included a significant share of forest-protection activities, e.g., rangers, radio-men, etc., 243; total, 292.”

40 Mr. *Macdonald* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following question:—

What was the total number of Provincial employees who were employed exclusively in the capacity of treating narcotic addicts as at December 31, 1966?

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* replied as follows:—

“A total of 12 permanent employees, plus 1 holiday relief, making a total of 13 staff who were employed exclusively in the capacity of treating narcotic addicts (male and female) as at December 31, 1966.”

75 Mr. *Calder* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With reference to roads in the Atlin constituency:—

1. Was any money spent in 1966/67 on road construction and repairs, including snow removal, on any of the following roads: (a) Atlin Highway, (b) Atlin–Surprise Lake Road, (c) Atlin–Spruce Creek Road, (d) Atlin village roads, (e) Lower Post village roads, (f) Telegraph Creek village roads, (g) Telegraph Creek–Glenora Road, (h) Telegraph Creek–Dease Lake Road, (i) Stewart village roads, (j) Stewart–Hyder Road, (k) Stewart–Bear River Road, (l) Cassiar to Stewart–Cassiar Junction Road, (m) Stewart–Cassiar Junction to Alaska Highway, (n) Stewart–Cassiar Junction to Stikine River Crossing Road, (o) Stikine River Crossing–Burrage River Road, (p) Alice Arm–Dolly Varden Road, (q) Alice Arm Shore Road, and (r) Alice Arm village roads?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what was the amount spent on each road?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) Atlin Highway, \$1,864; (b) Atlin–Surprise Lake Road, \$540; (c) Atlin–Spruce Creek Road, \$282; (d) Atlin village roads, \$211; (e) Lower Post village roads, \$60; (f) Telegraph Creek village roads, \$18; (g) Telegraph Creek–Glenora Road, \$400; (h) Telegraph Creek–Dease Lake Road, \$19,241; (i) Stewart village roads, \$3,633.47; (j) Stewart–Hyder Road, \$7,214.40; (k) Stewart–Bear River Road, \$22,728; (l) Cassiar to Stewart–Cassiar Junction Road, \$5,441; (m) Stewart–Cassiar Junction to Alaska Highway, \$3,563; (n) Stewart–Cassiar Junction to Stikine River Crossing Road, \$35,978; (o) Stikine River Crossing–Burrage River Road, \$5,000; (p) Alice Arm–Dolly Varden Road, \$10,836.88; (q) Alice Arm Shore Road, \$222.56; and (r) Alice Arm village roads, \$691.84.”

77 Mr. *Parkinson* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:—

1. Have any applications for liquor licences in the City of Vancouver been rejected (a) during 1966 and (b) during 1967?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what are the reasons for rejection of each individual application?

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. In conformity with the *Government Liquor Act*, no reasons are recorded in the minutes of the Board.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 7.45 p.m.

Thursday, February 2, 1967

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. G. G. Smith.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the Address in reply to the Speech of His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor at the opening of the Session.

The debate continued.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:—

YEAS—32

Messieurs

<i>Chabot</i>	<i>McCarthy, Mrs.</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>LeCours</i>
<i>Bate</i>	<i>Jordan, Mrs.</i>	<i>Wenman</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Dawson, Mrs.</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>Little</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>McDiarmid</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Capozzi</i>	<i>Gaglardi</i>
<i>Shelford</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Richter</i>

NAYS—22

Messieurs

<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>	<i>Macfarlane</i>	<i>Eddie</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Vulliamy</i>	<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>
<i>Clark</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Harding</i>
<i>Hall</i>	<i>Parkinson</i>	<i>Dailly, Mrs.</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Berger</i>	<i>McGeer</i>		

Moved by the Hon. W. A. C. Bennett, seconded by the Hon. R. W. Bonner,—

That this House will, at its next sitting, resolve itself into a Committee to consider the Supply to be granted to Her Majesty, and that this Order have precedence over all other business, except introduction of Bills, until disposed of.

Mr. Strachan moved in amendment, seconded by Mr. Dowding,—

That the motion be amended by inserting after the word "Bills" the following words: " and a daily 15-minute oral question period," so that the motion as amended will read:—

"That this House will, at its next sitting, resolve itself into a Committee to consider the Supply to be granted to Her Majesty, and that this Order have precedence over all other business, except introduction of Bills and a daily 15-minute oral question period, until disposed of."

Mr. Speaker ruled the amendment out of order and advised the House his reasons would be given later.

An appeal was taken from the ruling of the Chair.

The House divided.

The Speaker's ruling was sustained on the following division:—

YEAS—31

Messieurs

<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Jordan, Mrs.</i>	<i>Wenman</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Dawson, Mrs.</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>Little</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>McDiarmid</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Capozzi</i>	<i>Gaglardi</i>
<i>Shelford</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>McCarthy, Mrs.</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	

NAYS—21

Messieurs

<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Eddie</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Vulliamy</i>	<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>
<i>Clark</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Harding</i>
<i>Hall</i>	<i>Parkinson</i>	<i>Dailly, Mrs.</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Berger</i>			

PAIR:

Messieurs

Bate *Macfarlane*

The House divided on the main motion.

Motion agreed to on the following division:—

YEAS—52

Messieurs

<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Phillips</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Dailly, Mrs.</i>	<i>Shelford</i>	<i>Mussallem</i>
<i>Clark</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>McCarthy, Mrs.</i>	<i>McDiarmid</i>
<i>Hall</i>	<i>Eddie</i>	<i>Jordan, Mrs.</i>	<i>Capozzi</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Dawson, Mrs.</i>	<i>Vogel</i>
<i>Berger</i>	<i>Harding</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>LeCours</i>
<i>Williams, R. A.</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Vulliamy</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Parkinson</i>	<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Wenman</i>	<i>Gaglardi</i>
<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Richter</i>

PAIR:

Messieurs

Bate *Macfarlane*

On the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, seconded by the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, it was *Ordered*,—

That this House will, at its next sitting, resolve itself into a Committee to consider of the Ways and Means for raising the Supply to be granted to Her Majesty.

Motion agreed to.

The House proceeded to the Order “Reading and Receiving Petitions.”

Mr. Skillings presented the petition of The Corporation of the City of Victoria for leave to introduce a Private Bill intituled *An Act Relating to The Corporation of the City of Victoria*.

On the motion of *Mr. Skillings* the Rules were suspended and the petition of The Corporation of the City of Victoria was *Ordered* received.

Mr. Tisdalle presented the petition of Rev. E. A. Hornby, Rev. V. R. Morrison, Rev. James M. House, Rev. J. M. Watts, and Rev. C. Fawcett for leave to introduce a Private Bill intituled *An Act to Incorporate the Western Pentecostal Bible College*.

On the motion of *Mr. Tisdalle* the Rules were suspended and the petition of Rev. E. A. Hornby, Rev. V. R. Morrison, Rev. James M. House, Rev. J. M. Watts, and Rev. C. Fawcett was *Ordered* received.

Mr. Bate presented the petition of the Yorkshire Savings and Loan Association for leave to introduce a Private Bill intituled *An Act to Provide for the Conversion of Yorkshire Savings and Loan Association*.

On the motion of *Mr. Bate* the Rules were suspended and the petition of the Yorkshire Savings and Loan Association was *Ordered* received.

Mr. Wolfe presented the petition of the Shaughnessy Heights Property Owners' Association for leave to introduce a Private Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Shaughnessy Heights Building Restriction Act, 1922*.

On the motion of *Mr. Wolfe* the Rules were suspended and the petition of the Shaughnessy Heights Property Owners' Association was *Ordered* received.

Mr. Capozzi presented the petition of the City of Vancouver for leave to introduce a Private Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Vancouver Charter*.

On the motion of *Mr. Capozzi* the Rules were suspended and the petition of the City of Vancouver was *Ordered* received.

Mr. Capozzi presented the petition of The Society of Industrial and Cost Accountants of British Columbia for leave to introduce a Private Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Society of Industrial and Cost Accountants of British Columbia Act*.

On the motion of *Mr. Capozzi* the Rules were suspended and the petition of The Society of Industrial and Cost Accountants of British Columbia was *Ordered* received.

28 *Mr. Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Mines and Petroleum Resources the following question:—

With respect to the Stewart-Cassiar Road, (a) were Provincial Government funds expended on this road during the year ended December 31, 1966, and (b) if the answer to the above is yes, what was the amount so expended?

The Hon. *D. L. Brothers* replied as follows:—

“(a) Yes, and (b) for the period ended December 31, 1966, total expenditures on the Stewart-Cassiar Road for the current fiscal year were \$1,067,280.91.”

31 Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following question:—

With respect to supplementary social assistance payments, (a) how many people receive supplementary social assistance payments at the present time, and (b) of these, how many receive the maximum allowance?

The Hon. *D. R. J. Campbell* replied as follows:—

“(a) As at December 31, 1966, 27,888 and (b) 17,691.”

36 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Mines and Petroleum Resources the following questions:—

With reference to payments under section 2 of the *Iron Bounty Act*:—

1. Have any payments been made under this section to Consolidated Mining and Smelting Company since January 31, 1966?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what are the amounts and dates of payment to date?

The Hon. *D. L. Brothers* replied as follows:—

“1. Yes.

“2. The payments made during 1966 were as follows:—

Date	Tons*	Bounty
June 7, 1966.....	8,164.0	\$24,492.00
July 11, 1966.....	6,203.3	18,609.90
August 8, 1966.....	7,580.3	22,740.90
September 7, 1966.....	7,853.9	23,561.70
October 18, 1966.....	8,178.2	24,534.60
November 7, 1966.....	4,020.3	12,060.90
Totals.....	42,000.0	\$126,000.00

* At \$3 per ton.”

58 Mr. *Calder* asked the Hon. the Minister of Mines and Petroleum Resources the following questions:—

1. Are any mining claims registered in the names of any of the following companies: Totem Mining Company Limited, Metot Mining Company Limited, Julian Mining Company Limited, and Mart Mining Company Limited?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, in the case of each company (a) how many claims are filed and (b) where are the claims located?

The Hon. *D. L. Brothers* replied as follows:—

“1. According to the records on file as of January 30, 1967, in the Central Records Office of the Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, mineral claims are held under the *Mineral Act* in the name of Julian Mining Company Limited. No mineral claims are held in the names of Totem Minerals Limited, Metot Minerals Limited, or Mart Mining Company Limited.

“2. Totem Minerals Limited: (a) and (b) Answered by No. 1.

“Metot Minerals Limited: (a) and (b) Answered by No. 1.

“Julian Mining Company Limited: (a) 134 claims and (b) 58 claims located north and east of Francois Lake, 20 claims located at Fenton Creek north-west of Owen Lake, 16 claims located approximately 3½ miles south of Savory, 30 claims located near Galore Creek area, 2 claims located approximately 10 miles south of Letain Lake, 8 claims located approximately 16 miles south-east of Turnagain Lake.

“Mart Mining Company Limited: (a) and (b) Answered by No. 1.”

60 Mr. Barrett asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With respect to payments of supplementary social assistance:—

1. How many persons are in receipt of this allowance?
2. How many persons receive the maximum allowance of \$30, and how many receive allowances of \$1 to \$10, \$11 to \$20, \$21 to \$25, \$26 to \$30?

The Hon. *D. R. J. Campbell* replied as follows:—

- "1. As at December 31, 1966, 27,888.
- "2. Receiving the maximum were 17,691: 819 receive \$1 to \$10, 4,173 receive \$11 to \$20, 2,987 receive \$21 to \$25, 2,218 receive \$26 to \$29.99, 17,691 receive \$30, and total, 27,888."

61 Mr. Barrett asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With reference to recipients of Old-age Assistance, Old Age Security, and Supplementary Social Allowance:—

1. Are there any recipients of Old-age Assistance who are not in receipt of Supplementary Social Allowance?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, do any of these recipients hold valid health services identity cards and, if so, how many?

The Hon. *D. R. J. Campbell* replied as follows:—

- "1. Yes, as at December 31, 1966, 1,010.
- "2. Yes, 350."

65 Mr. Barrett asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With respect to the Boys' Industrial School:—

1. What was the total number of boys held as at December 31, 1966?
2. What was the total number of staff employed as at December 31, 1966?
3. What was the total number of social workers employed as at December 31, 1966?

The Hon. *D. R. J. Campbell* replied as follows:—

- "1. 194.
- "2. 73, plus 10 under the Department of Public Works.
- "3. Three."

66 Mr. Barrett asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With respect to the Girls' Industrial School:—

1. What was the total number of girls held as at December 31, 1966?
2. What was the total number of staff employed as at December 31, 1966?
3. What was the total number of social workers employed as at December 31, 1966?

The Hon. *D. R. J. Campbell* replied as follows:—

- "1. 91.
- "2. 50.
- "3. Three, plus seven master degree students and supervisor from the School of Social Work, University of British Columbia. Two full days per week."

79 Mr. *Nimsick* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following questions:—

1. How many grazing permits are issued in the Nelson forest area?
2. How many cattle and horses respectively do these permits cover?

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* replied as follows:—

“1 and 2. In the calendar year 1966, 484 grazing permits were issued in the Nelson Forest District, authorizing the depasturing of 22,540 cattle, 1,139 horses, and 1,051 sheep.”

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* (Minister of Commercial Transport) presented the Department of Commercial Transport Annual Report, 1966.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2.30 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 6.12 p.m.

Friday, February 3, 1967

HALF-PAST TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Archbishop *H. E. Sexton*.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, seconded by the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, it was *Ordered*,—

That the Public Accounts for the Fiscal Year 1965/66 be referred to the Select Standing Committee on Public Accounts.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* (Minister of Finance) presented the Report of the Comptroller-General, pursuant to the provisions of the *Audit Act*, chapter 22, R.S.B.C. 1960.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith:—

Estimates of sums required for the service of the Province for the fiscal year ending March 31, 1968;

Schedule A. Sums required by Her Majesty to make good certain sums expended for the public service for the period ended March 31, 1966, and to indemnify the several officers and persons for making such expenditure; and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 3, 1967.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Estimates accompanying the same, be referred to the Committee of Supply.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* moved, seconded by the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

A debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Strachan*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Coloured Gasoline Tax Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 2, 1967.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 4) intituled *An Act to Amend the Coloured Gasoline Tax Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Provincial Home-owner Grant Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 2, 1967.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 5) intituled *An Act to Amend the Provincial Home-owner Grant Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
 Report adopted.
 Bill introduced and read a first time.
 Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Pacific Great Eastern Construction Loan Act, 1954*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 1, 1967.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 8) intituled *An Act to Amend the Pacific Great Eastern Construction Loan Act, 1954*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
 Report adopted.
 Bill introduced and read a first time.
 Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Gasoline Tax Act, 1948*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 1, 1967.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 9) intituled *An Act to Amend the Gasoline Tax Act, 1948*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Establish the Crop Insurance Stabilization Fund*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 1, 1967.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 10) intituled *An Act to Establish the Crop Insurance Stabilization Fund*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Establish the Centennial Cultural Fund*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 1, 1967.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 11) intituled *An Act to Establish the Centennial Cultural Fund*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
 Report adopted.
 Bill introduced and read a first time.
 Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Mining Tax Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 1, 1967.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 12) intituled *An Act to Amend the Mining Tax Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
 Report adopted.
 Bill introduced and read a first time.
 Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority Act, 1964*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 2, 1967.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 13) intituled *An Act to Amend the British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority Act, 1964*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act for the Payment of the Debt of the City of New Westminster with Respect to the Queensborough Bridge*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 2, 1967.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 14) intituled *An Act for the Payment of the Debt of the City of New Westminster with Respect to the Queensborough Bridge*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act Respecting Provincial Grants for Home Acquisition*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 2, 1967.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 16) intituled *An Act Respecting Provincial Grants for Home Acquisition*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Succession Duty Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 2, 1967.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 15) intituled *An Act to Amend the Succession Duty Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. on Monday next.

And then the House adjourned at 4.48 p.m.

Monday, February 6, 1967

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. Dr. N. S. Noel.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. D. R. J. Campbell, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion of the Hon. R. G. Williston, seconded by the Hon. W. K. Kiernan, it was *Ordered*,—

That the Select Standing Committee on Forestry and Fisheries be convened and asked to study

- (1) the effect of modern geophysical mining exploration on the forest resource;
- (2) the possible amendment of section 116 of the *Forest Act* to apply to the entire Province in order to reduce the slash hazard caused by the build-up of contiguous areas of logged-over land in the Interior;
- (3) whether certain areas of the Province now covered by grazing permits for domestic animals should be reserved exclusively for winter grazing of wildlife such as deer or bighorn sheep;

and to hear such reports as resulted from standing assignments with the Forest Service.

14 Mr. Perrault asked the Hon. the Minister of Labour the following question:—

With respect to the British Columbia Labour-Management Advisory Committee, since its establishment have any meetings been held? If the answer to the above is yes, (a) how many meetings have been held, (b) where did these meetings take place, and (c) by meeting, how many were present?

The Hon. L. R. Peterson replied as follows:—

"Yes, (a) two, (b) 411 Dunsmuir Street, Vancouver, B.C., and (c) 10 members attended each of these meetings."

24 Mr. Perrault asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following question:—

With respect to pollution control, during the year ended December 31, 1966, how much money, by regions, was expended by the Provincial Government in pollution-control measures and (or) studies and surveys?

The Hon. R. G. Williston replied as follows:—

"This question cannot be answered as two fiscal years are involved. The costs are not allocated on a regional basis."

30 Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Provincial Secretary the following question:—
With respect to the British Columbia Medical Insurance Plan, as of December 31, 1966, (a) how many citizens held coverage under this plan and (b) what was the estimated percentage of British Columbia citizens covered under this plan?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“(a) 342,914 residents and (b) 18.4 per cent of the residents.”

80 Mr. *Nimsick* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following question:—

Has the B.C. Hydro been given the authority to carry out the necessary clearing, relocating, and surveying of the area in British Columbia which will be flooded by the Libby Dam and, if so, (a) have the land-owners to be affected been notified, (b) have any appraisals been made of the lands affected, and (c) have any plans been made for the clearing of the reservoir?

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* replied as follows:—

“(a), (b), and (c) No.

“Notification was received during 1966 that the United States intended to proceed with the project. The effective date for making this reservoir area available is 1973, and there is ample time to do this work. Preliminary studies have been made and now up-dated concerning the general problems from which detailed plans can now be produced. Meetings have taken place within the last week.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

Tuesday, February 7, 1967

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *B. J. Molloy*.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion “That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair” for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *Grace McCarthy*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order “Public Bills and Orders.”

Bill (No. 1) intituled *An Act to Amend the Parents' Maintenance Act* was read a second time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

Bill (No. 3) intituled *An Act of Consent Respecting the Adoption of the British Columbia-Yukon-Northwest Territories Boundary* was read a second time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 4) intituled *An Act to Amend the Coloured Gasoline Tax Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. Vulliamy, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 9) intituled *An Act to Amend the Gasoline Tax Act, 1948*, a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. Vulliamy, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

85 Mr. Calder asked the Hon. the Minister of Mines and Petroleum Resources the following questions:—

1. Was there any expenditure by the Provincial Government in 1966/67 on the construction of the Stewart-Cassiar Road?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what was the amount so expended?

3. Was any money received by the Provincial Government from the Federal Government for the construction of the Stewart-Cassiar Road during 1966/67?

4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, what was the total amount so received?

5. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, how much of the Federal money remains to be expended?

The Hon. *D. L. Brothers* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. \$1,067,280.91.

“ 3. Yes.

“ 4. Federal Government's share to December 31, 1966, including anticipated payment of Claim No. 96, is \$483,139.98.

“ 5. \$131,219.66.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.54 p.m.

Tuesday, February 7, 1967

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion “ That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair ” for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The Speaker delivered his reserved reasons on an amendment to the motion constituting the Committee of Supply, as follows:—

Honourable Members,—On Thursday afternoon last, when the Address in reply to the Speech of His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor had been agreed to, the motion “That this House will, at its next sitting, resolve itself into a Committee to consider the Supply to be granted to Her Majesty, and that this Order have precedence over all other business, except introduction of Bills, until disposed of” was moved by the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, seconded by the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*.

Mr. Strachan moved in amendment, seconded by *Mr. Dowding*, that the motion be amended by inserting after the word “Bills” the following words: “and a daily 15-minute oral question period.”

The Chair ruled the amendment out of order, quoting the authority of *May*, 16th edition, at page 721, which reads as follows:—

“Motions setting up these Committees are made without notice immediately after the House agrees to the address in answer to the Speech from the Throne, and are put forthwith from the Chair, no debate being permitted thereon.”

The 17th edition of *May* confirms the earlier edition and clearly establishes that under the British House of Commons Order 15 no debate is permitted on the motion to constitute the Committee of Supply. Standing Order 15 is essentially the same Order as Standing Order 60 of this House, which reads as follows:—

“60. The Committee of Supply and of Ways and Means shall be appointed on motion, without previous notice, at the commencement of every Session, as soon as an Address has been agreed to in answer to the Speech of His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor.”

Prior to the ruling of the Chair, Honourable Members directed my attention to several points which gave rise to some doubt as to the applicability of the English authorities in the present circumstance. The points raised were as follows:—

1. That the motion for Supply was a routine proceeding and therefore, under Standing Order 45, debatable.
2. That in a previous year debate was permitted on a similar amendment and therefore should be allowed on this occasion.
3. That as words have been added giving precedence to the Order for Committee of Supply, the motion was thereby rendered open to debate and consequently open to amendment.

These points are well raised and require close and careful consideration. They do not, however, in my respectful opinion, set aside the basic rule as stated in *May*, for these reasons:—

1. While a motion for constitution of the Committee of Supply is made at each Session, it does not for that reason alone become “a routine proceeding,” as contemplated by Standing Order 45. Historically, the question of Supply to the Crown has not been a “routine” matter. On the contrary, it is a special proceeding, originating first according to ancient usage as a response to the royal demand for Supply implied in the Speech from the Throne (*May*, 16th edition, page 696). It is now governed by a Special Standing Order which constitutes a Committee of Supply once only for the whole of the current Session.

2. On the previous occasion when debate was permitted, no ruling was made by the Chair and the attention of the House was not directed to the propriety of debate. This circumstance should not, in my opinion, lead the House to abandon a rule which is otherwise well founded. The incongruity of debate is implicit in Standing Order 60 because by the terms of that Order it is mandatory for the House to constitute the Committee of Supply. An examination of the Journals will confirm that it has not been the practice of this House over many years to debate the motion for constitution of this Committee.

3. In considering the third point, that by reason of the addition of the words "and that this Order have precedence over all other business, except introduction of Bills, until disposed of" the motion becomes debatable, I have examined the Journals, which show that these words were first adopted in the Throne debate motion in the year 1922 and in the motion to constitute a Committee of Supply in the year 1955. It may have been preferable if originally the motion had been severed into two distinct propositions:—

- (i) "That the House resolve itself into a Committee of Supply at its next sitting"; and
- (ii) "That the Order for Committee of Supply have precedence over all other business, except introduction of Bills, until disposed of."

However, the House has not seen fit to do so and has, in fact, for a long period of time accepted the form of these motions without question. In my view, the additional words giving priority are of a procedural nature only, for the purpose of varying the effect of Standing Order 25, which governs the normal order of business of the House. They do not, in my opinion, change the essential nature of the motion. The proposed amendment on the other hand, if adopted, would not only further alter the daily routine of business but would also provide for oral questions, contrary to the long-established practice of this House. In this respect my interpretations are based upon what I conceive to be the effect of the rules in the light of the recorded decisions of former Speakers. (Journals, 1928, page 19; 1953, page 14; 1953, page 70; 1958, page 26.)

In considering the matters raised by Honourable Members, at the time, my ruling was made with some hesitation, but I am now satisfied, upon further consideration, that the proposed amendment was not in order for the reasons stated.

W. H. MURRAY, *Speaker*.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 9.48 p.m.

Wednesday, February 8, 1967

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *M. Fowler*.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *D. L. Brothers*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, seconded by the Hon. *W. K. Kiernan*, it was *Ordered*,—

That a Special Committee be named, by those responsible for listing Select Standing Committees of this House, to study the Report of the Royal Commission on Gasoline Price Structure prepared by His Honour Judge Charles William Morrow, and report their recommendations to the Legislature concerning this Report.

This Special Committee, when named, shall have the power to send for persons, papers, and records and hear such representations as may, in their discretion, appear pertinent to this study.

1 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce the following question:—

During the years 1965 and 1966, how many employees were engaged in (a) primary industries and (b) secondary industries?

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* replied as follows:—

"In the primary industries, forestry and mining statistics are published. Comparable statistics for agriculture and fishing are not available, presumably because of the large number of self-employed in both of these industries. The following table represents all available statistics on this question.

Estimates of Employees, by Industry, British Columbia (Monthly Averages)

	(In thousands.)				
	1963*	1964*	1965*	1966 (Estimated)	
Forestry.....	18.6	18.5	19.5	20.1	23.7
Mining.....	7.7	7.8	8.6	9.8	7.4
Manufacturing—					
Non-durable goods.....	42.1	43.9	45.5	49.1	
Durable goods.....	66.6	67.4	72.6	76.8	
	108.7	111.4	118.1	126.0	133.05
Construction.....	23.3	27.3	32.2	35.5	25.5
Transportation, communication, and other utilities.....	62.0	64.6	68.8	72.8	75.9
Trade.....	76.8	81.1	87.0	90.3	94.0
Finance, insurance, and real estate.....	18.3	19.7	21.5	23.1	22.4
Service and commercial sector.....	45.6	50.1	56.6	63.7	55.8
Total.....	360.9	380.6	412.3	441.5	441.7

* Revised.

122.42

"NOTE. — Not included above are employees in agriculture, fishing, non-commercial service, and public administration and defence. The 1961 Census reported 8,266 employees in agriculture, 1,437 in fishing, and 46,000 in public administration and defence. The estimated agricultural labour force (including self-employed and unemployed) was estimated at 25,300 in 1966; the fishing labour force was estimated to number between 5,000 and 10,000. The total number of commercial fishing licences numbers approximately 15,000 but includes many part-time or "week-end" fishermen."

10 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following question:—

In each school district in the Province which has rural components, what were the total sums collectable under the *Public Schools Act* in each rural component for the school budget year of 1966?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

"Unorganized rural components of school districts and 1966 school requisitions: No. 1, Fernie, \$392,511; No. 2, Cranbrook, \$208,941; No. 3, Kimberley, \$608,314; No. 4, Windermere, \$239,471; No. 7, Nelson, \$494,990; No. 8, Slokan, \$189,717; No. 9, Castlegar, \$794,023; No. 10, Arrow Lakes, \$179,819; No. 11, Trail, \$485,898; No. 12, Grand Forks, \$164,860; No. 13, Kettle Valley, \$95,227; No. 14, Southern Okanagan, \$321,707; No. 15, Penticton, \$135,250; No. 16, Keremeos, \$82,708; No. 17, Princeton, \$93,461; No. 18, Golden, \$126,477; No. 19, Revelstoke, \$170,603; No. 20, Salmon Arm, \$351,383; No. 21, Armstrong-Spallumcheen, \$9; No. 22, Vernon, \$273,405; No. 23, Kelowna, \$769,561; No. 24, Kamloops, \$1,337,955; No. 25, Barriere, \$116,944; No. 26, Birch Island, \$250,722; No. 27, Williams Lake, \$814,834; No. 28, Quesnel, \$535,035; No. 29, Lillooet, \$607,594; No. 30, South Cariboo, \$289,523; No. 31, Merritt, \$306,472; No. 32, Fraser Canyon, \$269,117; No. 33, Chilliwack, \$164,941; No. 34, Abbotsford, \$10,021; No. 36, Surrey, \$7,093; No. 42, Maple Ridge, \$21,440; No. 43, Coquitlam, \$958,219; No. 44, North Vancouver, \$6,908; No. 45, West Vancouver, \$39,028; No. 46, Sechelt, \$983,618; No. 47, Powell River, \$435,842; No. 48, Howe Sound, \$834,303; No. 49, Ocean Falls, \$507,494; No. 50, Queen Charlotte, \$156,387; No. 51, Portland Canal, \$17,778; No. 52, Prince Rupert, \$758,499; No. 53, Terrace, \$188,052; No. 54, Smithers, \$90,916; No. 55, Burns Lake, \$185,874; No. 56, Vanderhoof, \$381,959; No. 57, Prince George, \$1,549,908; No. 58, McBride, \$175,897; No. 59, Peace River South, \$507,476; No. 60, Peace River North, \$1,154,543; No. 61, Greater Victoria, \$142,510; No. 62, Sooke, \$931,229; No. 63, Saanich, \$30,833; No. 64, Gulf Islands, \$387,637; No. 65, Cowichan, \$560,286; No. 66, Lake Cowichan, \$838,488; No. 67, Ladysmith, \$168,282; No. 68, Nanaimo, \$2,416,717; No. 69, Qualicum, \$354,916; No. 70, Alberni, \$636,852; No. 71, Courtenay, \$666,687; No. 72, Campbell River, \$575,513; No. 75, Mission, \$124,354; No. 76, Agassiz, \$79,242; No. 77, Summerland, \$10,165; No. 78, Enderby, \$82,941; No. 79, Ucluelet-Tofino, \$148,090; No. 81, Fort Nelson, \$194,919; No. 82, Chilcotin, \$26,898; No. 83, Portage Mountain, \$18,175; No. 84, Vancouver Island West, \$193,801; No. 85, Vancouver Island North, \$455,320; No. 86, Creston-Kaslo, \$400,460; No. 87, Stikine, \$106,355.

"Unattached: Bamfield, \$8,497; Belmont Park, \$58; Comox Airport, \$110; University Hill, \$201,427; total, \$29,603,489."

11 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following question:—

With respect to amounts paid by the Federal Government under the *Unemployment Assistance Act*, what was the amount paid to the Province of British Columbia under the terms of this Act in the year 1965/66?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“\$19,894,370.58.”

12 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

With respect to bond issues for school construction:—

1. Were any bond issues authorized by the Government in 1966 and, if so, in what total amount?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what was the total amount of those bonds floated?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“1. \$52,000,000.

“2. \$52,000,000.”

20 Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Premier the following question:—

With respect to the Peace River power project, in the year ended December 31, 1966, how many employees, by categories, were dismissed from employment?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“Operating engineers, 241; tunnel and rock workers and labourers, 737; cement masons, 4; machinists, 2; boilermakers, 11; carpenters, 176; electrical workers, 44; ironworkers, 118; plumbers and pipefitters, 33; teamsters, 116; culinary workers, 83; office and technical employees, 57; total, 1,622.”

22 Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following question:—

With respect to unemployment assistance, under terms of the *Unemployment Assistance Act*, what amount was paid by the Government of Canada to the Province of British Columbia under terms of the Act in the year ended December 31, 1966?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“\$21,213,925.79.”

42 Mrs. *Dailly* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following questions:—

With reference to the cost of school textbooks in British Columbia:—

1. What was the total cost to the Government for textbooks in the fiscal year 1965/66?

2. What was the average textbook cost per pupil in the fiscal year 1965/66?

3. What were the names of the companies or individuals supplying the textbooks, and what amount was paid to each relevant company or individual?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* replied as follows:—

“1. \$1,697,890.60.

“2. \$3.91.

" 3. Addison-Wesley Publishing Co. Inc., \$32,961; Appleton Century Crofts, \$950.40; Bellhaven House, \$3,000; The Book Society of Canada Ltd., \$1,045; Clarke, Irwin & Company Ltd., \$102,503.70; Clarke & Stuart Company Ltd., \$44,479.27; Collier-Macmillan (Canada) Ltd., \$96,187.98; The Copp Clark Publishing Company Ltd., \$303,143.99; J. M. Dent & Sons, Canada, Ltd., \$377,-201.30; Doubleday Canada Ltd., \$12,682.60; Evergreen Press Ltd., \$22,725.78; W. H. Freeman & Company, \$149,120.40; W. J. Gage Ltd., \$513,078.15; General Publishing Company Ltd., \$8,482.50; Ginn and Company, \$126,966; Mary Glasgow & Baker Ltd., \$1,300; Harper Brothers Publishing Inc., \$3,328.40; Holt, Rinehart & Winston of Canada Ltd., \$156,048.16; House of Grant, Canada, Ltd., \$11,280; Longman's Canada Ltd., \$65,679.76; McClelland & Stewart, Ltd., \$39,020.35; McGraw-Hill Company of Canada Ltd., \$202,729.92; Macmillan Company of Canada, \$223,042.13; William Morrow & Company, \$16,326.20; Musson Book Company Ltd., \$6,635.44; Thomas Nelson & Sons, Canada, Ltd., \$136,483.67; Oxford University Press, \$4,688.88; Sir Isaac Pitman & Sons, Canada, Ltd., \$58-239; Queen's Printer, Province of British Columbia, \$19,517.53; Random House of Canada, Ltd., \$11,600; The Ronald Press Company, \$148.75; The Ryerson Press, \$46,742.25; S. J. Reginald Saunders & Company Ltd., \$2,520; Saunders of Toronto, Ltd., \$5,400; Charles Scribner's Sons, \$65; St. John Ambulance Association, \$1,700; University of Toronto Press, \$320; Waterloo Music Company Ltd., \$2,681.25."

71 Mr. *Hall* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following question:—

With reference to medical identity cards issued to recipients of social assistance, excluding supplementary social assistance paid to pensioners, how many persons were eligible for health services as at November 30, 1966, or at the latest date available?

The Hon. *D. R. J. Campbell* replied as follows:—

" At October 31, 1966, there were 44,779 persons eligible for health services, excluding those who are eligible by reason of being in receipt of Old-age Assistance, Blind Persons' Allowance, Disabled Persons' Allowance, and Old Age Security plus Supplementary Social Allowance."

72 Mr. *R. A. Williams* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With reference to the social welfare cost-sharing formula between the Government and the municipalities:—

1. What was the total amount paid by the Government under this formula in the fiscal year 1965/66?

2. What was the total amount paid by the municipalities in the fiscal year 1965/66?

3. In the case of each individual municipality, what was the amount paid by (a) the Government and (b) the municipality?

The Hon. *D. R. J. Campbell* replied as follows:—

" 1. \$39,981,037.05.

" 2. \$4,442,337.45.

" 3. See attached.

Municipality	Amount Paid by (a) the Government for the Fiscal Year 1965/66	Amount Paid by (b) Undermentioned Municipalities during the Fiscal Year 1965/66
City of Alberni	\$147,065.76	\$16,340.64
Armstrong	41,035.68	4,559.52
Burnaby	3,191,002.02	354,555.78
Campbell River	223,306.74	24,811.86
Central Saanich	94,050.72	10,450.08
City of Chilliwack	263,131.74	29,236.86
District of Chilliwack	582,910.56	64,767.84
Coquitlam	925,628.58	102,847.62
Courtenay	111,032.10	12,336.90
Cranbrook	211,359.24	23,484.36
Dawson Creek	348,739.56	38,748.84
Delta	465,060.42	51,673.30
Duncan	118,710.36	13,190.04
Enderby	34,249.50	3,805.50
Esquimalt	383,849.28	42,649.92
Fernie	84,779.46	9,419.94
Fort St. John	115,319.97	12,813.33
Fraser Mills	5,256.90	584.10
Grand Forks	74,233.80	8,248.20
Greenwood	29,693.52	3,299.28
Hope	87,646.86	9,738.54
Kamloops	321,021.36	35,669.04
Kelowna	420,169.68	46,685.52
Kimberley	191,574.18	21,286.02
Kitimat	261,793.62	29,088.18
Ladysmith	108,610.74	12,067.86
Langley City	75,348.90	8,372.10
Langley District	464,678.10	51,630.90
Maple Ridge	533,591.28	59,287.92
Matsqui	455,374.98	50,597.22
Merritt	96,822.54	10,758.06
District of Mission	169,622.64	18,846.96
Town of Mission City	103,576.86	11,508.54
Nanaimo	450,341.10	50,037.90
Nelson	292,442.94	32,493.66
New Westminster	1,072,216.44	119,135.16
North Cowichan	292,028.76	32,447.64
North Kamloops	205,688.16	22,854.24
City of North Vancouver	753,680.16	83,742.24
District of North Vancouver	1,241,616.06	137,957.34
Oak Bay	539,549.10	59,949.90
Penticton	441,547.74	49,060.86
Port Alberni	377,859.60	41,984.40
Port Coquitlam	\$258,416.46	\$28,712.94
Port Moody	152,577.54	16,953.06
Powell River	342,431.28	38,047.92
Prince George	442,121.22	49,124.58
Prince Rupert	381,905.82	42,433.98
Quesnel	148,881.78	16,542.42
Revelstoke	115,460.64	12,828.96
Richmond	1,380,270.78	153,363.42
Rossland	138,718.44	15,413.16
Saanich	1,557,189.36	173,021.04
Salmon Arm District	127,663.02	14,184.78
Sumas	163,919.70	18,213.30
Summerland	137,221.02	15,246.78
Surrey	2,256,898.68	250,766.52
Terrace	189,248.40	21,027.60
Trail	368,938.80	40,993.20
Vancouver	12,250,870.92	1,361,207.88

Municipality	Amount Paid by (a) the Government for the Fiscal Year 1965/66	Amount Paid by (b) Undermentioned Municipalities during the Fiscal Year 1965/66
Vernon	\$326,565.00	\$36,285.00
Victoria	1,750,420.26	194,491.14
West Vancouver	810,964.44	90,107.16
White Rock	205,592.58	22,843.62
Williams Lake	67,543.20	7,504.80 "

98 Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following questions:—

With reference to the Skagit River power project:—

1. Will the project cause flooding in British Columbia?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what percentage of the land to be flooded will be cleared prior to the flooding?

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* replied as follows:—

" 1. Yes.

" 2. The formal agreement between the Province and the City of Seattle includes the following proviso:—

" ' The City agrees that at its own expense and prior to flooding any portion of the area covered by this agreement such area will be cleared of all forest growth and debris in a manner and to an extent as directed by the Province.' "

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.49 p.m.

Thursday, February 9, 1967

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *J. A. Roberts*.

On the motion of Mr. *Barrett*, Bill (No. 17) intitled *An Act Respecting the Standard Display of Prices* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion " That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair " for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *Isabel P. Dawson*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 5) intituled *An Act to Amend the Provincial Home-owner Grant Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of *Mr. Macfarlane*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Moved by the *Hon. L. R. Peterson*, seconded by the *Hon. W. K. Kiernan*,—

Whereas the number of health and welfare and pension plans arranged between employers and their employees have greatly increased:

Therefore be it Ordered, That the Select Standing Committee on Labour be convened to hear and examine the submissions from interested parties on all aspects of these private plans, including the coverage afforded by them and the degree of supervision that is provided to protect the employees, and to report its findings thereof to this House.

Motion agreed to.

By leave of the House, the following notices of questions appearing in the Votes and Proceedings in the name of *Mr. Berger* were withdrawn:—

103 *Mr. Berger* to ask the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following questions:—

With reference to the Burrard Thermal Plant:—

1. How many generating units are in operation?
2. What is the generating capacity of each unit?
3. Are any additional generating units planned or under construction?
4. What will be the generating capacity of each additional unit planned or under construction?
5. If additional units are planned or under construction, when is each scheduled to come into operation?
6. What is the estimated cost of each additional unit planned or under construction?

105 *Mr. Berger* to ask the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following questions:—

1. What were the original completion schedules on the Portage Mountain development as regards (a) the dam, (b) the power-house, and (c) the transmission-lines?
2. What percentage of the above works should have been completed to date according to the original completion schedules in each case?
3. What percentage of the above works have been completed in each case to date?

23 *Mr. Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following question:—

With respect to board, living and travel costs for university students, (a) during the year ended December 31, 1966, were any studies completed by the Department to ascertain the average total of these costs to British Columbia university students whose homes are not in the Lower Mainland or Greater Victoria areas, and (b) if the answer to the above is yes, what is the cost?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* replied as follows:—

“The British Columbia Committee on Student Aid receives direct information on student budget requirements on the application for Provincial bursaries.”

33 Mr. *Eddie* asked the Hon. the Minister of Labour the following questions:—

With respect to commercial fishermen applying for workmen's compensation as independent operators during 1966:—

1. Did any apply for compensation and, if so, how many and how many in each month?

2. What was the total number of months where compensation coverage was provided?

3. What were the total payments paid to the Workmen's Compensation Board therefor?

4. How many claims were made for the following: (a) Death claims, (b) claims for temporary total disability, (c) claims for permanent partial disability, and (d) claims for permanent total disability?

5. How many of the claims were approved and how many were rejected?

6. What was the total amount of money paid out by the Workmen's Compensation Board pursuant thereto?

7. Were any of the claims rejected solely on the grounds that the fishermen concerned had not paid a premium for coverage?

8. Did any suffer fatal accidents?

9. If the answer to No. 8 is yes, how many were covered by workmen's compensation under (a) the independent operators' plan and (b) those sections of the Act which define certain categories of fishermen as workmen?

10. Did any commercial fishermen suffer either temporary total disability, permanent partial disability, or permanent total disability, and, if so, how many of these were covered by workmen's compensation (a) as workmen under the Act or (b) as independent operators?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* replied as follows:—

“1. Yes. 2,084, as follows: January, 171; February, 61; March, 166; April, 604; May, 576; June, 320; July, 137; August, 20; September, 19; October, 8; November, 2; and December, *nil*.”

“2. 10,967.”

“3. \$135,042.33.”

“4. (a) None, (b) 68, (c) 1, and (d) none.”

“5. Sixty-five were approved, four were disallowed.”

“6. \$58,307.72. This amount does not include any provision for amounts still to be paid on unfinalled claims or any provision for administration charges or other special levies to the class funds.”

“7. No.”

“8. Yes.”

“9. (a) None and (b) 12.”

“10. Yes. (a) 134 and (b) 65.”

43 Mrs. *Daily* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following questions:—

With reference to vocational schools:—

1. How many persons applied for entry in 1966, and how many were accepted and undertook training?

2. Were any applicants rejected because of a lack of training facilities in 1966?
3. If the answer to No. 2 is yes, how many persons were rejected and what specific courses had they applied for?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* replied as follows:—

“ 1. 16,892 applied for entry into the British Columbia Vocational Schools, including the Vancouver Vocational Institute and the Vancouver School of Art, in the 1965/66 school-year; 14,210 were accepted and undertook training; 2,682 were not accepted.

“ 2. As far as practicable, in each location vocational course offerings are closely related to the current demand for persons with such training. Candidates unsuited for such courses, for reasons of qualifications and so on, are not accepted, and qualified candidates registering in excess of the established class size would not be accepted at that time. Where the demand for trainees is high, the training facilities are kept in operation on a shift basis as may be required.”

82 Mr. *Calder* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

Relative to the Stewart–Cassiar Road contracts let since January 1, 1964:—

1. What is the identification name of contractor and the amount of each contract let under the highway-building programme in each fiscal year?
2. Are any contracts uncompleted?
3. If the answer to No. 2 is yes, what are the total amounts paid to date on each uncompleted contract?
4. If the answer to No. 2 is yes, how much of each contract let remains to be expended?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Project 1478, clearing and grubbing, Lower Bell-Irving River to Upper Bell-Irving River, contractor, Cattermole & Trethewey Contractors Ltd., Suite 1013, 837 West Hastings Street, Vancouver, B.C., awarded November 19, 1964, \$691,610; Project 515, Contract 1, substructure, Bell-Irving River Bridge No. 1, Stewart–Cassiar Highway, contractor, Benray Bridge Co. Ltd., 1633 First Avenue, Prince George, B.C., awarded July 2, 1965, \$116,872; Project 1391, clearing and grubbing, grading and base course, Burrage River to Ningunsaw Pass, contractor, Ben Ginter Construction (1958) Ltd., 1633 First Avenue, Prince George, B.C., awarded November 17, 1965, \$3,978,553.50; and Project 515, Contract 2, Bell-Irving River Bridge No. 1, contractor, Canada Iron Foundaries Limited, Western Bridge Division, 145 West First Avenue, Vancouver 10, B.C., awarded June 16, 1966, \$384,696.40.

“ 2. Yes.

“ 3. Project 1391, \$574,294.76; Project 515, Contract 1, \$55,040.64; and Project 515, Contract 2, \$220,742.

“ 4. Project 1391, \$3,404,258.74; Project 515, Contract 1, \$61,831.36; and Project 515, Contract 2, \$163,954.40.”

87 Mr. *Calder* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

Relative to the Stewart–Cassiar Road bridge contracts let since January 1, 1964:—

1. What is the identification name of contractor and the amount of each contract let under the bridge-building programme in each fiscal year?

2. Are any contracts uncompleted?
3. If the answer to No. 2 is yes, what are the total amounts paid to date on each uncompleted contract?
4. If the answer to No. 2 is yes, how much of each contract let remains to be expended?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“ 1. (a) Contracts let from January 1, 1964, to March 31, 1964, *nil*; (b) contracts let from April 1, 1964, to March 31, 1965, *nil*; (c) contracts let from April 1, 1965, to March 31, 1966, Bridge Project No. 515, Contract No. 1, sub-structure for Bell-Irving River Bridge No. 1, Benray Bridge Company, \$116,872; and (d) contracts let from April 1, 1966, to December 31, 1966, Bridge Project No. 515, Contract No. 2, fabrication and erection of structural steelwork for Bell-Irving River Bridge No. 1, Canada Iron Foundaries (Western Bridge Division), \$384,696.40.

“(NOTE.—In addition to the foregoing the following material was procured under purchase order dated May 14, 1965: 847 tons of prefabricated Callender-Hamilton bridge steelwork for Bell-Irving River Bridge No. 2 and Devil Creek Bridge at a cost of \$438,211.98. No contracts for construction of these bridges yet awarded.)

“ 2. Yes.

“ 3. Bridge Project No. 515, Contract No. 1, \$55,040.64, and Bridge Project No. 515, Contract No. 2, \$220,742.

“ 4. Bridge Project No. 515, Contract No. 1, \$61,831.36, and Bridge Project No. 515, Contract No. 2, \$163,954.40.”

91 Mr. *Parkinson* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following questions:—

1. Does the Department intend to expand hiking trails in British Columbia?
2. Has the Canadian Youth Hostel Association asked for Provincial Government co-operation in establishing hiking trails?

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. Yes.”

92 Mr. *Parkinson* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following questions:—

1. What is the present state of the West Coast Trail between Bamfield and Port Renfrew?
2. Does the Department take any responsibility for the maintenance of this trail?

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Impassable.

“ 2. No.”

93 Mr. *Parkinson* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following questions:—

In regard to Mount Seymour Provincial Park:—

1. Was a public lodge constructed during 1965 or 1966?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) did the contract include water, electrical, and sewerage connections and (b) is the lodge open to the public, if not, why not?
3. Are there any plans for expansion of the parking-lots at the upper levels?

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes, in 1966.

“ 2. (a) Electrical connections only and (b) no, because kitchen is not yet equipped.

“ 3. No.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

Thursday, February 9, 1967

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion “ That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair ” for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. D. Black*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order “ Public Bills and Orders.”

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 8) intituled *An Act to Amend the Pacific Great Eastern Construction Loan Act, 1954*, a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Perrault*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 13) intituled *An Act to Amend the British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority Act, 1964*, a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Strachan* (on behalf of Mr. *Harding*) adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The House proceeded to the Order "Presenting Reports by Standing and Special Committees."

Mr. Skillings presented the First Report of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:—

REPORT NO. 1

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,

February 9, 1967.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the Standing Orders in respect to the petition for leave to introduce a Private Bill intituled *An Act to Incorporate the Western Pentecostal Bible College* have been complied with. Your Committee therefore recommends that the petitioners be allowed to proceed with the Bill.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

W. M. SKILLINGS, *Chairman.*

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

Mr. Skillings presented the Second Report of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:—

REPORT NO. 2

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,

February 9, 1967.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the Standing Orders in respect to the petition for leave to introduce a Private Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Shaughnessy Heights Building Restriction Act, 1922*, have been complied with. Your Committee therefore recommends that the petitioners be allowed to proceed with the Bill.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

W. M. SKILLINGS, *Chairman.*

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

Mr. Skillings presented the Third Report of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:—

REPORT NO. 3

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,

February 9, 1967.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the Standing Orders in respect to the petition for leave to introduce a Private Bill intituled *An Act Relating to The Corporation of the City of Victoria* have been complied with. Your Committee therefore recommends that the petitioners be allowed to proceed with the Bill.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

W. M. SKILLINGS, *Chairman.*

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

Mr. *Skillings* presented the Fourth Report of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:—

REPORT NO. 4

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
February 9, 1967.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the Standing Orders in respect to the petition for leave to introduce a Private Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Vancouver Charter* have been complied with. Your Committee therefore recommends that the petitioners be allowed to proceed with the Bill.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

W. M. SKILLINGS, *Chairman.*

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

Mr. *Skillings* presented the Fifth Report of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:—

REPORT NO. 5

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
February 9, 1967.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the Standing Orders in respect to the petition for leave to introduce a Bill intituled *An Act to Provide for the Conversion of Yorkshire Savings and Loan Association* have been complied with. Your Committee therefore recommends that the petitioners be allowed to proceed with the Bill.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

W. M. SKILLINGS, *Chairman.*

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

Mr. *Skillings* presented the Sixth Report of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:—

REPORT NO. 6

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,

February 9, 1967.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the Standing Orders in respect to the petition for leave to introduce a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Society of Industrial and Cost Accountants of British Columbia Act* have been complied with. Your Committee therefore recommends that the petitioners be allowed to proceed with the Bill.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

W. M. SKILLINGS, *Chairman*.

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 10.25 p.m.

Friday, February 10, 1967

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *M. Henderson*.

On the motion of Mr. *Barrett*, Bill (No. 18) intituled *An Act to Amend the Training-schools Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *P. A. Gagliardi* (on behalf of the Hon. *Patricia J. Jordan*), the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

The following Bills were read a second time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today:—

Bill (No. 10) intituled *An Act to Establish the Crop Insurance Stabilization Fund*.

Bill (No. 11) intituled *An Act to Establish the Centennial Cultural Fund*.

68 Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With reference to boards of review under the social assistance regulations:—

1. How many applications were received for boards (a) in each month of 1966 and (b) for the complete calendar year of 1966?

2. How many boards were set up in 1966?

3. How many cases were resolved in the applicant's favour during 1965 (a) after hearing by a board of review and (b) after application for a board but without hearing by a board?

4. From what areas of the Province were the total number of applications received?

The Hon. *D. R. J. Campbell* replied as follows:—

"1. (a) January, 3; February, *nil*; March, 5; April, 2; May, 1; June, *nil*; July, 1; August, 3; September, 1; October, 6; November, 4; December, *nil*; and (b) 26.

"2. 26.

"3. (a) 17 in 1965, 17 in 1966, and (b) separate statistics not kept of cases which are resolved without a hearing by a board of review.

"4. Crescent Beach, 1; Esquimalt, 1; Haney, 1; Lone Butte, 2; Merritt, 1; North Surrey, 5; Oak Bay, 1; Saanich, 3; Sardis, 1; Steveston, 1; Vancouver City, 6; Vernon City, 1; and Victoria City, 2."

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. on Monday next.

And then the House adjourned at 5.51 p.m.

Monday, February 13, 1967

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Canon *C. H. Butler*.

The following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* referred to the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills:—

On the motion of Mr. *Tisdalle*, Bill (No. 50) intituled *An Act to Incorporate the Western Pentecostal Bible College*.

On the motion of Mr. *Wolfe*, Bill (No. 51) intituled *An Act to Amend the Shaughnessy Heights Building Restriction Act, 1922*.

On the motion of Mr. *Skillings*, Bill (No. 52) intituled *An Act Relating to The Corporation of the City of Victoria*.

On the motion of Mr. *Capozzi*, Bill (No. 53) intituled *An Act to Amend the Vancouver Charter*.

On the motion of Mr. *Bate*, Bill (No. 54) intituled *An Act to Provide for the Conversion of Yorkshire Savings and Loan Association*.

On the motion of Mr. *Capozzi*, Bill (No. 55) intituled *An Act to Amend the Society of Industrial and Cost Accountants of British Columbia*.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 12) intituled *An Act to Amend the Mining Tax Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *L. A. Williams*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Bill (No. 14) intituled *An Act for the Payment of the Debt of the City of New Westminster with Respect to the Queensborough Bridge* was read a second time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 16) intituled *An Act Respecting Provincial Grants for Home Acquisition* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Strachan*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Pursuant to Order, the Hon. *R. W. Bonner* presented the following report:—

REPORT

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
February 13, 1967.

MR. SPEAKER:

In accordance with the Resolution that a Special Committee be named to study the Report of the Royal Commission on Gasoline Price Structure prepared by His Honour Judge Charles William Morrow, and report their recommendations to the Legislature concerning this Report, your Special Committee appointed on January 24th to prepare and report lists of members to compose the Select Standing Committees of this House for the present Session begs to report and recommend that the personnel of that Special Committee of the House for the present Session be as follows:—

✓ Messrs. Capozzi (Convener), Bate, Chabot, Jefcoat, Shelford, Smith, Vogel, Wenman, the Hon. Patrieta Jordan, Messrs. Barrett, Dowding, Macdonald, Strachan, and Macfarlane.

Respectfully submitted.

R. W. BONNER, *Chairman.*

The report was taken as read and received.

On the motion of the Hon. D. R. J. Campbell, seconded by the Hon. D. L. Brothers, it was *Ordered*,—

That this House authorize the Select Standing Committee on Municipal Matters to study a Report by the Municipal Act Revision Committee and make recommendations on any matter contained in the said Report.

29 Mr. Perrault asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

With respect to The Woodlands School:—

1. How many applications for admission were received in the year ended December 31, 1966?

2. Of these, how many were accepted for admission on (a) a permanent basis and (b) other than a permanent basis?

3. How many applicants were awaiting admission as of December 31, 1966?

The Hon. W. D. Black replied as follows:—

“ 1. 94.

“ 2. (a) 56 from the waiting list and (b) 75 from the waiting list.

“ 3. 228.”

47 Mr. Parkinson asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

With reference to the extension of The Woodlands School at Tranquille:—

1. How many patients are now in residence?

2. What is the total number of staff employed?

3. With reference to staff, how many (a) resident psychiatrists are employed, (b) psychiatric nurses are employed, and (c) psychiatric aides are employed?

The Hon. W. D. Black replied as follows:—

“ 1. 657.

“ 2. 355.

“ 3. (a) No psychiatrist, but one pædiatrician; (b) 86 psychiatric nurses, seven registered nurses; and (c) 132.”

48 Mr. Parkinson asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

With respect to The Woodlands School:—

1. Is there a known number of patients awaiting entry?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how many were known to be waiting as at December 31, 1966?

3. Were there any empty beds in The Woodlands School as at December 31, 1966?

4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, (a) how many and (b) what was the reason?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. Yes.
- “ 2. 228.
- “ 3. Yes.
- “ 4. (a) 44 and (b) to accommodate 38 patients scheduled for admission in January and February, 1967, and retain a small reserve for urgent emergencies.”

49 Mr. *Parkinson* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

- 1. What was the total number of psychiatrists employed at the Provincial Mental Hospital at Essondale as at December 31, 1966?
- 2. What was the total number of patients in the Provincial Mental Hospital at Essondale as at December 31, 1966?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. Psychiatrists, 13 full time, 5 part time; other medical specialists, 2 full time, 1 part time; other physicians, 21; total, 42.
- “ 2. 2,746.”

50 Mr. *Parkinson* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

- 1. Did any graduate psychiatric nurses resign from the Mental Health Services during the year 1966?
- 2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how many resigned and (b) what reasons, if any, were given for resignations?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. Yes.
- “ 2. (a) 218 and (b) personal and family, 75; other employment, 60; left British Columbia or the area, 35; further education, 19; health reasons, 14; job dissatisfaction, 4; other reasons, 11; total, 218.”

51 Mr. *Parkinson* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

With respect to the nursing staff at The Woodlands School:—

- 1. What was the total number of nurses employed as at December 31, 1966?
- 2. (a) How many are graduate psychiatric nurses and (b) how many are registered nurses?
- 3. Did any nurses resign during the year 1966?
- 4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, (a) how many were graduate psychiatric nurses and (b) how many were registered nurses?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. 296.
- “ 2. (a) 267 and (b) 29.
- “ 3. Yes.
- “ 4. (a) 47 and (b) 10.

52 Mr. *Parkinson* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

1. During the year 1966 did any psychiatrists resign from the Mental Health Services?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what was the total number of resignations, (b) in what departments did the resignations take place, and (c) what reasons, if any, were given for the resignations in each case?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) Nine; (b) Riverview Hospital, Essondale, 5; Mental Health Centre, Burnaby, 3; Mental Health Centre, Victoria, 1; and (c) to enter private practice of psychiatry.”

53 Mr. *Parkinson* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following question:—

What was the total number of (a) psychiatric nurses, (b) registered nurses, and (c) psychiatric aides by category employed in the Mental Health Services as at December 31, 1966?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“ (a) 1,031 psychiatric nurses, (b) 144 registered nurses, and (c) 1,042 psychiatric aides.”

54 Mr. *Parkinson* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

1. What was the total number of psychiatric social workers employed in the Mental Health Services as at December 31, 1966?

2. Did any psychiatric social workers resign from the Mental Health Services during 1966?

3. If the answer to No. 2 is yes, (a) what was the total number of resignations, (b) in what departments did the resignations take place, and (c) what were the reasons, if any, given for resignation?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“ 1. 82.

“ 2. Yes.

“ 3. (a) 16; (b) Mental Health Centre, Burnaby, 3; Mental Health Centre, Victoria, 1; Mental Health Centre, Kelowna, 1; Riverview Hospital, Essondale, 8; Valleyview Hospital, Essondale, 1; The Woodlands School, New Westminster, 2; and (c) other employment, 7; personal reasons, 6; further education, 1; left British Columbia, 1; transferred to Department of Social Welfare, 1.”

56 Mr. *Berger* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

With reference to the Premier's Committee for World Relief:—

1. What is the total amount of money contributed to this fund?

2. Is any of this money invested and earning interest?

3. If the answer to No. 2 is yes, (a) upon what dates and in what amounts was this money invested, (b) what is the total amount of interest earned by this money, and (c) for what purpose was the interest used?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

"1. \$50,420.83.

"2. Yes.

"3. (a) May 11, 1960, \$50,009.69; May 18, 1960, \$26.80; June 13, 1960, \$5; June 23, 1960, \$369.34; July 4, 1960, \$10; (b) up to January 31, 1967, \$6,788.15; and (c) the interest has been left to accumulate."

90 Mr. *Parkinson* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following question:—

Are any facilities or services being operated by mental health societies under section 5 of the *Mental Health Act*?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

"No."

96 Mr. *Shelford* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following questions:—

1. What was the total number of gallons of bulk gasoline purchased by your Department for the years 1964 and 1965?

2. What was the average price per gallon for each of these years?

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* replied as follows:—

"Fiscal Year 1964/65

	Gallons	Average Cost per Gallon		
		Cost	Tax	Total
<i>Lands Service</i>				
University Endowment Lands (Vancouver).....	3,894	12.6	13.00	25.6
<i>Water Resources Service</i>				
Southern Okanagan Lands Project (Oliver)—				
Regular gas.....	10,465	16.08	13.00	29.08
Marked gas.....	1,174	16.08	1.00	17.08
<i>Forest Service</i>				
Provincial total.....	199,935	21.18	13.00	34.18

"Fiscal Year 1965/66

<i>Lands Service</i>				
University Endowment Lands (Vancouver).....	2,385	12.00	13.00	25.00
<i>Water Resources Service</i>				
Southern Okanagan Lands Project (Oliver).....				
	9,126	15.36	13.00	28.36
<i>Forest Service</i>				
Provincial total.....	205,095	21.48	13.00	34.48 "

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.57 p.m.