

Tuesday, April 1, 1980

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Brig. *Wm. Hosty*.

The Clerk of the House informed the House of the unavoidable absence of Mr. Speaker, whereupon Mr. *Davidson*, Deputy Speaker, took the Chair, pursuant to Standing Order 12.

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Without the Committee rising, the Chairman reported that during debate on Vote 9, he had ruled that debate was not relevant, and his ruling had been challenged.

The Chairman's ruling was sustained on the following division:

YEAS—28

<i>Hyndman</i>	<i>McGeer</i>		<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Brummet</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Davis</i>		<i>Vander Zalm</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>
<i>Mair</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>		

NAYS—23

<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>King</i>
	<i>Gabelmann</i>		<i>Howard</i>
<i>Barber</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Brown</i>		<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Leggatt</i>		

The Committee rose and reported progress.

Report to be considered at the next sitting.

Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

By leave, on the motion of the Hon. *J. A. Nielsen*, Bill (No. 10) intituled *Company Amendment Act, 1980* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *J. A. Nielsen* (Minister of Consumer and Corporate Affairs) tabled the Annual Report of the Ministry of Consumer and Corporate Affairs for the year ended March 31, 1979.

And then the House adjourned at 5.46 p.m.

Wednesday, April 2, 1980

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *H. M. Hunter*.

The Clerk of the House informed the House of the unavoidable absence of Mr. Speaker, whereupon Mr. *Davidson*, Deputy Speaker, took the Chair, pursuant to Standing Order 12.

On the motion of Ms. *Sanford*, Bill (No. M 204) intituled *Human Rights Code of British Columbia Amendment Act, 1980* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

By leave, the Hon. *C. S. Rogers* (Minister of Environment) responded to a question previously raised in oral question period by the Member for Alberni, relating to pollution control.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

The House resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

On the motion of the Hon. *H. A. Curtis* it was *Resolved*—

That from and out of the Consolidated Revenue Fund there may be paid and applied in such manner and at such times as the Lieutenant-Governor in Council may determine, a sum not exceeding in the whole \$1 billion 470 million towards defraying the several charges and expenses of the Public Service of the Province for the fiscal year ending March 31, 1981, not otherwise provided for, and being substantially one-quarter of the total amount of the votes of the main estimates for the fiscal year ending March 31, 1981, as laid before the Legislative Assembly of the Province of British Columbia at the present session.

The Committee reported the Resolution.
Report to be considered forthwith.

On the motion of the Hon. *H. A. Curtis* the report of Resolution from the Committee of Supply was taken as read and received, read a second time, and agreed to.

Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Order for Committee of Ways and Means called.

On the motion of the Hon. *H. A. Curtis*, it was *Ordered*, "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the Chair for the House to go into Committee of Ways and Means."

(IN THE COMMITTEE OF WAYS AND MEANS)

On the motion of the Hon. *H. A. Curtis*, it was *Resolved*—

That the report of Resolution from the Committee of Supply on the 2nd day of April, 1980, be now taken as read and received.

The Committee rose and reported the Resolution.
Resolution considered forthwith and adopted.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Hon. *H. A. Curtis* presented Bill (No. 11) intituled *Supply Act, No. 1, 1980*.

Ordered, That the Bill be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of the Bill.

Resolution reported.
Report considered forthwith and adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 11) intituled *Supply Act, No. 1, 1980*, a debate arose.

Motion agreed to *nemine contradicente*.

Bill read a second time.

On the motion of the Hon. *H. A. Curtis* the Bill was referred to a Committee of the Whole House to be considered forthwith.

Bill committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed.

The House proceeded to "Public Bills and Orders."

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 7) intituled *Special Funds Act, 1980*.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Brummet*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion of the Hon. *R. H. McClelland*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 10 o'clock a.m. tomorrow, a debate arose.

Motion agreed to.

1 Mr. *Stupich* asked the Hon. the Minister of Transportation and Highways the following questions:

With reference to Columbia River power development financing as outlined in the British Columbia Financial and Economic Review 1979:

1. What is the total expenditure on construction of storage projects to date?
2. What are the total expenditures on generation, transformation, and transmission facilities to date?
3. What is the total estimate of the total amount required to complete the project?
4. What was the total amount, including interest, received under the Columbia River Treaty?

The Hon. *A. V. Fraser* replied as follows:

"This Ministry is not responsible and has no record."

And then the House adjourned at 5.50 p.m.

Thursday, April 3, 1980

TEN O'CLOCK A.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *Peter W. R. Isles*.

The Clerk of the House informed the House of the unavoidable absence of Mr. Speaker, whereupon Mr. *Davidson*, Deputy Speaker, took the Chair, pursuant to Standing Order 12.

Mr. *Macdonald* rose on a matter of privilege relating to alleged statements made by the Member for Central Fraser Valley on April 2, 1980.

Mr. Speaker stated he would take the matter under advisement.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

By leave, the House proceeded to "Public Bills and Orders."

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 7) intituled *Special Funds Act, 1980*.

On the motion of Ms. *Brown*, the debate was adjourned to later this sitting.

His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor having entered the House, and being seated in the Chair —

Ian D. Izard, Esq., Law Clerk and Clerk Assistant, read the title to the following Bill:

(No. 11) *Supply Act, No. 1, 1980*.

His Honour was pleased in Her Majesty's name to give assent to the said Bill.

The said assent was announced by *Ian M. Horne*, Q.C., Clerk of the House, in the following words:

"In Her Majesty's name, His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor doth thank Her Majesty's loyal subjects, accept their benevolence, and assent to this Bill."

His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor was then pleased to retire.

The debate was resumed on Bill (No. 7).

On the motion of Mr. *Hyndman*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. on Tuesday next.

And then the House adjourned at 12.20 p.m.

Tuesday, April 8, 1980

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *Wm. Z. Van Druten*.

The Clerk of the House informed the House of the unavoidable absence of Mr. Speaker, whereupon Mr. *Davidson*, Deputy Speaker, took the Chair, pursuant to Standing Order 12.

On the motion of Mr. *Ritchie*, Bill (No. Pr 403) intituled *An Act to Amend the Cultus Lake Park Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* referred to the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills.

On the motion of the Hon. *J. A. Nielsen*, Bill (No. 12) intituled *Credit Union Amendment Act, 1980* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Chairman further reported that, on the motion that the Committee rise and report progress, the Committee had divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Barrett*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—29

<i>Hyndman</i>	<i>McGeer</i>		<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Brummet</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>
<i>Mair</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>		

NAYS—21

<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>		<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	
<i>Wallace</i>		<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Howard</i>
<i>Barber</i>	<i>Sanford</i>		<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Brown</i>		<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Leggatt</i>		

The Chairman further reported that, on the motion that the Chairman leave the Chair, the Committee had divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Lea*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—29

<i>Hyndman</i>	<i>McGeer</i>		<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Brummet</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>
<i>Mair</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>		

NAYS—21

	<i>Lockstead</i>		<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Wallace</i>			<i>Howard</i>
<i>Barber</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Brown</i>		<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Leggatt</i>		

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, "That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow," a debate arose.

Mr. Speaker ruled that the motion was debatable.

Mr. Speaker's attention was drawn to the clock. Mr. Speaker ruled that the debate could continue as the motion was a routine motion to set the hour of the sitting of the House, and cited the Journals of the House, 1973, at page 171.

The debate continued.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—28

<i>Hyndman</i>			<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Brummet</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>
<i>Mair</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>		

NAYS—25

<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Wallace</i>		<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Howard</i>
<i>Barber</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Brown</i>	<i>Levi</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Leggatt</i>		

And then the House adjourned at 6.41 p.m.

Wednesday, April 9, 1980

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Father *G. Jensen*.

The Clerk of the House informed the House of the unavoidable absence of Mr. Speaker, whereupon Mr. *Davidson*, Deputy Speaker, took the Chair, pursuant to Standing Order 12.

The Hon. *J. R. Chabot* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:

HENRY P. BELL-IRVING
Lieutenant-Governor

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith Bill (No. 13) intituled *Land Amendment Act, 1980* and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
April 9, 1980.

By leave of the House, Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

The Hon. *C. S. Rogers* (Minister of Environment) tabled the Third Annual Report of the Okanagan Basin Implementation Board for the period April 1, 1978, to March 31, 1979.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The House proceeded to "Public Bills and Orders."

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 7) intituled *Special Funds Act, 1980*.

On the motion of the Hon. *J. J. Hewitt*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Mr. Speaker delivered a reserved decision as follows:

Honourable Members:

On Thursday last, the Honourable 2nd Member for Vancouver East rose on a matter of privilege founded upon a series of questions and answers which had occurred between the Honourable Member for Central Fraser Valley and some person or persons unnamed. The Honourable 2nd Member for Vancouver East then indicated to the House that, if in order, he would propose the formation of a Committee of the House to consider whether or not the Honourable Member for Central Fraser Valley was entitled to sit in this House.

The Chair will recall to the House that in 1978 upon a question arising as to whether or not alleged breaches of the *Constitution Act* had resulted in disqualification of members the procedure then invoked was by way of notice of motion and not by way of privilege.

No specific charge is made against the Honourable Member for Central Fraser Valley and the Chair cannot assume that it is sought, as a matter of privilege, to controvert the election of the Honourable Member. If such were the case it is clear that jurisdiction in this area has been given up by the House to the Courts by section 201 of the *Provincial Elections Act*. This House, like Westminster, has not however relinquished its remaining control over the conduct of its members. Halsbury says in section 709, page 355, "Although the House of Commons has resigned its right to be judge in controverted elections, it retains its right to decide upon the qualifications of any of its members to sit and vote in parliament." Subject to the exclusion of controverted elections there is little doubt that the House has the right to expel a member for such reasons as it sees fit (Beauchesne, 5th edition, at page 16). Our own *Legislative Assembly Privileges Act* gives the House the right amongst other things, to summarily inquire into and punish any member of the Assembly for a specified offence. Beauchesne states further at page 17, and I quote, "In any case where the propriety of a Member's actions is brought into question, a specific charge must be made." The authority cited for this statement is to be found in a Speaker's ruling in the Journals of the House of Commons (Ottawa) 1959, pages 582-6). The ruling is lengthy but I will quote therefrom in part because the ruling has even more force by reason of the proposed motion having been put on notice.

I read from page 582: "The question of whether or not the Notice of Motion relating to the conduct of the honourable Member for Peel, which had been given by the Leader of the Opposition, properly raised a *prima facie* question of privilege for determination by the House through its Standing Committee on Privileges and Elections to which the motion would refer the matter for examination and report. The question is of considerable importance. If the Notice of Motion properly raises a question of the privileges of the House, it is entitled under Standing Order 17 to be taken into consideration immediately, all other business being laid aside until the debate is concluded."

Page 584: "In my view, simple justice requires that no honourable Member should have to submit to investigation of his conduct by the House or a committee until he has been charged with an offence. On the authorities it appears to be open to an honourable Member to confront the House with charges against another Mem-

ber, implicit in documents in the possession of the House, but in my view the charge must be there."

Page 585: "In finding that a question of the privileges of the House is not *prima facie* involved in this motion, I am making a procedural decision the effect of which will not prevent the further discussion by the House of the matters in issue. The effect is to refuse precedence to this discussion but not to prevent it."

Honourable Members will know, that like this House, the House of Commons in Ottawa, generally speaking, looks to Westminster to determine its privileges. The Journals of this House will also disclose that the conduct of a member may only be questioned by substantive motion on notice.

I have carefully perused the content of the statement of the Honourable 2nd Member for Vancouver East and the transcript appended thereto, and as I have indicated, no specific charge has been made therein.

In the present circumstances where no specific charge has been made, I find that there is no *prima facie* basis for setting aside the prescribed order of business of the House to permit the moving of the motion proposed by the Honourable 2nd Member for Vancouver East.

* W. K. DAVIDSON, *Deputy Speaker*

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

By leave, on the motion of Mrs. Wallace, Bill (No. M 205) intituled *An Act to Regulate Smoking in Public Places and Meetings* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.50 p.m.

Thursday, April 10, 1980

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. A. Johnson.

The Clerk of the House informed the House of the unavoidable absence of Mr. Speaker, whereupon Mr. Davidson, Deputy Speaker, took the Chair, pursuant to Standing Order 12.

The Hon. L. A. Williams presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:

HENRY P. BELL-IRVING
Lieutenant-Governor

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith Bill (No. 8) intituled *Holiday Shopping Act* and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
April 9, 1980.

By leave of the House, Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 10 o'clock a.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.49 p.m.

Friday, April 11, 1980

TEN O'CLOCK A.M.

Prayers by the Rev. A. E. King.

The Clerk of the House informed the House of the unavoidable absence of Mr. Speaker, whereupon Mr. *Davidson*, Deputy Speaker, took the Chair, pursuant to Standing Order 12.

Mrs. *Wallace* asked leave of the House to move adjournment of the House to discuss a matter of urgent public importance, namely, the impending closure of Maplewood Poultry processing facility.

Mr. Speaker stated he would reserve his opinion.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Chairman further reported that, on the motion that the Committee rise and report progress, the Committee had divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. C. S. Rogers, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—28

<i>Hyndman</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Ree</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>		<i>Brummet</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>
<i>Mair</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>		

NAYS—23

	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Howard</i>
	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Brown</i>	<i>Levi</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Leggatt</i>		

The House proceeded to "Public Bills and Orders."

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 7) intituled *Special Funds Act, 1980*.

On the motion of the Hon. C. S. Rogers, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Mr. Speaker delivered his reserved opinion as follows:

Honourable Members:

I have given consideration to the proposal by the Honourable Member for Cowichan Malahat for an emergency debate under Standing Order 35. The Honourable Member undoubtedly raises a matter of importance and concern. It is my duty to determine if in doing so the Honourable Member is within the scope of Standing Order 35.

May in the 16th edition, sets out the rules with regard to such debate, with numerous examples at pages 368 through 374. It is evident that very few matters qualify as being so important and emergent that it is rendered imperative for all other business of the House to be put aside. However, the Chair seldom disallows the motion solely on the ground that such a matter has not been raised but leaves that question for the decision of the House. Also, May very clearly states, and previous rulings by Speakers of this House have held, that the matter must not import any argument. On its face, the statement made by the Honourable Member runs contrary to this rule and consequently I am unable to find that the Honourable Member may invoke the provisions of Standing Order 35.

W. K. DAVIDSON, *Deputy Speaker*

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. on Monday next.

15 Mr. *Lorimer* asked the Hon. the Minister of Municipal Affairs the following questions:

1. Have any transit vehicles been ordered by the Government of British Columbia or its Crown Corporations or the Urban Transit Authority since January 1, 1976?

2. If the answer is "yes," how many vehicles were ordered; what types of vehicles have been ordered; how many vehicles have been delivered?

The Hon. *W. N. Vander Zalm* replied as follows:

"With respect to vehicles ordered by B.C. Hydro and Power Authority only, the answer is yes.

"(a) 30 vehicles requisitioned; (b) GMC Model T6H-5307N diesel buses; and (c) 30 vehicles leased through IAC Ltd. in November 1977."

And then the House adjourned at 12.50 p.m.

Monday, April 14, 1980

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Father *J. Lancaster*.

The Clerk of the House informed the House of the unavoidable absence of Mr. Speaker, whereupon Mr. *Davidson*, Deputy Speaker, took the Chair, pursuant to Standing Order 12.

By leave of the House, Mr. *Lockstead* presented the Petition of A. Diewert, C. Duckworth, R. E. Gorham, and others, relating to the ferry service between Saltery Bay and Earl's Cove.

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Without the Committee rising, the Chairman reported that during debate, upon two successive motions being made that the Chairman do leave the Chair, he had ruled the second motion out of order as there had been no intervening business and that intervening business would involve a matter that would be entered in the Journals of the House such as a vote or a motion, and that his ruling had been challenged.

The Chairman's ruling was sustained on the following division:

YEAS—29

<i>Hyndman</i>	<i>McGeer</i>		<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Brummet</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>
<i>Mair</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>		

NAYS—23

<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Hall</i>	
<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Howard</i>
<i>Barber</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Brown</i>		<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Barnes</i>			

Mr. *Lauk* rose on a point of order, namely, on a division to consider whether the ruling of the Chairman in Committee of the Whole ought to be sustained, the Chairman of that Committee ought not to vote in the House when the question is put by the Speaker.

Mr. Speaker stated that as far as he was aware, it had been traditional in the House and a custom, that the Chairman vote on such a question, but he would take the matter under advisement.

The Committee rose and reported progress.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Chairman further reported that, on the motion that the Chairman leave the Chair, the Committee had divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Barrett*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—25

<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Howard</i>
<i>Barber</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Brown</i>	<i>Levi</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Barnes</i>			

NAYS—29

<i>Hyndman</i>	<i>McGeer</i>		<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Brummet</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>
<i>Mair</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>		

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.45 p.m.

Tuesday, April 15, 1980

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Mr. *Roy Hawes*.

The Clerk of the House informed the House of the unavoidable absence of Mr. Speaker, whereupon Mr. *Davidson*, Deputy Speaker, took the Chair, pursuant to Standing Order 12.

By leave of the House, Mr. *Passarell* presented the petition of J. M. Brown, Y. Brown, H. Cowell, and others, relating to taxation of northern residents.

Pursuant to Standing Order 73 (6), *Ian D. Izard*, Law Clerk and Clerk Assistant, read the following Report:

REPORT

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
April 15, 1980

Pursuant to Standing Order 73 (6), I have to inform the House that the petition presented on April 14 last with leave of the House, by the Honourable Member for Mackenzie, is irregular in the following respects:

1. The petition is not addressed to the Legislative Assembly of British Columbia.

2. The petition is without a prayer.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

I. M. HORNE, *Clerk of the House*

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.

Report to be considered at the next sitting.

Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Chairman further reported that, on the motion that the Committee rise and report progress, the Committee had divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Howard*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—25

<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Howard</i>
<i>Barber</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Brown</i>	<i>Levi</i>		<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Leggatt</i>		

NAYS—29

<i>Hyndman</i>	<i>McGeer</i>		<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Brummet</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>
<i>Mair</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>		

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow, a debate arose.

Motion agreed to.

The Hon. *D. M. Phillips* (Minister of Industry and Small Business Development) tabled the Annual Report and Financial Statements of the British Columbia Cellulose Company for the year ended December 31, 1979.

And then the House adjourned at 6.49 p.m.

Wednesday, April 16, 1980

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *Robert McPherson*.

The Clerk of the House informed the House of the unavoidable absence of Mr. Speaker, whereupon Mr. *Davidson*, Deputy Speaker, took the Chair, pursuant to Standing Order 12.

By leave, the House proceeded to "Presenting Reports by Standing and Special Committees."

Mr. *Strachan* presented the Third and Fourth Reports of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:

REPORT NO. 3

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,

April 15, 1980

Mr. Speaker:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:

That the preamble to Bill (No. Pr 402) intituled *Pension Plans (I.W.A.-Forest Industry) Merger Validation Act* has been proved and the Bill *Ordered* to be reported without amendment.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

W. B. STRACHAN, *Chairman*

REPORT NO. 4

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,

April 15, 1980

Mr. Speaker:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:

That the Standing Orders have been complied with relating to the petition for leave to introduce a Private Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Royal Canadian*

Legion Act, except for late filing, but with respect thereto the petitioner has paid double fees in accordance with Standing Order 98 (3).

Your Committee recommends that the petitioner be allowed to proceed with the said Bill.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

W. B. STRACHAN, *Chairman*

The reports were read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the reports adopted.

Pursuant to Standing Order 73 (6), *Ian D. Izard*, Law Clerk and Clerk Assistant, read the following Report:

REPORT

OFFICE OF THE CLERK,
April 16, 1980

Pursuant to Standing Order 73 (6), I have to inform the House that the petition presented on April 15 last with leave of the House, by the Honourable Member for Atlin, is irregular in the following respects:

1. The petition is not addressed to the Legislative Assembly of British Columbia.
2. The petition is without a prayer.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

I. M. HORNE, *Clerk of the House*

The Hon. *J. R. Chabot* (Minister of Lands, Parks and Housing) made a statement relating to the housing situation in British Columbia.

Mr. *Gabelmann* made a statement.

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The House proceeded to "Public Bills and Orders."

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 7) intituled *Special Funds Act, 1980*.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *C. S. Rogers*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.45 p.m.

Thursday, April 17, 1980

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *Robert Mitchell*.

The Clerk of the House informed the House of the unavoidable absence of Mr. Speaker, whereupon Mr. *Davidson*, Deputy Speaker, took the Chair, pursuant to Standing Order 12.

The following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of Mr. *Lauk*, Bill (No. M 206) intituled *An Act to Declare the Rights of Children in British Columbia*.

On the motion of Mr. *Barber*, Bill (No. M 207) intituled *An Act to Amend the Capital Commission Act*.

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Chairman further reported that, on the motion that the Committee rise and report progress, the Committee had divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Lea*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—24

<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Howard</i>
<i>Barber</i>		<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Brown</i>	<i>Levi</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
	<i>Leggatt</i>		

NAYS—29

<i>Hyndman</i>	<i>McGeer</i>		<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Brummet</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>
<i>Mair</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>		

The Chairman further reported that, on the motion that the Member for Cowichan-Malahat be now heard, the Committee had divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Barrett*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—23

<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Hanson</i>		<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Howard</i>
<i>Barber</i>		<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Brown</i>	<i>Levi</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
	<i>Leggatt</i>		

NAYS—29

<i>Hyndman</i>	<i>McGeer</i>		<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Brummet</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>
<i>Mair</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>		

By leave, Mr. *King* tabled a copy constituency report by the Member for North Peace River.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 10 o'clock a.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

Friday, April 18, 1980

TEN O'CLOCK A.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *Lawrence Moon*.

The Clerk of the House informed the House of the unavoidable absence of Mr. Speaker, whereupon Mr. *Davidson*, Deputy Speaker, took the Chair, pursuant to Standing Order 12.

By leave, the House proceeded to "Public Bills and Orders."

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 5) intituled *Special Purpose Appropriation Act, 1980*, a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mrs. *Wallace*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. on Thursday next.

By leave, on the motion of Mr. *Barnes*, the Notice of Motion (No. 9) standing in his name on the Order Paper was withdrawn.

And then the House adjourned at 12.57 p.m.

Thursday, April 24, 1980

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *J. R. Murray*.

The Clerk of the House informed the House of the unavoidable absence of Mr. Speaker, whereupon Mr. *Davidson*, Deputy Speaker, took the Chair, pursuant to Standing Order 12.

The Hon. *J. A. Nielsen* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:

HENRY P. BELL-IRVING
Lieutenant-Governor

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith Bill (No. 14) intituled *Consumer Protection Amendment Act, 1980* and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
April 23, 1980.

By leave of the House, Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

On leave being requested by Mr. *Leggatt* that the House proceed to "Public Bills in the Hands of Private Members" leave was not granted.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Chairman further reported that, on the motion that the Chairman leave the Chair, the Committee had divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Howard*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—20

<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i> <i>Hall</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Howard</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Daily</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Barber</i>	<i>Sanford</i>		<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Brown</i>	<i>Levi</i>		
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Leggatt</i>		

NAYS—29

<i>Hyndman</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Ree</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Brummet</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>
<i>Mair</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>		

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 10 o'clock a.m. tomorrow.

The Hon. *H. A. Curtis* (Minister of Finance) tabled the Report of Assessment Appeal Board of British Columbia for the calendar year 1979.

By leave, on the motion of Mr. *Davis*, Bill (No. Pr 404) intituled *An Act to Amend the Royal Canadian Legion Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* referred to the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills.

And then the House adjourned at 5.53 p.m.

Friday, April 25, 1980

TEN O'CLOCK A.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *G. A. McMechan*.

The Clerk of the House informed the House of the unavoidable absence of Mr. Speaker, whereupon Mr. *Davidson*, Deputy Speaker, took the Chair, pursuant to Standing Order 12.

The Hon. *W. R. Bennett* (Premier) tabled copy Communiques relating to the Western Premiers Conference, 1980.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. on Monday next.

And then the House adjourned at 12.57 p.m.

Monday, April 28, 1980

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Mr. *Walter Davidson*.

The Clerk of the House informed the House of the unavoidable absence of Mr. Speaker, whereupon Mr. *Davidson*, Deputy Speaker, took the Chair, pursuant to Standing Order 12.

The Hon. *K. R. Mair* (Minister of Health) made a statement relating to health care services in Alert Bay, and tabled documents referred to therein.

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

By leave, the Hon. *J. H. Heinrich* (Minister of Labour) replied to a question by the Member for Esquimalt-Port Renfrew on April 17, relating to renovations to the *Queen of Prince Rupert* and asbestos dust, and thereupon presented such return.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Chairman further reported that, on the motion that the Committee rise and report progress, the Committee had divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Howard*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—23

<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>		<i>Lea</i>
<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Howard</i>
	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Brown</i>	<i>Levi</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
	<i>Leggatt</i>		

NAYS—28

<i>Hyndman</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Rec</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Brummet</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Segarty</i>		<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>
<i>Mair</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Wolfé</i>		

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.58 p.m.

Tuesday, April 29, 1980

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *C. McKnight*.

The Clerk of the House informed the House of the unavoidable absence of Mr. Speaker, whereupon Mr. *Davidson*, Deputy Speaker, took the Chair, pursuant to Standing Order 12.

The Hon. *B. R. D. Smith* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:

HENRY P. BELL-IRVING
Lieutenant-Governor

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith Bill (No. 15) intituled *College and Institute Amendment Act, 1980* and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
April 24, 1980.

By leave of the House, Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Chairman further reported that, on the motion that the Committee rise and report progress, the Committee had divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *King*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—26

<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Howard</i>
<i>Barber</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Brown</i>	<i>Levi</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Leggatt</i>		

NAYS—28

<i>Hyndman</i>	<i>McGeer</i>		<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Phillips</i>		<i>Smith</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Ree</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Brummet</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Mair</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>
<i>Fraser</i>		<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Waterland</i>

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

The Hon. *L. A. Williams* (Attorney-General) tabled the following:

Protection of Privacy Act, Amended Annual Report Section 178.22 (5) Criminal Code of Canada for 1 January 1975 to 31 December 1975; 1 January 1976 to 31 December 1976; 1 January 1977 to 31 December 1977; and 1 January 1978 to 31 December 1978.

Protection of Privacy Act, Annual Report Section 178.22 (5) Criminal Code of Canada for 1 January 1979 to 31 December 1979.

18 Mr. *Skelly* asked the Hon. the Minister of Environment the following question:

With respect to section 29 (1) *Pollution Control Act*, R.S.B.C. 1979, chapter 332, how many orders have been issued by the Lieutenant-Governor in Council declaring a pollution emergency, what were the reasons for the orders issued, what was the cost to the Government to resolve the pollution emergency problem in each case, and what amount of the cost in each case was recovered from the polluter under section 29 (4), R.S.B.C. 1979, chapter 332?

The Hon. *C. S. Rogers* replied as follows:

"To date, a total of four pollution emergency declarations have been issued by the Lieutenant-Governor in Council. The reason for each declaration, the costs incurred by the Provincial Government, and the costs recovered from the polluter are summarized as follows:

"1. *Red Rocky Lake Diesel Spill*—On April 27, 1977, an emergency declaration was issued to deal with a seepage of diesel oil into Red Rocky Lake, about 90 km north of Prince George. The diesel that was seeping into the lake from the ground was believed to have originated from a truck accident that occurred in September, 1976, in which 6,500 gallons of oil had been spilled on the ground several hundred feet from the lake. Because the trucking company involved in the accident (Rempel-Trail Transportation Ltd.) was unwilling to accept responsibility for preventing further contamination of lake waters, a pollution declaration was issued and the Pollution Control Branch undertook the necessary remedial measures. Cost of the clean-up amounted to \$1,471.15 and recovery of the costs from Rempel-Trail Transportation Ltd. was attempted under section 26 (4) (now section 29 (4)) of the *Pollution Control Act*. The transport company, however successfully challenged this attempt for recovery of costs in the Supreme Court. The Court ruled that pollution abatement expenses incurred as a result of actions or spills (in this

case the truck accident) that occurred prior to enactment of section 26 (4) of the *Pollution Control Act* could not be recovered.

“2. *Rayleigh Cyanide Spill*—On January 10, 1978, a Tri-Line Expressways truck carrying 192 drums of sodium cyanide pellets spilled its load on the highway near Rayleigh, about 20 km north of Kamloops. The highly toxic nature of the chemical necessitated quick response to protect the public and environment and undertake immediate clean-up operations. To facilitate rapid procurement of the necessary equipment and personnel for the clean-up, a pollution emergency declaration was issued on January 12, 1978. Total cost to Government for the clean-up was \$50,164.35 which was recovered completely from the insurance company for Tri-Line Expressways without the need to file a certificate in the Supreme Court under section 29 (4).

“3. *Salmon Arm Gasoline Spill*—On February 23, 1979, high concentrations of gasoline were detected in a storm sewer in Salmon Arm. Because the gasoline posed an immediate explosion hazard and difficulty was experienced by Environment Ministry personnel in getting oil company representatives to take quick action to trace the source of the gasoline, a pollution emergency declaration was issued on February 24, 1979. Direct cost to the Provincial Government in dealing with this emergency totalled \$6,076.25 which was entirely recovered from Husky Oil (\$4,644.72) and Mohawk Oil (\$1,431.53) without the need for filing a certificate in the Supreme Court under section 29 (4).

“4. *Consumer Glass—PCB Contaminants*—Testing of surface water near the Consumer Glass Company operation in Lavington in June 1979, indicated elevated concentrations of PCB's. Because of possible contamination of potable waters and the need to conduct further tests in an attempt to trace the source of contamination, an emergency declaration was issued on June 22, 1979. Total sampling analysis costs to Government amounted to \$8,050. Consumer Glass also undertook voluntarily an extensive monitoring program and remedial measures to prevent the spread of PCB's. No recovery of costs to Government in this case has been attempted as the source of the low level PCB concentrations that were delineated could not be established precisely.”

19 Mr. Skelly asked the Hon. the Minister of Environment the following question:

Between January 1, 1976, and March 31, 1980, how many charges have been laid under section 25, *Pollution Control Act*, R.S.B.C. 1979, chapter 332, against whom were charges laid, for what offences, in which cases were convictions obtained, and what was the penalty in each case?

The Hon. C. S. Rogers replied as follows:

“Between January 1, 1976, and March 31, 1980, 63 charges have been laid against 35 companies and individuals under the *Pollution Control Act*. The following list identifies those charged and the offences they were charged with. Where convictions were obtained, the penalties have been noted. Cases which are still before the courts are also identified.

“Castle Wines Limited, Ste. Michelle Wines Limited, and Jordan Wines Limited—Each of them did unlawfully cause or permit the discharge of waste material into water, to wit: Blenkinsop Creek, without a permit or approval from the Director of Pollution Control. Each fined \$150. A total of \$450.

“Fraser Bridge Mobile Park Ltd.—Did unlawfully cause or permit the discharge of sewage into a stream without a permit or approval from the Director of Pollution Control. Fined \$200.

“H. Williamsson Blacktop & Landscaping Ltd.—Did unlawfully cause or permit a discharge or emission into the air of a contaminant without a permit or approval from the Director of Pollution Control.

“Chew Excavating Ltd. and Associated Engineering Services Ltd.—Both of them did unlawfully cause or permit the discharge of waste material into water, to wit: Comox Harbour, without a permit or approval from the Director of Pollution Control. Fined \$450 each.

“Kenneth Herbert Francis Johnston and Sherrol A. Johnston—*Count 1*—Did unlawfully discharge waste material on land, being the said Lot 191 “B”, District Lot 190, SDYD, Plan 466, without a permit or approval from the Director of Pollution Control. *Count 2*—Ditto. *Count 3*—Did unlawfully fail to comply with an Order issued by the informant dated August 7, 1975, wherein the informant ordered the said Kenneth Herbert Francis Johnston to improve the site known as Lot 191 “B”, District Lot 190, SDYD, Plan 466, in the City of Penticton. *Count 4*—Ditto. Fined \$100 on each of two counts.

“Okanagan Builders Land Development Ltd.—*Count 1*—Did refuse or neglect to comply with an Order made pursuant to the *Pollution Control Act, 1967*, to wit: an Order made the 24th day of February, 1975, requiring the said Okanagan Builders Land Development Limited *inter alia* to (1) install a flow equalization basin between the existing lift station and the three aeration tanks; (2) modify existing rectangular clarifiers to incorporate baffling; (3) re-lay drain tile in suitable granular material. *Count 2*—Did refuse or neglect to comply with an Order made pursuant to the *Pollution Control Act, 1967*, to wit: an Order made the 24th day of February, 1975, requiring the said Okanagan Builders Land Development Limited *inter alia* to (1) install a flow equalization basin between the existing lift station and the two aeration tanks; (2) modify existing clarifiers to incorporate proper baffling. Fined \$250 on each count.

“Anmore Recreation Limited—Did unlawfully cause or permit the discharge of sewage into land at or near Anmore in the County of Westminister without a permit or approval from the Director of Pollution Control. Penalty deferred.

“Federated Co-Operatives Ltd.—*Count 1*—Did permit the discharge into the air of contaminants, to wit: smoke and fly ash in excess of a concentration of Ringelmann No. 2 without a permit or approval from the Director of Pollution Control. *Count 2*—Ditto. Fined \$100 on each count.

“Spruce City Sanitary Services Limited—Did unlawfully cause or permit the discharge of sewage on land without a permit or approval from the Director of Pollution Control. Fined \$100.

“Pacific Rim Equities Corporation—*Count 1*—Did unlawfully cause or permit the discharge of waste material onto land to wit: property situated at or near 15570 Fraser Highway, Surrey, B.C., without a permit or approval from the Director of Pollution Control. *Count 2*—Did unlawfully neglect or refuse to comply with an order made under the *Pollution Control Act, 1967*, chap. 34 and amendments thereto. Fined \$100 on Count 1 and \$250 on Count 2.

“Triangle Pacific Forest Products Ltd.—Did unlawfully permit the discharge into the air of contaminants, to wit: smoke in excess of a concentration of Ringel-

mann No. 2, without a permit or approval from the Director of Pollution Control. Fined \$500.

"Ralph Estensen—Did unlawfully cause or permit the discharge of waste material to land, to wit: Lot 10 of District Lot 72, Plan 37559, NWD, without a permit or approval from the Director of Pollution Control.

"T & H Sawmills Ltd.—Did cause or permit the discharge into the air of a contaminant, to wit: smoke in excess of a concentration of Ringelmann No. 2 without a permit or approval from the Director of Pollution Control. Fined \$700.

"James Clarke—Did refuse or neglect to comply with an Order made pursuant to the *Pollution Control Act*, R.S.B.C. 1960, and amendments thereto, to wit: an Order dated the 16th day of December, 1976.

"Fraser Bridge Mobile Park Limited—Did on or about the 13th day of April, 1977, permit the discharge of effluent on land without a permit or approval from the Director of Pollution Control. Fined \$300.

"Northwest Paving Co. Ltd.—Did permit the discharge into the air of a contaminant without a permit or approval of the Director of Pollution Control. Fined \$10.

"Parta Industries Ltd.—Did refuse or neglect to comply with an Order of the Director of Pollution Control by failing to carry out the instructions of the Regional Manager, Pollution Control Branch, pursuant to the Letter of Transmittal dated the 17th day of February, 1975 in conjunction with Pollution Control Permit PA-2258, to wit: did refuse or neglect from the 22nd day of August, 1977, to the 1st day of September, 1977, to lower and maintain its raw material stockpiles at a minimum of 2 feet below the top of the 35 foot barrier erected for the purpose of controlling dust. Fined \$250.

"William Wosk and Valley Salvage Ltd.—*Count 1*—Did unlawfully cause or permit the discharge into the air of a contaminant without a permit or approval from the Director of Pollution Control. *Count 2*—Ditto. *Count 3*—Ditto. *Count 4*—Ditto. *Count 5*—Ditto. *Count 6*—Ditto. *Count 7*—Ditto. *Count 8*—Ditto. Fined \$100 on each of Counts 1, 2, 3, and 4. Total \$400.

"Crown Zellerbach Canada Limited—*Count 1*—Did cause the discharge of effluent onto land owned by Her Majesty the Queen in the Right of the Province of British Columbia without first obtaining a permit or approval from the Director of Pollution Control. *Count 2*—Ditto. *Count 3*—Ditto. Fined \$1,000 on each count; total \$3,000.

"Bordignon Masonry Limited and Luigi Bordignon—Appeal in the Court of Appeal against the decision of a Provincial Court Judge who dismissed the information which read: 'did cause or permit the discharge of waste material from lands and premises occupied by Bordignon Masonry Limited into a body of water known as False Creek without a permit or approval from the Director of Pollution Control.' Appeal allowed and case remitted to the Provincial Court.

"Cominco Ltd.—Failed to carry out the program of improvements required by its permit issued pursuant to the *Pollution Control Act* on the 10th day of July, 1967, and amended February 28, 1968, January 23, 1969, and October 9, 1975.

"Crestbrook Forest Industries Ltd.—Did cause or permit the discharge into the air of a contaminant to wit: smoke in excess of a concentration of Ringelmann No. 2 without a permit or approval from the Director of Pollution Control. Fined \$2,500.

“Cecil Bellamy—Did permit the discharge of effluent in land, to wit: land at or near the Shelburn Trailer Court without a permit or approval from the Director of Pollution Control. Fined \$100.

“Vancouver Wharves Ltd.—*Count 1*—Unlawfully did refuse or neglect to comply with an Order given by the Director of Pollution Control, namely, Permit PE-1386 and accompanying Letter of Transmittal, both dated November 25, 1974, by failing to submit to the Director, in duplicate, for approval, plans and specifications of the existing works authorized in Appendices 02, 03 and 04 of Permit PE-1386 within 90 days of the issuance of the said permit. *Count 2*—Unlawfully did refuse or neglect to comply with an Order given by the Director of Pollution Control, namely, Permit PE-1386 and accompanying Letter of Transmittal, both dated November 25, 1974, by failing to complete and have in operation on or before November 30, 1975, works authorized under paragraph (d) of Appendix 01 of the said permit. *Count 3*—Unlawfully did refuse or neglect to comply with an Order given by the Director of Pollution Control, namely, Permit PE-1386 and accompanying Letter of Transmittal, both dated November 25, 1974, by discharging effluent which by-passed a portion of the designated treatment works under the said permit. *Count 4*—Unlawfully did refuse or neglect to comply with an Order given by the Director of Pollution Control, namely, Permit PE-1386 and accompanying Letter of Transmittal, both dated November 25, 1974, by discharging effluent that exceeded the characteristics allowed under paragraph (c) of Appendix 01 of the said permit with regard to dissolved copper, dissolved nickel, and dissolved zinc. *Count 5*—Unlawfully did refuse or neglect to comply with an Order given by the Director of Pollution Control, namely Permit PE-1386 and accompanying Letter of Transmittal, both dated November 25, 1974, by discharging effluent that exceeded the characteristics allowed under paragraph (c) of Appendix 04 of the said permit with regard to total suspended solids and dissolved potassium. Still before the Courts.

“Stausen Holdings Ltd.—Did permit the discharge of effluent on land without a permit or approval from the Director of Pollution Control.

“Abundant Sea Resources Ltd.—Did unlawfully cause or permit the discharge into the air of a contaminant without a permit or approval from the Director of Pollution Control. Settled with consent of the Judge.

“British Columbia Forest Products Limited—*Count 1*—Did unlawfully contravene an Order made under the *Pollution Control Act*, to wit: a direction in writing made on the 4th day of February 1972, by the Director of Pollution Control prohibiting the said British Columbia Forest Products Limited from discharging effluent which has by-passed any portion of the designated treatment facilities by discharging effluent from the emergency dump pond and thereby by-passing the extended aeration lagoon, and the submerged outfall and diffuser pipe. *Count 2*—Did discharge or cause or permit the discharge of effluent on land, to wit: the shore of Williston Lake without a permit or approval from the Director. *Count 3*—Did discharge or cause or permit the discharge of effluent into water, to wit: Williston Lake, without a permit or approval from the Director. Still before the Courts.

“City of Vernon—Did discharge waste material, to wit: sewage sludge, into water to wit: Vernon Creek, without a permit or approval from the Director of Pollution Control. Still before the Courts.

“Hub City Paving Ltd.—Did permit the discharge into the air of a contaminant, without a permit or approval of the Director of Pollution Control. Still before the Courts.

“Gold Bridge Holdings Ltd.—*Count 1*—Did fail to operate a placer gold mining operation effluent disposal facility with one settling pond and three exfiltration basins as outlined in a Pollution Control Branch Letter of Transmittal dated July 16, 1979, and addressed to the said Gold Bridge Holdings Ltd. and did thereby neglect to comply with an Order in writing given under the *Pollution Control Act*. *Count 2*—Did discharge effluent from a placer gold mining operation on land without a permit or approval from the Director of Pollution Control and did thereby contravene subsection 5 (1) of the *Pollution Control Act*. *Count 3*—Did discharge effluent from a placer gold mining operation into water, to wit: the Bridge River, without a permit or approval from the Director of Pollution Control, and did thereby contravene subsection 5 (1) of the *Pollution Control Act*. *Count 4*—Did discharge overflow effluent from a placer gold mining operation on land without a permit or approval from the Director of Pollution Control, and did thereby neglect to comply with an order in writing given under the *Pollution Control Act*, and dated July 16, 1979. *Count 5*—Did discharge effluent from a placer gold mining operation on land without a permit or approval from the Director of Pollution Control, and did thereby contravene subsection 5 (1) of the *Pollution Control Act*. *Count 6*—Did discharge effluent from a placer gold mining operation into water to wit: the Bridge River, without a permit or approval from the Director of Pollution Control, and did thereby contravene subsection 5 (1) of the *Pollution Control Act*. *Count 7*—Did refuse to cease and desist from a discharge of overflow effluent from a placer gold mining operation effluent disposal facility and did thereby refuse to comply with an Order in writing given under the *Pollution Control Act*, and dated July 16, 1979. Still before the Courts.

“Regional District of Bulkley-Nechako—*Count 1*—Did, directly or indirectly, cause or permit the discharge or emission into the air of a contaminant to wit: smoke without a permit or approval from the Director. *Count 2*—Ditto. Still before the Courts.

“Carrier Lumber Ltd.—*Count 1*—Directly or indirectly, remitted or caused the discharge or emission into the air of a contaminant to wit: smoke in excess of a concentration of 40 per cent opacity or Ringelmann No. 2 without a permit or approval from the Director of Pollution Control. *Count 2*—Ditto. *Count 3*—Ditto. *Count 4*—Ditto. Still before the Courts.”

20 Mr. Skelly asked the Hon. the Minister of Environment the following question:

Between January 1, 1976, and March 31, 1980, how many pollution control permits have been suspended or cancelled, pursuant to section 10, *Pollution Control Act*, R.S.B.C. 1979, chapter 332, what are the names of the permit holders and reasons for suspension or cancellation, and the period of suspension or cancellation in each case?

The Hon. C. S. Rogers replied as follows:

“CANCELLATIONS

“PE-4818—The Corporation of the City of Penticton cancelled July 27, 1979, on instructions from the Lieutenant-Governor in Council.

“PR-5113—Fraser River Harbour Commission cancelled February 11, 1980, on instructions from the Pollution Control Board.

“PR-3916—Regional District of Central Kootenay cancelled February 27, 1980, for non-compliance with permit conditions.

“SUSPENSIONS

“PE-349—Fiberplast Products Ltd., suspended April 12, 1976, for non-compliance with permit conditions. Suspension has not been lifted to date.

“PE-2318—R. Estensen, D.V.M., suspended March 12, 1976, for non-compliance with permit conditions. Suspension has not been lifted to date.

“PE-4621—Gordon M. Bell, suspended on July 21, 1978, on permittee’s request. Reinstated on April 24, 1979.

“PE-5201—Golden Dawn Packers Ltd., suspended on January 11, 1980, for non-compliance with permit conditions. Suspension has not been lifted to date.”

And then the House adjourned at 5.55 p.m.

Wednesday, April 30, 1980

TWO O’CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *Robert McCrae*.

The Clerk of the House informed the House of the unavoidable absence of Mr. Speaker, whereupon Mr. *Davidson*, Deputy Speaker, took the Chair, pursuant to Standing Order 12.

The Hon. *J. A. Nielsen* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:

HENRY P. BELL-IRVING
Lieutenant-Governor

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith Bill (No. 18) intituled *Liquor Control and Licensing Amendment Act, 1980* and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
April 29, 1980.

By leave of the House, Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of Mr. *Leggatt*, Bill (No. M 208) intituled *An Act Respecting Public Representation on Executive Boards and Councils of British Columbia*

Professional Associations was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Chairman further reported that, on the motion that the Committee rise and report progress, the Committee had divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Howard*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—19

<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>		<i>Hall</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Hanson</i>		<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Howard</i>
	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Brown</i>		<i>Stupich</i>	

NAYS—28

<i>Hyndman</i>			<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Brummet</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Vander Zalm</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Williams</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>
<i>Mair</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>		

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.51 p.m.