

Monday, March 21, 1966

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Provide for the Payment of a Grant to Muriel J. McLeod*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 21, 1966.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 89) intituled *An Act to Provide for the Payment of a Grant to Muriel J. McLeod*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Forest Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 21, 1966.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 90) intituled *An Act to Amend the Forest Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

Bill (No. 12) intituled *An Act to Facilitate the Subdivision of Land in Strata and the Disposition of Title Thereto* was read a third time and passed.

On the motion that Bill (No. 32) intituled *An Act to Amend the Constitution Act* be read a third time now, Mr. *Strachan* moved in amendment, seconded by Mr. *Turner*,—

"That Bill (No. 32) be not now read a third time but be referred to the members of the Commission on Redistribution appointed under Order in Council No. 2233 (1965) for their consideration and further recommendations along with the request that such recommendations be returned to the House within thirty days."

Motion negatived on the following division:—

YEAS—13

Messieurs

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Haggen, Mrs.</i>	<i>Harding</i>
<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macfarlane</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Squire</i>	<i>Turner</i>
<i>McKay</i>			

NAYS—26

Messieurs

<i>Calder</i>	<i>Shelford</i>	<i>MacSorley</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Lundell</i>	<i>Price</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Speare</i>	<i>Brothers</i>	<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Smith</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Carnell</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Martin</i>
<i>Matthew</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Huhn</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Vogel</i>		

PAIR:

Messieurs

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Bonner</i>
---------------	---------------

The debate continued.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:—

YEAS—26

Messieurs

<i>Calder</i>	<i>Shelford</i>	<i>MacSorley</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Lundell</i>	<i>Price</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Speare</i>	<i>Brothers</i>	<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Smith</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Carnell</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Martin</i>
<i>Matthew</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Huhn</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Vogel</i>		

NAYS—15

Messieurs

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Harding</i>
<i>Gibson</i>	<i>McKay</i>	<i>Haggen, Mrs.</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Turner</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Macfarlane</i>	<i>Squire</i>	

PAIRS:

Messieurs

<i>Williston</i>	<i>Eddie</i>
<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Gargrave</i>
<i>Gaglardi</i>	<i>Barrett</i>

Bill read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 35) intituled *An Act to Provide for the Conservation of Wildlife* was read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 39) intituled *An Act Respecting Firearms* was committed, reported complete with amendments. Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

Bill (No. 41) intituled *An Act to Amend the Milk Industry Act* was committed, reported complete without amendments, read a third time and passed.

The following Bills were read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today:—

Bill (No. 82) intituled *An Act to Amend the Department of Commercial Transport Act*.

Bill (No. 83) intituled *An Act to Amend the Health Act*.

Bill (No. 84) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipalities Enabling and Validating Act*.

Bill (No. 85) intituled *An Act Respecting Power Development*.

Bill (No. 86) intituled *An Act to Amend the Medical Act*.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 87) intituled *An Act to Amend and Repeal Certain Provisions of the Statute Law* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Macdonald*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Private Bills."

The following Bills were read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today:—

Bill (No. 50) intituled *An Act to Incorporate Fraser Valley Mutual Insurance Company*.

Bill (No. 54) intituled *An Act to Amend the Victoria Foundation Act*.

Bill (No. 58) intituled *The Granby Mining Company Limited Act Amendment Act, 1966*.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

135. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$211,084 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Accounting Division, to 31st March, 1967.

136. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,080,933 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, General Services, to 31st March, 1967.

137. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$514,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Grants *re* Local Health Services, to 31st March, 1967.

138. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$565,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Rehabilitation Services, Including Grants for Related Health Agencies, to 31st March, 1967.

139. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$690,419 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Cancer Control (Including Grants, etc.), to 31st March, 1967.

140. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$500,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Public Health Research, to 31st March, 1967.

141. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$3,752,761 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Local Health Services, to 31st March, 1967.

142. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$505,696 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Division of Laboratories, to 31st March, 1967.

143. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$451,244 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Division of Vital Statistics, to 31st March, 1967.

144. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$168,775 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Division of Venereal Disease Control, to 31st March, 1967.

145. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$170,904 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Patient Care, Divisional Headquarters, to 31st March, 1967.

146. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$565,523 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Patient Care, Out-patient Care, to 31st March, 1967.

147. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,713,854 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Patient Care, In-patient Care, to 31st March, 1967.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* (Premier) presented the Twenty-seventh Annual Report of the Public Utilities Commission under the *Public Utilities Act* for the year ended December 31, 1965, and a report of activities pursuant to the *Cemeteries Act* and the *Prearranged Funeral Services Act*.

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* (Minister of Labour) presented the following:—

Forty-ninth Annual Report of the Workmen's Compensation Board for the year ended December 31, 1965.

The Annual Report of the Department of Labour for the year ended December 31, 1965.

In answer to the following question standing on the Order Paper in the name of Mrs. *Haggen*:—

5 Mrs. *Haggen* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following questions:—

1. With reference to school operating costs, did the Provincial Government pay less than 50 per cent of such costs in any school districts in the calendar year 1965?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what percentages were paid in the relevant school districts in the calendar year 1965?

The Hon. the Minister of Education stated that, in his opinion, the reply should be in the form of a Return and that he had no objection to laying such Return upon the table of the House, and thereupon presented such Return.

6 Mrs. *Haggen* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following questions:—

With respect to expenditure made in the Province for education in the year 1965:—

1. What was the total sum?

2. What portion of the total sum was expended on (a) ordinary expenditure and (b) capital expenditure?

3. What portion of the total sum was contributed by (a) the Provincial Government, (b) the city and district municipalities, and (c) by the Provincial Government on behalf of rural areas of any school district?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* replied as follows:—

“ 1. \$247,140,472 (exclusive of by-law, trust, etc., funds; school districts for the calendar year 1965, other services for the fiscal year 1964/65).

“ 2. (a) \$202,042,007 and (b) \$45,098,465.

“ 3. Exclusive of the application of the home-owner grants: (a) \$111,656,517; (b) \$77,787,350, inclusive of towns and villages; and (c) \$24,019,336.”

104 Mr. *Nimsick* asked the Hon. the Minister of Labour the following questions:—

1. Are any permanent total disability pensions which were granted between December 31, 1942, and March 31, 1952, paid by the Workmen's Compensation Board?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how many and (b) what is the total cost per month?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) 90 and (b) \$11,599.68.”

In answer to the following question standing on the Order Paper in the name of Mr. *Nimsick*:—

105 Mr. *Nimsick* asked the Hon. the Minister of Labour the following questions:—

1. Are the assessments to the Workmen's Compensation Board based on a percentage of the payroll for all employers and (a), if not, on what other methods are assessments based and (b) what are the names of the employers under these special assessments?

2. Do any employers in the Province carry the full cost of compensation for accidents to employees in their employ and (a), if so, what are the names of these employers and what contribution did each one make to the Compensation Board during the years of 1963, 1964, and 1965 respectively, and (b) what was the payroll of each of these employers during 1963, 1964, and 1965 respectively?

The Hon. the Minister of Labour stated that, in his opinion, the reply should be in the form of a Return and that he had no objection to laying such Return upon the table of the House, and thereupon presented such Return.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 11.15 p.m.

Tuesday, March 22, 1966

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *D. Just.*

The Hon. *W. D. Black* (Provincial Secretary) presented The Royal Commission on Gasoline Price Structure, Report of the Commissioner, His Honour Judge Charles William Morrow.

On the motion of Mr. *Dowding*, the following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today:—

Bill (No. 97) intituled *An Act to Establish the Office of Commissioner for Grievances.*

Bill (No. 98) intituled *An Act to Amend the Provincial Elections Act.*

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

Bill (No. 39) intituled *An Act Respecting Firearms* was read a third time and passed.

The following Bills were committed, reported complete without amendments, read a third time and passed:—

Bill (No. 46) intituled *An Act to Amend the Motor-vehicle Act.*

Bill (No. 47) intituled *An Act to Amend the Boiler and Pressure Vessel Act.*

Bill (No. 49) intituled *An Act to Amend the Summary Convictions Act.*

Bill (No. 64) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipal Superannuation Act.*

Bill (No. 65) intituled *An Act to Amend the Civil Service Superannuation Act.*

Bill (No. 66) intituled *An Act to Amend the Teachers' Pensions Act, 1961.*

Bill (No. 67) intituled *An Act Respecting an Agreement between Canada and British Columbia Regarding the Canada Pension Plan.*

Bill (No. 69) intituled *An Act to Amend the Land Act.*

Bill (No. 70) intituled *An Act to Incorporate the Seminary of Christ the King.*

Bill (No. 73) intituled *An Act to Amend the Administration Act* was committed, reported complete with amendments. Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

The following Bills were committed, reported complete without amendments, read a third time and passed:—

Bill (No. 74) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipal Act*.

Bill (No. 79) intituled *An Act to Amend the Water Act*.

Bill (No. 82) intituled *An Act to Amend the Department of Commercial Transport Act*.

Bill (No. 83) intituled *An Act to Amend the Health Act* was committed, reported complete with amendments. Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

Bill (No. 85) intituled *An Act Respecting Power Development* was committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 86) intituled *An Act to Amend the Medical Act* was committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 6) intituled *An Act to Amend the Gas Act*.

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 87) intituled *An Act to Amend and Repeal Certain Provisions of the Statute Law*.

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

Bill (No. 89) intituled *An Act to Provide for the Payment of a Grant to Muriel J. McLeod* was read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 90) intituled *An Act to Amend the Forest Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. Squire, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Bill (No. 15) intituled *An Act to Amend the Companies Act* was committed, reported complete with amendments. Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Private Bills."

The following Bills were committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed:—

Bill (No. 50) intituled *An Act to Incorporate Fraser Valley Mutual Insurance Company*.

Bill (No. 54) intituled *An Act to Amend the Victoria Foundation Act*.

Bill (No. 58) intituled *The Granby Mining Company Limited Act Amendment Act, 1966*.

The following Bills were read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today:—

Bill (No. 59) intituled *An Act to Amend the Seaboard Assurance Company Act, 1953*.

Bill (No. 61) intituled *An Act to Incorporate Westco Insurance Company*.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

51 Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Premier the following question:—

With respect to the British Columbia Government ferry system, as of December 31, 1965, what contributions had been made by the Government of Canada toward the capital construction cost of British Columbia Government ferries?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

"The Government of Canada ship-construction subsidy was latterly paid to the ship-builders. It was introduced by Prime Minister Diefenbaker in 1961 to help Canadian shipyards compete against the lower construction costs that prevail in other countries. This subsidy has now been cancelled in connection with ship construction involving the Province or Provincial Crown agencies."

90 Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Premier the following question:—

With respect to the Yukon Territory, during the year ended December 31, 1965, were any conversations or negotiations undertaken with the Federal Government with respect to the ultimate union of the Province of British Columbia with the Yukon?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

"No."

136 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

Regarding British Columbia Hydro activity in the Duncan Dam area:—

1. How much money was spent by British Columbia Hydro on the Lardeau-Duncan Dam Road in 1965?
2. What is the total amount spent on this road to date?
3. What is the allocation, if any, for the year 1966?
4. How much money was spent by British Columbia Hydro on the Kaslo-Lardeau Road in 1965?
5. What is the total amount spent by British Columbia Hydro on the Kaslo-Lardeau Road to date?
6. What is the allocation, if any, for the Kaslo-Lardeau Road for the year 1966?
7. What is the total amount spent to December 31, 1965, by British Columbia Hydro for road improvements in the Kaslo-Duncan Dam area?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. \$363.
- “ 2. \$476,714.
- “ 3. *Nil*.
- “ 4. \$311,416.
- “ 5. \$311,416.
- “ 6. *Nil*.
- “ 7. \$788,130.”

138 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

1. How many acres in the Arrow reservoir basin will be flooded?
2. Have any Arrow reservoir clearing contracts been let to date?
3. If the answer to No. 2 is yes, how many clearing contracts have been let, what is the acreage involved in each contract, what is the cost of each awarded contract, and who was the successful bidder for each contract?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. 24,700 acres of land (estimated).
- “ 2. Yes.
- “ 3. Twenty, as follows:—

Area No.	Size, Acres	Contractor	Cost
A	220	Evin's Contracting Ltd.	\$176,000
B	465	Lodon & MacArthur	543,750
C	395	Lodon & MacArthur	382,500
F	230	H. and K. Zirnitis	134,244
G	195	H. and K. Zirnitis	77,406
H	385	McPhail's Construction Co. Ltd.	154,997
J2	305	A. C. McEachern Ltd.	83,980
J4	580	A. C. McEachern Ltd.	132,840
J6	80	Brazeau River Constructors Ltd.	26,777
J7	290	Danmyra Construction Co. Ltd.	45,080
J8	165	Brazeau River Constructors Ltd.	33,777
J9	400	Alpine Clearing Contractors Ltd.	135,750
J10	315	Alpine Clearing Contractors Ltd.	108,750
J14	480	H. and K. Zirnitis	239,520
J15	345	H. and K. Zirnitis	131,670
J16	340	Oliver Equipment Co. Ltd.	98,600
L1	165	Lodon & MacArthur	53,955
L2	365	Lodon & MacArthur	127,750
L4	80	Lodon & MacArthur	22,250
L7	250	Lodon & MacArthur	130,500
	6,050		\$2,840,096 "

139 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

1. What is the estimated cost to British Columbia Hydro of clearing and beautifying the reservoir area behind the Duncan Dam?

2. How many acres in the Duncan basin will be flooded?

3. How many acres in the Duncan basin will be cleared prior to the initial filling of the reservoir?

4. Have Duncan reservoir clearing contracts, if any, been let to date?

5. If the answer to No. 4 is yes, how many clearing contracts have been let, what is the total acreage involved in each contract, what is the cost involved for each contract, and who was the successful bidder for each contract?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. \$1,194,055, prior to flooding. Some additional work may be necessary after flooding, as required over a period of years.

“ 2. 10,400 acres of land (estimated).

“ 3. 1,887 acres.

“ 4. Yes.

“ 5. Four, as follows:—

Area No.	Size. Acres	Contractor	Cost
A	748	A. O. Farstad.....	\$534,820
B	444	A. O. Farstad.....	228,660
C	478	A. O. Farstad.....	210,320
D	217	A. O. Farstad.....	220,255
			\$1,194,055 "

140 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

1. Have the clearing specifications in regard to the Mica reservoir area been issued as yet?

2. How many acres in the Mica basin will be flooded?

3. Will the entire basin be cleared of debris prior to the initial filling of the reservoir?

4. If the answer to No. 3 is no, how many acres will be cleared prior to the initial filling of the reservoir?

5. If the answer to No. 3 is no, what are the reasons?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. No.

“ 2. 86,000 acres of land (estimated).

“ 3. See answer to No. 1.

“ 4. See answer to No. 1.

“ 5. See answer to No. 1.”

141 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

1. Did the British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority construct a water-supply system for the Celgar Corporation near Castlegar?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what was the total cost of installing this system, what is the capacity of the system, and is it meeting with satisfaction?

3. Have other water-supply systems, if any, been provided by British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority other than at Celgar and in the Robson area and, if so, where, what are the individual costs and what amount of flow is provided, and are these supplies satisfactory?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. Cost is \$3,213,392. Initial capacity is 30,000 U.S.g.p.m. It is meeting with satisfaction.

“ 3. Water-supply systems have been provided as follows: (a) Kinnaird, maximum capacity is 1,390 U.S.g.p.m.; Trail, maximum capacity is 3,470 U.S.g.p.m.; costs not separable; total cost, \$427,244; and (b) Tadanac, maximum capacity is 450 U.S.g.p.m.; Warfield, maximum capacity is 900 U.S.g.p.m.; costs not separable; total cost, \$794,836. Supplies have met demands during the periods when the Authority's operations interfered with the communities' regular use of the Columbia River for domestic purposes.”

142 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

1. Did the British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority construct a water-supply system in the Robson area?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how many residents were served by this water system and what was the total cost of installing this water system?

3. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how many feet of main pipe were required for the system and what was the size of pipe used, what is the source of water supply and what is the estimated available flow per day, and is it meeting with satisfaction?

4. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, were contract bids called and, if not, how was the work awarded and to whom?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. About 150 people were served by the system. Total cost was \$100,700.

“ 3. About 10,000 feet of 6-inch-diameter main were required. Water is supplied from a well with a maximum capacity of 100 U.S.g.p.m. It is meeting with satisfaction.

“ 4. Tenders were called.”

152 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

1. What is the total acreage in British Columbia to be flooded in the reservoir area behind the Libby Dam?

2. Have the clearing specifications in regard to the Libby reservoir been issued as yet?

3. If the answer to No. 2 is yes, how many acres are to be cleared?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. 14,300 acres of land (estimated).

“ 2. No.

“ 3. Not applicable.”

153 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

1. On what date did the ceremonies marking the official start of construction on the Duncan and Arrow Dams take place?

2. Who was responsible for preparing the official guest list?

3. What was the total number of guests invited to the official opening by the Authority?

4. What was the total cost to the British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority for the ceremonies in connection with the above openings?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. May 19 and 20, 1965.

“ 2. Guest list prepared by staff and management of B.C. Hydro. Consultation and advice concerning guest list from Federal Government, Provincial Government, United States Columbia Treaty Entity, Columbia Storage Power Exchange, and Northwest Public Power Association.

“ 3. 224 guests were invited. The ceremonies were also open to the public.

“ 4. \$14,323.”

154 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

With respect to land and property acquisition necessitated by the Columbia Treaty requirements:—

1. How many arbitration hearings, if any, are presently in the process of being set up?

2. Have itemized appraisals been made of the subject properties?

3. Will these itemized appraisals, showing the basis of appraisal, be disclosed to the property-owners prior to the hearings?

4. Who are the owners of the subject properties involved?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. None.

“ 2. Not applicable.

“ 3. Not applicable.

“ 4. Not applicable.”

157 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

1. Does British Columbia Hydro require deposits from the customer on new business accounts?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what is the total amount now being held by British Columbia Hydro as deposits and (b) what per cent of interest, if any, is being paid to the customers on this money?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes, if satisfactory credit rating has not been established.

“ 2. (a) As at January 31, 1966, \$664,109, and (b) deposits bearing interest at 6 per cent total approximately \$200,000, deposits bearing interest at 3 per cent total approximately \$9,500, balance of deposits bear no interest.”

169 Mr. *Stupich* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

With respect to the “ Utility Plant Acquisition Adjustment ” included in the list of depreciable assets of the British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority, as filed in the form of a Return on March 19, 1965:—

1. Was this account included in any amount in the list of assets of the British Columbia Electric Company Limited?

2. To what extent does the original balance of this account, as it was initially recorded in the accounts of British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority, represent the excess paid for British Columbia Electric Company Limited shares over the book value thereof?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. None.”

170 Mr. *Stupich* asked the Hon. the Premier the following question:—

With respect to the assets included on the British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority Balance-sheet as at March 31, 1965, under the heading "Unfinished Construction, Columbia River Development" in the amount of \$24,684,435, how much of this amount represented interest during construction?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

"\$1,500,443."

171 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

1. Did the British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority build a power-line from the Kaslo area to the Duncan Dam area in 1965?
2. If yes, how many customers are served by B.C. Hydro in this area?
3. What is the source of the hydro supply?
4. What was the total cost to the British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority of the above construction?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

- "1. Yes. Energized early in 1966.
- "2. Presently 138.
- "3. West Kootenay Power & Light Company.
- "4. \$430,000, which includes provision for 60-kilovolt construction standards."

177 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following questions:—

In regard to that portion of the Columbia River which will be affected by the Arrow Dam:—

1. Does your Department plan on further extensive and thorough surveys *re* the effect of flooding on the fish and wildlife in the reservoir area and areas adjacent to it and, if yes, when will it commence?
2. What was the total cost of the surveys made by your Department in 1962 and 1963?

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* replied as follows:—

"1. Additional studies will be carried out this year in respect to the effect of the Arrow Dam on fish and wildlife. The extent to which these are undertaken will depend upon a further review and analysis of information collected during earlier surveys.

"2. Approximately \$10,000."

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

Tuesday, March 22, 1966

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The House continued to sit after midnight.

WEDNESDAY, MARCH 23.

148. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$586,508 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Mental Health Services, General Administration, to 31st March, 1967.

149. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,029,708 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Mental Health Services, Division of Nursing Education, to 31st March, 1967.

150. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,413,187 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Mental Health Services, Community Services, to 31st March, 1967.

151. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$20,882,673 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Mental Health Services, In-patient Care, to 31st March, 1967.

152. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$90,364,690 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Hospital Insurance Services, Hospital Insurance Service, to 31st March, 1967.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 12.26 a.m.

Wednesday, March 23, 1966

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Father *C. B. Murphy*.

On the motion of Mr. *Eddie*, Bill (No. 99) intituled *An Act to Amend the Hospital Insurance Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. D. Black*, seconded by the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, it was *Ordered* that the Rules be suspended to permit the moving, without notice, of the following motion:—

That whereas *William Leonard Hartley*, member for Yale, has been unable to attend all the meetings of the present Session:

And whereas Mr. *Hartley* is a member of the Legislative Assembly of British Columbia and it is just and reasonable that he should be paid the full sessional allowance and expenses:

Therefore be it Resolved, That the Clerk of the House be directed to pay to *William Leonard Hartley* the full allowance and expenses to which he would have been entitled had he attended all sittings of the Session.

Motion moved and agreed to.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.

Report to be considered at the next sitting.

Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

178 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture the following questions:—

1. How many acres of land were cleared by your Department in the Kootenays for the 1965 operating season?

2. Where did this clearing take place and what were the individual acreages and costs involved?

3. What was the total clearing cost for the Kootenays for the 1965 operating season?

The Hon. *F. X. Richter* replied as follows:—

“ 1. 228 acres.

“ 2. Salmo, 40 acres, \$2,000; Wycliffe, 30 acres, \$1,000; Cranbrook, 21 acres, \$900; Osoyoos, 35 acres, \$1,999; Oliver, 30 acres, \$998; Oliver, 50 acres, \$996; and Beaverdale, 22 acres, \$884.

“ 3. \$8,777.”

184 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture the following questions:—

1. Has your Department advertised in the Nakusp area for work to be done under the *Farmers' Land-clearing Assistance Act*, and for contractors to submit tenders on same?

2. If yes, what is the closing date for application *re* the above?

3. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how many individuals have applied for this assistance and what is the total acreage involved?

4. How many contractors have applied for the above work?

The Hon. *F. X. Richter* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes, in part. Contractors' tenders are submitted on an hourly basis for the supply of suitable equipment and not on the basis of specific jobs.

“ 2. Applications, March 15, 1966, for priority of consideration. Contractors' tenders, March 15, 1966.

“ 3. Applications are being processed. Receipts in Victoria to date do not include any applications from Nakusp.

“ 4. No contractors have tendered specifically for the Nakusp area. Three contractors' tenders have been received for the Nelson, Kaslo, and Salmo areas.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 6.02 p.m.

Wednesday, March 23, 1966

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

153. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$40,860 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1967.

154. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,374,034 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, General Administration, to 31st March, 1967.

155. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$27,000,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, Roads, Bridges, Ferries, Wharves, and Tunnels—Maintenance and Operation, Repairs, Snow and Ice Removal, to 31st March, 1967.

156. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10,000,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, Trans-Canada Highway—Capital Construction, to 31st March, 1967.

157. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$52,000,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, Roads, Bridges, and Ferries—Capital Construction, to 31st March, 1967.

158. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, Hydro-development Highways—Capital Construction, to 31st March, 1967.

159. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$650,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, Highways within Municipal Limits, to 31st March, 1967.

160. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$35,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, Vehicle Damage Claims, to 31st March, 1967.

161. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$550,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, Highway Signs, Signals, Traffic Control, etc., to 31st March, 1967.

162. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$17,775 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, Grants and Subsidies, to 31st March, 1967.

163. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$3,000,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, Purchase of New Equipment, to 31st March, 1967.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 11.28 p.m.

Thursday, March 24, 1966

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *J. M. Alexander*.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.

Report to be considered at the next sitting.

Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

Thursday, March 24, 1966

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

292. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$32,870 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Recreation and Conservation, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1967.

The Committee reported the Resolution.

Report to be considered at the next sitting.

Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 10.30 p.m.

Friday, March 25, 1966

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *J. A. Roberts*.

The Hon. *D. R. J. Campbell* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith amendments to Bill (No. 84) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipalities Enabling and Validating Act*, enclosed herewith, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 24, 1966.

(ENCLOSURE)

Section 2 (g): To amend by adding to the proposed section 75D of the Act the following words and figures: “, provided that the Corporation shall not enter upon, break up, or enter into possession or use the said lands until it has paid or tendered to the owners thereof the sum of eighteen thousand seven hundred dollars in the case of the said Lot 3 and twenty-three thousand one hundred dollars in the case of the said part of said Lot 18 as interim compensation, which sums, if paid, shall be set off against the compensation finally determined pursuant to the said Division (4), and in each case such sum may be accepted by the person or persons entitled thereto without prejudice to the rights of them or any of them to claim a further or greater sum by way of compensation pursuant to the said Division (4). Upon the application of the Corporation, the Registrar of Titles shall note upon the titles of the lands concerned any such payment of interim compensation.”

Ordered, That the said Message, and the amendments accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the proposed amendments to Bill (No. 84) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipalities Enabling and Validating Act*, a draft of which is annexed to the Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, be referred to the Committee having in charge Bill (No. 84).

Resolution reported.
Report adopted.

On the motion of Mr. *Turner*, Bill (No. 101) intituled *An Act to Amend the Hospital Insurance Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order “Public Bills and Orders.”

The following Bills were read a third time and passed:—

Bill (No. 15) intituled *An Act to Amend the Companies Act*.

Bill (No. 73) intituled *An Act to Amend the Administration Act*.

Bill (No. 83) intituled *An Act to Amend the Health Act*.

Bill (No. 6) intituled *An Act to Amend the Gas Act* was committed, reported complete without amendments, read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 84) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipalities Enabling and Validating Act* was committed, reported complete with amendments. Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

Bill (No. 87) intituled *An Act to Amend and Repeal Certain Provisions of the Statute Law* was committed, reported complete with amendments. Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

The Committee further reported that upon consideration of section 3 of Bill (No. 87) a debate arose, the Committee divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, it was *Ordered* that the report be adopted and the division be recorded as follows:—

YEAS—47

Messieurs

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Haggen, Mrs.</i>	<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Shelford</i>	<i>Skillings</i>
<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Squire</i>	<i>Price</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>
<i>Calder</i>	<i>Harding</i>	<i>Brothers</i>	<i>Huhn</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Eddie</i>	<i>Turner</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Lundell</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>McKay</i>	<i>Carnell</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Martin</i>
<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Robinson</i>	<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Gaglardi</i>
<i>Macfarlane</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>MacSorley</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Matthew</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	

NAYS—1

Mr. *Gargrave*

Bill (No. 89) intituled *An Act to Provide for the Payment of a Grant to Muriel J. McLeod* was committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

293. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$98,908 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Recreation and Conservation, General Administration, to 31st March, 1967.

294. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$223,327 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Recreation and Conservation, Provincial Museum, to 31st March, 1967.

295. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$32,872 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Recreation and Conservation, Commercial Fisheries Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

296. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,614,388 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Recreation and Conservation, Fish and Game Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

297. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,396,150 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Recreation and Conservation, Tourist Traffic, Travel Division, to 31st March, 1967.

298. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$49,819 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Recreation and Conservation, Tourist Traffic, California Office, to 31st March, 1967.

299. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$193,850 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Recreation and Conservation, Tourist Traffic, Photographic Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

300. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,354,748 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Recreation and Conservation, Parks Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

301. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$325,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Recreation and Conservation, Grants in Aid of Regional Parks Development, to 31st March, 1967.

302. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$100,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Recreation and Conservation, Youth Training Programme, to 31st March, 1967.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Mr. *Shelford* presented the Report of the Select Standing Committee on Forestry and Fisheries, as follows:—

REPORT

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,

March 25, 1966.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Forestry and Fisheries begs leave to report as follows:—

Pursuant to motion of February 2, 1966, your Committee was ordered convened to study the following matter:—

Resolved, That the Select Standing Committee on Forestry and Fisheries be convened to receive the listed reports requested last Session from the Forest Service as follows:—

- (a) A report on the operation of the 30–50-per-cent contract clause in tree-farm licence documents;
- (b) A report on the additional management requirements for those quota-holders in public sustained-yield units where cutting rights are confined to a small number of operators;
- (c) A report on the effects of quota exchanges relative to costs of production, community development, and use of manpower;
- (d) A report on the steps taken to initiate sustained-yield management in the region north of the Fraser River and east of Howe Sound;
- (e) A report on the problem of management of temporary tenures;
- (f) A report on the steps taken to initiate a programme of close utilization of Crown timber in public sustained-yield units.

The Committee shall also be asked to study

- (a) the proposed regulations governing the close utilization of the timber resources both on the Coast and in the Interior;
- (b) the problems associated with the efficient salvage of driftwood from the waters and beaches along the Coast, to determine whether any changes are required in either legislation or regulations to assist in securing more complete use of such wood.

Sixteen meetings were held and representations were heard from the following:—

Forestry officials, Mr. Swannell, Mr. McKinnon, Mr. Stokes, and Mr. McLeod. Mr. Birrell on behalf of Vancouver Island Chip Supply.

Mr. J. J. Sundy.

Mr. Haleta on behalf of Haleta Products Limited.

Mr. B. B. Greenlee of Anaconda Company Limited.

— Mr. Brennan of Independent Squamish Loggers Limited.

— Mr. Bush of Cariboo Lumber Manufacturers' Association.

Mr. McMaster of Gulf Log Salvage Co-operative.

— Mr. P. Heller of Independent Timber Converters.

Mr. B. W. Irvine of Canadian Wood Reclamation.

Mr. Fisher of Beachwood Recovery Company Limited.

— Mr. B. E. Welch of Truck Loggers' Association.

— Mr. B. M. Hoffmeister of Council of Forest Industries.

— Mr. McBien of MacMillan, Bloedel and Powell River Company.

— Mr. Dunham of Canadian Institute of Forestry.

Mr. A. P. Lloyd of Federated Co-operatives Limited.

— Mr. I. H. McDiarmid of Interior Lumber Manufacturers' Association.

— Mr. Kane of Northern Interior Lumber Manufacturers' Association.

The Forestry Committee appreciates the manner in which the Forestry Department collected and presented six reports which had been requested by the Committee last year in regard to

- (a) a report on the operation of the 30–50-per-cent contract clause in tree-farm licence documents;

- (b) a report on the additional management requirements for those quota-holders in public sustained-yield units where cutting rights are confined to a small number of operators;
- (c) a report on the effects of quota exchanges relative to costs of production, community development, and use of manpower;
- (d) a report on the steps taken to initiate sustained-yield management in the region north of the Fraser River and east of Howe Sound;
- (e) a report on the problem of management of temporary tenures;
- (f) a report on the steps taken to initiate a programme of close utilization of Crown timber in public sustained-yield units.

1. Your Committee recommends that observance of the 30-50-per-cent cutting clause involving contractors in tree-farm licences should be reported every third year. Most companies adhere to the provisions of this clause and a great deal of work is required to collect this information. It appears that a report every third year would be sufficient to ensure compliance with requirements.

2. *Salvage Wood*.—Your Committee is of the opinion that it would be desirable to salvage the driftwood from the Coastal beaches and from the flood areas of the Interior reservoirs. To ensure economic operations it is recommended the *Forest Act* be amended so that

- (a) it be made lawful to process the salvaged wood;
- (b) it be lawful to make a profit in converting such salvage material;
- (c) the original log-owners be given a specified period of time to retrieve logs which have been accumulated along shorelines. When the advertised time expires, the logs should revert to the Crown for purposes of resale. Strict regulations should be drawn by the Forest Service to prevent log theft.

3. (a) *Smallwood*.—Your Committee recommends that there be no pulp-timber sales on the Coastal areas until there is a market for the smallwood presently being left in the public sustained-yield units or tree-farm licences. The key to smallwood utilization is in the expansion of the present pulp-mill capacities or by constructing new plants along the Coastal area. Integrated companies should be encouraged to use as much smallwood as possible in making pulp rather than using sawlogs as at present which could be converted into more valuable products.

(b) *Coast and Interior*.—All companies should be encouraged to work toward close utilization. There should be a reasonable stumpage rate on salvage wood, whether it be taken out by pre-logging, primary logging, or salvage logging. Removal of this type of salvage material should not be charged against sawlog quotas.

(c) Your Committee feels it advisable that the Forest Service should carry out studies in certain areas close to existing pulp-mills to determine whether close utilization be made compulsory rather than voluntary. The present smallwood policy has not been in operation long enough to properly assess the situation, and for this reason it should be reviewed thoroughly at the next Session. At this time many companies are expected to be operating on timber sales granted under the close utilization regulations.

4. The question of export permits was discussed at length before the Committee, and it is recommended export of Coast smallwood should be considered on an extended scale as complaints have been received about undue delays being experienced in receiving export permits. It is suggested that ways be implemented within the framework of present regulations to speed up the process of log export to avoid economic loss through log deterioration. This should only be done until such time as new pulp-mill expansions have come in line. The increased plant capacities should utilize a great deal of this material.

5. If considered to be in the public interest, the area north of the Fraser River from Harrison Lake to the Gulf of Georgia could be placed in a new public sustained-yield unit. The Fury Creek drainage should be excluded for the time being. Eventually this drainage could be added to the Soo Sustained-yield Unit, since it is located right on the south boundary.

6. Your Committee was pleased to observe that more money is to be spent on reforestation in public sustained-yield units, but recommends that this programme be accelerated to bring acres that are not sufficiently stocked into a growing rotation. The results of this can be immediate as far as revenue to the Crown is concerned, since the annual acreage cut is based on the acreage of productive forest land. Encouragement should be given to operators to take out decadent stands at an early date so that a new growth is started.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

CYRIL M. SHELFORD, *Chairman.*

The report was taken as read and received.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. on Monday next.

And then the House adjourned at 5.45 p.m.

Monday, March 28, 1966

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Brig. V. *Underhill.*

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 90) intituled *An Act to Amend the Forest Act.*

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Private Bills."

The following Bills were committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed:—

Bill (No. 59) intituled *An Act to Amend the Seaboard Assurance Company Act, 1953.*

Bill (No. 61) intituled *An Act to Incorporate Westco Insurance Company.*

The following Bills were read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today:—

Bill (No. 52) intituled *An Act to Amend the Vancouver Charter*.

Bill (No. 55) intituled *An Act to Incorporate the Heritage Life Assurance Corporation*.

Bill (No. 56) intituled *An Act to Incorporate the Southwest Mortgage Indemnity Corporation Limited*.

Bill (No. 60) intituled *An Act to Incorporate the Prince George College of Prince George*.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

164. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$31,530 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1967.

165. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$76,680 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce, General Administration, to 31st March, 1967.

166. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$158,326 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce, Agent-General's Office and British Columbia House, London, England, to 31st March, 1967.

167. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$256,970 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce, Bureau of Economics and Statistics, to 31st March, 1967.

168. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$631,677 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce, Data Processing Division, to 31st March, 1967.

169. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$69,150 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce, Industrial and Trade Office, to 31st March, 1967.

170. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$43,635 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce, British Columbia House, San Francisco, to 31st March, 1967.

171. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$5,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce, Temporary Assistance, to 31st March, 1967.

172. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$55,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce, Advertising and Publicity, to 31st March, 1967.

173. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$131,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce, Trade Promotion, to 31st March, 1967.

174. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce, Provincial Exhibits, British Columbia Building, to 31st March, 1967.

175. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$275,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce, Grant to British Columbia Research Council, to 31st March, 1967.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

125 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Labour the following questions:—

With reference to Estimates of Revenue and Expenditure, 1966/67, for the Department of Labour:—

1. What, if any, is the total amount estimated to be received from (a) the Government of Canada and (b) the municipalities?

2. With respect to each relevant vote, what, if any, is the amount estimated to be received from (a) the Government of Canada and (b) the municipalities, and what specific function within the vote is the money to be used for?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* replied as follows:—

“ 1. (a) \$1,385,000 (estimated) and (b) *nil*.

“ 2. Vote 179, Apprenticeship and Industrial Training Branch; (a) \$1,385,000 (estimated) and (b) *nil*; training apprentices and pre-apprentices under the Federal-Provincial Apprenticeship Training Programme.”

168 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

1. Has the Highways Department definitely settled upon the route to be followed to connect Highway No. 6 to Highway No. 3, southward from Fauquier?

2. Have surveys for the proposed route been made by the Department?

3. If the answer to No. 2 is no, when will surveys be made and when is construction expected to commence?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“ 1, 2, and 3. Reconnaissance will be done this year.”

179 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

Regarding the proposed new highway between Nakusp and Fauquier:—

1. Have the surveys of the above highway route been completed?

2. If no, when will these surveys be completed?

3. Will construction of this highway commence in 1966 and, if so, when is construction expected to start?

4. Has the highway entrance to the Village of Nakusp been surveyed and approved?

5. Has the bridge crossing of Cariboo Creek (near Burton) been selected?

6. Will British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority pay part of the construction costs and, if yes, what percentage will they pay?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. Yes.
- “ 2. Answered by No. 1.
- “ 3. Proposed to commence in 1966.
- “ 4. Surveyed, not yet approved.
- “ 5. Yes.
- “ 6. Yes, percentage not yet computed.”

180 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

- 1. Does the Department plan on replacing the bridge over Carpenter Creek in the New Denver area and, if so, when?
- 2. Does the Department plan on replacing the bridge in the Rosebery area (over Wilson Creek) and, if so, when?
- 3. Does the Department plan on replacing the bridge over Enterprise Creek and, if so, when?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

- “ 1 and 2. Yes, but no definite date.
- “ 3. Yes, within two years.”

181 Mr. *Nimsick* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With respect to the Fort Steele Bridge and answers to Question No. 162 in Votes and Proceedings of March 14, 1966:—

- 1. How many pieces of treated piling were supplied by Canada Creosoting Company Limited?
- 2. What was the total cost of this order?
- 3. How many pieces of untreated piling were supplied by Arnott-Smith Export Limited?
- 4. What was the total cost of this order?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. 45.
- “ 2. \$3,742.20.
- “ 3. 256.
- “ 4. \$12,579.84.”

186 Mr. *Calder* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With reference to the maintenance of the Stewart-Cassiar Road:—

- 1. Is it the intention of the Department of Highways to establish a highway-maintenance camp in the vicinity of Dease Lake?
- 2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, when will the construction of the camp begin?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. Yes.
- “ 2. Work commenced last fall.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.