

Tuesday, February 16th, 1960

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. Dr. *E. A. Wright*.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

F. M. Ross,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled “Nancy Sloan Act,” and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 15th, 1960.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 28) intituled “Nancy Sloan Act,” a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after to-day.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

F. M. Ross,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled “An Act respecting the Cancellation of Statutory Authorizations for the Borrowing of Money by the Province,” and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 15th, 1960.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 35) intituled “An Act respecting the Cancellation of Statutory Authorizations for the Borrowing of Money by the Province,” a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after to-day.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

F. M. ROSS,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled "An Act to amend the Revenue Act," and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 15th, 1960.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 32) intituled "An Act to amend the Revenue Act," a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after to-day.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

F. M. ROSS,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled "An Act to amend the Provincial Home-owner Grant Act," and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 15th, 1960.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 33) intituled "An Act to amend the Provincial Home-owner Grant Act," a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after to-day.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

F. M. Ross,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled “An Act to amend the Workmen’s Compensation Act,” and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 15th, 1960.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 34) intituled “An Act to amend the Workmen’s Compensation Act,” a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after to-day.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

F. M. Ross,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled “An Act to repeal the Hospital Construction Act, 1950,” and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 15th, 1960.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 27) intituled “An Act to repeal the Hospital Construction Act, 1950,” a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after to-day.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

F. M. Ross,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled "An Act to amend the Improvement Districts Assistance Loan Act," and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,
February 15th, 1960.*

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 29) intituled "An Act to amend the Improvement Districts Assistance Loan Act," a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after to-day.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

F. M. Ross,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled "An Act to amend the Pacific Great Eastern Construction Loan Act, 1954," and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,
February 15th, 1960.*

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 30) intituled "An Act to amend the Pacific Great Eastern Construction Loan Act, 1954," a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after to-day.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

F. M. Ross,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled “An Act to amend the Toll Highways and Bridges Authority Act,” and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 15th, 1960.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 31) intituled “An Act to amend the Toll Highways and Bridges Authority Act,” a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after to-day.

The Hon. *W. D. Black* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

F. M. Ross,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled “An Act to amend the Constitution Act,” and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 5th, 1960.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 18) intituled “An Act to amend the Constitution Act,” a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after to-day.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the Rules were suspended to permit the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* to table the Pacific Great Eastern Railway Company Condensed Statement of Accounts as at December 31st, 1959.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *E. C. F. Martin*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* (on behalf of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* (Minister of Finance)) presented the Annual Report of the Assessment Appeal Board for the Year ended December 31st, 1959.

Mr. *Eddie* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following question:—

With reference to Order in Council No. 1663, July 11th, 1958, *re* the purchase of buildings in Garibaldi Park, did the Government pay to the Brandvolds the sum of \$60,000 by June 30th, 1959, as set forth in the agreement?

The Hon. *E. C. Westwood* replied as follows:—

"Yes."

Mr. *Eddie* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following questions:—

With reference to the application of Garibaldi Development Company for an easement for a chair-lift as outlined in Orders in Council No. 2931 and No. 2932, December 29th, 1958:—

1. Did Garibaldi Development Company file surveys in accordance with paragraph (a) of the relevant minutes?
2. Did the said company pay the \$25 and \$125 annual rentals in December, 1958, and December, 1959, in accordance with paragraph (b) of the minutes?
3. Has there been any agreement between the Garibaldi Development Company and the Government and, if so, is it still in force?

The Hon. *E. C. Westwood* replied as follows:—

"1, 2, and 3. This proposed development was outside park boundaries and was the responsibility of the Department of Lands and Forests."

Mr. *Cox* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:—

1. What was the total number of staff employed at New Haven as at December 31st, 1959?
2. What was the total number of inmates at New Haven as at December 31st, 1959?

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* replied as follows:—

- "1. 17.
- "2. 40."

Mr. *Gregory* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:—

1. Does the Superintendent of Motor-vehicles keep records of (a) convictions under the Criminal Code of Canada in respect of the ownership or operation of motor-vehicles, (b) convictions under Provincial Statutes in respect of the ownership or operation of motor-vehicles, and (c) convictions under municipal by-laws in respect of the ownership or operation of motor-vehicles?

2. If so, for the last twelve-consecutive-month period for which figures are conveniently available (a) how many convictions were there under the Criminal Code of Canada in respect of the ownership or operation of motor-vehicles, in how many cases were fines imposed and what is the aggregate amount of the fines, in how many cases was imprisonment imposed (other than in default of payment of a fine), in how many cases was there a suspension of driving privileges (either by the Court or the Superintendent of Motor-vehicles); (b) how many convictions were there under the "Motor-vehicle Act" in respect of the ownership or operation of motor-vehicles, in how many cases were fines imposed and what is the aggregate amount of the fines, in how many cases was imprisonment imposed (other than in default of payment of a fine), in how many cases was there a suspension of driving privileges (either by the Court or the Superintendent of Motor-vehicles); (c) how many convictions were there under municipal by-law in respect of the ownership or operation of motor-vehicles, in how many cases were fines imposed and what is the aggregate amount of the fines, in how many cases was imprisonment imposed (other than in default of payment of a fine)?

3. (a) What is the aggregate amount of fines imposed in respect of the ownership or operation of motor-vehicles under such circumstances that the fines, if paid, would be paid into a municipal treasury, and (b) what is the aggregate amount of fines imposed in respect of the ownership or operation of motor-vehicles under such circumstances that the fines, if paid, would be paid into Consolidated Revenue?

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* replied as follows:—

"1. (a) Yes (section 89, 'Motor-vehicle Act'), (b) yes (section 89, 'Motor-vehicle Act'), and (c) (City of Vancouver only) conviction records submitted by City of Vancouver only and only for convictions of moving offences under the by-laws.

"2. (a) Convictions under the Criminal Code of Canada during the period January 1st to December 31st, 1959, 4,482; no records of fines or imprisonment; suspension of driving privileges by the Courts for the year 1959, 1,613; suspension of driving privileges under the Financial Responsibility Law for the year 1959, 3,319. (b) Convictions under the 'Motor-vehicle Act' during the period January 1st to December 31st, 1959, 70,543; no records of fines or imprisonment; suspension of driving privileges by the Courts for the year 1959, 958; suspension of driving privileges under the Financial Responsibility Law for the year 1959, 8,300. (c) Convictions under municipal by-laws not recorded except those received from the City of Vancouver, numbering during 1959, 1,106; no records of fines or imprisonments.

"3. (a) No record and (b) no record.

"NOTE.—Suspensions imposed by the Superintendent of Motor-vehicles, due to unsatisfactory driving records, result from convictions for infractions of the Criminal Code of Canada and for the 'Motor-vehicle Act' and therefore cannot be segregated; suspensions made by the Superintendent of Motor-vehicles during 1959 for unsatisfactory driving records, under section 87 of the 'Motor-vehicle Act,' 2,430."

Mr. *Eddie* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands and Forests the following questions:—

1. In the year 1959, (a) how many miles of forest road were built in British Columbia and (b) how many miles of forest trail?

2. What is the total number of miles of forest roads and trails in the Province as of December 31st, 1959?

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* replied as follows:—

“The following represents construction of roads and trails by the British Columbia Forest Service only, as figures are not available for construction by private agencies:—

“1. (a) Forest-protection roads, 214.5 miles; forest-development roads, 68 miles; total, 282.5 miles; and (b) trails, 171 miles.

“2. Forest-protection roads, 1,544 miles; forest-development roads, 282.3 miles; trails, 1,932 miles; total, 3,758.3 miles.”

Mr. *Gregory* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following questions:—

1. How many camping-sites had been completed prior to December 31st, 1958, where were they located, and how many units did each contain?

2. How many picnic-sites had been completed prior to December 31st, 1958, where were they located, and how many units did each contain?

3. How many marine parks had been completed prior to December 31st, 1958, where were they located, and how many units did each contain?

4. How many camping-sites were completed in the year 1959, where were they located, and how many units did each contain?

5. How many picnic-sites were completed in the year 1959, where were they located, and how many units did each contain?

6. How many marine parks were completed in the year 1959, where were they located, and how many units did each contain?

The Hon. *E. C. Westwood* replied as follows:—

“1, 2, 4, and 5. Camp-sites:—

By Regions.	To December 31st, 1958.	To December 31st, 1959.	Built in 1959.
Vancouver Island	491	685	194
Okanagan	118	126	8
Mount Seymour
Cultus Lake	306	310	4
Peace Arch
Manning	229	279	50
Garibaldi	42	70	28
Kokanee	144	126	18
Wasa	50	193	143
Shuswap	229	245	16
Cariboo	89	81	8
Prince George	39	32	7
Prince Rupert	19	44	25
Wells Gray	13	13
Mount Robson	49	51	2
Totals.....	1,818	2,255	437

“ 1, 2, 4, and 5. Picnic-sites:—

By Regions.	To December 31st, 1958.	To December 31st, 1959.	Built in 1959.
Vancouver Island	283	328	45
Okanagan	126	128	2
Mount Seymour	39	38	1
Cultus Lake	160	212	52
Peace Arch	26	18	8
Manning	34	48	14
Garibaldi	51	100	49
Kokanee	98	136	48
Wasa	56	107	51
Shuswap	79	73	6
Cariboo	20	25	5
Prince George	24	25	1
Prince Rupert	36	124	88
Wells Gray	3	3	-----
Mount Robson	3	3	-----
Totals	1,038	1,368	330

“ 3 and 6. Marine parks: To December 31st, 1958, one (Sidney Spit, twelve units); constructed in 1959, two (Montague Harbour, six units, and Tent Island, four units).”

Mr. *Cox* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:—

1. What was the total number of staff employed at Haney Correctional Institute and Forestry Camps effective December 31st, 1959?
2. What was the total number of inmates in Haney Correctional Institute and Forestry Camps effective December 31st, 1959?
3. What was the total number of graduate social workers employed at Haney Correctional Institute as at December 31st, 1959?

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. 318.
- “ 2. 558.
- “ 3. 8.”

Mr. *Cox* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:—

1. What was the total number of staff of the Probation Office as at December 31st, 1959?
2. What was the total number of Probation Officers—Grade 1 employed in the Probation Office as at December 31st, 1959?
3. What was the total number of Probation Officers—Grade 2 employed in the Probation Office as at December 31st, 1959?
4. What was the total case load carried by the above Probation Officers?

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. 58.
- “ 2. 4.
- “ 3. 29.
- “ 4. 1,707.”

Mr. *Nimsick* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following questions:—

1. How much money was placed in the Game Conservation Fund during the fiscal years respectively from the time of its inception until it was closed out?
2. Were any expenditures made from this Fund during its existence?
3. If the answer to No. 2 is yes, what were the amounts, purposes, and dates of expenditures?
4. What did the Fund amount to March 31st, 1956, 1957, and 1958 respectively?

The Hon. *E. C. Westwood* replied as follows:—

“ 1. 1951/52, \$17,666.34; 1952/53, *nil*; 1953/54, \$106,968.87; 1954/55, \$72,162.80; 1955/56, \$70,984.74; 1956/57, *nil*; total, \$267,782.75.

“ 2. Yes.

		Expenditures approved by		
“ 3. 1954/55	\$936.50	O/C 373		Fish and Game Conservation Projects. Mica Creek and Libby Dam investigation.
1955/56	29,357.37	O/C 853		Frobisher development.
		O/C 1358		Extension Summerland hatchery property.
		O/C 1497		Horseshoe Lake rehabilita- tion.
		O/C 2071		Mission Creek fishway.
1956/57	40,523.89	O/C 66		Heffley Lake barrier.
		O/C 550		Experimental lake poison- ing.
		O/C 551		Deer research study.
		O/C 552		Aerated fish tank truck.
		O/C 553		Coquihalla investigation.
		O/C 815		Duck Lake waterfowl inves- tigation.
		O/C 1427		Nass River fish investiga- tion.
		O/C 1694		Duck Lake waterfowl inves- tigation.

“ 4. March 31st, 1956, \$237,488.88; March 31st, 1957, \$196,964.99; and March 31st, 1958, *nil*.”

Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:—

1. What was the total number of staff employed at Oakalla Prison Farm as at December 31st, 1959?
2. What was the total number of prisoners held at Oakalla Prison Farm as at December 31st, 1959?
3. What was the total number of guards employed at Oakalla Prison Farm as at December 31st, 1959?

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* replied as follows:—

“ 1. 403.

“ 2. 901.

“ 3. All employees classed as guards or matrons.”

Mr. *Eddie* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands and Forests the following questions:—

1. What was the total number of permanent staff employed by the Forest Service as at December 31st, 1959?

2. What was the total number of temporary staff employed by the Forest Service as at December 31st, 1959?

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* replied as follows:—

“ 1. 827.”

“ 2. 875.”

Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:—

1. Has the Superintendent of Brokers at any time investigated alleged stock transactions in stock of the Peace River Power Development Company?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what were the results of his investigation?

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* replied as follows:—

“ 1. No.

“ 2. Answered by No. 1.”

Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:—

1. What was the total number of staff employed at the Corrections Office as at December 31st, 1959?

2. What was the total number of staff employed in gaol services as at December 31st, 1959?

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* replied as follows:—

“ 1. 11.

“ 2. 808 (this total varies with gaol population).”

Mr. *Turner* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following question:—

What was the total number of employees in narcotic drug treatment as at December 31st, 1959?

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* replied as follows:—

“ The total number of employees in narcotic drug treatment as at December 31st, 1959, was twelve.”

Mr. *Eddie* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands and Forests the following question:—

What was the total number of permanent staff employed on forest protection in the calendar year 1959?

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* replied as follows:—

“ Full-time protection staff, 45; staff whose duties included a significant share of forest-protection activities (e.g., rangers and radiomen), 239; total, 284.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. to-morrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.50 p.m.

Wednesday, February 17th, 1960

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Envoy *E. Welch*.

Mr. Speaker made the following statement:—

Honourable Members,—During the debate on the motion “That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair” for the House to go into Committee of Supply, some of the honourable members have made reference in their speeches to matters which have been appointed for discussion by this House. A reference of this nature offends a rule of debate known as the rule against anticipation which, briefly stated, is a rule forbidding anticipation by debate (or otherwise) of a matter which is before the House such as a Bill which has been introduced or a motion of which notice has been given or a matter which, as a probability, will be brought before the House within a reasonable time.

The purpose of the above rule is to ensure that a matter be not anticipated if it is contained in a more effective form of proceeding than the proceeding by which it is sought to be anticipated; consequently, a Bill or other Order of the Day is more effective than a motion and, likewise, a Bill or other Order of the Day is more effective than a discussion on the motion “That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair” for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

While considerable latitude is permitted in the present debate, any detailed discussion in this debate of a Bill before the House clearly offends the above rule and in this regard I would refer the honourable members to the decision of Mr. Speaker Pooley contained in the Journals of the House, 1904, page 60, and also to the rule as contained in May's Parliamentary Practice, 16th edition, page 403.

L. H. SHANTZ, *Speaker*.

On the motion of Mr. *Nimsick*, Bill (No. 38) intituled “An Act to amend the Annual Holidays Act, 1956,” was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be read a second time at the next sitting after to-day.

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

F. M. ROSS,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled “An Act to amend the Department of Mines Act,” and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 5th, 1960.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 20) intituled "An Act to amend the Department of Mines Act," a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after to-day.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Mrs. *Haggen* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

1. Are there any "mentally retarded" patients in the Provincial Mental Hospital at Essondale?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how many are there at present and (b) how long have they been patients in the Mental Hospital?

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* replied as follows:—

"1. Yes.

"2. (a) On September 30th, 1959, 313, and (b) under 1 year, 9; 1 year and under 2 years, 13; 2 years and under 3 years, 5; 3 years and under 4 years, 12; 4 years and under 5 years, 6; 5 years and under 10 years, 87; 10 years and under 15 years, 78; 15 years and over, 102; total, 313."

Mrs. *Haggen* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following question:—

With respect to The Woodlands School, what was the ratio of teachers to pupils as at January 1st, 1959?

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* replied as follows:—

"One to sixteen."

Mrs. *Haggen* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following question:—

How many male and how many female patients were admitted to The Woodlands School during the calendar year 1959?

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* replied as follows:—

"Male, 101; female, 91."

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. to-morrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

Thursday, February 18th, 1960

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *Frank Martens*.

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

F. M. ROSS,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled "An Act to amend the Water Act," and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 16th, 1960.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 36) intituled "An Act to amend the Water Act," a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after to-day.

On the motion of the Hon. *R. G. Williston*, Bill (No. 39) intituled "An Act to amend the Irrigation District Rehabilitation Act" was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be read a second time at the next sitting after to-day.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *L. R. Peterson*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Mr. *Gargrave* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following question:—

What was the total number of (a) psychiatric nurses, (b) registered nurses, and (c) psychiatric aides by category employed in the Mental Health Services as at December, 1959?

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* replied as follows:—

"(a) Psychiatric nurses, 846; (b) registered nurses, 80; and (c) psychiatric aides, 1,022."

Mr. *Gargrave* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

1. What was the total number of permanent staff employed by the Mental Health Services as at December 31st, 1959?

2. What was the total number of temporary staff employed by Mental Health Services as at December 31st, 1959?

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* replied as follows:—

“ 1. The total number of permanent (continuously employed) staff employed by the Mental Health Services as at December 31st, 1959, was 3,101, including 250 student psychiatric nurses.

“ 2. The total number of temporary (short-term casual) staff employed by the Mental Health Services as at December 31st, 1959, was 46.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. to-morrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.34 p.m.

Friday, February 19th, 1960

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *D. Fuller*.

On the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, seconded by Mr. *Strachan*, it was *Ordered*,—

That the Rules be suspended to permit the moving, without notice, of the following resolution:—

That the Standing Orders be suspended in order to move, without notice, that an address be presented to Her Majesty the Queen on the occasion of the birth of a Royal Prince, as follows:—

We, Your Majesty's loyal and dutiful subjects, the members of the Legislative Assembly of the Province of British Columbia, in Parliament assembled, desire humbly to tender to Your Majesty and His Royal Highness the Prince Philip our heartfelt congratulations on the birth of a Royal Prince.

On this felicitous occasion we would most humbly renew our pledge of loyalty and devotion to Your Majesty.

We, therefore, venture to hope that Your Majesty will be graciously pleased to accept this humble but loyal and sincere assurance of our joy and of our continued deep interest in all that concerns the domestic happiness of Your Majesty and Your Family.

On the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, seconded by Mr. *Strachan*, it was *Resolved*, *nem. con.*—

That the following address be presented to Her Majesty the Queen on the occasion of the birth of a Royal Prince:—

We, Your Majesty's loyal and dutiful subjects, the members of the Legislative Assembly of the Province of British Columbia, in Parliament assembled, desire

humbly to tender to Your Majesty and His Royal Highness the Prince Philip our heartfelt congratulations on the birth of a Royal Prince.

On this felicitous occasion we would most humbly renew our pledge of loyalty and devotion to Your Majesty.

We, therefore, venture to hope that Your Majesty will be graciously pleased to accept this humble but loyal and sincere assurance of our joy and of our continued deep interest in all that concerns the domestic happiness of Your Majesty and Your Family.

At the request of the House, Mr. Speaker directed that it be noted that this resolution was carried not only unanimously, but with enthusiasm.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. D. Black*, Bill (No. 40) intituled "An Act respecting a Flag for the Province of British Columbia" was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be read a second time at the next sitting after to-day.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. D. Black*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. on Monday next.

And then the House adjourned at 5.30 p.m.

Monday, February 22nd, 1960

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *C. Barner*.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* (on behalf of the Hon. *N. P. Steacy*), the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Mr. *Matthew* presented the Eighth Report of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:—

REPORT NO. 8.

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
February 19th, 1960.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the preamble of Bill (No. 50) intituled "An Act to dissolve The Crow's Nest Southern Railway Company" has been proved and the Bill ordered to be reported with amendments.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman.*

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

Mr. *Matthew* presented the Ninth Report of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:—

REPORT NO. 9.

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
February 19th, 1960.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the preamble of Bill (No. 51) intituled "An Act to dissolve Maple Ridge Agricultural Association, incorporated August 20th, 1914, and to incorporate Maple Ridge Agricultural Association" has been proved and the Bill ordered to be reported with amendments.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman.*

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* (on behalf of the Hon. *W. D. Black* (Provincial Secretary and Minister of Social Welfare)) presented the Annual Report of the Department of Social Welfare for the Year ended March 31st, 1959, and the Forty-first Annual Report of the Civil Service Commission from January 1st to December 31st, 1959.

By leave of the House, the Hon. *R. W. Bonner* (Attorney-General and Minister of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce) tabled the submission of the Province of British Columbia to the Royal Commission on Transportation, Part I, and statement of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner* (Attorney-General and Minister of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce) to the Royal Commission on Transportation.

Mr. *Gregory* asked the Hon. the Minister of Labour the following questions:—

In each of the years 1952 to 1959, inclusive:—

1. How many man-days were lost as a result of work stoppages?
2. What percentage of man-days lost were lost as a result of (a) work stoppage, (b) industrial accident, (c) lay off, and (d) sickness other than industrial accident?

The Hon. *L. Wicks* replied as follows:—

"1. The Department does not keep records of man-days lost due to work stoppage; see Annual Report for time loss due to industrial disputes.

"2. Answered by No. 1."

Mr. *Gregory* asked the Hon. the Minister of Labour the following questions:—

1. Who are the members of the Provincial Advisory Committee on Indian Affairs and when was each appointed?
2. Is any member resident on Vancouver Island and, if so, which one or ones?
3. What is the actual or estimated population of native Indians in British Columbia and what percentage are resident on Vancouver Island?

The Hon. *L. Wicks* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Mr. C. E. Gerhart, chairman, appointed August 14th, 1959; and Chief William Scow, Captain Charles Cates, and Mr. Edward Bolton, members, appointed December 20th, 1950; Mrs. J. O. Decker, member, appointed November 22nd, 1957; Chief Edison White, member, appointed January 29th, 1958; and Mrs. Kitty Carpenter and Chief Edwin Underwood, members, appointed August 14th, 1959.

“ 2. Yes; Chief Edison White, Nanaimo, and Chief Edwin Underwood, East Saanich.

“ 3. The estimated population of native Indians in British Columbia as at December 31st, 1959, was 37,000; 21 per cent of these Indians are resident on Vancouver Island.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. to-morrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.38 p.m.

Tuesday, February 23rd, 1960

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. Dr. *H. Johnston*.

By leave of the House, the Hon. *R. W. Bonner* read to the House a cablegram received from Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, which was *Ordered* to be recorded, as follows:—

“ *Etat Lieutenant-Governor,*
“ *Victoria, B.C.*

“ Please convey the sincere thanks of my husband and myself to the members of the Legislative Assembly of British Columbia for their kind congratulations and loyal assurances on the birth of our son.

“ ELIZABETH R.”

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion “ That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair ” for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *R. G. Williston*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With reference to the Provincial Tsawwassen—Swartz Bay ferry service:—

1. Was the position advertised and were any applications received for the position of assistant general manager and, if so, how many?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is in the affirmative, what were the names and relevant qualifications of each applicant?
3. Was the position advertised and were any applications received for the position of secretary to the assistant general manager and, if so, how many?
4. If the answer to No. 3 is in the affirmative, (a) what were the names and relevant qualifications of each applicant and (b) was any applicant appointed and, if so, which one?
5. Are any or all prospective employees being asked to sign any document containing an agreement not to strike?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“ 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5. These questions should be directed to the Chairman of the British Columbia Toll Highways and Bridges Authority.”

Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With respect to Project 779, contract awarded to Ben Ginter Construction Company, Kamloops, reference page 89, Highways Department Report, 1956/57:—

1. Has the project been completed?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what was the total amount paid the Ginter Construction Company for the project?
3. If the answer to No. 1 is no, (a) what is the total amount paid to the Ginter Construction Company to date and (b) how many miles have been constructed to date?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. No.
- “ 2. Answered by No. 1.
- “ 3. (a) \$997,878.55 and (b) 11.02 miles.”

Mr. *Eddie* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following question:—

What is the current *per diem per capita* patient-cost figure for the Provincial Infirmaries?

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* replied as follows:—

“ The daily maintenance charge for the current fiscal year for an inmate of the Provincial Infirmaries is \$7.59.”

Mr. *Dowding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

1. Is a new industrial therapy building being constructed at the Provincial Mental Hospital?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is no, is such a building being planned?

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. No.
- “ 2. Yes.”

Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

1. Were any employees of the Department of Highways paid overtime work during the calendar year 1959?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how many were so paid and at what rate or rates?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) Day-rate employees paid overtime at time and one-half, 3,757, and (b) monthly paid employees paid overtime at straight time, 509.”

Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following question:—

What was the total number of persons employed by the Department of Highways on the following dates: (a) July 31st, 1959; (b) August 31st, 1959; (c) September 30th, 1959; (d) October 31st, 1959; (e) November 30th, 1959; and (f) December 31st, 1959?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“(a) July 31st, 1959, 4,289; (b) August 31st, 1959, 4,103; (c) September 30th, 1959, 3,874; (d) October 31st, 1959, 3,755; (e) November 30th, 1959, 3,800; and (f) December 31st, 1959, 3,685.”

Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

1. Have tenders been called for a new bridge to span the Courtenay River?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) have any tenders been accepted, (b) has construction commenced, and (c) if not, what is the estimated date of commencement of construction?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) Yes, (b) no, and (c) February 15th, 1960.”

Mr. *Gregory* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

In each year subsequent to 1952 for which figures are conveniently available:—

1. How many miles of new road were constructed on Vancouver Island and what percentage of the total mileage of new road constructed in British Columbia was constructed on Vancouver Island?

2. How many miles of road were reconstructed on Vancouver Island and what percentage of the total mileage of road reconstructed in British Columbia was effected on Vancouver Island?

3. How much money was spent by the Department of Highways on construction of new roads on Vancouver Island and what percentage of moneys spent on new roads in British Columbia was spent on Vancouver Island?

4. How much money was spent by the Department of Highways on road reconstruction on Vancouver Island and what percentage of moneys spent on road reconstruction in British Columbia was spent on Vancouver Island?

5. How much money was spent by the Department of Highways on maintenance of roads on Vancouver Island and what percentage of moneys spent on maintenance of roads in British Columbia was spent on Vancouver Island?

The Hon. P. A. Gagliardi replied as follows:—

“ 1 and 2. Answered by Table No. I, as follows:—

“ Table I.—Construction and Reconstruction on Vancouver Island.

Fiscal Year.	By Contract (High Standard Design).		By Day-labour (Fair Standard to Major Improvement).	
	Miles.	Per Cent of British Columbia Totals.	Miles.	Per Cent of British Columbia Totals.
1952/53.....	7.4	8.4	33.0	9.2
1953/54.....	8.7	12.1	43.5	10.4
1954/55.....	7.2	8.6	50.2	11.1
1955/56.....	11.6	12.9	22.7	4.8
1956/57.....	23.0	12.5	58.1	7.9
1957/58.....	3.7	2.0	44.4	10.4
1958/59.....	5.6	3.9	10.4	5.7
1959/60 to date.....	9.4	9.6	33.8	19.5
Totals.....	76.6	8.1	296.1	9.2

“ Breakdown of construction and reconstruction not available.

“ 3, 4, and 5. Answered by Table No. II, as follows:—

“ Table II.—Expenditure, Vancouver Island (Roads, Bridges, Ferries, and Paving).

Fiscal Year.	Construction and Reconstruction.				Maintenance and Minor Betterments (including Snow-removal).	
	By Contract.		By Day-labour.		Expendi- ture.	Per Cent of British Columbia Total.
	Expendi- ture.	Per Cent of British Columbia Total.	Expendi- ture.	Per Cent of British Columbia Total.		
1952/53.....	\$1,923,763	11.9	\$540,932	11.2	\$1,458,572	12.3
1953/54.....	2,295,486	14.9	479,608	10.3	1,430,486	11.5
1954/55.....	2,554,360	18.5	376,410	7.7	1,425,843	10.9
1955/56.....	2,559,917	14.6	572,349	7.7	1,409,253	9.8
1956/57.....	3,381,126	8.0	1,119,293	7.3	1,825,395	11.4
1957/58.....	2,136,621	5.1	865,818	9.6	1,510,236	10.6
1958/59.....	1,552,673	4.2	340,906	9.3	1,543,480	10.9
1959/60 to date.....	630,081	2.0	185,398	4.5	959,685	11.1

“ Expenditures, excluding Toll Authority, right-of-way, surveys, and administration.”

Mr. Strachan asked the Hon. the Provincial Secretary the following questions:—

1. What was the total number of persons on the Provincial payroll at December 31st, 1959?

2. How many people on the Provincial payroll as at December 31st, 1959, are classified as (a) permanent Civil Servants and (b) non-permanent Civil Servants?

The Hon. W. D. Black replied as follows:—

“ 1. 15,606.

“ 2. (a) 9,819 permanent and casual Civil Servants and (b) 5,787 non-Civil Service employees.”

Mr. *Gregory* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following question:—

Have the plans and (or) specifications for either of the ferries being constructed for service between Swartz Bay and Tsawwassen been changed since contracts were let for their construction and, if so, in what respect have they been changed and what is the estimated cost or saving which will result from the change or changes?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“There have been no major changes to plans or specifications since contracts were let; total cost of minor technical modifications to date does not surpass 3 per cent of contract price.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. to-morrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.33 p.m.

Wednesday, February 24th, 1960

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *R. MacDonald*.

The Hon. *W. D. Black* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

F. M. ROSS,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled “An Act respecting Public Service Group Insurance,” and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 22nd, 1960.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 42) intituled “An Act respecting Public Service Group Insurance,” a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after to-day.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion “That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair” for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Price* (on behalf of Mr. *Matthew*), the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. D. Black*, seconded by the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, it was *Ordered*,—

That this House authorize the Select Standing Committee on Municipal Matters to hear representations from the Union of British Columbia Municipalities and any other body or individual desiring to make representations to the said Committee.

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* (Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance) presented the Annual Report of the Health Branch, 1959.

Mr. *Eddie* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands and Forests the following questions:—

With reference to the application of Garabaldi Development Company for an easement for a chair-lift as outlined in Orders in Council No. 2931 and No. 2932, December 29th, 1958:—

1. Did Garabaldi Development Company file surveys in accordance with paragraph (a) of the relevant minutes?

2. Did the said company pay the \$25 and \$125 annual rentals in December, 1958, and December, 1959, in accordance with paragraph (b) of the minutes?

3. Has there been an agreement between the Garabaldi Development Company and the Government and, if so, is it still in force?

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* replied as follows:—

“ 1. No.

“ 2. Rentals paid for year ended December 28th, 1959, only.

“ 3. No agreement has been entered into other than as set forth in Orders in Council No. 2931 and No. 2932, approved December 29th, 1958, and with respect to approvals under the ‘ Land Act ’ of certain purchase and lease applications incidental to the development proposed.”

Mrs. *Haggen* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

With respect to the old Boys' Industrial School at Coquitlam:—

1. Has it now been remodelled?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, is it now occupied by mental patients and, if so, how many?

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* replied as follows:—

“ 1. No.

“ 2. Answered by No. 1.”

Mr. *Gregory* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands and Forests the following questions:—

1. How many forest management licences or tree-farm licences have now been granted; on what date was each granted, to whom, what was the acreage involved in each, and what was the original and what is the latest timber cruise in each licence area?

2. How many licences have been approved for advertising but remain unawarded?

3. In which instances did the companies in their utilization plans commit themselves to capital plant investment and in what sum?

4. In which instances, if any, has ownership of the licence been transferred as a result of the sale by the original applicant?

The Hon. R. G. Williston replied as follows:—

“ 1. Thirty-six.

T.F.L. No.	Date granted.	Name of Licensee.	Productive Area (Acres).	Volume of Mature Timber (M Cubic Feet).		Allowable Annual Cut (M Cubic Feet).	
				Original.	Current.	Original.	Current.
1	4-5-48	Columbia Cellulose Company	795,208	764,600	2,875,154 ¹	14,500	22,000
2	24-1-49	Elk Falls Company	280,626	Nil	Nil	15,000	15,000 ²
3	1-2-50	Passmore Lumber Company	100,085	58,240	52,879	1,800	2,000
4	15-5-50	I. W. McDonagh	3,881	8,299	6,399	208	208
5	15-5-50	Western Plywoods, Cariboo	81,410	115,787	106,597	1,500	2,500
6	26-10-50	Rayonier Canada	298,236	1,226,500	1,232,046	18,000	18,000
7	15-12-50	Salmon River Logging Company	118,056	456,598	439,459	10,800	10,800
8	26-1-51	Boundary Sawmills	89,530	40,792	59,620	1,260	1,260
9	16-8-51	S. M. Simpson Limited	170,633	108,588	90,702	3,064	1,680
10	7-11-51	Timberland Development	49,287	66,987	155,987 ³	1,083	1,900
11	14-1-52	Olinger Lumber Company	64,787	11,567	17,400	630	800
12	25-2-52	Bendickson Logging	15,487	29,015	29,015	1,330	1,330
13	17-6-52	Galloway Lumber Company	26,921	16,566	—	357	—
13	17-11-59	Galloway Lumber Company	38,856	72,000	45,704 ³	—	1,100
14	23-12-53	Cranbrook Sawmills	71,715	67,460	61,633	2,400	1,500
15	22-4-54	Oliver Sawmills	90,700	21,276	38,874	538	800
16	22-4-54	Pondosa Pine Lumber	122,169	18,945	46,505 ⁴	1,000	1,000
17	29-7-54	Evans Products Company	46,479	—	145,535	1,500	1,500
18	2-11-54	Clearwater Timber Products	161,047	136,086	123,453	2,500	2,500
19	23-12-54	Tahsis Company Limited	191,721	838,429	941,722	10,000	12,400
20	24-1-55	MacMillan & Bloedel	377,496	1,068,949	1,801,664 ⁵	13,960	20,548
21	19-3-55	MacMillan & Bloedel	552,028	1,959,197	3,359,374 ⁵	25,500	37,220
22	18-5-55	British Columbia Forest Products	276,649	1,351,357	1,351,357	16,100	16,100
23	20-7-55	Celgar Limited	889,360	1,622,853	1,622,853	22,000	22,000
24	2-5-58	Rayonier Canada	133,937	594,604	594,604	7,500	7,500
25	21-5-58	Rayonier Canada	298,821	893,591	893,591	14,400	14,400
26	22-7-58	Corporation of District of Mission	16,113	17,063	17,063	425	425
27	20-10-58	Moore-Whittington Lumber Company	30,003	192,849	192,849	2,400	2,400
28	1-5-59	Shelley Development	81,268	100,057	100,057	2,800	2,800
29	4-5-59	Eagle Lake Sawmills	96,817	212,682	212,682	2,060	2,000
30	3-6-59	Sinclair Spruce Lumber Company	71,083	112,199	112,199	1,073	1,073
31	29-6-59	Upper Fraser Spruce Mills	42,534	102,338	102,338	1,038	1,038
32	29-6-59	Vernon Box & Pine Lumber	31,324	25,419	25,419	550	550
33	20-7-59	Shuswap Timbers	14,845	28,189	28,189	385	385
34	27-8-59	Church Sawmill	42,071	98,630	98,630	822	822
35	8-9-59	British Columbia Interior Sawmills	97,236	46,584	46,584	1,170	1,170
36	23-12-59	F & R Logging Company	13,390	52,452	52,452	870	870

“¹ When this licence was awarded only the barest reconnaissance information was available. The licensee has since conducted a complete forest survey for the entire licence area, which is the basis for the volumes shown.

“² Except for minor quantities removed for forest improvement, there will be no cutting by the licensee on Crown lands in this licence until 1974. No Crown mature timber is included.

“³ Tree-farm Licences 10 and 13 were both enlarged subsequent to initial award, following receipt and approval of supplementary applications for extension.

“⁴ Increase in volume of merchantable timber found in new cruise not significant as growth potential of older immature found to have been overestimated. Result, no change in allowable cut.

“⁵ Significant increases in the case of Tree-farm Licences 20 and 21 are the result of a complete recruise of both licences by the licensee, following award. This increase is largely due to two factors: (1) The inclusion of extensive areas of low-volume timber formerly classed as scrub (non-commercial), due to current improved standards of utilization, and (2) the conservative volumes of some of the older timber cruises which were used in the preliminary volume estimate.

“ 2. Twenty-one.

“ 3.

Tree-farm
Licence No.

Licensee.

Commitment.¹

1. Columbia Cellulose Limited Plant to be constructed on Watson Island to produce 80,000 tons of unbleached pulp, paper, or cellulose per annum.

Tree-farm Licence No.	Licensee.	Commitment. ¹
2.	Elk Falls Company.....	Plant to be constructed at Duncan Bay to produce 100,000 tons of unbleached pulp or paper per annum.
3.	Western Plywoods, Cariboo, Limited.....	Plant to utilize 1,500,000 cubic feet of wood per annum for the manufacture of lumber and veneer within a radius of 5 miles of the town of Quesnel.
22.	British Columbia Forest Products.....	Plant to be constructed on Vancouver Island to produce 80,000 tons of pulp and (or) paper per annum.
23.	Celgar Limited.....	Plant to be constructed near Castlegar to produce at least 300 tons of pulp daily.

¹ Plant value not mentioned in commitments.

"4. T.F.L. No. 2, assigned by Canadian Western Timber Company Limited to Elk Falls Company on October 30th, 1950, and T.F.L. No. 4, assigned by original licensee, M. C. Carroll, to D. Morrison on November 13th, 1953; assigned by D. Morrison to present licensee, I. W. McDonagh, on December 10th, 1956; no assignment but changes in name as advised by the Registrar of Companies; (a) T.F.L. No. 6, name of licensee changed on May 31st, 1951, from British Columbia Pulp & Paper Company Limited to Alaska Pine & Cellulose Limited, and (b) T.F.L. No. 6, No. 24, and No. 25, name of licensee changed on October 20th, 1959, from Alaska Pine & Cellulose Limited to Rayonier Canada Limited."

Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands and Forests the following questions:—

With reference to the Crippen Wright report referred to on page 53, Journals of the House, 1958:—

1. Has the said report been completed?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, has it been forwarded to the Federal Government?
3. When will it be made available to the members of this House?

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* replied as follows:—

"1. Initial report completed; supplementary report now in process.

"2. Report made available on February 4th, 1959, on confidential basis to the Honourable Alvin Hamilton and to Canada-British Columbia Joint Technical Committee on Columbia River Matters.

"3. When considered in the optimum public interest having in mind current international treaty negotiations with the United States."

Mr. *Eddie* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following question:—

What was the total staff of the Public Health Branch as at December 31st, 1959?

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* replied as follows:—

"The number of regular full-time staff of the Public Health Branch as at December 31st, 1959, was 970."

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8 o'clock p.m. to-day.

And then the House adjourned at 5.45 p.m.

Wednesday, February 24th, 1960

EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. N. Chant* (on behalf of the Hon. *L. Wicks*), the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. to-morrow.

And then the House adjourned at 10.19 p.m.

Thursday, February 25th, 1960

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *T. W. Chapman*.

On the motion of Mr. *Gregory*, Bill (No. 60) intituled "An Act to amend the Testators' Family Maintenance Act" was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be read a second time at the next sitting after to-day.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. N. Chant*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The Hon. *W. N. Chant* (Minister of Public Works) presented the Annual Report of the Department of Public Works for the Fiscal Year ended March 31st, 1959.

By leave of the House, the following notice of question, standing in the name of Mr. *Gregory*, was withdrawn:—

How much did the Government pay toward the operating cost of elementary and high schools on a per pupil basis in 1952 and each subsequent year for which figures are available?

Mr. *Gregory* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:—

1. Does the Censor of Moving Pictures preview all moving pictures before they are shown in public and, if not, how does he decide which pictures should be and which should not be previewed?

2. How many moving pictures did the censor preview in 1959 and of the pictures previewed, how many were censored (a) in whole and (b) in part?

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* replied as follows:—

“ 1. The censor views all feature pictures before they are shown to the public. A feature picture is designed primarily for entertainment, even though it may be documentary in varying degrees. Pictures which are strictly documentary and designed primarily to educate or instruct are not viewed by the censor. These pictures are the property of the Public School Visual Educational Department, the University of British Columbia Audio-Visual Services Department, the Vancouver Public Library, and various commercial and technical associations. There are other documentary pictures submitted to the censor by individuals, ethnic groups, religious organizations, and cultural societies which he may or may not decide to view. In these cases he draws upon his own experience and the practice of his department over the years to help him decide. If he has any doubts whatever about the content of any of these films he views them. There has never been any difficulty with documentary films. Decisions in respect to feature films have been questioned and appealed from time to time.

“ 2. In 1959 there were 1,675 pictures viewed by the censor; 1,604 were approved, eight were rejected, nine were approved with eliminations or modifications, and fifty-four were classified as ‘Adult Entertainment Only.’ ”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8 o'clock p.m. to-day.

And then the House adjourned at 5.50 p.m.

Thursday, February 25th, 1960

EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion “ That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair ” for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Harding*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. to-morrow.

And then the House adjourned at 10.05 p.m.

Mr. *Price* (on behalf of Mr. *Matthew*) presented the Tenth Report of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:—

REPORT NO. 10.

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
February 26th, 1960.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

Whereas under Order 97 of the Standing Orders, your Committee was required to report to the House on or before February 25th, 1960, on the Private Bills referred to it:

And whereas your Committee found that it was impossible to report within the time limited as to the following Private Bills:—

Bill (No. 52) intituled "An Act to amend the North-west Telephone Company Act, 1929":

Bill (No. 53) intituled "An Act to amend the Shaughnessy Heights Building Restriction Act, 1922":

Bill (No. 54) intituled "An Act to amend the Shaughnessy Heights Building Restriction Act, 1922":

Bill (No. 55) intituled "An Act to amend the Vancouver Charter":

Bill (No. 56) intituled "An Act relating to The Corporation of the City of Victoria":

Your Committee recommends that Order 97 of the Standing Orders be suspended and that the time for reporting to the House on the said Private Bills be extended to March 10th, 1960.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman*.

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands and Forests the following questions:—

With reference to the agreement between the Kaiser Aluminum and Chemical Corporation and the Provincial Government referred to in the Journals of the House, page 104, 1959:—

1. Did the agreement expire and, if so, upon what date?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, does the Government still retain the company's deposit under this agreement?
3. If the answer to No. 2 is in the affirmative, why has the deposit not been returned?

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* replied as follows:—

"1. Yes; July 1st, 1957.

"2. Yes.

"3. Deposit is in the form of Pacific Great Eastern Railway parity development bonds with a total value of \$133,000, which, at the company's request, have been left in the keeping of the Department of Finance."

Mr. Gregory asked the Hon. the Provincial Secretary the following questions:—

1. Did the Minister send a letter to all or substantially all municipal employers on or about October 19th, 1959, drawing attention to the plight of retired municipal employers who were retired prior to April 1st, 1957?

2. If so, is the Minister willing to table a copy of the letter?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“In answering these questions, I have assumed that there was an error in the first question and that the question should have read as follows:—

“‘1. Did the Minister send a letter to all or substantially all municipal employers on or about October 19th, 1959, drawing attention to the plight of retired municipal employees who were retired prior to April 1st, 1957?’

“If my assumption is correct, the answers are as follows:—

“1. Yes.

“2. Yes; copy attached.

“‘Municipal Superannuation Act,’ section 8 (2):—

“‘8. (2) Notwithstanding the provisions of this Act, any employer to whom this Act applies may, if the approval of the Provincial Secretary has been obtained, enter into an agreement with the Commissioner whereby the superannuation allowance for any employee or group of employees, or former employees, may be increased in such amount and with such modifications as are set forth in the agreement. The agreement may, however, make increase in superannuation allowances conditional upon increased contributions being made by the employees in the manner set out in the agreement. The contributions required to be paid in accordance with the terms of the agreement shall be paid in addition to any contributions or deductions required to be made under this Act.’

“Office of the Provincial Secretary,

“Victoria, October 19th, 1959.

“(Name and address of employer.)

“*Dear Sir,*—At the recent convention of the Union of British Columbia Municipalities, held at Kelowna, I took the opportunity to make reference to the plight of retired municipal employees or their widows, many of whom have indicated that they are living under very difficult financial conditions.

“This letter is to advise you that means are available under the ‘Municipal Superannuation Act’ for councils to take care of cases which are obviously deserving of some help. I have enclosed a copy of section 8 (2) for your reference. Several employers have already used these provisions and I would certainly commend them to you for use where they are applicable, if you consider it necessary and desirable.

“The financing of special increases by special agreement is arranged by having the employer make reimbursement, each month, to the Commissioner of Municipal Superannuation for the amount of the increases actually paid during the month.

“Complete information regarding the allowances being paid to your former employees and their widows is available from the offices of the Superannuation Branch. Please feel free to request as much information as you may require for proper consideration of this matter.

“Yours very truly,

“*Provincial Secretary.*”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. on Monday next.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

Monday, February 29th, 1960

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. Father *McLellan*.

Mr. *Turner* rose on a point of privilege, calling attention to the fact that the record of pairs appearing in the Journals of Friday, February 26th, 1960, gives no indication of the way those named as pairs had voted and asked that Mr. Speaker give some directive as to the method of arranging pairs and the recording of their votes.

Mr. Speaker reserved any comment but advised that he would give the matter consideration.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

The Committee reported progress.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. to-day.

And then the House adjourned at 5.52 p.m.

Monday, February 29th, 1960

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE.)

2. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$42,241 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Premier's Office to 31st March, 1961.

3. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$21,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Premier's Office, Office of Economic Adviser and Co-ordinator of Provincial Finances, to 31st March, 1961.

114. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$8,030 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1961.

115. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$121,716 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, General Administration, to 31st March, 1961.

116. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$283,970 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Controlling and Audit Branch, to 31st March, 1961.

117. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$221,599 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Mechanical Tabulation Branch (Punch-card Equipment), to 31st March, 1961.

118. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$20,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Mechanical Tabulation Branch, Data-processing Equipment, to 31st March, 1961.

119. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$672,924 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Consumer Taxation Branch, to 31st March, 1961.

120. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$246,630 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Real Property Taxation Branch, to 31st March, 1961.

121. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$72,876 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Income Taxation Branch, to 31st March, 1961.

122. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$158,906 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, "Assessment Equalization Act," to 31st March, 1961.

123. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$257,342 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Purchasing Commission, to 31st March, 1961.

124. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Langford Warehouse, to 31st March, 1961.

125. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,631,846 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Government Agents, etc., to 31st March, 1961.

126. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$450,775 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Housing Commissioner, to 31st March, 1961.

127. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$15,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Courts of Revision, to 31st March, 1961.

128. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$19,650 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Assessment Appeal Board, to 31st March, 1961.

129. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$27,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Printing Public Accounts, Estimates, Revenue Receipts, Bonds, etc., to 31st March, 1961.

130. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$127,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Temporary Assistance, to 31st March, 1961.

131. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$47,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Incidentals and Contingencies, to 31st March, 1961.

132 (Statutory). *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$500,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, "Civil Service Superannuation Act," Interest on Civil Service Superannuation and Retirement Funds, to 31st March, 1961.

133 (Statutory). *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$6,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, "Members of Legislative Assembly Superannuation Act," Interest, to 31st March, 1961.

134 (Statutory). *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$95,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, "Municipal Superannuation Act," Government Contribution and Interest, to 31st March, 1961.

135 (Statutory). *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, "Dyking Assessments Adjustment Act, 1905," to 31st March, 1961.

136. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$11,150,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Grants in Aid of Local Government, to 31st March, 1961.

137 (Statutory). *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$35,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, "Supreme Court Act," Payment of Interest on Suitors' Fund Deposits, to 31st March, 1961.

138. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$100,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Salary Contingencies (all Departments), to 31st March, 1961.

139. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$75,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Expense Contingencies (all Departments), to 31st March, 1961.

140. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$100,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Motor-vehicles and Accessories (all Departments), to 31st March, 1961.

141. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$13,440,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Home-owner's Subsidy, to 31st March, 1961.

142 (Statutory). *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,400,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, "Toll Highways and Bridges Authority Act," to 31st March, 1961.

143. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$200,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Power Subsidy, to 31st March, 1961.

144 (Statutory). *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$5,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, "Byron Ingemar Johnson Act," to 31st March, 1961.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. to-morrow.

And then the House adjourned at 11.55 p.m.