# Thursday, March 21, 1968

### HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

## Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

## (IN THE COMMITTEE)

179. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$30,610 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1969.

180. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$115,738 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, General Administration, to 31st March, 1969.

181. Resolved, That a sum not exceeding \$3,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Grant to British Columbia Natural Resources Conference, to 31st March, 1969.

182. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$83,660 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Accounting Division, to 31st March, 1969.

183. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$252,942 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Lands Branch, Land Administration, to 31st March, 1969.

184. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$200,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Lands Branch, Pre-servicing Crown Lands for Sale or Lease, to 31st March, 1969.

185. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$407,688 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Lands Branch, Land Inspection Division, to 31st March, 1969.

186. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$38,412 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Surveys and Mapping Branch, Administration, to 31st March, 1969.

187. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Surveys and Mapping Branch, Special Commitments, to 31st March, 1969.

188. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$678,089 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Surveys and Mapping Branch, Legal Surveys Division, to 31st March, 1969.

189. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$198,814 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Surveys and Mapping Branch, Geographic Division, to 31st March, 1969.

190. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$540,476 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Surveys and Mapping Branch, Topographic Division, to 31st March, 1969.

191. Resolved, That a sum not exceeding \$348,365 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Surveys and Mapping Branch, Air Division, to 31st March, 1969.

The House continued to sit after midnight.

#### FRIDAY, MARCH 22.

192. Resolved, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, University Endowment Lands Administration Act, to 31st March, 1969.

The Committee reported the Resolutions. Report to be considered at the next sitting. Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 12.25 a.m.

# Friday, March 22, 1968

#### TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. A. W. R. Ashley.

The Hon. R. W. Bonner presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

George R. Pearkes, Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled An Act to Amend the Credit Unions Act, 1961, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House, March 22, 1968.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

#### (IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 80) intituled *An Act to Amend the Credit Unions Act, 1961*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported. Report adopted. Bill introduced and read a first time. Second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of the Hon. R. W. Bonner, the following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today:—

Bill (No. 45) intituled An Act to Amend the Administration Act.

Bill (No. 41) intituled An Act to Amend the Patients' Estates Act.

On the motion of Mr. *Eddie*, the following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today:—

Bill (No. 98) intituled An Act to Amend the Female Minimum Wage Act. Bill (No. 99) intituled An Act to Amend the Male Minimum Wage Act.

On the motion of Mr. *Gardom*, Bill (No. 100) intituled An Act to Amend the Government Liquor Act was introduced, read a first time, and Ordered to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. D. R. J. Campbell presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES, Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled An Act to Amend the Municipal Act, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House, March 22, 1968.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

# (IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 97) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipal Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported. Report adopted. Bill introduced and read a first time. Second reading at the next sitting after today. By leave of the House, the Hon. R. W. Bonner withdrew notice of introduction of a Bill intituled An Act to Amend the Credit Unions Act, 1961, standing in his name on the Order Paper.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

# (IN THE COMMITTEE)

193. Resolved, That a sum not exceeding \$15,379,654 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, General Administration, Protection, and Management of Forests, to 31st March, 1969.

194. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,650,200 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Reforestation and Forest Nursery, to 31st March, 1969.

195. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$13,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Forestry and Correction Camp Programme, to 31st March, 1969.

196. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$159,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Forest Research, to 31st March, 1969.

197. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$61,550 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Public Information and Education, to 31st March, 1969.

198. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$114,050 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Forest Service Training-school, to 31st March, 1969.

199. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$17,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Grant to Canadian Forestry Association, to 31st March, 1969.

200. Resolved, That a sum not exceeding \$2,968,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Engineering Services and Forest-development Roads, to 31st March, 1969.

201. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,500,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Fire Suppression, to 31st March, 1969.

202. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$844,154 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Forest Inventory, to 31st March, 1969.

203. Resolved, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Scaling Fund, to 31st March, 1969.

204. Resolved, That a sum not exceeding \$1,408,800 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Silviculture, to 31st March, 1969.

205. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$180,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Grazing Range Improvement Fund, to 31st March, 1969.

206. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$20,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Peace River Community Pastures, to 31st March, 1969.

207. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,492,184 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Peace River Power Timber Salvage, to 31st March, 1969.

208. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$52,044 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, General Administration, to 31st March 31st, 1969.

209. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$579,550 be granted to Her Majesty, to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Water Rights Branch, to 31st March, 1969.

210. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$25,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Assistance to Improvement Districts, to 31st March, 1969.

211. Resolved, That a sum not exceeding \$21,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Canadian Council of Resource Ministers, to 31st March, 1969.

212. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$40,764 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Inspector of Dykes, to 31st March, 1969.

213. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$122,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, River-bank Protection, to 31st March, 1969.

214. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$560,074 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Water Investigations Branch, to 31st March, 1969.

215. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$858,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Water Investigations, Hydraulic Surveys, and Projects, to 31st March, 1969.

216. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$94,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Okanagan Flood Control, to 31st March, 1969.

217. Resolved, That a sum not exceeding \$5,000,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Canada-British Columbia Joint Development Act, to 31st March, 1969.

218. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$80,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, B.C. Hydrometric Stream-gauging, to 31st March, 1969.

219. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$245,280 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Southern Okanagan Lands Project, to 31st March, 1969.

220. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$214,917 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Pollution Control, to 31st March, 1969.

The Committee reported the Resolutions. Report to be considered at the next sitting. Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

6 Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

With respect to Provincial Mental Health Services:-

1. By years 1958 to 1967, inclusive, what was the average number of full-time personnel in the following categories: (a) Psychiatrists certified by Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons, (b) occupational therapists, (c) physiotherapists, (d) social workers, (e) psychologists, (f) male psychiatric nurses, and (g) female psychiatric nurses?

2. By years 1958 to 1967, inclusive, and by the above categories, what was the rate of staff turnover?

The Hon. W. D. Black replied as follows:-

··· 1.										
19	958	1959	1960	1961	1962	1963	1964	1965	1966	1967
(a)	11	13	13	15	18	24	28	29	30	30
(b)	17	16	16	17	15	14	19	17	18	16
(c)	5	6	5	7	4	5	7	4	4	6
(d)	38	36	48	51	53	65	66	71	76	80
(e)	13	16	14	20	20	20	19	23	22	21
(f) 2	39	327	333	415	435	437	468	467	458	465
(g) 2	.09	334	362	497	500	499	528	547	568	595

"2. It is not possible, on the basis of the records maintained, to answer this question in the form in which it is phrased. Staff turnover rates in aggregate are published in the Annual Reports of the Mental Health Services Branch."

7 Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

With respect to the recruitment of personnel for Provincial Mental Health Services:—

1. During the year ended December 31, 1967, was advertising for the above purpose placed with any medium (a) in British Columbia, (b) elsewhere in Canada, (c) in the United States, or (d) abroad?

2. If the answer to any of the above is yes, by medium what was the total cost of advertising (a) in British Columbia, (b) elsewhere in Canada, (c) in the United States, or (d) abroad?

The Hon. W. D. Black replied as follows:-

"1. Yes.

"2. (a) By newspapers, \$3,691.95; (b) by newspapers, \$1,751.59, by journals, \$2,368.59, total, \$4,120.18; (c) by newspapers, \$1,263.59; (d) by journals, \$688.18; total, \$9,763.90."

In answer to the following question standing on the Order Paper in the name of Mr. Strachan:—

**14** Mr. Strachan asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following question:---

What percentage of school operating costs were paid by the Provincial Government in each school district in the Province in the calendar year 1967?

The Hon. L. R. Peterson stated that, in his opinion, the reply should be in the form of a Return and that he had no objection to laying such Return upon the table of the House, and thereupon presented such Return.

192

**16** Mr. Strachan asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With reference to aircraft under the authority of the Department of Highways for the fiscal year 1966/67:---

1. Were aircraft used for other than Government business?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, who were the persons involved and what was the purpose of each flight?

3. How many aircraft were under the authority of the Department?

4. What was the total maintenance cost for each aircraft in each relevant year?

5. What are the details as to destination and passengers carried recorded in the flight logs for each aircraft?

6. During the year were any aircraft chartered by the Department?

7. If the answer to No. 6 is yes, what was the annual cost of such charters?

8. What are the names and salaries received by the pilots of the Department aircraft?

The Hon. W. A. C. Bennett replied as follows:-

"1. No record.

"2. See No. 1.

"3. Six.

"4. Total cost of parts and accessories for all aircraft, approximately \$127,-000; less estimated inventory increase, \$27,000; total, \$100,000; labour, approximately \$44,500; total, \$144,500.

" 5. Names of passengers not recorded.

"6. Yes.

"7. \$33,482.64.

"8. As of March 1, 1967: Allabarton, H. M., \$790; Barlow, K. W., \$590; Christensen, W. A. L., \$570; McNeill, J. K., \$825; Page, R. N., \$720; Sealey, E. M., \$635; Toye, T. B., \$860."

**17** Mr. Strachan asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With reference to the Department of Highways' Lear jet aircraft for the fiscal year 1966/67:----

1. What was the monthly lease cost?

2. What was the monthly fuel cost?

3. How many miles were flown each month?

The Hon. W. A. C. Bennett replied as follows:----

"1. \$5,915.50 (U.S.).

"2 and 3. Based on flying-hours the fuel costs and mileage are approximately as follows: June, 1966, fuel cost, \$286.16, miles, 2,205; July, fuel cost, \$2,914.16, miles, 22,455; August, fuel cost, \$1,868.80, miles, 14,400; September, fuel cost, \$2,020.64, miles, 15,570; October, fuel cost, \$1,804.56, miles, 13,905; November, fuel cost, \$3,025.12, miles, 23,310; December, fuel cost, \$1,361.72, miles, 10,485; January, 1967, fuel cost, \$2,183.16, miles, 16,830; February, fuel cost, \$1,127.12, miles, 8,685; March, fuel cost, \$1,670.24, miles, 12,870."

**25** Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

2. Have any of these patients been transferred to \$1-per-day coverage under the British Columbia Hospital Insurance Service since December 1, 1965, and, if so, how many?

The Hon. D. R. J. Campbell replied as follows:---

"1. 403.

"2. 292."

27 Mr. Perrault asked the Hon. the Minister of Labour the following questions:-

With respect to the British Columbia Labour-Management Advisory Committee:---

1. During the year ended December 31, 1967, were meetings held of this Committee?

2. If the answer to the above is yes, (a) how many meetings were held, (b) what were the meeting dates, (c) where did these meetings take place, and (d) by meetings, how many were present?

The Hon. L. R. Peterson replied as follows:---

"1. Yes.

"2. (a) One, (b) October 5, 1967, (c) 411 Dunsmuir Street, Vancouver 3, and (d) 11."

32 Mr. Perrault asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With respect to the Lear jet aircraft:---

1. During the year ended December 31, 1967, how many miles were flown by this aircraft (a) in British Columbia, (b) elsewhere in Canada, and (c) in the United States?

2. During the same period, what was the cost (a) of leasing this aircraft, (b) operating this aircraft, and (c) of servicing and maintenance?

The Hon. W. A. C. Bennett replied as follows:---

"1. (a) Approximately 122,300 miles, (b) approximately 14,700 miles, and (c) approximately 26,900 miles.

"2. (a) 70,986 (U.S.); (b) fuel cost, 20,901.36; and (c) detailed cost breakdown not recorded."

45 Mrs. Dailly asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following questions:—

With respect to expenditure made in the Province for education in the year 1967:—

1. What was the total sum?

2. What portion of the total sum was expended on (a) ordinary expenditure and (b) capital expenditure?

3. What portion of the total sum was contributed by (a) the Provincial Government, (b) the city and district municipalities, and (c) by the Provincial Government on behalf of rural areas of any school district?

The Hon. L. R. Peterson replied as follows:-

"1. \$369,233,043 (exclusive of by-law, trust, etc., funds; school districts for the calendar year 1967, other services for the fiscal year 1966/67).

"2. (a) \$299,761,364 and (b) \$69,471,679.

"3. Exclusive of the application of the home-owner grants: (a) \$169,235,917; (b) \$108,048,269 (inclusive of towns and villages); and (c) \$35,051,129." **48** Mr. R. A. Williams asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With reference to the social welfare cost-sharing formula between the Government and the municipalities:—

1. What was the total amount paid by the Government under this formula in the fiscal year 1966/67?

2. What was the total amount paid by the municipalities in the fiscal year 1966/67?

3. In the case of each individual municipality, what was the amount paid by (a) the Government and (b) the municipality?

The Hon. D. R. J. Campbell replied as follows:---

"1. 1966/67, \$45,345,795.57.

"2. 1966/67, \$5,038,421.73.

"3. See following table:---

	Amount Paid by (a) the Government	Amount Paid by (b) Undermentioned Municipalities
Municipality	for the Fiscal Year 1966/67	during the Fiscal Year 1966/67
Alberni		\$165,511.26
Armstrong		46,182.51
Burnaby		3,591,229.41
Campbell River	27,923.86	251,314.74
Castlegar (9 months)		72,204.03
Central Saanich		105,846.93
Chilliwack City	32,903.86	296,134.74
Chilliwhack District		656,021.34
Coquitlam		1,041,724.35
Courtenay	,	124,958.16
Cranbrook		237,868.74
Creston (9 months)		66,951.36
Dawson Creek		392,479.74
Delta	,	523,759.41
Duncan		133,599.42
Enderby		38,545.20
Esquimalt		431.993.07
Fernie	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	95,412.78
Fort St. John	,	129,590.82
Fraser Mills	_ ,,	5.916.24
Gold River		25,565.31
Grand Forks		83,544,48
Greenwood		33.417.81
		98,639.82
Hope	,	361,285.02
Kamloops Kelowna		472,868.91
Kimberley		215,602.11
		294,628,77
Kitimat		122,233.14
Ladysmith		84,799,44
Langley City		
Langley District	,	522,959.76
Maple Ridge Matsqui		600,516.27
Matsqui		512,489.79
		108,966.42
Mission District		190,897.38
Mission		116,567.82
Nanaimo		506,824.56
Nelson		329,122.26
New Westminster		1,206,697.86
North Cowichan		328,656.06
North Kamloops		231,486.30
North Saanich		100,791.18

Municipality	Amount Paid by (a) the Government for the Fiscal Year 1966/67	Amount Paid by (b) Undermentioned Municipalities during the Fiscal Year 1966/67
North Vancouver City	\$94,245.50	\$848,209.50
North Vancouver District		1,397,344.14
Oak Bay		607,221.36
Penticton		496,928.34
Port Alberni	47,250.24	425,252.16
Port Alice		46,684.53
Port Coquitlam	32,314.22	290,827.98
Port Moody		171,714.42
Powell River	42,820.03	385,380.27
Prince George	55,285.97	497,573.73
Prince Rupert		429,805.89
Quesnel	18,617.23	167,555.07
Revelstoke	14,438.02	129,942.18
Richmond	172,598.83	1,553,389.47
Rossland	17,346.34	156,117.06
Saanich	194,721.98	1,752,497.82
Salmon Arm District		143,675.01
Squamish (3 months)	4,201.56	37,814.04
Sumas	20,497.68	184,479.12
Summerland	17,159.09	154,431.81
Surrey		2,539,967.31
Terrace	23,664.96	212,984.64
Trail	46,134.72	415,212.48
Vancouver	1,531,935.65	13,787,420.85
Vernon	40,836.00	367,524.00

53 Mr. Vulliamy asked the Hon. the Provincial Secretary the following question:---

218,885.06

101.408.74

25,708.75

8.446.08

What was the total cost of the Carrothers Report on Civil Service Bargaining **Rights?** 

The Hon. W. D. Black replied as follows:--"1. \$7,880.97."

Victoria

West Vancouver

White Rock

Williams Lake

Mr. Barrett asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following ques-57 tions: ---

1. How many children who are wards of the Government are awaiting adoption?

2. How many children under 1 year who are in Government care (ward and non-ward) are awaiting adoption, and what is the per diem cost for such children?

3. How many applications for adoption by prospective new adoptive parents were made last year?

4. How many applications for adoption by prospective new adoptive parents were processed last year; (a) how many of these were accepted as suitable parents and (b) how many of the suitable parents are still awaiting a child?

5. What is the cost per month to care for a child under age 1 year while awaiting adoption?

The Hon. D. R. J. Campbell replied as follows:-

"1. 71.

"2. 82; \$5.59.

912,678.66

231,378.75

76,014.72 "

1.969.965.54

- "3. 1,451 (January 1 to December 31, 1967).
- "4. 1,286 (January 1 to December 31, 1967); (a) 1,139 and (b) 25.

"5. \$167.70."

**73** Mr. *Parkinson* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

With reference to the extension of The Woodlands School at Tranquille:-

1. How many patients are now in residence?

2. What is the total number of staff employed?

3. With reference to staff, how many (a) resident psychiatrists are employed, (b) psychiatric nurses are employed, and (c) psychiatric aides are employed?

The Hon. W. D. Black replied as follows:---

"1. 661.

"2. 376.

"3. (a) No psychiatrists, but one pædiatrician and one general practitioner; (b) 93 psychiatric nurses, 6 registered nurses; and (c) 137."

74 Mr. *Parkinson* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

With respect to The Woodlands School:---

1. Is there a known number of patients awaiting entry?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how many were known to be waiting as at December 31, 1967?

3. Were there any empty beds in The Woodlands School as at December 31, 1967?

4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, (a) how many and (b) what was the reason?

The Hon. W. D. Black replied as follows:----

"3. Yes.

"4. (a) and (b) Five beds for emergencies (one in the hospital unit, two in the training unit, and two in the psychiatric unit); three beds assigned to patients scheduled for admission."

**78** Mr. *Parkinson* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following question:—

Are any facilities or services being operated by mental health societies under section 5 of the *Mental Health Act*?

The Hon. W. D. Black replied as follows:— "No."

**79** Mr. *Parkinson* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

1. Are there any mentally retarded patients in the Provincial Mental Hospital at Essondale?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how many are there at present and (b) how long have they been patients in the Mental Hospital?

<sup>&</sup>quot;1. Yes.

<sup>&</sup>quot;2. 235.

The Hon. W. D. Black replied as follows:-

"1. Yes.

"2. (a) On December 31, 1967, 133, and (b) less than 1 year, 12; 1 year to 5 years, 23; 6 years to 10 years, 5; over 10 years, 93; total, 133."

**S2** Mr. *Parkinson* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

With respect to patients at the Riverview Hospital, Essondale:-

1. How many were in residence at each unit as of December 31, 1967?

2. Were any patients placed into supervised boarding-home care during the calendar year ended December 31, 1967; if so, how many?

3. Were any patients in each unit awaiting transfer to supervised boarding homes; if so, how many?

4. Are any receiving after-care services provided by the staff of Riverview Hospital; if so, how many?

5. How many admissions were there during the calendar year ended December 31, 1967, consisting of (a) new admissions and (b) readmissions?

6. Did any deaths by suicide occur during the calendar year ended December 31, 1967; if so, how many?

The Hon. W. D. Black replied as follows:-

"1. Centre Lawn Unit, 207; Crease Unit, 239; Riverside Unit, 317; West Lawn Unit, 666; East Lawn Unit, 1,026; North Lawn Unit, 162; Hillside Unit, 40; Vista Venture Unit, 20.

"2. Yes, 128.

"3. West Lawn Unit, 2; Riverside Unit, 2; East Lawn Unit, 16; Crease Unit, 1; Hillside Unit, 8.

"4. Yes, 357.

"5. (a) First admissions, 1,696, and (b) readmissions, 1,970.

"6. Yes, six."

85 Mr. *Parkinson* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

With respect to psychiatrists, certified as specialists by the Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of Canada, employed at the Riverview Hospital, Esson-dale:---

1. Were any such psychiatrists employed as at December 31, 1967; if so, how many?

2. Did any such psychiatrists resign during the calendar year ended December 31, 1967; if so, how many?

3. Did any such psychiatrists begin their employment during the calendar year ended December 31, 1967; if so, how many?

4. Were any such psychiatrists at Riverview Hospital as of December 31, 1967, employed (a) in administrative positions of unit director, etc., and (b) solely in direct patient care; if so, how many in each category?

5. What is the total establishment for such psychiatrists?

The Hon. W. D. Black replied as follows:--

"1. Yes: 8 full time; 1 half time; 6 sessional.

"2. Yes: 8 full time; 3 sessional.

" 3. No.

"4. (a) Yes, 5; and (b) yes, 3 full time, 1 half time, 6 sessional.

" 5. 31."

**107** Mr. Strachan asked the Hon. the Provincial Secretary the following questions:—

With reference to the statement in the Speech from the Throne that 95 per cent of our population now have prepaid medical coverage:—

1. What are the names of the plans providing coverage and the number of persons covered by each plan?

2. Do any of the persons covered receive a comparable standard of coverage to that provided by the British Columbia Medical Plan, and, if so, how many?

3. What is the total annual cost of the coverage provided?

4. What percentage of the total annual cost is for administration?

5. What is the annual per capita cost of coverage?

The Hon. W. D. Black replied as follows:—

"1. British Columbia Medical Plan, 452,332 (December 31, 1967) (January, 1968, total enrolment, 472,649); C.U. & C. Health Services Society, 172,198 (December 31, 1967); Medical Services Association, 740,225 (December 31, 1967); B.C. Government Employees Medical Services, 62,671 (December 31, 1967); Provincial Teachers M.S.A., 34,000 (January 31, 1968); Canadian Pacific Employees Medical Association, 28,000 (February 1, 1968); Fraser Valley Medical Services, 9,681 (December 31, 1967); Telephone Employees M.S.A., 17,268 (December 31, 1967); Automotive Retailers Association, 8,409 (February 1, 1968); Woodwards Employees, 6,771 (December 31, 1967); Vancouver School Teachers M.S.A., 6,205 (February 1, 1968); Cunningham-Western Drug Sick Benefit Assn., 709 (January 31, 1968); sub-total (British Columbia Medical Association approved), prepaid plans, 1,538,469 to 1,558,786; Social Assistance Medical Services, 77,000; miscellaneous medical services plans, 61,000\* (as Canadian Forces, Royal Canadian Mounted Police, Department of Veterans Affairs, penal institutions, Indian and Eskimo Medical Services, etc., The Canadian Conference on Health Care Survey showed 138,000 including Social Assistance at December 31, 1966); insurance companies and other voluntary agencies, 244,000\* (this is the figure provided by Canadian Conference on Health Care for December 31, 1966); gross total, 1,920,469 to 1,940,786\* (as Provincial population in April, 1967, is given as 1,938,000 there may well be some duplication in some of the coverage figures supplied due to transfers and some (\*) are only reasonable estimates based on available data, as well the plans' coverage figures have not all been compiled on the same date).

"2. Contracts of benefits vary in detail, especially in benefits additional to physician's and surgeon's service; British Columbia Government Employees Medical Services provides practically identical coverage to British Columbia Medical Plan; all plans approved by the British Columbia Medical Association provide at least up to a common comprehensive standard for physicians' and surgeons' services.

"3. Information is not available due to multiplicity of plans, insurance companies, and fiscal periods.

"4. Information not available.

" 5. Information not available."

137 Mr. Nimsick asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

1. Will the privately owned land to be flooded by the Libby Dam reservoir be purchased by the British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, will the assessed value of the purchased properties remain on the assessment roll of the regional and school district for tax purposes? 3. If the answer to No. 1 is no, will the regional and school districts be compensated?

The Hon. W. A. C. Bennett replied as follows:-

"1. Not known.

"2 and 3. Not applicable."

**147** Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

Regarding fuel used in Department of Highways equipment in Region No. 2, Kamloops District, in 1966/67:—

1. What was the total amount of fuel purchased in each year?

2. What are the names of the suppliers of the fuel, the amount purchased, and the price paid in each year?

The Hon. W. A. C. Bennett replied as follows:-

"1. April 1, 1966, to March 31, 1967, 282,946 gallons; April 1, 1967, to January 31, 1968, 217,829 gallons.

"2. April 1, 1966, to March 31, 1967: Royalite Oil Co., 16,900 gallons gasoline, Savona, \$0.1825 per gallon; B.A. Oil Co., 11,370 gallons gasoline, Blue River, \$0.2432 per gallon; B.A. Oil Co., 5,125 gallons gasoline, Kamloops, \$0.2031 per gallon; Pacific Petroleums Ltd., 20,952 gallons gasoline, Birch Island, \$0.1934 per gallon; Pacific Petroleums Ltd., 12,268 gallons diesel, Barriere, \$0.1478 per gallon; Pacific Petroleums Ltd., 8,025 gallons diesel, Birch Island, \$0.1538 per gallon; Standard Oil Co., 2,153 gallons gasoline, Blue River, \$0.2002 per gallon; Standard Oil Co., 18,771 gallons diesel, Blue River, \$0.1832 per gallon; Shell Oil Co., 25,079 gallons gasoline, Barriere, \$0.1830 per gallon; Shell Oil Co., 99,041 gallons gasoline, Kamloops, \$0.1751 per gallon; Shell Oil Co., 63,262 gallons diesel, Kamloops, \$0.1243 per gallon.

"April 1, 1967, to January 31, 1968: Standard Oil Co., 18,589 gallons gasoline, Blue River, \$0.2262 per gallon; Standard Oil Co., 10,269 gallons diesel, Blue River, \$0.1782 per gallon; B.A. Oil Co., 42,165 gallons diesel, Kamloops, \$0.1575 per gallon; Pacific Petroleums Ltd., 9,811 gallons gasoline, Savona, \$0.1815 per gallon; Pacific Petroleums Ltd., 19,148 gallons gasoline, Birch Island, \$0.1928 per gallon; Pacific Petroleums Ltd., 16,348 gallons gasoline, Barriere, \$0.1820 per gallon; Pacific Petroleums Ltd., 72,889 gallons gasoline, Kamloops, \$0.1741 per gallon; Pacific Petroleums Ltd., 19,148 gallons diesel, Birch Island, \$0.1528 per gallon; Royalite Oil Co., 9,462 gallons diesel, Barriere, \$0.1448 per gallon.

"Above prices do not include \$0.13 per gallon tax on gasoline and \$0.01 per gallon tax on diesel.

"NOTE.—The above covered by purchase orders following quotations received by the Purchasing Commission and does not include minor quantities purchased on credit cards or emergency purchase orders."

148 Mr. Barrett asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:-

Regarding Active Petroleum Products, Seymour Street, Kamloops:-

1. Has the Department of Highways purchased any fuel from this company?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what was the total amount, the price paid, and in what year were the purchases made?

The Hon. W. A. C. Bennett replied as follows:---

"1. Active Petroleum Products, Seymour Street, Kamloops, no. Active Petroleum Products, 1400 Eighth Street, North Kamloops, yes. Active Petroleum Products, Clinton, yes.

"2. North Kamloops (1967/68): Gasoline, 660 gallons at 30.1 cents, 150 gallons at 25.1 cents, 492 gallons at 25.4 cents, 1,460 gallons at 30.4 cents, 685 gallons at 31 cents, total, 3,447; diesel, 2,435 gallons at 22.1 cents, 2,717 gallons at 22.4 cents, 550 gallons at 23 cents, total, 5,702. Clinton (1967/68): Gasoline, 106 gallons at 26 cents, 559 gallons at 31 cents, total 665; diesel, 111 gallons at 23 cents."

168 Mr. Harding asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

Regarding Red Star Petroleum Company:-

1. Are all petroleum products used on the British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority projects supplied by Red Star Petroleum Company?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, who supplies Red Star Petroleum Company with these products?

3. Were tenders invited from various oil companies for such supplies?

4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, how often are these tenders invited?

5. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, who was the successful tenderer prior to the present supplier?

6. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, (a) which companies submitted bids and (b) what were the unit prices for gasoline and diesel in such bids?

The Hon. W. A. C. Bennett replied as follows:-

"1. Yes.

"2. Pacific Petroleums Ltd., Imperial Oil Ltd., British American Oil Co. Ltd., Shell (Canada) Ltd.

"3. Yes.

"4. Periodically to cover supplies for periods up to six years.

"5. Peace River, Pacific Petroleums Ltd.; Mica Dam, first contract; Duncan Dam, first contract; Arrow Lake, first contract.

"6. (a) British American Oil Co. Ltd., Imperial Oil Ltd., Pacific Petroleums Ltd., Royalite Oil Co. Ltd., Shell (Canada) Ltd., Standard Oil Co. of British Columbia Ltd., Texaco (Canada) Ltd. (b) The following bids were accepted for diesel fuel and gasoline: Peace River, Pacific Petroleums Ltd., diesel fuel, 17.19 cents, gasoline, 17.96 cents; Mica Dam, Imperial Oil Ltd., diesel fuel, 17.32 cents, gasoline, 20.02 cents; Duncan Dam, British American Oil Co. Ltd., diesel fuel, 17.67 cents, gasoline, 18.87 cents; Arrow Lake, Shell (Canada) Ltd., diesel fuel, 17 cents, gasoline, 19.5 cents.

"The above prices are those in effect at the date of contract, exclusive of road tax, and subject to escalations based on posted prices."

187 Mr. Berger asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following question:-

With reference to Part 4 of the answer to Question No. 109: (a) What were the amounts of each purchase and (b) what is the total of all the aforementioned purchases?

The Hon. L. R. Peterson replied as follows:-

"The information sought is detailed in Orders in Council No. 3688, approved November 30, 1967, No. 3872 approved December 19, 1967, No. 246 approved January 23, 1968, and No. 682 approved March 1, 1968, which authorized the Provincial Government unconditional guarantee of the respective school district bond issues."

**190** Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With reference to recipients of Old-age Assistance, Old Age Security, and Supplementary Social Allowance:----

1. Are there any recipients of Old-age Assistance who are not in receipt of Supplementary Social Allowance?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, do any of these recipients hold valid health services identity cards and, if so, how many?

The Hon. D. R. J. Campbell replied as follows:-

"1. Yes.

"2. Yes, 123."

**192** Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following question:—

With respect to grants of social assistance made between April 1, 1967, and December 31, 1967, what was the case load and total number of recipients on a monthly basis?

The Hon. D. R. J. Campbell replied as follows:-

"Social assistance from April 1, 1967, to December 31, 1967:---

Month	Case Load	Total Number of Recipients
April	_ 32,346	72,750
May	_ 31,442	70,821
June		70,074
July	_ 30,216	67,702
August		69,143
September	31,092	69,154
October	. 31,757	70,686
November	. 34,952	77,083
December	36,815	82,327 "

204 Mr. Harding asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:-

Regarding the B.C. Hydro Whatshan power plant:---

1. Will the operation of the present power plant be affected by the raising of the water in the Arrow reservoir?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what is the estimated loss in both power production and revenue from this change in water elevation?

3. (a) Will the present power plant be moved or will it remain in its present location and (b) what is the estimated cost of either moving or protecting it?

4. (a) Will the power plant remain in operation after the spring of 1969 and (b) if no, what are B.C. Hydro's plans for it?

5. (a) If the answer to No. 4 (a) is no, what is the estimated annual loss in both power production and revenue which will result from its closure and (b) from what source will its replacement power be drawn?

6. Will the road access to the present plant be affected and, if yes, will new access be provided?

The Hon. W. A. C. Bennett replied that the following information has been submitted by the British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority:—

"1. Yes.

"2. There will be a capacity loss of 33,750 kw., no revenue loss.

"3. (a) The plant will be taken out of service and its equipment placed in storage and (b) not applicable.

"4. (a) No, (b) the plant will be re-established when needed to meet system requirements.

"5. (a) A capacity loss of 33,750 kw., no revenue loss, and (b) from additional capacity supplying the Authority's Mainland system.

"6. The road access to the present plant will be affected and no new access will be provided at this time."

**205** Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

Regarding the B.C. Hydro Whatshan power plant and dam:-

1. When was the above project completed?

2. (a) What was the capital cost of building the above project, (b) has the total cost of liquidating this project been paid in full and, if no, what is the outstanding amount still due and when will this capital cost be fully paid, and (c) if the answer to No. 2 (a) is no, what are the annual payments in (i) capital and (ii) interest?

3. Will a loss, if any, in revenue be charged to the Columbia Treaty projects development?

The Hon. W. A. C. Bennett replied as follows:---

"1. May, 1951.

"2. (a) \$8,107,360; (b) no, depreciated cost as of March 31, 1968, will be \$6,306,358, the date on which the outstanding capital cost will be fully paid is contingent on plans for future operation of the plant; and (c) annual payments are (i) depreciation, \$129,041; (ii) interest, \$312,092 in 1968/69 and decreasing as original cost is amortized.

"3. No revenue loss will be incurred."

**206** Mr. *Nimsick* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following questions:—

Regarding the Kootenay Trout Hatchery:---

1. How many trout were produced at the hatchery during 1967?

2. How many of these were lost during production?

3. Were any planted in British Columbia lakes?

4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, what are the names of the lakes, and how many were planted in each lake respectively?

5. Were any planted in British Columbia streams?

6. If the answer to No. 5 is yes, what are the names of the streams, and how many were planted in each stream respectively?

7. Were any trout shipped out of the Province?

8. If the answer to No. 7 is yes, how many, and to where?

The Hon. W. K. Kiernan replied as follows:---

"1. 6.080,000 (see following table).

"2. 2,057,000.

8

- " 3. Yes.
- " 4. See following table.

"5. No.

- "6. Not applicable.
- "7. No.
- "8. Not applicable.

# "Trout Produced at Kootenay Hatchery in 1967

	Number	Pounds
Inventory at start of year	2,353,000	420
On hand at end of year	2,949,000	6,800
Difference	596,000	5,380
Liberated to lakes	2,940,000	10,857
Transferred to other hatcheries	487,000	970
Losses during year	2,057,000	1,220
Total	6,080,000	18,427

## Cutthroat (Yellowstone)

Lake or Stream	Locality	Number (Pounds)	Number Distributed	Method of Distribution
Dunbar	Invermere	7,500	10,700	V

	Eastern Brook Trout	(Cariboo and K	lootenay)	
Bartholemew	Kimberley	700	9,000	V
	Elko		10,000	V
Beaver Dam	Clinton	535	200,000	v
	Elko		5,000	v
Bluff	Invermere	800	1,500	v
	Wardner		3,300	V
Campbell	Fort Steele	800	6,000	V
	Canal Flats		5,000	v
Chain	Waldo	800	5,000	v
	Invermere		40,000	V
Fusee	Elko	810	3,000	V
Little Slocan	West Kootenay	465	40,000	А
	Ainsworth		5,000	А
Pickering	Wardner	1,100	9,900	V
Sheridan	100 Mile House	872	51,448	V
Sheridan	100 Mile House	535	50,000	v
Sheridan	100 Mile House		58,000	V
Stoney	Kimberley	580	10,000	V
Summit	Parsons	135	5,000	V
Surveyors	Waldo		5,000	V
	Parsons		5,000	V
	Kimberley		5,000	V
Twin	Waldo	800	5,000	V
Wapiti	Wardner	1,100	16,500	V
Whitetail	Invermere		3,600	V
Wilmer	Invermere	800	10,000	V
Total			567,248	
	Carden and States	and has sure has	and a survey was	
	Kokane	e (Cariboo)		
	Cariboo		439,000	v
	Cariboo		242,000	v
Green	Cariboo	1,240	620,000	V
Total			1,301,000	

#### Fastown Bucch Trout (Cariboo and Vootanan)

#### Rainbow Trout (Cariboo and Kootenay)

Lake or Stream	Locality	Number (Pounds)	Number Distributed	Method of Distribution
	Salmo		5,000	v
+	East Kootenay		5,000	v
	Shuswap	-	115,000	v
	Shuswap		35,000	V AD.
	Cranbrook		5,000	V
	Williams Lake		157,000	V
	East Kootenay		5,000	V
	East Kootenay		5,000	v
	East Kootenay		5,000	V
	East Kootenay		5,000	V
	Springbrook		30,000	V
	Salmo		7,000	V
Rosen*	East Kootenay	63	5,000	V
	Fernie		5,000	V
Sheridan*	Williams Lake	139	172,000	V
Sheridan*	Williams Lake	119	61,800	V
Sheridan*	Williams Lake	103	122,000	$\mathbf{V}$
Sheridan*	Williams Lake	124	138,000	V
Sheridan*	Williams Lake	65	59,000	V
Sheridan*	Williams Lake	71	70,500	V
Whiteswan	East Kootenay	525	60,000	V
Total			1,072,300	

"AD.—Adipose fin clip. V—Vehicle. A—Aerial. "\*American imported stock."

207 Mr. Harding asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

1. What is the total expenditure by the British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority to December 31, 1967, on the setting-up of Mica Village?

2. (a) What was the population as of December 31, 1967, and (b) what is the estimated peak population of Mica Village?

3. How many acres are included in the above village?

The Hon. W. A. C. Bennett replied as follows:-

"1, \$7,307,000.

"2. (a) 1,100 and (b) 4,000.

"3. 205,"

**210** Mr. *Hall* asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture the following questions:—

Regarding expenditure on advertising and publicity on Vote 5, 1966/67:---

1. Did the Department share advertising costs on a dollar-for-dollar basis with any private organizations?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what was the name of each organization and what was the Government's share of the cost in each case?

The Hon. F. X. Richter replied as follows:---

"1. Yes.

"2. The Poultry Industries Council of British Columbia received the sum of \$872.23 on a dollar-for-dollar matching basis to help defray the costs involved in setting up a display booth at the Pacific National Exhibition."

214 Mr. Harding asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:-

Regarding the highway between Revelstoke and Twelve Mile in the Revelstoke-Slocan riding:—

1. Will any of the above highway be affected by the increased water elevation once the Arrow reservoir is completed?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what mileage will be affected?

3. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how much construction has taken place, what has been the cost to date, and what is the total estimated cost of this construction?

4. How much of the total cost has been charged to B.C. Hydro?

5. On what basis has the construction work been carried out and who has done or will do the work?

The Hon. W. A. C. Bennett replied as follows:-

"1. Yes.

<sup>e</sup> 2. 3.36 miles.

"3. 75 per cent completed; \$293,045.60; \$400,000 excluding surfacing.

"4. \$292,629.36.

"5. Day labour using rented equipment."

In answer to the following question standing on the Order Paper in the name of Mr. *Barrett*:—

**229** Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Provincial Secretary the following questions:—

Regarding the Civil Service Superannuation Fund:-

1. How many allowances in each of the following categories were paid out of the Fund as of March 31, 1967, (a) less than \$100 per month, (b) \$100 but less than \$150 per month, (c) \$150 but less than \$200 per month, (d) \$200 but less than \$250 per month, (e) \$250 but less than \$300 per month, (f) \$300 but less than \$350 per month, (g) \$350 but less than \$400 per month, (h) \$400 but less than \$500 per month, and (i) \$500 and over per month.

2. What is the yield on the various securities and what are the book values and the market value?

3. What is the relationship of interest paid into the Fund by the Minister of Finance to the actual interest earned by the portfolio?

The Hon. W. D. Black stated that, in his opinion, the reply should be in the form of a Return and that he had no objection to laying such Return upon the table of the House, and thereupon presented such Return.

230 Mr. Harding asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:---

Regarding the Revelstoke Airport:-

1. Will the Revelstoke Airport be affected by the raising of the water in the Arrow reservoir?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what are the B.C. Hydro plans for the airport, and (b) what is the estimated cost of carrying out these plans?

3. (a) Will any private owners be affected by the B.C. Hydro plans, (b) if yes, how many owners will be affected, and (c) how many owners, if any, will be forced to relocate?

The Hon. W. A. C. Bennett replied as follows:----

"1. Yes.

"2. (a) To relocate the airport and (b) 400,000.

"3. (a) Yes, (b) 10, and (c) 6, but owners will retain property upon which they can relocate."

231 Mr. Harding asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:---

Regarding the dykes in the Revelstoke area:---

1. (a) What was the total cost of dyking in the Big Eddy area on the west side of the Columbia River, and (b) what was the total length of dyke built?

2. (a) What is the total cost of dyking involved on the east side of the Columbia River, and (b) what is the total length of dyke involved?

3. What are the number and capacity of pumps used in those areas protected by the dykes?

The Hon. W. A. C. Bennett replied as follows:----

"1. (a) \$1,733,000 and (b) 10,500 feet.

"2. (a) Estimated cost, 622,000. Project is in early stages and very little has been spent to date. The actual cost is not available. (b) 10,250.

"3. Three pumps at 15,000 U.S. gallons per minute each, two pumps at 10,-800 U.S. gallons per minute each, two pumps at 7,500 U.S. gallons per minute each, and two pumps at 4,000 U.S. gallons per minute each."

**232** Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

Regarding the eastern access to Revelstoke from the Trans-Canada High-way:---

1. Have the surveys for the above access been made, and, if yes, when were they completed?

2. (a) Has the Department of Highways purchased any property required for this access, (b) if yes, how much was acquired in the fiscal year 1967/68, and (c) if no, is it planned to purchase the required property in the fiscal year 1968/69?

3. Are there any plans for starting this project in the fiscal year 1968/69?

4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, how much has been allocated for this project?

5. If the answer to No. 3 is no, when is construction expected to commence?

The Hon. W. A. C. Bennett replied as follows:—

"1. Yes, 1960.

"2. (a) No, (b) not applicable, and (c) no.

" 3. No."

"4. Not applicable.

" 5. When priorities permit."

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. on Monday next.

And then the House adjourned at 6.06 p.m.