

**Wednesday, February 6, 1963**

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. Dr. K. M. King.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the Address in reply to the Speech of His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor at the opening of the Session.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Macfarlane*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The Hon. *E. C. Westwood* (Minister of Recreation and Conservation) presented the Annual Report of the Department of Recreation and Conservation for the year ended December 31, 1962.

Mr. *Calder* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following questions:—

1. Do any students receive the Provincial correspondence course at (a) Stewart, (b) Cassiar, (c) Telegraph Creek, (d) Lower Post, and (e) Atlin?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how many students receive the course in each district?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* replied as follows:—

- “1. Yes.
- “2. (a) Seventeen, (b) two at Porter Landing, via Cassiar, (c), (d), and (e) nil.”

Mrs. *Mather* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following questions:—

With respect to kindergartens in the Province:—

1. Were any public school boards refused authority to open kindergartens in 1962?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how many proposed kindergartens did this involve?
3. How many kindergartens were in operation in the Province as of December 31, 1962?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* replied as follows:—

- “1. No.
- “2. See answer to No. 1.
- “3. As at September 30, 1962, when data were collected, ninety-nine kindergartens in fourteen school districts.”

Mr. *Eddie* asked the Hon. the Minister of Labour the following questions:—

1. Were any cases presented to the Workmen's Compensation Counsellor during the year 1962?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how many cases were presented?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* replied as follows:—

" 1. Yes.

" 2. 451."

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

## Thursday, February 7, 1963

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. Father *W. Hill*.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the Address in reply to the Speech of His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor at the opening of the Session.

The debate continued.

With the consent of the House, the Rules were suspended, and the House continued to sit after 6 o'clock p.m.

The House divided.

The motion was agreed to on the following division:—

YEAS—28

Messieurs

<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Price</i>	<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Carnell</i>
<i>Robinson</i>	<i>Corbett</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Westwood</i>
<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Matthew</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Huhn</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Brothers</i>	<i>Martin</i>
<i>Bate</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Speare</i>	<i>Gagliardi</i>
<i>Shelford</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Richter</i>

NAYS—21

Messieurs

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Cox</i>	<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Squire</i>
<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Macfarlane</i>	<i>Eddie</i>
<i>Hobbs, Mrs.</i>	<i>Gargrave</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Harding</i>
<i>Mather, Mrs.</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Haggen, Mrs.</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>Rhodes</i>	<i>McKay</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Turner</i>
<i>Calder</i>			

On the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, seconded by the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, it was *Resolved*,—

That this House will, at its next sitting, resolve itself into a Committee to consider the Supply to be granted to Her Majesty, and that this Order have precedence over all other business, except introduction of Bills, until disposed of.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, seconded by the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, it was *Resolved*,—

That this House will, at its next sitting, resolve itself into a Committee to consider of the Ways and Means for raising the Supply to be granted to Her Majesty.

Mrs. *Hobbs* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following question:—

With reference to Bridge Project No. 185 (Trans-Canada Highway crossing of the Columbia River), (a) has the project been completed and (b) what is the total amount paid to date on this project?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“(a) Yes and (b) \$3,207,094.64.”

Mrs. *Hobbs* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With reference to Ginter Contracting Company, Midwest Construction Company, Atlas Construction Company, Western Sand & Gravel Limited, Ben Ginter Construction Company (1958) Limited, View Construction Company, and Ben Ginter Construction Company:—

1. Have any moneys been paid to any of these companies for equipment rental during 1962?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, which companies were so paid and, in the case of each company, what is the total amount they have received?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“1. Yes.

“2. Midwest Construction Company, \$96.25; Atlas Construction Company, \$67,317.75; Western Sand & Gravel Limited, \$1,225; Ben Ginter Construction (1958) Limited, \$405,480.25; and View Construction Company, \$41,545.01.”

Mrs. *Haggen* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

1. Was any expenditure made by the Department of Highways to improve and maintain the by-pass road used to serve traffic on the Blueberry–Paulson Highway while the Paulson Bridge was being constructed and until it was opened for traffic?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what was the amount of the expenditure?

3. Was reimbursement in any form made to the contractor who originally constructed and used this by-pass road for the purpose of serving his construction camp?

4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, in what form and in what amount?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“1. Yes.

“2. \$27,590.

“3. Yes.

“4. The contractor was reimbursed for a portion of his costs on an extra work order, the amount paid was \$10,000.”

Mr. *Rhodes* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following question:—

With reference to the Sikanni Bridge in Fort Nelson in the Electoral District of North Peace River, what was the total amount of money expended on maintenance in each of the years 1958, 1959, 1960, 1961, and 1962?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“The total amount expended in each of the following years was: 1958, 1959, 1960, *nil*; 1961/62, \$53,524.39 (reconstruction); and 1962/63, \$36,132.98.”

Mr. *Rhodes* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following question:—

With reference to the Deas Island Throughway, Tunnel—United States Border portion, what is the total cost to date?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“\$14,521,216.”

Mrs. *Hobbs* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With reference to the following list of individuals and companies: J. & M. Construction Company, Michael Moran, Lloyd Jordan, Fritz Jordan, R. H. Miller, Reg. Pearen Limited, Jordan Construction Company, R. & L. Construction Company, L. & M. Logging Limited, Union Contractors, Burton Lymburner, Clyde Thornton, Bonanza Construction, Continental Contractors Limited, Mid City Construction Company, Gresty Brothers Limited, Vincent Lawrence Gresty, E. J. Gresty, Hemlock Equipment Limited, Ben Ginter Construction Company, Ben Ginter Construction Company (1958) Limited, Atlas Construction Limited, Western Sand & Gravel Limited, E. V. Merrick, Esq., G. A. Fennings, Esq., R. M. W. Brigoliss, Esq., Henry George Garfield, Esq., Windsor Construction Company, North River Construction Company, McClay Construction Limited, Casco Tire Company, A. H. Cassidy, Esq., C. E. Cosens, Esq., Perini Pacific Limited, Midwest Construction Company, Circle Construction Company, Cleaveley Construction Company, A. Cleaveley, Esq., Merit Construction Company, and View Construction Company:—

1. Has the Department of Highways paid any moneys to any or all of them since January 28, 1962?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is in the affirmative, in each case, in what total amount?

3. In the case of the companies, have any contracts been awarded to them on bids received during the relevant period?

4. If the answer to No. 3 is in the affirmative, in each instance, what was the original bid received and what was the total amount paid to the company on completion of the contract?

5. In the case of the individuals, for what specific services were the moneys paid?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“1. Yes.

“2. Reg. Pearen Limited, \$106,541.24; Ben Ginter Construction Company,\* \$975,329.32; Atlas Construction Limited, \$87,066.34; Western Sand & Gravel Limited, \$2,621.32; Casco Tire Company, \$10,938.87; Perini Pacific Limited, \$1,761,156.99; Midwest Construction Company, \$235,853.18; Cleaveley Construction Company, \$86,557; and View Construction Company, \$1,309,427.55 (above payments during period January 28, 1962, to December 31, 1962).

“3. Yes.

“4. Project No. 292, Elk River Bridge, Midwest Construction Company, bid price, \$196,497, not completed, and Project No. S-2162, bituminous surfacing, Southern Trans-Provincial Highway, Sumallo Lodge—Skaist Creek section, Perini Pacific Limited, bid price, \$234,652, total amount paid, \$222,348.79.

“5. No payments to individuals.

\* \* Records do not differentiate between Ben Ginter Construction Company and Ben Ginter Construction Company (1958) Limited, therefore combined.”

Mrs. *Hobbs* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With respect to highway contracts let since January 31, 1962:—

1. What is the identification and the amount of each contract let under the highway-building programme?
2. What has been the total amount paid contractors for work completed or under construction?

The Hon. *P. A. Gagliardi* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Contracts awarded since January 31, 1962:—

Project No.	Description	Amount
1193 } 1268 }	Construction of power line near Cameron Lake .....	\$8,200.00
1257	Rossland-Sheep Lake Highway, Rossland-Sheep Lake Section 2A .....	78,935.00
S-1061	Bituminous surfacing, Nicomekl River-United States Border .....	218,341.80
292	Elk River Bridge .....	196,497.00
S-0861	Bituminous surfacing, Oliver Road Underpass, Granville Island-Nicomekl River section .....	240,506.00
404	Southern Trans-Provincial Highway, Christina Creek Bridge .....	51,570.92
391	Hells Gate structure, Trans-Canada Highway .....	189,793.60
338	Sicamous Narrows Bridge, Trans-Canada Highway .....	335,504.05
404	Southern Trans-Provincial Highway, Christina Creek Bridge .....	70,321.50
1269	Deas Tunnel Throughway, construction, Great Northern Railway Overpass approach .....	82,130.00
405	Trans-Canada Highway, Latimer Road, Carvolth Road, Glover Road, and Livingstone Road Underpasses .....	184,326.92
S-8962	Bituminous surfacing, Trans-Canada Highway, Rogers-Quartz Creek section .....	224,346.00
S-9162	Bituminous surfacing, Trans-Canada Highway, Yoho Park Gate-Park Bridge section .....	132,015.00
S-9062	Trans-Canada Highway, bituminous surfacing, Donald-Quartz Creek section .....	304,269.25
402	Nelson-Creston No. 2 Bridge .....	21,847.00
402	Creston-Porthill No. 2 Bridge, Creston-Porthill Highway .....	13,995.20
S-4561	Bituminous surfacing, Southern Trans-Provincial Highway, McRae Creek-Mitchener Creek section .....	178,545.00
S-2162	Bituminous surfacing, Southern Trans-Provincial Highway, Sumallo Lodge-Skaist Creek section .....	234,652.00
S-4162	Bituminous surfacing, Southern Trans-Provincial Highway, Gray Creek-Boswell section .....	129,446.03
S-0162	Bituminous surfacing, Sunshine Coast Highway .....	153,400.00
S-6162	Bituminous surfacing, Cariboo Highway, Dog Prairie-Quesnel .....	155,552.90
406	Trans-Canada Highway, Johnston Road, Pike Road, and Glover Valley Road Underpasses and flyover at Hjorth Road .....	149,258.50
S-0262	Bituminous surfacing, Yale Road, Cottonwood Corner-East Boundary of City of Chilliwack section .....	36,660.00
1209	Trans-Canada Highway, lighting at the interchanges on Chilliwack and Abbotsford By-passes .....	36,000.00
1281	Lighting Deas Tunnel Throughway, Matthews Road-Campbell River section .....	20,400.00
S-6362	Bituminous surfacing, John Hart Highway, Parsnip River Bridge-Pacific Great Eastern Railway Crossing section .....	191,953.80
S-4262	Bituminous surfacing, Southern Trans-Provincial Highway, Kootenay-Columbia Highway .....	128,304.00
325	Thompson River Crossing at Spences Bridge .....	81,440.00
212	Fraser River Bridge at Prince George .....	1,034,369.87
S-6562	Bituminous surfacing, John Hart Highway, Chetwynd, easterly and westerly section .....	133,211.90
S-8462	Bituminous surfacing, Trans-Canada Highway, Springbrook Road-Mount Lehman Road section .....	254,905.00

Project No.	Description	Amount
335	Jack MacDonald Shed, intake structure .....	\$23,971.00
183	Alexandra Bridge .....	109,684.00
S-6662	Bituminous surfacing, Prince Rupert city limits—Port Edward and Prince Rupert arterial section .....	216,975.00
S-2362	Bituminous surfacing, Okanagan Highway, Kelowna—Peachland section .....	131,678.00
1283	Kootenay—Columbia Highway, reconstruction, Windermere—Dutch Creek section .....	766,100.00
1286	Northern Trans-Provincial Highway, reconstruction, Tibbetts Creek—Priestly Overhead section .....	503,589.60
S-2462	Bituminous surfacing, Okanagan Highway, Kelowna—Woods Lake section .....	118,870.00
1291	Northern Trans-Provincial Highway, reconstruction, Copper (Zymoetz) River Bridge approaches .....	597,825.80
381	Northern Trans-Provincial Highway, Hazelton Overhead Crossing .....	45,102.99
381	Northern Trans-Provincial Highway, Hazelton Overhead Crossing .....	39,012.00
409	Cedar Creek Bridge .....	7,412.44
409	Cedar Creek Bridge .....	38,980.59
418	Southern Trans-Provincial Highway, Bayonne Creek culvert .....	129,575.92
S-8862	Bituminous surfacing, Trans-Canada Highway, Drynoch Slide and Spences Bridge By-pass section .....	58,725.00
S-8762	Bituminous surfacing, Trans-Canada Highway, Fraser Canyon sections .....	58,970.00
1258	Trans-Canada Highway, reconstruction, Alexandra North section .....	1,246,472.00
1260	Trans-Canada Highway, reconstruction, China Bar—Hells Gate section .....	2,136,027.00
1279	North Thompson Highway, reconstruction, Little Fort—Black Pool section .....	1,076,848.00
411	Nimkish River Bridge .....	139,488.00
908	Southern Trans-Provincial Highway, reconstruction, Crowsnest—Summit Lake section .....	383,793.00
1289	Reconstruction, Perow, Lot 1167 .....	888,895.20
423	Copper River Bridge .....	198,559.50
1288	Northern Trans-Provincial Highway, reconstruction, Lot 5181, Usk section .....	911,534.00
1290	Northern Trans-Provincial Highway, construction, West Twin Creek—Crescent Spur section .....	819,866.90
1285	Rossland—Sheep Lake Section 2, Rossland—Sheep Lake Highway .....	519,686.00
401	Peace River Bridge .....	20,500.00
415	Trans-Canada Highway, improvements to Jones Creek, Lorenzetti Creek, and Texas Creek Bridges .....	44,410.00
421	Trans-Canada Highway, Yoho Bridge revision .....	141,208.68
1294	Trans-Canada Highway, reconstruction, Yoho Bridge approaches .....	301,036.00
426	Trans-Canada Highway, Willingdon Avenue, Sprott Street, and Deer Lake Interchange Underpasses .....	531,370.26
329	Trans-Canada Highway, Hope Overhead Crossing .....	46,551.00
1337	Kootenay—Columbia Highway, reconstruction, Granville Island, Dutch Creek—Canal Flats section .....	911,236.00
1287	Kootenay—Columbia Highway, reconstruction, Canal Flats—Skookumchuck section .....	770,505.80
432	Trans-Canada Highway, Hunter Creek Bridge .....	110,534.17
“ 2. \$5,417,581.50.”		

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 3 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 6.31 p.m.

Friday, February 8, 1963

---

THREE O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *F. E. H. James*.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, seconded by the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, it was *Ordered*,—

That the Public Accounts for the Fiscal Year 1961/62 be referred to the Select Standing Committee on Public Accounts.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* (Minister of Finance) presented the Report of the Comptroller-General, pursuant to the provisions of the *Audit Act*, chapter 22, R.S.B.C. 1960.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith:—

Estimates of sums required for the service of the Province for the fiscal year ending 31st March, 1964;

Schedule A. Sums required by Her Majesty to make good certain sums expended for the public service for the period ended 31st March, 1962, and to indemnify the several officers and persons for making such expenditure; and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*February 8, 1963.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Estimates accompanying the same, be referred to the Committee of Supply.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* moved, seconded by the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

A debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Strachan*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Repeal the Hospital Construction Aid Tax Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*February 8, 1963.*

*Ordered,* That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved,* That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 2) intituled *An Act to Repeal the Hospital Construction Aid Tax Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.  
Report adopted.  
Bill introduced and read a first time.  
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Provincial Home-owner Grant Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*February 8, 1963.*

*Ordered,* That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved,* That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 3) intituled *An Act to Amend the Provincial Home-owner Grant Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.  
Report adopted.  
Bill introduced and read a first time.  
Second reading at the next sitting after today.



The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act Respecting the Removal of Tolls from Certain Toll-bridges in the Province*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*February 8, 1963.*

*Ordered,* That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved,* That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 4) intituled *An Act Respecting the Removal of Tolls from Certain Toll-bridges in the Province*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.  
Report adopted.  
Bill introduced and read a first time.  
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Toll Highways and Bridges Authority Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*February 8, 1963.*

*Ordered,* That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved,* That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 6) intituled *An Act to Amend the Toll Highways and Bridges Authority Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.  
Report adopted.  
Bill introduced and read a first time.  
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Probate Fees Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*February 8, 1963.*

*Ordered,* That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved,* That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 7) intituled *An Act to Amend the Probate Fees Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.  
Report adopted.  
Bill introduced and read a first time.  
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipalities Aid Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*February 8, 1963.*

*Ordered,* That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved,* That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 8) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipalities Aid Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.  
Report adopted.  
Bill introduced and read a first time.  
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Income Tax Act, 1962*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*February 8, 1963.*

*Ordered,* That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved,* That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 9) intituled *An Act to Amend the Income Tax Act, 1962*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.  
Report adopted.  
Bill introduced and read a first time.  
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Succession Duty Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*February 8, 1963.*

*Ordered,* That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved,* That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 10) intituled *An Act to Amend the Succession Duty Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.  
Report adopted.  
Bill introduced and read a first time.  
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Logging Tax Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*February 8, 1963.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 13) intituled *An Act to Amend the Logging Tax Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.  
Report adopted.  
Bill introduced and read a first time.  
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, Bill (No. 11) intituled *An Act Respecting the Practice of Accountancy* was introduced and read a first time.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. on Monday next.

And then the House adjourned at 5.34 p.m.

---

---

**Monday, February 11, 1963**

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Major *J. Morrison*.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *L. R. Peterson*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* (Minister of Finance) presented the following reports:—

The Eighth Annual Report of the Assessment Appeal Board for the year ended December 31, 1962.

Annual Return for the calendar year 1962 submitted in accordance with section 53 of the *Administration Act*, R.S.B.C. 1960.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *R. G. Williston*, seconded by the Hon. *W. K. Kiernan*, it was *Ordered*,—

That the Rules be suspended to permit the moving, without notice, of a motion to refer certain matters to the Select Standing Committee on Forestry and Fisheries.

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* moved, seconded by the Hon. *W. K. Kiernan*,—

Resolved, That this House authorize the Select Standing Committee on Forestry and Fisheries, as recommended in the report of this Committee, read and received by this House on March 20, 1962, to consider the following reports which were requested to be prepared:—

- (a) The Forest Service to indicate the disposition of the unallocated volume of timber available for sale from the Yalakom Sustained-yield Unit:
- (b) The Parks Branch of the Department of Recreation and Conservation to present a study of the overmature timber stands within Garibaldi Park with a view to allowing select cutting in areas unsuitable for recreational development:
- (c) The Forest Service to detail the inventory for Tree-farm Licence No. 38 and give information concerning reinventory of the Soo Sustained-yield Unit:
- (d) The Forest Service to present a study of all timber leases, licences, and berths to see if the harvest of trees from these tenures is being delayed so that the stands become overmature and thus reduce the potential productive capacity of such Crown lands:
- (e) The Forest Service to present an analysis of the timber sales in the Vancouver Forest District to indicate any change in the acquisition of quotas within sustained-yield units from that presented to the Committee at the last Session:
- (f) The Forest Service to give the details of the operation of the 30–50 per cent contract cutting clauses in tree-farm licences.

And further to consider bidding practices relating to Crown timber sales in sustained-yield units, and to consider matters which may be related to any of the above problems and to hear such persons as may wish to make representations on these and related matters.

The motion was agreed to.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.24 p.m.

**Tuesday, February 12, 1963**

---

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *T. W. Chapman*.

On the motion of Mr. *Barrett*, Bill (No. 17) intituled *An Act to Amend the Education of Soldiers' Dependent Children Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *E. C. Westwood*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.52 p.m.

---

**Wednesday, February 13, 1963**

---

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *A. Hamill*.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. D. Black*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The House proceeded to the Order "Presenting Reports by Standing and Special Committees."

Mr. *Matthew* presented the First Report of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:—

REPORT NO. 1

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,

February 12, 1963.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the Standing Orders in respect to the petition of the City of Vancouver for leave to introduce a Private Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Vancouver Charter* have been complied with, except Standing Order 100 with reference to the publication and content of the notice of application published by the petitioner. One of the four publications of such notice was printed, by reason of an intervening holiday, on Thursday rather than Wednesday as required by this Order. Further, your Committee reports that it desires to hear representations from the petitioner as to a provision in the Bill which might be considered beyond the scope of the notice. Nevertheless, your Committee recommends that the petitioner be allowed to proceed with the Bill subject to your Committee being satisfied as to the adequacy of notice.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman*.

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

Mr. *Matthew* presented the Second Report of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:—

REPORT NO. 2

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,

February 12, 1963.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the Standing Orders in respect to the petition of the Interior Designers' Institute of British Columbia for leave to introduce a Private Bill intituled *Interior Designers Act* have been complied with, except Standing Order 100 with reference to the publication and content of the notice of application published by the petitioner. One of the four publications of such notice was printed, by reason of an intervening holiday, on Thursday rather than Wednesday as required by this Order. Further, your Committee reports that it desires to hear representations from the petitioner as to a provision in the Bill which might be considered beyond the scope of the notice. Nevertheless, your Committee recommends that the petitioner be allowed to proceed with the Bill subject to your Committee being satisfied as to the adequacy of notice.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman*.

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

The House proceeded to the Order "Motions and Adjourned Debates on Motions."

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the Hon. *R. W. Bonner* moved, seconded by Mr. *Speare*,—

That Bill (No. 11) intituled *An Act Respecting the Practice of Accountancy* be referred to the Select Standing Committee of the House on Social Welfare and Education.

Motion agreed to.

Mr. *Rhodes* asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture the following question:—

What was the value of agricultural imports to British Columbia from the United States during the years 1958, 1959, 1960, 1961, and 1962?

The Hon. *F. X. Richter* replied as follows:—

	1958	1959	1960	1961
" Food items .....	\$25,818,663	\$28,170,747	\$28,276,463	\$29,182,780
Animal products .....	1,980,073	2,063,812	3,087,857	3,279,221
Non-edible products ...	4,277,519	6,035,709	6,369,240	7,403,224
Total .....	\$32,077,255	\$36,270,268	\$37,733,560	\$39,865,225

" Figures not yet available for 1962.

" In the above table, food items include raw, canned, and frozen foods, excluding meats; animal products includes meats, meat by-products, eggs, etc.; non-edible products includes seeds, hay, nursery stocks, etc. These figures represent the declared values of all farm products entering Canada through British Columbia ports of entry. There is no information to indicate the volume consumed in this Province or how much was transhipped to other Provinces of offshore outlets."

Mr. *Rhodes* asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture the following questions:—

1. Did any fruit- and vegetable-processing establishments in British Columbia cease operations during the years 1958, 1959, 1960, 1961, and 1962?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how many, (b) what type of processing establishments, and (c) were any of the establishments purchased prior to their closure by American-owned processors and, if so, which ones?

The Hon. *F. X. Richter* replied as follows:—

" 1. Yes.

" 2. (a) Six, (b) canning, freezing, and juice plants, and (c) yes, Canadian Cannery, Ashcroft; Canadian Cannery, Kelowna; Canadian Cannery, Vancouver."

Mr. *Calder* asked the Hon. the Minister of Public Works the following questions:—

With reference to the 1961/62 and 1962/63 winter works programme:—

1. Were any projects approved in (a) Stewart, (b) Alice Arm, (c) Telegraph Creek, (d) Lower Post, and (e) Atlin?

2. If the answer to any part of No. 1 is yes, what was the total cost of the projects in each of the relevant places?

The Hon. *W. N. Chant* replied as follows:—

" 1. No.

" 2. Not applicable."



Mr. *Rhodes* asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture the following questions:—

1. During the year 1962, did British Columbia import any eggs from (a) United States, (b) Poland, and (c) other countries?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how many dozen?

The Hon. *F. X. Richter* replied as follows:—

“1. (a) Yes, (b) no, and (c) no.

“2. 118,800 dozen.”

Mr. *Cox* asked the Hon. the Minister of Public Works the following question:—

What was the total number of persons employed by the Department of Public Works on the following dates in 1962: (a) July 31, (b) August 31, (c) September 30, (d) October 31, (e) November 30, and (f) December 31?

The Hon. *W. N. Chant* replied as follows:—

“July 31, 1962, 860; August 31, 1962, 853; September 30, 1962, 832; October 31, 1962, 831; November 30, 1962, 822; and December 31, 1962, 826.”

Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Mines and Petroleum Resources the following questions:—

1. Were any mineral claims registered in the names of any of the following companies as at January 15, 1963: Lundberg Explorations, Wenner-Gren Explorations Limited, and Wenner-Gren British Columbia Development Company?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, in the case of each company (a) how many claims are filed and (b) where are the claims located?

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* replied as follows:—

“1. Records show no mineral claims recorded in the names of Lundberg Explorations, Wenner-Gren Explorations Limited, and Wenner-Gren British Columbia Development Company.

“2. Answered by No. 1.”

Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture the following questions:—

With respect to farm income in British Columbia:—

1. What has been the realized net income from farming, by years, 1952 to 1962, inclusive?

2. What was the total income tax paid by the farmers of British Columbia in the years 1952 to 1961, inclusive?

The Hon. *F. X. Richter* replied as follows:—

“1. Net income from farming was as follows: 1952, \$54,136,000; 1953, \$55,057,000; 1954, \$51,671,000; 1955, \$48,277,000; 1956, \$54,546,000; 1958, \$57,938,000; 1959, \$53,335,000; 1960, \$55,959,000; and 1961, \$56,436,000. (Realized net income data for 1962 not yet available.)

“2. Income tax paid was as follows: 1952, \$1,075,000; 1953, \$712,000; 1954, \$463,000; 1955, \$440,000; 1956, \$580,000; 1957, \$842,000; 1958, \$822,000; 1959, \$941,000; 1960, \$1,042,000; and 1961, not applicable.”

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.29 p.m.

**Thursday, February 14, 1963**

---

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. C. A. Campbell.

On the motion of Mrs. *Haggen*, Bill (No. 19) intituled *An Act to Amend the Fireworks Regulation Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

Mr. *Speare* interrupted his speech to announce that word had just been received of the death of the late member, *Richard Orr Newton*, and moved the adjournment of the debate to the next sitting of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, seconded by Mr. *Strachan*, it was *Resolved*,—

That this House express its sincere regret at the death of *Richard Orr Newton*, Esquire, member for the Electoral District of Columbia, and that the Speaker do convey to Mrs. Newton and the family of the late member the condolences and sincere sympathy of the members of the Legislative Assembly. *Nem. con.*

At the request of Mr. Speaker, the House expressed its sympathy by standing in silence.

On the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, seconded by Mr. *Perrault*, it was *Ordered*,—

That this House proceed immediately to adjournment as an indication of our respect for the late member for Columbia, whose passing has been noted with profound regret by all members of this House.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 4.41 p.m.

**Friday, February 15, 1963**

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Padre *H. A. Mortimer*.

The following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* referred to the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills:—

On the motion of Mr. *Price*—Bill (No. 50) intituled *An Act to Amend the Vancouver Charter*.

On the motion of Mr. *Price*—Bill (No. 51) intituled *Interior Designers Act*.

On the motion of Mr. *Rhodes*, Bill (No. 22) intituled *An Act Respecting Agreements for Sale of Farm Machinery and Parts* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *E. C. F. Martin*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The Hon. *W. D. Black* (Provincial Secretary) presented the Report of the Civil Service Commission, Province of British Columbia, from January 1 to December 31, 1962.

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* (Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources) presented the Report of the Forest Service for the year ended December 31, 1962.

Mr. *Rhodes* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following question:—

With reference to Surrey Social Welfare Department, as of December, 1962, what was the total number of recipients of supplementary social allowances?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

"One thousand four hundred recipients."

Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following question:—

What were the total case loads by regions in the Social Welfare Branch as at December 31, 1962?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

"Region 1, 11,431; Region 2, 32,407; Region 3, 9,300; Region 4, 5,750; Region 5, 6,774; Region 6, 11,180; Region 7, 2,387; and total, 79,229."

Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following question:—

Were any individuals given in-service training by the Social Welfare Branch training division during 1962 and, if so, how many?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“Thirty-seven individuals were given initial training; fifty-eight, advanced training.”

Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

1. Did any social workers resign during 1962?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what was the total number of resignations, (b) how many of these resignations were (i) administrative, (ii) supervisory, (iii) field workers, and (c) of those who resigned, how many were university-trained?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“1. Yes.

“2. (a) Fifty-three, (b) (i) one, (ii) two, (iii) fifty, and (c) twelve.”

Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

1. Were there any supervisors employed in the Social Welfare Branch who lacked university training in the calendar year 1962?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how many?
3. Were there any social workers, exclusive of supervisors, employed in the Social Welfare Branch who lacked university training in the calendar year 1962?
4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, how many?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“1. Yes.

“2. One.

“3. Yes.

“4. 155½.”

Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With respect to social workers employed by the Social Welfare Branch as at December 31, 1962:—

1. How many were (a) Grade 1 and (b) Grade 2 social workers?
2. How many Grade 1 social workers had practical experience of less than (a) one year, (b) two years, (c) three years, (d) four years, and (e) five years in social work?
3. How many Grade 2 social workers had practical experience of less than (a) one year, (b) two years, (c) three years, (d) four years, and (e) five years in social work?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“1. (a) Ninety-eight and (b) 110.

“2. (a) Thirty-nine, (b) thirty-eight, (c) twenty-one, (d) and (e) eligible for reclassification to Social Worker—Grade 2 after three years' service.

“3. (a) Three, (b) six, (c) four, (d) fourteen, and (e) twenty-one.”

Mr. Rhodes asked the Hon. the Minister of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce the following question:—

During the year 1962 how many employees were engaged in (a) primary industries and (b) secondary industries?

The Hon. R. W. Bonner replied as follows:—

“(a) Fifty-five thousand persons were estimated to be employed in primary industries (i.e., fishing, forestry, agriculture, and mining) in British Columbia during 1962 and (b) 102,000 persons were estimated to be employed in manufacturing and 33,000 were estimated to be employed in construction in British Columbia during 1962.”

Mr. Barrett asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With respect to the number of social workers employed by the Social Welfare Branch as at December 31, 1962:—

1. What was the total number employed?
2. How many of the total number employed held B.S.W. or M.S.W. degrees?
3. How many were employed in the field exclusive of administrators and supervisors?
4. How many of those in No. 3 were not in possession of B.S.W. or M.S.W. degrees?

The Hon. W. D. Black replied as follows:—

- “1. 273.
- “2. 102.
- “3. 184½.
- “4. 149½.”

Mr. Rhodes asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With reference to the Surrey Social Welfare Department:—

1. What was the total number of recipients of social welfare assistance during the months of January, February, March, April, May, June, July, August, September, October, November, and December, 1962?
2. In each of the months in No. 1, how many were (a) heads of family, (b) dependents, (c) single men, and (d) single women?

The Hon. W. D. Black replied as follows:—

“1 and 2. Numbers in receipt of Social Allowance:—

Month, 1962	Heads of Families	Dependents	Single Men	Single Women	Total
January.....	889	2,844	338	322	4,393
February.....	873	2,848	332	212	4,265
March.....	906	3,041	340	216	4,503
April.....	857	2,770	309	209	4,145
May.....	839	2,765	293	212	4,109
June.....	841	2,711	278	202	4,032
July.....	847	2,812	142	190	3,991
August.....	780	2,556	131	185	3,652
September.....	780	2,447	136	187	3,550
October.....	776	2,586	170	195	3,727
November.....	801	2,432	214	202	3,649
December.....	844	2,722	273	212	4,051 ”

Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following question:—

What was the total number of social workers in the Social Welfare Branch, by regions, as at December 31, 1962?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“Region 1, 30; Region 2, 48; Region 3, 23; Region 4, 24; Region 5, 22; Region 6, 25; Region 7, 12½; and total, 184½.”

Mr. *Carnell* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following question:—

What was the case load in South Peace River area as at January 31, 1963, in following categories: (a) Family Service, (b) Social Service, (c) Blind Persons' Allowance, (d) Old-age Assistance, (e) Old Age Security Supplementary Social Allowance, (f) Disabled Persons' Allowance, (g) Child Welfare, and (h) Health and Institutional?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“(a) 31, (b) 958, (c) 3, (d) 76, (e) 192, (f) 22, (g) 215, and (h) 6.”

Mr. *Calder* asked the Hon. the Provincial Secretary the following questions:—

1. Has the Provincial Government considered the advisability of establishing a senior citizens' home in Atlin?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what action, if any, will be taken in 1963?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“1. No application has been forthcoming from Atlin as is required by the *Elderly Citizens' Housing Aid Act*.

“2. Not applicable.”

Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With reference to boards of review under the social assistance regulations:—

1. How many applications were received for boards (a) in each month of 1962 and (b) for the complete calendar year 1962?

2. How many boards were set up in 1962?

3. How many cases were resolved in the applicant's favour during 1962 (a) after hearing by a board of review and (b) after application for a board but without hearing by a board?

4. From what areas of the Province were the total number of applications received?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“1. (a) January, 4; February, 4; March, 7; April, 4; May, 3; June, 3; July, 2; August, 1; September, 2; October, *nil*; November, 1; December, 2; and (b) thirty-three.

“2. Thirty-three.

“3. (a) Thirteen and (b) separate statistics not kept of cases which are resolved without a hearing by a board of review.

“4. Armstrong, 1; Burnaby, 1; Haney, 1; Kelowna, 1; Lone Butte, 1; New Westminster, 2; North Surrey, 3; North Vancouver, 2; Prince George, 1; Saanich, 2; Surrey Centre, 1; Vancouver City, 15; and Victoria City, 2.”

Mr. Barrett asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With respect to the Boys' Industrial School:—

1. What was the total number of boys held as at December 31, 1962?
2. What was the total number of staff employed as at December 31, 1962?
3. What was the total number of social workers employed as at December 31, 1962?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“ 1. 180.

“ 2. Sixty-eight plus eleven under the Department of Public Works.

“ 3. Three.”

Mr. Barrett asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

1. What was the total number of staff employed by the Social Welfare Branch as at December 31, 1962?
2. What was the total number of staff in the Social Welfare Branch field service as at December 31, 1962?
3. How many of field service staff held B.S.W. or M.S.W. degrees?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“ 1. 622.

“ 2. 324½.

“ 3. Seventy-one.”

Mr. Barrett asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With respect to the Girls' Industrial School:—

1. What was the total number of girls held as at December 31, 1962?
2. What was the total number of staff employed as at December 31, 1962?
3. What was the total number of social workers employed as at December 31, 1962?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Sixty-six.

“ 2. Fifty-one.

“ 3. Four.”

Mr. Rhodes asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture the following questions:—

With reference to the *Milk Industry Act, 1956*:—

1. Were any charges laid under the Act in the year 1962 against (a) producers, (b) producer-vendors, or (c) vendors?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, in each case (a) how many charges were laid and (b) how many were found guilty?

The Hon. *F. X. Richter* replied as follows:—

“ 1. (a) Producers, yes; (b) producer-vendors, yes; and (c) vendors, yes.

“ 2. (a) Producers, two charges laid and (b) two found guilty; (a) producer-vendors, five charges laid and (b) five found guilty; (a) vendors, four charges laid and (b) three found guilty, one continuing.”

Mr. *Rhodes* asked the Hon. the Minister of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce the following questions:—

1. How many industries of a primary nature were incorporated in British Columbia in each of the years 1955, 1956, 1957, 1958, 1959, 1960, 1961, and 1962?

2. How many industries of a secondary nature were incorporated in British Columbia in each of the years 1955, 1956, 1957, 1958, 1959, 1960, 1961, and 1962?

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* replied as follows:—

“ 1 and 2. There is no breakdown kept of the number of incorporations by nature of industry. The total number of incorporations for the years 1955 to 1962 is as follows: 1955, 2,290; 1956, 2,646; 1957, 2,557; 1958, 2,933; 1959, 3,204; 1960, 2,754; 1961, 2,863; and 1962, 3,086.”

Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

1. In each Social Welfare office in the Province, what is the actual number of social workers employed as at December 31, 1962, exclusive of supervisors?

2. In each relevant office what is the total case load?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“ 1 and 2. Number of social workers employed as at December 31, 1962:—

“ *Region 1*

	Number of Social Workers	Total Case Load	
Alberni.....	4	1,084	
Courtenay.....	4	1,315	
Duncan.....	4	1,096	
Nanaimo.....	8	2,179	
Saanich.....	2	1,031	
Victoria City.....	9	2,861	
Victoria District.....	5	1,865	
			11,431

“ *Region 2*

Burnaby.....	13	3,959	
Coquitlam.....	3	898	
New Westminster District.....	4	1,106	
New Westminster City.....	6	2,009	
North Vancouver.....	3½	1,523	
Powell River.....	2	379	
Richmond.....	4	1,040	
Vancouver District.....	5	1,065	
Vancouver City.....	55	19,881	
West Vancouver.....	2	547	
			32,407



*" Region 3*

	Number of Social Workers	Total Case Load	
Kamloops.....	7	1,807	
Kelowna.....	2	733	
Lillooet.....	2	612	
Oliver.....	1	225	
Penticton.....	4	1,362	
Salmon Arm.....	3	593	
Vernon.....	4	1,291	
Kamloops City.....	2	596	
Kelowna City.....	2	685	
Penticton City.....	2	727	
Vernon City.....	1	669	
			9,300

*" Region 4*

Cranbrook.....	5	1,257	
Creston.....	2	700	
Fernie.....	1	400	
Grand Forks.....	2	562	
Nelson.....	7	1,333	
New Denver.....	2	401	
Trail.....	5	1,097	
			5,750

*" Region 5*

Dawson Creek.....	5½	1,481	
Fort St. John.....	3	693	
Prince George (main).....	4½	1,222	
Prince George (sub).....	3	1,473	
Quesnel.....	2	852	
Vanderhoof.....	2	441	
Williams Lake.....	2	612	
			6,774

*" Region 6*

Abbotsford.....	6	2,258	
Chilliwack.....	8	2,448	
Haney.....	3½	1,211	
Langley.....	4½	1,277	
Surrey.....	11	3,409	
White Rock.....	1½	577	
			11,180

*" Region 7*

Burns Lake.....	1	305	
Prince Rupert.....	5	941	
Smithers.....	2	620	
Terrace.....	3½	521	
			2,387
Total.....			79,229 "

Mr. *Rhodes* asked the Hon. the Minister of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce the following questions:—

1. In each of the years 1958, 1959, 1960, 1961, and 1962, did any industries in British Columbia (a) cease operations and (b) move to other Provinces?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how many?

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* replied as follows:—

“1 and 2. Statistics are kept only on the number of business failures under the provisions of the Federal Bankruptcy and Winding Up Acts. No information is available on the total number of industries ceasing operations or moving to other Provinces. The number of business failures under the Bankruptcy and Winding Up Acts in British Columbia for the years 1958 to 1962 is as follows: 1958, 71; 1959, 76; 1960, 120; 1961, 94; and 1962 (nine months), 93.”

Mr. *Dowding* asked the Hon. the Provincial Secretary the following question:—

What was the total number of (a) permanent Civil Servants and (b) non-Civil Service employees on the Provincial payroll as of the following dates in 1962: April 30, May 31, June 30, July 31, August 31, September 30, October 31, November 30, and December 31?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“April 30, 1962, (a) 10,274 permanent and casual Civil Servants and (b) 6,521 non-Civil Service employees; May 31, 1962, (a) 10,421 permanent and casual Civil Servants and (b) 7,454 non-Civil Service employees; June 30, 1962, (a) 10,474 permanent and casual Civil Servants and (b) 7,623 non-Civil Service employees; July 31, 1962, (a) 10,481 permanent and casual Civil Servants and (b) 8,134 non-Civil Service employees; August 31, 1962, (a) 10,497 permanent and casual Civil Servants and (b) 7,618 non-Civil Service employees; September 30, 1962, (a) 10,445 permanent and casual Civil Servants and (b) 6,840 non-Civil Service employees; October 31, 1962, (a) 10,465 permanent and casual Civil Servants and (b) 6,568 non-Civil Service employees; November 30, 1962, (a) 10,320 permanent and casual Civil Servants and (b) 6,260 non-Civil Service employees; December 31, 1962, (a) 10,303 permanent and casual Civil Servants and (b) 6,144 non-Civil Service employees.”

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. on Monday next.

And then the House adjourned at 5.56 p.m.