

Monday, March 2, 1970

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Father *M. Costello*.

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

JOHN R. NICHOLSON,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *Land Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 2, 1970.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 27) intituled *Land Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. D. Black* (on behalf of the Hon. *R. R. Loffmark*) the following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today:—

Bill (No. 26) intituled *An Act to Amend the Mental Health Act, 1964.*

Bill (No. 23) intituled *An Act to Amend the Chiropractic Act.*

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

123. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$104,784 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce, General Administration, to 31st March, 1971.

124. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$542,448 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce, Agent-General's Office and British Columbia House, London, England, to 31st March, 1971.

125. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$353,329 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce, Economics and Statistics Branch, to 31st March, 1971.

126. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,670,206 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce, Data Processing Centre, to 31st March, 1971.

127. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$56,486 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce, Industrial and Trade Office, to 31st March, 1971.

128. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$52,568 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce, British Columbia House, California, to 31st March, 1971.

129. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce, Temporary Assistance, to 31st March, 1971.

130. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$75,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce, Advertising and Publicity, to 31st March, 1971.

131. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$670,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce, Trade Promotion, to 31st March, 1971.

132. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$15,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce, Provincial Exhibits, British Columbia Building, to 31st March, 1971.

133. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$320,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce, Grants to British Columbia Research Council, to 31st March, 1971.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

By leave of the House, the Hon. *D. R. J. Campbell* (Minister of Municipal Affairs) presented the Report on the Effect of Proposed Boundary Change—District of Surrey and City of White Rock.

144 Mr. *R. A. Williams* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following questions:—

1. Were any applications made to acquire Crown lands on Bowen Island in 1967, 1968, and (or) 1969?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, were any Crown lands leased or sold in any of these years?

3. If the answer to No. 2 is yes, in the case of each piece of property what was (a) the legal description, (b) the acreage, (c) the name of the purchaser or lessee, and (d) the revenue obtained by the Crown?

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* replied as follows:—

“1. Yes, applications were received in all three years.

“2. Yes.”

3. The reply should be in the form of a Return and the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources has no objection to laying such Return upon the table of the House, and thereupon presented such Return.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 6 p.m.

Monday, March 2, 1970

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 11 p.m.

Tuesday, March 3, 1970

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *F. Otko*.

The following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today:—

On the motion of Mr. *Nimsick*, Bill (No. 31) intituled *An Act to Amend the Medical Act*.

On the motion of Mr. *Gardom*, Bill (No. 32) intituled *An Act to Amend the Law Reform Commission Act*.

On the motion of the Hon. *L. R. Peterson*, Bill (No. 30) intituled *Professional Corporations Act*.

By leave of the House, the Hon. *L. R. Peterson* withdrew notice of introduction of a Bill intituled *Professionals Incorporation Act* standing in his name on the Order Paper.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose, reported progress, and asked leave to sit again. The report was adopted.

The Committee further reported that in consideration of Resolution No. 18 the Committee divided on the following motion:—

Moved by Mr. *Gardom*, seconded by Mr. *L. A. Williams*,—

That Vote No. 18 be amended in that the item allowed therein for the salary of the Attorney-General be reduced by \$1 to \$19,999.

and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

Report adopted.

Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Gardom*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the division in Committee on Resolution No. 18 be recorded in the Journals of the House as follows:—

YEAS—17

Messieurs

<i>Brousson</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Dowding</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>
<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Clark</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Daily, Mrs.</i>
<i>Lorimer</i>			

NAYS—32

Messieurs

<i>Wallace</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Peterson</i>	<i>Skillings</i>
<i>Merilees</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Marshall</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Wenman</i>	<i>McCarthy, Mrs.</i>	<i>Campbell, B.</i>	<i>Gaglardi</i>
<i>Kripps, Mrs.</i>	<i>Jordan, Mrs.</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>Campbell, D. R. J.</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Dawson, Mrs.</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>Price</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>McDiarmid</i>	<i>Shelford</i>
<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Capozzi</i>	<i>Richter</i>

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.58 p.m.

Tuesday, March 3, 1970

EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

18. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$55,644 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Attorney-General's Office, to 31st March, 1971.

19. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$398,782 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, General Administration, to 31st March, 1971.

20. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$6,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Incidentals and Contingencies, to 31st March, 1971.

21. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$725,034 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Justice and Courts, Supreme and County Courts, to 31st March, 1971.

22. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$125,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Justice and Courts, Coroners' Inquests and Inquiries, to 31st March, 1971.

23. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,531,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Justice and Courts, Administration of Justice, to 31st March, 1971.

24. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$21,330 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Justice and Courts, Judicial Conferences, to 31st March, 1971.

25. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,406,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Justice and Courts, *Provincial Court Act*, to 31st March, 1971.

26. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$431,192 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Justice and Courts, Sheriffs' Offices, to 31st March, 1971.

27. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$549,924 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Justice and Courts, Official Reporters, to 31st March, 1971.

28. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,535,560 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Registration, Land Registry Offices, to 31st March, 1971.

29. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$202,170 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Registration, Companies Office, to 31st March, 1971.

30. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,276,059 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Registration, Motor-vehicle Branch, to 31st March, 1971.

31. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$327,638 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Trustees, Public Trustee's Office, to 31st March, 1971.

32. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$160,146 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Regulation and Inspection, Insurance and Real Estate Office, to 31st March, 1971.

33. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$234,202 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Regulation and Inspection, Securities Commission Office, to 31st March, 1971.

34. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$82,502 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Regulation and Inspection, Credit Unions Office, to 31st March, 1971.

35. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$242,606 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Regulation and Inspection, Fire Marshal's Office, to 31st March, 1971.

36. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$38,780 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Regulation and Inspection, Censor of Moving Pictures Office, to 31st March, 1971.

37. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$91,484 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Regulation and Inspection, Racing Commission, to 31st March, 1971.

38. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$6,336,568 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Police Services, Policing by Royal Canadian Mounted Police, to 31st March, 1971.

39. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$224,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Police Services, Sundry Incidental Police Expenses, to 31st March, 1971.

40. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$13,343,065 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Corrections, Correction Services, to 31st March, 1971.

41. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$26,214 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Corrections, British Columbia Parole Board, to 31st March, 1971.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

By leave of the House, the Hon. *L. R. Peterson* tabled a Report of the Commission of Inquiry into the Operation of the Business of Casinos in Freeport and in Nassau.

By leave of the House, the Hon. *L. R. Peterson* tabled correspondence between Alpine Outdoor Recreation Resources Limited and the Hon. *R. G. Williston*, Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources.

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* (Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance) presented the Annual Report of the Mental Health Branch for the year 1969.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 11.59 p.m.

Wednesday, March 4, 1970

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *R. B. Sparling*.

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

JOHN R. NICHOLSON,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *Age of Majority Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 4, 1970.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 29) intituled *Age of Majority Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

On the motion that the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8 o'clock p.m. today, a debate arose.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:—

YEAS—36

Messieurs

<i>Wallace</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>McDiarmid</i>
<i>Ney</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Capozzi</i>
<i>Merilees</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Skillings</i>
<i>Marshall</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Peterson</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Wenman</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Kripps, Mrs.</i>	<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Campbell, D. R. J.</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>McCarthy, Mrs.</i>	<i>Campbell, B.</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>Price</i>	<i>Jordan, Mrs.</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>Shelford</i>
<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Dawson, Mrs.</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Richter</i>

NAYS—17

Messieurs

<i>Brousson</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Dowding</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>
<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Clark</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Daily, Mrs.</i>
<i>Lorimer</i>			

And then the House adjourned at 6.05 p.m.

Wednesday, March 4, 1970

EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

JOHN R. NICHOLSON,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith amendments to Bill (No. 20) intituled *An Act to Amend the Landlord and Tenant Act*, enclosed herewith, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 4, 1970.

(ENCLOSURE)

Section 2: To add to new section 51 of the Act, after subsection (4), the following as subsection (5):—

“(5) No increase in rent, or notice to a tenant increasing the rent, for a residential premises shall be valid or enforceable if made or given between the twenty-fifth day of February, 1970, and the date this Act comes into force, unless the notice increasing the rent was given to the tenant prior to the twenty-fifth day of February, 1970.”

Ordered, That the said Message, and the amendments accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the proposed amendments to Bill (No. 20) intituled *An Act to Amend the Landlord and Tenant Act*, a draft of which is annexed to the Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, be referred to the Committee having in charge Bill (No. 20).

Resolution reported.

Report adopted.

By leave of the House, Mr. *Hartley* tabled copy of a letter dated January 30, 1970, signed by T. Davis, underwriter, presumably from the State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company to one Jorgensen, and a Bulletin of the Insurance Agents' Association of British Columbia dated February 25, 1970.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

134. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$9,228 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Labour, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1971.

135. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$783,058 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Labour, Labour Standards Branch, to 31st March, 1971.

136. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$205,146 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Labour, Factories Branch, to 31st March, 1971.

137. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$3,607,352 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Labour, Apprenticeship and Industrial Training Branch, to 31st March, 1971.

138. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$108,750 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Labour, Labour Relations Branch, to 31st March, 1971.

139. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$431,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Labour, Mediation Commission, to 31st March, 1971.

140. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$11,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Labour, Motor-vehicles and Accessories, to 31st March, 1971.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

67 Mr. *Hall* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following questions:—

With respect to the report of the Select Standing Committee on Social Welfare and Education, presented to the Legislature on April 3, 1968:—

1. Has the resources service recommended by the Committee been operative during 1969?
2. If the answer is yes, what services and research have been provided and undertaken?
3. Are the conclusions available to the members of the House?

The Hon. *D. L. Brothers* replied as follows:—

“1. The Department of Education is implementing those recommendations having to do with education.

“2. An invitational seminar with Province-wide representation has been conducted; 73 school districts have reported special educational activities following the seminar; films have been purchased for school use; additional printed materials are being prepared in consultation with the Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance; and an educational television series is being prepared.

“3. Not applicable.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 10.33 p.m.

Thursday, March 5, 1970

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *Paul Hawkes*.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

123 Mr. *Nimsick* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following questions:—

With reference to the regulation under the *Park Act* allowing the use of power boats on Bowron Lake:—

1. Is there any speed limit set for the operation of boats?
2. Did any organizations, individuals, or resorts make representations to the Government requesting a regulation to allow the use of power boats on this lake?
3. If the answer to No. 2 is yes, what are the names and addresses of the organizations, individuals, or resorts making the representations?

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Not on Bowron Lake; but speed limits have been set on Bowron River, on Swan Lake, and on the northerly portion of Spectacle Lake.

“ 2. Yes.

“ 3. Wells and District Chamber of Commerce, Wells; Wells Rod and Reel Club, Wells; Wells Merchant Association, Wells; R. D. McKittrick, Bowron Lake Lodge and Resorts Limited, Box 160, Quesnel; Donald L. Hopcott, Box 1035, Quesnel; Bud Williams, Wells; Nick Warawa, Wells; H. Bryant, Wells; S. Danhauer, Wells; and Paul Pavich, Wells.”

And then the House adjourned at 6 p.m.

Thursday, March 5, 1970

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

JOHN R. NICHOLSON,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *Litter Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 5, 1970.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 33) intituled *Litter Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of the Hon. *R. G. Williston*, Bill (No. 28) intituled *An Act to Amend the Land Surveyors Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The House continued to sit after midnight.

FRIDAY, MARCH 6.

The Committee rose and reported progress.

Report to be considered at the next sitting.

Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

150 Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture the following questions:—

1. Was any advertising placed by newspaper, radio, television, or any other form by the Department of Agriculture for publication during the period July 1, 1969, to August 31, 1969?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what was the total cost of the advertising, (b) what was the name of each company or individual supplying the service, and (c) what amount was paid in each case for this service?

The Hon. *C. M. Shelford* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) \$677.99; (b) and (c) Farm Papers Limited, \$160; Country Life, \$120.96; Farm Papers Limited, \$160; The Leader Post Limited, \$43.40; The Guelph Mercury, \$17.60; Enderby Commoner, \$13.20; Gazette Printing Company, \$12.54; Cariboo Observer, \$26.20; Bridge River-Lillooet News, \$35.84; Nechako Chronicle, \$3.37; Lumby Logger, \$38.40; Juan de Fuca News-Review, \$11.20; and Prince George Citizen, \$35.28.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 12.03 a.m.

Friday, March 6, 1970

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *K. M. Wood*.

By leave of the House, the Hon. *W. D. Black* (Provincial Secretary) presented the Report of the British Columbia Liquor Inquiry Commission.

The following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today:—

On the motion of the Hon. *R. G. Williston*, Bill (No. 37) intituled *An Act to Amend the Forest Act*.

On the motion of the Hon. *C. M. Shelford*, Bill (No. 34) intituled *An Act to Amend the Veterinary Medical Act*.

On the motion of the Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi*, Bill (No. 36) intituled *An Act to Amend the Protection of Children Act*.

On the motion of the Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi*, Bill (No. 35) intituled *An Act to Amend the Adoption Act*.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

46. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$49,942 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1971.

47. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$260,706 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, General Administration, to 31st March, 1971.

48. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$110,272 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of General Educational Services, Curriculum Branch, to 31st March, 1971.

49. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$146,636 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of General Educational Services, Research and Standards Branch, to 31st March, 1971.

50. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$95,460 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of General Educational Services, Post-secondary and Adult Education Branch, to 31st March, 1971.

51. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$614,591 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of General Educational Services, Correspondence School, to 31st March, 1971.

52. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$5,655,332 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of General Educational Services, Textbook Branch, to 31st March, 1971.

53. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,541,634 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of Local Educational Services, Inspection of Schools and School Services, to 31st March, 1971.

54. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$950,155 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of Special Educational Services, Jericho Hill School, to 31st March, 1971.

55. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$470,902 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of Teacher Registration and Examinations, Teacher Registration and Examinations, to 31st March, 1971.

56. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$114,986 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Finance Branch, to 31st March, 1971.

57. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$117,519,819 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Post-secondary Education and Training, to 31st March, 1971.

58. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$15,000,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Capital Expenditures, Provincial Technical and Vocational Schools, and Grants to School Districts, to 31st March, 1971.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Chairman further reported a division on an amendment to Vote 46, and that the Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

The report was not adopted.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 6.05 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

Friday, March 6, 1970

FIVE MINUTES PAST SIX O'CLOCK P.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

59. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$213,000,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Grants to School Districts, to 31st March, 1971.

60. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$6,420,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Teachers' Superannuation Fund, to 31st March, 1971.

61. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$350,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Night-school Grants and Training Programmes, to 31st March, 1971.

62. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$37,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Education of Soldiers' Dependent Children and Expenses, to 31st March, 1971.

63. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$60,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Incidentals, Grants, and Contingencies, to 31st March, 1971.

64. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$63,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Temporary Assistance, to 31st March, 1971.

65. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Advances *re* Rural School and Library Taxes, to 31st March, 1971.

 The Committee reported the Resolutions.
 Report to be considered at the next sitting.
 Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. on Monday next.

And then the House adjourned at 6.27 p.m.

Monday, March 9, 1970

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *Reg Carbol*.

On the motion of Mrs. *Dailly*, the following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today:—

Bill (No. 42) intituled *An Act to Amend the Female Minimum Wage Act*.

Bill (No. 41) intituled *An Act to Amend the Male Minimum Wage Act*.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Chairman reported progress.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Upon a point of order being taken that it was not competent for the Chairman to report progress, Mr. Speaker ruled that the proper procedure had been followed.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8 o'clock p.m. today.

125 Mr. *B. Campbell* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

With reference to the Mica Dam project:—

1. What was the total cost of construction of this project in 1967, 1968, and 1969?
2. Is construction of Mica Dam currently on schedule?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. The total cost of construction of the Mica Storage Dam and of Phase 1 generation work being done in conjunction with the work on the dam, including interest during construction and overhead costs, was: 1967, \$29,013,124; 1968, \$29,397,176; and 1969, \$40,068,055.

“ 2. Yes.”

139 Mr. *McGeer* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

With reference to the Columbia River power development:—

1. What was the total amount spent in Canada on the Libby Reservoir to December 31, 1969?
2. What is the current estimate of the total amount required to complete the project in Canada?
3. Is this expenditure being charged to the \$273,291,661 received for Columbia River development?
4. If the answer to No. 3 is no, to what account is the expenditure being charged?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. \$1,636,473.

“ 2. Not finalized.

“ 3. No.

“ 4. In 1968/69 to Special Warrant No. 36 and in 1969/70 to Vote 205.”

142 Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Premier the following question:—

What is the mill cost per kilowatt-hour of Peace River power at site?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ The cost of power delivered to the integrated system at site during the period April 1, 1969, to December 31, 1969, was 2.81 mills per kilowatt-hour. The at-

site cost for 1969 shown in the Energy Board Report, dated July 31, 1961, is 3.92 mills per kilowatt-hour."

143 Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Council the following questions:—

With reference to the power supply to the Magic Lake Subdivision on Pender Island:—

1. Has British Columbia Hydro supplied power for the subdivision?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, was the power cable brought in via Thieves Bay?
3. If the answer to No. 2 is in the affirmative, was the cable removed later and brought in at Irene Bay?
4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, what was the cost of the project?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

" 1. Yes.

" 2. No.

" 3. Not applicable.

" 4. Not applicable."

And then the House adjourned at 6.02 p.m.

Monday, March 9, 1970

EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Mr. Speaker made the following statement:—

Honourable Members,—At the time of adjournment of the afternoon sitting, a point of order was raised as to the procedure adopted concluding the business of the Committee and the subsequent adjournment of the House. I expressed the opinion at that time that the procedure adopted was the correct one and in so ruling referred honourable members to the fact that the procedure in question is not set forth in our Standing Orders but in accordance with our Standing Order No. 1, "The usages and customs of the House of Commons of the United Kingdom and Northern Ireland as in force at the time shall be followed." The English practice will be found at page 633, in the 17th edition of Sir Erskine May's Parliamentary Practice, which reads as follows: "the Chairman is either directed (the question to that effect having been put and agreed to) to 'report progress and ask leave to sit again,' or (if proceedings in the Committee are cut short by the hour of interruption) he reports progress and asks leave to sit again without such direction." I readily appreciate the interest and concern of honourable members in questioning the procedure followed, as the Journals of the House do not disclose any previous statement on the matter. Accordingly I have now stated these reasons so that they might appear in the Journals for future reference and guidance.

W. H. MURRAY, *Speaker*.

The Hon. *F. X. Richter* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

JOHN R. NICHOLSON,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *Mineral Processing Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 9, 1970.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 40) intituled *Mineral Processing Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of the Hon. *R. G. Williston*, Bill (No. 38) intituled *British Columbia Professional Foresters Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

140 Mr. *Nimsick* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following questions:—

1. Has the Department been approached to purchase the Busch Ranch in the Wolf Creek Valley in the East Kootenay to provide winter range for big game in that area?
2. If so, are any negotiations going on for the purchase of this property?
3. If the answer to No. 2 is yes, will the grazing permit held by this ranch be included in the negotiations?
4. Will such grazing permit be used by the Department to guarantee winter range for big game in the area?

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. Yes.

“ 3. Yes, provided the negotiations are concluded successfully, the grazing permit will be cancelled out.

“ 4. The purchase of the Busch Ranch is predicated on the need to enhance winter range for wildlife.”

154 Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following questions:—

1. Was any advertising placed by newspaper, radio, television, or any other form by the Department of Recreation and Conservation for publication during the period July 1, 1969, to August 31, 1969?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what was the total cost of the advertising, (b) what was the name of each company or individual supplying the service, and (c) what amount was paid in each case for this service?

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) \$1,070.53; (b) Vernon News Limited, Vernon, \$16; Kelowna Daily Courier, Kelowna, \$31.68; Peace River Block News, Dawson Creek, \$26.40; Alaska Highway News, Fort St. John, \$47.60; The Citizen, Prince George, \$53.20; Pacific Press, Vancouver, \$308; Victoria Press, Victoria, \$57.60; Vancouver Island Tourist Services, \$384.25; The Daily News, Prince Rupert, \$40.80; Queen's Printer, The British Columbia Gazette, \$105; and (c) *see (b)*.”

And then the House adjourned at 11.10 p.m.

Tuesday, March 10, 1970

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Pastor *John Baerg*.

The Hon. *W. D. Black* (Provincial Secretary) presented an addendum to the Report of the British Columbia Liquor Inquiry Commission.

The following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today:—

On the motion of the Hon. *L. R. Peterson*, Bill (No. 39) intituled *An Act to Amend the Legal Professions Act*.

On the motion of Mr. *Barrett*, Bill (No. 46) intituled *An Act Inquiring into and a Survey of the Extent of Which Marijuana, LSD, Heroin, and Lysergic Drugs Are Illegally Available*.

By leave of the House, the Hon. R. R. Loffmark withdrew notice of introduction of a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Vancouver General Hospital Act, 1902*, standing in his name on the Order Paper.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

93. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$52,692 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1971.

94. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$259,188 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Accounting Division, to 31st March, 1971.

95. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,371,047 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, General Services, to 31st March, 1971.

96. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$428,278 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Division of Rehabilitation, to 31st March, 1971.

97. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$740,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Grants for Health Agencies, to 31st March, 1971.

98. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$450,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Cancer Control (Including Grants, Etc.), to 31st March, 1971.

99. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$600,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Public Health Research, to 31st March, 1971.

100. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$545,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Grants *re* Local Health Services, to 31st March, 1971.

101. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$5,491,222 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Local Health Services, to 31st March, 1971.

102. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$898,956 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Division of Laboratories, to 31st March, 1971.

103. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$591,704 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Division of Vital Statistics, to 31st March, 1971.

104. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$198,150 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Division of Venereal Disease Control, to 31st March, 1971.

105. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$895,962 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Division of Tuberculosis Control, Administration and Out-patient Care, to 31st March, 1971.

106. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,417,055 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Division of Tuberculosis Control, In-patient Care, to 31st March, 1971.

The Chairman reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

At the hour of 6 o'clock p.m. Mr. Speaker left the chair.

Tuesday, March 10, 1970

EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

107. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$975,012 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Mental Health Services, General Administration, to 31st March, 1971.

108. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,326,744 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Mental Health Services, Division of Nursing Education, to 31st March, 1971.

109. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,185,048 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Mental Health Services, Community Services, to 31st March, 1971.

110. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$32,923,026 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Mental Health Services, In-patient Care, to 31st March, 1971.

111. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$176,600,408 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Hospital Insurance Services, Hospital Insurance Service, to 31st March, 1971.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

18 Mrs. *Daily* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With reference to aircraft under the authority of the Department of Highways for the fiscal year 1968/69:—

1. Were aircraft used for other than Government business?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, who were the persons involved and what was the purpose of each flight?
3. How many aircraft were under the authority of the Department?
4. What was the total maintenance cost for each aircraft in each relevant year?
5. What are the details as to destination and passengers carried recorded in the flight logs for each aircraft?
6. During the year were any aircraft chartered by the Department?
7. If the answer to No. 6 is yes, what was the annual cost of such charters?
8. What are the names and salaries received by the pilots of Departmental aircraft?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. No record.
- “ 2. Not applicable.
- “ 3. Six, reduced to five in June, 1968.
- “ 4. CF-BCB, \$41,586.69; CF-BCC, \$46,230.18; CF-BCF, \$46,695.96; CF-BCI, nil; CF-BCJ, \$27,321.13; and CF-FHF, \$9,593.78.
- “ 5. Flight logs for calendar year 1969 have been tabled earlier in this Session.
- “ 6. Yes.
- “ 7. Fixed wing, \$24,497.18; and helicopters, \$30,647.54.
- “ 8. Rowat, C. A., \$680; Masini, J. E., \$680; Beddoes, G. R., \$630; Enzenhofer, C. R., \$680; Sealey, E. M., \$865; Bonare, A. J., \$680; Clark, C. A., \$795; Iverson, L. O., \$735; Page, R. N., \$990; Allabarton, H. M., \$1,030; McNeill, J. M., \$1,075; and Toye, T. B., \$1,170.”

101 Mr. *B. Campbell* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following questions:—

Regarding the University of British Columbia, the University of Victoria, Simon Fraser University, and Notre Dame University:—

1. How many students were registered in each of these universities respectively for the school-year 1968/69?
2. How many of these students in each case were (a) residents of British Columbia, (b) residents of Canada, and (c) residents of countries other than Canada?
3. With respect to No. 2 above, what are these figures expressed as a percentage of each university's total enrolment?

The Hon. *D. L. Brothers* replied as follows:—

“ For 1968/69 the table below shows the data requested in the three parts of Question 101:—

University	Full-time Enrolment	Students Classified by Residence Showing Number and Percentage in Each University		
		Students from British Columbia	Students from Other Provinces	Students from Outside of Canada
U.B.C.	18,929	16,647=87.9%	1,231= 6.5%	1,051= 5.6%
U.Vic.	4,764	4,607=96.7%	95= 2.0%	62= 1.3%
S.F.U.	5,253	Not available for 1968-69		
N.D.U.	520	339=65.2%	118=22.7%	63=12.1%

102 Mr. *B. Campbell* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following questions:—

Regarding regional colleges in British Columbia:—

1. How many students were registered in each of the regional colleges respectively for the school-year 1968/69?

2. How many of these students in each case were (a) residents of the participating school district, (b) residents of British Columbia, other than residents of the participating school district, (c) residents of Canada, and (d) residents of countries other than Canada?

3. With respect to No. 2 above, what percentage of the individual regional college's enrolment are the answers to (a), (b), (c), and (d)?

The Hon. *D. L. Brothers* replied as follows:—

“For 1968/69 the table below provides the information requested in the three parts of Question 102:—

College	Full-time Enrolment	Students Classified by Residence Showing Number and Percentage in Each College			
		Students from Participating Districts	Students from Elsewhere in British Columbia	Students from Other Provinces	Students from Outside of Canada
Vancouver.....	2,663	2,452=92.1%	189=7.1%	16=.6%	6=.2%
Selkirk.....	463	409=88.3%	35=7.6%	14=3.0%	5=1.1%
Okanagan.....	310	265=85.5%	26=8.4%	19=6.1%	0=0.0%
Capilano.....	490	438=89.4%	32=6.5%	20=4.1%	0=0.0%

103 Mr. *B. Campbell* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following questions:—

With regard to the employment of the teachers and faculty at the four universities in this Province:—

1. How many university professors, lecturers, or teachers are employed at the four universities?

2. Of these, how many are teachers only, how many are in research, and how many are doing both?

3. Of those who teach, how many hours per week are spent in teaching?

4. What is the range of salaries in each university?

The Hon. *D. L. Brothers* replied as follows:—

“The table below provides reply to parts 1, 2, and 4 of Question 103 for the year 1968/69:—

University	Number of Full-time Faculty	Number of Full-time Faculty Who—			Salary Range (Annual)
		Teach Only	Do Research Only	Teach and Conduct Research	
U.B.C.....	1,311	None	None	1,311	\$7,800—\$22,200
U.Vic.....	303	None	None	303	7,500— 19,560
S.F.U.....	350	None	None	350	7,800— 21,900
N.D.U.....	43	40	None	3	6,300— 12,270

To part 3, the Minister replied as follows:—

“Definitive hours of teaching are not possible to state in average terms. The combination of teaching or ‘contact’ hours and other activities associated with instruction appear to vary from 25 to 35 hours or more per week.”

104 Mr. *B. Campbell* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following questions:—

With regard to the employment of teachers and faculty at the regional colleges in this Province:—

1. How many professors, lecturers, or teachers are employed at each regional college?
2. Of these, how many are teachers only, how many are in research, and how many are doing both?
3. Of those who teach, how many hours per week are spent in teaching?
4. What is the range of salaries in each regional college?

The Hon. *D. L. Brothers* replied as follows:—

“The table below provides reply to parts 1, 2, and 4 of Question 104 for the year 1968/69:—

College	Number of Full-time Faculty	Number of Full-time Faculty Who—			Salary Range (Annual)
		Teach Only	Do Research Only	Teach and Conduct Research	
Vancouver.....	139	136	None	3	\$7,760–\$13,600
Selkirk.....	51	45	None	6	7,000– 16,100
Okanagan.....	F.T. 5*	5	None	None	9,000– 12,000
	P.T.31*				
Capilano.....	F.T. 3*	3	None	None	7,400– 12,800
	P.T.70*				

* * In the opening year of operation both of these colleges used many part-time instructors.”

To part 3, the Minister replied as follows:—

“Definitive hours of teaching are not possible to state in average terms. The combination of teaching or ‘contact’ hours and other activities associated with instruction appear to vary from 25 to 35 hours or more per week.”

129 Mr. *Cocke* asked the Hon. the Provincial Secretary the following question:—

With reference to the Minister’s answer to Question No. 40, part 16 (c), 1970: In the case of each licensed medicare carrier, what was the total amount of reimbursement for (a) salaries, (b) general office expenses, and (c) payments for benefits?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“(a) Reimbursement for proportionate salaries and employee benefits: Automotive Retailers’ Association, \$11,588; British Columbia Medical Plan, \$903,970; Canadian Pacific Employees’ Medical Association, \$36,921; C.U. & C. Health Services Society, \$299,298; Fraser Valley Medical Services Society, \$15,264; Medical Services Association, \$812,645; Provincial Teachers’ Medical Services, \$85,510.

“(b) Reimbursement for proportionate general office expenses: Automotive Retailers’ Association, \$6,376; British Columbia Medical Plan, \$980,448; Canadian Pacific Employees’ Medical Association, \$26,843; C.U. & C. Health Services

Society, \$199,430; Fraser Valley Medical Services Society, \$16,731; Medical Services Association, \$640,139; Provincial Teachers' Medical Services, \$77,135; general, \$97.

“(c) See answer to Question 40 part 16 (d) and Division 10 of the *Medical Services Act* regulations; by and under the Commission and staff of the Commission only selected plants and facilities are used to pay claims accounts, in addition to direct payment by the Commission for certain institutional claims; and payments through a licensed carrier would not necessarily pertain to subscribers enrolled through that carrier.”

130 Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Labour the following questions:—

With reference to payments made to individual physicians by the Workmen's Compensation Board:—

1. What was the total amount paid for physicians' services (a) for the year ended December 31, 1968, and (b) December 31, 1969?

2. What was the number of physicians who provided the services referred to in No. 1?

3. Does the Board keep a record of payments made to individual physicians to assist them when declaring income for tax purposes?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* replied as follows:—

“1. 1968, \$2,053,369.65; and 1969, \$2,358,378.09.

“2. 1968, 1,702; and 1969, 1,811. Where payment was made to a partnership or clinic composed of more than one doctor, it is included in the quoted numbers as a count of one.

“3. Yes.”

134 Mr. *Cocke* asked the Hon. the Provincial Secretary the following questions:—

With reference to the *Teachers' Pensions Act*, the Annual Reports of business done in pursuance of this Act, and the Report of the Comptroller-General:—

1. What was the year of commencement of each of the 1,771 allowances reported in force in December, 1968, in the Twenty-eighth Annual Report of the Teachers' Pensions Fund?

2. What had been the average duration of the 42 allowances which expired in 1967 and the 40 allowances which expired in 1968?

3. (a) What securities were purchased by the Fund in the final quarters of 1968 and 1969, (b) what was the par value, purchase price, and yield of these investments, and (c) what were the dates of each purchase?

4. On what dates have actuarial valuations of the Fund occurred since 1961?

5. What services were provided by the consulting actuary during 1968 and 1969 and what fees were paid for these services?

6. What is the meaning (in the Report of the Comptroller-General) of the three sentences which state: “The *Teachers' Pensions Act* provides for an actuarial valuation of the Fund every three years. The last such valuation was made in an actuarial report dated March 12, 1969. Neither the books of the Fund nor the above balance-sheet reflect computations of the kind made by an actuary in preparing his report”?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Teachers’ pensions in force in December, 1968, by year of commencement:—

Year of Commencement	Number in Force, December 31, 1968	Year of Commencement	Number in Force, December 31, 1968	Year of Commencement	Number in Force, December 31, 1968
1930.....	1	1944.....	15	1958.....	37
1931.....	3	1945.....	21	1959.....	52
1932.....	2	1946.....	28	1960.....	62
1933.....	5	1947.....	36	1961.....	81
1934.....	3	1948.....	27	1962.....	83
1935.....	10	1949.....	29	1963.....	106
1936.....	7	1950.....	27	1964.....	106
1937.....	7	1951.....	33	1965.....	123
1938.....	5	1952.....	35	1966.....	199
1939.....	3	1953.....	32	1967.....	180
1940.....	1	1954.....	33	1968.....	202
1941.....	11	1955.....	42		
1942.....	15	1956.....	42		<u>1,231</u>
1943.....	15	1957.....	52		
	<u>88</u>		<u>452</u>		

“ Total pensions in force in December, 1968, 1,771.

“ 2. Average duration of the 42 allowances which expired in 1967 was 17 years, 10 months. Average duration of the 40 allowances which expired in 1968 was 17 years, 8 months.

“ 3 (a), (b), and (c):—

Purchases: October–December, 1968

Date of Purchase	Description	Par Value	Purchase Price	Yield (Per Cent)
October 1.....	British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority, 7% bonds due October 1, 1993.....	\$1,965,000	\$1,942,206	7.10
December 16.....	British Columbia School Districts Capital Financing Authority, 7% bonds due December 15, 1993.....	5,650,000	5,456,205	7.30

Purchases: October–December, 1969

October 1.....	British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority, 7.625% bonds due October 1, 1994.....	3,840,000	3,799,834	7.72
December 1.....	British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority, 8% bonds due December 1, 1994.....	4,160,000	4,124,640	8.08
December 30.....	British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority, 8% bonds due December 30, 1994.....	1,410,000	1,384,620	8.17

“ 4. As at December 31, 1962, and December 31, 1965.

“ 5. The actuary made reports and computations required by the Commissioner for the administration of the Act; prepared an actuarial valuation of the asset and liabilities as at December 31, 1965; assisted in the preparation of changes in the plan; prepared tables for use in making actuarial computations under the Act; attended meetings in connection with these services; fees paid in 1968, \$25,636; fees paid in 1969, \$7,503.

“ 6. Mr. C. J. Ferber, Comptroller-General, has reported as follows: The actuarial report provides estimates of future patterns and results based on a variety of possible assumptions; books of account and the financial statements portray only the current cash position.”

138 Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With reference to assessments levied against Government drivers by the Safety Committee, fiscal year 1968/69:—

1. What was the average assessment levied?
2. What was the maximum assessment levied?
3. Do drivers assessed have any independent appeal procedure?
4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, what is the procedure?
5. Upon what legal basis does the Department levy these assessments?
6. Did any drivers refuse to accept the assessments and, if so, how many and what action was taken?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“The Committee does not levy assessments, but recommends them to the Deputy Ministers of the department concerned.

“1. The average assessment was \$12.66.

“2. The maximum assessment was \$50.

“3. Yes.

“4. Normal grievance procedure.

“5. These levies are made under the authority of the discretionary powers imposed upon the Deputy Ministers under the *Civil Service Act* or related Acts.

“6. Not known; it is the responsibility of the individual departments to collect assessments.”

148 Mr. *Macdonald* asked the Hon. the Provincial Secretary the following question:—

With reference to allowances under the Civil Service Superannuation Fund: How many allowances in each of the following categories are being paid out of the Civil Service Superannuation Fund, (a) \$100 per month or less, (b) over \$100 to and including \$150 per month, (c) over \$150 to and including \$200 per month, (d) over \$200 to and including \$250 per month, (e) over \$250 to and including \$300 per month, (f) over \$300 to and including \$350 per month, (g) over \$350 to and including \$400 per month, (h) over \$400 to and including \$450 per month, (i) over \$450 to and including \$500 per month, (j) over \$500 to and including \$600 per month, and (k) over \$600 per month?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“(a) 1,005, (b) 673, (c) 410, (d) 223, (e) 151, (f) 71, (g) 56, (h) 27, (i) 23, (j) 22, and (k) 25.”

151 Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:—

1. Was any advertising placed by newspaper, radio, television, or any other form by the Department of the Attorney-General for publication during the period July 1, 1969, to August 31, 1969?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what was the total cost of the advertising, (b) what was the name of each company or individual supplying the service, and (c) what amount was paid in each case for this service?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* replied as follows:—

“1. Yes.

“2. (a) \$1,883.04; (b) and (c) Victoria Press Limited, \$42.56; Country Life, \$60.48; James Lovick Limited, \$1,780.”

153 Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Travel Industry the following questions:—

1. Was any advertising placed by newspaper, radio, television, or any other form by the Department of Travel Industry for publication during the period July 1, 1969, to August 31, 1969?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what was the total cost of the advertising, (b) what was the name of each company or individual supplying the service, and (c) what amount was paid in each case for this service?

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) \$49,021.16, for normal fall season tourist promotion in newspapers in the following cities, plus magazine and radio coverage: Courtenay, Duncan, Langley, McBride, North Vancouver, Oliver, Quesnel, Kamloops, Kelowna, Kimberley, Nanaimo, Nelson, New Westminster, Penticton, Prince George, Prince Rupert, Trail, Vancouver, Vanderhoof, Victoria, \$4,073; Calgary, Edmonton, Lethbridge, Medicine Hat, Red Deer, Regina, Saskatoon, Eugene, Everett, Fresno, Long Beach, Los Angeles, Oakland, Olympia, Palo Alto, Pasadena, Pasco, Pomona, Portland, Sacramento, Salem, San Bernardino, San Diego, San Gabriel, San Jose, San Rafael, San Francisco, San Mateo, Santa Barbara, Santa Ana, Seattle, Spokane, Stockton, Tacoma, Yakima, \$44,948.16; (b) James Lovick Limited; and (c) commission is paid by the media to the advertising agency placing the ad.”

159 Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following questions:—

1. Was any advertising placed by newspaper, radio, television, or any other form by the Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources for publication during the period July 1, 1969, to August 31, 1969?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what was the total cost of the advertising, (b) what was the name of each company or individual supplying the service, and (c) what amount was paid in each case for this service?

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) \$240; (b) Industrial Progress of the North (Prince George Progress Publishing Limited); and (c) \$240.”

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* (Minister of Travel Industry) presented the Third Annual Report of the Department of Travel Industry.

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* (Minister of Labour) presented the Department of Labour Annual Report for the year ended December 31, 1969.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 10.03 p.m.