

Monday, September 26, 1983

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *M. Hope*.

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

By leave, the Hon. *R. H. McClelland* (Minister of Labour) tabled documents referred to during Oral Question Period.

The Member for Skeena rose to ask leave of the House to proceed to "Motions and Adjourned Debates on Motions."

Mr. Speaker stated that the order of business was the prerogative of the House Leader and as such it was not in order for the Member to make such a request. He stated further that on this particular occasion, without setting a precedent, he would ascertain whether or not the Member had necessary leave.

Leave was not granted.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

By leave, on the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House proceeded to "Public Bills and Orders."

On the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 11) intituled *Compensation Stabilization Amendment Act, 1983*, a debate arose.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Reynolds*, the debate was adjourned until later today.

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, it was *Resolved* that the House stand adjourned until 8 p.m.

And then the House adjourned at 5.54 p.m.

EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

The Deputy Clerk of the House informed the House of the unavoidable absence of Mr. Speaker, whereupon Mr. *Strachan*, Deputy Speaker, took the Chair, pursuant to Standing Order 12.

The House resumed the adjourned debate of the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 11) intituled *Compensation Stabilization Amendment Act, 1983*.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. *R. G. Fraser*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Bill (No. 7) intituled *Property Tax Reform Act (No. 1), 1983* was again committed, reported complete with amendments. Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of the Hon. *J. A. Nielsen*, the House adjourned at 10.46 p.m.

Tuesday, September 27, 1983

TEN O'CLOCK A.M.

Prayers by the Right Rev. *J. T. Frame*.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

By leave, on the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House proceeded to "Public Bills and Orders."

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 11) intituled *Compensation Stabilization Amendment Act, 1983*.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *A. J. Brummet* that the debate be adjourned until later today, the House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—28

<i>Parks</i>	<i>Fraser, A. V.</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>		<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Veitch</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Segarty</i>		<i>Pelton</i>	
<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>		
<i>Davis</i>			

NAYS—19

<i>Blencoe</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>
<i>Rose</i>	<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Cocke</i>
	<i>Brown</i>		<i>Howard</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Lea</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
	<i>Gabelmann</i>		

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 12) intituled *Property Tax Reform Act (No. 2)*, 1983.

The debate continued.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—27

<i>Parks</i>	<i>Fraser, A. V.</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>		<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Veitch</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Johnston</i>	
<i>Segarty</i>		<i>Pelton</i>	
<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>		
<i>Davis</i>			

NAYS—17

<i>Blencoe</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>
	<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Cocke</i>
	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Lauk</i>	
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Lea</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>

Bill (No. 12) read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

Mr. Speaker delivered his reserved decision as follows:

Honourable Members:

On September 22 last, the Honourable Member for Coquitlam-Moody raised, as a matter of privilege, the content of an answer given during oral question period which, in his words, were "not entirely true."

It has been consistently held, both in this House and in other jurisdictions, that a dispute between members as to allegations of fact does not fulfill the conditions of parliamentary privilege and I so find in the present instance.

Some of the more recent examples on claims of breach of privilege on these grounds are to be found in our Journals, 1982, at pages 12, 34, 55 and 132, and in the Federal Hansard of the House of Commons, Ottawa, 1972, pages 490, 993, 1593, 1965, 2541, 1976, 3268, 3598; 1973, pages 3047, 4802, 5575, and in Beauchesne, 5th Edition, page 12.

K. W. DAVIDSON, *Speaker*

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House adjourned at 12.14 p.m.

Tuesday, September 27, 1983

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

The Hon. *J. J. Hewitt* (Minister of Consumer and Corporate Affairs) tabled the 62nd Annual Report of the Liquor Control and Licensing Branch of the Ministry of Consumer and Corporate Affairs, for the fiscal year ended March 31, 1983.

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

Mr. *Howard* asked leave, pursuant to Standing Order 35, to move adjournment of the House to discuss a matter of urgent public importance relating to a motion appearing on the Order Paper in his name.

Mr. Speaker ruled that the Member sought, in effect, by means of Standing Order 35, to arrange the day's business of the House which is the prerogative of the Government House Leader and was therefore out of order.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

By leave, on the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House proceeded to "Public Bills and Orders."

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 11) intituled *Compensation Stabilization Amendment Act, 1983*.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Barnes*, the debate was adjourned until later today.

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, it was *Resolved* that the House stand adjourned until 7.30 p.m.

And then the House adjourned at 6 p.m.

SEVEN-THIRTY O'CLOCK P.M.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 11) intituled *Compensation Stabilization Amendment Act, 1983*.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Nicolson* that the debate be adjourned to the next sitting of the House, the House divided.

Motion negatived on the following division:

YEAS—9

<i>Rose</i>		<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Dailly</i>
	<i>Brown</i>		<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>		<i>Lea</i>	
		<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>

NAYS—25

<i>Parks</i>	<i>Fraser, A. V.</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reid</i>		<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>		<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Pelton</i>	
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>		<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Davis</i>			

The debate continued.

The Hon. *B. R. D. Smith* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant Governor, which read as follows:

ROBERT G. ROGERS
Lieutenant Governor

The Lieutenant Governor transmits herewith Bill (No. 35) intituled *Miscellaneous Statutes Amendment Act, 1983* and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.
Government House,
September 19, 1983

By leave of the House, Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 11).

The House continued to sit after midnight.

On the motion of Mr. *Lea* that the debate be adjourned to the next sitting of the House, the House divided.

Motion negatived on the following division:

YEAS—13

<i>Rose</i>	<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Dailly</i>
	<i>Brown</i>		<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Lea</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>

NAYS—26

<i>Parks</i>	<i>Fraser, A. V.</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reid</i>		<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>		<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Pelton</i>	
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Davis</i>			

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Gabelmann*, the debate was adjourned until later today.

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, it was *Resolved* that the House stand adjourned until 10 a.m.

And then the House adjourned at 1.01 a.m.

TEN O'CLOCK A.M.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 11) intituled *Compensation Stabilization Amendment Act, 1983*.

The debate continued.

Mr. *Blencoe* moved the following amendment—

That the motion be amended by leaving out the word “now” and adding the words “on this day six months hence.”

The debate on the amendment continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Macdonald*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House adjourned at 1 p.m.

Wednesday, September 28, 1983

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *L. Simpson*.

Order called for “Oral Questions by Members.”

By leave, on the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House proceeded to “Public Bills and Orders.”

Bill (No. 12) intituled *Property Tax Reform Act (No. 2), 1983* was committed, reported complete with amendments. Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

The Chairman further reported that in consideration of section 26, the Committee had divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—27

Parks
Reid

Fraser, A. V.
McGeer

Veitch
Segarty
Ree
Mowat
Kempf

Curtis
Bennett
Smith
Gardom
Nielsen
McCarthy

Campbell
Fraser, R. G.
Johnston
Pelton
Michael
Ritchie

Heinrich
McClelland
Schroeder
Rogers
Brummet
Waterland

NAYS—9

<i>Blencoe</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	
	<i>Hanson</i>	
	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
	<i>Gabelmann</i>	

The Chairman further reported that in consideration of section 33, the Committee had divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—28

<i>Parks</i>	<i>Fraser, A. V.</i>		
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>		<i>Hewitt</i>
		<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Pelton</i>	
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		

NAYS—10

<i>Blencoe</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>		
	<i>Hanson</i>		
		<i>Lauk</i>	
	<i>D'Arcy</i>		<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Skelly</i>		<i>Macdonald</i>
	<i>Gabelmann</i>		

The Chairman further reported that in consideration of section 35, the Committee had divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—27

<i>Parks</i>	<i>Fraser, A. V.</i>		
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>		<i>Hewitt</i>
		<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>		<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Pelton</i>	
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		

NAYS—8

<i>Blencoe</i>			
	<i>Hanson</i>		
	<i>D'Arcy</i>		<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Skelly</i>		<i>Macdonald</i>
	<i>Gabelmann</i>		

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 4) intituled *Income Tax Amendment Act, 1983*.

The debate continued.

Mr. *Barrett* moved the following amendment—

That the motion be amended by leaving out the word “now” and adding the words “on this day six months hence.”

The debate continued on the amendment.

On the motion of the Hon. *J. A. Nielsen* that the debate be adjourned until later today, the House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—29

<i>Parks</i>	<i>Fraser, A. V.</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Ree</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Pelton</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
	<i>McCarthy</i>		

NAYS—8

<i>Blencoe</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Howard</i>
		<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Barren</i>
<i>Wallace</i>			
<i>Barnes</i>			

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the amendment to the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 11) intituled *Compensation Stabilization Amendment Act, 1983*.

The debate on the amendment continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Lauk*, the debate was adjourned until later today.

On the motion of the Hon. *J. A. Nielsen*, it was *Resolved* that the House stand adjourned until 8 p.m.

And then the House adjourned at 6 p.m.

EIGHT O’CLOCK P.M.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the amendment to the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 11) intituled *Compensation Stabilization Amendment Act, 1983*.

The debate on the amendment continued.

Mrs. *Johnston* moved that the question be now put.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—30

<i>Parks</i>	<i>Fraser, A. V.</i>		
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
		<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Pelton</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		

NAYS—9

<i>Rose</i>		<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Dailly</i>
	<i>Brown</i>		<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>		<i>Lea</i>	
		<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>

The question on the amendment being put, the House divided.

The amendment was negated on the following division:

YEAS—9

<i>Rose</i>		<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Dailly</i>
	<i>Brown</i>		<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>		<i>Lea</i>	
		<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>

NAYS—30

<i>Parks</i>	<i>Fraser, A. V.</i>		
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
		<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Pelton</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		

The debate was resumed on the main motion.

On the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 11), the House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—30

<i>Parks</i>	<i>Fraser, A. V.</i>		
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
		<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Pelton</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		

NAYS—8

		<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Dailly</i>
	<i>Brown</i>		<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>		<i>Lea</i>	
		<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>

Bill (No. 11) read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the amendment to the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 4) intituled *Income Tax Amendment Act, 1983*.

The debate on the amendment continued.

The House continued to sit after midnight.

On the motion of Mr. *Lea* that the debate be adjourned to the next sitting of the House, the House divided.

Motion negated on the following division:

YEAS—7

<i>Hanson</i>		
<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Lea</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Skelly</i>		<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Gabelmann</i>		

NAYS—27

<i>Parks</i>	<i>Fraser, A. V.</i>		
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>			<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>	<i>Curtis</i>		<i>McClelland</i>
	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Pelton</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>		<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		

The debate on the amendment continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Macdonald* that the House do now adjourn, the House divided.

Motion negated on the following division:

YEAS—6

<i>Hanson</i>	
<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Gabelmann</i>	

NAYS—27

<i>Parks</i>			
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>		<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>		<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Pelton</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Gardom</i>		<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>		<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		

The debate on the amendment continued.

Mr. *Fraser* moved that the question be now put.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—28

<i>Parks</i>			
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>		<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>		<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Pelton</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>		<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		

NAYS—6

<i>Hanson</i>		
<i>D'Arcy</i>		<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Skelly</i>		<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Gabelmann</i>		

The question on the amendment being put, the House divided.

The amendment was negatived on the following division:

YEAS—6

<i>Hanson</i>		
<i>D'Arcy</i>		<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Skelly</i>		<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Gabelmann</i>		

NAYS—28

<i>Parks</i>			
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>		<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>		<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Pelton</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>		<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		

The debate was resumed on the main motion.

On the motion of Mr. *Gabelmann* that the House do now adjourn, the House divided.

Motion negatived on the following division:

YEAS—12

<i>Blencoe</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	
	<i>Hanson</i>		
		<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Howard</i>
	<i>D'Arcy</i>		
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Skelly</i>		<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>		

NAYS—26

<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>		<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>		<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>		<i>Johnston</i>	
<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Pelton</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		

Mr. *Howard* sought to move a reasoned amendment.

Mr. Speaker ruled the reasoned amendment out of order on the ground that the amendment must relate strictly to the Bill, which the House, by its Order, has resolved upon considering, and cited Sir Erskine May, 18th Edition, at page 487.

The Speaker's ruling was challenged and sustained on the following division:

YEAS—27

<i>Parks</i>			
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>		<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>		<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>		<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Pelton</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		

NAYS—8

<i>Blencoe</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	
		<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Howard</i>
<i>Wallace</i>			<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Barnes</i>			

The debate on Bill (No. 4) continued.

On the motion of Ms. *Sanford* that the House do now adjourn, Mr. Speaker ruled that as the identical motions had recently been put and negatived he would decline to again put the question to the House and cited Standing Order 44.

The Member for Comox rose on a point of order seeking the right to continue on the debate as designated speaker.

Mr. Speaker ruled that the Member's time had expired under the Standing Orders and that she could not claim, after that time had expired, the right of designated speaker.

The Speaker's ruling was challenged and sustained on the following division:

YEAS—25

<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>		<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>	<i>Curtis</i>		<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>		<i>Johnston</i>	
<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Pelton</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		

NAYS—7

<i>Blencoe</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	
		<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Howard</i>
<i>Wallace</i>			
<i>Barnes</i>			

Mr. *Blencoe* sought to move a reasoned amendment.

Mr. Speaker ruled the reasoned amendment out of order stating that the amendment must relate strictly to the Bill, which the House, by its Order, had resolved upon considering and cited Sir Erskine May, 18th Edition, at page 487. Mr. Speaker further quoted Beauchesne, 5th Edition, at page 226, stating that a reasoned amendment must be declaratory of some principle adverse to, or different from, the principles, policy or the provisions of the Bill.

Mr. Speaker also stated that the amendment was unsigned.

The Speaker's ruling was challenged and sustained on the following division:

YEAS—25

<i>Parks</i>			
<i>Reid</i>		<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>			<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>	<i>Curtis</i>		<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>		<i>Johnston</i>	
<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Pelton</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>		<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		

NAYS—7

<i>Blencoe</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	
		<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Howard</i>
<i>Wallace</i>			
<i>Barnes</i>			

On the motion of Mr. *Nicolson*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion of Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House adjourned at 9 a.m.

Thursday, September 29, 1983

TEN O'CLOCK A.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *T. Cowan*.

By leave, on the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House proceeded to "Public Bills and Orders."

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 4) intituled *Income Tax Amendment Act, 1983*.

The debate continued.

The Speaker's attention was drawn to the clock.

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom* that the House adjourn for one minute, the House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—29

<i>Parks</i>	<i>Fraser, A. V.</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	
<i>Reid</i>		<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>		<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>		<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Ree</i>		<i>Pelton</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		

NAYS—10

<i>Rose</i>		<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Dailly</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Lea</i>	<i>Cocke</i>
		<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
	<i>Gabelmann</i>		

The debate continued on the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 4).

On the motion of Mr. *D'Arcy*, the debate was adjourned until later today.

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House adjourned at 1 p.m.

Thursday, September 29, 1983

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

The Hon. *H. A. Curtis* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant Governor, which read as follows:

ROBERT G. ROGERS
Lieutenant Governor

The Lieutenant Governor transmits herewith amendments to Bill (No. 14) intituled *Gasoline (Coloured) Tax Amendment Act, 1983* enclosed herewith, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
September 29, 1983.

(ENCLOSURE)

Section 1, by deleting "and" at the end of paragraph (a) and by deleting paragraph (b) and substituting the following paragraphs:

"(b) in subsection (2) by adding 'except section 23,' after 'For this Act,' and
"(c) by repealing subsection (3) and substituting the following:

'(3) For this Act, except section 23, marked bunker fuel as defined in section 6.1 shall be deemed to be gasoline coloured purple.'

Section 2, in the proposed section 6.1

(a) in subsection (1) by deleting the definition of "marked bunker fuel" and substituting the following:

" 'marked bunker fuel' means fuel oil, as defined by regulation, used in a ship as fuel for an internal combustion engine, steam engine or steam turbine."

(b) by adding the following subsection:

"(1.1) On and after July 8, 1983, section 6 does not apply to gasoline coloured purple in the form of marked bunker fuel."

(c) in subsection (2) by deleting "Notwithstanding section 6 (1) (b), every" and substituting "Every",

(d) by adding the following subsection:

"(2.1) Every person who takes delivery of, and every purchaser of, gasoline coloured purple in the form of marked bunker fuel shall, after September 30, 1983, pay to Her Majesty for the purpose of raising revenue for Provincial purposes a tax equal to 3.6¢/litre."

(e) in subsection (3) by deleting "September 30," "September 15," and "September 30," and substituting respectively "December 31," "December 15," and "December 31", and

(f) in subsection (4) by deleting "on January 1, April 1, July 1 and October 1 of 1984 and each succeeding year," and substituting "on the first day of each subsequent April, July, October and January,".

Section 2.1, by adding the following section:

"Regulations

"2.1 Regulations that may be made under the *Gasoline (Coloured) Tax Act* as a result of the enactment of this Act may be made retroactive to July 8, 1983 and, where made retroactive, shall be deemed to come into force at that time."

By leave of the House, *Resolved*, That the said Message, and the amendments accompanying the same, be referred to the Committee having in charge Bill (No. 14).

The Hon. *G. B. Gardom* sought leave to proceed to "Public Bills and Orders."

Leave was not granted.

The House proceeded to "Public Bills in the Hands of Private Members."

On the order for adjourned debate on the motion for second reading of Bill (No. M 205) intituled *An Act to Provide No-Smoking Areas in Public Places*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House on the motion of the Hon. J. A. Nielsen.

On the motion for second reading of Bill (No. M 206) intituled *An Act to Provide for Adequate Motor Vehicle Liability Insurance*, a debate arose.

On the motion of the Hon. J. J. Hewitt that the debate be adjourned to the next sitting of the House, the House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—30

<i>Parks</i>	<i>Fraser, A. V.</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	
<i>Reid</i>		<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>		<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Pelton</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		

NAYS—14

<i>Blencoe</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	
	<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>		<i>Howard</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Skelly</i>		<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>		<i>Macdonald</i>

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 4) intituled *Income Tax Amendment Act, 1983*.

The debate continued.

On the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 4), the House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—30

	<i>Fraser, A. V.</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	
<i>Reid</i>		<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>		<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Pelton</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		

NAYS—11

<i>Blencoe</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	
		<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Howard</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Wallace</i>			
<i>Barnes</i>			

Bill (No. 4) read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 26) intituled *Employment Standards Amendment Act, 1983*.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Lockstead*, the debate was adjourned until later today.

On the motion of the Hon. *J. A. Nielsen*, it was *Resolved* that the House stand adjourned until 8 p.m.

And then the House adjourned at 5.56 p.m.

EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 26) intituled *Employment Standards Amendment Act, 1983*.

The debate continued.

Mr. *Lockstead* moved the following amendment—

That the motion be amended by leaving out the word “now” and adding the words “on this day six months hence.”

The debate on the amendment continued.

The House divided.

The amendment was negatived on the following division:

YEAS—9

<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Dailly</i>
		<i>Lea</i>	<i>Cocke</i>
		<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
	<i>Gabelmann</i>		

NAYS—30

<i>Parks</i>		<i>Chabot</i>	
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>		<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>		<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Pelton</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		

The debate was resumed on the main motion.

The House continued to sit after midnight.

On the motion of Mr. *Barrett* that the debate be adjourned to the next sitting of the House, the House divided.

Motion negatived on the following division:

YEAS—6

<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Lea</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Skelly</i>		<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Gabelmann</i>		

NAYS—29

<i>Parks</i>		<i>Chabot</i>	
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>		<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>		<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Pelton</i>	
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		

The debate continued.

Mr. *Skelly* sought to move the following amendment—

That the motion be amended by leaving out the word “now” and adding the words “on this day twelve months hence.”

The Speaker ruled that the amendment was out of order on the ground that as the previous amendment was negatived, the house had determined that the word “now” stand part of the question and accordingly the second dilatory motion to the same effect would be out of order.

The Speaker's ruling was challenged and sustained on the following division:

YEAS—27

<i>Parks</i>		<i>Chabot</i>	
<i>Reid</i>		<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>		<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>		<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Ree</i>		<i>Pelton</i>	
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		

NAYS—10

<i>Blencoe</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	
		<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Howard</i>
	<i>D'Arcy</i>		
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Skelly</i>		<i>Macdonald</i>
	<i>Gabelmann</i>		

The debate continued on the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 26).

Mrs. *Wallace* sought to move the following amendment—

That the bill be not now read a second time but that the subject matter thereof be referred to the Standing Committee on Labour and Justice.

The Speaker ruled the amendment out of order citing the Journals of the House, March 17, 1961, and Standing Order 1.

The Speaker's ruling was challenged and sustained on the following division:

YEAS—27

<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>		<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>		<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Ree</i>		<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Pelton</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Michael</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	

NAYS—7

<i>Blencoe</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Howard</i>
<i>Wallace</i>		<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>

The debate continued.

Mr. *Blencoe* sought to move the following amendment—

That the bill be not now read a second time but that the subject matter thereof be referred to the Labour Relations Board.

The Speaker ruled the amendment out of order referring to his earlier ruling, and citing the Journals of the House, March 17, 1961 and Standing Order 1.

Mr. *Howard* rose on a point of order namely that the Speaker in his earlier decision had drawn a distinction between a decision of this House on May 29, 1978, referring the subject matter of a Bill to the Energy Commission of British Columbia and the decisions of March 17, 1961 attempting to refer the subject matter of a Bill to a Select Committee of the House.

The Speaker stated that the distinction referred to by the Honourable Member was clearly *obiter dicta*.

The Speaker's ruling was challenged and sustained on the following division:

YEAS—25

<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>		<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>		<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	
<i>Ree</i>		<i>Johnston</i>	
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Pelton</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Michael</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
	<i>McCarthy</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	

NAYS—6

<i>Blencoe</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Howard</i>
<i>Wallace</i>		<i>Lauk</i>	

The debate continued.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—27

<i>Parks</i>		<i>Chabot</i>	
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>		<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>		<i>Johnston</i>	
<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Pelton</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>		<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
	<i>McCarthy</i>		

NAYS—6

<i>Blencoe</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	
		<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Howard</i>
<i>Wallace</i>			

Bill (No. 26) read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

Bill (No. 9) intituled *Municipal Amendment Act, 1983* was committed.

The Committee rose, reported progress and asked leave to sit again.
Report to be considered later today.

The Chairman further reported that in consideration of section 2, the Committee had divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—21

<i>Parks</i>		<i>Chabot</i>	
<i>Reid</i>			<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>			<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
		<i>Johnston</i>	
<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Pelton</i>	
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Gardom</i>		<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>		<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		

NAYS—9

<i>Blencoe</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>
		<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>			
<i>Wallace</i>		<i>Stupich</i>	

On the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 19) intituled *Institute of Technology Amendment Act, 1983*, a debate arose.

On the motion of the Hon. *T. M. Waterland*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion of the Hon. *T. M. Waterland*, the House adjourned at 8.53 a.m.

Friday, September 30, 1983

TEN O'CLOCK A.M.

Prayers by Father *I. Futter*.

By leave, on the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House proceeded to "Public Bills and Orders."

On the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 28) intituled *Provincial Treasury Financing Amendment Act, 1983*, a debate arose.

Bill (No. 28) read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 35) intituled *Miscellaneous Statutes Amendment Act, 1983*, a debate arose.

Bill (No. 35) read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 19) intituled *Institute of Technology Amendment Act, 1983*.

The debate continued.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—25

<i>Parks</i>	<i>Fraser, A. V.</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>			<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
		<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>		
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>		<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
	<i>McCarthy</i>		

NAYS—7

	<i>Hanson</i>		<i>Dailly</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>		<i>Lea</i>	<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Wallace</i>		<i>Stupich</i>	

Bill (No. 19) read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

Bill (No. 7) intituled *Property Tax Reform Act (No. 1), 1983* was read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 12) intituled *Property Tax Reform Act (No. 2), 1983* was read a third time and passed.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 22) intituled *Assessment Amendment Act, 1983*.

The debate continued.

Bill (No. 22) read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 15) intituled *Social Service Tax Amendment Act, 1983*, a debate arose.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Stupich*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The Hon. *H. A. Curtis* tabled a report, pursuant to section 43 of the *Financial Administration Act*, relating to all government borrowings under the said section.

On the motion of the Hon. *H. W. Schroeder*, the House adjourned at 12.44 p.m.

Monday, October 3, 1983

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *G. Strain*.

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

By leave, on the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the House proceeded to "Public Bills and Orders."

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 15) intituled *Social Service Tax Amendment Act, 1983*.

The debate continued.

An Honourable Member questioned the presence of a quorum in the House. The division bell was rung. Upon a count being taken, Mr. Speaker stated that a quorum was present.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *J. A. Nielsen*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 8) intituled *Alcohol and Drug Commission Repeal Act*, a debate arose.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mrs. *Wallace*, the debate was adjourned until later today.

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, it was *Resolved* that the House stand adjourned until 8 p.m.

The Hon. *J. R. Chabot* (Provincial Secretary and Minister of Government Services) tabled the 1982/83 Annual Report of the Ministry of Provincial Secretary and Government Services.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 8) intituled *Alcohol and Drug Commission Repeal Act*.

The debate continued.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—29

<i>Parks</i>	<i>Fraser, A. V.</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>		<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Bennett</i>		<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>		<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Michael</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		

NAYS—9

<i>Rose</i>		<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Dailly</i>
	<i>Brown</i>		<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>		<i>Lea</i>	<i>Howard</i>
		<i>Stupich</i>	

Bill (No. 8) read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

Bill (No. 19) intituled *Institute of Technology Amendment Act, 1983* was committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed.

The Chairman further reported that in consideration of section 2, the Committee had divided and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. G. B. Gardom, the Rules were suspended and it was Ordered that the said division be recorded as follows.

YEAS—28

<i>Parks</i>	<i>Fraser, A. V.</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>		<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>		<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Bennett</i>		
<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>		<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		

NAYS—8

<i>Rose</i>		<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Dailly</i>
	<i>Brown</i>		<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>		<i>Lea</i>	
		<i>Stupich</i>	

Bill (No. 16) intituled *Employment Development Act* was read a second time and Ordered to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

Bill (No. 9) intituled *Municipal Amendment Act, 1983* was again committed.

The Committee rose, reported progress and asked leave to sit again. Report to be considered at the next sitting.

The Chairman further reported that, on the motion that the Committee rise and report progress, the Committee had divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. G. B. Gardom, the Rules were suspended and it was Ordered that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—9

<i>Rose</i>		<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Dailly</i>
	<i>Brown</i>		<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>		<i>Lea</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
		<i>Stupich</i>	

NAYS—27

		<i>Chabot</i>	
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>		<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Heinrich</i>
<i>Veitch</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Bennett</i>		
<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>		<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		

The House continued to sit after midnight.

On the motion of the Hon. W. S. Ritchie, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion of the Hon. G. B. Gardom, the House adjourned at 1.03 a.m.

Tuesday, October 4, 1983

TEN O'CLOCK A.M.

Prayers by the Rev. A. K. Young.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 15) intituled *Social Service Tax Amendment Act, 1983*.

The debate continued.

Mr. Cocke moved the following amendment—

That the motion be amended by leaving out the word “now” and adding the words “on this day six months hence.”

The debate on the amendment continued.

On the motion of Mr. Hanson, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion of the Hon. H. W. Schroeder, the House adjourned at 12.54 p.m.

Tuesday, October 4, 1983

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Order called for “Oral Questions by Members.”

Mr. Howard rose on a matter of privilege relating to the scheduling of the business of the House.

Mr. Speaker stated he would take the matter under advisement.

Mr. Nicolson gave notice that he proposed to raise a matter of privilege.

By leave, on the motion of the Hon. J. A. Nielsen, the House proceeded to “Public Bills and Orders.”

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the amendment to the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 15) intituled *Social Service Tax Amendment Act, 1983*.

The debate on the amendment continued.

During debate, Mr. *Reynolds* rose on a point of order and sought to move that the question be now put.

Mr. Speaker ruled that a member may not move that the question be now put until the member had been recognized in debate and cited the 12th Edition of Sir Erskine May, at page 314, as follows:

“Closure may be moved at the conclusion of a speech, or whilst a member is addressing the House, and in the latter case intercepts any motion which it was his intention to move.”

The debate on the amendment continued.

Mr. *Reynolds* moved that the question be now put.

Mr. *Lauk* rose on a point of order, namely, that only seven members of the opposition out of 22 members had spoken to the motion and therefore Mr. Speaker ought not to put the question.

Mr. Speaker indicated that he would accept the motion, pursuant to Standing Order 46 (1).

Upon the question that the question be now put on the amendment to the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 15), the House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—29

<i>Parks</i>		<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Richmond</i>
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	
<i>Veitch</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>		
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Michael</i>	
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		

NAYS—9

<i>Blencoe</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	
		<i>Nicolson</i>	
		<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Howard</i>
		<i>Lea</i>	
<i>Wallace</i>		<i>Stupich</i>	

The question on the amendment being put, the House divided.

The amendment was negatived on the following division:

YEAS—9

<i>Blencoe</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	
		<i>Nicolson</i>	
		<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Howard</i>
		<i>Lea</i>	
<i>Wallace</i>		<i>Stupich</i>	

NAYS—29

<i>Parks</i>		<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Richmond</i>
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	
<i>Veitch</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>		
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Michael</i>	
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		

The debate was resumed on the main motion.

On the motion of Mr. *Lauk* that the debate be adjourned to the next sitting of the House, the House divided.

Motion negatived on the following division:

YEAS—8

<i>Blencoe</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	
		<i>Nicolson</i>	
		<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Howard</i>
<i>Wallace</i>		<i>Lea</i>	

NAYS—28

<i>Parks</i>		<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Richmond</i>
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>		<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	
<i>Veitch</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>		
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Michael</i>	
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		

The debate continued.

The Speaker's attention was drawn to the clock.

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the debate was adjourned until later today.

On the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, it was *Resolved* that the House stand adjourned until 8 p.m.

And then the House adjourned at 6.01 p.m.

EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Bill (No. 9) intituled *Municipal Amendment Act, 1983* was committed, reported complete with amendments.

Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

The Chairman further reported that in consideration of Mr. *Cocke's* proposed amendment to section 4, Mr. *Kempf* moved, pursuant to Standing Order 46 (1), that the question be now put.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—26

<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Richmond</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	
<i>Veitch</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>		<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>		<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Michael</i>	
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		

NAYS—8

<i>Rose</i>		<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Daily</i>
	<i>Brown</i>		<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>		<i>Lea</i>	
		<i>Stupich</i>	

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Cocke*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded.

The Chairman further reported that in consideration of Mr. *Cocke's* proposed amendment to section 4, the Committee had divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Cocke*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—8

<i>Rose</i>		<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Daily</i>
	<i>Brown</i>		<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>		<i>Lea</i>	
		<i>Stupich</i>	

NAYS—26

		<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Richmond</i>
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>		
<i>Reynolds</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	
<i>Veitch</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>		<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>		<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Michael</i>	
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		

The Chairman further reported that during debate, he had ruled a proposed amendment to section 4 of Bill (No. 9) out of order.

The Chairman's ruling was challenged and sustained on the following division:

YEAS—28

<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Richmond</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Veitch</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	
<i>Segarty</i>		<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Michael</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		

NAYS—8

<i>Rose</i>		<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Dailly</i>
	<i>Brown</i>		<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>		<i>Lea</i>	
		<i>Stupich</i>	

The Chairman further reported that in consideration of section 4 of Bill (No. 9), the Hon. *D. M. Phillips* moved that the question be now put.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—27

<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Richmond</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>	<i>Phillips</i>		<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Veitch</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	
<i>Segarty</i>		<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Michael</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		

NAYS—8

<i>Rose</i>		<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Dailly</i>
	<i>Brown</i>		<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>		<i>Lea</i>	
		<i>Stupich</i>	

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Cocke*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

The Chairman further reported that in consideration of section 4 of Bill (No. 9) the Committee had divided and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Cocke*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—27

<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Richmond</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>	<i>Phillips</i>		<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Veitch</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	
<i>Segarty</i>		<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Michael</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		

NAYS—8

<i>Rose</i>		<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Dailly</i>
	<i>Brown</i>		<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>		<i>Lea</i>	
		<i>Stupich</i>	

The Chairman further reported that in consideration of section 5 of Bill (No. 9), Mr. *Reynolds* moved that the question be now put.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—26

<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Richmond</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>			<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Veitch</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	
<i>Segarty</i>		<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Michael</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		<i>Waterland</i>

NAYS—13

<i>Rose</i>	<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Dailly</i>
<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Brown</i>		<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Lea</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
	<i>Skelly</i>		
	<i>Gabelmann</i>		

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Cocke*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

The Chairman further reported that in consideration of section 5 of Bill (No. 9), the Committee had divided and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Barrett*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded as follows:

YEAS—26

<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Richmond</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>			<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Veitch</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	
<i>Segarty</i>		<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Michael</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		<i>Waterland</i>

NAYS—14

<i>Rose</i>	<i>Hanson</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Dailly</i>
<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Brown</i>		<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Mitchell</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Lea</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	
	<i>Gabelmann</i>		

The House continued to sit after midnight.

The Chairman further reported that in consideration of Mr. *Hanson's* proposed amendment to the title of Bill (No. 9) he had ruled the amendment out of order.

The Chairman's ruling was challenged and sustained on the following division:

YEAS—27

<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Richmond</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>		<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Veitch</i>		<i>Campbell</i>	
<i>Segarty</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Michael</i>	<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		

NAYS—6

<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Hanson</i>		
	<i>D'Arcy</i>		<i>Barrett</i>
	<i>Skelly</i>		
	<i>Gabelmann</i>		

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *G. B. Gardom*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the said division be recorded.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 15) intituled *Social Service Tax Amendment Act, 1983*.

The debate continued.

Mr. *Hanson* sought to move the following amendment—

That the motion be amended by leaving out the word "now" and adding "on this day eight months hence."

The Speaker ruled the amendment out of order on the ground that as the previous amendment was negatived the House had determined that the word "now" stand part of the question and accordingly the second dilatory motion to the same effect would be out of order.

The Speaker's ruling was challenged and sustained on the following division:

YEAS—29

<i>Parks</i>		<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Richmond</i>
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>		<i>Campbell</i>	
<i>Veitch</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>		<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>		<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		

NAYS—6

<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Hanson</i>	
	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
	<i>Skelly</i>	
	<i>Gabelmann</i>	

On the motion of Mr. *Skelly* that the House do now adjourn, the House divided.

Motion negatived on the following division:

YEAS—6

<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Hanson</i>	
	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
	<i>Skelly</i>	
	<i>Gabelmann</i>	

NAYS—30

<i>Parks</i>		<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Richmond</i>
<i>Reid</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	
<i>Veitch</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>		<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>		<i>Rogers</i>
<i>Mowat</i>	<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		

On the motion for second reading of Bill (No. 15), the House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—27

<i>Parks</i>		<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Richmond</i>
<i>Reid</i>		<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Hewitt</i>
<i>Reynolds</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	
<i>Veitch</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Fraser, R. G.</i>	<i>McClelland</i>
<i>Segarty</i>		<i>Johnston</i>	<i>Schroeder</i>
<i>Ree</i>	<i>Smith</i>		
<i>Mowat</i>		<i>Michael</i>	<i>Brummet</i>
<i>Kempf</i>	<i>Nielsen</i>	<i>Ritchie</i>	<i>Waterland</i>
<i>Davis</i>	<i>McCarthy</i>		

NAYS—6

<i>Passarell</i>	<i>Hanson</i>	
	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
	<i>Skelly</i>	
	<i>Gabelmann</i>	

Bill (No. 15) read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of the Hon. *H. W. Schroeder*, the House adjourned at 2.28 a.m.