

## Wednesday, November 6, 1974

---

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *A. J. Mowatt*.

By leave of the House, the Hon. *A. B. Macdonald* (Attorney-General) presented the following:

A Survey of Rental Property Revenues and Expenses, the Vancouver and Victoria Metropolitan Areas, October 1974, by Clarkson, Gordon and Co., Chartered Accountants.

Rent Control Report by Dr. J. G. Cragg.

Mr. *Phillips* rose on a point of privilege and handed Mr. Speaker an article appearing in the *Victoria Colonist* of November 6, 1974, which article attributed certain statements to the Honourable Minister of Transport and Communications.

Mr. Speaker advised the House that he would consider the matter.

On the motion of Mr. *McGeer*, Bill (No. 172) intituled *An Act to Amend the Provincial Elections Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

Order called for "Orders of the Day."

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 165) intituled *Natural Products Marketing (British Columbia) Act*.

The debate continued.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

### YEAS—37

<i>Liden</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Lewis</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Radford</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Anderson, G. H.</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>
<i>Dent</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Levi</i>
<i>Cummings</i>			

### NAYS—16

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Phillips</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Bennett</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Jordan</i>

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

Mr. Speaker stated that the matter raised by the Honourable Member for South Peace River did not constitute a point of privilege, and quoted May, 18th edition, page 419.

The Hon. *L. T. Nimsick* (Minister of Mines and Petroleum Resources) presented the Minister of Mines and Petroleum Resources Annual Report for the year ended December 31, 1973.

By leave of the House, the Hon. *D. G. Cocke* (Minister of Health) tabled Order in Council 1644 pursuant to the *Hospital Act*.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

---

---

### Thursday, November 7, 1974

---

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *W. J. Hill*.

The Hon. *Eileen E. Dailly* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:

WALTER S. OWEN  
*Lieutenant-Governor*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith Bill (No. 173) intituled *Public Schools Interim Arbitration Procedure Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*November 7, 1974*

---

By leave of the House, Bill introduced and read a first time.  
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

Order called for "Orders of the Day."

On the motion of the Hon. *Ernest Hall*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

Bill (No. 166) intituled *Department of Health Amendment Act, 1974*, was read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 169) intituled *Landlord and Tenant Amendment Act, 1974 (No. 2)* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. Bennett, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

Mr. Speaker tabled a summary of the Legislative Assembly staff, in reply to a question asked during Oral Question Period on November 6.

And then the House adjourned at 5.58 p.m.

---

---

### Thursday, November 7, 1974

---

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

The Hon. *Eileen E. Dailly* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:

WALTER S. OWEN  
*Lieutenant-Governor*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith Bill (No. 175) intituled *Public Schools Amendment Act, 1974 (No. 2)*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*November 7, 1974*

---

By leave of the House, Bill introduced and read a first time.  
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *J. G. Lorimer* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:

WALTER S. OWEN  
*Lieutenant-Governor*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith Bill (No. 174) intituled *Municipal Amendment Act, 1974 (No. 2)*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*November 7, 1974*

---

By leave of the House, Bill introduced and read a first time.  
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

Order called for "Orders of the Day."

On the motion of the Hon. *Eileen E. Dailly*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 169) intituled *Landlord and Tenant Amendment Act, 1974 (No. 2)*.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Gibson*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 10 o'clock a.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 10.58 p.m.

---

---

### Friday, November 8, 1974

---

TEN O'CLOCK A.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *A. J. C. Johnson*.

Order called for "Orders of the Day."

On the motion of the Hon. *Eileen E. Dailly*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 169) intituled *Landlord and Tenant Amendment Act, 1974 (No. 2)*.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Smith*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. on Tuesday next.

And then the House adjourned at 12.56 p.m.

---

---

### Tuesday, November 12, 1974

---

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *C. Barner*.

Mr. *D. A. Anderson* asked leave to move adjournment of the House for the purpose of discussing a definite matter of urgent public importance, namely, the withdrawal of services by Autoplan agents and the consequences of such withdrawal.

Mr. Speaker advised the House that he would consider the matter.

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

Order called for "Orders of the Day."

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 169) intituled *Landlord and Tenant Amendment Act, 1974 (No. 2)*.

During the debate, Mr. Speaker stated that upon consideration of the matter earlier raised by the Honourable Second Member for Victoria, pursuant to Standing Order 35, it was his opinion the matter did not fall within the ambit of said Order for the following reasons: (1) that the facts alleged were in dispute and (2) that the matter sought to be raised did not appear to involve more than the ordinary administration of the law. Mr. Speaker quoted the authority of May, 16th edition at page 370, and the 17th edition at page 364.

The debate resumed on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 169).

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—32

<i>Liden</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Lewis</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Radford</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Barnes</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Dent</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>

NAYS—15

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Bennett</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 170) intituled *Assessment Amendment Act, 1974*, a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Curtis*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8 o'clock p.m. today.

The Hon. *David Barrett* (Minister of Finance) presented the Public Accounts of British Columbia (abridged) for the fiscal year ended March 31, 1974.

**213** Mr. *McClelland* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health the following questions:

1. What is the total expenditure to date for ambulance service in British Columbia by the Government?
2. Has the Government purchased any new or used ambulance vehicles?
3. If the answer to No. 2 is yes, how many vehicles have been purchased and what was the cost of each?

The Hon. *D. G. Cocke* replied as follows:

"1. \$2,180,835.44 to October 31, 1974.

"2. Yes.

"3. One used Cotner-Bevington, \$11,340 f.o.b. Vancouver; one used Miller Meteor, \$11,340 f.o.b. Vancouver; one used Dodge Van, \$11,711 f.o.b. Vancouver; three new Strathroy Suburban at \$15,995, \$47,985 f.o.b. Vancouver; two new Strathroy 61 inch at \$17,395, \$34,790 f.o.b. Vancouver; three new Care-O-Van at \$19,624, \$58,872 f.o.b. Vancouver; three new Modulance at \$15,465, \$46,395 f.o.b. factory; three new E & E 61 inch at \$11,500, \$34,500 f.o.b. factory; two new Amliner 65 inch at \$13,400, \$26,800 f.o.b. factory; 19 vehicles at a total cost of \$283,733."

**214** Mr. *Curtis* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following question:

With respect to the *Real Property Tax Deferment Act, 1974*: How many applications have been received under this Act to the latest date available?

The Hon. *David Barrett* replied as follows:

"1,401 as at November 6, 1974."

**218** Mr. *Wallace* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health the following questions:

With respect to the Royal Commission on Pesticides—

1. When is the Commission expected to submit its final report?

2. Has the Commission submitted any interim recommendations?

3. If the answer to No. 2 is yes, what measures have been taken to implement these recommendations?

The Hon. *D. G. Cocke* replied as follows:

"1. January 15, 1975.

"2. Yes.

"3. Control of aerial spray against adult mosquitoes."

**219** Mr. *Wallace* asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture the following questions:

With respect to the activities of the Land Commission—

1. At the most recent date for which information is available, how many regional district agricultural reserve plans have yet to be (a) received and (b) approved by the Commission?

2. At the same date, how many such plans had neither been (a) received or (b) approved by the Commission?

The Hon. *D. D. Stupich* replied as follows:

"1. (a) one and (b) eight.

"2. (a) one and (b) eight."

**220** Mr. *Wallace* asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture the following questions:

With respect to the purchase by the Provincial Government of shares of firms in industries related to agriculture since September 1972—

1. In how many firms have some or all of the shares been purchased and what are their names?

2. Over how many of the firms mentioned in the answer to No. 1 does the Government exercise control by virtue of share ownership?

3. What is the total dollar amount expended on share purchases?

The Hon. *D. D. Stupich* replied as follows:

"1. As of October 1, 1974, three firms under the *Farm Products Industry Improvement Act*: (a) Pan-Ready Poultry Limited, (b) South Peace Dehy Limited, and (c) Swan Valley Foods Limited.

"2. None.

"3. \$178,437."

**221** Mr. *Wallace* asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture the following questions:

With respect to food price levels in British Columbia—

1. Has the Minister ordered an investigation of Provincial prices to be conducted?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, then (a) is this investigation currently under way, (b) what persons are conducting the investigation, (c) what is the estimated total cost of the investigation, (d) when will the report of the investigation be received, (e) will the report be made public, and (f) will the investigators be empowered to examine the influence of marketing board practices on food prices?

The Hon. *D. D. Stupich* replied as follows:

"1. No.

"2. Not applicable."

**227** Mr. *Wallace* asked the Hon. the Minister of Consumer Services the following questions:

With respect to Provincial long-term service contract policy—

1. When will the final report of the probe into long-term service contracts be submitted (a) to the Minister and (b) to the Members of the Legislative Assembly?

2. Has the Minister been informed of any of the findings or recommendations of (a) the probe mentioned in No. 1 or (b) the investigation into the closure of seven Lower Mainland health spas?

3. If the answer to any part of No. 2 is yes, then what consequent (a) legal or (b) legislative action is planned?

The Hon. *Phyllis F. Young* replied as follows:

"1. The probe into long-term service contracts was struck pursuant to section 5 of the *Trade Practices Act* by the Director of Trade Practices. It is expected that it will be received by the Department not later than the end of this calendar year.

"2. (a) No and (b) no, investigation continuing in conjunction with RCMP.

"3. Not applicable."

**231** Mr. *Wallace* asked the Hon. the Minister of Consumer Services the following questions:

With respect to media investigation—

1. Has (a) the Department or (b) the Minister received Ian McLeod's report on the standards applied by British Columbia media in accepting advertising?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, then (a) will the report be made public, (b) what was the cost of the report, and (c) are any policy measures being contemplated as a result of the report?

The Hon. *Phyllis F. Young* replied as follows:

"1. No.

"2. Not applicable."

**233** Mr. *Wallace* asked the Hon. the Minister of Consumer Services the following questions:

With respect to used-car purchasing advice—

1. Does the Government intend to operate any facsimile of the BCAA mobile car inspection service?
2. Does the Government intend to provide any financial or technical support to the BCAA service?

The Hon. *Phyllis F. Young* replied as follows:

“1 and 2. No.”

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

---

---

## Tuesday, November 12, 1974

---

EIGHT O’CLOCK P.M.

Order called for “Orders of the Day.”

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 170) intituled *Assessment Amendment Act, 1974*.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Phillips*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o’clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 10.58 p.m.

---

---

## Wednesday, November 13, 1974

---

TWO O’CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *C. Wellard*.

On the motion of Mr. *D’Arcy*, Bill (No. 176) intituled *Water Facilities Assistance Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

Order called for “Oral Questions by Members.”

Order called for “Orders of the Day.”

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 174) intituled *Municipal Amendment Act, 1974 (No. 2)*, a debate arose.

Bill read a second time and, by leave of the House, *Ordered* referred to a Committee of the Whole House to be considered forthwith.

Bill (No. 174) was committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 175) intituled *Public Schools Amendment Act, 1974 (No. 2)*, a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *D. A. Anderson*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 170) intituled *Assessment Amendment Act, 1974*. On the motion of Mrs. *Jordan*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

By leave of the House, the Hon. *David Barrett* (Premier) tabled copies of correspondence between himself and the Hon. *Jean Marchand*, Federal Minister of Transport, relating to the Arctic Railway study.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

---

---

## Thursday, November 14, 1974

---

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *R. A. McLaren*.

The Hon. *W. S. King* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:

WALTER S. OWEN  
*Lieutenant-Governor*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith amendments to Bill (No. 168) intituled *Elevator Construction Industry Labour Disputes Act*, enclosed herewith, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*November 7, 1974*

(ENCLOSURE)

Section 1, line 1 of the definition of "parties": By deleting the word "unions;" and substituting the word "union;"

Section 4, line 1 of paragraph (g): By inserting, after the word "no", the words "employer or".

Section 5, third last line of subsection (1): By deleting the words "arbitration award" and substituting the words "Armor agreement".

Section 5, last line of subsection (1): By deleting the words "collective agreements." and substituting the words "a collective agreement."

Section 5, last line of subsection (2): By deleting the word "agreements" and substituting the word "agreement".

Section 5, second line of subsection (3): By deleting the word "agreements" and substituting the word "agreement".

Section 5: By adding, after subsection (3), the following as subsection (4):  
 "(4) If any question or dispute arises respecting the interpretation, application, or implementation of the Armor agreement or the commission report, the question or dispute shall be referred to a panel of the board, consisting of members who made the commission report, and the panel has jurisdiction to settle the question or dispute."

By leave of the House, *Resolved*, That the said Message, and the amendments accompanying the same, be referred to the Committee having in charge Bill (No. 168).

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

Order called for "Orders of the Day."

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 175) intituled *Public Schools Amendment Act, 1974 (No. 2)*.

Bill read a second time and, by leave of the House, *Ordered* referred to a Committee of the Whole House to be considered forthwith.

Bill (No. 175) was committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 170) intituled *Assessment Amendment Act, 1974*.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—31

<i>Liden</i>	<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>
<i>Lewis</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Radford</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Anderson, G. H.</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Dent</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	

NAYS—16

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Phillips</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Bennett</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Richier</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Jordan</i>

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting of the House after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 173) intituled *Public Schools Interim Arbitration Procedure Act*, a debate arose.

The House agreed to defer a division on Bill (No. 173) until His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor had assented to certain Bills.

His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor having entered the House, and being seated in the chair—

*Ian M. Horne*, Q.C., Clerk of the House, read the titles to the following Bills:  
(No. 174) *Municipal Amendment Act, 1974 (No. 2)*.  
(No. 175) *Public Schools Amendment Act, 1974 (No. 2)*.

His Honour was pleased in Her Majesty's name to give assent to the said Bills.

The said assent was announced by the Clerk of the House in the following words:

"In Her Majesty's name, His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor doth assent to these Bills."

His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor was then pleased to retire.

The motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 173) intituled *Public Schools Interim Arbitration Procedure Act* was agreed to on the following division:

## YEAS—36

<i>Liden</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>
<i>Lewis</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Skelly</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Dent</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Radford</i>
<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Anderson, G. H.</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Cocke</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>

## NAYS—11

<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting of the House after today.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8 o'clock p.m. today.

The Hon. *R. A. Williams* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:

WALTER S. OWEN  
*Lieutenant-Governor*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith Bill (No. 171) intituled *Timber Products Stabilization Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*November 6, 1974*

By leave of the House, Bill introduced and read a first time.  
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *David Barrett* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:

WALTER S. OWEN  
*Lieutenant-Governor*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith amendments to Bill (No. 170) intituled *Assessment Amendment Act, 1974*, enclosed herewith, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*November 7, 1974*

(ENCLOSURE)

Section 1, line 3: By deleting the words "and (9):" and substituting the words "(9), (10), and (11):".

Section 1: By deleting paragraphs (b) and (c) of section 24 (6) and substituting the following:

"(b) where a change in the value of land and improvements occurs by reason of

- (i) a change in the physical characteristics of the land or improvements, or both; or
- (ii) new construction or new development thereto, thereon, or therein; or
- (iii) a change in the zoning or reclassification of land and improvements

that is not included in the assessment roll for the calendar year 1974, the land and improvements shall be assessed at the same value and on the same basis as if those changes in value had occurred and had been taken into account in the preparation of the assessment roll for the calendar year 1974;

"(c) subject to paragraph (b), improvements used for industrial purposes shall be assessed at the same value level and on the same basis at which improvements used for industrial purposes were assessed for the calendar year 1974; and"

Section 1: By adding, after subsection (9) of section 24, the following as subsections (10) and (11):

"(10) Notwithstanding subsection (1) or anything to the contrary in this Act, for the purposes of subsection (6), the assessed values of tree-farm land under section 27 shall be determined at the same value level and unit-pricing periods used in the preparation of the assessment roll for the calendar year 1974.

"(11) Where a municipality maintained, in the calendar year 1974, assessed values of land and improvements for general purposes different from those maintained for school and hospital purposes, that municipality shall, subject to subsections (6) to (10), continue to use those assessed values for their respective purposes."

---

By leave of the House, *Resolved*, That the said Message, and the amendments accompanying the same, be referred to the Committee having in charge Bill (No. 170).

**197** Mr. *Bennett* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following question:  
With respect to the office of "Rentalsman" what, if any, has been the total cost incurred to October 31, 1974?

The Hon. *A. B. Macdonald* replied as follows:  
"\$280,514."

**228** Mr. *Wallace* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:

With respect to the preparation of legislation—

1. Has the Government considered the B.C. Bar Association president's proposal for a nonpolitical legislative drafting proposal?
2. Will the proposal be implemented?

The Hon. *A. B. Macdonald* replied as follows:

"1 and 2. The president of the B.C. Section, Canadian Bar Association, Peter A. Manson, in a recent speech, suggested that the *legal profession take the initiative* to encourage labour and industry to join with Government and the Bar in establishing a foundation for legislative drafting. The foundation would be nonpolitical and would be encouraged to play a role similar to the Canadian Tax Foundation, that is, to assess the quality of legislation, suggest improvement in drafting technique, and encourage research and education in legislative drafting. The matter has been discussed with Mr. Manson, who has been told that we would co-operate with the Bar in every respect in connection with this proposal. Whether the proposal is implemented will depend entirely upon the initiatives taken by the Bar. That must be so if it is to be nonpolitical."

**229** Mr. *Wallace* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:

With respect to the *Crown Proceedings Act*—

1. How many proceedings have been launched against the Provincial Government or its agencies since the proclamation of the Act on August 1, 1974?
2. How many such proceedings are active or pending in some form?

The Hon. *A. B. Macdonald* replied as follows:

"1. Six (in addition to 41 foreclosure actions which were not the result of the enactment of the *Crown Proceedings Act*).

"2. All are pending."

**230** Mr. *Wallace* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:

With respect to correctional programmes—

1. Has the Department established a budget for a programme of funding half-way homes run mainly by private agencies for ex-convicts and persons currently under sentence?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, then (a) what dollar amount has been budgeted, (b) at what date will the programme become operational, and (c) how many persons is it intended to serve?

The Hon. *A. B. Macdonald* replied as follows:

"1. Yes.

"2. (a) \$929,706, (b) operational, and (c) intended to serve as many correctional clients as possible."

The Hon. *A. B. Macdonald* (Attorney-General) presented the Law Reform Commission Report on Civil Rights (Project No. 3)—Part II: Costs of Accused on Acquittal.

And then the House adjourned at 5.48 p.m.

## Thursday, November 14, 1974

EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

On the motion of the Hon. *Eileen E. Dailly*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

Bill (No. 168) intituled *Elevator Construction Industry Labour Disputes Act* was read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

Bill (No. 165) intituled *Natural Products Marketing (British Columbia) Act* was committed. The Committee rose, reported progress, and asked leave to sit again. Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Committee further reported that in consideration of Mr. *Gibson's* proposed amendment to section 1, line 14, the Committee divided.

The Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Gibson*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the division on Mr. *Gibson's* proposed amendment to section 1, line 14, be recorded in the Journals of the House as follows:

### YEAS—16

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Phillips</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Bennett</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Jordan</i>

### NAYS—27

<i>Lewis</i>	<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Skelly</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Radford</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Anderson, G. H.</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Dent</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 10 o'clock a.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 11 p.m.

**Friday, November 15, 1974**

---

TEN O'CLOCK A.M.

Prayers by Capt. J. Foley.

On the motion of Mr. D. A. Anderson, Bill (No. 177) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipalities Enabling and Validating Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

By leave of the House, the Hon. Graham R. Lea (Minister of Highways) presented the report of the Avalanche Task Force.

Order called for "Public Bills and Orders."

The committal of Bill (No. 165) intituled *Natural Products Marketing (British Columbia) Act* was resumed.

Without the Committee rising, the Committee reported that during consideration of Mr. Gibson's proposed amendment to section 7 the Chairman had ruled the proposed amendment out of order as it was, in effect, a motion to delete or negative the section, and the same purpose could be achieved by voting against the section.

The Chairman's ruling was appealed to the House.

The Chairman's ruling was sustained on the following division:

YEAS—21

<i>Webster</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Radford</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>D'Arcy</i>			

NAYS—17

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Phillips</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Bennett</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Curtis</i>			

The Committee rose, reported progress, and asked leave to sit again. Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Committee further reported that in consideration of Mr. L. A. Williams' proposed amendment to section 2 the Committee divided.

The Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. L. A. Williams, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the division on Mr. Williams' proposed amendment to section 2 be recorded in the Journals of the House as follows:

## YEAS—17

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Phillips</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Bennett</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Curtis</i>			

## NAYS—21

<i>Webster</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Radford</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>D'Arcy</i>			

The Committee further reported that in consideration of Mr. *Phillips'* proposed amendment to section 3 the Committee divided.

The Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Phillips*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the division on Mr. *Phillips'* proposed amendment to section 3 be recorded in the Journals of the House as follows:

## YEAS—17

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Phillips</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Bennett</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Curtis</i>			

## NAYS—21

<i>Webster</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Radford</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>D'Arcy</i>			

The Committee further reported that in consideration of Mr. *Gibson's* proposed amendment to section 3 the Committee divided.

The Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Gibson*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the division on Mr. *Gibson's* proposed amendment to section 3 be recorded in the Journals of the House as follows:

## YEAS—17

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Phillips</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Bennett</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Curtis</i>			

## NAYS—21

<i>Webster</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Radford</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>D'Arcy</i>			

The Committee further reported that in consideration of section 3 the Committee divided.

The Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *McGeer*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the division on section 3 be recorded in the Journals of the House as follows:

## YEAS—21

<i>Webster</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Radford</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>D'Arcy</i>			

## NAYS—17

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Phillips</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Bennett</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Curtis</i>			

The Committee further reported that in consideration of Mr. *McGeer's* proposed amendment to section 4 the Committee divided.

The Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *McGeer*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the division on Mr. *McGeer's* proposed amendment to section 4 be recorded in the Journals of the House as follows:

## YEAS—5

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>McGeer</i>
<i>Wallace</i>			

## NAYS—32

<i>Webster</i>	<i>Rolston</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Skelly</i>
<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>
<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Radford</i>
<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Richter</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>King</i>

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. on Monday next.

**198** Mr. *Bennett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture the following questions:

1. Has the Department of Agriculture expended funds for the purpose of site preparation and operation of any "rent-a-garden" sites within the Province?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what was the total cost involved and (b) what was the total recovery, if any, by way of rents charged?

The Hon. *D. D. Stupich* replied as follows:

- "1. Yes.
- "2. \$56,474.30.
- "3. \$3,680."

**223** Mr. *Wallace* asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture the following questions:

With respect to the Department's predator-control activities—

1. What extra sums have been allocated to predator-control programmes since July 1, 1974?
2. In what ways and with what results have predator-control activities been expanded?

The Hon. *D. D. Stupich* replied as follows:

- "1. None.
- "2. Not applicable."

**226** Mr. *Wallace* asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture the following questions:

With respect to the administration of the *Farm Income Assurance Act*—

1. How many segments of the agricultural industry have so far become participants in farm income plans?
2. What has been the total Provincial financial commitment to farm income plans?

The Hon. *D. D. Stupich* replied as follows:

- "1. Four.
- "2. Commitment has been made to pay a percentage of the difference between the cost of production and market returns for a five-year period for each commodity group."

By leave of the House, Mr. *Wallace* withdrew the following Notice of Questions standing in his name on the Order Paper:

**222** Mr. *Wallace* to ask the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture the following questions:

With respect to Provincial agricultural credit activities—

1. How many applications for 8-per-cent interest farm loans have been received?
2. How many such loans have been granted?
3. What is the total dollar value of loans so far granted?

And then the House adjourned at 1.02 p.m.

## Monday, November 18, 1974

---

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. J. Francis.

By leave of the House, Mr. Speaker tabled a letter of opinion relating to Bill (No. 165) intituled *Natural Products Marketing (British Columbia) Act*, dated November 18, 1974, received by him from the Legislative Counsel of the Department of the Attorney-General.

The Hon. J. G. Lorimer, on behalf of the Hon. A. B. Macdonald, presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:

WALTER S. OWEN  
*Lieutenant-Governor*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith Bill (No. 178) intituled *Statute Law Amendment Act, 1974 (No. 2)*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,  
November 18, 1974*

---

By leave of the House, Bill introduced and read a first time.  
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

Order called for "Orders of the Day."

The committal of Bill (No. 165) intituled *Natural Products Marketing (British Columbia) Act* was resumed. Bill reported complete without amendment.

The Committee further reported that in consideration of Mr. McGeer's proposed amendment to section 12 (2) the Committee divided.

The Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. McGeer, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the division on Mr. McGeer's proposed amendment to section 12 (2) be recorded in the Journals of the House as follows:

### YEAS—17

Gibson	McClelland	Anderson, D. A.	Phillips
Gardom	Richter	McGeer	Bennett
Schroeder	Wallace	Fraser	Smith
Morrison	Williams, L. A.	Chabot	Jordan
Curtis			

## NAYS—24

<i>Webster</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Radford</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>

The Committee further reported that in consideration of Mr. *D. A. Anderson's* proposed amendment to section 12 (2) the Committee divided.

The Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *D. A. Anderson*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the division on Mr. *D. A. Anderson's* proposed amendment to section 12 (2) be recorded in the Journals of the House as follows:

## YEAS—17

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Phillips</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Bennett</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Curtis</i>			

## NAYS—24

<i>Webster</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Radford</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>

The Committee further reported that in consideration of Mr. *Wallace's* proposed amendment to section 14 the Committee divided.

The Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Wallace*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the division on Mr. *Wallace's* proposed amendment to section 14 be recorded in the Journals of the House as follows:

## YEAS—15

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Bennett</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	

## NAYS—24

<i>Webster</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Radford</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>

The Committee further reported that in consideration of Mr. *Gardom's* proposed amendment to section 17 the Committee divided.

The Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Gardom*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the division on Mr. *Gardom's* proposed amendment to section 17 be recorded in the Journals of the House as follows:

## YEAS—16

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Phillips</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Bennett</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Jordan</i>

## NAYS—24

<i>Liden</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Radford</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>

The Committee further reported that in consideration of Mr. *Phillips's* proposed amendment to section 17 the Committee divided.

The Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Phillips*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the division on Mr. *Phillips's* proposed amendment to section 17 be recorded in the Journals of the House as follows:

## YEAS—14

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Bennett</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>		

## NAYS—24

<i>Liden</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Radford</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>

The Committee further reported that in consideration of Mr. *Gardom's* proposed amendment to the title the Committee divided.

The Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Gardom*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the division on Mr. *Gardom's* proposed amendment to the title be recorded in the Journals of the House as follows:

## YEAS—16

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Phillips</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Bennett</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Jordan</i>

## NAYS—24

<i>Liden</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Radford</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>

On the motion for the third reading of Bill (No. 165) the House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

## YEAS—25

<i>Liden</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Radford</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>
<i>D'Arcy</i>			

## NAYS—16

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Phillips</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Bennett</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Jordan</i>

Bill read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 173) intituled *Public Schools Interim Arbitration Procedure Act* was committed, reported complete without amendment.

The Committee further reported that in consideration of Mr. *Schroeder's* proposed amendment to section 5 the Committee divided.

The Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Schroeder*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the division on Mr. *Schroeder's* proposed amendment to section 5 be recorded in the Journals of the House as follows:

## YEAS—13

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Bennett</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Curtis</i>			

## NAYS—25

<i>Liden</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Radford</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>
<i>Cummings</i>			

Bill read a third time and passed.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 6.02 p.m.

**Tuesday, November 19, 1974**

---

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *E. Culbertson*.

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

Order called for "Orders of the Day."

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 171) intituled *Timber Products Stabilization Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Smith*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 6 p.m.

---

---

**Tuesday, November 19, 1974**

---

EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Order called for "Orders of the Day."

Bill (No. 178) intituled *Statute Law Amendment Act, 1974 (No. 2)*, was read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

By leave of the House, the Hon. *R. A. Williams* (Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources) tabled a B.C. Research report prepared for the British Columbia Forest Service: *A Basis for Determining the Value of Wood Chips to Be Used in Appraisals for the Calculation of Stumpage Revenue in British Columbia*.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 171) intituled *Timber Products Stabilization Act*.

On the motion of Mr. *Phillips* the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 11 p.m.

## Wednesday, November 20, 1974

---

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *C. Klassen*.

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

During the question period, by leave of the House, the Hon. *W. S. King* (Minister of Labour) tabled copies of correspondence between himself, Mr. M. H. Davison, President of the Interior Forest Labour Relations Association, and Mr. Don Wall, General Manager of Radio Station CJOR, concerning a radio broadcast of July 21, 1974.

Order called for "Orders of the Day."

On the motion of the Hon. *Eileen E. Dailly*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 171) intituled *Timber Products Stabilization Act*.

The debate continued.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

### YEAS—27

<i>Liden</i>	<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Radford</i>
<i>Lewis</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>
<i>Dent</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	

### NAYS—13

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Phillips</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Curtis</i>			

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

The following Bills were committed, reported complete with amendments:

Bill (No. 168) intituled *Elevator Construction Industry Labour Disputes Act*.

Bill (No. 166) intituled *Department of Health Amendment Act, 1974*.

Bill (No. 170) intituled *Assessment Amendment Act, 1974*.

Bills as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

Bill (No. 178) intituled *Statute Law Amendment Act, 1974 (No. 2)* was committed. The Committee rose, reported progress, and asked leave to sit again. Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

**173** Mr. *Bennett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Housing the following questions:

1. Has the Provincial Government established tenant councils with respect to any public housing projects under the jurisdiction of the Minister?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) in what public housing projects do tenant councils exist, (b) what is the total budget with respect to each tenant council established, and (c) what is the remuneration to each individual member of a tenant council, if any?

The Hon. *Lorne Nicolson* replied as follows:

"1 and 2. No."

**192** Mr. *Bennett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Housing the following question:

What, if any, was the total number of applications rejected under the *Provincial Home Acquisition Act* for the period April 1, 1974, to October 31, 1974?

The Hon. *Lorne Nicolson* replied as follows:

"For the period of April 1, 1974, to October 31, 1974, 405 applications have been rejected under the *Provincial Home Acquisition Act*."

**237** Mr. *Richter* asked the Hon. the Minister of Mines and Petroleum Resources the following questions:

1. To what persons, and in what amounts, have grants under the *Prospectors Assistance Act* been made?
2. What is the total cost to date of the programme under the Act?
3. Have any persons been charged with any offences under the Act and, if so, how many?

The Hon. *L. T. Nimsick* replied as follows:

"1. Grants have been made under the *Prospectors Assistance Act* to 73 prospectors in amounts ranging from \$1,000 to \$4,000.

"2. The total cost of the programme has been \$138,156.38 to date.

"3. No persons have been charged with any offences under the Act."

The Hon. *D. D. Stupich* (Minister of Agriculture) tabled the Provincial Land Commission Annual Report for the year ended March 31, 1974.

And then the House adjourned at 6.02 p.m.