

Tuesday, February 14, 1967

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Brig. *M. Rankin*.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

Mr. *Barrett* moved in amendment, seconded by Mrs. *Daily*,—

That the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair for the House to go into Committee of Supply" be amended by adding the following words: "but this House regrets that in the opinion of this House the Honourable the Minister of Finance has failed to present a clear picture to the House of the needs and provisions of comprehensive chronic care facilities, or the needs and provision of school construction facilities for the Province."

A debate arose.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned 'until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

Tuesday, February 14, 1967

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the amendment to the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

Mr. *McGeer* moved an amendment to the amendment, seconded by Mr. *Perrault*,—

That the amendment to the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair for the House to go into Committee of Supply" be further amended by striking out the words "for the Province" in the amendment and substituting the words "or the needs and provisions of post-secondary educational facilities for the Province," so that the amendment now reads, "but this House regrets that in the

opinion of this House the Honourable the Minister of Finance has failed to present a clear picture to the House of the needs and provisions of comprehensive chronic care facilities, or the needs and provision of school construction facilities, or the needs and provisions of post-secondary education for the Province," and the motion now reads:—

"That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair for the House to go into Committee of Supply, but this House regrets that in the opinion of this House the Honourable the Minister of Finance has failed to present a clear picture to the House of the needs and provisions of comprehensive chronic care facilities, or the needs and provision of school construction facilities, or the needs and provisions of post-secondary education for the Province."

A debate arose.

The motion was negatived.

The debate was resumed on the amendment.

The House divided.

The amendment was negatived on the following division:—

YEAS—22

Messieurs

<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>	<i>Macfarlane</i>	<i>Eddie</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Vulliamy</i>	<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>
<i>Clark</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Harding</i>
<i>Hall</i>	<i>Parkinson</i>	<i>Dailly, Mrs.</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Berger</i>	<i>McGeer</i>		

NAYS—32

Messieurs

<i>Chabot</i>	<i>McCarthy, Mrs.</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>LeCours</i>
<i>Bate</i>	<i>Jordan, Mrs.</i>	<i>Wenman</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Dawson, Mrs.</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>Little</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>McDiarmid</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Capozzi</i>	<i>Gaglardi</i>
<i>Shelford</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Richter</i>

The debate was resumed on the main motion.

The House continued to sit after midnight.

WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 15.

On the motion of the Hon. *L. R. Peterson*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 1.05 a.m.

Wednesday, February 15, 1967

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Father *P. M. Hanley*.

The Hon. *D. L. Brothers* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Regulate the Working of All Mines except Coal Mines*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 15, 1967.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 22) intituled *An Act to Regulate the Working of All Mines except Coal Mines*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *F. X. Richter*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The Hon. *W. D. Black* (Provincial Secretary) presented the Report of the Civil Service Commission, Province of British Columbia, from January 1 to December 31, 1966.

The Hon. *W. D. Black* (Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance) presented the Annual Report of the Mental Health Services Branch, Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, for the year ended March 31, 1966.

37 Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture the following questions:—

1. Were any services of the Department of Agriculture charged against the farmers using them in 1965/66?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what was the amount of revenue from each service, (b) how much revenue was credited to the cost of each service, and (c) how much revenue was credited to Consolidated Revenue from each service?

3. What additional services, if any, were charged for during the current fiscal year?

The Hon. *F. X. Richter* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) Revenue from each service, calendar year 1966—veterinary laboratory service, \$9,553.15; brand inspections, \$36,857.64; *Horned Cattle Purchases Act*, \$21,782.84; *Beef Cattle Producers' Assistance Act*, \$59,776.90; pullorum testing, \$7,218.24; soil testing, \$6,336; drainage consultation, \$3,557.85; pesticide analysis, \$238; farm-management programme, \$4,312.50; (b) nil; and (c) all credited to Consolidated Revenue except *Beef Cattle Producers' Assistance Act* and *Horned Cattle Purchases Act*, which are held in a trust account.

“ 3. Nil.”

38 Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture the following questions:—

1. Were any foodstuffs sold during 1965/66 which were produced on projects organized by the Department of Agriculture?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what was the amount of revenue from sales from each project, (b) how much revenue was credited to the cost of each such project, and (c) how much revenue was credited to Consolidated Revenue from each such project?

The Hon. *F. X. Richter* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) Poultry Branch, calendar year 1966, \$42,054.47, disposal after routine testing of eggs and poultry; Colony Farm, foodstuffs, including meat, milk, canned and fresh vegetables, cash receipts mostly for sale of breeding stock, other produce used by Provincial institutions as shown in Public Accounts; Tranquille Farm, same as Colony Farm; (b) all credits for above units, except poultry, applied to votes, *see* Public Accounts; and (c) no direct credits, except poultry, to revenue section of Consolidated Revenue.”

46 Mr. *Parkinson* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

1. Are there any mentally retarded patients in the Provincial Mental Hospital at Essondale?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how many are there at present and (b) how long have they been patients in the Mental Hospital?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) On September 30, 1966, 134; and (b) less than 1 year, 13; 1 year to 5 years, 25; 6 years to 10 years, 3; over 10 years, 93; total, 134.”

95 Mr. *Shelford* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following questions:—

1. What was the total number of gallons of bulk gasoline purchased by your Department for the years 1964 and 1965?
2. What was the average price per gallon for each of these years?

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* replied as follows:—

“ 1. 83,267 gallons in 1964 and 102,772 gallons in 1965.

“ 2. 31.36 cents per gallon in 1964 and 31.98 cents in 1965.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

Thursday, February 16, 1967

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Bishop *D. A. G. Rankilor*.

The Hon. *F. X. Richter* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Pound District Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 15, 1967.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 21) intituled *An Act to Amend the Pound District Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act Respecting the Department of Travel Industry*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 15, 1967.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 20) intituled *An Act Respecting the Department of Travel Industry*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of the Hon. F. X. Richter, Bill (No. 23) intituled *An Act to Amend the Poultry and Poultry Products Act* was introduced, read a first time, and Ordered to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. R. G. Williston, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion of the Hon. W. A. C. Bennett, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 15) intituled *An Act to Amend the Succession Duty Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. Macfarlane, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

86 Mr. Calder asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With respect to the highways maintenance camp at Dease Lake:—

1. What buildings, if any, were constructed and what was the cost of each?
2. What highway maintenance machineries, if any, are located at this maintenance camp?
3. Is it the intention of the Department to place modern snow-remover machinery at this maintenance camp in 1967?
4. Is it the intention of the Department to appoint a highway foreman in 1967 to take charge and to administer the affairs of this maintenance camp?
5. To what points north and south on the Stewart-Cassiar Road, if any, will the Dease Lake highways camp maintain?
6. To what point west on the Telegraph Creek-Dease Lake Road, if any, will the Dease Lake highways camp maintain?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“1. Garage, 40 by 120 feet, including foundation, erection, lighting, and heating, \$102,125.53; two houses, complete with foundation, heat, light, etc., \$43,987; power-plant building, \$3,200; pump-house building, \$2,003; oil-shed and foreman's office (including pumps and tanks), \$5,108.69; and power plant, \$7,386.85.

“2. At present the following equipment is at Dease Lake: One grader, one bulldozer, two trucks with ploughs, and one pick-up.

“3. Yes.

“4. No. Foreman already there.

“5. North 60 miles. South to Burrage River.

“6. Tahltan River.”

88 Mr. *Parkinson* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

1. Does the drug benefit list of Social Assistance Medical Services include (a) oral contraceptive medications and (b) contraceptive devices, including intra uterine devices?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, are Social Welfare staff permitted to discuss family planning?

3. If the answer to No. 1 is no, would the Minister accept the inclusion of contraceptive drugs and devices?

The Hon. *D. R. J. Campbell* replied as follows:—

“1. (a) Yes and (b) no.

“2. Social workers do discuss the social aspects of family planning.

“3. Answered by No. 1.”

115 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With reference to the Arrowhead-Galena Bay Ferry:—

1. How many passengers were carried (a) for the fiscal year ending March 31, 1966, and (b) for the nine-month period ending December 31, 1966?

2. How many vehicles were carried (a) for the fiscal year ending March 31, 1966, and (b) for the nine-month period ending December 31, 1966?

3. How much revenue in tolls was received (a) for the fiscal year ending March 31, 1966, and (b) for the nine-month period ending December 31, 1966?

4. What was the total cost of operating the ferry (a) for the fiscal year ending March 31, 1966, and (b) for the nine-month period ending December 31, 1966?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“1. (a) 14,092 passengers (excluding drivers) and (b) 14,612 passengers (excluding drivers).

“2. (a) 16,086 vehicles and (b) 15,366 vehicles.

“3. (a) \$20,374.30 and (b) \$19,411.50.

“4. (a) \$79,072.27 and (b) \$82,138.”

125 Mr. *Clark* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With respect to land acquisition for highway purposes:—

1. During the year ended December 31, 1966, did any negotiations take place with the North Vancouver Recreation Board with respect to compensation to be

paid to the Board for land under control of the Board to be acquired by the Department?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, has the agreement been achieved?
3. If the answer to No. 2 is yes, what compensation has been set?
4. If the answer to No. 2 is no, have any payments of any kind been made to the Board?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. Yes.
- “ 2. No.
- “ 3. Answered by No. 2.
- “ 4. No. Payments will be made to the municipalities.”

126 Mr. *Clark* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following questions:—

Concerning Crown Provincial land located in North Vancouver-Seymour, D.L. 2088, West Half of Block 12, Lot 21:—

1. Has this property been disposed of?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, who was the purchaser?
3. Was this property put out for bids?
4. How many bids were received?
5. What were the amounts of the bids?
6. In what manner was the proposed sale advertised?
7. Was the District of North Vancouver advised of the Provincial Government's intention to dispose of this property; if not, why not?

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. Yes.
- “ 2. Mary G. Usher, Apartment 23, 900 Clarke Road, Port Moody, B.C.
- “ 3. Yes.
- “ 4. One.
- “ 5. \$1,550.
- “ 6. The sale was advertised in the following manner: (a) By public notice in *The Citizen*, issue of Thursday, February 24, 1966, and in the *Vancouver Sun*, issue of Saturday, February 26, 1966; (b) by notices sent to the Land Commissioner, Vancouver, for public display and distribution to any interested inquirers; and (c) by notices mailed to two applicants.

“ 7. Yes. Departmental Land Inspector discussed the disposition of this property with the Municipal Planner and Assessor on February 1, 1966. The municipal officials indicated no objection to the disposition of the property provided the municipality was not held responsible for services to the property. A specific provision to this effect was accordingly inserted in the notice of tender and the subsequent certificate of purchase.”

127 Mr. *Clark* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following questions:—

Concerning the sale of Crown Provincial land located in North Vancouver-Seymour, D.L. 2088, West Half of Block 12, Lot C:—

1. Was this property put out for bids?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how many bids were received?
3. What were the amounts of these bids?

4. Who was the successful bidder and what was the amount of the successful bid?
5. In what manner was the proposed sale advertised?
6. Was the District of North Vancouver advised of the Provincial Government's intention to dispose of this property?
7. If the answer to No. 6 is no, why not?

The Hon. R. G. Williston replied as follows:—

- " 1. Yes.
- " 2. One
- " 3. \$3,050.
- " 4. Annie V. Sap, 1266 Best Street, White Rock, B.C., \$3,050.
- " 5. The sale was advertised in the following manner: (a) By public notice in The Citizen, issue of Thursday, February 24, 1966, and in the Vancouver Sun, issue of Saturday, February 26, 1966; (b) by notices sent to the Land Commissioner, Vancouver, for public display and distribution to any interested inquirers; and (c) by notices mailed to two applicants.
- " 6. Yes. Departmental Land Inspector discussed the disposition of this property with the Municipal Planner and Assessor on February 1, 1966. The municipal officials indicated no objection to the disposition of the property.
- " 7. Answered by No. 6.

"NOTE.—Lot C of the West Half of Block 12 of District Lot 2088 is a resub-division of Lots 13 and 14 of the West Half of Block 12. The property was dealt with by the Department as Lots 13 and 14, being the legal description which applied at the time."

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.58 p.m.

Thursday, February 16, 1967

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. W. A. C. Bennett, on behalf of Mr. Skillings, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 11.09 p.m.

Friday, February 17, 1967

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Brig. V. Underhill.

The Hon. W. A. C. Bennett presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Establish a British Columbia Regional Hospital Districts Financing Authority*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 17, 1967.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 26) intituled *An Act to Establish a British Columbia Regional Hospital Districts Financing Authority*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. Macfarlane, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion of the Hon. W. A. C. Bennett, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 4) intituled *An Act to Amend the Coloured Gasoline Tax Act*.

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 9) intituled *An Act to Amend the Gasoline Tax Act, 1948*.

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 5) intituled *An Act to Amend the Provincial Home-owner Grant Act*.

The debate continued.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to *nemine contradicente* on the following division:—

YEAS—48

Messieurs

<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Dailly, Mrs.</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Mussallem</i>
<i>Clark</i>	<i>Eddie</i>	<i>Shelford</i>	<i>McDiarmid</i>
<i>Hall</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>McCarthy, Mrs.</i>	<i>Vogel</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Harding</i>	<i>Jordan, Mrs.</i>	<i>LeCours</i>
<i>Williams, R. A.</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Dawson, Mrs.</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Vulliamy</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Parkinson</i>	<i>Bate</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>Gaglardi</i>
<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Wenman</i>	<i>Richter</i>

PAIRS:

Messieurs

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Capozzi</i>
<i>Macfarlane</i>	<i>Phillips</i>
<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Berger</i>

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

Moved by the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, seconded by *Mr. Strachan*,—

That the Report, by leave of the House, appointing fourteen members to the Special Committee to study the Gasoline Price Structure Report, received on February 13 last pursuant to Order, be adopted.

A debate arose.

Mr. Perrault moved in amendment, seconded by *Mr. McGeer*,—

The motion is amended by adding the following words: “but the House regrets that in drawing up the Report, and in assigning members to the Committee, a

fair balance of House representation was not achieved," so that the motion now reads:—

"That the Report, by leave of the House, appointing fourteen members to the Special Committee to study the Gasoline Price Structure Report, received on February 13 last pursuant to Order, be adopted, but the House regrets that in drawing up the Report, and in assigning members to the Committee, a fair balance of House representation was not achieved."

Mr. Speaker ruled the amendment out of order on the ground that it was not competent for the House to amend the report of a Special Committee.

The debate resumed on the motion.

Motion agreed to.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* (President of the Council) presented the Pacific Great Eastern Railway Company Statement of Accounts as at December 31, 1966.

5 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Council the following questions:—

With reference to the payment of \$274,800,000 received October 1, 1964, under the Columbia River Treaty:—

1. Was any of this money expended to December 31, 1966?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what are the details of these expenditures?
3. Was any interest earned by all or part of the \$274,800,000 to December 31, 1966?
4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, what is the total amount of interest earned?
5. What is the total amount unexpended including any interest to December 31, 1966?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

"1. Yes.

"2. Construction of Treaty projects, \$172,232,563; loaned to Authority temporarily for use on other projects, \$49,656,229.

"3. Yes.

"4. \$34,553,706.

"5. \$135,612,804 including temporary loans to other areas of the Authority. Flood-control payments yet to be received; accumulation of interest during construction in the future and British Columbia's 50 per cent share of last 30 years of Treaty power are not included in these figures, but should be included to make a valid comparison with costs.

"NOTE.—Amount received in Canadian funds was \$273,291,661."

18 Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Premier the following question:—

With respect to the Pacific Great Eastern Railway, in the year ended December 31, 1966, (a) how many accidents occurred on this railway, and (b) of these, how many involved loss of life?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

"(a) Derailment, 1; highway crossings, 1; miscellaneous, 9; adjusting couplers, coupling and uncoupling, 3; track-car accidents, 11; crossing between cars, 1; crushed between cars and buildings, lumber piles, 1; rough coupling, 3;

falling off top of car, 4; employees getting off train, 8; employees boarding train, 2; slipping on ice, 5; slipping or falling off side or end ladders of cars, 5; and turning angle-cock, 1; total, 55; and (b) *nil*."

69 Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With reference to recipients of social assistance:—

1. Were any recipients referred for vocational training or retraining during 1965 and 1966?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how many recipients were referred and (b) how many completed training or retraining?

The Hon. *D. R. J. Campbell* replied as follows:—

" 1. Yes.

" 2. (a) During the 12-month period from December 31, 1965, to December 31, 1966, a total number of 666 persons receiving social assistance was given training under Programmes 5 and 6, and (b) 228 of these persons completed their training courses. Many left courses early to take offered employment and a large number are still in training courses."

74 Mr. *Eddie* asked the Hon. the Minister of Labour the following questions:—

1. Were any claims presented to the Workmen's Compensation Counsellor during the year 1966?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how many claims were presented?
3. According to the records of the Compensation Board, were any of these claimants successful in obtaining a decision in their favour?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* replied as follows:—

" 1. Yes.

" 2. 553.

" 3. The Workmen's Compensation Board does not keep a record of the decisions of the claims handled by the Compensation Counsellor."

83 Mr. *Calder* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With respect to the proposed access road from the B.C. Molybdenum Limited townsite to the village of Alice Arm:—

1. What is the distance, in miles, between the two communities?
2. What road surveys, if any, have begun for the construction of this road?
3. What bridge surveys, if any, have begun for the crossing of the Kitsault River?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

" 1. Approximately 7 miles.

" 2. *Nil*.

" 3. Answered by No. 2."

84 Mr. *Calder* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

Relative to bridges in the Atlin constituency:—

1. Were any bridges repaired in 1966/67?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what are the names of the bridges, upon what roads are they located, and what was the total cost of repairs of each bridge?

3. Were any bridges rebuilt in 1966/67?

4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, what are the names of the bridges, upon what roads are they located, and what was the total cost of construction to each bridge?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. Atlin Street Bridge, at Atlin village, \$355; Fourth of July, Atlin Highway, \$114; Birch Creek Bridge, Bridge Creek Road, \$31; Cormier Bridge, Stewart-Watson Road, \$148; Suss Bridge, Telegraph Creek Road, \$290; Halfway Bridge, Spruce Creek Road, \$48; Campbell Bridge, Telegraph Creek Road, \$40; Surprise Lake Dam, Surprise Lake Road, \$42; Gold Run Bridge, Gold Mine Road, off Surprise Lake Road, \$175; Otter Creek Bridge, Wright Creek Road, \$165; Gloonah, Telegraph Creek Road, \$83; Eighteen Mile (Kaltaur) Bridge, Telegraph Creek-Dease Lake Road, \$37; Cottonwood, Watson Road, \$39; Tuya, Telegraph Creek Road, \$322; Bell-Irving No. 1, Stewart-Watson Lake No. 3, \$2,385.10; Bear River No. 1, Stewart-Watson, \$264.46; Bear River No. 2, Stewart-Watson, \$244.62; Stewart-Hyder No. 3, Stewart-Hyder, \$520.24; Bug Creek, Kitsault River Road-Alice Arm, \$526.55; Rainey Creek, Stewart Arterial, \$171.39; and F. G. Goddard Bridge, Stewart-Watson No. 31, \$262.85.

“ 3. Yes.

“ 4. Bernachee, Cemetery Road, \$2,500; Hitchcock, Atlin Highway, \$900; Blue River, Stewart-Watson Road, \$543; Indian River, Atlin Highway, \$721; Vance, Telegraph Creek Road, \$602; and Burnt Creek Bridge, Atlin Highway, was replaced by a wooden-stave culvert.”

100 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

1. How many acres in the Arrow reservoir basin will be flooded?

2. Have any Arrow reservoir clearing contracts been let to date?

3. If the answer to No. 2 is yes, (a) how many clearing contracts have been let, (b) what is the acreage involved in each contract, (c) what is the cost of each awarded contract, and (d) who was the successful bidder for each contract?

4. What is the total acreage cleared as of December 31, 1966?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. 27,530.

“ 2. Yes.

“ 3. (a) 53, (b), (c), and (d) as follows:—

Size (Acres)	Contractor	Cost
220	Evins Contracting Ltd.	\$176,000
465	Lodon Contracting & Development Co. Ltd. and MacArthur Construction Ltd.	543,750
395	Lodon Contracting & Development Co. Ltd. and MacArthur Construction Ltd.	382,500
230	Herb Zirnitis & Kristaps Zirnitis	134,244
195	Herb Zirnitis & Kristaps Zirnitis	77,406
385	McPhail's Construction Co. Ltd.	154,997
250	Lodon Contracting & Development Co. Ltd. and MacArthur Construction Ltd.	130,500
305	A. C. McEachern Limited	83,980
580	A. C. McEachern Limited	132,840
80	Brazeau River Constructors Ltd.	26,777
290	Danmyra Construction Co. Ltd.	45,080
165	Brazeau River Constructors Ltd.	33,777
400	Alpine Clearing Contractors Ltd.	135,750
315	Alpine Clearing Contractors Ltd.	108,750

Size (Acres)	Contractor	Cost
480	Herb Zirnitis & Kristaps Zirnitis	\$239,520
345	Herb Zirnitis & Kristaps Zirnitis	131,670
340	Oliver Equipment	98,600
365	Lodon Contracting & Development Co. Ltd. and MacArthur Construction Ltd.	127,750
165	Lodon Contracting & Development Co. Ltd. and MacArthur Construction Ltd.	53,955
80	Lodon Contracting & Development Co. Ltd. and MacArthur Construction Ltd.	22,250
70	The Cattermole-Trethewey Contractors Ltd.	39,200
95	Lodon Contracting & Development Co. Ltd. and MacArthur Construction Ltd.	56,850
110	J. H. Shaw Limited	32,500
150	J. H. Shaw Limited	31,000
250	Lodon Contracting & Development Co. Ltd. and MacArthur Construction Ltd.	143,250
160	Lodon Contracting & Development Co. Ltd. and MacArthur Construction Ltd.	50,600
700	Pooley Bros. Ltd.	266,000
145	A. C. McEachern Limited	29,800
190	Brazeau River Constructors Ltd.	47,777
270	Daniel D. McGee Ltd.	100,980
475	The Cattermole-Trethewey Contractors Ltd.	308,275
580	M. J. Walsh	99,600
275	Sam Striloff	81,950
665	Alpine Clearing Contractors Ltd.	719,000
506	Lodon Contracting & Development Co. Ltd. and MacArthur Construction Ltd.	75,000
205	Pooley Bros. Ltd.	76,000
330	Alpine Clearing Contractors Ltd.	76,320
317	Pooley Bros. Ltd.	87,500
112	Pooley Bros. Ltd.	49,750
450	Lodon Contracting & Development Co. Ltd. and MacArthur Construction Ltd.	119,750
143	Jim Robb Contracting Company Limited	113,870
70	Foucher Logging Co. Ltd. and Danmyra Construction Co. Ltd.	12,500
114	Pooley Bros. Ltd.	67,800
282	Pooley Bros. Ltd.	84,500
519	Foucher Logging Co. Ltd. and Danmyra Construction Co. Ltd.	179,000
625	The Cattermole-Trethewey Contractors Ltd.	218,750
385	Danmyra Construction Co. Ltd.	110,000
78	Geddes Contracting Co. Ltd.	22,050
440	Geddes Contracting Co. Ltd.	49,500
253	The Cattermole-Trethewey Contractors Ltd.	193,750
571	Pooley Bros. Ltd.	221,630
192	Lodon Contracting & Development Co. Ltd. and MacArthur Construction Ltd.	60,610
390	M. & M. Contracting	142,350
	" 4. 8,423."	

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. on Monday next.

And then the House adjourned at 5.52 p.m.

Monday, February 20, 1967

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Pastor *D. Just*.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

By leave of the House, the Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* (Minister of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce) presented a comparison of the costs to shippers of importing and exporting general cargo through the ports of Vancouver, Montreal, and Seattle, with recommendations in regard to port administration, prepared for the Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce, and a copy of a speech by the Hon. *R. R. Loffmark*, Minister of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce, to the Canadian Industrial Traffic League, Wednesday, March 30, 1966.

The debate continued.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:—

YEAS—31

Messieurs

<i>Chabot</i>	<i>McCarthy, Mrs.</i>	<i>Wenman</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Bate</i>	<i>Jordan, Mrs.</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Dawson, Mrs.</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Little</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>McDiarmid</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Capozzi</i>	<i>Gagardi</i>
<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Shelford</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	

NAYS—21

Messieurs

<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Vulliamy</i>	<i>Macfarlane</i>	<i>Eddie</i>
<i>Clark</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>
<i>Hall</i>	<i>Parkinson</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Harding</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Dailly, Mrs.</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>Berger</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Williams, R. A.</i>			

PAIR:

Messieurs

Williston *Williams, L. A.*

(IN THE COMMITTEE OF SUPPLY)

The Committee rose and reported progress.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 20) intituled *An Act Respecting the Department of Travel Industry* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Nimsick*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

128 Mr. *Clark* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following questions:—

With respect to Mount Seymour Provincial Park:—

1. In the 12-month period ended December 31, 1966, were any funds expended on capital improvements in Mount Seymour Provincial Park?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, by months how much?
3. During the same period, what were the staff and maintenance costs in Mount Seymour Provincial Park?

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* replied as follows:—

" 1. Yes.

" 2. January, \$15,909.27; February, \$29,819.40; March, \$11,450.20; April, \$16,512.36; May, \$18,619.53; June, \$6,402.70; July, *nil*; August, \$988.55; September, \$14,988.94; October, \$25,283.20; November, \$28,383.37; and December, \$81,553.74.

" 3. Staff, \$73,868, and maintenance, \$33,081.93."

134 Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture the following questions:—

1. Has the Department of Agriculture done any research into or given consideration to the use of liquid hydrolized seaweed as fertilizer?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what has been done to publicize the results of the Department's research?

The Hon. *F. X. Richter* replied as follows:—

" 1. No.

" 2. No."

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.55 p.m.

Tuesday, February 21, 1967

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. S. Parsons.

On the motion of the Hon. F. X. Richter, the following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today:—

Bill (No. 28) intituled *An Act to Amend the Stock Brands Act*.

Bill (No. 27) intituled *An Act to Amend the Trespass Act*.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Committee further recommended that certain documents referred to by the Hon. W. A. C. Bennett (Premier) be tabled in the House.

Report adopted.

By leave of the House, the Hon. W. A. C. Bennett tabled the following:—

Estimated equalization payments by revenue source (calculated according to the representative tax system approach, 1966/67).

Estimated equalization payments to Provinces under Federal proposals of September, 1966, and under present arrangements.

62 Mr. Barrett asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

1. How many children who are wards of the Government are awaiting adoption?

2. How many children under 1 year who are in Government care (ward and non-ward) are awaiting adoption, and what is the *per diem* cost for such children?

3. How many applications for adoption by prospective new adoptive parents were made last year?

4. How many applications for adoption by prospective new adoptive parents were processed last year; (a) how many of these were accepted as suitable parents and (b) how many of the suitable parents are still awaiting a child?

5. What is the cost per month to care for a child under age 1 year while awaiting adoption?

The Hon. *D. R. J. Campbell* replied as follows:—

“ 1. 60.

“ 2. 61 at \$4.03 *per diem*.

“ 3. 1,443 to December 31, 1966.

“ 4. 1,206 to December 31, 1966, (a) 1,055 and (b) 43.

“ 5. \$120.75.”

140 Mr. *Nimsick* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following questions:—

With respect to the reservoir area behind the Libby Dam within British Columbia:—

1. What was the total cut in merchantable timber for the year ended December 31, 1966?

2. What is the estimated amount of merchantable timber remaining in the reservoir area?

3. How much of this timber is on (a) Crown land and (b) private land?

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* replied as follows:—

“ 1, 2, and 3. The flood-line for the Libby Dam pondage, as it will affect land in British Columbia, has not been established on the ground, and, until this is done, it will not be possible to identify the cut from lands privately owned or held by the Crown above or below this flood-line.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.35 p.m.

Tuesday, February 21, 1967

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, the House proceeded to the Order “ Public Bills and Orders.”

Bill (No. 22) intituled *An Act to Regulate the Working of All Mines except Coal Mines* was read a second time.

On the motion of the Hon. *D. L. Brothers*, seconded by the Hon. *R. R. Loffmark*, it was *Ordered*,—

That Bill (No. 22) intituled *An Act to Regulate the Working of All Mines except Coal Mines* be referred to the Select Standing Committee on Mining and Railways for consideration, and report to the House its recommendations thereon.

By leave, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The House continued to sit after midnight.

WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 22.

2. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$79,237 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Premier's Office to 31st March, 1968.

The Committee reported the Resolution.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 12.40 a.m.

Wednesday, February 22, 1967

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Canon *G. B. Baker*.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

108. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$5,370 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1968.

109. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$188,064 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, General Administration, to 31st March, 1968.

110. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$407,998 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Controlling and Audit Branch, to 31st March, 1968.

111. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$412,824 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Data Processing Branch, to 31st March, 1968.

112. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$908,880 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Consumer Taxation Branch, to 31st March, 1968.

113. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$379,057 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Real Property Taxation Branch, to 31st March, 1968.

114. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$220,811 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Income Taxation Branch, to 31st March, 1968.

115. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$207,366 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, *Assessment Equalization Act*, to 31st March, 1968.

116. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$373,898 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Purchasing Commission, to 31st March, 1968.

117. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Langford Warehouse, to 31st March, 1968.

118. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,703,762 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Government Agencies, Etc., to 31st March, 1968.

119. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$40,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Courts of Revision, to 31st March, 1968.

120. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$26,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Assessment Appeal Board, to 31st March, 1968.

121. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$75,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Printing Public Accounts, Estimates, Bonds, Revenue Receipts, Etc., to 31st March, 1968.

122. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$151,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Temporary Assistance, to 31st March, 1968.

123. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$50,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Incidentals and Contingencies, to 31st March, 1968.

124. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, *Civil Service Superannuation Act*—Interest on Superannuation and Retirement Funds, to 31st March, 1968.

125. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, *Members of the Legislative Assembly Superannuation Act*—Interest, to 31st March, 1968.

126. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$200 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, *Dyking Assessments Adjustment Act, 1905*, to 31st March, 1968.

127. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$50,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, *Supreme Court Act*—Interest on Suitors' Fund Deposits, to 31st March, 1968.

128. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$4,100,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Salary Contingencies and Adjustments (All Departments), to 31st March, 1968.

129. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$75,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Expense Contingencies (All Departments), to 31st March, 1968.

130. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$75,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Motor-vehicles and Accessories (All Departments), to 31st March 1968.

131. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,000,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Power Subsidy, to 31st March, 1968.

132. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$3,556 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, *Nancy Sloan Act*, to 31st March, 1968.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

70 Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With reference to grants for children's school supplies for the school-year commencing September, 1966:—

1. Were grants to families receiving social assistance made available?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what was the available amount for each child and (b) were all eligible parents notified of the availability of the grant and, if so, when and how were they notified?
3. Did any parents apply for grants?
4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, (a) how many grants were applied for, (b) what was the total expenditure on these grants, and (c) how many children did the total grants cover?

The Hon. *D. R. J. Campbell* replied as follows:—

- " 1. Yes.
- " 2. (a) Up to \$10 per child and (b) all parents who appeared to be in need and eligible were notified by letter, telephone, or personal contact.
- " 3. Yes.
- " 4. (a) 5,395, (b) \$121,644.26, and (c) 13,222."

78 Mr. *Parkinson* asked the Hon. the Minister of Municipal Affairs the following questions:—

1. Have there been any applications from the City of Prince Rupert for assistance in low-rental housing projects?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what was the disposition of the application(s)?

The Hon. *D. R. J. Campbell* replied as follows:—

" Prince Rupert has asked for 100 rental units. The site has just recently been agreed upon and Central Mortgage and Housing Corporation is preparing the documents providing for a call for proposals from builders."

146 Mr. *Nimsick* asked the Hon. the Minister of Municipal Affairs the following questions:—

In regard to the relocation of Natal-Michel:—

1. Have any guide-lines been set up in determining the financial settlements with the people concerned?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how do they apply to (a) home-owners, (b) home-tenants, (c) owner business, and (d) tenant business?

The Hon. *D. R. J. Campbell* replied as follows:—

“ 1. The properties have all been appraised by professional appraisers for the purpose of determining what are fair and reasonable prices to offer the owners.

“ 2. These apply to all owners regardless of the use to which the building is devoted. One of the conditions of an urban-renewal project is that housing must be available before people can be turned out of their present accommodation.”

147 Mr. *Nimsick* asked the Hon. the Minister of Municipal Affairs the following questions:—

In regard to the land assembly for the relocation of Natal-Michel:—

1. Is the Provincial Government making any contribution to the purchase and servicing of this land in preparation for occupation?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what is the amount and (b) what part of this will be charged against the land?
3. Is the Crows Nest Industries making any contribution to the purchase and servicing of this land in preparation for occupation?
4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, what is the amount?

The Hon. *D. R. J. Campbell* replied as follows:—

“ The details of a proposed land-assembly project have still to be worked out with the Municipal Council of Sparwood and Central Mortgage and Housing Corporation.”

149 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following questions:—

With respect to the reservoir area behind the Mica Dam:—

1. Is there any privately owned timber in the basin?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what is the estimated total?
3. How much of this private timber was cut in the year ended December 31, 1966?

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. Yes.
- “ 2. Unknown, estimates of timber on private lands subject of negotiation between owners and British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority.
- “ 3. 728,500 cubic feet.”

150 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following questions:—

With respect to the reservoir behind the Duncan Dam:—

1. What was the cut in merchantable timber for the year ended December 31, 1966?
2. What was the total amount of timber removed from this area to December 31, 1966?
3. Will cutting operations be carried out during the current year?

The Hon. R. G. Williston replied as follows:—

- “ 1. 393,180 cubic feet.
- “ 2. 2,393,180 cubic feet.
- “ 3. No, to our knowledge Kootenay Forest Products Limited does not plan to log.”

151 Mr. Harding asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following questions:—

With respect to the reservoir area behind the Mica Dam:—

1. What was the total cut in merchantable timber for the year ended December 31, 1966?
2. What was the total amount of merchantable timber removed from this area to December 31, 1966?
3. What is the estimated amount of merchantable timber remaining in the reservoir area?

The Hon. R. G. Williston replied as follows:—

- “ 1. 14,890,000 cubic feet.
- “ 2. 38,341,160 cubic feet.
- “ 3. 41,732,459 cubic feet.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.41 p.m.

Thursday, February 23, 1967

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. R. Upton.

On the motion of the Hon. F. X. Richter, Bill (No. 29) intituled *An Act Respecting the Practice of Veterinary Surgery* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

Mr. McGeer asked leave to move adjournment of the House for the purpose of discussing a matter of urgent public importance and, after stating the matter, handed a written statement to Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker read the statement to the House as follows:—

“ *Mr. Speaker*,—Pursuant to Standing Order 35, I rise to ask leave to make a motion for the adjournment of the House to discuss a definite matter of urgent public importance. The matter of urgent public importance is the resignation of five psychologists who comprise the complete psychology section of Riverview Hospital.”

Mr. Speaker asked if leave be granted. Objection was taken.

At the request of Mr. Speaker for those in favour of the motion, more than nine members arose in their places.

Mr. *McGeer* moved, seconded by Mr. *Perrault*,—

“That the House be adjourned for the purpose of discussing as a matter of urgent public importance the resignation of five psychologists from the Riverview Mental Hospital.”

A debate arose.

Mr. Speaker ruled that as a result of statements made by the Honourable the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the urgency of debate on the motion to adjourn the House no longer existed and, accordingly, further debate on the motion would not be in order.

Motion ruled out of order.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* (Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources) presented the Annual Report of the British Columbia Forest Service for the year ended December 31, 1966.

141 Mr. *Nimsick* asked the Hon. the Minister of Labour the following questions:—

1. Has the Department of Labour been approached by a group of employees of Cowichan Copper Company regarding unpaid wages?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how many employees were involved, (b) what were the total wages owed by the Cowichan Copper Company to these employees, (c) how much has been paid to date, (d) who paid these wages, and (e) when will the balance be paid?

3. Was the Department of Labour asked to take action against Aetna Investment Corporation for the wages owed to the employees of Cowichan Copper Company?

4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, what action was taken?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* replied as follows:—

“1. Yes.

“2. (a) 145, (b) net wages and holiday pay of \$39,866.82, (c) \$15,000, (d) paid by the solicitor of British Metal Corporation (Canada) Limited on the direction of Cowichan Copper Company Limited, and (e) this information is not available and the investigation is continuing.

" 3. Yes.

" 4. Action was taken under the *Payment of Wages Act* against Cowichan Copper Company Limited as the investigation revealed it was the employer of the employees to whom wages were owing."

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 6.02 p.m.

Thursday, February 23, 1967

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

3. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$33,076 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1968.

4. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$128,322 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, General Administration, to 31st March, 1968.

5. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$104,348 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Markets and Statistics Branch, to 31st March, 1968.

6. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$219,406 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Horticultural Branch, to 31st March, 1968.

7. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$36,484 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Plant Pathology Branch, to 31st March, 1968.

8. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$63,728 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Entomology Branch, to 31st March, 1968.

9. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$30,577 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Apiary Branch, to 31st March, 1968.

10. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$627,986 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Live Stock Branch, to 31st March, 1968.

11. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$192,342 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Dairy Branch, to 31st March, 1968.

12. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$110,364 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Poultry Branch, to 31st March, 1968.

13. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$79,300 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Field Crops and Seed Improvement Branch, to 31st March, 1968.

14. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$12,420 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Farmers' Institutes, to 31st March, 1968.

15. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$12,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Women's Institutes, to 31st March, 1968.

16. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$149,675 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Soil Survey Branch, to 31st March, 1968.

17. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$312,179 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Development and Extension Branch, to 31st March, 1968.

18. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$95,154 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Engineering Branch, to 31st March, 1968.

19. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$69,364 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, 4-H Clubs, to 31st March, 1968.

20. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$89,806 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Milk Board, to 31st March, 1968.

21. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$97,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Temporary Assistance, to 31st March, 1968.

22. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$25,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Motor-vehicle Accessories, to 31st March, 1968.

23. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$73,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Grants and Subsidies, to 31st March, 1968.

24. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Rebates on Stumping-powder, to 31st March, 1968.

25. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$125,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Subsidies on Agricultural Lime, to 31st March, 1968.

26. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$22,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Farm Labour Service, to 31st March, 1968.

27. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$20 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Farms, to 31st March, 1968.

28. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$100,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Crop Insurance, to 31st March, 1968.

29. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$700,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Farmers' Land-clearing and Domestic Water Assistance, to 31st March, 1968.

30. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$750 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, *Pound District Act*, to 31st March, 1968.

31. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$37,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, *Grasshopper-control Act*, to 31st March, 1968.

32. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,200 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, *Natural Products Marketing (B.C.) Act*, to 31st March, 1968.

33. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$70,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Pest Control, to 31st March, 1968.

34. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$16,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Aid in Construction of Storage Facilities, Farm Commodities, to 31st March, 1968.

35. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$3,600,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, *Agricultural Rehabilitation and Development Act*, to 31st March, 1968.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.

Report to be considered at the next sitting.

Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 11.24 p.m.

Friday, February 24, 1967

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. B. Cowan.

By leave of the House, the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* read a telegram sent to the Honourable Mitchell W. Sharp, Minister of Finance, Ottawa.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, seconded by Mr. *Strachan*, it was *Ordered* that the telegram be entered in the Journals of the House as follows:—

“ February 24, 1967.

“ *The Honourable Mitchell W. Sharp*,

“ *Minister of Finance*,

“ *Ottawa, Canada.*

“ *Re* press dispatches so that there will be no further misunderstanding, I, as fiscal agent of the British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority, officially ask you,

will your Government loan the British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority one hundred million dollars long term at present Federal cost of interest providing the loan is unconditionally guaranteed as to principal and interest by British Columbia?

“ W. A. C. BENNETT,
“ *Premier and Minister of Finance.*”

On the motion of Mr. Clark, Bill (No. 33) intituled *An Act Respecting the Broadcasting of Debates and Proceedings of the Legislative Assembly of British Columbia* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. W. A. C. Bennett, the House proceeded to the Order “ Public Bills and Orders.”

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 8) intituled *An Act to Amend the Pacific Great Eastern Construction Loan Act, 1954.*

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 12) intituled *An Act to Amend the Mining Tax Act.*

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 13) intituled *An Act to Amend the British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority Act, 1964.*

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 16) intituled *An Act Respecting Provincial Grants for Home Acquisition.*

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 20) intituled *An Act Respecting the Department of Travel Industry*.

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

The following Bills were read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today:—

Bill (No. 21) intituled *An Act to Amend the Pound District Act*.

Bill (No. 23) intituled *An Act to Amend the Poultry and Poultry Products Act*.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 26) intituled *An Act to Establish a British Columbia Regional Hospital Districts Financing Authority*, a debate arose.

Mr. *Vulliamy* moved that the debate be adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Motion negatived on the following division:—

YEAS—18

Messieurs

Williams, L. A.
Gardom
Hall
Hartley
Berger

Williams, R. A.
Vulliamy
Stupich
Barrett
McGeer

Perrault
Dowding
Dailly, Mrs.
Eddie

Nimsick
Harding
Strachan
Macdonald

NAYS—28

Messieurs

Chabot
Bate
Skillings
Little
Jefcoat
Tisdalle
Shelford

McCarthy, Mrs.
Dawson, Mrs.
Kiernan
Williston
Bennett
Bonner
Black

Wolfe
Wenman
Phillips
Mussallem
McDiarmid
Capozzi
Vogel

LeCours
Loffmark
Brothers
Campbell
Chant
Peterson
Richter

PAIRS:

Messieurs

Clark
Parkinson
Macjarlane
Calder

Jordan, Mrs.
Bruch
Smith
Gaglardi

The debate resumed on the main motion.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. on Monday next.

And then the House adjourned at 6.10 p.m.