

Wednesday, February 24, 1971

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *John Vickers*.

The following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today:

On the motion of the Hon. *C. M. Shelford*, Bill (No. 39) intituled *Synthetic Food Products Act*.

On the motion of the Hon. *L. R. Peterson*, Bill (No. 36) intituled *An Act to Amend the Revised Statutes Act, 1966*.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* that the House proceed to Motion 14 on the Order Paper, a point of order was raised. Mr. Speaker ruled that the precedence motion passed on February 4, 1971 required the House to resolve itself into Committee of Supply.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* moved that the Rules be suspended and that the House proceed to Motion 14.

A point of order was raised that the motion required notice.

Mr. Speaker observed that notice of motion as required by Standing Order 48 was specifically made applicable to motions for the suspension of Standing Rules and Orders "with reference to Private Bills" and that it appeared, therefore, by necessary implication, the requirement for notice was not applicable to other motions for the suspension of Standing Orders. Mr. Speaker ruled that the motion was in order.

The Speaker's ruling was challenged and, upon appeal to the House, the Speaker's ruling was sustained.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* that the House proceed to Motion 14, a debate arose.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—33

Messieurs

<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Capozzi</i>
<i>Ney</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Skillings</i>
<i>Marshall</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Peterson</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Kripps, Mrs.</i>	<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>McCarthy, Mrs.</i>	<i>Campbell, B.</i>	<i>Campbell, D. R. J.</i>
<i>Price</i>	<i>Jordan, Mrs.</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Dawson, Mrs.</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Shelford</i>
<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>McDiarmid</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Chabot</i>			

NAYS—16

Messieurs

<i>Brousson</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Clark</i>	<i>Dowding</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>
<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Daily, Mrs.</i>

PAIR:

Messieurs

Merilees *McGeer*

Moved by the Hon. *L. R. Peterson*, seconded by Mr. *Price*—

Whereas it is predictable that a work stoppage in the transportation industry in the current dispute between certain trucking firms and the General Truck Drivers and Helpers Union, Local 31 will not only interfere with the freedom and rights of many of the people of British Columbia to carry on their lawful and chosen activities but will also discourage and divert investments in industrial undertakings within British Columbia and adversely affect the economy and welfare of its citizens:

And whereas it is expected that the parties engaged in the dispute will respect and observe the laws of the Province:

This House recommends that in order to protect the public interest and welfare the work stoppage resulting from the dispute between certain employers in the transportation industry and the General Truck Drivers and Helpers Union, Local 31 shall immediately cease, and that the normal operations of those employers shall be resumed forthwith.

Upon a point of order being raised by Mr. *Barrett* that Motion 14 was out of order on the ground that the motion contained preambles contrary to the Rules of the House, it was by consent *Ordered* that the preambles be deleted.

The debate continued.

Moved by Mr. *L. A. Williams*, seconded by Mr. *Brousson*—

That Motion 14 be amended by adding thereto the words: “and that some person or persons having special knowledge in the matter be appointed to endeavour to bring about agreement between the parties in relation to all matters in dispute, or alternatively to make recommendations for the settlement of the dispute.”

A debate arose.

The House divided.

The amendment was negated on the following division:

YEAS—4

Messieurs

Brousson *Gardom* *McGeer* *Williams, L. A.*

NAYS—47

Messieurs

<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Price</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>
<i>Ney</i>	<i>Clark</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Marshall</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Bruch</i>	<i>McDiarmid</i>
<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>McCarthy, Mrs.</i>	<i>Capozzi</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Jordan, Mrs.</i>	<i>Skillings</i>
<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Dawson, Mrs.</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Hall</i>	<i>Berrett</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Williams, R. A.</i>	<i>Dailly, Mrs.</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Campbell, D. R. J.</i>
<i>Calder</i>	<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>Wenman</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Peterson</i>	<i>Shelford</i>
<i>Kripps, Mrs.</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Campbell, B.</i>	

The debate resumed on the main motion.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

3 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following question: In each school district in the Province which has rural components, what were the total sums collectable under the *Public Schools Act* in each rural component for the school budget year of 1970?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:

Unorganized Rural Component of School Districts	1970 School Requisition	Unorganized Rural Component of School Districts	1970 School Requisition
No. 1, Fernie	\$705,477	No. 27, Williams Lake	1,518,129
No. 2, Cranbrook	317,102	No. 28, Quesnel	1,052,938
No. 3, Kimberley	486,899	No. 29, Lillooet	794,386
No. 4, Windermere	351,619	No. 30, South Cariboo	541,955
No. 7, Nelson	726,829	No. 31, Merritt	372,048
No. 8, Slokan	\$236,746	No. 32, Hope	430,053
No. 9, Castlegar	435,700	No. 33, Chilliwack	252,269
No. 10, Arrow Lakes	152,736	No. 34, Abbotsford	16,334
No. 11, Trail	711,411	No. 36, Surrey	10,367
No. 12, Grand Forks	227,389	No. 39, Vancouver	336,493
No. 13, Kettle Valley	\$146,135	No. 42, Maple Ridge	25,151
No. 14, Southern Okanagan	504,230	No. 43, Coquitlam	1,985,164
No. 15, Penticton	249,905	No. 44, North Vancouver	10,875
No. 16, Keremeos	137,114	No. 45, West Vancouver	66,996
No. 17, Princeton	118,609	No. 46, Sechelt	1,456,040
No. 18, Golden	172,651	No. 47, Powell River	616,491
No. 19, Revelstoke	305,880	No. 48, Howe Sound	898,939
No. 21, Armstrong-Spallumcheen	7	No. 49, Ocean Falls	766,305
No. 22, Vernon	535,337	No. 50, Queen Charlotte	558,967
No. 23, Kelowna	2,180,364	No. 52, Prince Rupert	244,219
No. 24, Kamloops	2,220,096	No. 54, Smithers	\$148,044
No. 25, Barriere	194,950	No. 55, Burns Lake	416,888
No. 26, Birch Island	435,041	No. 56, Vanderhoof	891,620

Unorganized Rural Component of School Districts	1970 School Requisition	Unorganized Rural Component of School Districts	1970 School Requisition
No. 57, Prince George	4,193,424	No. 72, Campbell River	1,171,318
No. 58, McBride	329,889	No. 75, Mission	165,812
No. 59, Peace River South	1,085,417	No. 76, Agassiz	91,401
No. 60, Peace River North	1,896,296	No. 77, Summerland	20,154
No. 61, Greater Victoria	235,462	No. 80, Kitimat	63,084
No. 62, Sooke	1,551,178	No. 81, Fort Nelson	641,914
No. 63, Saanich	50,016	No. 82, Chilcotin	37,936
No. 64, Gulf Islands	637,435	No. 83, Portage Mountain	42,055
No. 65, Cowichan	856,488	No. 84, Vancouver Island West	226,269
No. 66, Lake Cowichan	1,259,966	No. 85, Vancouver Island North	499,795
No. 67, Ladysmith	362,456	No. 86, Creston-Kaslo	636,030
No. 68, Nanaimo	3,380,040	No. 87, Stikine	194,269
No. 69, Qualicum	607,449	No. 88, Skeena Cassiar	670,887
No. 70, Alberni	1,141,879	No. 89, Shuswap	737,028
No. 71, Courtenay	1,359,821		

4 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:

1. Were any bond issues authorized by the Government in 1970 for school construction and, if so, in what total amount?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what was the total amount of those bonds floated, (b) when were they issued, (c) what was the amount of each issue, and (d) what was the interest rate of each issue?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:

"1. Yes, \$45,459,000.

"2. (a), (b), (c), and (d):

Date Issued	Interest Rate (Per Cent)	Amount
January 5, 1970	8.17	\$6,391,000
February 2, 1970	8.33	3,094,000
March 3, 1970	8.29	10,319,000
April 1, 1970	8.14	3,155,000
May 1, 1970	7.85	6,000,000
August 1, 1970	8.08	6,000,000
November 1, 1970	7.91	10,500,000
Total amount issued		<u>\$45,459,000"</u>

7 Mr. *McGeer* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following question:

How many requests were made of the Government for showings of the films *The Good Life* and *British Columbia's Natural Heritage* during the calendar year 1969 and during the calendar year 1970?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:

"This question should be directed to the Hon. the Minister of Travel Industry."

8 Mr. *McGeer* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following question:

What were the detailed investments of the following Funds as at December 31, 1970: (a) The Municipal Superannuation Fund, (b) the Civil Service Superannuation Fund, (c) the Provincial Home-acquisition Grant Fund, (d) the Queensborough Bridge Account, (e) the Burrard Inlet (Third Crossing) Fund, (f) the British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority Sinking Fund, (g) the Pacific Great Eastern Railway Employees' Superannuation Fund, (h) the British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority Superannuation Fund, (i) the Pacific Great Eastern Railway

Sinking Fund, (j) the British Columbia Ferry Authority Sinking Fund, (k) the Teachers' Pension Fund, (l) the Greater Vancouver Sewerage and Drainage District Sinking Fund, (m) the Centennial Cultural Fund, (n) the Workmen's Compensation Fund, and (o) the British Columbia School Districts Capital Financing Authority Sinking Fund?

The Hon. the Minister of Finance stated that, in his opinion, the reply should be in the form of a Return and that he had no objection to laying such Return upon the table of the House, and thereupon presented such Return.

9 Mr. *McGeer* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:

1. What, if any, were the capital expenditures during the fiscal year ended March 31, 1970 by the British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority in respect to its urban passenger transportation systems in the metropolitan Vancouver and metropolitan Victoria areas?

2. What, if any, were the capital expenditures on these systems during the period from March 31, 1970 to the present?

3. What was the total revenue generated by the urban passenger transportation systems of British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority in the fiscal year ended March 31, 1970?

4. What was the total revenue generated by the same systems in the period from March 31, 1970 to the present?

5. What was the profit or loss on the operation of those same systems for the fiscal year ended March 31, 1970?

6. What was the profit or loss on the operation of those same systems from March 31, 1970 to the present?

7. What was the total number of passengers carried by British Columbia Hydro urban transportation systems in metropolitan Vancouver and metropolitan Victoria during the fiscal year ended March 31, 1970?

8. What was the total number of passengers carried on those systems from March 31, 1970 up to December 31, 1970?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:

"1. \$1,202,249.

"2. \$206,316 for period April 1 to December 31, 1970.

"3. \$15,274,054.

"4. \$12,956,999 for period April 1 to December 31, 1970.

"5. \$4,744,723 (loss).

"6. \$2,940,626 (loss from April 1 to December 31, 1970).

"7. 78,701,894.

"8. 55,716,151."

11 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:

With reference to funds received under the Canada Pension Plan—

1. What is the total amount received to date by British Columbia?

2. What are the detailed investments of the money?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:

"1. \$515,508,000.

"2. Detailed investments of the money were as follows:

British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority:

Date	Maturity	Rate (Per Cent)	Amount
March 1, 1967	February 1, 1987	5.46	\$80,396,000
May 1, 1968	February 1, 1988	5.71	95,001,000
May 1, 1969	February 3, 1989	6.68	65,862,000
May 1, 1970	September 2, 1989	7.32	68,396,000
May 1, 1970	May 1, 1990	7.85	4,000,000
May 4, 1970	May 4, 1990	7.85	4,707,000
June 1, 1970	June 1, 1990	8.08	10,000,000
June 2, 1970	June 2, 1990	8.08	3,840,000
July 2, 1970	July 2, 1990	8.12	10,000,000
July 3, 1970	July 3, 1990	8.12	842,000
August 4, 1970	August 4, 1990	8.08	10,000,000
August 5, 1970	August 5, 1990	8.08	982,000
September 1, 1970	September 1, 1990	8.00	5,629,000
September 1, 1970	September 1, 1990	8.00	4,371,000
September 2, 1970	September 2, 1990	8.00	653,000
October 1, 1970	October 1, 1990	7.98	9,702,000
November 2, 1970	November 2, 1990	7.91	8,100,000
December 1, 1970	December 1, 1990	7.91	10,000,000
December 2, 1970	December 2, 1990	7.91	2,297,000
January 4, 1971	January 4, 1991	7.54	5,482,000

British Columbia School Districts Capital Financing Authority:

Date	Maturity	Rate (Per Cent)	Amount
May 1, 1968	May 1, 1988	6.61	\$5,000,000
August 5, 1968	August 5, 1988	6.66	5,271,000
October 1, 1968	October 1, 1988	6.40	10,112,000
January 2, 1969	January 2, 1989	6.92	4,035,000
June 2, 1969	June 2, 1989	7.26	4,264,000
October 1, 1969	October 1, 1989	7.60	4,916,000
November 3, 1969	November 3, 1989	7.94	5,367,000
December 8, 1969	December 8, 1989	7.83	6,468,000
January 5, 1970	January 5, 1990	8.17	6,391,000
February 2, 1970	February 2, 1990	8.33	3,094,000
March 3, 1970	March 3, 1990	8.29	10,319,000
April 1, 1970	April 1, 1990	8.14	3,155,000
May 1, 1970	May 1, 1990	7.85	6,000,000

British Columbia Regional Hospital Districts Financing Authority:

Date	Maturity	Rate (Per Cent)	Amount
August 5, 1968	August 5, 1988	6.66	\$5,000,000
November 4, 1968	November 4, 1988	6.62	7,936,000
January 2, 1969	January 2, 1989	6.92	4,000,000
June 2, 1969	June 2, 1989	7.26	5,000,000
October 1, 1969	October 1, 1989	7.60	4,920,000
November 3, 1969	November 3, 1989	7.94	3,000,000
February 2, 1970	February 2, 1990	8.33	3,000,000
March 3, 1970	March 3, 1990	8.29	3,000,000
April 1, 1970	April 1, 1990	8.14	5,000,000

14 Mr. Barrett asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Council the following questions:

1. Were any printed copies of the *Budget Speech* for 1970 distributed to other than elected members?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how many were so distributed and (b) what was the total cost to the Government of the copies so distributed?

3. Did any source, other than the Government, pay for the printing and (or) distribution of the copies referred to in No. 2?

4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, (a) who were the organizations and (or) persons concerned and (b) what was the total cost to them of printing and (or) distribution?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:

"1. Yes.

"2. (a) 71,800 and (b) \$26,492, including all distribution costs.

"3. No.

"4. Not applicable."

17 Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Council the following questions:

With reference to the Peace River power project—

1. What is the total amount spent to date on this project?

2. What is the total amount spent to date on the Portage Mountain Dam?

3. What is the total amount spent to date on transmission-lines?

4. What is the latest estimated cost of the first stage of this project?

5. What is the latest estimated cost of the whole project?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:

"1. \$608,986,148 to December 31, 1970.

"2. \$111,259,077 to December 31, 1970.

"3. \$152,239,945 to December 31, 1970.

"4 and 5. Not finalized."

18 Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Council the following questions:

With reference to Columbia River power development—

1. What is the total amount expended to December 31, 1970?

2. Was this expenditure made direct from the moneys obtained from the United States of America under the Columbia River Treaty?

3. If the answer to No. 2 is no, was the expended money borrowed and, if so, where from and at what rate of interest?

4. If the answer to No. 3 is no, where was the expended money obtained?

5. What is the estimated expenditure for the year 1971?

6. What are the latest estimates as to the total capital cost of (a) Duncan storage, (b) Arrow storage, (c) Mica storage, (d) Mica at-site generation, and (e) general costs?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:

"1. \$432,059,989 to December 31, 1970 for treaty storage projects.

"2. Yes.

"3. Not applicable.

"4. Not applicable.

"5 and 6. Not finalized."

19 Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Council the following questions:

With reference to the payment of \$273,291,661 received October 1, 1964 under the Columbia River Treaty—

1. Was any of this money expended to December 31, 1970?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what are the details of these expenditures to December 31, 1970?
3. Was any interest earned by all or part of the \$273,291,661 to December 31, 1970?
4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, what is the total amount of interest earned?
5. What is the total amount unexpended, including any interest, to December 31, 1970?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:

- “1. Yes.
- “2. Duncan storage, \$31,826,688; Arrow storage, \$193,576,928; Mica storage, \$203,891,548; and general development costs, \$2,764,825.
- “3. Yes.
- “4. \$94,957,484 (including interest on flood-control benefits and additional downstream power benefits received subsequent to September 16, 1964).
- “5. \$10,663,548 (including flood-control benefits and additional downstream power benefits received subsequent to September 16, 1964).”

20 Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:

1. Have any of the generators in Portage Mountain Dam been out of action by reason of “cavitation”?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is in the affirmative, (a) how many of the generators were affected, (b) how long were they idle, (c) what was the cost of the repairs, and (d) who paid for the repairs?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:

- “1. No.
- “2. Not applicable.”

30 Mr. *Hall* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following questions:

1. Have there been any prosecutions under the *Pollution Control Act, 1967*?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how many prosecutions have been made under the *Pollution Control Act, 1967*?

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* replied as follows:

- “1. No.
- “2. See No. 1.”

51 Mr. *McGeer* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:

1. What amounts, if any, were expended between January 1, 1971 and January 15, 1971 to bring to the attention of the public the Order in Council passed by the Government and which placed a limit upon the value of prizes, in total, which might be awarded by any organization operating a lottery under licence from the Province of British Columbia?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is no, (a) does the Government propose to carry out such advertising, (b) if so, through which department, and (c) if so, at what cost, if such has been estimated as yet?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:

"1. Nil.

"2. This question should be directed to the Hon. the Attorney-General."

52 Mr. *McGeer* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following question:

What were the detailed investments of the following Funds as of December 31, 1970: (a) The First Citizens' Fund, (b) the Provincial Major Disaster Fund, (c) the Agricultural Aid to Developing Countries and World Disaster Area Fund, (d) the Physical Fitness and Amateur Sports Fund, and (e) the British Columbia Government Building Fund?

The Hon. the Minister of Finance stated that, in his opinion, the reply should be in the form of a Return and that he had no objection to laying such Return upon the table of the House, and thereupon presented such Return.

54 Mr. *McGeer* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following question:

What were the detailed investments of the Canada Pension Plan money as of December 31, 1970?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:

"See answer to Question 11."

56 Mr. *Nimsick* asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Council the following questions:

1. Has British Columbia Hydro considered a request by Kaiser Resources to build a steam or thermal plant in the East Kootenay?

2. If so, has any decision been reached?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:

"1. No.

"2. Not applicable."

57 Mr. *Nimsick* asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Council the following questions:

1. Is there a transmission-line being built at the present time to supply power to the East Kootenay?

2. If so, what is the completion date of this construction?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:

"1. Yes, two.

"2. 230-kv. transmission-line, Boundary (Nelway) to Cranbrook, estimated completion date, August 15, 1971; 230-kv. transmission-line, Cranbrook to Natal, estimated completion date, July 1, 1972."

58 Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following questions:

1. Since the amendment of the *Pollution Control Act, 1967*, has the Pollution Control Board cancelled or held back any pollution permits for the years 1967, 1968, 1969, or 1970?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what are the number of permits (a) cancelled and (b) withheld temporarily, and in each case what are the names of the applicants for permits?

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* replied as follows:

"1. The Pollution Control Board has no authority to cancel or hold back a permit.

"2. *See* No. 1."

66 Mr. *Hall* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following questions:

With reference to the Pollution Control Branch—

1. What are the names, salaries, or wages paid and job classifications of every person employed in the Pollution Control Branch, including the Director of Pollution Control?

2. In respect to pollution control, how much money was expended (a) for pollution control measures and (b) for studies and surveys in fiscal years 1969/70 and 1970/71 to date?

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* replied as follows:

"1. The list of Pollution Control Branch staff as at January 29, 1971 is as follows: W. N. Venables, Director, Pollution Control Branch, \$1,435; A. J. Chmelauskas, Chief Engineer, \$1,330; H. P. Klassen, Engineer 5 (Chief, Industrial Division), \$1,135; M. W. Slezak, Engineer 5 (Chief, Projects and Research Division), \$1,230; J. E. Dew-Jones, Engineer 5 (Chief, Municipal Division), \$1,135; R. H. Ferguson, Engineer 5 (Chief, District Office Division), \$1,230; L. N. Adamache, Engineer 4 (District Engineer, Cranbrook), \$1,125; W. G. Hamilton, Engineer 4 (District Engineer, New Westminster), \$1,125; W. T. Morecraft, Engineer 4, \$1,125; J. W. Thomas, Engineer 4 (District Engineer, Vancouver Island), \$1,125; W. A. Kastelen, District 4 (District Engineer, Vernon), \$1,075; R. C. Schurr, Engineer 4, \$990; W. H. Weldon, Engineer 4, \$945; M. W. H. Krueger, Engineer 4, \$1,075; D. P. Corrigan, Engineer 4, \$945; A. Grikis, Engineer 3, \$875; H. Mooij, Engineer 2, \$720; A. O. Stephens, Engineer 2, \$720; H. H. Henderson, Technician 3, \$810; R. A. Nickel, Technician 2, \$780; J. W. Ferguson, Technician 2, \$780; G. G. Soellner, Technician 2, \$750; T. Roberts, Technician 2, \$695; L. Regan, Biologist 4, \$945; D. M. McLean, Draughtsman 2, \$553; S. J. Hives, Clerk 5, \$645; Mrs. G. M. MacNutt, Clerk 4, \$513; Mrs. K. M. Heeley, Clerk-Stenographer 4, \$513; Mrs. G. M. McCondach, Clerk-Stenographer 3, \$424; Miss M. E. Brisco, Clerk-Stenographer 2, \$379; Mrs. M. A. LaFave, Clerk-Stenographer 2, \$379; Mrs. E. Matovich, Clerk-Stenographer 2, \$379; Miss E. L. Miller, Clerk-Stenographer 1, \$352; G. A. Poole, Clerk 1, \$316; Mrs. L. Bartlott, Clerk-Typist 1, \$328.

"Positions vacant and in the process of being filled: Engineer 4 (four positions), Engineer 3 (three positions), Biologist 3, Technician 2, Analyst 2, Draughtsman 1, Clerk 2 (two positions), Clerk-Stenographer 3, and Clerk-Stenographer 2.

"2. (a) The Pollution Control Branch does not purchase or install pollution control measures and (b) \$32,917.80 in 1969/70 and \$92,588.76 in 1970/71 to December 31, 1971."

85 Mr. *Campbell* asked the Hon. the Minister of Municipal Affairs the following question:

With respect to the calendar years 1969 and 1970, of all the money referendums conducted by municipalities, regional districts, and regional hospital districts in that

period (a) what was the average voter turnout expressed as a percentage, (b) what was the lowest percentage turnout, and (c) the highest percentage turnout?

The Hon. D. R. J. Campbell replied as follows:

"Voter Turnout, Money Referendums, 1969"

I Municipalities	(A) (Per Cent)	(B) (Per Cent)
(a) Average voter turnout.....	(49.25)	37.03
(b) Lowest percentage turnout.....		23.46
(c) Highest percentage turnout.....		100.00
II Regional Districts*		
(a) Average voter turnout.....	(39.59)	20.37
(b) Lowest percentage turnout.....		2.95
(c) Highest percentage turnout.....		69.23

"Voter Turnout, Money Referendums, 1970"

I Municipalities	(A) (Per Cent)	(B) (Per Cent)
(a) Average voter turnout.....	(38.46)	36.33
(b) Lowest percentage turnout.....		7.54
(c) Highest percentage turnout.....		70.38
II Regional Districts		
(a) Average voter turnout.....	(26.97)	31.84
(b) Lowest percentage turnout.....		20.00
(c) Highest percentage turnout.....		36.94

*These figures are based on partial information. Full voting figures are not available.

"Calculation of average voter turnout:

"(A) Sum of percentages voting for each submission divided by the number of referendums.

"(B) Total number of votes cast in all referendums as a percentage of total number of voters eligible.

"Regional hospital districts come under the jurisdiction of the Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance. This Department advises that it does not have information regarding the total number of eligible voters in each regional hospital district because municipal voters lists are used for money votes in the organized areas of such a district."

87 Mr. Campbell asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:

With reference to the Mica Dam project—

1. What was the total cost of construction of this project in 1965, 1966, 1967, 1968, 1969, and 1970?
2. Is construction currently on schedule?

The Hon. W. A. C. Bennett replied as follows:

"1. 1965, \$13,607,196; 1966, \$36,751,041; 1967, \$28,099,877; 1968, \$29,181,906; 1969, \$39,536,815; and 1970, \$49,988,648.

"2. Yes."

88 Mr. Hall asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:

With reference to the home-acquisition grant—

1. What is the total number of grants made under the Act since its coming into force?
2. How many of these grants were for \$1,000?
3. How many of these grants were for \$500?
4. What is the total amount of money granted under the Act since its inception?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:

- "1. 54,279.
- "2. 11,973.
- "3. 42,306.
- "4. \$29,977,770 (does not include second-mortgage loans)."

98 Mr. *McGeer* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:
With respect to British Columbia Hydro and Pacific Great Eastern Railway parity bonds—

1. What was the total amount, by corporation, of such bonds purchased by Government supervised trust funds, special funds, or cash reserves for each month of the calendar years 1969 and 1970?
2. What were the funds purchasing the bonds and what were the amounts?
3. For each month of the calendar years 1969 and 1970, what were the sales of Pacific Great Eastern Railway and British Columbia Hydro parity bonds from Government trust funds, special funds, or cash reserves to the private sector?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:

"1, 2, and 3. Purchases of British Columbia Hydro and Pacific Great Eastern Railway parity bonds for Provincial accounts are for short-term investments only. Detailed listings of the holdings of all investments, including all bonds, for these accounts are given to the Legislature each year. It is not considered practical to attempt to list the individual transactions by month."

101 Mr. *Hall* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:

With reference to the agreement made between the Government of Canada and the provinces relating to (a) the transfer of corporate and individual income tax revenue from the Government of Canada to the Province and (b) the Federal undertaking to make adjustment payments to the Province—

1. How much money was raised by the Provincial Government as a result of the transfer of tax revenue in the fiscal years 1967/68, 1968/69, 1969/70, and to date in 1970/71?
2. How much did the Federal Government pay to the Province for post-secondary education as a result of its undertaking to make adjustment payments in the fiscal years 1967/68, 1968/69, 1969/70, and to date in 1970/71?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:

"No agreement was entered into between the Government of Canada and the Province for the transfer of corporate and individual income tax revenue. This was done by the *Federal-Provincial Fiscal Arrangements Act, 1967* of the Government of Canada.

"1. 1967/68, \$26,317,000; 1968/69, \$27,506,873; 1969/70, \$36,160,598; and 1970/71 (to February 19, 1971), \$38,335,356.

"2. 1967/68, \$4,422,992; 1968/69, \$17,452,008; 1969/70, \$12,984,992; and 1970/71 (to February 19, 1971), \$14,173,545."

105 Mr. *R. A. Williams* asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Council the following questions:

With reference to British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority urban transportation—

1. What was the total expenditure for the year ended March 31, 1970?

2. What was the total revenue and total expenditure from March 31, 1970 to the latest available date?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:

"1. Operating expenses, \$20,018,777; plant expenditures, \$1,202,249.

"2. From April 1, 1970 to December 31, 1970: Revenues, \$12,956,999;* operating expenses, \$15,897,625; and plant expenditures, \$206,316.

*** Figure does not include transit subsidy received from Government of British Columbia."

106 Mr. *R. A. Williams* asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Council the following questions:

With reference to natural-gas sales by British Columbia Hydro—

1. Was a net profit made on the sale of gas during the last reported financial year?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what was the amount of that profit?

3. Was a net loss made on the sale of gas during the last reported financial year?

4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, what was the amount of the loss?

5. What was the total cost of gas purchased during the last reported financial year?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:

"1. Yes.

"2. \$4,878,092.

"3. No.

"4. Not applicable.

"5. \$20,180,726."

107 Mr. *R. A. Williams* asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Council the following questions:

With reference to the revenue from, and expenditure on, British Columbia Hydro transit services in Vancouver and Victoria—

1. What was the revenue in each city for 1969/70?

2. What was the expenditure in each city for 1969/70?

3. Did British Columbia Hydro pay any subsidy from their general revenue for these transit operations in 1969/70?

4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, what was the amount for each city in each year?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:

"1. Greater Vancouver, \$13,551,839; Greater Victoria, \$1,722,215.

"2. Greater Vancouver: Operating expenses, \$17,520,584; plant expenditures, \$1,195,874. Greater Victoria: Operating expenses, \$2,498,193; plant expenditures, \$6,375.

"3. Yes.

"4. Greater Vancouver(loss) \$3,968,745
Greater Victoria(loss) 775,978

Less subsidy received from Government of British Columbia 2,000,000

Net subsidy from British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority (other services) \$2,744,723"

108 Mr. *Brousson* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:

1. In the years 1969 and 1970 have any taxpayers in unorganized territories requested that the Minister of Finance extend their deadline for payment of property taxes from July 31 to October 31?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how many?

3. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, were any of the requests refused and, if so, how many and for what reasons?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:

"1. Yes.

"2. 1969, 957; 1970, 607.

"3. 1969; no record kept, however, it is believed that no individual taxpayer who applied for extension in time was refused. 1970; for 1970 extensions were extended only to owners of parcels classified as farm land, 13 applications were refused on the ground the applicants had failed to supply supporting information when requested to do so."

109 Mr. *McGeer* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:

1. Was any advertising placed by the Department of Finance during the period July 1, 1970 to August 31, 1970 with regard to the sale of parity bonds on behalf of the Crown corporations?

2. Was any advertising placed for the sale of parity bonds during the comparable period in 1969?

3. What was the total amount spent on advertising of British Columbia Government guaranteed parity bonds of Crown corporations during the fiscal year 1969?

4. What was the total amount spent for this purpose during the fiscal year 1969 and what was the total amount spent during the fiscal year 1970?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:

"1. No.

"2. No.

"3. British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority, \$121,688.

"4. Fiscal year 1969, British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority, \$121,688. Fiscal year 1970, British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority, \$154,259.92."

110 Mr. *McGeer* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following question:

With respect to advertisements placed on behalf of the 1971 Budget (a) how much was spent in advertisements displayed up to and including February 19, 1971, (b) in what publications were advertisements placed and what was their cost, (c) what foreign publications, if any, have run or will be running those advertisements and at what cost, and (d) what amount of money was spent by the Department for the same purpose for the 1970 fiscal year?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:

"(a), (b), (c) not presently available, and (d) \$40,319.76."

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 6.05 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.58 p.m.

Wednesday, February 24, 1971

FIVE MINUTES PAST SIX O'CLOCK P.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The House proceeded to the Order "Motions and Adjourned Debates on Motions."

On the motion of Mr. *Barrett* that the House proceed to Motion 7, a debate arose.

Motion negated.

On the motion of Mr. *Barrett* that the House proceed to Motion 10, a debate arose.

Motion negated.

On the motion of Mr. *Barrett* that the House proceed to Motion 11, a debate arose.

Motion negated.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on Motion 14.

The debate continued.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—37

Messieurs

<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Jordan, Mrs.</i>	<i>McDiarmid</i>
<i>Ney</i>	<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Dawson, Mrs.</i>	<i>Capozzi</i>
<i>Marshall</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Skillings</i>
<i>Brousson</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Wenman</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Peterson</i>	<i>Campbell, D. R. J.</i>
<i>Kripps, Mrs.</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Campbell, B.</i>	<i>Shelford</i>
<i>Price</i>	<i>McCarthy, Mrs.</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>McGeer</i>			

NAYS—13

Messieurs

Cocke
Hartley
Lorimer
Hall

Williams, R. A.
Calder
Clark

Macdonald
Strachan
Dowding

Nimsick
Barrett
Daily, Mrs.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 6.50 p.m.

Thursday, February 25, 1971

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. G. Smith.

On the motion of Mr. *Wenman*, Bill (No. 46) intituled *An Act to Amend the Public Schools Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.

Report to be considered at the next sitting.

Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* (Minister of Recreation and Conservation) presented the Annual Report of the Department of Recreation and Conservation for the year ended December 31, 1970. (In manuscript form.)

92 Mr. *B. Campbell* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following questions:

With regard to the employment of teachers and faculty at the regional colleges in this Province—

1. How many professors, lecturers, or teachers are employed at each regional college?

2. Of these, how many are teachers only, how many are in research, and how many are doing both?

3. Of those who teach, how many hours per week are spent in teaching?

4. What is the range of salaries in each regional college?

5. Of the professors, lecturers, or teachers employed in regional colleges (a) what percentage hold Canadian citizenship, (b) what percentage are from Commonwealth countries, and (c) what percentage are from foreign countries, including the United States?

The Hon. *D. L. Brothers* replied as follows:

"1, 2, 3, and 4. The table below shows the data requested for 1969/70:

College	Number of Full-time Faculty	Number of Faculty			Hours per Week Teaching (Average)	Salary Range (Per Annum)
		Teach Only	Do Research Only	Teach and Do Research		
Capilano	27	24	-----	-----	17/17	\$8,000-\$16,750
Malaspina	36	27	-----	-----	17/17	9,160- 17,200
New Caledonia	28	22	-----	-----	17/17	8,200- 12,000
Okanagan	26	24	-----	-----	17/17	8,500- 13,000
Selkirk	58	52	-----	-----	17/17	7,350- 16,000
Vancouver City	167	160	-----	-----	17/17	7,760- 13,100

"5. Accurate information is not readily available to enable us to answer this question."

93 Mr. *B. Campbell* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following questions:

With regard to the employment of the teachers and faculty at the four universities in this Province—

1. How many university professors, lecturers, or teachers are employed at the four universities?
2. Of these, how many are teachers only, how many are in research, and how many are doing both?
3. Of those who teach, how many hours per week are spent in teaching?
4. What is the range of salaries in each university?
5. Of the university professors, lecturers, and teachers employed at the four universities (a) what percentage hold Canadian citizenship, (b) what percentage are from Commonwealth countries, and (c) what percentage are from foreign countries, including the United States?

The Hon. *D. L. Brothers* replied as follows:

"1, 2, 3, and 4. The table below shows the data requested for 1969/70:

University	Number of Full-time Faculty	Number of Faculty			Hours per Week Teaching (Average)	Salary Range (Per Annum)
		Teach Only	Do Research Only	Teach and Do Research		
UBC	1,365	-----	-----	All	12	\$6,000-\$25,000
UVic	404	-----	-----	All	12	8,000- 20,400
SFU	345	-----	-----	All	10	8,200- 24,000
NDU	45	42	-----	3	14	6,770- 13,000

"5. Accurate information is not readily available to enable us to answer this question."

94 Mr. *B. Campbell* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following questions:

Regarding the University of British Columbia, the University of Victoria, Simon Fraser University, and Notre Dame University—

1. How many students were registered in each of these universities respectively for the school-year 1969/70?

2. How many of these students in each case were (a) residents of British Columbia, (b) residents of other Canadian provinces, and (c) residents of countries other than Canada?

3. With respect to No. 2 above, what are these figures expressed as a percentage of each university's total enrolment?

The Hon. *D. L. Brothers* replied as follows:

"1, 2, and 3. The table below shows the data requested for 1969/70:

University	Full-time Enrolment	Students Classified by Residence Showing Number and Percentage in Each University					
		Students From British Columbia		Students From Other Provinces		Students From Outside of Canada	
		Number	Per Cent	Number	Per Cent	Number	Per Cent
UBC	20,026	17,580	87.8	1,277	6.4	1,169	5.8
UVic	5,316	5,131	96.5	94	1.8	91	1.7
SFU	4,365	3,579	82.0	393	9.0	393	9.0
NDU	562	336	59.8	128	22.8	98	17.4

95 Mr. *B. Campbell* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following questions:

Regarding regional colleges in British Columbia—

1. How many students were registered in each of the regional colleges respectively for the school-year 1969/70?

2. How many of these students in each case were (a) residents of the participating school district, (b) residents of British Columbia, other than residents of the participating school district, (c) residents of other Canadian provinces, and (d) residents of countries other than Canada?

3. With respect to No. 2 above, what percentage of the individual regional college's enrolment are the answers to (a), (b), (c), and (d)?

The Hon. *D. L. Brothers* replied as follows:

"1, 2, and 3. The table below shows the data requested for 1969/70:

College	Full-time Enrolment	Students Classified by Residence Showing Number and Percentage in Each College							
		Students From British Columbia				Students From Other Provinces		Students From Outside of Canada	
		From Participating School Districts		From Non-participating School Districts					
		Number	Per Cent	Number	Per Cent	Number	Per Cent	Number	Per Cent
Capilano	462	336	68.5	50	15.0	31	6.8	45	9.7
Malaspina	358	323	80.7	38	11.7	20	5.6	7	2.0
New Caledonia	165	141	85.0	8	5.5	12	7.2	4	2.3
Okanagan	378	348	91.4	27	7.7	---	---	3	0.8
Selkirk	462	403	87.0	44	9.0	10	2.6	5	1.4
Vancouver City	2,126	Not recorded by institution.				91	4.3	91	4.3

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.57 p.m.

Thursday, February 25, 1971

EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

3. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$39,284 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Minister's Office, to 31st March 1972.

4. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$3,251,094 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, General Administration, to 31st March 1972.

5. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$470,400 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Production Services, to 31st March 1972.

6. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$296,150 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Special Services, to 31st March 1972.

7. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$29,560 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Farmers' Institutes, to 31st March 1972.

8. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$12,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Women's Institutes, to 31st March 1972.

9. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$95,748 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Milk Board, to 31st March 1972.

10. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Farms, to 31st March 1972.

11. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$201,990 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Crop Insurance, to 31st March 1972.

12. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$900,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Farmers' Land-clearing and Domestic Water Assistance, to 31st March 1972.

13. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$78,800 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Pest Control, to 31st March 1972.

14. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$750 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, *Pound District Act*, to 31st March 1972.

15. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$37,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, *Grasshopper Control Act*, to 31st March 1972.

16. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,200 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, *Natural Products Marketing (British Columbia) Act*, to 31st March 1972.

17. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$4,500,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, *Agricultural Rehabilitation and Development Act*, to 31st March 1972.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* (Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance) presented the following:

Annual Report of the Mental Health Branch, Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance for the year 1970.

Twenty-second Annual Report of the British Columbia Hospital Insurance Service covering the calendar year 1970.

Seventy-fourth Annual Report of the Public Health Services of British Columbia for the year ended December 31, 1970.

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* (Minister of Labour) presented the Fifty-fourth Annual Report of the Workmen's Compensation Board for the year ended December 31, 1970.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 10.28 p.m.

Friday, February 26, 1971

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *T. W. Chapman*.

On the motion of the Hon. *Isabel P. Dawson*, Bill (No. 35) intituled *Hearing-aid Regulation Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 12) intituled *Accelerated Park Development Act*.

The debate continued.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 11) intituled *Special Funds Appropriation Act*.

On the motion of Mr. *Vogel*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

16 Mr. *Cocke* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:

With respect to Medical Health Officers employed by the Health Branch—

1. What was the total number of established positions as of December 31, 1970?
2. Were any of the positions vacant?
3. If the answer to No. 2 is yes, where are these vacancies located?
4. Were any resignations received from Medical Health Officers during the year ended December 31, 1970?
5. If the answer to No. 4 is yes, (a) how many and (b) what reasons were given for such resignations?

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* replied as follows:

- "1. Nineteen established (i.e., permanent).
- "2. Yes.
- "3. Prince Rupert, Dawson Creek, and Saanich.
- "4. No.
- "5. Not applicable."

22 Mr. *Cocke* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following question:

Are any facilities or services being operated by mental health societies under section 5 of the *Mental Health Act, 1964*?

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* replied as follows:

"No."

23 Mr. *Cocke* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:

With respect to The Woodlands School—

1. Is there a known number of patients awaiting entry?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how many were known to be waiting as at December 31, 1970?
3. Were there any empty beds in The Woodlands School as at December 31, 1970?
4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, (a) how many and (b) what was the reason?

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* replied as follows:

"1. Yes.

"2. 138 (distributed among extended-care patients, training programme, and psychiatric treatment).

"3. Yes.

"4. (a) and (b) Three wards formerly accommodating a total of 101 patients were vacant undergoing renovation as part of an ongoing project."

24 Mr. *Cocke* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:

With respect to psychiatric units in general hospitals operating under the British Columbia Hospital Insurance Service—

1. Were any such units in operation as of December 31, 1970?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how many such units, (b) at what locations, (c) how many patient beds used, and (d) did any such unit commence operation during the year ended December 31, 1970?

3. Was permission granted by the Minister during the year ended December 31, 1970 in respect to psychiatric units in general hospitals with regard to (a) approval in principle, (b) commencing working drawings, and (c) commencing construction?

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* replied as follows:

"1. Yes.

"2. (a) Nine; (b) Royal Inland Hospital, Kamloops; Kelowna General Hospital; Vernon Jubilee Hospital; Lions Gate Hospital, North Vancouver; Vancouver General Hospital (including the child psychiatric unit); Royal Jubilee Hospital, Victoria; Health Sciences Centre, Vancouver; Trail Regional Hospital; Surrey Memorial Hospital; Nanaimo Regional General Hospital; and Prince George Regional Hospital have general beds allocated to psychiatry as required; (c) 340; and (d) yes.

"3. (a) Yes, (b) no, and (c) yes."

25 Mr. *Cocke* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:

With reference to private hospitals (nursing-homes) caring for all types of chronic patients (those capable of rehabilitation, those needing skilled nursing care and medical supervision, and those needing custodial care)—

1. How many profit hospitals are there in the Province and what is the total number of beds in these hospitals?

2. How many nonprofit hospitals are there in the Province and what is the total number of beds in these hospitals?

3. Are any patients occupying beds in nonprofit hospitals who do not qualify for \$1-per-day coverage under the British Columbia Hospital Insurance Service and, if so, how many?

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* replied as follows:

"1. 64 units totalling 3,145 beds. These are licensed under section 8 of the *Hospital Act*.

"2. 27 units totalling 1,754 beds. These are designated under section 25 of the *Hospital Act* and are not licensed as private hospitals. The latest recorded number of eligible patients rather than the number of beds is included for hospitals

operated by the Federal Government. The above figure excludes rehabilitation hospitals and units.

"3. Yes, 47 patients in extended-care facilities referred to in No. 2 above."

65 Mr. *Hall* asked the Hon. the Minister of Labour the following questions:

1. Were any claims presented to the Workmen's Compensation Counsellor during 1970?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how many claims were presented?

3. What percentage of the total claims received by the Board were referred to the Counsellor?

4. Is a breakdown into type of injuries of the claims referred to the Counsellor available?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* replied as follows:

"1. Yes.

"2. 707 workmen's compensation claims were presented to Compensation Consultant and Compensation Counsellor.

"3. 0.6 per cent.

"4. No."

68 Mr. *Hall* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:

With respect to Health Branch activities in the field of pesticide residue study—

1. Have previous research studies been continued or replaced?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what staff are employed, (b) what funds were expended in fiscal years 1968/69 and 1969/70, and (c) is a report available to the members of this House?

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* replied as follows:

"1. Yes, continued in another form. This year the Health Branch studies in the field of pesticide residue took the form of an investigation of DDT residue present in mothers' milk in four areas of the Province. This study was conducted jointly with the Federal Food and Drug Directorate, Department of National Health and Welfare.

"2. (a) The study was conducted by various members of the Health Branch, (b) salaries of staff during time they were engaged in the work, and (c) it is expected that a report will be available soon."

69 Mr. *Cocke* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:

With respect to Riverview Mental Hospital—

1. What were the established positions in 1970 for (a) physicians, (b) nurses, (c) psychiatric nurses, (d) social workers, (e) ancillary health staff, and (f) other staff?

2. Were any of these positions vacant as of December 31, 1970?

3. If the answer to No. 2 is yes, how many, by staff categories and grades of categories?

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* replied as follows:

"1. (a) 52, (b) 118, (c) 762, (d) 40, (e) 130, and (f) 583.

"2. Yes.

"3. Medical Specialist 3, 2; Medical Specialist 2, 1; Medical Specialist 1, 2; Physician 2, 5; Superintendent of Nurses 2, 1; Superintendent of Nurses 1, 4; Head Nurses, 2; Staff Nurse 1, 10; Staff Nurse 2, 2; Psychiatric Nurse Charge, 2; Psychiatric Nurse Assistant Charge, 1; Psychiatric Nurses, 21; Psychiatric Social Worker 2, 3; Psychiatric Social Worker 3 (part-time), 1; Medical Record Librarian 1, 2; Medical Record Librarian Technicians, 3; Clerk-Stenographer 3, 1; Clerk-Stenographer 2, 2; Clerk 2, 2; Clerk-typist 1, 6; Administrative Officer 2, 1; Laboratory Technician 3, 1; X-ray Technician 3, 1; Chaplain, 1; Dental Technician, 1; Physiotherapist 1, 1; Occupational Therapist 1, 3; Rehabilitation Officer 1, 1; Psychologist 3, 1; Psychologist 2 (part-time), 3; Psychologist 2, 1; Hospital Housekeeper 3, 1; Cleaning Assistants, 6; Building Service Worker 1, 5; Building Service Worker 3, 1; Building Service Worker 4, 1; Kitchen Helper, 1; Linenkeeper, 1; Seamstress 2, 1; Laundress 2, 1; Laundress 1, 2; Hairdresser, 1; Truck-drivers, 2."

70 Mr. *Cocke* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:

With respect to patients at the Riverview Hospital—

1. How many were in residence at each unit as of December 31, 1970?
2. Were any patients placed into supervised boarding-home care during the calendar year ended December 31, 1970 and, if so, how many?
3. Were any patients in each unit awaiting transfer to supervised boarding homes and, if so, how many?
4. Are any receiving after-care services provided by the staff of Riverview Hospital and, if so, how many?
5. How many admissions were there during the calendar year ended December 31, 1970 consisting of (a) new admissions and (b) readmissions?
6. Did any deaths by suicide occur during the calendar year ended December 31, 1970 and, if so, how many?

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* replied as follows:

"1. Centre Lawn Unit, 197; Crease Unit, 251; Riverside Unit, 304; West Lawn Unit, 577; East Lawn Unit, 897; North Lawn Unit, 190; Hillside Unit, 49; Vista, 12; Venture, 9.

"2. Yes, 183.

"3. Yes. East Lawn Unit, 15; West Lawn Unit, 13; Centre Lawn Unit, 25.

"4. Yes, 1,703.

"5. 2,876; (a) 1,378 and (b) 1,498.

"6. Yes, seven. Five while in residence at Riverview Hospital and two while on leave from Riverview Hospital."

71 Mr. *Cocke* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:

With respect to the operational cost of Riverview Hospital for the fiscal year 1969/70—

1. What was the total cost of operations?
2. What part of this cost was expended on (a) salaries of psychiatrists, (b) salaries of physicians other than psychiatrists, (c) pharmaceuticals, and (d) other medical expenses?

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* replied as follows:

"1. \$15,423,584.

"2. (a) \$317,202, (b) \$333,885, (c) \$478,278, and (d) \$389,722."

75 Mr. *Cocke* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:

1. Did any graduate psychiatric nurses resign from the Mental Health Services during the year 1970?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how many resigned and (b) what reasons, if any, were given for resignation?

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* replied as follows:

"1. Yes.

"2. (a) 159 and (b) personal reasons, 71; pregnancy, 20; travel, 6; further education, 10; leaving the area, 23; health reasons, 5; other employment, 6; marriage, 2; family reasons, 16; total, 159."

83 Mr. *Cocke* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:

1. Are there any established positions for speech therapists in the Mental Health Services?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how many positions and at what locations and (b) how many of these positions were filled as of (i) December 31, 1969 and (ii) December 31, 1970?

3. Did any resignations of speech therapists occur during the years ended (a) December 31, 1969 and (b) December 31, 1970?

4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, what was the number of resignations and the reasons given for each resignation?

5. Have there been any applications for the position of speech therapist (a) refused by reason of section 53 of the *Civil Service Act* or (b) accepted by reason of section 46 of the *Civil Service Act*?

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* replied as follows:

"1. Yes.

"2. (a) One each at The Woodlands School, New Westminster, and British Columbia Youth Development Centre, Burnaby and (b) (i) two and (ii) two.

"3. (a) and (b) No.

"4. Not applicable.

"5. (a) and (b) Not applicable."

131 Mr. *Calder* asked the Hon. the Minister of Mines and Petroleum Resources the following questions:

With reference to the Groundhog coalfields—

1. Were any coal-mining companies and (or) their subsidiary companies engaged in coal exploration in 1970/71?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what are the names of the coal-mining companies and their subsidiary companies, (b) what type of coal was explored, (c) for what purpose was it explored, and (d) where is its potential market?

The Hon. *F. X. Richter* replied as follows:

"1. Yes.

"2. (a) National Coal Corporation Limited (NPL), (b) anthracite and semi-anthracite, (c) in an endeavour to establish reserves of mineable coal, and (d) offshore."

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. on Monday next.

And then the House adjourned at 5.33 p.m.

Monday, March 1, 1971

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. C. Barner.

The Hon. D. L. Brothers presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:

JOHN R. NICHOLSON
Lieutenant-Governor

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Public Schools Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 1, 1971

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 47) intituled *An Act to Amend the Public Schools Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Hon. *C. M. Shelford*, on behalf of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* (President of the Council), presented The Milk Board Annual Report for the year ended December 31, 1970.

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* (Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources) presented the Annual Report of the British Columbia Water Resources Service of the Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources for the year ended December 31, 1970. (In manuscript form.)

90 Mr. *Nimsick* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:

1. Are the plans completed for the reconstruction of the highway between the overpass at the Cranbrook–Ferne Junction and the Fort Steele Junction on Highway 95?

2. If so, have tenders been called for this reconstruction?

3. If the answer to No. 2 is no, are there any definite plans for the calling of tenders?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:

“1. Almost completed.

“2. No.

“3. Yes.”

113 Mr. *McGeer* asked the Hon. the Minister of Travel Industry the following questions:

1. Was any advertising placed by the Department of Travel Industry for publication for the period July 1, 1970 to August 31, 1970?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what was the cost of such advertising, (b) what was the purpose of such advertising, (c) to what individuals or companies were payments made for services provided, and (d) what amounts were paid to individual publications or broadcasting companies?

3. What was the total spent for the comparable period in 1969?

4. What was the total amount spent for this purpose during the fiscal year 1969 and what was the total amount spent during the fiscal year 1970?

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* replied as follows:

“1. Yes.

“2. (a) \$69,743.48.

“(b) Fall advertising campaign to bring tourists to British Columbia. A campaign in Calgary during the Stampede to encourage Calgarians and their visitors to visit British Columbia after the Stampede; a similar campaign was run in Edmonton during their Klondike Days celebration. Convention, travel agent, and trade advertising. To encourage visitors to British Columbia to stay longer and see more of our Province. To encourage British Columbians to holiday in British Columbia (last of campaign that also ran in April, May, and June). Anti-litter advertising to sportsmen.

“(c) *Canadian Rockhound Magazine*, CKDA Radio, *Current Events Magazine*, James Lovick Limited, and *Western's World Magazine*.

“(d) Amounts paid to: *Association and Society Manager Magazine*, \$585; *Northwest Sportsman*, \$250; *International Press Journal*, \$100; *Maclean's Leisure Guide*, \$475; *Spokane Spokesman Review*, \$1,044; *Sunset Magazine*, \$8,886.27; *Western's World Magazine*, \$2,637.58; *Canadian Rockhound*, \$400; *Current Events*

Magazine, \$335.90; *Westways Magazine*, \$2,168.25; *Better Homes & Gardens Magazine*, \$6,118.84; *TV Guide Magazine*, \$7,091.18; *Los Angeles Times Company*, \$9,740.24; *San Francisco Examiner-Chronicle*, \$5,465.14; *Long Beach Independent Press-Telegram*, \$975; *Los Angeles Herald-Examiner*, \$1,056; *The Fresno Bee*, \$330; *The Modesto Bee*, \$186; *Oakland Tribune*, \$474; *Palm Springs Desert Sun*, \$90; *Palo Alto Times*, \$204; *Pasadena Star-News*, \$270.12; *Pomona Progress Bulletin*, \$258; *Riverside Press Enterprise*, \$246; *Sacramento Bee*, \$396; *San Bernardino Sun-Telegram*, \$228; *San Diego Union*, \$654.02; *San Gabriel Valley Tribune*, \$240; *San Jose Mercury News*, \$483.85; *San Mateo Times*, \$204; *San Rafael Independent Journal*, \$198; *Santa Ana Register*, \$420; *Santa Barbara News Press*, \$168; *Stockton Record*, \$258; *Portland Oregonian*, \$2,286.06; *Eugene Register-Guard*, \$352.93; *Salem Capital Journal*, \$360; *Seattle Post-Intelligencer*, \$1,589.80; *Seattle Times*, \$1,719.58; *Everett Herald*, \$468; *Olympia Olympian*, \$240; *Pasco Tri-City Herald*, \$264; *Tacoma News Tribune*, \$828.02; *Yakima Herald Republic*, \$300; *Calgary Herald*, \$468; *Edmonton Journal*, \$576; *Leihbridge Herald*, \$228; *Medicine Hat News*, \$150; *Red Deer Advocate*, \$144.71; *Regina Leader Post*, \$336; *Saskatoon Star Phoenix*, \$300; *Today in Victoria*, \$131.23; *World Convention Dates*, \$90; *Sales Meeting Magazine*, \$185; *ASTA Travel News*, \$110; CFAC Radio (Calgary), \$544; CFCN Radio (Calgary), \$714; CHQR Radio (Calgary), \$442; CKDA Radio (Victoria), \$240; CKXL Radio (Calgary), \$374; CFRN Radio (Edmonton), \$612; CHED Radio (Edmonton), \$476; CJCA Radio (Edmonton), \$578; KVO5-TV (Bellingham), \$900; total, \$68,643.72; plus United States exchange (average 2.845 per cent), \$1,099.76; grand total, \$69,743.48.

"3. \$47,349.24.

"4. Fiscal year 1969, \$639,230.17; fiscal year 1970, \$719,185.11 (projected to March 31, 1971)."

135 Mr. *Calder* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following questions:

With reference to forestry in the Atlin constituency—

1. Are any sustained-yield units established in the area?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what are the names of the sustained-yield units?
3. Were any sustained-yield units established in 1970?
4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, what are the names of the sustained-yield units?
5. Are any sustained-yield units contemplated in 1971?
6. If the answer to No. 5 is yes, where will they be located?
7. Were any forest inventories taken in (a) 1968, (b) 1969, and (c) 1970?
8. If the answer to No. 7 is yes, (a) where were they taken in each year and (b) what were the inventory findings in each year?
9. Were any cones picked for reforestation in 1970?
10. If the answer to No. 9 is yes, (a) where were they picked, (b) to what locations were they taken for extraction, and (c) to what locations were the seedlings taken for reforestation?

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* replied as follows:

"1. Yes.

"2. Bell-Irving Public Sustained-yield Unit and a portion of the Hecate Public Sustained-yield Unit. In the future it is proposed to establish units in the following areas: Taku, Dease, Klappan, Stikine, Boundary, and Alsek.

"3. No.

"4. Not applicable.

"5. No.

"6. Not applicable.

"7. (a) No, (b) no, and (c) yes.

"8. (a) In the area of the Stikine River and (b) figures not yet available.

"9. Yes.

"10. (a) Eddontenajon Lake, Lower Post, Tatoga Lake, Stikine River, Bell-Irving River, (b) to the Forest Service seed extraction centre at Duncan, and (c) seeds stored at Duncan until required for planting projects."

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.58 p.m.

Monday, March 1, 1971

EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

85. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$53,452 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Minister's Office, to 31st March 1972.

86. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$279,126 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Accounting Division, to 31st March 1972.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 10.57 p.m.