

Tuesday, March 2, 1971

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Canon *C. P. Bishop*.

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

JOHN R. NICHOLSON
Lieutenant-Governor

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *Mortgage Brokers Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 2, 1971

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 38) intituled *Mortgage Brokers Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of the Hon. *F. X. Richter*, the following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today:

Bill (No. 43) intituled *An Act to Amend the Petroleum and Natural Gas Act, 1965*.

Bill (No. 42) intituled *An Act to Amend the Mines Regulation Act*.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

87. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,518,610 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, General Services, to 31st March 1972.

88. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$500,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Development of Alternative Care Facilities, to 31st March 1972.

89. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$474,172 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Division of Rehabilitation, to 31st March 1972.

90. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$733,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Grants for Health Agencies, to 31st March 1972.

91. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$450,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Cancer Control (Including Grants, Etc.), to 31st March 1972.

92. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$600,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Public Health Research, to 31st March 1972.

93. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$545,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Grants *re* Local Health Services, to 31st March 1972.

94. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$5,963,960 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Local Health Services, to 31st March 1972.

95. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$738,208 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Division of Laboratories, to 31st March 1972.

96. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$636,750 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Division of Vital Statistics, to 31st March 1972.

97. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$212,434 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Division of Venereal Disease Control, to 31st March 1972.

98. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$940,649 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Division of Tuberculosis Control, Administration and Out-patient Care, to 31st March 1972.

99. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,769,955 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Division of Tuberculosis Control, In-patient Care, to 31st March 1972.

100. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,034,422 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Mental Health Services, General Administration, to 31st March 1972.

101. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,259,994 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Mental Health Services, Division of Nursing Education, to 31st March 1972.

102. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$3,305,139 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Mental Health Services, Community Services, to 31st March 1972.

103. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$35,309,692 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Mental Health Services, In-patient Care, to 31st March 1972.

104. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$206,730,142 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Hospital Insurance Services, Hospital Insurance Service, to 31st March 1972.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

121 Mr. *Calder* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:

With reference to the Stewart-Watson Lake Highway other than Projects 1391 and 1702—

1. Were any road sections repaired in 1970/71?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what are the names of the road sections and what was the total cost of repairs to each road section?
3. Were any road sections relocated in 1970/71?
4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, what are the names of the road sections and what was the total cost of reconstruction of each road section?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:

- "1. Yes.
- "2. Stewart to Bear Pass, \$15,000; No. 31, Watson Lake to new construction, \$36,500; No. 31, Mile 0-25, gravelling, \$20,000; No. 31, Mile 160-225, ditching, \$10,000; and No. 31, Mile 0-78, drainage improvements, \$15,000.
- "3. No.
- "4. Not applicable."

122 Mr. *Calder* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:

With reference to roads in the Atlin constituency other than the Stewart-Watson Lake Highway—

1. Were any roads repaired in 1970/71?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what are the names of the roads and what was the total cost of repairs to each road?
3. Were any new roads constructed in 1970/71?
4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, what are the names of the roads and what was the total cost of construction of each road?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:

- "1. Yes.
- "2. Kitsault River Road, \$7,000; Stewart Arterial, \$40,000; Premier Road, Salmon River Road, and Bear Lake Road, \$11,000; No. 2, Atlin Highway, \$11,400; No. 10, Cassiar Mine Road, \$350; No. 51, Dease Lake to Telegraph Creek, \$11,800.
- "3. No.
- "4. Not applicable."

123 Mr. *Calder* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:

With reference to the Stewart–Watson Lake Highway bridges other than the Bell–Irving River Bridge No. 1—

1. Were any bridges repaired in 1970/71?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what are the names of the bridges, upon what road sections are they located, and what was the total cost of repairs to each bridge?
3. Were any bridges rebuilt in 1970/71?
4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, what are the names of the bridges, upon what road sections are they located, and what was the total cost of construction of each bridge?
5. Were any new bridges constructed in 1970/71?
6. If the answer to No. 5 is yes, what are the names of the bridges, upon what road sections are they located, and what was the total cost of construction of each bridge?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:

- “1. Yes.
- “2. Blue River Bridge, Mile 20, \$1,000; Rescue Creek Bridge, Mile 244, \$1,000.
- “3. Yes.
- “4. Bear River No. 3, Stewart, Bear Pass, \$5,200; Cotton Bridge, Mile 94, \$22,000; Beady Bridge, Mile 129, \$15,800; and Lang Creek Bridge, Mile 84, \$2,500.
- “5. No.
- “6. Not applicable.”

124 Mr. *Calder* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:

With reference to bridges in the Atlin constituency other than those located on the Stewart–Watson Lake Highway—

1. Were any bridges repaired in 1970/71?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what are the names of the bridges, upon what roads are they located, and what was the total cost of repairs to each bridge?
3. Were any bridges rebuilt in 1970/71?
4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, what are the names of the bridges, upon what roads are they located, and what was the total cost of construction of each bridge?
5. Were any new bridges built in 1970/71?
6. If the answer to No. 5 is yes, what are the names of the bridges, upon what roads are they located, and what was the total cost of construction of each bridge?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:

- “1. No.
- “2. Not applicable.
- “3. Yes.
- “4. Bug Creek, Granite Creek, West Creek, Kitsault River Road, total cost, \$8,500.
- “5. No.
- “6. Not applicable.”

125 Mr. *Calder* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following question:

With reference to the North Bell–Irving River to Beaver Pond Creek highway construction on the Stewart–Watson Lake Highway: When does the Department of Highways contemplate calling tenders?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:

"March 1971."

126 Mr. *Calder* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following question:

With reference to the Bob Guinn Creek to Beaver Pond Creek highway construction on the Stewart-Watson Lake Highway: When did the Department of Highways call tenders?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:

"Advertised February 18, 1971. Due for return and opening March 5, 1971."

127 Mr. *Calder* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following question:

With reference to (a) the construction of a concrete deck to replace the present temporary timber deck and (b) the painting of structural steelwork of the Bell-Irving River Bridge No. 1 on the Stewart-Watson Lake Highway: When does the Department of Highways contemplate calling tenders?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:

"(a) and (b). Not planned for 1971/72 fiscal year."

138 Mr. *Nimsick* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:

1. Has any survey been made of the proposed highway known as the Champion Lakes Cut-off?
2. If so, is the survey completed?
3. Has the Government any plans for the building of this highway?
4. If so, when will construction commence?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:

"1. Yes, a survey has been made.

"2. Not entirely.

"3. Yes.

"4. No definite date."

141 Mrs. *Kripps* asked the Hon. the Minister of Municipal Affairs the following question:

What was the Federal allocation for public housing projects in British Columbia for the periods (a) 1969/70, (b) 1970/71, and (c) 1971/72?

The Hon. *D. R. J. Campbell* replied as follows:

"Federal allocation of funds for public housing is contained in the budgetary estimates of Central Mortgage and Housing Corporation, for administration on the basis of a calendar year: (a) For the calendar 1969 the allocation is not known, (b) for the calendar year 1970 the allocation was \$10,700,000, (c) for the calendar year 1971 the allocation is \$6,000,000.

"NOTE—(b) and (c) exclude allocation for senior citizens under the Provincial Secretary."

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 6.34 p.m.

Wednesday, March 3, 1971

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *John Travis*.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

12 Mr. *Lorimer* asked the Hon. the Minister of Rehabilitation and Social Improvement the following questions:

With reference to the social-welfare cost-sharing formula between the Government and the municipalities—

1. What was the total amount paid by the Government under this formula in the fiscal year 1969/70?

2. What was the total amount paid by the municipalities in the fiscal year 1969/70?

3. In the case of each individual municipality, what was the amount paid by (a) the Government and (b) the municipality?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:

"1. 1969/70, \$62,730,969.40.

"2. 1969/70, \$15,682,742.35.

"3. See following table:

Municipality	(a) Amount Paid by the Government During the Fiscal Year 1969/70	(b) Amount Paid by the Individual Municipalities for the Fiscal Year 1969/70
Armstrong.....	\$60,861.68	\$15,215.42
Burnaby.....	4,781,696.48	1,195,424.12
Campbell River.....	345,003.96	86,250.99
Castlegar.....	147,843.52	36,960.88
Central Saanich.....	\$155,355.20	\$38,838.80
Chilliwack City.....	370,505.08	92,626.27
Chilliwack District.....	856,587.60	214,146.90
Comox.....	120,912.44	30,228.11
Coquitlam.....	1,746,294.88	436,573.72
Courtenay.....	250,147.48	62,536.87
Cranbrook.....	339,603.32	84,900.83
Creston.....	127,815.48	31,953.87
Dawson Creek.....	529,205.44	132,301.36
Delta.....	881,939.52	220,484.88
Duncan.....	183,481.32	45,870.33
Enderby.....	47,545.52	11,886.38
Esquimalt.....	562,522.40	140,630.60
Fernie.....	118,693.08	29,673.27
Fort St. John.....	330,257.84	82,564.46
Fraser Mills.....	6,999.52	1,749.88
Golden.....	84,537.60	21,134.40

Municipality	(a) Amount Paid by the Government During the Fiscal Year 1969/70	(b) Amount Paid by the Individual Municipalities for the Fiscal Year 1969/70
Gold River	25,522.64	6,380.66
Grand Forks	112,419.12	28,104.78
Greenwood	38,881.48	9,720.37
Hope	125,820.64	31,455.16
Kamloops	946,514.36	236,628.59
Kelowna	725,816.08	181,454.02
Kimberley	329,959.08	82,489.77
Kinnaird	125,052.40	31,263.10
Kitimat	417,922.56	104,480.64
Ladysmith	145,538.80	36,384.70
Langley City	119,504.00	29,876.00
Langley District	672,935.56	168,233.89
Maple Ridge	823,169.16	205,792.29
Matsqui	689,751.48	172,437.87
Merritt	192,060.00	48,015.00
Mission	267,686.92	66,921.73
Mission, Town of	106,317.92	26,579.48
Nanaimo	648,223.84	162,055.96
Nelson	405,630.72	101,407.68
New Westminster	1,622,602.20	405,650.55
North Cowichan	443,189.12	110,797.28
North Saanich	123,387.88	30,846.97
North Vancouver City	1,146,000.68	286,500.17
North Vancouver District	2,053,932.32	513,483.08
Oak Bay	773,489.64	193,372.41
Penticton	654,481.00	163,620.25
Port Alberni	791,201.84	197,800.46
Port Alice	59,026.44	14,756.61
Port Coquitlam	474,644.28	118,661.07
Port Moody	299,656.28	74,914.07
Powell River	536,829.04	134,207.26
Prince George	1,066,359.80	266,589.95
Prince Rupert	626,414.36	156,603.59
Quesnel	244,343.00	61,085.75
Revelstoke	204,479.88	51,119.97
Richmond	2,153,632.80	538,408.20
Rossland	181,987.52	45,496.88
Saanich	2,511,504.60	627,876.15
Salmon Arm	205,290.80	51,322.70
Sidney	135,082.20	33,770.55
Smithers	133,801.80	33,450.45
Squamish	212,076.92	53,019.23
Sumas	\$232,392.60	\$58,098.15
Summerland	195,687.80	48,921.95
Surrey	3,492,333.68	873,083.42
Terrace	368,627.16	92,156.79
Trail	510,751.56	127,687.89
Vancouver	17,514,805.00	4,378,701.25
Vernon	493,466.16	123,366.54
Victoria	2,452,094.04	613,023.51
West Vancouver	1,365,205.16	341,301.29
White Rock	332,349.16	83,087.29
Williams Lake	153,306.56	38,326.64
Totals	\$62,730,969.40	\$15,682,742.35

“NOTE—In 1969/70 adjustment grants were paid to 29 cities and towns, totalling \$308,267. In 1970 the Government increased the local government grant by \$2 per capita for municipal social services.”

96 Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Rehabilitation and Social Improvement the following questions:

With reference to persons receiving social assistance but excluding those who are taking part in "work activity projects" under the *Canada Assistance Act*—

1. Have any persons been placed in employment in 1970/71 with the person's salary or wages being shared in any way between the employer and the Provincial Government ?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how many persons were placed, (b) what are the names of the employers involved, (c) what is the financial basis of the cost-sharing between Government and employer, (d) are the salaries or wages being paid at union rates, (e) how many of these placements are on a temporary basis and how many permanent, and (f) are any of these persons receiving specific training in any occupation and, if so, how many, and in what trades or occupation and what is the duration of the training in each trade or occupation?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:

"1. No.

"2. Not applicable."

154 Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Municipal Affairs the following questions:

1. Is the First Citizens' Fund administered by British Columbia Indian bands, an Indian organization, or by individual Indians?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what group or individuals are involved?

3. If the answer to No. 1 is no, why not?

The Hon. *D. R. J. Campbell* replied as follows:

"1. The First Citizens' Fund Advisory Committee consists of the Hon. *D. R. J. Campbell*, Chairman, the Hon. *W. D. Black*, the Hon. *W. K. Kiernan*, the Hon. *F. X. Richter*, the Hon. *C. M. Shelford*. The administrative costs of this Fund are at the present time absorbed by the Departments of Municipal Affairs, Provincial Secretary, and Finance. It is the policy of the First Citizens' Fund, in allocating funds, to seek the advice of bona fide Indian organizations and, in particular, of band councils. Recently the following organizations have been requested to formally name an advisory delegate to meet with the First Citizens' Fund Advisory Committee: Union of British Columbia Indian Chiefs, Native Brotherhood of British Columbia, British Columbia Indian Homemakers Association, North American Indian Brotherhood, British Columbia Native Women's Society. The intent of this liaison committee is as outlined in the letter tabled.

"2. See answer to No. 1.

"3. See answer to No. 1."

On the motion of the Hon. *D. R. J. Campbell*, the letter referred to in the foregoing answer was *Ordered* tabled in the House.

166 Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Rehabilitation and Social Improvement the following questions:

1. Does the Department, in cases of families applying for social assistance in the category of units of eight or over, refer all such inquiries to the Regional Director?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what is the reason for this referral, could it be that the reason for this referral is that the need of a family of eight or over is less than the need of a smaller family?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:

"1. Yes.

"2. Departmental policy."

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* (Attorney-General) presented the Law Reform Commission Report on the Need for Frustrated Contracts Legislation in British Columbia.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 6 p.m.

Thursday, March 4, 1971

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Pastor *A. E. Teal*.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:

JOHN R. NICHOLSON
Lieutenant-Governor

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Provincial Home Acquisition Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 4, 1971

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 48) intituled *An Act to Amend the Provincial Home Acquisition Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

18. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$58,116 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Attorney-General's Office, to 31st March 1972.

The Committee reported the Resolution.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Pursuant to Order, the Hon. *D. R. J. Campbell* (Minister of Municipal Affairs) tabled correspondence, telegrams, and other documents exchanged between the Government of the Province of British Columbia and the Hon. J. Marchand, representing the Government of Canada, relative to proposals for the application of regional disparity programmes for those areas known as Northern Vancouver Island and Northern British Columbia.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 6.02 p.m.

Thursday, March 4, 1971

EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The House continued to sit after midnight.

FRIDAY, MARCH 5

The Chairman declared a recess of ten minutes.

The Chairman resumed the chair.

Without the Committee rising, the Chairman reported that he had named Mr. *Barrett*, the member for Coquitlam, for abusing the Rules of the House and for wilful disregard of the authority of the chair.

Moved by the Hon. *L. R. Peterson*, seconded by the Hon. *D. L. Brothers*—
That the member named be suspended from the service of this House until 2 p.m. on March 12th next.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—30

Messieurs

<i>Wallace</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Skillings</i>
<i>Ney</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Peterson</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Marshall</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Wenman</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Campbell, D. R. J.</i>
<i>Kripps, Mrs.</i>	<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Campbell, B.</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>McCarthy, Mrs.</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>Shelford</i>
<i>Price</i>	<i>Jordan, Mrs.</i>	<i>McDiarmid</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Dawson, Mrs.</i>		

NAYS—12

Messieurs

<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Dowding</i>
<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>
<i>Hall</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Dailly, Mrs.</i>

PAIRS:

Messieurs

<i>Merilees</i>	<i>Brousson</i>
<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Clark</i>
<i>Williston</i>	<i>Ca dom</i>

19. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$460,004 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, General Administration, to 31st March 1972.

20. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$6,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Incidentals and Contingencies, to 31st March 1972.

21. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$791,550 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Justice and Courts, Supreme and County Courts, to 31st March 1972.

22. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$125,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Justice and Courts, Coroners' Inquests and Inquiries, to 31st March 1972.

23. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,886,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Justice and Courts, Administration of Justice, to 31st March 1972.

24. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$21,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Justice and Courts, Judicial Conferences, to 31st March 1972.

25. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,575,836 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Justice and Courts, *Provincial Court Act*, to 31st March 1972.

26. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$475,364 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Justice and Courts, Sheriffs' Offices, to 31st March 1972.

27. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$605,766 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Justice and Courts, Official Reporters, to 31st March 1972.

28. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,628,964 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Registration, Land Registry Offices, to 31st March 1972.

29. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$238,406 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Registration, Companies Office, to 31st March 1972.

30. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,793,928 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Registration, Motor-vehicle Branch, to 31st March 1972.

31. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$362,296 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Trustees, Public Trustee's Office, to 31st March 1972.

32. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$166,100 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Regulation and Inspection, Insurance and Real Estate Office, to 31st March 1972.

33. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$258,306 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Regulation and Inspection, Securities Commission Office, to 31st March 1972.

34. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$87,158 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Regulation and Inspection, Credit Unions Office, to 31st March 1972.

35. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$252,582 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Regulation and Inspection, Fire Marshal's Office, to 31st March 1972.

36. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$43,614 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Regulation and Inspection, Film Classification Office, to 31st March 1972.

37. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$128,046 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Regulation and Inspection, Racing Commission, to 31st March 1972.

38. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$6,989,504 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Police Services, Policing by Royal Canadian Mounted Police, to 31st March 1972.

39. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$250,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Police Services, Sundry Incidental Police Expenses, to 31st March 1972.

40. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$14,467,087 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Corrections, Correction Services, to 31st March 1972.

41. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$27,996 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Corrections, British Columbia Parole Board, to 31st March 1972.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. today.

Moved by the Hon. *L. R. Peterson*, on behalf of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, seconded by Mr. *McGeer*—

Whereas the Prime Minister of Canada, Pierre Elliott Trudeau, was last evening joined in holy matrimony to Miss Margaret Sinclair in the Province of British Columbia:

Now therefore be it Resolved the Legislative Assembly, on behalf of all citizens of the Province, do extend congratulations to the Prime Minister and best wishes to his bride.

Motion agreed to.

And then the House adjourned at 3.27 a.m.

Friday, March 5, 1971

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Pastor *John Baerg*.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

127. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$9,900 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Labour, Minister's Office, to 31st March 1972.

The Committee reported the Resolution.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 6.05 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.54 p.m.

Friday, March 5, 1971

FIVE MINUTES PAST SIX O'CLOCK P.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

128. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$873,484 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Labour, General Administration and Labour Standards, to 31st March 1972.

129. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$206,386 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Labour, Factories Branch, to 31st March 1972.

130. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$3,888,510 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Labour, Apprenticeship and Industrial Training Branch, to 31st March 1972.

131. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$110,192 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Labour, Labour Relations Branch, to 31st March 1972.

132. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$402,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Labour, Mediation Commission, to 31st March 1972.

133. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$12,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Labour, Motor-vehicles and Accessories, to 31st March 1972.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Hon. *W. D. Black* (Provincial Secretary) presented the Annual Report of the Civil Service Commission from January 1 to December 31, 1970.

2 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following question:
What percentage of school operating costs was paid by the Provincial Government in each school district in the Province in the calendar year 1970?

The Hon. *D. L. Brothers* replied as follows:

"The percentages of net budgetted expenses paid by the Provincial Government to each school district, inclusive of direct grants and the estimated portion of home-owner grants applicable to local school taxes for the calendar year 1970, were:

School District	Percentage	School District	Percentage
No. 1 Fernie	44.16	No. 22 Vernon	69.76
No. 2 Cranbrook	72.89	No. 23 Kelowna	65.28
No. 3 Kimberley	36.38	No. 24 Kamloops	63.04
No. 4 Windermere	67.38	No. 25 Barriere	65.08
No. 7 Nelson	70.95	No. 26 Birch Island	51.55
No. 8 Slocan	64.31	No. 27 Williams Lake	61.15
No. 9 Castlegar	56.86	No. 28 Quesnel	66.17
No. 10 Arrow Lakes	75.77	No. 29 Lillooet	12.02
No. 11 Trail	53.66	No. 30 South Cariboo	52.97
No. 12 Grand Forks	74.16	No. 31 Merritt	69.68
No. 13 Kettle Valley	72.13	No. 32 Hope	60.38
No. 14 Southern Okanagan	72.08	No. 33 Chilliwack	80.66
No. 15 Penticton	71.52	No. 34 Abbotsford	77.94
No. 16 Keremeos	74.84	No. 35 Langley	76.63
No. 17 Princeton	73.39	No. 36 Surrey	78.95
No. 18 Golden	75.50	No. 37 Delta	66.09
No. 19 Revelstoke	73.51	No. 38 Richmond	72.35
No. 21 Armstrong-Spallumcheen	92.56	No. 39 Vancouver	48.03

School District	Percentage	School District	Percentage
No. 40 New Westminster	49.24	No. 64 Gulf Islands	28.32
No. 41 Burnaby	60.24	No. 65 Cowichan	49.95
No. 42 Maple Ridge	83.81	No. 66 Lake Cowichan	18.39
No. 43 Coquitlam	64.06	No. 67 Ladysmith	60.38
No. 44 North Vancouver	60.24	No. 68 Nanaimo	55.23
No. 45 West Vancouver	52.47	No. 69 Qualicum	55.51
No. 46 Sechelt	26.18	No. 70 Alberni	51.64
No. 47 Powell River	19.74	No. 71 Courtenay	68.74
No. 48 Howe Sound	19.86	No. 72 Campbell River	17.07
No. 49 Ocean Falls	8.08	No. 75 Mission	77.97
No. 50 Queen Charlotte	47.17	No. 76 Agassiz	62.98
No. 52 Prince Rupert	25.69	No. 77 Summerland	78.72
No. 54 Smithers	72.37	No. 80 Kitimat	19.44
No. 55 Burns Lake	69.64	No. 81 Fort Nelson	10.31
No. 56 Vanderhoof	59.32	No. 82 Chilcotin	81.05
No. 57 Prince George	49.18	No. 83 Portage Mountain	24.93
No. 58 McBride	51.25	No. 84 Vancouver Island West	13.84
No. 59 Peace River South	68.53	No. 85 Vancouver Island North	44.57
No. 60 Peace River North	38.93	No. 86 Creston-Kaslo	72.70
No. 61 Greater Victoria	68.15	No. 87 Stikine	38.19
No. 62 Sooke	73.17	No. 88 Skeena-Cassiar	62.44
No. 63 Saanich	70.50	No. 89 Shuswap	76.18"

27 Mr. *Cocke* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:

With reference to the Forensic Clinic, Mental Health Services, located at Burnaby—

1. What were the established positions as of December 31, 1970 for (a) physicians, (b) psychiatrists, (c) psychologists, (d) social workers, and (e) other staff?

2. Were any of these positions vacant as of December 31, 1970?

3. If the answer to No. 2 is yes, how many, by staff categories?

4. What services were provided during the year ended December 31, 1970 to (a) Courts, (b) gaols, (c) probation services, and (d) individuals?

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* replied as follows:

“The Forensic Clinic was seconded to the Department of Psychiatry, University of British Columbia, operating out of the Vancouver General Hospital Annex as a service and training unit from May 1, 1970.

“1. (a) One, (b) supervising psychiatrist is provided on three sessions a week, (c) nil, (d) 2, and (e) 1.

“2. Yes.

“3. One social worker.

“4. (a) 12, (b) 19, (c) 88, and (d) 61.”

37 Mrs. *Dailly* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following questions:

With reference to school district budgets for 1971—

1. How many provisional budgets exceeded the 110-per-cent ceiling for operating budgets?

2. How many financial budgets submitted by February 15 exceeded the 110-per-cent ceiling?

3. How many districts received municipal council approval to exceed the 110-per-cent ceiling?

4. How many school districts submitted by-laws for operating expenditures?

5. How many school districts that submitted by-laws received petitions for referenda?

The Hon. *D. L. Brothers* replied as follows:

"1. 41.

"2. 25.

"3. 14.

"4. 11.

"5. Four."

67 Mr. *Hall* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following questions: With respect to School District No. 36 (Surrey), in the years 1967/68, 1968/69, and 1969/70—

1. How many teachers were employed in each year?

2. What was the total salary cost for teachers in each year?

3. How many administrative personnel were employed in each year?

4. What was the total salary cost for administrative staff in each year?

5. What was the pupil enrolment in each year?

6. How many classrooms were there in each year?

7. What were the total transportation costs each year, and what was the share of this cost (*a*) by the school district and (*b*) by the Department of Education?

8. How many para educational or para medical personnel were employed in each year?

The Hon. *D. L. Brothers* replied as follows:

"Detailed records to provide the information in the form requested are not maintained in the Department of Education, the school district can readily provide the answers sought."

97 Mr. *Lorimer* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:

1. Were any suicides committed in Provincial institutions in the years 1968, 1969, or 1970?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what number and in which institutions during the years 1968, 1969, and 1970 did the suicides occur?

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* replied as follows:

"1. Yes.

"2. 1968, Riverview Hospital, six; 1969, Riverview Hospital, eight; 1970, Riverview Hospital, five.

"NOTE—The reply refers to institutions of the Mental Health Branch only."

174 Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce the following question:

What is the amount spent to date in the fiscal year 1970/71 on travel expenses for the Minister of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce?

The Hon. *W. McT. Skillings* replied as follows:

"\$4,666.37."

175 Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Provincial Secretary the following questions:

1. Have any groups of Government employees made appearances before, or made submissions in writing to, the Civil Service Commission since October, 1970 on the subject of wages to be effective April 1, 1971?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how many groups and what is the name of each group?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:

"1. Yes.

"2. British Columbia Government Employees' Association; Public Health Nursing Council; Society of British Columbia Conservation Officers; Government-employed physicians' group; Government-employed psychiatric nurses' group; British Columbia Government group of professional engineers; British Columbia Government group of professional foresters; group of Government-employed dentists; group of Government-employed architects; British Columbia Institute of Technology group; group of Government-employed appraisers; group of Government-employed security inspectors; group of Government-employed Court reporters; group of licensed employees on ferries operated by the Department of Highways; and vocational schools administrators' group."

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. on Monday next.

And then the House adjourned at 6.55 p.m.

Monday, March 8, 1971

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Mr. Speaker.

The Hon. *W. D. Black* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:

JOHN R. NICHOLSON
Lieutenant-Governor

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Department of Highways Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 8, 1971

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 49) intituled *An Act to Amend the Department of Highways Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of Mr. *Nimsick*, the following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today:

Bill (No. 63) intituled *Prevention of Restraint of Professional Practises Act*.

Bill (No. 64) intituled *An Act to Amend the Attachment of Debts Act*.

Bill (No. 65) intituled *An Act to Amend the Annual Holidays Act*.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

The following Bills were read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today:

Bill (No. 13) intituled *An Act to Amend the Provincial Home-owner Grant Act*.

Bill (No. 48) intituled *An Act to Amend the Provincial Home Acquisition Act*.

Bill (No. 39) intituled *Synthetic Food Products Act* was read a second time and *Ordered* referred to the Select Standing Committee on Agriculture.

Bill (No. 37) intituled *Air Space Titles Act* was read a second time and *Ordered* referred to the Select Standing Committee on Municipal Matters.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 14) intituled *An Act to Amend the Gasoline Tax Act, 1948* a debate arose.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—33

Messieurs

<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Skillings</i>
<i>Ney</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Peterson</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Marshall</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Wenman</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Gagliardi</i>
<i>Kripps, Mrs.</i>	<i>McCarthy, Mrs.</i>	<i>Campbell, B.</i>	<i>Campbell, D. R. J.</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Dawson, Mrs.</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>Price</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Shelford</i>
<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>McDiarmid</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>LeCours</i>			

NAYS—14

Messieurs

Brousson
Gardom
Cocke
Hartley

Lorimer
Hall
Williams, R. A.
Calder

McGeer
Williams, L. A.
Macdonald

Strachan
Nimsick
Dailly, Mrs.

PAIR:

*Messieurs**Merilees**Clark*

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 15) intituled *An Act to Amend the Gasoline Tax Act, 1958* a debate arose.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—33

Messieurs

Wallace
Ney
Marshall
Wenman
Kripps, Mrs.
Mussallem
Price
Vogel
LeCours

Chabot
Little
Jefcoat
Tisdalle
McCarthy, Mrs.
Dawson, Mrs.
Kiernan
Williston

Bennett
Peterson
Black
Fraser
Campbell, B.
Wolfe
Smith
McDiarmid

Skillings
Chant
Loffmark
Gaglardi
Campbell, D. R. J.
Brothers
Shelford
Richter

NAYS—14

Messieurs

Brousson
Gardom
Cocke
Hartley

Lorimer
Hall
Williams, R. A.
Calder

McGeer
Williams, L. A.
Macdonald

Strachan
Nimsick
Dailly, Mrs.

PAIRS:

Messieurs

Jordan, Mrs.
Merilees

Dowding
Clark

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 16) intituled *An Act to Amend the Coloured Gasoline Tax Act* a debate arose.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—33

Messieurs

Wallace	Chabot	Bennett	Skillings
Ney	Little	Peterson	Chant
Marshall	Jefcoat	Black	Loffmark
Wenman	Tisdalle	Fraser	Gaglardi
Kripps, Mrs.	McCarthy, Mrs.	Campbell, B.	Campbell, D. R. J.
Mussallem	Dawson, Mrs.	Wolfe	Brothers
Price	Kiernan	Smith	Shelford
Vogel	Williston	McDiarmid	Richter
LeCours			

NAYS—14

Messieurs

Brousson	Lorimer	McGeer	Strachan
Gardom	Hall	Williams, L. A.	Nimsick
Cocke	Williams, R. A.	Macdonald	Dailly, Mrs.
Hartley	Calder		

PAIRS:

Messieurs

Jordan, Mrs.	Dowding
Merilees	Clark

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 17) intituled *An Act to Amend the Motive-fuel Use Tax Act* a debate arose.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—33

Messieurs

Wallace	Chabot	Bennett	Skillings
Ney	Little	Peterson	Chant
Marshall	Jefcoat	Black	Loffmark
Wenman	Tisdalle	Fraser	Gaglardi
Kripps, Mrs.	McCarthy, Mrs.	Campbell, B.	Campbell, D. R. J.
Mussallem	Dawson, Mrs.	Wolfe	Brothers
Price	Kiernan	Smith	Shelford
Vogel	Williston	McDiarmid	Richter
LeCours			

NAYS—14

Messieurs

Brousson	Lorimer	McGeer	Strachan
Gardom	Hall	Williams, L. A.	Nimsick
Cocke	Williams, R. A.	Macdonald	Dailly, Mrs.
Hartley	Calder		

PAIRS:

Messieurs

Jordan, Mrs.	Dowding
Merilees	Clark

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 18) intituled *Cigarette and Tobacco Tax Act* a debate arose.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—32

Messieurs

<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Skillings</i>
<i>Marshall</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Peterson</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Wenman</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Kripps, Mrs.</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Gaglardi</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>McCarthy, Mrs.</i>	<i>Campbell, B.</i>	<i>Campbell, D. R. J.</i>
<i>Price</i>	<i>Dawson, Mrs.</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Shelford</i>
<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>McDiarmid</i>	<i>Richter</i>

NAYS—13

Messieurs

<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Clark</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Dailly, Mrs.</i>
<i>Lorimer</i>			

PAIRS:

Messieurs

<i>Merilees</i>	<i>McGeer</i>
<i>Ney</i>	<i>Brousson</i>
<i>Jordan, Mrs.</i>	<i>Dowding</i>

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 19) intituled *Hotel and Motel Room Tax Act* a debate arose.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—33

Messieurs

<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Peterson</i>	<i>Skillings</i>
<i>Marshall</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Wenman</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Kripps, Mrs.</i>	<i>McCarthy, Mrs.</i>	<i>Campbell, B.</i>	<i>Gaglardi</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Dawson, Mrs.</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>Campbell, D. R. J.</i>
<i>Price</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>McDiarmid</i>	<i>Shelford</i>
<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Capozzi</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Chabot</i>			

NAYS—14

Messieurs

Gardom
Cocke
Hartley
Loximer

Hall
Williams, R. A.
Calder
Clark

McGeer
Williams, L. A.
Macdonald

Strachan
Nimsick
Dailly, Mrs.

PAIRS:

Messieurs

Merilees
Jordan, Mrs.

Brousson
Dowding

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

Bill (No. 21) intituled *An Act to Amend the Taxation Act* was read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 22) intituled *An Act to Amend the Assessment Equalization Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Strachan*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

1 Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Provincial Secretary the following question:
What is the total cost to date of the Wootton Royal Commission on Automobile Insurance?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:
"\$344,237."

10 Mr. *McGeer* asked the Hon. the Provincial Secretary the following questions:

1. During the calendar year ended December 31, 1970, what funds were expended on behalf of the British Columbia Centennial Committee for the 1971 Centennial?

2. Of those funds, were any expended by departments other than the Provincial Secretary's Department?

3. Of those funds expended, what amounts were paid out to organizations outside the Province of British Columbia, for what specific purpose, and to whom were such payments made?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:

"1. \$1,057,136.57.

"2. No.

"3. Canadiana Textile Screen Prints Ltd. & Co., 1024 Rangeview Road, Port Credit, Ontario, flags for decorations and for resale to committees, \$47,323.30; MacLean-Hunter Ltd., 481 University Avenue, Toronto, Ontario, publications, \$52.10; Avis Transport of Canada, 750 Laurentian Boulevard, Montreal 9, Quebec, U-drive rental, \$192.38; Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing of Canada Ltd., Box 5041, London 12, Ontario, copy paper, \$739.75; Gimby Productions Ltd., Suite 305, 150 St. Clair Avenue West, Toronto 7, Ontario, centennial promotion song 'Go British Columbia, Go,' \$10,887.97; Queen's Printer of Canada, Ottawa, Ontario, pamphlet, \$14.40; Actors Equity Association, 35 Hayden Street, Toronto 285, Ontario, membership insurance for Barkerville '71 Tour, \$264; Herrin Preston,

5361 Southwest Edgemont Place, Portland, Oregon, decoration of float for Rose Bowl Parade, \$15,000; Pasadena Tournament of Roses Association, entrance fee for float, \$500."

50 Mr. *McGeer* asked the Hon. the Provincial Secretary the following questions:

1. Was any advertising placed by the Department of the Provincial Secretary for publication during the period July 1, 1970 to August 31, 1970?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what was the cost of such advertising, (b) what was the purpose of such advertising, (c) to what individuals or companies were payments made for services provided, and (d) what amounts were paid to individual publications or broadcasting companies?

3. What was the total spent for the comparable period in 1969?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:

"1. Yes.

"2. (a) \$1,396.03, (b), (c), and (d) display ad, Admark Ltd., Victoria, Helmcken House, period May 5, 1970 to May 5, 1971, \$100 per year; display ad, B.C. Directories Ltd., Vancouver, Library Development Commission, 1970 Prince George Directory, \$46 per year; display ad, B.C. Directories Ltd., Vancouver, Library Development Commission, 1970 Dawson Creek Directory, \$35 per year; display ad, Cockfield, Brown & Co. Ltd., Montreal, Barkerville Restoration Committee, \$325; display ad, Cockfield, Brown & Co. Ltd., Montreal, Barkerville Restoration Committee, \$405.94; display ad, The Creston Review Ltd., Creston, Fort Steele Restoration Committee, \$27; display ad, East Kootenay Broadcasting Co. Ltd., Cranbrook, Fort Steele Restoration Committee, \$310; display ad, Today Publications Ltd., Kimberley, Fort Steele Restoration Committee, \$147.09; total, \$1,396.03.

"3. \$11,126.28."

133 Mr. *Calder* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following question:

With reference to snow removal on roads, highways, and bridges in the Atlin constituency: What was the total cost of removal in 1970/71?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:

"\$93,979.70 (includes \$37,022.35 spent on forestry access road at Stewart) as of February 28, 1971."

134 Mr. *Calder* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:

With reference to the Stewart-Watson Lake Highway construction contract, Project 1702—

1. How much money, if any, was spent in 1970/71?

2. How many miles of road were constructed in 1970/71?

3. Is the road construction contract completed?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:

"1. \$722,545 as of February 28, 1971.

"2. 9.8 miles.

"3. Yes, as amended."

136 Mr. *Calder* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:

With reference to the Stewart-Watson Lake Highway construction contract, Project 1391—

1. How much money, if any, was spent in 1970/71?
2. How many miles of road were constructed in 1970/71?
3. Is the road construction contract completed?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:

“1. \$851,402 as of February 28, 1971.

“2. 2.8 miles.

“3. Yes, as amended.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.54 p.m.

Tuesday, March 9, 1971

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *R. D. Morgan*.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.

Report to be considered at the next sitting.

Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The House proceeded to the Order “Motions and Adjourned Debates on Motions.”

On the motion of Mr. *Chabot* it was *Ordered*—

That Mr. *B. Campbell* be appointed to the Select Standing Committee on Forestry and Fisheries to fill the vacancy caused by the absence of Mr. *Merilees*.

The Hon. *W. D. Black* (Provincial Secretary) presented the Over-all Medical Services Plan of British Columbia Operating Statement for the year ended March 31, 1970.

100 Mr. *Capozzi* asked the Hon. the Minister of Travel Industry the following questions:

1. How many Government employees participated in the British Columbia Government tourist promotion in California in 1969 and 1970 respectively?

2. What was the cost for travel and all related expenses for the Government employees in No. 1 for each year?

3. What was the cost for banquets in (a) San Francisco and (b) Los Angeles in 1969 and 1970?

4. Were any fees paid to performers or entertainers at the banquets and, if so, how much and to whom?

5. What was the cost of the Department booklet which was printed after the tour in (a) 1969 and (b) 1970?

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* replied as follows:

"1. In 1969, to fulfil 421 speaking engagements in 10 working-days, of the 75 participants 19 were Government employees, 12 of whom were members of the Department of Travel Industry, two were of the British Columbia Ferries, and five were from other departments. In 1970, to fulfil 412 speaking engagements, of the 83 participants 23 were Government employees, 14 of whom were members of the Department of Travel Industry, one from the British Columbia Ferries, and eight were from other departments. Included were two Cabinet Ministers and two MLA's.

"2. 1969, charged to Department of Travel Industry, \$7,702.65; 1970, charged to Department of Travel Industry, \$8,476.99.

"3. (a) March 20, 1969. British Columbia Week Ball organized on 50-50 cost-sharing basis with CP Air for general publicity and premiere of "Beautiful British Columbia Suite," by Nelson Riddle. \$6,140.28.

"April 6, 1970. Government Luncheon, San Francisco Hotel, for travel agents, travel writers, radio and television personnel, rail, air, and steamship carrier's executives, \$3,953.

"April 11, 1970. British Columbia Ball, organized on 50-50 cost-sharing basis with CP Air, for general publicity, \$4,117.30.

"(b) 1969. Government Luncheon, Beverly Wilshire Hotel, for travel agent's executives, travel writers, radio and television personnel, rail, air, and steamship carrier's executives and a number of persons from the movie and television industry who assisted us in obtaining an unlimited amount of publicity, \$4,383.01.

"In past years we were never able to attract attention of travel agents. On Friday, March 28, 1969, in conjunction with Universal Studios, who loaned us the studios, more than 500 travel agents, travel agent's executives and representatives were attracted to be introduced to British Columbia, \$5,805.55.

"1970. Government Luncheon, Beverly Wilshire Hotel, as in 1969, above, but different personnel as guests, \$4,437.

"Friday, April 17, Universal Studios, as in 1969, \$6,459.86.

"4. None.

"5. 1969, \$1,133.22; 1970, \$1,448.85."

142 Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Provincial Secretary the following questions:

With reference to services under the British Columbia Over-all Medical Services Plan, 1969/70 fiscal year—

1. Were payments made under the plan for X-rays and laboratory work done in private facilities?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what was the total amount paid for such services?

3. Were payments made under the plan for X-rays and laboratory work done in public facilities such as public hospitals?

4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, what was the total amount paid for such services?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:

- "1. Yes.
- "2. Accurate information is not available at this time.
- "3. Yes.
- "4. Accurate information is not available at this time."

144 Mr. *Nimsick* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following questions:

1. Has any survey been made of the value of the wildlife resource in Game Management Area 11?
2. If so, what is the considered value of this resource?
3. How much money has been expended by the Fish and Wildlife Branch for the conservation of game in Game Management Area 11 during 1970?

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* replied as follows:

- "1. Yes, a survey of big game only has been made.
- "2. The considered value of this resource is \$2.9 million.
- "3. In 1970 the Fish and Wildlife Branch expended the following sums for the conservation of game: Salaries, \$103,800; travel, \$21,000; equipment, \$8,000; flying, \$12,750; projects, \$9,800; land acquisition, \$75,000; total, \$230,350."

149 Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:

With reference to the ferry *Catherine Graham*—

1. What was the number of (a) passenger cars and (b) trucks and trailers carried by the *Catherine Graham* and her auxiliary barge in 1968/69?
2. What was the cost of maintenance and repairs to the *Catherine Graham* and her auxiliary barge in 1968/69?
3. What was the cost of maintenance and repair of the two ferry landings at Buckley Bay and Denman Island for 1968/69?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:

- "1. (a) 37,625 and (b) 2,447.
- "2 and 3. Separate cost records for ferry and landings not maintained. Total expenditure for maintenance and repair to ferry and landings, \$72,029.05."

151 Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following question:

What was the cost of (a) the acquisition of land and (b) the construction of landings for the *Cortes Queen* at Heriot Bay and Whaletown in 1968/69?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:

"(a) \$23,500; (b) Project 643, Heriot Bay, 1968/69, \$77,660.83; Project 642, Whaletown, 1968/69, \$65,021.80."

158 Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:

1. Is consideration being given to widening and upgrading Highway 12 in the area of the big slide?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what are the general plans and (b) when will they be undertaken?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:

- "1. Not in 1971/72.
- "2. Not applicable."

159 Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:

1. Is consideration being given to rebuilding or resurfacing the southern portion of Highway 12 between Lytton and Lillooet?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what are the general plans and (b) when will they be undertaken?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:

"1. Not in 1971.

"2. Not applicable."

160 Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:

1. Has there been a serious deterioration and break-up of Highway 1 between Yale and Alexander Bridge?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what steps will be taken to correct this situation and (b) when will the work begin?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:

"1. Surface deterioration only, not structurally serious.

"2. (a) Normal maintenance as weather permits, more extensive measures being considered, and (b) see (a)."

161 Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:

1. Does the Department of Highways plan to extend and make the Trans-Canada Highway 401 four lanes eastward beyond Rosedale?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) are estimates being called, (b) what is the mileage of estimates or contracts being considered, and (c) what is the target date for completion?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:

"1. Yes.

"2. (a) First contract advertised and tenders received on section from Jones Creek to Hunter Creek, two additional contracts are planned, (b) 4.97 miles in present tendered section, and (c) completion date of first contract early 1972."

162 Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:

1. Is consideration being given to opening for limited public access (say between 5 p.m. and 8 a.m. on week-days and all day on week-ends) the Coquihalla Short Route between Merritt and Hope?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, when would the opening become effective?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:

"1. No.

"2. See No. 1."

163 Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:

1. Is consideration being given to the extending of the asphalt pavement on the road between Highway 5 and Monk Parksite?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what are the plans, (b) how many miles of asphalt pavement will be involved, and (c) what is the planned completion date?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:

"1. Yes.

"2. (a) To pulvimix during 1971 season, (b) 7.5 miles, and (c) not definite at present."

164 Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:

1. Is consideration being given to rebuilding and black-topping the Mamit Lake Road between Lower Nicola on Highway 8 and Bethlehem Copper Mine?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, will this work be undertaken in the next 12 months?

3. If the answer to No. 2 is yes, how many miles of black-topping is planned for 1971/72?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:

"1. Rebuilding an additional section only in 1971/72.

"2. See No. 1.

"3. No black-topping planned in 1971/72."

165 Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:

1. With regard to Highway 3 between Hope and Princeton, does the Department of Highways plan rebuilding any portion of this highway?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what are the plans, (b) how many miles will be rebuilt, (c) how many miles rerouted, and (d) how many passing lanes will be built?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:

"1. Yes.

"2. (a) Truck lanes, rerouting by Similkameen Mining Corporation, (b) nil, (c) 3.8 miles, and (d) approximately 2 miles."

178 Mr. *Capozzi* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following question:

In regard to catering on the British Columbia Government Ferry Service, what is the cost of food as expressed as a percentage of total sales for the years 1969 and 1970 respectively?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:

"The cost of food as expressed as a percentage of total sales of food for the years 1969 and 1970 ranged from 40 to 45 per cent depending on the nature of service provided (i.e., cafeteria, coffee-shop, or dining-room)."

179 Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following questions:

1. Does the Department of Recreation and Conservation have a programme for the hatching of trout and steelhead?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, where are the steelhead smolting ponds?

3. Has the Minister looked into the hatching and propagating of the "super trout" developed by Dr. Lauren Donaldson at the University of Washington?

4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, what are the proposed plans?

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* replied as follows:

- "1. Yes.
- "2. Fraser Valley Hatchery and Coquihalla River.
- "3. Yes.
- "4. None are proposed."

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.49 p.m.

Tuesday, March 9, 1971

EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

On the motion of the Hon. *R. R. Loffmark*, the following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today:

Bill (No. 44) intituled *An Act to Amend the Marriage Act*.

Bill (No. 45) intituled *An Act to Amend the Community Care Facilities Licensing Act*.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

46. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$52,510 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Minister's Office, to 31st March 1972.

47. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$3,028,658 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, General Administration, to 31st March 1972.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.

Report to be considered at the next sitting.

Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 11.24 p.m.