Tuesday, February 9, 1971

Two o'clock P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. R. A. Hoult.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

During the debate, the Hon. R. R. Loffmark tabled copies of correspondence between himself, the Chairman of Wells Gray Hospital Committee at Clearwater, and the Registrar of the College of Physicians and Surgeons.

On the motion of Mr. Wallace, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The House proceeded to the Order "Presenting Reports by Standing and Special Committees."

Mr. Price presented the First Report of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:

REPORT No. 1

Legislative Committee Room, February 9, 1971

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:

That the Standing Orders have been complied with relating to the respective petitions for leave to introduce the following Private Bills:

An Act to Incorporate the Vancouver School of Theology;

An Act Respecting Central City Mission;

An Act to Incorporate Canadian Institute of Management (British Columbia Branch);

An Act to Amend the Vancouver Charter;

An Act to Amend the Seaboard Assurance Company Act, 1953.

Your Committee recommends that the respective petitioners be allowed to proceed with the said Bills.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

B. PRICE, Chairman

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

Tuesday, February 9, 1971

EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon, W. McT. Skillings, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The House proceeded to the Order "Motions and Adjourned Debates on Motions."

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. L. R. Peterson, the following notice of motion standing on the Order Paper in his name was withdrawn:

This House recommends that in order to protect the public interest and welfare, the strike of the Amalgamated Transit Union, Divisions 101-134 and 109, against the British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority shall immediately cease and that the transit operations of the British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority be resumed forthwith.

The Hon. D. L. Brothers moved, seconded by Mr. Price-

That this House authorize the Select Standing Committee on Social Welfare and Education to examine the provisions and practices relating to the security of tenure for teachers in the public schools of British Columbia, and to report their findings and recommendations.

A debate arose.

Mrs. Dailly moved in amendment, seconded by Mr. Dowding-

To amend the motion by inserting after the words "British Columbia" in the third line the words "the effect of the present finance formula upon teachers' appointments, vacancies, and salaries,".

Mr. Speaker ruled the amendment out of order on the ground that an amendment of an instruction to a Committee required notice, and quoted May, 17th Edition, page 544.

Motion agreed to.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 10.14 p.m.

Wednesday, February 10, 1971

Two o'clock P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. N. K. Archer.

By leave of the House, the Hon. W. A. C. Bennett (Premier) presented Conclusions of the Third Working Session of the Constitutional Conference held at Ottawa, February 8 and 9, 1971.

By leave of the House, the Hon. W. McT. Skillings (Minister of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce) presented the Annual Report of the Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce for the year ended December 31, 1970.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

Mr. Cocke moved in amendment, seconded by Mr. Brousson-

That the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply be amended by adding the following words:

That this House regrets that the Budget Speech fails to disclose any assurances that the accounts of Crown corporations or agencies such as British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority, the Pacific Great Eastern Railway, Workmen's Compensation Board, and British Columbia Liquor Control Board will be scrutinized or revealed to the Legislature or its Public Accounts Committee of the House and that the accounts, vouchers, receipts, and documents relating to the said Crown agencies will be available to the members of this House.

The debate continued.

The House divided.

The amendment was negatived on the following division:

YEAS-16

	AND THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PA	Messieurs	
Brousson	Lorimer	Clark	Dowding
Gardom	Hall	McGeer	Nimsick
Cocke	Williams, R. A.	Macdonald	Barrett
Hartley	Calder	Strachan	Dailly, Mrs.
	N	JAYS—36	
		Messieurs	
Wallace	LeCours	Kiernan	McDiarmid Skillings Chant Loffmark Gaglardi Campbell, D. R. J.
Ney	Chabot	Williston	
Merilees	Little	Bennett	
Marshall	Jefcoat	Peterson	
Wenman	Tisdalle	Black	
Kripps, Mrs.	Bruch	Fraser	
Mussallem	McCarthy, Mrs.	Campbell, B.	Brothers
Price	Jordan, Mrs.	Wolfe	Shelford
Vogel	Dawson, Mrs.	Smith	Richter

PAIR:

Messieurs

Williams, L. A.

Capozzi

The debate was resumed on the main motion.

On the motion of the Hon. F. X. Richter, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.35 p.m.

Thursday, February 11, 1971

Two o'clock P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. P. J. Calkins.

On the motion of the Hon. C. M. Shelford, the following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and Ordered to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today:

Bill (No. 24) intituled An Act to Amend the Fur-farm Act.

Bill (No. 23) intituled An Act to Amend the Trespass Act.

Bill (No. 25) intituled An Act to Amend the Animals Act.

The following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* referred to the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills:

On the motion of Mr. Wolfe, Bill (No. 50) intituled An Act to Incorporate the Vancouver School of Theology.

On the motion of Mr. Wolfe, Bill (No. 51) intituled An Act Respecting Central City Mission.

On the motion of Mr. Capozzi, Bill (No. 52) intituled An Act to Incorporate Canadian Institute of Management (British Columbia Branch).

On the motion of Mr. Wolfe, Bill (No. 53) intituled An Act to Amend the Vancouver Charter.

On the motion of Mr. Merilees, Bill (No. 54) intituled An Act to Amend the Seaboard Assurance Company Act, 1953.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. Bruch, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The Hon. F. X. Richter (Minister of Commercial Transport) presented the Annual Report of the Department of Commercial Transport for the year ended December 31, 1970. (In manuscript form.)

The Hon. W. A. C. Bennett (Premier) presented the Annual Return for the calendar year 1970 submitted in accordance with section 53 of the Administration Act, Revised Statutes of British Columbia, 1960.

21 Mr. Barrett asked the Hon. the Minister of Mines and Petroleum Resources the following questions:

With reference to coal mined in British Columbia in 1969 and 1970-

- 1. What was the total tonnage mined in each year?
- 2. Was any coal exported and, if so, how many tons in each year and what was its destination?
- 3. What was the total amount of royalty received under the *Coal Act* in each year?
- 4. Was any coal mined on which no royalty was paid under the *Coal Act* and, if so, how many tons in each year?
 - 5. What was the total number of persons employed in coal mining in each year?

The Hon. F. X. Richter replied as follows:

"The following refers to 1969. Statistics for 1970 not yet available.

- "1. Gross tonnage mined, 1,094,415 tons.
- "2. Exports of coal: United States, 34,080 tons; Japan, 326,184 tons; Peru, 3,501 tons; total, 363,765 tons.
 - "3. This question should be addressed to the Minister of Finance.
- "4. Limited amounts for analyses and testing purposes, exact quantity not known.
- "5. Persons employed in coal mining: At head office, 131; administration at mine, 61; workmen at mine, 508; total, 700."
- 33 Mr. Hall asked the Hon. the Minister of Rehabilitation and Social Improvement the following questions:

With reference to boards of review under the social assistance regulations—

- 1. How many applications were received for boards (a) in each month of 1970 and (b) for the complete calendar year of 1970?
 - 2. How many boards were set up in 1970?
 - 3. How many cases were resolved in the applicant's favour during 1970?
- 4. From what areas of the Province were the applications received and how many cases were resolved in the applicant's favour in each area?

The Hon. P. A. Gaglardi replied as follows:

- "1. (a) January, nil; February, 2; March, 5; April, 1; May, 4; June, 4; July, 1; August, 3; September, 3; October, 2; November, 2; December, 1; and (b) 28.
 - "2. Twenty-eight.

- "3. Nineteen.
- "4. Areas of the Province from which applications received are as follows:

	Number of Cases	Number of Cases Resolved in the Applicant's Favour
Abbotsford	1	
Chilliwack	1	
Coquitlam	1	
Dawson Creek	1	1
Duncan	1	
Esquimalt	2	1
Merritt	1	1
Nanaimo	1	1
New Westminster City	1	1
North Burnaby	I	****
Prince George	2	2
Quesnel	2	2
Surrey	2	
Vancouver	6	6
Victoria	4	3
White Rock	1	1"

34 Mr. *Hall* asked the Hon. the Minister of Rehabilitation and Social Improvement the following questions:

Regarding the Department of Rehabilitation and Social Improvement, Surrey—

- 1. During the year 1970 did any of the employees leave the service in (a) field staff and (b) office staff?
- 2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how many, (b) for what reasons, and (c) how many were replaced?

The Hon. P. A. Gaglardi replied as follows:

- "1. (a) Yes; and (b) municipal clerical staff, yes; Provincial clerical staff, not applicable (none employed).
- "2. (a) Three members of field staff (two Provincial social workers and one municipal case aide) left the employ in the year 1970. Four clerical (municipal) staff left the employ in the year 1970.
- "(b) The reasons given for termination of service were: (i) personal, (ii) other employment, (iii) move to Eastern Canada, (iv) promoted.
 - "(c) All positions were replaced."
- **35** Mr. *Hall* asked the Hon. the Minister of Rehabilitation and Social Improvement the following questions:
- 1. Were any persons formally charged in Court with defrauding the Department of Rehabilitation and Social Improvement of Social Allowance payments during 1970?
- 2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what was the total amount of money involved, (b) how many persons were charged, and (c) how many persons, if any, were convicted, and what was the total amount of money involved in these cases?

The Hon. P. A. Gaglardi replied as follows:

- "1. Yes, for the fiscal year ended March 1970.
- "2. (a) \$51,583.23, (b) 75, and (c) 56 and \$34,340.15."

36 Mr. Hall asked the Hon. the Minister of Rehabilitation and Social Improvement the following question:

What was the total number of recipients of Supplementary Social Allowances in Surrey as of December 1970?

The Hon. P. A. Gaglardi replied as follows: "844."

- **40** Mrs. *Dailly* asked the Hon. the Minister of Rehabilitation and Social Improvement the following questions:
- 1. Were any cases referred to the Rehabilitation Co-ordinator of the Public Health Branch by the Department of Rehabilitation and Social Improvement during the calendar year 1970?
- 2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how many and (b) how many of these cases were successfully rehabilitated during the year?

The Hon. P. A. Gaglardi replied as follows:

- "1. Yes.
- "2. (a) Approximately 150 and (b) approximately 60."
- 41 Mrs. Dailly asked the Hon. the Minister of Rehabilitation and Social Improvement the following questions:

With reference to "work activity projects" under the Canada Assistance Act since March 1967—

- 1. Have any projects been put into effect in British Columbia?
- 2. If the answer to No. 1 is in the affirmative, with respect to each individual project, what was the (a) date of commencement and termination, (b) cost to the Provincial Government, (c) cost to the Federal Government, (d) cost to the municipal government, (e) number of persons employed, (f) location of the project, and (g) type of employment involved?

The Hon. P. A. Gaglardi replied as follows:

- "1. Yes.
- "2. Vancouver Employment Preparation Project. (a) July 13, 1970 to October 16, 1970, (b) \$3,705.29, (c) \$7,410.58, (d) \$3,705.29, (e) 20, (f) Vancouver, and (g) parks improvement."
- 43 Mrs. Dailly asked the Hon. the Minister of Rehabilitation and Social Improvement the following questions:
- 1. Did any unemployed employables receive social assistance in any social welfare region in the Province in 1970?
- 2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what was the total number of recipients in all or any regions of the Province in 1970?

The Hon. P. A. Gaglardi replied as follows:

- "1. Yes.
- "2. Region 1, 5,815; Region 2, 20,413; Region 3, 5,454; Region 4, 2,635; Region 5, 4,632; Region 6, 5,431; Region 7, 2,734; Region 8, 1,421; total 1970, 48,535."

44 Mrs. Dailly asked the Hon. the Minister of Rehabilitation and Social Improvement the following questions:

With reference to recipients of social assistance—

- 1. Were any recipients referred for vocational training or retraining during 1970?
- 2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how many recipients were referred and (b) how many completed training or retraining?

The Hon. P. A. Gaglardi replied as follows:

- "1. Yes.
- "2. (a) 945 and (b) incomplete figures show 391 completed."
- **45** Mrs. *Dailly* asked the Hon. the Minister of Rehabilitation and Social Improvement the following question:

With respect to grants of social assistance made between April 1, 1970 and December 31, 1970, what was the case load and total number of recipients on a monthly basis?

The Hon. P. A. Gaglardi replied as follows:

"Social assistance from April 1, 1970 to December 31, 1970:

	Social Allowance Case Load	Total Number of Recipients of Social Allowance
April	51,458	114,779
May	54,177	122,701
June	40.040	130,823
July	61,918	134,505
August	66,113	140,896
September	64,967	141,327
October	62,904	134,029
November	64,103	138,849
December	(1)	(1)

[&]quot;1 Information not yet available."

46 Mrs. Dailly asked the Hon. the Minister of Rehabilitation and Social Improvement the following questions:

With respect to payments of supplementary social assistance—

- 1. How many persons are in receipt of this allowance?
- 2. How many persons receive the maximum allowance of \$30, and how many receive allowances of \$1 to \$10, of \$11 to \$20, of \$21 to \$25, and of \$26 to \$30?

The Hon. P. A. Gaglardi replied as follows:

"1. There are presently 14,092 persons (old-age security cases) receiving Supplementary Social Allowance.

"2. 1,086 receive the maximum; 4,412 receive \$1 to \$10.99, 1,708 receive \$11 to \$20.99, 1,171 receive \$21 to \$25.99, 2,305 receive \$26 to \$29.99, 3,410 receive \$30 to \$38.58, and 1,086 receive 38.59."

55 Mr. *Nimsick* asked the Hon. the Minister of Mines and Petroleum Resources the following questions:

With reference to payments under section 2 of the Iron Bounty Act—

1. Have any payments been made under this section to Cominco Limited since October 30, 1969?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what are the amounts and dates of payment to date?

The Hon. F. X. Richter replied as follows:

"1. Yes.

"2. July 1970, \$54,086.70; August 1970, \$26,379.30; and November 1970, \$45,534."

The House proceeded to the Order "Motions and Adjourned Debates on Motions."

By leave of the House, the Hon. R. G. Williston moved, seconded by the Hon. W. K. Kiernan—

That this House authorize the Select Standing Committee on Forestry and Fisheries to receive and study the following reports from the Forest Service:

- (1) The contractor clause in tree-farm licences. This results from a specific recommendation and request made to the Service by the Committee last year:
- (2) Log and debris salvage in the Strait of Georgia. With regard to the second report, specific recommendations should be made concerning debris control in support of the general requirement for the control of such types of pollution.

In the consideration of these matters the Committee shall afford ample opportunity for all interested companies, groups, and individuals to make representations on the matters under study.

A debate arose.

Motion agreed to.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 6.03 p.m.

Thursday, February 11, 1971

EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. P. A. Gaglardi, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

By leave of the House, Mr. Dowding withdrew the following notice of motion:

That Motion No. 8 be amended by adding a further instruction as follows:

The Committee is further requested to consider the advisability of recommending establishment of a compensation fund for owners of small boats and vessels under 500 tons damaged by reason of floating logs and other debris, and whether such fund should be imposed on logging companies, lumber mills, and log-towing companies utilizing rivers, lakes, or the sea for log transport or gathering.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 10.04 p.m.

Friday, February 12, 1971

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Brig. V. Underhill.

The Hon. W. D. Black presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:

JOHN R. NICHOLSON

Lieutenant-Governor

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled An Act to Amend the Civil Service Superannuation Act, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House, February 10, 1971

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 28) intituled An Act to Amend the Civil Service Superannuation Act, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of the Hon. L. R. Peterson, the following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and Ordered to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today:

Bill (No. 26) intituled An Act to Amend the Sale of Goods Act.

Bill (No. 30) intituled An Act to Amend the Variation of Trusts Act.

Bill (No. 31) intituled An Act to Amend the Testator's Family Maintenance Act.

Bill (No. 29) intituled An Act to Amend the Settled Estates Act.

On the motion of Mr. Capozzi, Bill (No. 34) intituled The Protection from Computers Act was introduced, read a first time, and Ordered to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. Grace McCarthy, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The Hon. R. R. Loffmark (Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance) presented Regulations made pursuant to section 37, Hospital Act, chapter 178, Revised Statutes of British Columbia, 1960.

- 99 Mr. Capozzi asked the Hon. the Provincial Secretary the following questions:
- 1. What was the total number of days granted for sick leave for salaried employees in the Civil Service for the years 1968, 1969, and 1970 respectively?
- 2. With respect to No. 1, what would this be expressed as a percentage of the total work force of the Civil Service in the respective years of 1968, 1969, and 1970?

The Hon. W. D. Black replied as follows:

- "1. Total number of days sick leave: (a) October 1, 1967 to September 30, 1968, 75,728.5, (b) October 1, 1968 to September 30, 1969, 97,708.2, and (c) October 1, 1969 to September 30, 1970, 89,540.5.
- "2. Expressed as a percentage of the total work force of the Civil Service in the respective years the figures would be as follows: (a) 2.1 per cent, (b) 2.3 per cent, and (c) 2.32 per cent. These figures do not include employees of the Gaol Service, Liquor Control Board, and the British Columbia Ferries Division."

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. on Monday next.

Monday, February 15, 1971

Two o'clock P.M.

Prayers by Mr. Speaker.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. W. N. Chant, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 1) intituled An Act to Amend the Reciprocal Enforcement of Maintenance Orders Act a debate arose.

The House divided.

Wallace

Merilees

Marshall Brousson

Gardom

Cocke

Hall

Calder Wenman

Hartley

Lorimer

Williams, R. A.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

Kripps, Mrs.

Barrett

Vogel

Dailly, Mrs.

YEAS—48 Messieurs

LeCours

Mussallem	Chabot
Price	Little
Clark	Jefcoat
McGeer	Tisdalle
Williams, L. A.	McCarthy, Mrs.
Macdonald	Dawson, Mrs.
Strachan	Kiernan
Dowding	Williston

Williston Bennett Peterson Fraser Campbell, B.
Wolfe
Smith
McDiarmid
Capozzi
Skillings

Chant Loffmark Campbell, D. R. J. Brothers Shelford

Richter

Nays-1

Mr. Nimsick

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. W. K. Kiernan (Minister of Travel Industry) presented the Annual Report of the Department of Travel Industry for the year ended December 31, 1970.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 6.02 p.m.

Tuesday, February 16, 1971

Two o'clock P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. P. V. Atkinson.

On the motion of the Hon. L. R. Peterson, Bill (No. 33) intituled An Act to Amend the Consumer Protection Act was introduced, read a first time, and Ordered to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. Isabel P. Dawson, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 11) intituled *Special Funds* Appropriation Act a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. Strachan, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The Hon. R. G. Williston (Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources) presented the Annual Report of the British Columbia Lands Service of the Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources for the year ended December 31, 1970.

42 Mrs. Dailly asked the Hon. the Minister of Rehabilitation and Social Improvement the following questions:

With reference to day-care centres for children-

- 1. Are any day-care centres receiving financial subsidies from the Government?
- 2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how many centres are there, (b) what is the formula of the subsidy paid, and (c) what was the total amount of subsidy paid to each relevant centre during 1970?

The Hon. P. A. Gaglardi stated that, in his opinion, the answer should be in the form of a Return and that he had no objection to laying such Return upon the table of the House, and thereupon presented such Return.

47 Mrs. Dailly asked the Hon. the Minister of Rehabilitation and Social Improvement the following questions:

With reference to day-care services-

- 1. Is assistance received on a cost-sharing basis under the Canada Assistance Plan?
 - 2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how much was received in 1970?

The Hon. P. A. Gaglardi replied as follows:

"1. Yes.

"2. \$329,327.51."

63 Mr. Hall asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:

With reference to the Privacy Act—

- 1. Since the coming into force of the *Privacy Act* have any actions been brought in the Courts of the Province founded on a right of action for a violation of privacy or the unauthorized use of the name or portrait of another?
- 2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how many such actions were brought and what was the result in each case?

The Hon. L. R. Peterson replied as follows:

"1. Yes.

"2. One. No. 52/69 Davis *versus* McArthur, commenced January 31, 1969. In the Supreme Court the plaintiff was awarded damages in the amount of \$1,000, the judgment was appealed and the Court of Appeal allowed the appeal and the action was dismissed."

64 Mr. Hall asked the Hon. the Minister of Labour the following questions:

With respect to the Workmen's Compensation Board-

- 1. Were any moneys expended by the Board for advertising in (a) fiscal 1968/69, (b) fiscal 1969/70, and (c) fiscal 1970 to date?
 - 2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what were the amounts and in what media?

The Hon. L. R. Peterson replied as follows:

"1. Yes.

"2. As the fiscal year is the calendar year this question has been interpreted as referring to the years ended December 31, 1969 and December 31, 1970:

"1969		Free Time or Space Donated by Media as a Public Service
Newspaper	\$48,519.42	nil
Radio	36,145.16	\$26,195.50
Television	70,372.10	45,112.00
Trade/Labour Press	9,202.41	nil
Total	\$164,239.09	
"1970		
Newspaper	\$72,300.43	nil
Radio	45,278.96	\$24,938.00
Television	73,038.85	62,230.00
Trade/Labour Press	12,298.16	nil
Total	\$202,916.40"	

86 Mr. Campbell asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following question:

With respect to the calendar years 1969 and 1970, of all the money referendums conducted by school boards in that period (a) what was the average voter turnout expressed as a percentage, (b) what was the lowest percentage turnout, and (c) the highest percentage turnout?

The Hon. D. L. Brothers replied as follows:

- "(a) The average voter turnout for money referendums conducted by school boards during 1969 and 1970 was 24.5 per cent, (b) the lowest percentage turnout of owner-electors in a school district was 11.4 per cent, and (c) the highest percentage turnout of owner-electors in a school district was 43 per cent."
- 114 Mr. McGeer asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:
- 1. Was any advertising placed by the Department of the Attorney-General on behalf of consumer protection during the period of July 1, 1970 to August 31, 1970?
- 2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what was the cost of such advertising, (b) what was the purpose of such advertising, (c) to what individuals or companies were payments made for services provided, and (d) what amounts were paid to individual publications or broadcasting companies?
 - 3. What was the total spent for the comparable period in 1969?
- 4. What was the total amount spent for this purpose during the fiscal year 1969 and what was the total amount spent during the fiscal year 1970?

The Hon. L. R. Peterson replied as follows:

- "1. No.
- "2. See No. 1.
- "3. \$1,780.
- "4. 1969, \$1,780, and 1970, nil."

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.58 p.m.

Tuesday, February 16, 1971

EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. D. L. Brothers, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 10.14 p.m.

Wednesday, February 17, 1971

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. Paul Hawkes.

By leave of the House, the Hon. W. K. Kiernan made a Government statement of policy regarding Sunday passes on British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority buses and the establishment of Regional Transit Boards.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. L. R. Peterson (on behalf of the Hon. W. D. Black), the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 6 p.m.

Thursday, February 18, 1971

Two o'clock P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. L. M. Carlson.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. R. G. Williston, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The House proceeded to the Order "Motions and Adjourned Debates on Motions."

By leave of the House, the Hon. D. R. J. Campbell moved, seconded by the Hon. D. L. Brothers—

That this House authorize the Select Standing Committee on Municipal Matters to examine the criteria and conditions which should be met either to incorporate by Statute an area as a municipality or to include an area in an existing municipality, and to report their findings and recommendations to the House.

A debate arose.

Motion agreed to.

102 Mr. *Nimsick* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following questions:

In the matter of the application by the Kimberley Rod and Gun Club to have the area called the "Top of the World" classified as a wilderness area—

- 1. Has the application been granted?
- 2. If not, at what stage is the consideration at the present time?
- 3. Has the right to build roads into this area been suspended until these considerations are complete?
 - 4. If the answer to No. 3 is no, why has this not been done?

The Hon. W. K. Kiernan replied as follows:

- "1. No.
- "2. Under study by the Land Use Committee. Study of whole East Kootenay area was commenced in August 1970.
 - "3. Road building suspended beyond Nicol Creek.
 - "4. See No. 3."

103 Mr. Nimsick asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following question:

What was the take of each of the following animals in Game Management Area 1 during the years 1968, 1969, and 1970 respectively: Bull moose, antlerless moose, bull elk, antlerless elk, whitetail buck deer, antlerless whitetail deer, blacktail buck deer, antlerless blacktail deer, caribou, goat, and sheep?

The Hon. W. K. Kiernan replied as follows:

"Management Area 1 contains only blacktailed deer and Roosevelt elk. The 1970 figures are not available yet, for 1968/69 the kill in Management Area 1 was: Blacktail deer, bucks, 1968, 20,622, antlerless, 7,300; bucks, 1969, 13,300, antlerless, 5,700. Elk, bulls, 1968, 130, antlerless, 99; bulls, 1969, 40, antlerless, nil.

"Perhaps the honourable member meant Management Area 11 where the harvests were: Moose, bulls, 1968, 543, antlerless, 233; bulls, 1969, 405, antlerless, 166. Elk, bulls, 1968, 1,001, antlerless, 820; bulls, 1969, 720, antlerless, 566. Whitetail deer, bucks, 1968, 1,719, antlerless, 1,228; bucks, 1969, 1,310, antlerless, 712. Muledeer, bucks, 1968, 1,276, antlerless, 687; bucks, 1969, 1,124, antlerless, 599. Caribou, 1968, 7; 1969, 2. Goat, 1968, *nil*; 1969, 140. Sheep, 1968, *nil*; 1969, 31."

- 104 Mr. Nimsick asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following questions:
- 1. Did the Department of Highways make application to have the public road into Premier Lake degazetted?
- 2. If so, was the application granted?
 - 3. If the answer to No. 2 is yes, what was the reason for so doing?

The Hon, W. K. Kiernan replied as follows:

- "1. Yes.
- "2. No.
- "3. Not applicable."

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.42 p.m.

Thursday, February 18, 1971

EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

The Hon. L. R. Peterson presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:

JOHN R. NICHOLSON

Lieutenant-Governor

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled Air Space Titles Act, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House, February 18, 1971

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 37) intituled Air Space Titles Act, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. L. A. Williams, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 10.07 p.m.

Friday, February 19, 1971

Two o'clock P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. D. B. Sparling.

On the motion of the Hon. L. R. Peterson, Bill (No. 32) intituled An Act to Amend the Infants Act was introduced, read a first time, and Ordered to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS-35

Messieurs

LeCours
Chabot
Little
Jefcoat
Tisdalle
Bruch
McCarthy, Mrs.
Jordan, Mrs.
Dawson, Mrs.

Peterson Black Fraser Campbell, B. Wolfe Soith McDiarmid Capozzi

Bennett

Skillings Chant Loffmark Gaglardi Campbell, D. R. J. Brothers

Shelford Richter

Nays—17

Messieurs

Brousson
Gardom
Cocke
Hartley
Lorimer

Hall Williams, R. A. Calder Clark

McGeer Williams, L. A. Macdonald Strachan Dowding Nimsick Barrett Dailly, Mrs.

(IN THE COMMITTEE OF SUPPLY)

The Committee rose and reported progress. Report to be considered at the next sitting. Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 12) intituled Accelerated Park Development Act a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. Barrett, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

- **59** Mr. Hartley asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:
- 1. Does the Department of Highways have plans for upgrading in preparation to black-topping the gravel road between Quilchena and Westwold, via Douglas Lake?
- 2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how many miles will be upgraded and (or) black-topped prior to the year ending March 31, 1972?

The Hon. W. D. Black replied as follows:

"1 and 2. Not in 1971/72."

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. on Monday next.

And then the House adjourned at 5.07 p.m.

Monday, February 22, 1971

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Major H. Tilley.

On the motion of Mr. Wenman, Bill (No. 40) intituled An Act to Amend the Protection of Children Act was introduced, read a first time, and Ordered to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(In the Committee)

The Committee rose and reported progress. Report to be considered at the next sitting. Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

Tuesday, February 23, 1971

Two o'clock p.m.

Prayers by Father John Valentine.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

2. Resolved, That a sum not exceeding \$132,348 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Premier's Office to 31st March 1972.

The Committee reported the Resolution. Report to be considered at the next sitting. Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

By leave of the House, the Hon. L. R. Peterson (Attorney-General) submitted a supplementary reply to Question 63, as follows:

63 Mr. Hall asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:

With reference to the Privacy Act—

- 1. Since the coming into force of the *Privacy Act* have any actions been brought in the Courts of the Province founded on a right of action for a violation of privacy or the unauthorized use of the name or portrait of another?
- 2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how many such actions were brought and what was the result in each case?

The Hon. L. R. Peterson amended his reply as follows:

"1. Yes.

"2. Two. In the Victoria Registry, No. 52/69, Davis versus McArthur, commenced January 31, 1969. In the Supreme Court the plaintiff was awarded damages in the amount of \$1,000, the judgment was appealed and the Court of Appeal allowed the appeal and the action was dismissed. In the Vancouver Registry, No. 1822/69, Dora Allen versus Otto Remig, commenced May 9, 1969. Action dismissed without costs to either party on December 10, 1970.

"Note—The answer for Vancouver may not be complete without checking each writ issued since the Act came into force."

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8 o'clock p.m. today.

Tuesday, February 23, 1971

EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Without the Committee rising, the Chairman reported that he had ordered the Honourable Second Member for Vancouver East to discontinue his speech on the ground of tedious repetition, and that his ruling had been challenged.

Upon appeal to the House, the Chairman's ruling was sustained.

58. Resolved, That a sum not exceeding \$5,370 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Minister's Office, to 31st March 1972.

- 59. Resolved, That a sum not exceeding \$252,986 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, General Administration, to 31st March 1972.
- 60. Resolved, That a sum not exceeding \$573,582 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Controlling and Audit Branch, to 31st March 1972.
- 61. Resolved, That a sum not exceeding \$577,982 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Data Processing Branch, to 31st March 1972.
- 62. Resolved, That a sum not exceeding \$1,221,514 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Consumer Taxation Branch, to 31st March 1972.
- 63. Resolved, That a sum not exceeding \$495,752 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Real Property Taxation Branch, to 31st March 1972.
- 64. Resolved, That a sum not exceeding \$315,018 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Income Taxation Branch, to 31st March 1972.
- 65. Resolved, That a sum not exceeding \$266,050 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Assessment Equalization Act, to 31st March 1972.
- 66. Resolved, That a sum not exceeding \$474,902 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Purchasing Commission, to 31st March 1972.
- 67. Resolved, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Langford Warehouse, to 31st March 1972.
- 68. Resolved, That a sum not exceeding \$72,458 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Home-owner Assistance, to 31st March 1972.
- 69. Resolved, That a sum not exceeding \$2,335,454 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Government Agencies, Etc., to 31st March 1972.
- 70. Resolved, That a sum not exceeding \$47,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Courts of Revision, to 31st March 1972.

- 71. Resolved, That a sum not exceeding \$37,750 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Assessment Appeal Board, to 31st March 1972.
- 72. Resolved, That a sum not exceeding \$125,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Printing Public Accounts, Estimates, Bonds, Revenue Receipts, Licences, Etc., to 31st March 1972.
- 73. Resolved, That a sum not exceeding \$192,600 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Temporary Assistance, to 31st March 1972.
- 74. Resolved, That a sum not exceeding \$100,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Incidentals and Contingencies, to 31st March 1972.
- 75. Resolved, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Civil Service Superannuation Act, to 31st March 1972.
- 76. Resolved, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Members of the Legislative Assembly Superannuation Act, to 31st March 1972.
- 77. Resolved, That a sum not exceeding \$200 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Dyking Assessments Adjustment Act, 1905, to 31st March 1972.
- 78. Resolved, That a sum not exceeding \$900,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Interest on Trust Deposits, to 31st March 1972.
- 79. Resolved, That a sum not exceeding \$9,000,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Salary Contingencies and Adjustments (All Departments), to 31st March 1972.
- 80. Resolved, That a sum not exceeding \$150,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Expense Contingencies (All Departments), to 31st March 1972.
- 81. Resolved, That a sum not exceeding \$100,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Motor-vehicles and Accessories (All Departments), to 31st March 1972.
- 82. Resolved, That a sum not exceeding \$2,000,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Rural Power Subsidy, to 31st March 1972.
- 83. Resolved, That a sum not exceeding \$2,000,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Metropolitan Transit Subsidy, to 31st March 1972.
- 84. Resolved, That a sum not exceeding \$3,556 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Nancy Sloan Act, to 31st March 1972.

The Committee reported the Resolutions. Report to be considered at the next sitting. Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.