

Monday, February 27th, 1961

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *Victor Propp*.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee reported progress.

Report to be considered at the next sitting.

Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. D. Black*, seconded by the Hon. *F. X. Richter*, it was *Ordered* that the Rules be suspended to permit the moving, without notice, of the following motion:—

That the Journals of the House, February 21st, 1961, be amended as follows: The answer to the second question, page 67, line 3, should read "3,386,404."

The Hon. *W. D. Black* moved, seconded by the Hon. *F. X. Richter*,—

That the Journals of the House, February 21st, 1961, be amended as follows: The answer to the second question, page 67, line 3, should read "3,386,404."

Motion agreed to.

Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With respect to the Trans-Canada Highway:—

1. Is the Federal Government in arrears in its payment on any contracts?
2. Has Federal approval of payments been withheld in respect of any contract?
3. If the answer to No. 2 is yes, (a) why has payment been withheld and (b) in what amount in each case?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

"1. No.

"2. Yes.

"3. Approval of payments has been withheld with respect to materials supplied under contract until such time as the material has been placed in accordance with the contract; Project No. 183, Alexandra Bridge (steel), \$360,838.61, and Project No. 267, Port Mann Bridge (Contract No. 3), \$185,262.98 (Federal Government's share, 50 per cent)."

Mr. *McKay* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following question:—

With respect to the bridge built over the Elk River on Highway No. 93 near Roosville (Bridge Project No. 292), what is the anticipated completion date of the project?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

"Late fall, 1961."

Mr. *Brothers* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands and Forests the following questions:—

The Hon. D. Fulton recently made a statement that it might be possible to develop power from the proposed High Arrow Dam.

1. Has the Province of British Columbia entered into any engineering studies of this possibility?

2. If the answer to No. 2 is yes, (a) by what method could power be developed, (b) how much power could be developed, (c) where could this power be used, and (d) would the development of this power be made and (or) operated by the British Columbia Power Commission?

The Hon. R. G. Williston replied as follows:—

“ 1 and 2. The possibility of producing at-site power from the proposed High Arrow Dam is not receiving detailed engineering study at the present time because power installation at this project will probably be one of the last developments to take place on the river—possibly twenty to twenty-five years from now. However, the engineering designs presently under way for the dam as a storage project include provision for future installation of generating facilities when they are required. Because of the fluctuating head at this site, the power would be developed, using adjustable-blade turbines. Depending upon economics and other conditions of power supply and use at the time the generating facilities are installed, the amount of power that could be produced would be between 100,000 and 200,000 kilowatts. The power would probably be fed into the then existing Provincial transmission system for use in meeting Provincial loads generally. It is likely the power installation would be made and operated by the British Columbia Power Commission, since it has been named as the hydro-operating entity on the river.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. to-morrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

Tuesday, February 28th, 1961

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. S. H. Cassels.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. R. W. Bonner, the House proceeded to the Orders of the Day “Public Bills and Orders.”

Order for Committee on Bill No. 41 called.

Bill (No. 41) intituled *An Act to Amend the Court of Appeal Act* was committed, reported complete with amendments.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the Bill, as reported, considered forthwith and the Bill read a third time and passed.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

2. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$44,145 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Premier's Office to 31st March, 1962.

3. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$21,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Premier's Office, Office of Economic Adviser and Co-ordinator of Provincial Finances, to 31st March, 1962.

112. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$8,030 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1962.

113. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$125,482 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, General Administration, to 31st March, 1962.

114. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$302,464 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Controlling and Audit Branch, to 31st March, 1962.

115. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$226,577 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Mechanical Tabulation Branch, to 31st March, 1962.

116. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$697,938 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Consumer Taxation Branch, to 31st March, 1962.

117. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$262,178 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Real Property Taxation Branch, to 31st March, 1962.

118. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$75,279 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Income Taxation Branch, to 31st March, 1962.

119. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$158,462 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Assessment Equalization Act, to 31st March, 1962.

120. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$255,628 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Purchasing Commission, to 31st March, 1962.

121. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Langford Warehouse, to 31st March, 1962.

122. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,649,702 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Government Agents, etc., to 31st March, 1962.

123. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$400,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Housing Commissioner, to 31st March, 1962.

124. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$7,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Courts of Revision, to 31st March, 1962.

125. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$17,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Assessment Appeal Board, to 31st March, 1962.

126. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$27,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Printing Public Accounts, Estimates, Bonds, Revenue Receipts, etc., to 31st March, 1962.

127. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$127,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Temporary Assistance, to 31st March, 1962.

128. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$47,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Incidentals and Contingencies, to 31st March, 1962.

129. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$400,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, *Civil Service Superannuation Act*—Interest on Superannuation and Retirement Funds, to 31st March, 1962.

130. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, *Members of Legislative Assembly Superannuation Act*—Interest, to 31st March, 1962.

131. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$85,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, *Municipal Superannuation Act*, to 31st March, 1962.

132. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$800 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, *Dyking Assessment Adjustment Act, 1905*, to 31st March, 1962.

133. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$11,150,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Grants in Aid of Local Government, to 31st March, 1962.

134. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$30,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, *Supreme Court Act*—Interest on Suitors' Fund Deposits, to 31st March, 1962.

135. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$100,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Salary Contingencies (All Departments), to 31st March, 1962.

136. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$75,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Expense Contingencies (All Departments), to 31st March, 1962.

137. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$100,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Motor-vehicles and Accessories (All Departments), to 31st March, 1962.

138. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$14,750,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Home-owners' Subsidy, to 31st March, 1962.

139. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,600,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, *Toll Highways and Bridges Authority Act*—Statutory Subsidy, to 31st March, 1962.

140. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$150,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Power Subsidy, to 31st March, 1962.

141. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$8,556 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, *Byron Ingemar Johnson Act* and *Nancy Sloan Act*, to 31st March, 1962.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

At 5.15 o'clock p.m., the House recessed until 5.30 o'clock p.m.

HALF-PAST FIVE O'CLOCK P.M.

His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor having entered the House and being seated in the chair,—

E. K. DeBeck, Esq., Clerk of the House, read the title to the following Bill:—
(No. 41) *An Act to Amend the Court of Appeal Act.*

His Honour was pleased in Her Majesty's name to give assent to this Bill.

The said assent was announced by *E. K. DeBeck*, Esq., Clerk of the House, in the following words:—

"In Her Majesty's name, His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor doth assent to this Bill."

His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor was pleased to retire from the Chamber.

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* presented the Annual Report of the Motor-vehicle Branch for the year 1959.

Mr. *Bruch* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following question:—

What was total cost of health services, including drugs, for persons receiving welfare allowances for the following fiscal periods: (a) 1952/53, (b) 1953/54, (c) 1954/55, (d) 1955/56, (e) 1956/57, (f) 1957/58, (g) 1958/59, and (h) 1959/60?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

"Total cost of health services for social assistance cases, 1952/53 to 1959/60, follows:—

Year	Excluding Hospital Insurance		Including Hospital Insurance	
	Gross	Net	Gross	Net
" 1952/53.....	\$1,968,317	\$1,648,966	\$5,537,361	\$5,209,262
1953/54.....	2,037,357	1,707,340	5,956,015	5,590,410
1954/55.....	2,314,017	1,947,832	6,242,000	5,845,800
1955/56.....	2,620,108	2,208,253	6,788,000	6,376,300
1956/57.....	2,665,421	2,240,711	6,954,000	6,529,700
1957/58.....	2,856,529	2,422,614	7,437,500	7,003,600
1958/59.....	3,156,910	2,814,559	7,834,900	7,492,600
1959/60.....	3,697,606	3,305,426	8,949,600	8,557,400 "

Mr. *Eddie* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following questions:—

With reference to the sale of certain lands known as Lot A, Plan 19360, situate on the North-west Quarter of Section 18, Township 16, in the Municipality of Matsqui, to School District No. 34, Abbotsford:—

1. What price was paid for the land by the school district?
2. From whom was the land purchased?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* replied as follows:—

"1 and 2. The purchase and sale of land comes within the authority of Boards of School Trustees; this question should be directed to the Board of School Trustees, School District No. 34 (Abbotsford)."

Mr. *Bruch* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

1. Have basic rates of Social Allowances been increased since the fiscal year 1952/53 and, (a) if so, by what amounts, and (b) if so, what was the percentage increase?

2. What were gross expenditures for Social Allowance by fiscal year during period 1952/53 to 1959/60, inclusive?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“ 1. (a) Increase in Social Allowance* rates since 1952/53:—

Year	Rate	Single Person		Family of Four	
		Increase	Per Cent	Rate	Increase Per Cent
1952/53	\$40.00	-----	-----	\$86.50	-----
1953/54	40.00	-----	-----	86.50	-----
1954/55	45.00	\$5.00	12.5	97.50	\$11.00 12.7
1955/56	45.00	-----	-----	97.50	-----
1956/57	50.00	5.00	11.1	108.50	11.00 11.3
1957/58	50.00	-----	-----	108.50	-----
1958/59	55.00	5.00	10.0	122.50	14.00 12.9
1959/60	55.00	-----	-----	122.50	-----
1960/61	66.00	11.00	20.0	147.00	25.00 20.4

“* Rates are shown for a single person and a family of four as representative of the full schedule of rates.

“(b) The increase in rates, 1960/61 over 1952/53, was, for single persons, \$26, or 65 per cent, and for a family of four, \$60.50, or 69.9 per cent.

“ 2. Gross expenditures on Social Allowances, 1952/53 to 1959/60: 1952/53, \$4,746,081; 1953/54, \$5,231,751; 1954/55, \$6,738,254; 1955/56, \$7,080,994; 1956/57, \$7,247,832; 1957/58, \$7,891,944; 1958/59, \$12,971,098; and 1959/60, \$16,170,243.”

Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

1. Were there any supervisors employed in the Social Welfare Branch who lacked university training in the calendar year 1960?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how many?

3. Were there any social workers, exclusive of supervisors, employed in the Social Welfare Branch who lacked university training in the calendar year 1960?

4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, how many?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. One (Acting-Supervisor).

“ 3. Yes.

“ 4. One hundred and thirty-eight.”

Mr. *Carnell* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following question:—

What is the estimated cost of one complete unit consisting of table and seats of the wooden type presently being installed in our Provincial camp-sites and picnic-sites?

The Hon. *E. C. Westwood* replied as follows:—

“ \$50.”

Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With reference to Boards of Review under the Social Assistance Regulations:—

1. How many applications were received for boards (a) in each month of 1960 and (b) for the complete calendar year 1960?
2. How many boards were set up in 1960?
3. How many cases were resolved in the applicants' favour during 1960 (a) after hearing by a Board of Review and (b) after application for a board but without hearing by a board?
4. From what areas of the Province were the total number of applications received?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“ 1. (a) January, none; February, none; March, 4; April, none; May, 3; June, none; July, 3; August, 3; September, 1; October, 3; November, 2; and December, 2; (b) 21.

“ 2. Twenty-one.

“ 3. (a) Nine and (b) separate statistics not kept of cases which are resolved without a hearing by a Board of Review.

“ 4. Campbell River, 1; Grand Forks, 1; Saanich, 1, Surrey, 2; Vancouver, 9; and Victoria, 7.”

Mrs. *Haggen* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following questions:—

With reference to a company known as Custom Contracting Company Limited:—

1. Has this company bid on any phase of construction for school buildings being constructed in Lytton?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what phase of the work did this company bid on, (b) what was the bid, and (c) were they awarded the contract?

3. If the answer to No. 2 (c) is in the affirmative, (a) was the record of this company checked in the Companies Office prior to granting the contract and, if so, (b) was the company in good standing?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* replied as follows:—

“ 1, 2, and 3. The awarding of contracts for school construction is within the authority of Boards of School Trustees. This question should be directed to the Board of School Trustees, District No. 30 (South Cariboo), Ashcroft, B.C.”

Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

1. Did any social workers resign during 1960?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what was the total number of resignations, (b) how many of these resignations were (i) administrative, (ii) supervisory, and (iii) field workers, and (c) of those who resigned, how many were university trained and how many were Masters of Social Work?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) Resigned or retired, 49; (b) (i) 1, (ii) 6, and (iii) 42; and (c) 17 university trained and 1 Master of Social Work.”

Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With respect to grants of social assistance made between April 1st, 1960, and December 31st, 1960:—

1. How many individuals were granted Social Allowance?
2. What was the case load and total number of recipients on a monthly basis?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“ 1. 43,384.

“ 2. Social Allowance cases, 1960: April, 19,446 case loads and 41,443 beneficiaries; May, 19,608 case loads and 42,021 beneficiaries; June, 20,870 case loads and 45,218 beneficiaries; July, 20,807 case loads and 44,584 beneficiaries; August, 20,666 case loads and 44,892 beneficiaries; September, 21,345 case loads and 48,082 beneficiaries; October, 23,631 case loads and 51,295 beneficiaries; November, 26,173 case loads and 58,747 beneficiaries; and December, 26,756 case loads and 61,341 beneficiaries.”

Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following questions:—

With reference to Pine Woods Lodge, Manning Park:—

1. Were any moneys expended on (a) construction and (b) maintenance during the fiscal years 1958, 1959, and 1960?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how much was spent in each fiscal year?

The Hon. *E. C. Westwood* replied as follows:—

“ 1. (a) and (b) Yes.

“ 2. Fiscal year 1958: Construction, \$71,213.34, and maintenance, \$987.63. Fiscal year 1959: Construction, *nil*, and maintenance, \$1,064.84. Fiscal year 1960: Construction, *nil*, and maintenance, \$833.76.”

Mr. *Rhodes* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With reference to social welfare costs in municipalities, including administration costs:—

1. What was the total cost to (a) the municipalities and (b) the Provincial Government in the fiscal years 1958/59 and 1959/60?
2. In the case of each individual municipality, what was the total cost to (a) the municipality and (b) the Provincial Government?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“ 1. (a) and (b) Information not available.

“ 2. (a) and (b) Information not available.”

Mr. *Carnell* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following questions:—

1. Has the parcel of land necessary for establishment of a camp-site and picnic-site at Kiskatinaw River, Mile 20 on the Alaska Highway, been acquired?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what date did this action take place?

The Hon. *E. C. Westwood* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. November 9th, 1959.”

Mr. *Nimsick* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following questions:—

1. Was an open season to hunt deer and elk declared for Creston area between the dates January 7th and February 28th, 1961, due to complaints of deer damage to orchards in the area?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how many complaints were received and (b) from whom were they received?

The Hon. *E. C. Westwood* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) and (b) B.C. Fruit Growers' Association (Creston Local); a number of orchardists whose names are unavailable; Game Management Biologist G. W. Smith and Game Warden B. Rauch; Creston Rod and Gun Club also supported special season; season not opened until fully investigated.”

Mr. *Turner* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following questions:—

1. What are the total periods of time required for observation and practice teaching for teachers in training in the school system?

2. How are these periods divided for 1960/61?

3. What changes, if any, are contemplated for 1961/62?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Time for observation and practice teaching varies as follows: First-year elementary, one-half day per week; second-year elementary, including transfer students and one-year emergency, one day per week and one week in November, two weeks in February, and three weeks in May; for those in secondary training, second year, one-half day per week; fourth year, one-half day per week plus two weeks optional in May; fifth year and those with B.A. or equivalent, one day per week and one week in November, two weeks in February, and three weeks in May.

“ 2. See No. 1.

“ 3. Increased enrolments in 1961/62 may require revision for the second-year elementary group; final decision has not been made.”

Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following questions:—

With respect to the Pine Woods Lodge at Manning Park:—

1. Since January 1st, 1956, how much money per year has been expended on improvements or alterations?

2. What is the present Government rating of the Pine Woods Lodge?

3. What is the profit-and-loss position of the lodge, by year, since 1956?

4. Have there been any accommodation rate increases since 1956?

5. If the answer to No. 4 is in the affirmative, what were the increases, by year, to the present time?

The Hon. *E. C. Westwood* replied as follows:—

“ 1. 1956, \$9,128.15; 1957, \$133,583.24; 1958, \$71,213.34; 1959, *nil*; 1960, *nil*.

“ 2. Thirty-six units 4-star and four units 3-star.

“ 3. 1956, loss \$3,408.39; 1957, loss \$609.38; 1958, profit \$1,266.40; 1959, loss \$1,288.82; 1960, profit \$2,585.44.

“ 4. No.

“ 5. See No. 4.”

Mr. *Shelford* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following question:—

How many Indian children attended public schools in School Districts Nos. 50, 52, 53, 54, 55, and 56 in each of the years 1954, 1955, 1956, 1957, 1958, 1959, and 1960?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* replied as follows:—

“The number of Indian children in attendance at public schools in the school districts listed below is reported as follows:—

“School District No. 50 (Queen Charlotte): 1954, 50; 1955, 46; 1956, 59; 1957, 57; 1958, 112; 1959, 113; and 1960, 105.

“School District No. 52 (Prince Rupert): 1954, not available; 1955, 146; 1956, 97; 1957, 107; 1958, 210; 1959, 189; and 1960, 248.

“School District No. 53 (Terrace): 1954, 78; 1955, 92; 1956, 65; 1957, 105; 1958, 87; 1959, 107; and 1960, 103.

“School District No. 54 (Smithers): 1954, 38; 1955, 52; 1956, 58; 1957, 85; 1958, 101; 1959, 105; and 1960, 97.

“School District No. 55 (Burns Lake): 1954, not available; 1955, 71; 1956, 86; 1957, 97; 1958, 75; 1959, 78; and 1960, 84.

“School District No. 56 (Vanderhoof): 1954, 1955, 1956, 1957, 1958, and 1959, *nil*, and 1960, 4.”

Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With reference to children in care:—

1. How many children are presently wards of the Government?
2. Were any wards placed in foster homes in 1960 and, if so, how many?
3. In 1960, were there any foster homes that were not visited by a social worker for a period of six months or more?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“1. 2,301.

“2. All wards were placed in foster homes in 1959/60 with the exception of those placed in adoption homes, treatment centres, and correctional institutions.

“3. Yes; some children are contacted without home visits and some do not require too frequent visits.”

Mr. *Campbell* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

1. How many adoptions were completed by the Child Welfare Division during the periods April 1st, 1959, to December 31st, 1959, and April 1st, 1960, to December 31st, 1960?

2. How many children were in the care of the Superintendent of Child Welfare and the Children's Aid Societies as at December 31st, 1960?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“1. April 1st, 1959, to December 31st, 1959, 947, and April 1st, 1960, to December 31st, 1960, 1,107.

“2. Superintendent of Child Welfare, 2,949; Children's Aid Society, 1,228; Catholic Children's Aid Society, 695; and Family and Children's Service, 292; total, 5,164.”

Mr. *Nimsick* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following questions:—

With reference to the publication of "Beautiful B.C.":—

1. What is the total cost of production of all issues to date?
2. What is the total amount received by the Government from the sale of all issues to date?
3. (a) How many copies of each issue were printed and (b) how many of each issue have actually been sold to the latest date for which figures are available?

The Hon. *E. C. Westwood* replied as follows:—

- " 1. \$129,715.33.
- " 2. \$61,187.40.
- " 3. (a) Vol. 1, No. 1, 102,035 copies; Vol. 1, No. 2, 105,935 copies; Vol. 2, No. 1, 106,193 copies; Vol. 2, No. 2, 104,950 copies; and Vol. 2, No. 3, 82,000 copies. (b) Vol. 1, No. 1, 102,000 copies; Vol. 1, No. 2, 85,000 copies; Vol. 2, No. 1, 78,000 copies; Vol. 2, No. 2, 56,000 copies; and Vol. 2, No. 3, 79,104 copies. (NOTE.—Figures given in No. 3 (b) cover distribution only and include magazines on consignment and promotional copies. Actual sales figures are not available.)"

Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

1. As at the latest date available, how many people in the Province are receiving social assistance?
2. What was the cost of social assistance to the Province in the period (a) October to December, 1959, inclusive, and (b) October to December, 1960, inclusive?
3. As at present date how many persons on social assistance also receive medical care?
4. What was the cost of this medical care during (a) October to December, 1959, inclusive, and (b) October to December, 1960, inclusive?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

" 1. At December 31st, 1960, there were 102,217 persons for whom financial assistance was being paid in British Columbia. The breakdown is as follows: Social Allowances (including dependents), 61,341; Blind Persons' Allowances, 562; Disabled Persons' Allowances, 1,991; Old-age Assistance, 7,414; Old Age Security Supplementary Social Allowance, 27,244; foster children (in pay homes), 3,665; total, 102,217.

" 2. (a) and (b) Information not available, as records kept on fiscal year basis only.

" 3. As at December 31st, 1960, 86,077.

" 4. (a) and (b) Information not available, as records kept on fiscal year basis only."

Mr. *Carnell* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following question:—

With respect to the park reserve at Moberly Lake in South Peace River area, is any development planned for this project in 1961?

The Hon. *E. C. Westwood* replied as follows:—

" No."

Mr. *Campbell* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following question:—

What was the number of adoptions completed for the fiscal years (a) 1952/53, (b) 1953/54, (c) 1954/55, (d) 1955/56, (e) 1956/57, (f) 1957/58, (g) 1958/59, and (h) 1959/60?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“(a) 927, (b) 1,063, (c) 1,102, (d) 1,167, (e) 1,177, (f) 1,168, (g) 1,310, and (h) 1,358.”

Mr. *Nimsick* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following questions:—

1. How many men were employed in the construction of camp-sites in British Columbia as at (a) December 31st, 1958, (b) December 31st, 1959, and (c) December 31st, 1960?

2. How many of these men were employed on (a) a permanent basis and (b) a temporary basis?

The Hon. *E. C. Westwood* replied as follows:—

“1. (a) 102, (b) 90, and (c) 68.

“2. (a) (i) 8, (ii) 8, and (iii) 8; (b) (i) 94, (ii) 82, and (iii) 60. (NOTE.— Figures shown are for over-all park development; no figures available for camp-site development.)”

Mrs. *Haggen* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With reference to unemployed employables receiving social assistance as at December 31st, 1960, or the latest date for which statistics are available:—

1. Were any recipients also receiving unemployment insurance payments?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, was the total amount received by the recipient generally held to the level of the social assistance rates?

3. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what was the total number of recipients, (b) how many were heads of families, and (c) how many were single persons?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“1. Yes.

“2. Yes.

“3. (a), (b), and (c) Included in the total unemployed employable group. No separate statistics kept.”

Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture the following questions:—

With respect to the Vegetable Marketing Board:—

1. Have there been any employee layoffs since January 1st, 1960?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how many have been discharged and (b) on what dates?

3. Was any official notice of impending dismissal given these employees?

4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, how many days' notice was given to those discharged?

The Hon. *F. X. Richter* replied as follows:—

“1. No information.

“2, 3, and 4. Answered by No. 1.”

Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture the following questions:—

With respect to lime and marl products:—

1. In the calendar year 1960, what total sum of Provincial subsidy was paid in respect of lime and marl products?

2. Does the Department of Agriculture plan to extend the subsidy principle to the use of fertilizers?

The Hon. *F. X. Richter* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Figure for fiscal year 1959/60, ended June 30th, 1960, and not for calendar year, amounted to \$122,473.12.

“ 2. No extension contemplated.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. to-morrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.32 p.m.

Wednesday, March 1st, 1961

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *Lloyd Dalton*.

On the motion of the Hon. *E. C. F. Martin*, Bill (No. 43) intituled *An Act to Amend the Mental Hospitals Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be read a second time at the next sitting after to-day.

By leave of the House, the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* (President of the Council) presented the following reports:—

Columbia and Peace River Projects (Minute No. 2905 of the Lieutenant-Governor in Council) Interim Report No. 1 by the British Columbia Energy Board.

The Milk Board Annual Report for the year ended December 31st, 1960.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

4. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$25,352 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1962.

5. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$104,446 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, General Administration, to 31st March, 1962.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

In answer to a question standing on the Order Paper in the name of Mr. Macfarlane, as follows:—

In respect of which school districts, if any, did the Province, in the school-year 1960, pay less than 50 per cent of the operating costs of education and what percentage did it pay in each?

The Hon. L. R. Peterson stated that, in his opinion, the reply should be in the form of a Return and that he had no objection to laying such Return upon the table of the House and it was so *Ordered* and the Return presented.

Mr. Nimsick asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:—

1. Is there a Supervisor of Co-operatives for British Columbia?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, is it his responsibility to administer the *Co-operative Associations Act*?
3. If the answer to No. 2 is yes, how much money was allotted for this purpose during the years 1956, 1957, 1958, 1959, and 1960 respectively?
4. What personnel are available to assist with the administration of this Act?
5. What means has he to discover whether or not any violations of the provisions of this Act occur?
6. If any violations are brought to his attention, what action is he empowered to take?
7. Does he obtain and collate statistical information regarding co-operatives in British Columbia?

The Hon. R. W. Bonner replied as follows:—

- “ 1. Yes.
- “ 2. Yes.
- “ 3. The amount is included as part of the vote for the Registrar of Companies for each of the years 1956 to 1960, inclusive.
- “ 4. The staff of the Registrar of Companies; consultation and advice available from the technical staff of the various Government departments.
- “ 5. From inspection of annual reports required to be filed and from the written complaints of members of the co-operatives and from the public.
- “ 6. (a) An inquiry may be held under the Statute and the co-operative dissolved; (b) he may recommend to the Lieutenant-Governor in Council dissolution of the co-operative for cause; (c) as Registrar of Companies, he may remove the co-operative from the register and dissolve same for failure to make returns.
- “ 7. No; but the memorandum, rules, information *re* directors, mortgages, capital, and annual reports, including balance-sheets, are filed with the Registrar of Companies and are open to public search.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. to-morrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.55 p.m.

Thursday, March 2nd, 1961

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *Cameron T. Bailey*.

The Hon. *W. D. Black* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Civil Service Superannuation Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,
February 28th, 1961.*

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 48) intituled *An Act to Amend the Civil Service Superannuation Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after to-day.

On the motion of the Hon. *E. C. F. Martin*, Bill (No. 47) intituled *An Act to Amend the Health Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be read a second time at the next sitting after to-day.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

6. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$59,307 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Markets and Statistics Branch, to 31st March, 1962.

7. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$171,795 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Horticultural Branch, to 31st March, 1962.

8. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$26,128 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Plant Pathology Branch, to 31st March, 1962.

9. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$20,340 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Entomology Branch, to 31st March, 1962.

10. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$19,812 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Apiary Branch, to 31st March, 1962.

11. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$176,692 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Live Stock Branch, to 31st March, 1962.

12. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$242,145 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Veterinary Division, to 31st March, 1962.

13. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$62,326 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Dairy Branch, to 31st March, 1962.

14. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$61,992 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Poultry Branch, to 31st March, 1962.

15. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$58,336 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Field Crops and Seed Improvement Branch, to 31st March, 1962.

16. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$11,660 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Farmers' Institutes, to 31st March, 1962.

17. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$13,372 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Women's Institutes, to 31st March, 1962.

18. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$76,453 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Soil Survey Branch, to 31st March, 1962.

19. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$254,579 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Development and Extension Branch, to 31st March, 1962.

20. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$53,822 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Engineering Branch, to 31st March, 1962.

21. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$32,583 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, 4-H Clubs, to 31st March, 1962.

22. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$12,328 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Land Settlement Board, to 31st March, 1962.

23. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$15,560 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Dyking Commission, to 31st March, 1962.

24. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$80,301 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Southern Okanagan Lands Project, to 31st March, 1962.

25. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$60,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Okanagan Flood-control, to 31st March, 1962.

26. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$72,900 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Milk Board, to 31st March, 1962.

27. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$83,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Temporary Assistance, to 31st March, 1962.

28. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$25,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Motor-vehicles and Accessories, to 31st March, 1962.

29. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$70,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Grants and Subsidies, to 31st March, 1962.

30. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$15,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Rebates on Stumping-powder, to 31st March, 1962.

31. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$50,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Subsidies on Agricultural Lime, to 31st March, 1962.

32. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Federal-Provincial Farm Labour Service, to 31st March, 1962.

33. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$30 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Farms, to 31st March, 1962.

34. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,132,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Farmers' Land-clearing Assistance, to 31st March, 1962.

35. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$19,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Farmers' Domestic Water Assistance, to 31st March, 1962.

36. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Investigation of Doukhobor Lands, to 31st March, 1962.

37. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$750 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, *Pound District Act*, to 31st March, 1962.

38. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$32,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, *Grasshopper-control Act*, to 31st March, 1962.

39. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,200 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, *Natural Products Marketing (B.C.) Act*, to 31st March, 1962.

40. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$53,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Pest-control, to 31st March, 1962.

41. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$78,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Fraser River Bank Protection, to 31st March, 1962.

42. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$16,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Aid for Construction of Storage Facilities, Farm Commodities, to 31st March, 1962.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Mr. *Hobbs* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following question:—
What is the cost to date of the highway connecting Deas Island Tunnel with Oak Street Bridge?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—
“Refer to Table 13 of the Budget Speech, 1961.”

Mr. *Huhn* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

1. How many miles of Provincial highways are there in the North Peace River constituency?
2. How much money has been spent on these highways in the past four years?
3. Are there any plans for paving in the coming year and, if the answer is yes, how many miles?
4. How much money is spent on maintenance of road equipment in North Peace riding?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

- “1. 1,316 miles.
- “2. 1956/57, \$1,436,467.81; 1957/58, \$848,401.25; 1958/59, \$415,458.79; and 1959/60, \$336,988.85 (including bridge and ferry expenditures).
- “3. No.
- “4. Parts, labour, and garage-operating costs totalled: 1959/60, \$97,857.49, and 1960/61, \$78,858.78 (April 1st to January 31st).”

Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture the following question:—

With respect to agricultural production during the calendar year 1960, what tonnage and value were sold within the Province of the following items of agricultural production: (a) Mixed fertilizers, (b) single fertilizers, and (c) lime and marl products?

The Hon. *F. X. Richter* replied as follows:—

“This information is for the fiscal year 1959/60 and not for the calendar year 1960: (a) Mixed fertilizers, 17,344 tons (value not available); (b) single fertilizers, 12,320 tons (value not available); and (c) lime and marl products, 41,299 tons (value not available).”

Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture the following question:—

With respect to imported foodstuffs during the calendar year 1960, what was the value of the following foodstuffs brought into British Columbia for consumption: (a) Butter, (b) eggs and poultry products, (c) meat products, (d) fresh fruits and vegetables, (e) flour and edible cereals, and (f) grain and milling products for animal production?

The Hon. *F. X. Richter* replied as follows:—

“This information is for the fiscal year 1959/60 and not for the calendar year 1960: (a) Butter, \$9,806,550; (b) eggs and poultry products, \$1,414,000; (c) meat products (fresh), \$9,577,310; (d) fruits and vegetables (fresh), \$20,040,000; (e) flour and edible cereals, not available; and (f) grain and milling products, \$6,000,000.”

Mr. *Hobbs* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following question:—

What was the total number of persons employed by the Department of Highways on the following dates: (a) July 31st, 1960, (b) August 31st, 1960, (c) September 30th, 1960, (d) October 31st, 1960, (e) November 30th, 1960, and (f) December 31st, 1960?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“July 31st, 1960, 4,766; August 31st, 1960, 4,743; September 30th, 1960, 4,383; October 31st, 1960, 4,128; November 30th, 1960, 3,913; and December 31st, 1960, 3,621.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. to-morrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

Friday, March 3rd, 1961

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. Father *Bernard Hanley*.

The Hon. *W. D. Black* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act Respecting Teachers' Pensions*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 3rd, 1961.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 65) intituled *An Act Respecting Teachers' Pensions*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after to-day.

The Hon. *W. D. Black* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Special Assistance in the Cost of Education Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 16th, 1961.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 28) intituled *An Act to Amend the Special Assistance in the Cost of Education Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after to-day.

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act Respecting Credit Unions*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 3rd, 1961.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 64) intituled *An Act Respecting Credit Unions*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after to-day.

The following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be read a second time at the next sitting after to-day:—

On the motion of the Hon. R. G. Williston—Bill (No. 61) intituled *An Act to Amend the Water Act*.

On the motion of the Hon. R. G. Williston—Bill (No. 62) intituled *An Act to Authorize the Granting of Certain Lands to the University of British Columbia*.

On the motion of the Hon. W. K. Kiernan—Bill (No. 63) intituled *An Act to Amend the Mineral Act*.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. F. X. Richter, seconded by the Hon. W. K. Kiernan, it was *Ordered* that the Rules be suspended to permit the moving, without notice, of the following motion:—

That this House authorize the Select Standing Committee on Agriculture to examine forthwith whether or not the Government of British Columbia should, under the authority of section 65 of the *Milk Industry Act*, chapter 243, R.S.B.C. 1960, regulate to require that all persons distributing, selling, offering for sale, or placing on consignment for sale, either by wholesale or by retail, milk in fluid form, buttermilk, or reconstituted milk as defined in section 2 of the Act, shall do so only in a package of a capacity of (a) one-half pint, or (b) one pint, or (c) one quart, or (d) a multiple of a quart, all in Canada standard measure.

The Hon. F. X. Richter moved, seconded by the Hon. W. K. Kiernan,—

That this House authorize the Select Standing Committee on Agriculture to examine forthwith whether or not the Government of British Columbia should, under the authority of section 65 of the *Milk Industry Act*, chapter 243, R.S.B.C. 1960, regulate to require that all persons distributing, selling, offering for sale, or placing on consignment for sale, either by wholesale or by retail, milk in fluid form, buttermilk, or reconstituted milk as defined in section 2 of the Act, shall do so only in a package of a capacity of (a) one-half pint, or (b) one pint, or (c) one quart, or (d) a multiple of a quart, all in Canada standard measure.

Motion agreed to.

By leave of the House, the Hon. R. W. Bonner presented the British Columbia Credit Union League Survey Committee Report, November, 1960.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

43. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$26,980 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Attorney-General's Office, to 31st March, 1962.

The Committee reported the Resolution.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Mr. *Bruch* presented the First Report of the Select Standing Committee on Municipal Matters, as follows:—

REPORT NO. 1

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,

March 3rd, 1961.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Municipal Matters begs leave to report as follows:—

(1) That, after due consideration, the Committee recommends Bill (No. 34) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipal Act* as presented.

(2) That the Committee recognizes that certain recommendations of the report of the Planning and Zoning Committee of the Union of British Columbia Municipalities have been incorporated in Bill No. 34 and recommends that the Department of Municipal Affairs give further study to the recommendations of the report.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

HERBERT J. BRUCH, *Chairman*.

The report was read and received.

Ordered, That Bill (No. 34) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipal Act* be set down for second reading at the next sitting of the House after to-day.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Campbell*, seconded by the Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi*, it was *Ordered* that the Rules be suspended to permit the moving, without notice, of the following motion:—

That this House deplors the announced suspension of a direct ferry freight and passenger service between the North Island and the South Island and urges the Provincial Government to wire the Federal Government requesting that it recognize its responsibility to maintain this link and demand immediate action.

Mr. *Campbell* moved, seconded by the Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi*,—

That this House deplors the announced suspension of a direct ferry freight and passenger service between the North Island and the South Island and urges the Provincial Government to wire the Federal Government requesting that it recognize its responsibility to maintain this link and demand immediate action.

Motion agreed to.

Mr. *Macfarlane* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

1. In respect to the Tsawwassen–Swartz Bay Ferry System, what was the cost of the construction of (a) ferries, (b) docks, and (c) access roads?

2. In respect to (c), how many miles of road at Tsawwassen and how many at Swartz Bay are included in the said cost?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“1. (a) \$6,462,112.09, (b) \$6,032,194.48, including causeways, breakwaters, buildings, etc., and (c) \$1,277,088.04.

“2. Tsawwassen, 5.25 miles, and Swartz Bay, 2.07 miles (exclusive of Tsawwassen Causeway and terminal areas).”

Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Council the following questions:—

With reference to Order in Council No. 2905 in 1960 referring Peace River and Columbia River development for the advice of the British Columbia Energy Board:—

1. Has the British Columbia Energy Board engaged any engineering firms to act as consultants on this study?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) which engineering firms were engaged and (b) what will be the cost to the Energy Board for their services?
3. If the answer to No. 2 indicates that no final cost figure is available, what is the estimated cost for the relevant services?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) Sir Alexander Gibb & Partners and Merz & McLellan; (b) unknown.

“ 3. In negotiation stage.”

Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

With respect to the Pacific Great Eastern Railway:—

1. What are the terms of payment on invoices issued by this railway?
2. Under the terms indicated in No. 1, how many accounts were in arrears as of December 31st, 1960?
3. What was the total sum of these accounts in arrears?
4. Of the accounts in arrears, how many were in arrears (a) thirty days, (b) sixty days, (c) ninety days, and (d) over ninety days?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. For local freight customers statements are rendered four times per month and payment is due seven days after receipt of statement.

“ 2. Seven hundred and nineteen.

“ 3. \$154,492.

“ 4. (a) Thirty days, 447; (b) thirty-one to sixty days, 177; (c) sixty-one to ninety days, 22; and (d) over ninety days, 73; total, 719.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. on Monday next.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

Monday, March 6th, 1961

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *John Boyne*.

On the motion of the Hon. *E. C. F. Martin*, Bill (No. 49) intituled *The Cornea Transplant Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be set down for second reading at the next sitting after to-day. (Correction on page 101.)

Mr. *Strachan* asked leave to move an adjournment of the House for the purpose of discussing a matter of urgent public importance.

Mr. Speaker gave his ruling on the interpretation of the rule invoked by Mr. *Strachan*, as follows:—

Honourable Members,—The motion “ That this House do now adjourn to discuss a matter of urgent public importance, namely, the unemployment situation

in the Province of British Columbia ” is not one on which I am asked to rule upon the urgency or the importance of the unemployment situation but upon the urgency of debate. At this time, no one can deny that unemployment is a serious matter in this Province and across Canada.

This motion is out of order on several grounds, but I would particularly refer you to Standing Order No. 35, section 6, clause (c): “ The motion must not revive discussion on a matter which has been discussed in the same Session.” The motion proposed clearly revives a matter fully canvassed in both Throne and Budget debates.

Referring to the last paragraph of the statement submitted by the Hon. the Leader of the Opposition, I might also point out that the interim report of the British Columbia Energy Board was tabled in this House several days ago and, therefore, the matter could have been brought to the attention of this House at an earlier date than to-day (*see* May, 16th Ed., p. 370, paragraph (2) (b)).

Therefore, I have no alternative but to rule this motion out of order.

L. H. SHANTZ, *Speaker*.

Mr. *Strachan* appealed from the ruling of the Chair.

The House divided.

Question proposed, “ Shall the ruling of the Chair be sustained? ”

Resolved in the affirmative on the following division:—

YEAS—27

Messieurs

<i>Robinson</i>	<i>Corbett</i>	<i>Huhn</i>	<i>Westwood</i>
<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Brown, Mrs.</i>	<i>Brothers</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Newton</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Speare</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Matthew</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Martin</i>
<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Carnell</i>	<i>Gaglardi</i>
<i>Shelford</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Murray</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Price</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	

NAYS—17

Messieurs

<i>McKay</i>	<i>Cox</i>	<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Squire</i>
<i>Hobbs</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Harding</i>
<i>Mather, Mrs.</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Haggen, Mrs.</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>Rhodes</i>	<i>Macfarlane</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Turner</i>
<i>Calder</i>			

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

44. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$151,256 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, General Administration, to 31st March, 1962.

45. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$7,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Incidentals and Contingencies, to 31st March, 1962.

46. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$338,802 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Supreme and County Courts, to 31st March, 1962.

47. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$408,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Administration of Justice, to 31st March, 1962.

48. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$75,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Constitutional Litigation and General Law Costs, to 31st March, 1962.

49. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$60,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Coroners' Inquests and Inquiries, to 31st March, 1962.

50. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$142,069 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Sheriffs' Offices, to 31st March, 1962.

51. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$172,062 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Official Court Reporters, to 31st March, 1962.

52. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Promotion of Uniformity of Legislation, to 31st March, 1962.

53. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Magistrates' Conference, to 31st March, 1962.

54. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$12,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Master in Chambers, to 31st March, 1962.

55. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$9,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, *Court of Appeal Act*, to 31st March, 1962.

56. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$14,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, *Supreme Court Act*, to 31st March, 1962.

57. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$15,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, *County Courts Act*, to 31st March, 1962.

58. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$75,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, *Magistrates Act*, to 31st March, 1962.

59. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$759,774 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Land Registry Offices, to 31st March, 1962.

60. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$95,862 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Companies Office, to 31st March, 1962.

61. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,139,832 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Motor-vehicle Branch, to 31st March, 1962.

62. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$67,170 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Official Administrator, to 31st March, 1962.

63. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$46,718 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Official Committee, to 31st March, 1962.

64. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$8,136 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Official Guardian, to 31st March, 1962.

65. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$63,058 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Insurance and Real Estate Office, to 31st March, 1962.

66. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$33,544 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Securities Office, to 31st March, 1962.

67. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$28,066 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, *Credit Unions Act*, to 31st March, 1962.

68. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$128,162 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, *Fire Marshal Act*, to 31st March, 1962.

69. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$20,103 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Censor of Moving Pictures, to 31st March, 1962.

70. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$31,514 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Racing Commission, to 31st March, 1962.

71. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,028,600 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Policing by Royal Canadian Mounted Police, to 31st March, 1962.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Mr. *Matthew* presented the Eleventh Report of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:—

REPORT NO. 11

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
February 24th, 1961.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

Whereas under Order 97 of the Standing Orders your Committee was required to report to the House on or before February 23rd, 1961, on the Private Bills referred to it:

And whereas your Committee found that it was impossible to report within the time limited as to the following Private Bills:—

Bill (No. 50) intituled *An Act Relating to the Corporation of the City of Victoria*.

Bill (No. 51) intituled *An Act to Incorporate the Hospital Administrators Association of British Columbia*.

Bill (No. 52) intituled *An Act to Amend the Vancouver Charter*.

Bill (No. 53) intituled *An Act to Incorporate Williams Lake Stampede Association*.

Your Committee recommends that Order 97 of the Standing Orders be suspended and that the time for reporting to the House on the said Private Bills be extended to March 15th, 1961.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman*.

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

The Hon. *E. C. Westwood* presented the Annual Report of the Department of Commercial Transport.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. to-morrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.58 p.m.

Tuesday, March 7th, 1961

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Brigadier *C. Milley*.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *E. C. F. Martin*, seconded by the Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi*, it was *Ordered* that the Journals be corrected by inserting therein immediately after "Prayers" on March 6th, 1961, the following:—

"On the motion of the Hon. *E. C. F. Martin*, Bill (No. 49) intituled the *Cornea Transplant Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be set down for second reading at the next sitting after to-day."

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

The following Bills were read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after to-day:—

Bill (No. 2) intituled *An Act to Amend the Public Libraries Act*.

Bill (No. 3) intituled *An Act to Amend the Provincial Museum Act*.

Bill (No. 4) intituled *An Act to Amend the Pipe-lines Act*.

Bill (No. 6) intituled *An Act to Amend the Savings and Loan Associations Act*.

Bill (No. 7) intituled *An Act to Amend the Jury Act*.

Bill (No. 8) intituled *An Act to Amend the Horse-racing Regulation Act*.

Bill (No. 9) intituled *An Act to Amend the Families' Compensation Act*.

Bill (No. 10) intituled *An Act to Amend the Evidence Act*.

Bill (No. 12) intituled *An Act to Amend the Psychiatric Nurses Act*.

Bill (No. 13) intituled *British Columbia Government Travel Bureau Act*.

Bill (No. 14) intituled *An Act Respecting Public Accommodation Practices (new. con.)*.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 15) intituled *An Act to Authorize the Payment of Advances to the Pacific Great Eastern Railway Company Pending Receipt of Federal Grant* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. Strachan, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Bill (No. 17) intituled *An Act to Repeal the Centennial Celebration Act* was read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after to-day.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 20) intituled *An Act to Amend the Gasoline Tax Act, 1948*, a debate arose.

The House divided.

The motion for the second reading of the Bill was agreed to on the following division:—

YEAS—29

Messieurs

<i>Robinson</i>	<i>Brown, Mrs.</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Huhn</i>	<i>Westwood</i>
<i>Newton</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Brothers</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Matthew</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Speare</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Martin</i>
<i>Bate</i>	<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Carnell</i>	<i>Gaglardi</i>
<i>Price</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Murray</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Corbett</i>			

NAYS—21

Messieurs

<i>McKay</i>	<i>Cox</i>	<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Eddie</i>
<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Harding</i>
<i>Hobbs</i>	<i>Gargrave</i>	<i>Haggen, Mrs.</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>Mather, Mrs.</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Turner</i>
<i>Rhodes</i>	<i>Macfarlane</i>	<i>Squire</i>	<i>Shelford</i>
<i>Calder</i>			

Bill (No. 21) intituled *An Act to Amend the Gasoline Tax Act, 1958*, was read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after to-day.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 19) intituled *An Act to Amend the Motive-fuel Use Tax Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. Nimsick, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. to-day.

And then the House adjourned at 5.54 p.m.