

Tuesday, February 18, 1964

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *E. C. F. Martin*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 14) intituled *An Act to Establish the British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority*.

The debate continued.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:—

YEAS—39

Messieurs

<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Squire</i>	<i>Shelford</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Calder</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Price</i>	<i>LeCours</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Turner</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Eddie</i>	<i>Brothers</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Little</i>
<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Speare</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>
<i>Gargrave</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Huhn</i>
<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Robinson</i>	<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Martin</i>
<i>Haggen, Mrs.</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>MacSorley</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Bruch</i>	<i>McLeod</i>	

NAYS—5

Messieurs

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>McKay</i>	<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Macfarlane</i>
<i>Gibson</i>			

PAIR:

Messieurs

<i>Gaglardi</i>	<i>Harding</i>
-----------------	----------------

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* (Minister of Finance) presented the Annual Return for the calendar year 1963 submitted in accordance with section 53 of the *Administration Act*, R.S.B.C. 1960.

6 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following question:—

In each school district in the Province which has rural components, what were the total sums collectable under the *Public Schools Act* in each rural component for the school budget year of 1963?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“Unorganized rural component of school districts and 1963 school requisitions: No. 1, Fernie, \$290,935; No. 2, Cranbrook, \$151,008; No. 3, Kimberley, \$262,462; No. 4, Windermere, \$147,295; No. 5, Creston, \$220,951; No. 6, Kootenay Lake, \$51,245; No. 7, Nelson, \$312,524; No. 8, Slocan, \$123,398; No. 9, Castlegar, \$511,960; No. 10, Arrow Lakes, \$179,108; No. 11, Trail, \$321,990; No. 12, Grand Forks, \$97,625; No. 13, Kettle Valley, \$80,065; No. 14, Southern Okanagan, \$164,504; No. 15, Penticton, \$79,977; No. 16, Keremeos, \$48,568; No. 17, Princeton, \$51,130; No. 18, Golden, \$72,111; No. 19, Revelstoke, \$61,841; No. 20, Salmon Arm, \$167,756; No. 21, Armstrong-Spallumcheen, \$1; No. 22, Vernon, \$155,974; No. 23, Kelowna, \$461,561; No. 24, Kamloops, \$776,180; No. 25, Barriere, \$77,405; No. 26, Birch Island, \$170,749; No. 27, Williams Lake, \$380,143; No. 28, Quesnel, \$355,773; No. 29, Lillooet, \$488,420; No. 30, South Cariboo, \$209,118; No. 31, Merritt, \$212,918; No. 32, Fraser Canyon, \$170,107; No. 33, Chilliwack, \$107,841; No. 34, Abbotsford, \$6,327; No. 36, Surrey, \$4,097; No. 38, Richmond, \$256; No. 40, New Westminster, \$41,957; No. 42, Maple Ridge, \$15,370; No. 43, Coquitlam, \$493,334; No. 44, North Vancouver, \$2,530; No. 45, West Vancouver, \$23,750; No. 46, Sechelt, \$619,715; No. 47, Powell River, \$245,422; No. 48, Howe Sound, \$567,775; No. 49, Ocean Falls, \$476,141; No. 50, Queen Charlotte, \$85,874; No. 51, Portland Canal, \$12,354; No. 52, Prince Rupert, \$421,912; No. 53, Terrace, \$102,268; No. 54, Smithers, \$49,423; No. 55, Burns Lake, \$76,136; No. 56, Vanderhoof, \$90,074; No. 57, Prince George, \$607,028; No. 58, McBride, \$112,072; No. 59, Peace River South, \$323,822; No. 60, Peace River North, \$692,429; No. 61, Greater Victoria, \$98,279; No. 62, Sooke, \$525,607; No. 63, Saanich, \$162,550; No. 64, Gulf Islands, \$213,512; No. 65, Cowichan, \$331,463; No. 66, Lake Cowichan, \$468,919; No. 67, Ladysmith, \$101,741; No. 68, Nanaimo, \$1,138,083; No. 69, Qualicum, \$204,518; No. 70, Alberni, \$335,747; No. 71, Courtenay, \$399,546; No. 72, Campbell River, \$925,138; No. 73, Alert Bay, \$180,686; No. 74, Quatsino, \$308,508; No. 75, Mission, \$93,577; No. 76, Agassiz, \$67,241; No. 77, Summerland, \$7,633; No. 78, Enderby, \$38,037; No. 79, Ucluelet-Tofino, \$74,656; No. 81, Fort Nelson, \$58,727; No. 82, Chilcotin, \$14,403; No. 83, Portage Mountain, \$23,324.

“Unattached, 1963 school requisitions: Amai, \$159; Atlin, \$3,312; Bamfield, \$5,524; Belmont Park, \$43; Comox Airport, \$77; Esperanza, \$562; Fair Harbour, \$2,752; Good Hope Lake, \$22; Kyuquot, \$2,124; Lower Post, \$575; McDame Creek, \$38,569; Muchalat, \$6,971; Sarita River, \$5,487; Tahsis River, \$47,150; Telegraph Creek, \$840; University Hill, \$200,862; Zeballos, \$14,223.”

7 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

With reference to the Tax Sale Suspense Fund:—

1. What was the total amount paid into this Fund in 1962/63?
2. Has any of this money been transferred to general revenue and, if so, how much?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“1. \$52,162.

“2. No.”

8 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

With respect to bond issues for school construction:—

1. Were any bond issues authorized by the Government in 1963 and, if so, in what total amount?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what was the total amount of those bonds floated?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes, \$15,592,000.

“ 2. \$15,592,000.”

9 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

1. Did the Provincial Government purchase any debentures issued by school districts in the Province in 1963?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, in what amounts and in which districts?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1 and 2. See last paragraph page 22, 1964 Budget Speech. In addition Provincial trust accounts purchased \$592,000 of school district bonds.”

13 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Council the following questions:—

With reference to the annual financial report of the Pacific Great Eastern Railway Company for 1962 published in accordance with the *Public Bodies Information Act*:—

1. How many copies were printed?

2. What was the name of the printer?

3. What was the cost per copy?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. 200.

“ 2. The company's own print shop.

“ 3. 28.25 cents.”

15 Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

With respect to municipal taxes paid on land in British Columbia:—

1. What was the total of land taxes for general purposes collected in British Columbia in calendar year 1963?

2. What was the total of land taxes collected for school purposes in the same year?

3. How much of the total of Nos. 1 and 2 was levied on property assessed as residential property?

4. How much of the total of Nos. 1 and 2 was levied on property assessed as commercial and industrial property?

5. How much of Nos. 1 and 2 was levied on property assessed as agricultural?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ Municipal statistics not available from Department of Finance. When compiled, they are issued by Department of Municipal Affairs in booklet ‘Municipal Statistics.’ ”

17 Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—
With respect to the Workmen's Compensation Board reserve:—

1. What was the total amount of the fund as of December 31, 1963?
2. What portion of the fund was invested in bonds issued by or guaranteed by the Province of British Columbia?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

- "1. \$139,204,409 (par value of securities).
- "2. \$84,001,409 (par value of securities)."

18 Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—
With respect to the Members of the Legislature Superannuation Account:—

1. What was the total amount of the fund at December 31, 1963?
2. What portion of the fund was invested in bonds issued by or guaranteed by the Province of British Columbia?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

- "1. \$593,300 (par value of securities).
- "2. \$393,300 (par value of securities)."

19 Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—
With respect to the Province of British Columbia Superannuation Fund:—

1. As of December 31, 1963, what was the total amount of the Fund?
2. What portion of the Fund was invested in bonds issued by or guaranteed by the Province of British Columbia?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

- "1. \$79,384,993.22 (par value of securities).
- "2. \$79,069,993.22 (par value of securities)."

20 Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—
With respect to the Province of British Columbia Retirement Fund:—

1. What was the total amount of the Fund at December 31, 1963?
2. What portion of the Fund was invested in bonds issued by or guaranteed by the Province of British Columbia?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

- "1. \$14,770,700 (par value of securities).
- "2. \$14,756,700 (par value of securities)."

21 Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—
With respect to the Province of British Columbia sinking fund:—

1. What was the total amount of the fund at December 31, 1963?
2. What portion of the fund was invested in bonds issued by or guaranteed by the Province of British Columbia?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

- "1 and 2. See Table C, page 49, 1964 Budget Speech."

60 Mr. *Dowding* asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Council the following questions:—

With reference to the Pacific Great Eastern Railway Company's "Traffic Accounts Receivable" as recorded in the Journals of the House, 1960, page 163:—

1. Has the amount of \$30,605, outstanding against Laird Distributors Limited, known now as Pacific Western Distributors, been paid?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is in the negative, (a) what is the total amount paid to date on this specific account and (b) has any portion of the account been written off by the railway company?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

"1. No.

"2. (a) \$5,000 and (b) no."

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 10.59 p.m.

Wednesday, February 19, 1964

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Brigadier *V. Underhill*.

The Hon. *W. D. Black* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act Respecting Contributions for the Blind*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 18, 1964.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 22) intituled *An Act Respecting Contributions for the Blind*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Price*, on behalf of the Hon. *L. R. Peterson*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

Thursday, February 20, 1964

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Canon *H. J. Jones*.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. D. Black*, Bill (No. 23) intituled *An Act to Amend the Public Documents Disposal Act* was introduced, read a first time and, by leave of the House, *Ordered* referred to the Select Standing Committee on Public Accounts and Printing.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *R. G. Williston*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 15) intituled *An Act to Amend the Revenue Act*.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. *McKay* the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

1 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Council the following questions:—

1. Was a contract signed in 1963 or 1964 between the British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority and Westcoast Transmission Company Limited for the purchase of natural gas?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what were the amounts of gas involved, and (b) what was the price that the British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority agreed to pay for the gas?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. See Agreement presented in Legislature, February 18, 1964.”

2 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Council the following questions:—

With reference to the British Columbia Toll Authority Ferry System:—

1. Were free travel facility passes provided on the ferries to any persons other than members of the Legislature during the years 1960, 1961, 1962, 1963, and 1964?

2. If the answer to any part of No. 1 is yes, what were the names of the persons provided with passes in each relevant year?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. Car and driver passes—

(a) Hon. Frank M. Ross and Mrs. Frank M. Ross and Lieutenant-Governor George Pearkes and Mrs. George Pearkes:

(b) Members of the Legislature:

(c) Members of the Toll Highways and Bridges Authority (not Cabinet members), Mr. E. M. Gunderson, Mr. L. Wicks, and Mr. G. S. Bryson, secretary:

(d) Members of the Advisory Council to the Ferry Authority, Captain H. J. C. Terry, and Captain A. M. Peabody:

(e) Mr. C. J. Ferber, Comptroller-General:

(f) Managerial and supervisory personnel, British Columbia Ferry Authority, Mr. M. F. Aldous, general manager; Mr. R. B. Worley, assistant general manager; Mr. W. B. Weston, operations manager; Captain P. J. Reakes, marine superintendent; Mr. G. Baldwin, superintendent engineer; Mr. J. C. Marston, assistant marine superintendent; Mr. A. C. Ritchie, assistant superintendent engineer; Mr. W. R. Tinsley, assistant marine superintendent; Mr. E. Geldart, assistant superintendent engineer; Mr. M. M. Duke, administrative officer; Mr. R. J. Innes, sales and promotion manager; Mr. W. Lowe, catering supervisor; Mr. H. Yeadon, supervising steward; Mr. D. Stephens, master painter; Mr. D. K. Granlin, radar technician; Mr. G. J. Scougal, radar technician; Mr. R. Fraser, sales representative; Mr. E. Norman, sales representative; Mr. E. C. Pollock, sales representative:

(g) Mr. I. Goundry, ferry terminals maintenance, Department of Highways, and Flett Studios (Gulf Islands only):

“ Truck and driver—

(h) British Columbia Ferry Authority trucks and Swains Cleaners Limited, laundry suppliers for the British Columbia Ferry Authority:

“ Passenger only—

(i) All permanent employees of the British Columbia Ferry Authority.”

5 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Council the following questions:—

1. Has the British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority purchased the Northern B.C. Power Company?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what was the purchase price?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. No.

“ 2. Not applicable.”

12 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Council the following questions:—

With reference to the financial statement of the Toll Highways and Bridges Authority for 1962 printed by the Queen's Printer:—

1. How many copies were printed?

2. What was the cost per copy?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. 500.

“ 2. 69 cents.”

14 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Council the following questions:—

1. Were any printed copies of the Budget Speech for 1964 distributed to other than elected members?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how many were so distributed and (b) what was the total cost to the Government of the copies so distributed?

3. Did any source, other than the Government, pay for the printing and (or) distribution of the copies referred to in No. 2?

4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, (a) who were the organizations and (or) persons concerned and (b) what was the total cost to them of printing and (or) distribution?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) and (b) Budget Speech still in process of being printed, answer not known at this date.

“ 3. No.

“ 4. Not applicable.”

22 Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—
With respect to the insurance carried by the Pacific Great Eastern Railway Company in the year ended March 31, 1963:—

1. Through what agent or agents was this insurance purchased?

2. What was the total paid out for premiums for this insurance?

3. How much was paid to each agent?

4. What were the corresponding figures for the period April 1, 1963, to December 31, 1963?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ The year-end of the railway company is December 31, 1963, and the following figures relate to the period January 1, 1963, to December 31, 1963:—

“ 1. Dale & Company Limited.

“ 2. \$119,619.84.

“ 3. \$119,619.84 to Dale & Company Limited.

“ 4. Answered in No. 2.”

23 Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—
With respect to the insurance carried by the British Columbia Toll Highways and Bridges Authority in the fiscal period ended March 31, 1963:—

1. Through what agent or agents was this insurance purchased?
2. What was the total paid for premiums for this insurance purchased?
3. How much was paid to each agent?
4. What were the corresponding figures for the period April 1, 1963, to December 31, 1963?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. Armstrong & Taylor Limited and Marsh & McLennan Limited.
- “ 2. \$54,456.42.
- “ 3. Armstrong & Taylor Limited, \$42,419.70, and Marsh & McLennan Limited, \$12,036.72.
- “ 4. Armstrong & Taylor Limited, \$49,474.88.”

41 Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following question:—

With respect to amounts paid by the Federal Government under the *Unemployment Assistance Act*, what was the amount paid to the Province of British Columbia under terms of this Act in the year 1962/63?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ See Public Accounts, 1962/63, page 102, Vote 319.”

97 Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

With respect to the Energy Board in 1963:—

1. How many meetings were held of the Energy Board?
2. Where did these meetings take place?
3. What was their duration?
4. What was the total cost incurred by the Energy Board and its members?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ See Report of the British Columbia Energy Board presented in the Legislature, February 11, 1964.”

105 Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

With respect to the British Columbia Government News:—

1. What was the circulation, by issue, in 1963?
2. What was the cost, by issue, in 1963?
3. Were any copies circulated to schools?
4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, (a) which schools received copies (i) by issue, (ii) by month and (b) what was the cost?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. February, 64,000; June, 61,000; August, 63,000; September, 61,000; October, 61,000; November, 61,000; and December, 61,000.

“ 2. February, \$2,746.50; June, \$1,490.79; August, \$2,734.83; September, \$2,648; October, \$2,648; November, \$1,439.40; and December, \$1,439.40.

“ 3. Yes.

“ 4. (a) All schools, including private schools and Indian schools, (i) all schools, (ii) all months of issue and (b) \$130, approximately.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

Friday, February 21, 1964

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Dr. K. M. King.

The Hon. F. X. Richter presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Stock Brands Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 20, 1964.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 29) intituled *An Act to Amend the Stock Brands Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of the Hon. W. D. Black, the following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today:—

Bill (No. 32) intituled *An Act to Amend the Adoption Act.*

Bill (No. 33) intituled *An Act to Amend the District of Surrey Hospital Grant Enabling Act.*

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Squire*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 15) intituled *An Act to Amend the Revenue Act*.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Mr. *Smith* presented the First Report of the Select Standing Committee on Public Accounts and Printing, as follows:—

REPORT No. 1

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
February 21, 1964.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Public Accounts and Printing begs leave to report as follows:—

Your Committee has considered Bill (No. 23) intituled *An Act to Amend the Public Documents Disposal Act* referred to it by the House on February 20, 1964, and recommends the Bill as presented.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

J. D. SMITH, *Chairman*.

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

Ordered, That Bill (No. 23) intituled *An Act to Amend the Public Documents Disposal Act* be set down for second reading at the next sitting of the House after today.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *F. X. Richter*, the notice asking leave to introduce a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Stock Brands Act*, was withdrawn.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. on Monday next.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

Monday, February 24, 1964

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. D. B. Sparling.

The following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today:—

On the motion of the Hon. F. X. Richter—Bill (No. 30) intituled *An Act to Amend the Live-stock Public Sales Act*.

On the motion of the Hon. F. X. Richter—Bill (No. 31) intituled *An Act to Amend the Beef Grading Act*.

On the motion of the Hon. R. G. Williston—Bill (No. 27) intituled *An Act to Amend the Okanagan Flood-control Act*.

On the motion of the Hon. R. G. Williston—Bill (No. 28) intituled *An Act to Amend the Irrigation District Rehabilitation Act*.

On the motion of the Hon. R. G. Williston—Bill (No. 26) intituled *An Act to Amend the Soldiers' Land Act*.

On the motion of the Hon. R. G. Williston—Bill (No. 25) intituled *An Act to Amend the Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources Act*.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. McKay, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. W. A. C. Bennett, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

Bill (No. 1) intituled *An Act to Amend the Probates Recognition Act* was committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 2) intituled *An Act Respecting the Acquisition of the Common Shares in the Capital Stock of British Columbia Electric Company Limited* was committed, reported complete without amendment, and, on the motion for the third reading, a debate arose.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:—

YEAS—45

Messieurs

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Haggen, Mrs.</i>	<i>Matthew</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Squire</i>	<i>Bruch</i>	<i>LeCours</i>
<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Harding</i>	<i>Shelford</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Calder</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Price</i>	<i>Skillings</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Turner</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Little</i>
<i>Eddie</i>	<i>Brothers</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>
<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Speare</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Huhn</i>
<i>Gargrave</i>	<i>Carnell</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>McKay</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Martin</i>
<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Robinson</i>	<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Gaglardi</i>
<i>Macfarlane</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>McLeod</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Nimsick</i>			

PAIR:

Messieurs

Peterson *Macdonald*

Bill read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 3) intituled *An Act to Amend the Vancouver Foundation Act* was committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 4) intituled *An Act to Amend the Execution Act* was committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 8) intituled *An Act to Amend the Public Bodies Financial Information Act* was committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed.

The Committee reported progress on Bill (No. 9) intituled *An Act to Amend the Pacific Great Eastern Construction Loan Act, 1954*, and asked leave to sit again.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

Tuesday, February 25, 1964

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *L. Carlson*.

On the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, the following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today:—

Bill (No. 39) intituled *An Act to Amend the Queen's Counsel Act*.

Bill (No. 42) intituled *An Act Respecting Relief with Regard to Certain Contracts*.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

Mr. *Harding* moved in amendment, seconded by Mrs. *Haggen*,—

To amend the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" as follows: By deleting the word "now" and by striking out all the words after the word "chair" and substituting therefor the following: "after the Government has indicated to the House that it is moving rapidly to reorganize the Social Welfare Department so that the moneys allocated for that Department are used for prevention, treatment, and proper rehabilitation in order to reduce the number of people who require direct public assistance through social welfare payments; and, further, after the House has been assured by the Minister of Recreation and Conservation that he does not intend to allow any private logging, new mining ventures, or other private control or defiling of our public parks."

Mr. Speaker observed that Mrs. *Haggen* having already spoken in this debate was not qualified to second the motion in amendment and ruled that, unless the unanimous consent of the House was given permitting the motion to proceed, he could not accept the motion (May, 16th ed., p. 449; Beauchesne, 4th ed., p. 138).

The unanimous consent of the House was not given.

The ruling of the Speaker was challenged.

The House divided.

The ruling of the Speaker was sustained on the following division:—

YEAS—35

Messieurs

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Robinson</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Little</i>
<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>
<i>McKay</i>	<i>Matthew</i>	<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Huhn</i>
<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Bruch</i>	<i>MacSorley</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Macfarlane</i>	<i>Shelford</i>	<i>McLeod</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Brothers</i>	<i>Price</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>	<i>Martin</i>
<i>Speare</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Gaglardi</i>
<i>Carnell</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Skillings</i>	

NAYS—12

Messieurs

<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Haggen, Mrs.</i>	<i>Harding</i>
<i>Calder</i>	<i>Gargrave</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Squire</i>	<i>Turner</i>

PAIRS:

Messieurs

<i>Smith</i>	<i>Eddie</i>
<i>Lundell</i>	<i>Barrett</i>

Debate resumed on the main motion.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:—

YEAS—30

Messieurs

<i>Brothers</i>	<i>Shelford</i>	<i>MacSorley</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>
<i>Speare</i>	<i>Price</i>	<i>McLeod</i>	<i>Huhn</i>
<i>Carnell</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Robinson</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Martin</i>
<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Gaglardi</i>
<i>Matthew</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Vogel</i>		

NAYS—17

Messieurs

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Macfarlane</i>	<i>Squire</i>
<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Gargrave</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Harding</i>
<i>Stupich</i>	<i>McKay</i>	<i>Haggen, Mrs.</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>Calder</i>	<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Turner</i>
<i>Hartley</i>			

PAIRS:

Messieurs

<i>Smith</i>	<i>Eddie</i>
<i>Lundell</i>	<i>Barrett</i>

(IN THE COMMITTEE OF SUPPLY)

The Committee rose and reported progress.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Supreme Court Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 25, 1964.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 40) intituled *An Act to Amend the Supreme Court Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the County Courts Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 25, 1964.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 41) intituled *An Act to Amend the County Courts Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. D. Black* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Public Libraries Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 24, 1964.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 34) intituled *An Act to Amend the Public Libraries Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *F. X. Richter* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Milk Industry Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 25, 1964.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 35) intituled *An Act to Amend the Milk Industry Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

Mr. *Matthew* presented the Tenth Report of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:—

REPORT NO. 10

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
February 24, 1964.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

Whereas under Order 97 of the Standing Orders your Committee was required to report to the House on or before the 21st instant on the Private Bills referred to it:

And whereas your Committee found that it was impossible to report within the time limited as aforesaid as to all Private Bills so referred to it:

Your Committee recommends that Order 97 of the Standing Orders be suspended and that the time for reporting to the House on Private Bills be extended to March 12, 1964.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman.*

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

Mr. *Matthew* presented the Eleventh Report of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:—

REPORT No. 11

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,

February 24, 1964.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the petitioner for a Private Bill intituled *An Act to Amend an Act Ratifying an Agreement Bearing Date the 8th day of June, 1911, between His Majesty the King in the Right of the Province of British Columbia, the Municipality of the City of Prince Rupert, the Grand Trunk Pacific Railway Company, and the Grand Trunk Pacific Development Company Limited, 1912*, has asked leave to withdraw this Bill.

Your Committee recommends that such leave be granted and further recommends that the deposit of \$600 paid by the petitioner be refunded.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman*.

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* (Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources) presented the Annual Report of the Forest Service for the year ended December 31, 1963.

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* (Minister of Highways) presented the Annual Report of the Department of Highways for the fiscal year ended March 31, 1963.

The Hon. *W. D. Black* (Minister of Municipal Affairs) presented the Annual Report of the Department of Municipal Affairs for the year ended December 31, 1963.

24 Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:—

With respect to the insurance carried by the Liquor Control Board:—

1. Through what agent or agents was this insurance purchased?
2. What was the total paid out for premiums for this insurance?
3. How much was paid to each agent?
4. What were the corresponding figures in the period April 1, 1963, to December 31, 1963?

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* replied as follows:—

“ 1, 2, 3, and 4. Dale & Company arrange all risk coverage in which eighteen insuring companies participate in risk involving nearly 300 agents. Premiums attributable to 1963 approximate \$61,390.”

- 42** Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:—
1. Do any Magistrates in the Province hold law degrees and, if so, how many?
 2. What is the total number of Magistrates in the Province?

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* replied as follows:—

“1. Fifty-one Magistrates hold law degrees or are qualified to practice law and preside over jurisdictions containing approximately 75 per cent of Provincial population. This number includes Small Debts Court functions.

“2. As of January 31, 1964, 213; of whom seven are District Magistrates.”

- 65** Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With reference to Order in Council No. 1371 of 1961 relating to a highway lease for *Pretty's Limited*:—

1. Is this lease still in effect?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, has the rental payment of \$10 per annum been paid each year upon the due dates?
3. If the answer to No. 1 is no, (a) when did the lease cease to have effect and (b) what annual rentals, if any, were paid?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“1. Yes.

“2. No, but all fees paid to date.

“3. Not applicable.”

- 73** Mr. *Calder* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With respect to the Stewart-Cassiar Road contracts let since January 1, 1954:—

1. What is the identification and the amount of each contract let under the highway-building programme?
2. What has been the total amount paid contractors for work completed or under construction?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“1. Project No. 883, Sawmill Point, Hotel Creek, Tanzilla River, \$1,655,000; Project No. 966, Tanzilla River, Stikine River, \$1,118,000; Project No. 1037, Stikine River, Eddontenajon Lake, \$1,470,000; Project No. 1039, Bear Pass section, \$1,870,000; Project No. 1138, Strohn Creek, Bell-Irving River, \$2,475,000; and Project No. 1214, Eddontenajon Lake, Burrage River, \$1,595,000.

“2. \$8,852,884.36.”

- 74** Mr. *Calder* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

1. Was any money spent in 1963/64 in road construction and repairs, including snow removal, on each of the following roads: (a) Alice Arm-Dolly Varden Road, (b) Alice Arm Shore Road, (c) Alice Arm village roads, (d) Lower Post village roads, (e) Stewart-Bear River Road, (f) Stewart-Hyder Road, (g) Stewart village roads, (h) Telegraph Creek-Dease Lake Road, (i) Telegraph Creek-Glenora Road, (j) Telegraph Creek village roads, (k) Cassiar to Stewart-Cassiar Junction Road, (l) Stewart-Cassiar Junction to Alaska Highway, (m) Stewart-Cassiar Junction to Stikine River Crossing, (n) Atlin Highway, (o) Atlin-Surprise Lake Road, (p) Atlin-Spruce Creek Road, and (q) Atlin village roads?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what was the amount spent on each road?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) \$11,614.57, (b) \$129.76, (c) \$20,52, (d) no separate record, (e) \$13,984.23, (f) \$2,871.56, (g) \$2,282.98, (h) \$22,258.40, (i) \$635.99, (j) \$662.50, (k) no separate record, (l) \$68,329.15, (m) \$17,110.30, (n) \$8,504.75, (o) \$1,893.09, (p) \$291.24, and (q) \$464.87.”

75 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following question:—

With reference to the appointment of W. G. Helmsing as District Superintendent, Region 2, and the Minister's answer on this subject in the Journals of the House, 1963, what were the exact qualifications that Mr. Helmsing had that fitted him for the position of District Superintendent?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“ Basic training in commercial engineering. Extensive training and practical application of public and employee relations. Training in business administration, involving organization and effective use of personnel. Good knowledge of road equipment. Good knowledge of practical maintenance requirements.”

82 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

1. Has Interior Tire Consultants received any moneys from the Department of Highways since February, 1963?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what was the total payment?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. \$4,361.10.”

84 Mr. *Nimsick* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following question:—

Did the British Columbia Government protest the abandonment of the Canadian Pacific Railway passenger service from Lethbridge to Spences Bridge and, if so, (a) how many protests were made, (b) to whom were they made, and (c) what were the dates?

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* replied as follows:—

“ The Provincial Government's objections were made to the Board of Transport Commissioners for Canada during argument of special counsel presented in Penticton, May 28, 1963.”

85 Mr. *Nimsick* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following question:—

In the case of an accident with a Government vehicle is there any assessment made against the driver and, if so, (a) is this assessment made whether it was the driver's fault or not, (b) is there a minimum and maximum assessment, (c) how much are these assessments, (d) does each department or the insurance determine the assessment, (e) what was the total of these assessments during 1963, and (f) are these assessments credited to the insurance fund or to general revenue?

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* replied as follows:—

“ Refer to Department of Highways.”

86 Mrs. *Haggen* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

1. On what date was the contract let for construction of the Canadian Pacific Railway underpass at Greenwood?
2. What was the amount of the contract?
3. Was any time of completion stipulated?
4. How many workers, on the average, have been employed each shift?
5. Is any time of completion of the underpass intimated at this time?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. April 3, 1963.
- “ 2. \$118,524.50 plus certain force account work included in the specifications.
- “ 3. Yes.
- “ 4. Ten on night shift and twenty-one on day shift.
- “ 5. April, 1964.”

87 Mr. *Macdonald* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

What was the total number of persons employed by the Department of Highways on the following dates: July 31, 1963; August 31, 1963; September 30, 1963; October 31, 1963; November 30, 1963; and December 31, 1963?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“ July 31, 1963, 4,715; August 31, 1963, 4,725; September 30, 1963, 4,476; October 31, 1963, 4,333; November 30, 1963, 4,177; and December 31, 1963, 3,991.”

88 Mr. *Macdonald* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With reference to Ginter Contracting Company, Midwest Construction Company, Atlas Construction Company, Western Sand & Gravel Limited, Ben Ginter Construction Company (1958) Limited, View Construction Company, and Ben Ginter Construction Company:—

1. Have any moneys been paid to any of these companies for equipment rental during 1963?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, which companies were so paid and, in the case of each company, what is the total amount they have received?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. Yes.
- “ 2. Atlas Construction Company, \$82,787.79; View Construction Company, \$18,092.22; and Ben Ginter Construction Company, \$55,628.38.”

89 Mr. *Macdonald* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With reference to the Kootenay Lake ferry between Harrop and Procter:—

1. How many life-jackets, if any, are carried on this ferry?
2. During 1963 did the number of passengers, including school-children, exceed the number of life-jackets carried on any trip?
3. If the answer to No. 2 is yes, (a) what was the number of passengers on each relevant trip and (b) what was the number of life-jackets carried?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Thirty life-jackets, two life-buoys, and one lifeboat.

“ 2. Yes.

“ 3. (a) No trip-by-trip record kept and (b) thirty.”

90 Mr. *Macdonald* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With reference to Bridge Project 267 (Port Mann Bridge) Contracts Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5:—

1. Have Contracts Nos. 3, 4, or 5 been completed?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, which contracts have been completed and what was the final amount paid on each contract?

3. Are any contracts uncompleted?

4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, what are the total amounts paid to date on each uncompleted contract?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Contract No. 3, yes; Contract No. 4, yes; and Contract No. 5, no.

“ 2. Contract No. 3, \$7,590,969.29, and Contract No. 4, \$3,885,931.71.

“ 3. Contract No. 5, yes.

“ 4. Contract No. 5, \$6,826,740.41.”

91 Mr. *Macdonald* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

1. Were any employees of the Department of Highways paid overtime work during the calendar year 1963?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how many were so paid and at what rate or rates?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. 749 at straight time rates and 3,482 at time and one-half rates.”

93 Mr. *Macdonald* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following question:—

With reference to the Deas Island Throughway, Tunnel—United States Border portion, what is the total cost to date?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“ \$14,749,376.”

94 Mr. *Macdonald* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With reference to the following list of individuals and companies: J. & M. Construction Company; Michael Moran; Lloyd Jordan; Fritz Jordan; R. H. Miller; Reg. Pearen Limited; Jordan Construction Company; R. & L. Construction Company; L. & M. Logging Limited; Union Contractors; Burton Lymburner; Clyde Thornton; Bonanza Construction; Continental Contractors Limited; Mid City Construction Company; Gresty Brothers Limited; Vincent Lawrence Gresty; E. J. Gresty; Hemlock Equipment Limited; Ben Ginter Construction Company; Ben Ginter Construction Company (1958) Limited; Atlas Construction Limited;

Western Sand & Gravel Limited; E. V. Merrick, Esq.; G. A. Fennings, Esq.; R. M. W. Brigoliss, Esq.; Henry George Garfield, Esq.; Windsor Construction Company; North River Construction Company; McClay Construction Limited; Casco Tire Company; A. H. Cassidy, Esq.; C. E. Cosens, Esq.; Perini Pacific Limited; Midwest Construction Company; Circle Construction Company; Cleaveley Construction Company; A. Cleaveley, Esq.; Merit Construction Company; and View Construction Company:—

1. Has the Department of Highways paid any moneys to any or all of them since January 28, 1963?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, in each case, in what total amount?

3. In the case of the companies, have any contracts been awarded to them on bids received during the relevant period?

4. If the answer to No. 3 is in the affirmative, in each instance, what was the original bid received and what was the total amount paid to the company on completion of the contract?

5. In the case of the individuals, for what specific services were the moneys paid?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. Reg. Pearen Limited, \$281,215.02; Ben Ginter Construction Company, \$863,456.23;* Atlas Construction Limited, \$108,194.24; Western Sand & Gravel Limited, \$45.15; Windsor Construction Company, \$264,348.25; Casco Tire Company, \$16,071.46; Perini (Pacific) Limited, \$1,799,730.62; Midwest Construction Company, \$3,414.52; and View Construction Company, \$818,734.25.

“ 3. Yes.

“ 4. Project No. 446, Pacific Great Eastern overhead crossing, Reg. Pearen Limited, bid price, \$189,287, total amount paid, not completed; Project No. 1356, Trans-Canada Highway, Chase By-pass section, Atlas Construction Company Limited, bid price, \$182,924, total amount paid, not completed; Project No. 1357, Cariboo Highway, Lot 1944, Fraser River section, Ben Ginter Construction Company Limited, bid price, \$817,932, total amount paid, not completed; Project No. 1361, North Thompson Highway, Vavenby-McMurphy section, Ben Ginter Construction Company Limited, bid price, \$1,089,611.45, total amount paid, not completed; Project No. 1382, Northern Trans-Provincial Highway, Crescent Spur-Dome Creek section, Ben Ginter Construction Company Limited, bid price, \$934,-364.10, total amount paid, not completed; and Project No. 1377, Hudson Hope-Chetwynd, bid price, \$1,033,405, total amount paid, not completed.

“ 5. No payments to individuals.

* * Records do not differentiate between Ben Ginter Construction Company and Ben Ginter Construction Company (1958) Limited, therefore combined.”

104 Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With respect to “tire consultants”:—

1. Were any “tire consultants” employed full time by the Department in 1963?

2. Were the services of any “tire consultants” retained part time by the Department in 1963?

3. If the answers to Nos. 1 and 2 are yes, (a) what were their names and addresses, (b) how much, by person, were they paid, and (c) what were their duties?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“ 1. No.

“ 2. Yes.

“ 3. (a) Interior Tire Consultants, 620 Penzer Street, Kamloops, B.C., (b) \$5,577.70; and (c) Provincial tire surveys *re* types, sizes, and tread designs, mileage records for new and recapped tires and systematic salvaging of all used tires for sale. Organizing of a central warehouse system for tires in order to reduce regional stocks. This is now in effect in Region No. 2 as a pilot programme, and will be introduced in other three regions shortly. Training of shop foremen and stockmen on tires in general. Checking and advising on new problems which occur in any area of the Province. This is a continuing programme at present but will decrease as our own personnel become qualified.”

108 Mr. *Nimsick* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following question:—

Have any public roads in the Province of British Columbia reverted to private roads during 1962 and 1963 and, if so, (a) where are these roads located, (b) in whose name has each been declared as a private road, and (c) for what reasons has each road reverted to a private road?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“(a) Buttle Lake Road, approximately 10 miles west of Campbell River, Section 29, Township 2, Comox District; (b) 1.2 miles exchanged with Elk River Timber Company; and (c) to eliminate two logging crossings.

“(a) From 3 miles north of Cache Creek Junction to 11 miles north of junction; (b) R. B. Jackson; and (c) 8 miles of old Cariboo Road closed by Gazette in completion of original right-of-way settlement.”

113 Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture the following questions:—

With reference to the British Columbia Broiler Marketing Board:—

1. What was the number of broilers processed during the months of January, February, March, April, May, June, July, August, September, October, November, and December, 1963?

2. Was there a reduction of quotas authorized by the Board during 1963?

3. If the answer to No. 2 is yes, (a) during what months did they occur and (b) what was the percentage of cut-back authorized?

4. What was the number of registered broiler-growers listed in the Board's roll during January, February, March, April, May, June, July, August, September, October, November, and December, 1963?

The Hon. *F. X. Richter* replied as follows:—

“The Department receives reports from the Broiler Marketing Board but is not directly responsible for the business operations of the Board as would be required to answer this question.”

114 Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:—

1. Did the Attorney-General's Department conduct an investigation into the operations of the British Columbia Broiler Marketing Board during 1963?

2. If the answer is yes, (a) what facets of operations were investigated and (b) were any irregularities discovered?

3. If the answer to No. 2 (b) is yes, (a) what were the irregularities and (b) what action was taken?

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. No.
- “ 2. *See* No. 1.
- “ 3. *See* No. 1 and No. 2.”

116 Mr. *Calder* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With reference to bridges on the Telegraph Creek–Dease Lake Road:—

- 1. Were any bridges repaired in 1961/62, 1962/63, and 1963/64?
- 2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what are the names of the bridges, and what was the total cost of repairs to each bridge?
- 3. Were any bridges rebuilt in 1961/62, 1962/63, and 1963/64?
- 4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, what are the names of the bridges, and what was the total cost of reconstruction to each bridge?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. Yes.
- “ 2. 1961/62, Tuya Bridge, \$228.69, Waterfall Bridge, \$23.89; 1962/63, Tahltan Bridge, \$805.56, Tuya Bridge, \$901.10; and 1963/64, Tuya Bridge, \$84.75, Tahltan Bridge, \$215.13.
- “ 3. Yes.
- “ 4. 1961/62, *nil*; 1962/63, Tahltan and Tuya Bridges, \$94,238; and 1963/64, Tahltan and Tuya Bridges, \$25,000, Keena Bridge, \$772.18.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

Tuesday, February 25, 1964

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 10.37 p.m.

Wednesday, February 26, 1964

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *John A. Watson*.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. D. Black*, Bill (No. 38) intituled *An Act to Amend the Children of Unmarried Parents Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, the notice asking leave to introduce a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Supreme Court Act* was withdrawn.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

2. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$69,521 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Premier's Office to 31st March, 1965.

105. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10,510 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1965.

106. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$147,562 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, General Administration, to 31st March, 1965.

107. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$327,348 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Controlling and Audit Branch, to 31st March, 1965.

108. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$239,374 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Mechanical Tabulation Branch, to 31st March, 1965.

109. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$756,786 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Consumer Taxation Branch, to 31st March, 1965.

110. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$299,357 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Real Property Taxation Branch, to 31st March, 1965.

111. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$163,664 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Income Taxation Branch, to 31st March, 1965.

112. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$164,936 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, *Assessment Equalization Act*, to 31st March, 1965.

113. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$302,988 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Purchasing Commission, to 31st March, 1965.

114. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Langford Warehouse, to 31st March, 1965.

115. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,707,453 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Government Agents, etc., to 31st March, 1965.

116. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$747,520 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Housing Commissioner, to 31st March, 1965.

117. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Courts of Revision, to 31st March, 1965.

118. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$25,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Assessment Appeal Board, to 31st March, 1965.

119. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$35,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Printing Public Accounts, Estimates, Bonds, Revenue Receipts, etc., to 31st March, 1965.

120. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$135,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Temporary Assistance, to 31st March, 1965.

121. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$38,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Incidentals and Contingencies, to 31st March, 1965.

122. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, *Civil Service Superannuation Act*—Interest on Superannuation and Retirement Funds, to 31st March, 1965.

123. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, *Members of Legislative Assembly Superannuation Act*—Interest, to 31st March, 1965.

124. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$70,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, *Municipal Superannuation Act*, to 31st March, 1965.

125. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$400 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, *Dyking Assessment Adjustment Act, 1905*, to 31st March, 1965.

126. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$38,600,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Grants in Aid of Local Governments and Home-owners' Subsidies, to 31st March, 1965.

127. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$30,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, *Supreme Court Act*—Interest on Suitors' Fund Deposits, to 31st March, 1965.

128. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,600,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Salary Contingencies and Adjustments (All Departments), to 31st March, 1965.

129. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$75,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Expense Contingencies (All Departments), to 31st March, 1965.

130. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$100,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Motor-vehicles and Accessories (All Departments), to 31st March, 1965.

131. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$950,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, British Columbia Ferry Authority Subsidy, to 31st March, 1965.

132. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$150,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Power Subsidy, to 31st March, 1965.

133. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$3,556 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, *Nancy Sloan Act*, to 31st March, 1965.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* (Minister of Finance) presented the Ninth Annual Report of the Assessment Appeal Board for the year ended December 31, 1963.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

Thursday, February 27, 1964

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Father *L. MacLellan*.

The Hon. *W. D. Black* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipalities Enabling and Validating Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 25, 1964.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 44) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipalities Enabling and Validating Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Credit Unions Act, 1961*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 25, 1964.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 43) intituled *An Act to Amend the Credit Unions Act, 1961*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith amendments to Bill (No. 14) intituled *An Act to Establish the British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority*, enclosed herewith, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 26, 1964.

(ENCLOSURE)

Section 12: To amend by striking out “(1)” in the first line of the section and by striking out subsections (2) and (3).

Section 53: To amend by adding the following as subsection (6):—
“(6) The *Labour Relations Act* shall apply to the Authority.”

Section 56: To amend by striking out the words “Where all or any part of the *Labour Relations Act* is made applicable to the Authority and where” in the first line of subsection (1) and substituting “Where”, and to add the following as subsection (4):—

“(4) Where there is a dispute between the Authority and one or more of its employees or a trade-union, section 29 of the *Labour Relations Act* does not apply.”

Ordered, That the said Message, and the amendments accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the proposed amendments to Bill (No. 14) intituled *An Act to Establish the British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority*, a draft of which is annexed to the Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, be referred to the Committee having in charge Bill (No. 14).

Resolution reported.
Report adopted.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith amendments to Bill (No. 10) intituled *An Act to Amend the Income Tax Act, 1962*, enclosed herewith, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 24, 1964.

(ENCLOSURE)

Section 3 (b): To amend by striking out the word “one-twelfth” in the second line of clause (b) of the proposed subsection (1a) and substituting the word “one-half.”

Ordered, That the said Message, and the amendments accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the proposed amendments to Bill (No. 10) intituled *An Act to Amend the Income Tax Act, 1962*, a draft of which is annexed to the Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, be referred to the Committee having in charge Bill (No. 10)

Resolution reported.
Report adopted.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

3. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$29,112 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1965.

4. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$109,854 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, General Administration, to 31st March, 1965.

5. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$73,372 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Markets and Statistics Branch, to 31st March, 1965.

6. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$176,584 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Horticultural Branch, to 31st March, 1965.

7. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$28,452 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Plant Pathology Branch, to 31st March, 1965.

8. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$30,160 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Entomology Branch, to 31st March, 1965.

9. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$24,372 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Apiary Branch, to 31st March, 1965.

10. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$554,574 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Live Stock Branch, to 31st March, 1965.

11. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$87,828 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Dairy Branch, to 31st March, 1965.

12. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$69,140 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Poultry Branch, to 31st March, 1965.

13. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$61,828 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Field Crops and Seed Improvement Branch, to 31st March, 1965.

14. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$11,960 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Farmers' Institutes, to 31st March, 1965.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* (Attorney-General) presented the Annual Report of the Director of Correction for the year ended March 31, 1963.

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* (Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources) presented the Annual Report of the Lands Service for the year 1963.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

Thursday, February 27, 1964

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

15. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$15,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Women's Institutes, to 31st March, 1965.

16. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$85,086 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Soil Survey Branch, to 31st March, 1965.

17. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$268,082 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Development and Extension Branch, to 31st March, 1965.

18. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$66,976 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Engineering Branch, to 31st March, 1965.

19. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$51,616 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, 4-H Clubs, to 31st March, 1965.

20. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$16,946 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Dyking Commission, to 31st March, 1965.

21. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$78,152 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Milk Board, to 31st March, 1965.

22. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$95,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Temporary Assistance, to 31st March, 1965.

23. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$25,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Motor-vehicles and Accessories, to 31st March, 1965.

24. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$70,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Grants and Subsidies, to 31st March, 1965.

25. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Rebates on Stumping-powder, to 31st March, 1965.

26. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$55,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Subsidies on Agricultural Lime, to 31st March, 1965.

27. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$11,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Federal-Provincial Farm Labour Service, to 31st March, 1965.

28. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$20 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Farms, to 31st March, 1965.

29. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$669,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Farmers' Land-clearing and Domestic Water Assistance, to 31st March, 1965.

30. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$750 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, *Pound District Act*, to 31st March, 1965.

31. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$32,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, *Grasshopper-control Act*, to 31st March, 1965.

32. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,200 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, *Natural Products Marketing (B.C.) Act*, to 31st March, 1965.

33. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$62,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Pest Control, to 31st March, 1965.

34. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$82,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Fraser River Bank Protection, to 31st March, 1965.

35. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$16,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Aid in Construction of Storage Facilities, Farm Commodities, to 31st March, 1965.

36. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$500,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, *Agricultural Rehabilitation and Development Act*, to 31st March, 1965.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 11.19 p.m.

Friday, February 28, 1964

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. C. A. Scott.

Mr. Speaker read to the House the following letter:—

“ GOVERNMENT HOUSE, VICTORIA, B.C.

“ February 27, 1964.

“ *The Honourable W. H. Murray,*

“ *Speaker of the Legislative Assembly,*

“ *Parliament Buildings, Victoria, B.C.*

“ DEAR MR. SPEAKER:

“ I very much appreciated the kindness of the members of the Legislative Assembly in remembering my birthday yesterday. It was a most touching gesture on their part that they should have taken time to extend their good wishes to me. I am happy to say that most pleasant relationships exist between all members of the Legislature and myself.

“ Please accept my kindest regards and best wishes.

“ Yours sincerely,

“ GEORGE PEARKES.”

On the motion of Mr. *Nimsick*, the following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today:—

Bill (No. 46) intituled *An Act to Amend the Attachment of Debts Act.*

Bill (No. 47) intituled *An Act to Amend the Marriage Act.*

On the motion of Mr. *Dowding*, Bill (No. 48) intituled *An Act to Amend the Gasoline Tax Act, 1948*, was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order “ Public Bills and Orders.”

Bill (No. 16) intituled *An Act to Amend the Trade-schools Regulation Act* was read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 18) intituled *An Act to Amend the Provincial Home-owner Grant Act*, a debate arose.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:—

YEAS—45

Messieurs

<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Shelford</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Calder</i>	<i>Turner</i>	<i>Price</i>	<i>Skillings</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Brothers</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Little</i>
<i>Eddie</i>	<i>Speare</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>
<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Huhn</i>
<i>Gargrave</i>	<i>Carnell</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Lundell</i>
<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Robinson</i>	<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Haggen, Mrs.</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>MacSorley</i>	<i>Martin</i>
<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Matthew</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>	<i>Gaglardi</i>
<i>Squire</i>	<i>Bruch</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Harding</i>			

NAYS—5

Messieurs

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>McKay</i>	<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Macfarlane</i>
<i>Gibson</i>			

Bill read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 17) intituled *An Act to Amend the Probation Act*, a debate arose.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 19) intituled *An Act to Amend the Family and Children's Court Act*, a debate arose.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 20) intituled *An Act to Amend the Fair Employment Practices Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Eddie*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 22) intituled *An Act Respecting Contributions for the Blind*, a debate arose.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

Bill (No. 23) intituled *An Act to Amend the Public Documents Disposal Act* was read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

Bill (No. 25) intituled *An Act to Amend the Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources Act* was read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 26) intituled *An Act to Amend the Soldiers' Land Act*, a debate arose.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

Bill (No. 27) intituled *An Act to Amend the Okanagan Flood-control Act* was read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 28) intituled *An Act to Amend the Irrigation District Rehabilitation Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Gargrave* for Mr. *Barrett*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Bill (No. 29) intituled *An Act to Amend the Stock Brands Act* was read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

Bill (No. 30) intituled *An Act to Amend the Live-stock Public Sales Act* was read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 31) intituled *An Act to Amend the Beef Grading Act*, a debate arose.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 32) intituled *An Act to Amend the Adoption Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Harding* for Mr. *Barrett*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Bill (No. 33) intituled *An Act to Amend the District of Surrey Hospital Grant Enabling Act* was read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 34) intituled *An Act to Amend the Public Libraries Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mrs. *Haggen*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Bill (No. 35) intituled *An Act to Amend the Milk Industry Act* was read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

Bill (No. 39) intituled *An Act to Amend the Queen's Counsel Act* was read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 42) intituled *An Act Respecting Relief with Regard to Certain Contracts* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Strachan*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 15) intituled *An Act to Amend the Revenue Act*.

The debate continued.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:—

YEAS—41

Messieurs

<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Shelford</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Calder</i>	<i>Turner</i>	<i>Price</i>	<i>Skillings</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Brothers</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Little</i>
<i>Eddie</i>	<i>Speare</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>
<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Huhn</i>
<i>Gargrave</i>	<i>Carnell</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Lundell</i>
<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Haggen, Mrs.</i>	<i>Robinson</i>	<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>MacSorley</i>	<i>Martin</i>
<i>Squire</i>	<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Harding</i>			

NAYS—5

Messieurs

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>McKay</i>	<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Macfarlane</i>
<i>Gibson</i>			

PAIR:

Messieurs

LeCours *Barrett*

Bill read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

26 Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following question:—

What were the total case loads by regions in the Social Welfare Branch as at December 31, 1963?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“Region No. 1, 11,359; Region No. 2, 33,261; Region No. 3, 9,528; Region No. 4, 5,462; Region No. 5, 6,421; Region No. 6, 11,557; and Region No. 7, 2,510.”

27 Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

1. What was the total number of staff employed by the Social Welfare Branch as at December 31, 1963?

2. What was the total number of staff in the Social Welfare Branch field service as at December 31, 1963?

3. How many of field service staff held B.S.W. or M.S.W. degrees?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“1. 645.

“2. 342.

“3. Seventy-four.”

28 Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following question:—

Were any individuals given in-service training by the Social Welfare Branch training division during 1963 and, if so, how many?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“Forty-nine individuals were given initial training and thirty-six advanced training.”

29 Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With respect to the Girls' Industrial School:—

1. What was the total number of girls held as at December 31, 1963?
2. What was the total number of staff employed as at December 31, 1963?
3. What was the total number of social workers employed as at December 31, 1963?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“1. Eighty-eight.

“2. Forty-eight, plus five under the Department of Public Works.

“3. Two.”

30 Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With respect to the Boys' Industrial School:—

1. What was the total number of boys held as at December 31, 1963?
2. What was the total number of staff employed as at December 31, 1963?
3. What was the total number of social workers employed as at December 31, 1963?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“1. 173.

“2. Seventy-one, plus eleven under the Department of Public Works.

“3. Three.”

32 Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With reference to boards of review under the social assistance regulations:—

1. How many applications were received for boards (a) in each month of 1962 and (b) for the complete calendar year 1963?
2. How many boards were set up in 1963?
3. How many cases were resolved in the applicant's favour during 1962 (a) after hearing by a board of review and (b) after application for a board but without hearing by a board?
4. From what areas of the Province were the total number of applications received?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“1. (a) In 1963*: January, 1; February, 4; March, 7; April, 3; May, *nil*; June, 3; July, 3; August, 7; September, 1; October, 5; November, 1; December, 1; and (b) thirty-six.

* 1962 figures were given in answer to Question No. 54 in 1963.

" 2. Thirty-six.

" 3. In 1963* (a) fifteen and (b) separate statistics not kept of cases which are resolved without a hearing by a board of review.

" 4. Coquitlam District, 1; Courtenay, 1; Crescent Beach, 1; Esquimalt, 1; Fort St. John, 1; Hammond, 2; Haney, 1; North Surrey, 2; Prince George, 2; Prince Rupert, 1; Revelstoke, 1; Saanich, 2; Stoner, 1; Summit Lake, 1; Surrey, 1; and Vancouver City, 17.

* 1962 figures were given in answer to Question No. 54 in 1963."

33 Mr. Barrett asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With respect to the number of social workers employed by the Social Welfare Branch as at December 31, 1963:—

1. What was the total number employed?
2. How many of the total number employed held B.S.W. or M.S.W. degrees?
3. How many were employed in the field exclusive of administrators and supervisors?
4. How many of those in No. 3 were not in possession of B.S.W. or M.S.W. degrees?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

- " 1. 289.
- " 2. 106.
- " 3. 195½.
- " 4. 160½."

36 Mr. Barrett asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

1. Were there any supervisors employed in the Social Welfare Branch who lacked university training in the calendar year 1963?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how many?
3. Were there any social workers, exclusive of supervisors, employed in the Social Welfare Branch who lacked university training in the calendar year 1963?
4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, how many?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

- " 1. Yes.
- " 2. One.
- " 3. Yes.
- " 4. 96½."

37 Mr. Barrett asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With respect to social workers employed by the Social Welfare Branch as at December 31, 1963:—

1. How many were (a) Grade 1 and (b) Grade 2 social workers?
2. How many Grade 1 social workers had practical experience of less than (a) one year, (b) two years, (c) three years, (d) four years, and (e) five years in social work?
3. How many Grade 2 social workers had practical experience of less than (a) one year, (b) two years, (c) three years, (d) four years, and (e) five years in social work?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“ 1. (a) 100 and (b) 118.

“ 2. (a) 48, (b) 29, (c) 23, (d) and (e) eligible for reclassification to Social Worker—Grade 2 after three years' service.

“ 3. (a) 8, (b) 2, (c) 2, (d) 15, and (e) 7.”

61 Mr. *Dowding* asked the Hon. the Provincial Secretary the following question:—

What was the total number of (a) permanent Civil Servants and (b) non-Civil Service employees on the Provincial payroll as of the following dates in 1963: April 30, May 31, June 30, July 31, August 31, September 30, October 31, November 30, and December 31?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“April 30, 1963, (a) 10,292 permanent and casual Civil Servants and (b) 6,370 non-Civil Service employees; May 31, 1963, (a) 10,292 permanent and casual Civil Servants and (b) 7,353 non-Civil Service employees; June 30, 1963, (a) 10,380 permanent and casual Civil Servants and (b) 7,979 non-Civil Service employees; July 31, 1963, (a) 10,448 permanent and casual Civil Servants and (b) 8,246 non-Civil Service employees; August 31, 1963, (a) 10,480 permanent and casual Civil Servants and (b) 8,373 non-Civil Service employees; September 30, 1963, (a) 10,653 permanent and casual Civil Servants and (b) 7,554 non-Civil Service employees; October 31, 1963, (a) 10,415 permanent and casual Civil Servants and (b) 7,249 non-Civil Service employees; November 30, 1963, (a) 10,479 permanent and casual Civil Servants and (b) 6,889 non-Civil Service employees; December 31, 1963, (a) 10,445 permanent and casual Civil Servants and (b) 6,413 non-Civil Service employees.”

110 Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

1. How many children, who are wards of the Government, are awaiting adoption?

2. How many children under one year, who are in Government care (ward and non-ward), are awaiting adoption and what is the *per diem* cost for such children?

3. How many applications for adoption by prospective new adoptive parents were made last year?

4. How many applications for adoption by prospective new adoptive parents were processed last year? (a) How many of the above were accepted as suitable parents and (b) how many of the suitable parents are still awaiting a child?

5. What is the cost per month to care for a child, under age one year, while awaiting adoption?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“ 1. 104.

“ 2. Sixty-five; \$3.56 *per diem*.

“ 3. 972.

“ 4. 969; (a) 652 and (b) twenty-six.

“ 5. \$106.80.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. on Monday next.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.