

## Tuesday, March 1, 1966

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *E. W. S. Gilbert*.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

The following Bills were read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today:—

Bill (No. 24) intituled *An Act Respecting the Revised Statutes of British Columbia*.

Bill (No. 25) intituled *An Act to Amend the Greater Vancouver Water District Act*.

Bill (No. 26) intituled *An Act to Amend the Official Guardian Act*.

Bill (No. 27) intituled *An Act Respecting Crop Insurance*.

Bill (No. 30) intituled *An Act Respecting the Rutland Agricultural Society*.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 32) intituled *An Act to Amend the Constitution Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Dowding*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* (Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources) presented the Annual Report of the British Columbia Forest Service for the year ended December 31, 1965.

**42** Mr. *Carnell* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following question:—

What work has been carried out during the fiscal year 1965/66 to date connected with camp and picnic sites in the South Peace River Electoral District other than the park at the south side of Moberly Lake?

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* replied as follows:—

"Repairs to Kiskatinaw Camp-site and improvements to its water-supply system."

**43** Mr. *Carnell* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following questions:—

With respect to the recreation park at the south side of Moberly Lake:—

1. How much money was spent on construction on this park in 1965/66?
2. What is the anticipated over-all cost to complete the presently projected programme?
3. What is the estimated cost of construction of the road to provide access to this park from the present Chetwynd-Hudson Hope Highway?
4. Which department is responsible for the cost of the said road?

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* replied as follows:—

“ 1. To December 31, 1965, \$13,453.63; outstanding accounts (estimated), \$4,000; total (estimated), \$17,500.

“ 2. \$35,000.

“ 3. Depending on the standard to which the road is finally constructed the cost would range from \$30,000 to \$45,000, without paving.

“ 4. Construction will be supervised by the Department of Highways.”

**44** Mr. *Carnell* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following question:—

Was any work carried out on the Alaska Highway on construction of picnic or camp sites during the fiscal year 1965/66 to date?

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* replied as follows:—

“ During the 1964/65 fiscal year Kiskatinaw Camp-site was renovated following flood damage, Liard River Hot Springs Park was improved to accommodate campers, and a beginning was made in the development of Kledo Creek Camp-site. While no new work was undertaken in this area during the 1965/66 fiscal year, it is expected that facilities at Kledo Creek will be enlarged and completed this year.”

**109** Mr. *Stupich* asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture the following questions:—

1. Were any foodstuffs sold which were produced on projects organized by the Department of Agriculture?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what was the amount of revenue from sales from each project, (b) how much revenue was credited to the cost of each such project, and (c) how much revenue was credited to Consolidated Revenue from each such project?

The Hon. *F. X. Richter* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) Poultry Branch, \$18,554, disposal after routine testing of eggs and poultry; Colony Farm, foodstuffs negligible, cash receipts mostly for sale of breeding-stock, produce used by Provincial institutions as shown in Public Accounts; Tranquille Farm, same as Colony Farm; (b) all credits for above units applied to votes, *see* Public Accounts; and (c) no direct credits to revenue section of Consolidated Revenue.”

**110** Mr. *Stupich* asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture the following questions:—

1. Were any services of the Department of Agriculture charged against the farmers using them in 1964/65?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what was the amount of revenue from each service, (b) how much revenue was credited to the cost of each service, and (c) how much revenue was credited to Consolidated Revenue from each service?

3. What additional services, if any, were charged for during the current fiscal year?

The Hon. *F. X. Richter* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) Revenue from each service, calendar year 1965: Veterinary laboratory service, \$749.90; meat-inspection service, \$1,736.02; brand inspections, \$40,575.63; *Horned Cattle Purchases Act*, \$17,379; *Beef Cattle Producers' Assistance Act*, \$50,810.03; pullorum testing, \$8,259.23; soil analysis, \$5,249; drainage consultation service, \$387; pesticide analysis, \$187; farm-management programme, \$4,075; (b) *nil*; and (c) all credited to Consolidated Revenue except *Beef Cattle Producers' Assistance Act*, which is held in a trust account.

“ 3. *Nil*.”

**135** Mr. *Huhn* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following questions:—

1. Has any submission been made to the Canadian Government with respect to sharing of costs of construction of camp-sites along that part of the Alaska Highway within British Columbia?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, when was such submission made?

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. July 15, 1963.”

**149** Mr. *Gargrave* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following questions:—

1. Were there any charges laid under the *Pollution-control Act* last year?

2. If the answer is yes, (a) how many, (b) how many convictions, and (c) describe industries charged and convicted?

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* replied as follows:—

“ 1. No.

“ 2. Not applicable; however, the efforts of the responsible officials dealing with pollution control have been toward encouraging industrial and community installations to abate pollution.”

**150** Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following questions:—

With respect to vacation trailers:—

1. What was the estimated number of vacation trailers used in British Columbia during the 12-month period ended December 31, 1965?

2. Of these what was the estimated number owned in British Columbia?

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Vacation trailers entering British Columbia over the 49th parallel are not registered as separate units by either Canadian or United States authorities. The tourist vehicle permit is issued for the towing vehicle only and no permit is required for the trailer. A rapid increase in the popularity of truck campers has been noted in recent years. Entry figures for this type of vehicle are not compiled by border officials as all are included in statistical information covering trucks or commercial vehicles. An estimate of this type of traffic would not be accurate enough to be of value without some sort of statistics on which to lean. One of the large trailer-park operators in the Vancouver area estimates a figure of above 10,000 trailers and (or) campers per season.

“2. The Deputy Superintendent of Statistics for the Motor-vehicle Branch states that, in the 1965 licence-year, 61,197 licences were issued for utility trailers in the Province. This figure would include open, box, boat, camper, and house trailers. The Deputy Superintendent estimated that between 8,000 and 9,000 of these would be house or vacation trailers.”

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

---

---

**Tuesday, March 1, 1966**

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order “Public Bills and Orders.”

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 32) intituled *An Act to Amend the Constitution Act*.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 33) intituled *An Act to Amend the Fisheries Act*, by leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Calder*, seconded by Mr. *Dowding*, the Rules were suspended and the Bill referred to the Special Committee to Consider Strathcona Park Townsite, Commercial Fisheries Report, and Access and Use of Privately Operated Roads, to hear representations from interested persons and to make recommendations thereon to the House.

The following Bills were read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today:—

Bill (No. 34) intituled *An Act to Amend the British Columbia Government Travel Bureau Act*.

Bill (No. 36) intituled *An Act to Amend the Farmers' Land-clearing Assistance Act*.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 35) intituled *An Act to Provide for the Conservation of Wildlife* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Nimsick*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 37) intituled *An Act Respecting the Employment of Women before and after Childbirth* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mrs. *Haggen*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 38) intituled *An Act to Amend the Annual Holidays Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Eddie*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 39) intituled *An Act Respecting Firearms* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Nimsick*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 40) intituled *An Act Respecting Factories, Shops, Offices, and Elevators* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Eddie*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 41) intituled *An Act to Amend the Milk Industry Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Stupich*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The following Bills were read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today:—

Bill (No. 42) intituled *An Act to Amend the Coal Act*.

Bill (No. 43) intituled *An Act to Amend the Mineral Act*.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 11.22 p.m.

**Wednesday, March 2, 1966**

---

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *M. Henderson*.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills in the Hands of Private Members."

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 28) intituled *An Act to Amend the Training-schools Act* a debate arose.

Mr. Speaker ruled the Bill out of order on the ground that it involved the expenditure of public funds and thereby offended Standing Order 66. Bill *Ordered* dropped from the Order Paper.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 29) intituled *An Act to Amend the Sale of Goods Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 31) intituled *An Act to Amend the Fair Employment Practices Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of the Hon. *L. R. Peterson*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 44) intituled *An Act Respecting Proceedings against the Crown* a debate arose.

Mr. Speaker ruled the Bill out of order for the reason that, in the hands of a private member, a Bill interfering with Crown prerogatives must have the sanction of the Crown, which sanction had not been obtained. Bill *Ordered* dropped from the Order Paper.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 45) intituled *An Act to Provide Legal Aid and Advice* a debate arose.

Mr. Speaker ruled the Bill out of order on the ground that it involved the expenditure of public funds and thereby offended Standing Order 66. Bill *Ordered* dropped from the Order Paper.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 68) intituled *An Act to Amend the Pollution-control Act* a debate arose.

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* moved that the debate be adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Motion agreed to on the following division:—

YEAS—27

Messieurs

<i>Smith</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Carnell</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Robinson</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Martin</i>
<i>Shelford</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Gaglardi</i>
<i>Price</i>	<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Huhn</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Brothers</i>	<i>MacSorley</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>	

NAYS—17

Messieurs

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Squire</i>
<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Gargrave</i>	<i>Macfarlane</i>	<i>Harding</i>
<i>Calder</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>McKay</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Turner</i>
<i>Eddie</i>			

PAIRS:

Messieurs

<i>Lundell</i>	<i>McGeer</i>
<i>Speare</i>	<i>Haggen, Mrs.</i>

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, Order called for “Public Bills and Orders.”

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 46) intituled *An Act to Amend the Motor-vehicle Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Macdonald*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Bill (No. 48) intituled *An Act to Amend the Department of Recreation and Conservation Act* was read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 49) intituled *An Act to Amend the Summary Convictions Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Dowding*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 64) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipal Superannuation Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Gargrave*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 65) intituled *An Act to Amend the Civil Service Superannuation Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Gargrave*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 66) intituled *An Act to Amend the Teachers' Pensions Act, 1961*, a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Gargrave*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 67) intituled *An Act Respecting an Agreement between Canada and British Columbia Regarding the Canada Pension Plan* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. Gargrave, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 2) intituled *An Act to Amend the Regional Parks Act*.

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 4) intituled *An Act to Amend the Survivorship and Presumption of Death Act*.

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 5) intituled *An Act to Amend the Plans Cancellation Act*.

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 9) intituled *An Act to Amend the Supreme Court Act*.

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 10) intituled *An Act to Amend the Partnership Act*.

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.



The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 14) intituled *An Act to Amend the Real Estate Act*.

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 17) intituled *An Act Respecting the Purchase of Unissued Shares of the Capital Stock of the Pacific Great Eastern Railway Company*.

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 37) intituled *An Act Respecting the Employment of Women before and after Childbirth*.

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 38) intituled *An Act to Amend the Annual Holidays Act*.

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 40) intituled *An Act Respecting Factories, Shops, Offices, and Elevators*.

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* (Minister of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce) presented the Report of the Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce for the year ended December 31, 1965.

**151** Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following questions:—

1. Have any studies been initiated to gauge the effect of Libby flooding upon the fish and wildlife in the flooded area and areas adjacent to it?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, when were such studies initiated, how extensive is the survey to be, and when will reports be available?
3. If the answer to No. 1 is no, will full and thorough surveys be made and when will these surveys commence?

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. The Fish and Game Branch conducted investigations into the effects of the Libby reservoir on sport fish and wildlife resources, in co-operation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, River Basins Study Group. This work was done in 1961/62, and a detailed report published on February 2, 1965, by the Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, which included the findings of the British Columbia investigations.”

**155** Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following questions:—

In regard to that portion of the Columbia River which will be affected by the Arrow Dam:—

1. Have any studies been made to determine the effect the Arrow Dam will have on fish and wildlife in the flooded area and areas adjacent to it?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, when were such studies initiated, who made them, and are reports available?
3. If the answer to No. 1 is no, will a full and thorough survey be made and when will it commence?

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. In 1962 and 1963; study made by the Fish and Game Branch and report prepared in March, 1965; a limited number of copies of report are available.”

**156** Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following questions:—

In regard to the fish and game surveys being made on Kootenay Lake and in the Lardeau area arising from the Duncan Dam construction:—

1. On what date were these surveys commenced?
2. Who is in charge of the project and how many individuals are working on it?
3. What is the total estimated cost of these surveys?
4. Is British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority making a contribution to these surveys and, if so, how much?
5. Is the Department of Recreation and Conservation making a contribution to these surveys and, if so, how much?
6. Have any reports on these surveys been made; if yes, when were the reports made and, if no, when are the reports expected?

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* replied as follows:—

- “1. Preliminary surveys were commenced in 1962.
- “2. Dr. A. H. Acara is in charge of project with a staff of eight people.
- “3. About \$400,000 for studies and surveys. (Does not include costs of spawning-channel, estimated at \$300,000.)
- “4. Yes; the total amount.
- “5. Department of Recreation and Conservation contributing in supervision and involvement of technical staff.
- “6. Report of preliminary surveys only prepared, other reports in process of preparation.”

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.55 p.m.

### Thursday, March 3, 1966

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Brig. *V. Underhill*.

On the motion of Mr. *Strachan*, Bill (No. 72) intituled *An Act to Amend the Medical Grant Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of Mrs. *Haggen*, Bill (No. 71) intituled *An Act to Amend the Fireworks Regulation Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of the Hon. *L. R. Peterson*, Bill (No. 70) intituled *An Act to Incorporate the Seminary of Christ the King* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order “Public Bills and Orders.”

The following Bills were committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed:—

Bill (No. 2) intituled *An Act to Amend the Regional Parks Act*.

Bill (No. 17) intituled *An Act Respecting the Purchase of Unissued Shares of the Capital Stock of the Pacific Great Eastern Railway Company*.

Bill (No. 4) intituled *An Act to Amend the Survivorship and Presumption of Death Act*.

Bill (No. 5) intituled *An Act to Amend the Plans Cancellation Act*.

Bill (No. 9) intituled *An Act to Amend the Supreme Court Act*.

Bill (No. 10) intituled *An Act to Amend the Partnership Act* was committed, reported complete with amendments. Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

The following Bills were committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed:—

Bill (No. 13) intituled *An Act to Amend the Wives' and Children's Maintenance Act*.

Bill (No. 14) intituled *An Act to Amend the Real Estate Act*.

Bill (No. 18) intituled *An Act to Amend the Social Services Tax Act*.

Bill (No. 19) intituled *An Act to Amend the Improvement Districts Assistance Loan Act*.

Bill (No. 20) intituled *An Act to Amend the Provincial Home-owner Grant Act*.

Bill (No. 21) intituled *An Act to Amend the British Columbia Ferry Authority Act*.

Bill (No. 22) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipalities Aid Act*.

Bill (No. 23) intituled *An Act to Amend the Medical Grant Act*.

Bill (No. 24) intituled *An Act Respecting the Revised Statutes of British Columbia*.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. K. Kiernan*, the Rules were suspended to permit the moving, without notice, of the following motion:—

Moved by the Hon. *W. K. Kiernan*, seconded by the Hon. *D. L. Brothers*,—

That the proceedings in relation to the third reading of Bill (No. 2) intituled *An Act to Amend the Regional Parks Act* be declared null and void, and the said Bill be recommitted in respect of section 3 thereof.

Motion agreed to.

Bill *Ordered* recommitted in respect of section 3. Bill reported complete with amendment. Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

The following Bills were committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed:—

Bill (No. 26) intituled *An Act to Amend the Official Guardian Act*.

Bill (No. 25) intituled *An Act to Amend the Greater Vancouver Water District Act*.

Bill (No. 27) intituled *An Act Respecting Crop Insurance*.

Bill (No. 30) intituled *An Act Respecting the Rutland Agricultural Society*.

Bill (No. 34) intituled *An Act to Amend the British Columbia Government Travel Bureau Act*.

Bill (No. 36) intituled *An Act to Amend the Farmers' Land-clearing Assistance Act*.

Bill (No. 37) intituled *An Act Respecting the Employment of Women before and after Childbirth*.

Bill (No. 38) intituled *An Act to Amend the Annual Holidays Act*.

Bill (No. 40) intituled *An Act Respecting Factories, Shops, Offices, and Elevators*.

**19** Mr. Dowding asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

1. Did any graduate psychiatric nurses resign from the Mental Health Services during the year 1965?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how many resigned and (b) what reasons, if any, were given for resignations?

The Hon. E. C. F. Martin replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) 206; and (b) personal and family, 94; other employment, 33; left British Columbia or area, 35; further education, 18; health reasons, 15; job dissatisfaction, 1; other reasons, 10; total, 206.”

**21** Mr. Dowding asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

With respect to the nursing staff at The Woodlands School:—

1. What was the total number of nurses employed as at December 31, 1965?

2. (a) How many are graduate psychiatric nurses and (b) how many are registered nurses?

3. Did any nurses resign during the year 1965?

4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, (a) how many were graduate psychiatric nurses and (b) how many were registered nurses?

The Hon. E. C. F. Martin replied as follows:—

“ 1. 279.

“ 2. (a) 259 and (b) 20.

“ 3. Yes.

“ 4. (a) 58 and (b) seven.”

**23** Mr. Dowding asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following question:—

How many male and how many female patients were admitted to The Woodlands School during the calendar year 1965?

The Hon. E. C. F. Martin replied as follows:—

“ Male, 115; female, 96; total, 211.”

**26** Mr. *Dowding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

1. During the year 1965 did any psychiatrists resign from the Mental Health Services?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what was the total number of resignations, (b) in what departments did the resignations take place, and (c) what reasons, if any, were given for the resignations in each case?

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) Six; (b) Riverview Hospital, 3; Mental Health Centre, Burnaby, 2; Mental Health Centre, Victoria, 1; and (c) resigned from full-time employment to undertake part-time appointments and part-time private practice, 3; resigned from part-time appointments in favour of full-time private practice, 3.”

**27** Mr. *Dowding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

1. What was the total number of psychiatric social workers employed in the Mental Health Services as at December 31, 1965?

2. Did any psychiatric social workers resign from the Mental Health Services during 1965?

3. If the answer to No. 2 is yes, (a) what was the total number of resignations, (b) in what departments did the resignations take place, and (c) what were the reasons, if any, given for resignation?

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* replied as follows:—

“ 1. 82.

“ 2. Yes.

“ 3. (a) 15; (b) Riverview Hospital, 5; The Woodlands School, 4; Mental Health Centre, Burnaby, 6; total, 15; and (c) other employment, 5; further education, 4; personal and family reasons, 2; left British Columbia or area, 4; total, 15.”

**28** Mr. *Dowding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following question:—

What was the total number of (a) psychiatric nurses, (b) registered nurses, and (c) psychiatric aides by category employed in the Mental Health Services as at December 31, 1965?

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* replied as follows:—

“(a) Psychiatric nurses, 983; (b) registered nurses, 124; and (c) psychiatric aides, 1,027.”

**69** Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

1. Were any children who are wards of the Superintendent of Child Welfare awaiting entry to The Woodlands School as at December 31, 1965?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how are these retarded children cared for, (b) for what length of time have these retarded children been awaiting entry, and (c) how many of these children were awaiting entry at December 31, 1965?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) In foster homes, (b) March and June, 1965, respectively, and (c) two children.”

**87** Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

With respect to public health advertising:—

1. During the year ended December 31, 1965, were any Government funds expended in a public campaign to urge moderation in the use of spirituous liquors?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) by advertising medium how much was expended and (b) in which areas?

The Hon. *E. C. Martin* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Not by the Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance. However, through a grant from the Provincial Secretary's Department to the Alcoholism Foundation of British Columbia, an educational approach with respect to moderation in the use of spirituous liquors is made through its monthly bulletin, pamphlets, exhibits, displays, and films. The Department of Education includes in its curriculum for senior secondary schools, studies with respect to hazards of alcoholism, while the Health Branch of the Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, through its Division of Public Health Education, has prepared material for experimental use in the spring at the Grade VII level in elementary and at the Grade X level in senior secondary selected schools.

“ 2. (a) The amount of the Government grant expended is left to the discretion of the organization and (b) throughout the Province, wherever bulletins, etc., are circulated.”

**121** Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Provincial Secretary the following questions:—

With reference to Estimates of Revenue and Expenditure, 1966/67, for the Department of the Provincial Secretary:—

1. What, if any, is the total amount estimated to be received from (a) the Government of Canada and (b) the municipalities?

2. With respect to each relevant vote, what, if any, is the amount estimated to be received from (a) the Government of Canada and (b) the municipalities, and what specific function within the vote is the money to be used for?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“ See Budget Speech, 1966, page 26, last sentence: ‘ Each Minister will be available during discussion of his estimates to give information concerning the change to any individual vote, if necessary.’ ”

**127** Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With reference to Estimates of Revenue and Expenditure, 1966/67, for the Department of Highways:—

1. What, if any, is the total amount estimated to be received from (a) the Government of Canada and (b) the municipalities?

2. With respect to each relevant vote, what, if any, is the amount estimated to be received from (a) the Government of Canada and (b) the municipalities, and what specific function within the vote is the money to be used for?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“Refer to Budget Speech, 1966, page 26, last sentence.”

**143** Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following question:—

When will the reconstruction be undertaken on the Hope–Princeton Highway at Copper Creek?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“No decision has been made.”

**144** Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following question:—

What steps are being taken to correct the very dangerous condition on the big slide on the highway between Lytton and Lillooet?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“Mapping and geological studies have been undertaken.”

**145** Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following question:—

Has the Department of Highways any plans to complete the road between Lillooet and Squamish and, if so, what are the details?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“The Department is working progressively on this road as funds permit, and is presently engaged in completion of the section from Squamish to Pemberton.”

**146** Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following question:—

Has the Department any plan to improve the three access roads into the town of Lytton and, if so, what are the details?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“The Department has completed field surveys for all three entrances into the Village of Lytton, and design is proceeding.”

**148** Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

Regarding the Nakusp–Gale Bay Road in the Kaslo–Slocan riding:—

1. Have all surveys for reconstruction of this highway been made?
2. If no, when are the surveys expected to be completed?
3. What sum of money was expended upon this highway in the fiscal year 1965/66?



The Hon. P. A. Gaglardi replied as follows:—

“ 1. Surveys for the relocation of the Halfway River Bridge only have been completed.

“ 2. Surveys, in the Galena Bay area, of those sections of the road subject to flooding by the Arrow Dam project will be carried out in the spring of 1966. Surveys for the remainder will be conducted as required to complement a programme for reconstruction.

“ 3. \$134,695.”

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.55 p.m.

---

**Thursday, March 3, 1966**

---

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

---

The Committee rose and reported progress.  
Report to be considered at the next sitting.  
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 10.52 p.m.

**Friday, March 4, 1966**

---

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Capt. *A. J. Mowatt*.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

The following Bills were read a third time and passed:—

Bill (No. 2) intituled *An Act to Amend the Regional Parks Act*.

Bill (No. 10) intituled *An Act to Amend the Partnership Act*.

The following Bills were committed, reported complete without amendments, read a third time and passed:—

Bill (No. 42) intituled *An Act to Amend the Coal Act*.

Bill (No. 43) intituled *An Act to Amend the Mineral Act*.

Bill (No. 48) intituled *An Act to Amend the Department of Recreation and Conservation Act*.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

2. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$76,269 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Premier's Office to 31st March, 1967.

---

The Committee reported the Resolution.  
Report to be considered at the next sitting.  
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Mr. Speaker made the following statement:—

*Honourable Members*,—On Friday, February 18th, at the hour of 6 p.m., the House was debating the motion “ That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair ” for the House to go into Committee of Supply. As the hour of 6 o'clock had arrived and my attention was drawn to the clock, I left the chair in accordance with our Standing Order No. 3, and announced that I would return at 8 p.m.

At this time the debate had not been adjourned and, accordingly, it was my opinion that the motion under consideration was superseded and, accordingly, was dropped from the Order Paper.

At the hour of 8 o'clock when I returned to the chair, on the motion of the Honourable *R. W. Bonner*, seconded by the Honourable *W. D. Black*, the Rules were suspended and the debate on the motion “ That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair ” for the House to go into Committee of Supply was resumed.

I was particularly concerned at the time with the effect of the words “ until disposed of ” as contained in the precedence motion passed on February 10, 1966, and, specifically, as to whether or not these words would prevent that motion from being superseded under the general parliamentary rules relating to superseded motions.

Further study of the matter did not disclose any conclusive solution and as in my view the matter is of considerable importance to the future guidance of the House, the problem was communicated to Sir Barnett Cocks, K.C.B., O.B.E., Clerk of the House of Commons at Westminster. Honourable members will know that Sir Barnett is the learned editor of Erskine May's treatise of the Law, Privileges, Proceedings, and Usage of Parliament, and as such is considered the foremost authority on parliamentary law and procedure.

This day I have received a reply from Sir Barnett indicating that the problem is well known to the House of Commons in England and, in fact, specific provision has been made in the English Standing Orders to avoid this situation whereby their Standing Order No. 17 was adopted in 1948 for the appointment of the Committee of Ways and Means “ for the duration ” of the Session. Our Standing Order No. 60 does not include such a provision.

Upon giving his consideration to the matter Sir Barnett has expressed his opinion as follows:—

“ The effect, accordingly, of the Sessional Order would seem to be to keep the Order for Supply at the head of all business on the paper (apart from the introduction of bills) until all the business of Supply is concluded. Obviously this cannot be done if the Order does not appear on the paper at all, and it is therefore my opinion that your Sessional Order, worded as it is, enjoins you to keep the Order for Supply on the paper either until the business of Supply is concluded or the House specifically resolves otherwise by the rescission of the Sessional Order.”

It would therefore appear that the words “ until disposed of ” contained in our Sessional Order, above referred to, renders inoperative the supersedence rule and, accordingly, the Order of the Day for Friday, February 18, 1966, at 8 p.m., was in error and should have retained the Order “ Adjourned debate on the motion ‘ That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair ’ for the House to go into Committee of Supply.”

W. H. MURRAY, *Speaker*.

**113** Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Provincial Secretary the following question:—

What was the total number of (a) permanent Civil Servants and (b) non-Civil Service employees on the Provincial payroll as of the following dates in 1965: April 30, May 31, June 30, July 31, August 31, September 30, October 31, November 30, and December 31?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“April 30, 1965, (a) 20,306 permanent and temporary Civil Servants and (b) 22 non-Civil Service employees; July 31, 1965, (a) 23,227 permanent and temporary Civil Servants and (b) 22 non-Civil Service employees; October 31, 1965, (a) 22,003 permanent and temporary Civil Servants and (b) 22 non-Civil Service employees; December 31, 1965, (a) 21,374 permanent and temporary Civil Servants and (b) 22 non-Civil Service employees.

“Complete totals not available for the other months requested.”

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. on Monday next.

And then the House adjourned at 5.50 p.m.

---

## Monday, March 7, 1966

---

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Rev. Canon *G. B. Baker*.

On the motion of Mr. *Strachan*, Bill (No. 75) intituled *An Act to Empower the Government of British Columbia to Engage in the Business of Automobile Accident Insurance* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of Mr. *Barrett*, Bill (No. 76) intituled *An Act Respecting the Rehabilitation of Prisoners* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of Mr. *Barrett*, Bill (No. 77) intituled *An Act to Amend the Training-schools Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

107. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$5,370 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1967.

108. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$165,570 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, General Administration, to 31st March, 1967.

109. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$381,600 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Controlling and Audit Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

110. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$345,264 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Data Processing Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

111. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$879,468 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Consumer Taxation Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

112. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$330,752 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Real Property Taxation Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

113. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$203,372 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Income Taxation Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

114. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$199,974 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, *Assessment Equalization Act*, to 31st March, 1967.

115. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$356,810 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Purchasing Commission, to 31st March, 1967.

116. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Langford Warehouse, to 31st March, 1967.

117. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,618,952 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Government Agencies, etc., to 31st March, 1967.

118. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,500,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Urban Renewal and Slum Clearance, to 31st March, 1967.

119. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$33,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Courts of Revision, to 31st March, 1967.

120. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$26,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Assessment Appeal Board, to 31st March, 1967.

121. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$65,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Printing Public Accounts, Estimates, Bonds, Revenue Receipts, etc., to 31st March, 1967.

122. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$134,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Temporary Assistance, to 31st March, 1967.

123. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$38,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Incidentals and Contingencies, to 31st March, 1967.

124. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, *Civil Service Superannuation Act*—Interest on Superannuation and Retirement Funds, to 31st March, 1967.

125. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, *Members of Legislative Assembly Superannuation Act*—Interest, to 31st March, 1967.

126. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, *Dyking Assessments Adjustment Act, 1905*, to 31st March, 1967.

127. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$61,952,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Grants in Aid of Local Governments and Home-owners' Subsidies, to 31st March, 1967.

128. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$45,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, *Supreme Court Act*—Interest on Suitors' Fund Deposits, to 31st March, 1967.

129. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$3,100,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Salary Contingencies and Adjustments (All Departments), to 31st March, 1967.

130. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$75,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Expense Contingencies (All Departments), to 31st March, 1967.

131. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$75,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Motor-vehicles and Accessories (All Departments), to 31st March, 1967.

132. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$150,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Power Subsidy, to 31st March, 1967.

133. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$3,556 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, *Nancy Sloan Act*, to 31st March, 1967.

---

The Committee reported the Resolutions.  
Report to be considered at the next sitting.  
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

**112** Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With reference to Highways Department patrolmen:—

1. What is the total number of patrolmen employed?
2. What are the names of the patrolmen appointed?
3. In the case of each patrolman, how was he appointed, (a) by Order in Council, (b) by Civil Service examination, or (c) direct by the Department of Highways?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“ 1. 34.

“ 2. Brown, J.; Buxton, F.; Carruthers, W.; Christian, W.; Cole, R.; Cooper, G.; Cunningham, H.; Dennies, H.; Fricker, F.; Fuoco, J.; Greenwood, P.; Harrison, N.; Hubbs, K.; Hyslop, J.; Jelley, B.; Jensen, H.; Johnston, J.; Kirkland, L.; Laluk, N.; McLaughlin, W.; Maher, P.; Malloy, D.; Maundrell, H.; Moodie, J.; Pickering, A.; Porter, K.; Rowland, G.; Schellenberg, J.; Schulz, C.; Straughan, D.; Taylor, D.; Thomas, H.; Vance, E.; and Weibe, B.

“ 3. (a) No, (b) 12 by Civil Service Commission interviews, and (c) 22 by Department, reviewed by Civil Service Commission.”

**147** Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

Regarding the proposed bridge to cross the Columbia River near Fauquier and Needles:—

1. Has the site for the proposed bridge been selected?
2. If so, when will the first contracts for construction be let?
3. Is it expected to complete construction prior to flooding of the Arrow Lakes in the spring of 1969?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. Date not set.

“ 3. Date of completion not set.”

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.42 p.m.

## Tuesday, March 8, 1966

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Rev. *S. Parsons*.

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Administration Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*March 7, 1966.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

## (IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 73) intituled *An Act to Amend the Administration Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.  
 Report adopted.  
 Bill introduced and read a first time.  
 Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *D. R. J. Campbell* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipal Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*March 7, 1966.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

## (IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 74) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipal Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.  
 Report adopted.  
 Bill introduced and read a first time.  
 Second reading at the next sitting after today.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 12) intituled *An Act to Facilitate the Subdivision of Land in Strata and the Disposition of Title Thereto*.

The debate continued.  
 Motion agreed to.  
 Bill read a second time.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 32) intituled *An Act to Amend the Constitution Act*.

The debate continued.  
 The House divided.



Motion agreed to on the following division:—

YEAS—29

Messieurs

<i>Calder</i>	<i>Price</i>	<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Speare</i>	<i>Brothers</i>	<i>MacSorley</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Smith</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Carnell</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Martin</i>
<i>Matthew</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Gaglardi</i>
<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Huhn</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Shelford</i>			

NAYS—19

Messieurs

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Gargrave</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Turner</i>
<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Lundell</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>McKay</i>	<i>Squire</i>	<i>Robinson</i>
<i>Eddie</i>	<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Harding</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Macfarlane</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 35) intituled *An Act to Provide for the Conservation of Wildlife*.

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

By leave, the House reverted to the Order “Presenting Reports by Standing and Special Committees.”

Mr. *Matthew* presented the Fifteenth, Sixteenth, Seventeenth, Eighteenth, Nineteenth, and Twentieth Reports of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:—

#### REPORT No. 15

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,  
March 8, 1966.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the preamble of Bill (No. 50) intituled *An Act to Incorporate Fraser Valley Mutual Insurance Company* has been proved, and the Bill ordered to be reported without amendments.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman*.

## REPORT No. 16

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,  
March 8, 1966.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the preamble of Bill (No. 54) intituled *An Act to Amend the Victoria Foundation Act, 1936*, has been proved, and the Bill ordered to be reported without amendments.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman*.

## REPORT No. 17

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,  
March 8, 1966.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the petitioners for a Private Bill intituled *An Act to Incorporate the Major Life & Casualty Company of Canada* have asked leave to withdraw the Bill. Your Committee recommends that such leave be granted, and further recommends that of the total amount of \$1,000 deposit, \$700 be refunded to the solicitors for the petitioners.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman*.

## REPORT No. 18

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,  
March 8, 1966.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the preamble of Bill (No. 55) intituled *An Act to Incorporate the Heritage Life Assurance Corporation* has been proved, and the Bill ordered to be reported with amendments.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman*.

## REPORT No. 19

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,  
March 8, 1966.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the preamble of Bill (No. 56) intituled *An Act to Incorporate the Southwest Mortgage Indemnity Corporation Limited* has been proved, and the Bill ordered to be reported with amendments.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman*.

## REPORT NO. 20

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,  
March 8, 1966.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the preamble of Bill (No. 59) intituled *An Act to Amend the Seaboard Assurance Company Act, 1953*, has been proved, and the Bill ordered to be reported without amendments.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman.*

The reports were read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the reports severally adopted.

**13** Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce the following question:—

During the years 1963, 1964, and 1965, how many employees were engaged in (a) primary industries and (b) secondary industries?

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* replied as follows:—

“In the primary industries, forestry and mining statistics are published. Comparable statistics for agriculture and fishing are not available presumably because of the large number of self-employed in both of these industries. The following table represents all available statistics on this question:—

*Estimates of Employees, by Industry, British Columbia (Monthly Averages)*  
(In thousands.)

	1963	1964	1965 (Estimated)
Forestry.....	18.6	18.5	19.4
Mining.....	8.7	8.7	9.3
Manufacturing—			
Non-durable goods.....	39.2	40.9	42.2
Durable goods.....	66.8	67.4	71.5
	106.0	108.4	113.5
Construction.....	23.7	27.7	32.2
Transportation, communication, and other utilities ...	62.4	65.2	69.3
Trade.....	80.6	85.3	91.4
Finance, insurance, real estate.....	18.2	19.6	21.3
Service and commercial sector.....	44.6	49.0	55.3
	362.8	382.4	412.2
Total.....	362.8	382.4	412.2

“NOTE.—Not included above are employees in agriculture, fishing, non-commercial service, and public administration and defence. The 1961 Census reported 8,266 employees in agriculture, 1,437 in fishing, and 46,000 in public administration and defence. The estimated agricultural labour force (including self-employed and unemployed) was 22,000 in 1965; the fishing labour force was estimated to number between 10,000 and 15,000.”

**55** Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce the following questions:—

With respect to offshore trade missions during the 12-month period ended December 31, 1965:—

1. What was the total cost to the Provincial Government of these missions?
2. Of those participating in the missions on behalf of this Province, (a) how many were businessmen, (b) how many were Government Ministers, (c) how many were public relations officials, (d) how many were economists, and (e) how many were Civil Service personnel from the Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce?

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* replied as follows:—

“1. During the 12-month period ended December 31, 1965, one offshore trade mission to Europe was organized by the Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce. The cost was \$11,516.82.

“2. (a) 13, (b) 1, (c) none, (d) 1, and (e) 1.”

**56** Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce the following questions:—

With respect to the Peace River area:—

1. In the year ended December 31, 1965, were any inquiries received with respect to the establishment of power-based industries in the Peace River area of British Columbia?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how many companies are committed to establish new industries in the Peace River area?

3. What will be the estimated power-load demand of these new industries?

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* replied as follows:—

“1. Yes.

“2. Two.

“3. 80,000 kilowatts (in addition to the normal domestic and commercial load growth for the Peace River area).”

**57** Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce the following questions:—

With respect to Industrial Development Bank loans to British Columbia industry:—

1. Has the Department been provided with any statistics to indicate the total amount of money outstanding or committed by the Industrial Development Bank to British Columbia firms as of December 31, 1965?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what is the total amount of money outstanding or committed and (b) how many British Columbia firms are receiving assistance from the Industrial Development Bank?

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* replied as follows:—

“1. No.

“2. See Report of Industrial Development Bank for fiscal year ended September 30, 1965, pages 16 and 17.”

**82** Mr. *Carnell* asked the Hon. the Minister of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce the following questions:—

1. Has any progress been made on economic survey for the Peace River District?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, when will the report be made available?

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. Before March 31, 1966.”

**89** Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce the following questions:—

With respect to trade with Italy:—

1. During the year ended December 31, 1965, what was the estimated dollar value of British Columbia exports to Italy?

2. During the same period, what was the estimated value of Italian products exported to the Province of British Columbia?

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* replied as follows:—

“ 1 and 2. No full-year statistics available at this date. Exports to Italy through British Columbia customs ports for first 10 months of 1965 total \$15,-634,799 (estimated share of British Columbia origin \$6.8 million). Imports from Italy through British Columbia customs ports for first eight months of 1965 total \$4,279,754.”

**126** Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce the following questions:—

With reference to Estimates of Revenue and Expenditure, 1966/67, for the Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce:—

1. What, if any, is the total amount estimated to be received from (a) the Government of Canada and (b) the municipalities?

2. With respect to each relevant vote, what, if any, is the amount estimated to be received from (a) the Government of Canada and (b) the municipalities, and what specific function within the vote is the money to be used for?

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* replied as follows:—

“ 1. (a) and (b) No amount received.

“ 2. (a) and (b) Answered by No. 1.”

**160** Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following questions:—

With respect to the reservoir area behind the Duncan Dam:—

1. What was the cut in merchantable timber for the year ended December 31, 1965?

2. What was the total amount of timber removed from this area to December 31, 1965?

3. What is the estimated cut remaining in this area?

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* replied as follows:—

“ 1. 553,000 cubic feet.

“ 2. 1,805,000 cubic feet.

“ 3. No complete estimate of volume of remaining flood timber available, as this will be determined, finally, by degree of utilization.”

**161** Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following questions:—

With respect to the reservoir area behind the Mica Dam:—

1. What was the total cut in merchantable timber for the year ended December 31, 1965?

2. What was the total amount of merchantable timber removed from this area to December 31, 1965?

3. What is the estimated amount of merchantable timber remaining in the reservoir area?

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* replied as follows:—

“ 1. 4,402,000 cubic feet.

“ 2. 15,942,000 cubic feet.

“ 3. 33,577,000 cubic feet, but final volume salvaged will be determined by degree of utilization.”

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.31 p.m.

---

**Tuesday, March 8, 1966**

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.  
Report to be considered at the next sitting.  
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 11.37 p.m.

## Wednesday, March 9, 1966

---

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Rev. R. B. Salters.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

3. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$33,160 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1967.

4. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$124,018 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, General Administration, to 31st March, 1967.

5. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$89,040 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Markets and Statistics Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

6. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$208,882 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Horticultural Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

7. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$34,700 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Plant Pathology Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

8. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$56,904 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Entomology Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

9. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$28,441 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Apiary Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

10. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$582,845 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Live Stock Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

11. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$194,463 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Dairy Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

12. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$108,626 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Poultry Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

13. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$76,372 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Field Crops and Seed Improvement Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

14. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$12,060 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Farmers' Institutes, to 31st March, 1967.

15. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$15,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Women's Institutes, to 31st March, 1967.

16. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$127,698 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Soil Survey Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

17. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$297,757 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Development and Extension Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

18. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$82,066 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Engineering Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

19. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$71,260 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, 4-H Clubs, to 31st March, 1967.

20. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$88,312 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Milk Board, to 31st March, 1967.

21. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$115,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Temporary Assistance, to 31st March, 1967.

22. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$25,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Motor-vehicles and Accessories, to 31st March, 1967.

23. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$73,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Grants and Subsidies, to 31st March, 1967.

24. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Rebates on Stumping-powder, to 31st March, 1967.

25. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$125,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Subsidies on Agricultural Lime, to 31st March, 1967.

26. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$22,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Farm Labour Service, to 31st March, 1967.

27. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$20 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Farms, to 31st March, 1967.

28. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$700,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Farmers' Land-clearing and Domestic Water Assistance, to 31st March, 1967.

29. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$750 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Pound District Act, to 31st March, 1967.

30. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$37,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, *Grasshopper-control Act*, to 31st March, 1967.

31. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,200 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, *Natural Products Marketing (B.C.) Act*, to 31st March, 1967.

32. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$70,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Pest Control, to 31st March, 1967.



33. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$16,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Aid in Construction of Storage Facilities, Farm Commodities, to 31st March, 1967.

34. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,600,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, *Agricultural Rehabilitation and Development Act*, to 31st March, 1967.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.  
Report to be considered at the next sitting.  
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

**95** Mr. *Eddie* asked the Hon. the Minister of Labour the following questions:—

With respect to commercial fishermen applying for workmen's compensation as independent operators during 1965:—

1. Did any apply for compensation and, if so, how many and how many in each month?

2. What was the total number of months where compensation coverage was provided?

3. What were the total payments paid to the Workmen's Compensation Board therefor?

4. How many claims were made for the following: (a) Death claims, (b) claims for temporary total disability, (c) claims for permanent partial disability, and (d) claims for permanent total disability?

5. How many of the claims were approved and how many were rejected?

6. What was the total amount of money paid out by the Workmen's Compensation Board pursuant thereto?

7. Were any of the claims rejected solely on the grounds that the fishermen concerned had not paid a premium for coverage?

8. Did any suffer fatal accidents?

9. If the answer to No. 8 is yes, how many were covered by workmen's compensation under (a) the independent operators' plan and (b) those sections of the Act which define certain categories of fishermen as workmen?

10. Did any commercial fishermen suffer either temporary total disability, permanent partial disability, or permanent total disability and, if so, how many of these were covered by workmen's compensation (a) as workmen under the Act or (b) as independent operators?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* replied as follows:—

" 1. Yes, 1,965, as follows: January, 133; February, 59; March, 245; April, 664; May, 332; June, 330; July, 142; August, 35; September, 8; October, 9; November, 8; and December, *nil*.

" 2. 10,013.

" 3. \$80,240.72.

" 4. (a) 6, (b) 64, (c) 4, and (d) none.

" 5. Sixty-one were approved and 13 were disallowed.

" 6. \$108,177.83. This amount does not include any provision for amounts still to be paid on unfinalled claims or any provision for administration charges or other special levies to the class funds.

“ 7. No.

“ 8. Yes.

“ 9. (a) Four and (b) eight.

“ 10. Yes. (a) 135 and (b) 57.”

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.49 p.m.

---

## Thursday, March 10, 1966

---

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Mr. Speaker.

On the motion of Mr. *Macdonald*, Bill (No. 78) intituled *The Sickness and Injury Benefit Investigation Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.  
Report to be considered at the next sitting.  
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *R. G. Williston*, it was *Ordered* that the Rules be suspended to permit the introduction, without notice, of a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Water Act* at the next sitting of the House.

The Hon. *W. N. Chant* (Minister of Public Works) presented the Annual Report of the Department of Public Works for the fiscal year ended March 31, 1965.

**12** Mr. *Stupich* asked the Hon. the Minister of Public Works the following questions:—

With reference to construction at the George Pearson Hospital to be completed by August 31, 1965, and the Minister's answer to Question No. 159 recorded in the Journals for 1965:—

1. Has this construction been completed?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what was the total cost of this construction?

The Hon. *W. N. Chant* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. \$257,519.”

**133** Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture the following questions:—

With reference to Estimates of Revenue and Expenditure, 1966/67, for the Department of Agriculture:—

1. What, if any, is the total amount estimated to be received from (a) the Government of Canada and (b) the municipalities?

2. With respect to each relevant vote, what, if any, is the amount estimated to be received from (a) the Government of Canada and (b) the municipalities, and what specific function within the vote is the money to be used for?

The Hon. *F. X. Richter* replied as follows:—

“1 and 2. The estimated amount recoverable from the Federal Government under various votes: 4-H Clubs, \$9,000; lime subsidies, \$70,000; farm labour, \$11,000; ARDA, \$1,550,000; total, \$1,640,000; and from municipalities, *nil*.”

**165** Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture the following questions:—

With respect to land-clearing costs in the Province:—

1. What is the estimated cost of clearing land in the various regions of British Columbia as outlined by your Department?

2. What degree of clearing do the above costs cover?

The Hon. *F. X. Richter* replied as follows:—

“1. The Department of Agriculture does not attempt to estimate the cost of clearing by areas, recognizing that cost is related to cover and terrain in individual situations. Average costs on an annual basis are determined from actual jobs completed in the year under report. For the 1965 operating season these were: Peace River North, \$17.90; Peace River South, \$21.50; Prince George, \$36.30; McBride, \$62; Vanderhoof, \$20.30; Smithers, \$34.20; Quesnel, \$52; Williams Lake, \$49.40; Kamloops, \$42; Okanagan, \$59; Kootenays, \$38.10; Lower Mainland, \$148; Pemberton, \$70; and Vancouver Island, \$122.

“2. The above costs cover only the machine costs involved in removing the standing timber and placing it in either piles or windrows.”

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.57 p.m.

**Thursday, March 10, 1966**

---

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

On the motion of the Hon. *R. G. Williston*, Bill (No. 79) intituled *An Act to Amend the Water Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

35. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$34,664 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Administration, Attorney-General's Office, to 31st March, 1967.

36. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$251,598 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Administration, General Administration, to 31st March, 1967.

37. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$6,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Administration, Incidentals and Contingencies, to 31st March, 1967.

38. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$476,602 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Justice and Courts, Supreme and County Courts, to 31st March, 1967.

39. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$610,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Justice and Courts, Administration of Justice, to 31st March, 1967.

40. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$125,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Justice and Courts, Constitutional Litigation and General Law Costs, to 31st March, 1967.

41. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$100,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Justice and Courts, Coroners' Inquests and Inquiries, to 31st March, 1967.

42. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$281,514 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Justice and Courts, Sheriffs' Offices, to 31st March, 1967.

43. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$282,552 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Justice and Courts, Official Court Reporters, to 31st March, 1967.

44. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,750 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Justice and Courts, Promotion of Uniformity Legislation, to 31st March, 1967.

45. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$5,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Justice and Courts, Coroners' Conference, to 31st March, 1967.

46. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Justice and Courts, Magistrates' Conference, to 31st March, 1967.

47. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$5,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Justice and Courts, Prosecutors' Conference, to 31st March, 1967.

48. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$9,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Justice and Courts, *Court of Appeal Act*, to 31st March, 1967.

49. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$15,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Justice and Courts, *Supreme Court Act*, to 31st March, 1967.

50. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$16,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Justice and Courts, *County Courts Act*, to 31st March, 1967.

51. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$240,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Justice and Courts, *Magistrates Act*, to 31st March, 1967.

52. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,118,965 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Registration, Land Registry Offices, to 31st March, 1967.

53. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$136,814 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Registration, Companies Office, to 31st March, 1967.

54. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,795,239 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Registration, Motor-vehicle Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

55. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$165,348 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Trustees, Public Trustee's Office, to 31st March, 1967.

56. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$106,862 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Regulation and Inspection, Insurance and Real Estate Office, to 31st March, 1967.

57. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$66,972 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Regulation and Inspection, Securities Commission Office, to 31st March, 1967.

58. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$63,018 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Regulation and Inspection, Credit Unions Office, to 31st March, 1967.

59. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$219,214 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Regulation and Inspection, Fire Marshal's Office, to 31st March, 1967.

60. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$27,526 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Regulation and Inspection, Censor of Moving Pictures Office, to 31st March, 1967.

61. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$67,120 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Regulation and Inspection, Racing Commission, to 31st March, 1967.

62. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,771,002 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Police Services, Policing by Royal Canadian Mounted Police, to 31st March, 1967.

63. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$101,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Police Services, Sundry Incidental Police Expenses, to 31st March, 1967.

64. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Police Services, Grant *re* Police Academy, to 31st March, 1967.

65. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$236,711 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Corrections, Corrections Office, to 31st March, 1967.

66. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,151,719 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Corrections, Probation Offices, to 31st March, 1967.

67. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$16,036 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Corrections, Parole Board, to 31st March, 1967.

68. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$389,670 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Corrections, Alouette River Unit, to 31st March, 1967.

69. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$78,750 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Corrections, Narcotic Drug Treatment, to 31st March, 1967.

70. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$3,410,170 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Corrections, Oakalla Prison Farm, to 31st March, 1967.

71. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$476,345 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Corrections, Women's Gaol and Camp, to 31st March, 1967.

72. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$568,352 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Corrections, Chilliwack Forest Camps, to 31st March, 1967.

73. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$554,339 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Corrections, Prince George Gaol and Forest Camp, to 31st March, 1967.

74. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$526,906 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Corrections, Kamloops Gaol and Forest Camps, to 31st March, 1967.

75. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,319,578 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Corrections, Haney Correctional Institution (Including Forest Camps and New Haven), to 31st March, 1967.

76. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$634,928 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Corrections, Vancouver Island Unit and Camps, to 31st March, 1967.

77. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,850 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Commercial Transport, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1967.

78. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$85,362 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Commercial Transport, General Administration, to 31st March, 1967.

79. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$92,146 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Commercial Transport, Engineering Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

80. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$535,050 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Commercial Transport, Weigh-scale Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.  
Report to be considered at the next sitting.  
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

**18** Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

1. Did the Government burn any Provincial or Provincial-guaranteed bonds during 1964?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) on what date or dates were they burned, (b) what were the series numbers of the bonds, (c) in what amounts and for what purposes were the moneys raised when the bonds were issued, (d) on what dates were the moneys borrowed, (e) what was the due date for repayment of these moneys, (f) did any part of the moneys used to retire these bonds come, directly or indirectly, from the general revenues of the Province and, if so, in what amounts and in what years were these amounts paid to the Crown corporation, and (h) what was the total value of the bonds?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) August 1, 1964; (c) \$50,204,600, to repay outstanding temporary borrowings of the British Columbia Toll Highways and Bridges Authority; (d) August 1, 1961; (e) August 1, 1964; (f) yes, *see* Public Accounts, 1962/63, page 64, Public Accounts, 1963/64, pages E 25 and 64, and Public Accounts, 1964/65, page E 25; and (h) all cancelled bonds.”

The Hon. the Minister of Finance stated that, in his opinion, the reply to Question No. 18, 2 (b), should be in the form of a Return and that he had no objection to laying such Return upon the table of the House, and thereupon presented such Return.

**36** Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Council the following questions:—

1. Were any printed copies of the Budget Speech for 1965 distributed to other than elected members?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how many were so distributed and (b) what was the total cost to the Government of the copies so distributed?
3. Did any source, other than the Government, pay for the printing and (or) distribution of the copies referred to in No. 2?
4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, (a) who were the organizations and (or) persons concerned and (b) what was the total cost to them of printing and (or) distribution?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) 55,000 and (b) \$16,650 (30 cents per copy).

“ 3. Printing, no; distribution, not known as copies sent to individuals and organizations as requested.

“ 4. Not applicable.”

**54** Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

With respect to the Pacific Great Eastern Railway:—

1. In the year ended December 31, 1965, did the Province of British Columbia make any formal written request to the Federal Government for financial aid to this railway?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how much aid was requested?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. \$17,334,000, for miles constructed and in use.”

**59** Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

With respect to commemorative medallions to mark Provincial Government construction projects:—

1. Since 1953, how many medallions have been minted?

2. How many medallions have been distributed (a) to individuals, (b) to coin dealers, and (c) to other business firms?

3. What has been the cost to the Government of issuing these medallions?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Not known.

“ 2. (a), (b), and (c) Not known.

“ 3. \$1,141.88 for ‘ last toll ’ medallions. All others paid for by contractors.”

**88** Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

With respect to the 1965 Financial and Economic Review:—

1. How many copies were printed?

2. What was the cost of printing and distribution?

3. How many copies were distributed (a) in British Columbia, (b) in other Provinces of Canada, (c) in Great Britain, and (d) in Europe?

4. How many copies were distributed to (a) the schools and universities of British Columbia, (b) to members of the business community, and (c) through the Young Social Credit booth at the Pacific National Exhibition?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. 50,000.

“ 2. \$16,000.

“ 3. Not known.

“ 4. Not known.”

**91** Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

With respect to land acquisition necessitated by Columbia Treaty requirements:—

1. As of December 31, 1965, how many parcels of land had been acquired by B.C. Hydro?

2. What was the cost to the Government of this land acquisition?

3. What is the total number of land parcels sought by B.C. Hydro?

4. Have any properties been acquired under expropriation procedures?

5. If the answer to No. 4 is yes, (a) how many and (b) how many were acquired at an ultimate cost (i) lower than the original B.C. Hydro offer, (ii) at the same cost as the original offer by B.C. Hydro, (iii) at a cost higher than the original B.C. Hydro offer?



The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. 1,138.
- “ 2. *Nil*.
- “ 3. Presently estimated at 4,979.
- “ 4. Yes.
- “ 5. (a) Five and (b) (i) one, (ii) three, (iii) one.”

**93** Mr. *Turner* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

With respect to the Provincial Government share of the administration of the Federal *Municipal Development and Loan Act* (chapter 13 of the Statutes of Canada, 1963):—

- 1. How many municipalities or other bodies have, since the proclamation of the Act, applied for loans?
- 2. How many of the applications were approved?
- 3. How many projects have been completed within the time limits allowed?
- 4. How many projects are still in process of construction and give amounts of loans and other information by dates, municipalities, and other bodies as requested by Nos. 1, 2, 3, and 4?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. 173.
- “ 2. 150; 18 of the applications which were not approved were received after the funds allocated to the Province were fully used up by approved loans.
- “ 3. The time limit is March 31, 1966. It is anticipated that almost all projects will be completed by that date.
- “ 4. The administration concerned with project construction, actual costs, processing of loan financing, etc., is under the jurisdiction of the Federal authority. No information is available on the status of projects in respect of stage of construction.”

The Hon. the Minister of Finance stated that, in his opinion, the tabulation of loans approved should be in the form of a Return and that he had no objection to laying such Return upon the table of the House, and thereupon presented such Return.

**108** Mr. *Stupich* asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Council the following question:—

With reference to the Return concerning British Columbia Hydro depreciation rates tabled in answer to Question 167, 1965:—

What was the original balance of the “Utility Plant Acquisition Adjustment” account on (a) electric service, (b) gas service (Mainland and Island), (c) urban transportation service (Mainland and Island), (d) rail freight service, and (e) interurban bus service?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“(a) \$22,953,168, (b) \$3,802,175, (c) \$5,108,321, (d) \$3,185,310, and (e) \$375,224.”

**129** Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

With reference to Estimates of Revenue and Expenditure, 1966/67, for the Department of Finance:—

1. What, if any, is the total amount estimated to be received from (a) the Government of Canada and (b) the municipalities?

2. With respect to each relevant vote, what, if any, is the amount estimated to be received from (a) the Government of Canada and (b) the municipalities, and what specific function within the vote is the money to be used for?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. (a) *Nil* and (b) \$18,000.

“ 2. (a) *Nil* and (b) Courts of Revision, \$18,000.”

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 11.30 p.m.

---

## Friday, March 11, 1966

---

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *John Harvey*.

The following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today:—

On the motion of the Hon. *R. G. Williston*, Bill (No. 69) intituled *An Act to Amend the Land Act*.

On the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, Bill (No. 15) intituled *An Act to Amend the Companies Act*.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, it was *Ordered* that the Rules be suspended to permit the introduction, without notice, of Bill (No. 80) intituled *An Act Respecting the Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals*. Bill introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, it was *Ordered* that the Rules be suspended to permit the committal of Bill (No. 12) intituled *An Act to Facilitate the Subdivision of Land in Strata and the Disposition of Title Thereto* in an amended form.

Bill in amended form *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of Mr. *Turner*, Bill (No. 81) intituled *An Act to Establish a Human Rights Commission* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

Bill (No. 32) intituled *An Act to Amend the Constitution Act* was committed, progress reported, Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Bill (No. 8) intituled *An Act to Amend the Trustee Act* was committed, reported complete with amendments. Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 39) intituled *An Act Respecting Firearms*.

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 41) intituled *An Act to Amend the Milk Industry Act*.

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 46) intituled *An Act to Amend the Motor-vehicle Act*.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Perrault*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. on Monday next.

And then the House adjourned at 4.58 p.m.