

Friday, March 15, 1968

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *F. Oike*.

On the motion of Mr. *Parkinson*, Bill (No. 81) intituled *An Act to Amend the Hospital Insurance Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

Mr. *Perrault* rose pursuant to Standing Order 26, claiming the occurrence of a breach of privilege of the House, namely, an aggravation of the infringement of an Order of this House recorded on January 25, 1968, "That the Votes and Proceedings of this House be printed, being first perused by Mr. Speaker, and that he do appoint the printing thereof, and that no person but such as he shall appoint do presume to print the same."

Mr. *Perrault* submitted a motion as follows:—

Whereas, in a statement published February 19, 1968, and entitled "M.L.A.'s at Work" bearing the coat of arms of British Columbia and bearing the names of the New Democratic Party members of this Assembly, the following words appear:—

"Collective bargaining for ferry workers defeated by Social Credit and Liberal Parties."

Mr. *Barrett* moved the following amendment to Bill (No. 10), a Bill which placed the British Columbia Ferry Authority workers under the jurisdiction of the Department of Highways:—

"All employees under the authority of this Act shall be accorded the rights of collective bargaining under the *Labour Relations Act*."

The amendment was defeated 34 to 15.

The Liberals voted with the Government against collective bargaining.

The record of this vote is printed in the Votes and Proceedings of the Legislature for February 16, 1968.

And whereas in the Votes and Proceedings of the Legislative Assembly of British Columbia for Friday, February 16, 1968, there appeared the following:—

"(IN THE COMMITTEE)

"In Committee on Bill (No. 10) intituled *An Act to Vest the Undertaking of the British Columbia Ferry Authority in the Crown*, Mr. *Barrett* moved an amendment as follows:—

"That section 4 be deleted and the following substituted:—

"All employees under the authority of this Act shall be accorded the rights of collective bargaining under the *Labour Relations Act*."

"The Chairman ruled the amendment out of order on the ground that the amendment was beyond the scope of the clause under consideration and was inconsistent with the principle of the Bill.

"The Chairman's ruling was appealed to the House.

"The Chairman's ruling was sustained on the following division:—"

Now therefore be it Resolved, That the statement published under date of February 19, 1968, and entitled "M.L.A.'s at Work," bearing the coat of arms of

British Columbia and bearing the names of the New Democratic Party members of this Assembly constitutes an infringement of the Order of this House appearing in Votes and Proceedings of January 25, 1968: "The Hon. *W. D. Black* moved, seconded by the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, That the Votes and Proceedings of this House be printed, being first perused by Mr. Speaker, and that he do appoint the printing thereof, and that no person but such as he shall appoint do presume to print the same,"—and, being a false and perverted report of the proceedings of this House, is a misrepresentation aggravating the infringement of this Order, and that the parties responsible therefor be subject to censure.

Mr. Speaker ruled, referring to the 17th edition of May at page 378, that matters of privilege must be raised at the earliest opportunity after occurrence of the matter complained of, and that as this had not been done, the motion should be on notice.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

Bill (No. 1) intituled *An Act for the Protection of Personal Privacy* was committed, reported complete with amendments. Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

The following Bills were committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed:—

Bill (No. 28) intituled *An Act to Amend the Department of Commercial Transport Act*.

Bill (No. 36) intituled *An Act to Extend the Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court to Approve Variations of Trust in the Interests of Beneficiaries and to Sanction Dealings with Trust Property*.

Bill (No. 43) intituled *An Act to Amend the Evidence Act*.

Bill (No. 44) intituled *An Act to Amend the Real Estate Act*.

Bill (No. 7) intituled *An Act to Amend the Mining Tax Act* was committed, reported complete with amendments. Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

Bill (No. 8) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipalities Aid Act* was committed, reported complete without amendment.

The Committee further reported that in consideration of section 2 the Committee divided.

The Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Strachan*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the division on section 2 be recorded in the Journals of the House as follows:—

YEAS—21

Messieurs

<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Vulliamy</i>	<i>Macfarlane</i>	<i>Eddie</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>
<i>Hall</i>	<i>Parkinson</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Harding</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Daily, Mrs.</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>Berger</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Williams, R. A.</i>			

NAYS—26

Messieurs

<i>LeCours</i>	<i>McCarthy, Mrs.</i>	<i>Wenman</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Dawson, Mrs.</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>Little</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>McDiarmid</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Capozzi</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Shelford</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>		

PAIR:

Messieurs

Clark *Bonner*

Bill read a third time and passed.

The following Bills were committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed:—

Bill (No. 9) intituled *An Act to Amend the Assessment Equalization Act.*

Bill (No. 11) intituled *An Act to Establish a Fund for the Purpose of Assisting the Construction of a Third Crossing of the Burrard Inlet, Vancouver.*

Bill (No. 13) intituled *An Act to Amend the Logging Tax Act.*

Bill (No. 14) intituled *An Act to Amend the British Columbia School Districts Capital Financing Authority Act.*

Bill (No. 15) intituled *An Act to Amend the British Columbia Regional Hospital Districts Financing Authority Act.*

Bill (No. 17) intituled *An Act to Amend the Pollution Control Act, 1967*, was committed, reported complete with amendments. Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

The Committee further reported that in consideration of section 2 the Committee divided.

The Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Strachan*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the division on section 2 be recorded in the Journals of the House as follows:—

YEAS—21

Messieurs

<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Vulliamy</i>	<i>Macfarlane</i>	<i>Eddie</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>
<i>Hall</i>	<i>Parkinson</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Harding</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Dailly, Mrs.</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>Berger</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Williams, R. A.</i>			

NAYS—28

Messieurs

<i>LeCours</i>	<i>McCarthy, Mrs.</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>Vogel</i>
<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Jordan, Mrs.</i>	<i>Wenman</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Dawson, Mrs.</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>Little</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>McDiarmid</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Shelford</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Capozzi</i>	<i>Richter</i>

PAIR:

Messieurs

*Clark**Bonner*

The Committee further reported that in consideration of section 5 the Committee divided.

The Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Strachan*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the division on section 5 be recorded in the Journals of the House as follows:—

YEAS—28

Messieurs

<i>LeCours</i>	<i>McCarthy, Mrs.</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>Vogel</i>
<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Jordan, Mrs.</i>	<i>Wenman</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Dawson, Mrs.</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>Little</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>McDiarmid</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Shelford</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Capozzi</i>	<i>Richter</i>

NAYS—21

Messieurs

<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Vulliamy</i>	<i>Macfarlane</i>	<i>Eddie</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>
<i>Hall</i>	<i>Parkinson</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Harding</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Dailly, Mrs.</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>Berger</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Williams, R. A.</i>			

PAIR:

Messieurs

*Bonner**Clark*

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House reverted to “Presenting Reports by Standing and Special Committees.”

Mr. *Wolfe* presented the First Report of the Select Standing Committee on Public Accounts and Printing, as follows:—

REPORT NO. 1

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,

March 14, 1968.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Public Accounts and Printing begs leave to report as follows:—

Your Committee summoned Mr. Willard E. Ireland, Chairman of the Public Documents Committee, established by the *Public Documents Disposal Act (Revised Statutes of British Columbia, 1960, c. 134)*, and, having heard the submission on behalf of the Public Documents Committee, recommends that, in accordance with the provisions of the *Public Documents Disposal Act*, approval be given for the destruction of various public documents as listed in the submissions to the Public Documents Committee for 1968 as amended by the Select Standing Committee on

Public Accounts and Printing in so far as the following departments of Government are concerned: Education; Finance; Lands, Forests, and Water Resources (Forest Service); Health Services and Hospital Insurance; Mines and Petroleum Resources.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

EVAN WOLFE, *Chairman.*

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. on Monday next.

And then the House adjourned at 5.31 p.m.

Monday, March 18, 1968

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Father *W. J. Boland*.

On the motion of Mr. *Gardom*, Bill (No. 82) intituled *An Act to Amend the Infants Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. D. Black*, Bill (No. 79) intituled *An Act Respecting the Registered Psychiatric Nurses Association of British Columbia* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of Mr. *Barrett*, Bill (No. 83) intituled *An Act Respecting the Standard Display of Prices* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.

Report to be considered at the next sitting.

Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the Rules were suspended to permit the moving of the following motion:—

Moved by the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, seconded by Mr. *McGeer*,—

Resolved, That this Legislative Assembly, in recognition of the historic and continuing contribution of Canadians to the development of hockey, and the investment of public funds in hockey facilities and programmes, supports the immediate granting of a National Hockey League franchise to Vancouver, and the priority consideration of Canadian cities in the granting of future franchises.

Motion agreed to *nemine contradicente*.

111 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce the following questions:—

1. How many tons of asbestos (*a*) were exported from British Columbia in 1965, 1966, and 1967, and (*b*) what was the estimated value of these concentrates for each year?

2. In what volume and to what countries did these exports go for 1965, 1966, and 1967?

3. (*a*) What was the estimated value of asbestos and asbestos cement products imported into British Columbia from foreign sources in the calendar years of 1965, 1966, and 1967, and (*b*) what countries were the two main sources of these imports and what was the import value attributed to each?

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* replied as follows:—

“ 1. (*a*) 61,039 tons in 1965, 67,624 tons in 1966, and 56,366 tons in the first nine months of 1967 (compared with 54,016 tons in the same period in 1966); (*b*) \$11,650,128 in 1965, \$13,710,398 in 1966, and \$11,945,701 in the first nine months of 1967 (compared with \$10,840,297 in the same period of 1966).

“ 2. The following table shows the volume of asbestos exported to each country through British Columbia customs ports in 1965, 1966, and the first nine months of 1967:—

Asbestos Exports through British Columbia Customs Ports
(Tons)

	1965	1966	First Nine Months of 1967
United Kingdom.....	1,125	9,140	8,895
Belgium-Luxembourg.....	896	1,390	712
Finland.....	150	100	250
France.....	83	211	521
Germany.....	3,906	4,617	2,244
Italy.....	16	34	86
Netherlands.....	5,638	7,385	3,575
Spain.....	50	440	49
Israel.....	800	450	550
Lebanon.....	550	500	300
India.....	6,199	4,171	3,191
Japan.....	5,827	4,242	5,799
Australia.....	17,103	16,704	13,148
New Zealand.....	2,615	2,400	1,915
Argentina.....	229	608	115
Brazil.....	99	61	288
Venezuela.....	100	400	332
Guatemala.....	50	150	300
Mexico.....	820	685	482
United States.....	14,783	10,296	9,493
Sweden.....	25
Switzerland.....	1
Nigeria.....	10
Malaysia.....	2,190	1,290
Pakistan.....	28
Philippines.....	200
Chile.....	661	1,116
Ecuador.....	100	50
Peru.....	100
Costa Rica.....	125	65
El Salvador.....	200	100
Colombia.....	1,300
Singapore.....	200
Total.....	61,039	67,624	56,366

“ 3. (a) \$707,776 (revised) in 1965, \$700,676 in 1966, and \$809,240 in the first eight months of 1967; (b) \$376,946 (United States), \$193,457 (United Kingdom) in 1965, \$379,388 (United States), \$147,323 (United Kingdom) in 1966, and \$608,306 (United States), \$109,667 (United Kingdom) in the first eight months of 1967.”

112 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce the following questions:—

1. How many tons of iron concentrates (a) were exported from British Columbia in 1965, 1966, and 1967, and (b) what was the estimated value of these concentrates for each year?

2. In what volume and to what countries did these exports go for 1965, 1966, and 1967?

3. (a) What was the estimated value of iron and steel products (excluding completely manufactured products) imported into British Columbia from foreign sources in the calendar years of 1965, 1966, and 1967, and (b) what countries were the two main sources of these imports and what was the import value attributed to each?

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* replied as follows:—

“ 1. (a) 1,985,773 tons in 1965, 1,892,318 tons in 1966, and 1,358,589 tons for the first nine months of 1967 (compared with 1,435,652 tons in the same period of 1966), (b) \$19,734,258 in 1965, \$18,146,796 in 1966, and \$13,003,743 in the first nine months of 1967 (compared with \$13,832,126 in the same period of 1966).

“ 2. 1,985,773 tons to Japan in 1965, 1,892,138 tons to Japan, 180 tons to United States in 1966, and 1,358,589 tons to Japan in the first nine months of 1967 (compared with 1,435,472 tons to Japan in the same period in 1966).

“ 3. (a) \$50,561,446 in 1965, \$51,017,270 in 1966, and \$42,101,555 in the first eight months of 1967 (compared with \$37,109,922 in the same period of 1966); (b) \$27,225,997 (Japan), \$7,600,699 (United States) in 1965, \$27,351,310 (Japan), \$7,500,118 (United States) in 1966, and \$19,812,713 (Japan), \$4,990,-801 (United States) in the first eight months of 1967.

“ NOTE RE NO. 1 (a).—Tonnes shown in answer represent estimated iron content of concentrates exported. This is the method used in reporting exports under Federal trade statistics.

“ NOTE RE NO. 3 (a).—The items included in the totals for No. 3 are classified in the trade statistics as fabricated materials of iron and steel and alloys, and do not include such ‘ end products ’ as machinery, automobiles, etc. The following classes of items are included under fabricated materials of iron and steel and alloys: Ferro-alloys; primary iron and steel; castings and forgings; bars and rods, steel; plate, sheet and strip, steel; structural shapes and sheet piling; rails and railway track material; pipes and tubes, iron and steel; wire and wire rope, iron and steel.”

113 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce the following questions:—

1. How many tons of copper concentrates were (a) exported from British Columbia in 1965, 1966, and 1967, and (b) what was the estimated value of these concentrates for each year?

2. In what volume and to what countries did these exports go for 1965, 1966, and 1967?

3. (a) What was the total estimated value of refined and copper products (excluding completely manufactured products) imported into British Columbia

from foreign sources in the calendar years 1965, 1966, and 1967, and (b) what were the two main sources of these imports and what was the import value attributed to each?

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* replied as follows:—

“ 1. (a) 49,264 tons in 1965, 48,066 tons in 1966, and 65,387 tons in the first nine months of 1967 (compared with 35,682 tons in the same period in 1966); (b) \$31,142,236 in 1965, \$45,583,917 in 1966, and \$57,774,637 in the first nine months of 1967 (compared with \$33,712,576 in the same period in 1966).

“ 2. 45,247 tons to Japan, 4,018 tons to United States in 1965, 41,557 tons to Japan, 5,988 tons to United States, 521 tons to Australia in 1966, and 60,497 tons to Japan, 4,224 tons to United States, 666 tons to Spain in the first nine months of 1967.

“ 3. (a) \$4,686,615 in 1965 (revised figure), \$9,332,169 in 1966, and \$4,323,389 in the first eight months of 1967 (compared with \$5,907,760 in the same period in 1966); (b) \$4,402,912 (United States), \$239,656 (Japan) in 1965, \$9,247,118 (United States), \$54,015 (United Kingdom) in 1966, and \$4,157,459 (United States), \$117,754 (Japan) in the first eight months of 1967.

“ NOTE RE NO. 1 (a).—Tonnage shown in answer represents estimated copper content of concentrates exported. This is the method used in reporting exports under Federal trade statistics.

“ NOTE RE NO. 3 (a).—The items covered by the values given in the answer to No. 3 are classified as fabricated materials of copper and alloys and do not include ‘ end products.’

“ Fabricated materials of copper and alloys include the following type of product: Copper and alloys; copper, refinery shapes; copper bars, rods, and shapes (sections), n.e.s.; copper plates, sheet, strip, and flat products; copper pipe and tubing; copper wire and cable, except insulated; copper powder; copper alloy refinery shapes, bars, rods, and sections; brass, plates, sheet, strip, and flat products; copper alloy plates, sheet, strip, and flat products, n.e.s.; copper alloy pipe and tubing; copper alloy wire and cable, except insulated; copper alloy castings; copper and copper alloy fabricated materials, n.e.s.”

130 Mr. *Stupich* asked the Hon. the Minister of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce the following questions:—

1. What quantity of wood pulp chips were exported from British Columbia during 1965, 1966, and 1967?

2. What was the destination of these exports?

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* replied as follows:—

“ 1. 808,780 tons in 1965, 857,881 tons in 1966, and 988,347 tons in the first 11 months of 1967 (777,492 tons in the first 11 months of 1966).

“ 2. To the United States, 753,621 tons, and to Japan, 55,159 tons in 1965; to the United States only, in 1966, and to the United States only, in the first 11 months of 1967.”

132 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Mines and Petroleum Resources the following questions:—

1. How many tons of iron tailings from the Cominco concentrator at Kimberley were treated in the years 1965, 1966, and 1967?

2. What was the value of the production for each of the above years?

3. What was the subsidy paid on the above production for each of the above years?

4. What was the financial return for the above years to the Province for the above production from (a) royalties and (b) revenue accruing under the *Mining Tax Act*?

The Hon. *D. L. Brothers* replied as follows:—

“ 1. These figures are confidential and cannot be released.

“ 2. See No. 1 above.

“ 3. \$126,000.

“ 4. (a) Nil and (b) *Mining Tax Act* administered by the Minister of Finance.”

133 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce the following questions:—

1. How many tons of pig iron were produced at the Cominco iron plant in Kimberley for the years 1965, 1966, and 1967?

2. How many tons of the production of pig iron for each of the above years were (a) processed by the Cominco steel-mill at Kimberley, (b) sold in Canada, and (c) exported?

3. How many tons of steel were produced by the Kimberley steel-mill in each of the above years and what was the estimated value of the product for each year?

4. In the years 1965, 1966, and 1967, how many tons of the steel produced in the Kimberley steel-mill were (a) used by the Cominco operations, (b) sold in Canada, and (c) exported?

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* replied as follows:—

“ 1. This information is confidential in accordance with the secrecy provisions of the *Federal Statistics Act* under which the information is collected.

“ 2, 3, and 4. Information not available.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.55 p.m.

Monday, March 18, 1968

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

130. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$4,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1969.

The Committee reported the Resolution.

Report to be considered at the next sitting.

Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 11.41 p.m.

Tuesday, March 19, 1968

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Lieut.-Col. Rev. *R. O. Wilkes*.

On the motion of Mr. *McGeer*, Bill (No. 87) intituled *An Act Respecting Government Aircraft* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of Mr. *Gardom* the following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today:—

Bill (No. 88) intituled *An Act to Amend the Provincial Home-acquisition Grant Act and to Make Additional Appropriation for the Purposes of That Act*.

Bill (No. 89) intituled *An Act to Amend the Women's and Girls' Protection Act*.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

131. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$229,804 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Accounting Division, to 31st March, 1969.

132. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,512,779 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, General Services, to 31st March, 1969.

133. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$545,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Grants *re* Local Health Services, to 31st March, 1969.

134. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$650,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Grants for Health Agencies, to 31st March, 1969.

135. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$450,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Cancer Control (Including Grants, etc.), to 31st March, 1969.

136. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$600,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Public Health Research, to 31st March, 1969.

137. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$4,377,051 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Local Health Services, to 31st March, 1969.

138. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$616,445 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Division of Laboratories, to 31st March, 1969.

139. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$520,713 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Division of Vital Statistics, to 31st March, 1969.

140. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$180,554 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Division of Venereal Disease Control, to 31st March, 1969.

141. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$819,370 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Division of Tuberculosis Control, Administration and Out-patient Care, to 31st March, 1969.

142. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,863,944 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Division of Tuberculosis Control, In-patient Care, to 31st March, 1969.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

35 Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following question:—

In the year ended December 31, 1967, what was the total cost to the Provincial Treasury of transportation charges, *per diem* grants, and other expenses incurred by Cabinet Ministers (a) within British Columbia, (b) in other parts of Canada, and (c) abroad?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“Total cost for fiscal year 1966/67 shown in Public Accounts. Breakdown not maintained.”

49 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

1. What was the scheduled opening of Duncan Dam?

2. When was the Duncan Dam officially opened?

3. Have the flood-control benefits, credited to the Duncan Dam storage, been received by the Provincial Government or the British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority?

4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, (a) how much was received and (b) when was it received?

5. Did the Provincial Government or the British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority receive any additional funds for early completion of the Duncan Dam?

6. If the answer to No. 5 is yes, what was the total amount of this additional payment?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied that the following information has been submitted by the British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority:—

“1. Under the Columbia River Treaty the Duncan Dam was required to be operational within five years of the ratification of the treaty; i.e., September 16, 1969. Under the terms of sale, dated January 22, 1964, between the Governments

of Canada and the United States regarding the Columbia River Treaty the Duncan Dam was required to be fully operative for power purposes by April 1, 1968.

" 2. The Duncan Dam was declared operational under the treaty on July 31, 1967.

" 3. Yes.

" 4. The flood-control payment for Duncan was (a) \$11,929,031.25 and (b) it was received by the Government of British Columbia on November 23, 1967, and transferred to British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority on the same date.

" 5. No. (NOTE.—No 'funds' were received but power benefits were received starting on April 1, 1967, and will continue through March 31, 1968. The valuation of these power benefits will not be known exactly until March 31, 1968.)

" 6. See 5 above."

95 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

Regarding the Columbia River project—Arrow Dam:—

1. Will an assessed value be placed on the Arrow Dam for school purposes?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) when will the assessed value of the dam be placed upon the assessment roll, and (b) will any assessment for school taxation purposes be made payable from the official completion date of the dam?

3. Has any assessment been levied and placed upon the assessment roll for British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority's ancillary buildings, roads, improvements, etc., in the Arrow Dam area?

4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, what are the assessment values and the tax returns for the years 1965, 1966, 1967, and 1968 (estimated)?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

" 1. Not yet determined.

" 2. Not applicable.

" 3. Yes.

" 4. 1965, assessed value, *nil*, tax return, *nil*; 1966, assessed value, \$751,773, tax return, \$16,853; 1967, assessed value, \$1,055,669, tax return, \$27,814; 1968, assessed value, \$654,338, tax return, not available."

96 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

Regarding the Columbia River project—Duncan Dam:—

1. Will an assessed value be placed on the Duncan Dam for school purposes?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) when will the assessed value of the dam be placed upon the assessment roll, and (b) will any assessment for school taxation purposes be made retroactive to the official completion of the dam?

3. Has any assessment been levied and placed upon the assessment roll for British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority's ancillary buildings, roads, improvements, etc., in the Duncan Dam area?

4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, what are the assessment values and the tax returns for the years 1965, 1966, 1967, and 1968 (estimated)?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

" 1. Not yet determined.

" 2. Not applicable.

" 3. Yes.

" 4. 1965, assessed value, *nil*, tax return, *nil*; 1966, assessed value, \$298,425, tax return, \$7,643; 1967, assessed value, \$209,059, tax return, \$6,143; 1968, assessed value, \$27,507, tax return, not available."

108 Mr. *Berger* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

With reference to the petroleum industry and petroleum production in British Columbia:—

1. Has there been, at any time since 1960, any agreement, in writing or otherwise, between the Premier or the Government on the one side and the petroleum industry on the other side, regarding what proportion of British Columbia petroleum requirements would be provided from British Columbia wells?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what are the terms of the agreement, and (b) is the agreement still in force?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1 and 2. No agreement but Government understands that refineries in the Province will give complete preference to British Columbia crude.”

139 Mr. *Nimsick* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

Regarding the Libby Dam:—

1. Has the survey establishing the flood-line of the area to be flooded in Canada been established?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, have the property-owners so affected been advised?

3. If the answer to No. 1 is no, when will this work be proceeded with?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Not completed.

“ 2. Not specifically.

“ 3. The ensuing summer.”

152 Mr. *Stupich* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

With respect to the Public Accounts for the fiscal year ended March 31, 1967:—

1. What was the total taxable income of those companies and the total number of such companies which filed mining-tax returns in the taxable income range from (a) \$10,000 to \$25,000, (b) \$25,000 to \$35,000, and (c) in excess of \$35,000?

2. What was the total taxable income of those companies and the total number of such companies which filed logging-tax returns in the taxable income range from (a) \$10,000 to \$25,000, (b) \$25,000 to \$35,000, and (c) in excess of \$35,000?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. (a) Income reported, \$150,700, number of returns, 8; (b) income reported, \$25,200, number of returns, 1; (c) income reported, \$28,297,000, number of returns, 11.

“ 2. (a) Income reported, \$1,725,000, number of returns, 103; (b) income reported, \$466,000, number of returns, 15; (c) income reported, \$45,619,000, number of returns, 78.”

171 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

Regarding Red Star Petroleum Company:—

1. What is the current price per gallon paid by Red Star Petroleum Company for gasoline and diesel oil at the (a) Arrow hydro project, (b) Portage Mountain project, (c) Mica project, and (d) passenger transportation system?

2. What is the current price per gallon received by Red Star in gasoline and diesel sales from each of the above projects?

3. What was the total sales in both volume and value for (a) gasoline, (b) diesel, and (c) other petroleum products for each of the above projects for the fiscal year ended March 31, 1967?

4. Was there a surplus from the sales for each of the above projects for the fiscal year ended March 31, 1967?

5. If the answer to No. 4 is yes, does the surplus from the sales of these products remain with Red Star Petroleum Company?

6. If the answer to No. 4 is no, is British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority the recipient of this surplus?

7. If the answer to No. 6 is yes, what is the total surplus which has accrued to British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority from (a) Arrow Dam to March 31, 1967, (b) Portage Mountain Dam to March 31, 1967, (c) Duncan Dam to March 31, 1967, and (d) Mica Dam to March 31, 1967?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied that the following information has been submitted by the British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority:—

“ 1. Red Star Petroleum presently pays the following prices per gallon for gasoline and diesel oil: (a) Portage Mountain project, gasoline, 17.96 cents, diesel oil, 17.19 cents; (b) Arrow project, gasoline, 20.70 cents, diesel oil, 18.20 cents; (c) Mica project, gasoline, 21.02 cents, diesel oil, 18.32 cents; (d) passenger transportation system, gasoline 13.7 to 14.7 cents, diesel oil, 14.7 to 15.59 cents. The above prices include Federal sales tax but do not include Provincial tax of 15 cents, 13 cents, or 1 cent per gallon as appropriate.

“ 2. Red Star Petroleum is not in the business of selling gasoline and diesel oil; however, these are currently charged to the contractor on the Portage Mountain project and Columbia River projects at the following prices per gallon: Portage Mountain project, gasoline, 23.97 cents, diesel oil, 23.07 cents; Arrow project, gasoline, 25 cents, diesel oil, 22 cents; Mica project, gasoline, 25.02 cents, diesel oil, 21.32 cents. The above prices do not include Provincial tax. Charges for gasoline and diesel oil to contractors on the above projects are not treated as revenue of Red Star Petroleum; the spread between Red Star's cost price and billing price to the contractor is credited to the cost of the respective projects.

“ 3. Arrow project, gasoline, 238,252 gallons, \$59,999, diesel oil, 1,730,978 gallons, \$380,815, other petroleum products, 112,793 gallons; Portage Mountain, gasoline, 610,322 gallons, \$159,280, diesel oil, 3,956,242 gallons, \$912,685, other petroleum products, 300,055; Mica project, *nil*.

“ 4. No, *see* above.

“ 5. Not applicable.

“ 6. There is no surplus from sales of these products to the projects. *See* No. 2 above for explanation.

“ 7. Not applicable.”

198 Mr. *L. A. Williams* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

Regarding the power subsidy, being Vote 131, for the fiscal year 1967/68:—

1. What is the total amount of the subsidy currently expended?
2. By electoral districts, how many miles of rural electrification distribution-lines were constructed in 1967 as a result of the use of such subsidy money?
3. By electoral districts, how many rural consumers of electric power received service in 1967 as a result of the use of such subsidy money?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied that the following information has been submitted by the British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority:—

- “ 1. Expended and committed to March 31, 1968, \$963,189.
- “ 2. 285.8 miles constructed and acquired through expenditures or commitments to March 31, 1968. Information not available by electoral districts.
- “ 3. 1,141 customers served by B.C. Hydro through expenditures or commitments to March 31, 1968. Information not available by electoral districts.”

199 Mr. *Shelford* asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Council the following questions:—

Regarding the million-dollar power subsidy:—

1. How much of this was spent in the fiscal year 1967/68?
2. In which areas of the Province was it used?
3. How many miles of line were built?
4. How many customers were served?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied that the following information has been submitted by the British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority:—

- “ 1. Expended and committed to March 31, 1968, \$963,189.
- “ 2. Metropolitan region, \$11,280; Fraser Valley region, \$67,563; Central Interior region, \$846,525; Southern Interior region, \$31,496; Vancouver Island region, \$6,325; total, \$963,189.
- “ 3. 285.8 miles constructed and acquired through expenditures or commitments to March 31, 1968.
- “ 4. 1,141 customers served by B.C. Hydro through expenditures or commitments to March 31, 1968.”

200 Mr. *Nimsick* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

Regarding the power subsidy of \$1,000,000 for the fiscal year 1967/68 for rural electrification:—

1. To date how much of this subsidy has been spent?
2. How many projects has this expenditure assisted?
3. Where are these projects situated?
4. How much was spent on each project respectively?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied that the following information has been submitted by the British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority:—

- “ 1. Expended and committed to March 31, 1968, \$963,189.
- “ 2. 121 projects.
- “ 3 and 4. See following table:—

Project	District	Amount
<i>Metropolitan Region</i>		
Mount Currie.....	Lillooet.....	\$11,280
<i>Fraser Valley Region</i>		
Fraser Acres.....	Hope.....	\$8,435
Lone Butte.....	Cache Creek.....	10,575
Lower Nicola.....	Cache Creek.....	17,000
Upper Hat Creek.....	Cache Creek.....	20,800
Texas Creek.....	Lillooet.....	3,440
40th Street, Delta.....	Newton.....	1,600
Eyton and Eyton.....	Lillooet.....	2,605
Labrum.....	Cache Creek.....	3,108
		<u>\$67,563</u>
Glassford Road.....	Quesnel.....	\$4,454
Buick Creek.....	North Peace.....	44,120
Parkland-Farmington.....	South Peace.....	8,100
Chilco Road.....	Vanderhoof.....	7,460
Rau Road.....	Quesnel.....	1,560
Rose Lake.....	Burns Lake.....	1,600
J. Paul.....	North Peace.....	1,600
Braeside Road.....	Vanderhoof.....	792
Round Lake.....	Smithers.....	702
Alaska Highway.....	South Peace.....	1,552
Wikium Extension.....	Prince George.....	3,264
Colebank Road.....	Hixon.....	1,010
Isle Pierre.....	Prince George.....	2,184
	North Peace.....	3,906
Cecil Lake Area.....	North Peace.....	1,544
	North Peace.....	540
	North Peace.....	4,788
Hudson Hope Road.....	North Peace.....	12,800
Willowvale Road.....	Vanderhoof.....	3,178
Chilco Area.....	Vanderhoof.....	1,470
Francois Lake Road.....	Vanderhoof.....	591
Derksen Road.....	Vanderhoof.....	1,940
Engen Road.....	Vanderhoof.....	3,177
Blackwater Road.....	Vanderhoof.....	4,580
Remo Road.....	Terrace.....	1,400
Patterson Road.....	Prince George.....	3,800
Wright Creek.....	Prince George.....	16,394
Alaska Highway.....	North Peace.....	16,320
Eaglet Lake.....	Prince George.....	7,514
Mayland.....	South Peace.....	948
Tibbetts Extension.....	South Peace.....	360
Hegge Extension.....	South Peace.....	660
Reinitz Extension.....	South Peace.....	480
Pearce and Haddon.....	South Peace.....	3,052
A. Fiest.....	North Peace.....	1,600
Cecil Lake Area.....	North Peace.....	692
Beverly Road.....	Prince George.....	1,472
Cheriton Extension.....	South Peace.....	1,052
Unruh Extension.....	North Peace.....	1,220
	North Peace.....	684
Wanka Extension.....	South Peace.....	3,587
A. Schaefer.....	South Peace.....	1,128
Smith Extension.....	North Peace.....	1,480
North Ruth Lake Road.....	Cariboo.....	3,740
Steeves Extension.....	North Peace.....	1,404
	North Peace.....	2,072
Galen.....	North Peace.....	792
Cottonwood House.....	Quesnel.....	15,789
Pete's Farm.....	Vanderhoof.....	1,600
Sinkut Falls.....	Vanderhoof.....	1,363
Willowvale South.....	Vanderhoof.....	848
Snell Road.....	Vanderhoof.....	567
Hendricks.....	Cariboo.....	3,200
Houston East (1.9 miles).....	Smithers.....	2,484
East of Quick.....	Smithers.....	1,732
Wilcox Road.....	Cariboo.....	4,500
Junzen Extension.....	Fort St. John.....	220
Kape.....	Fort St. John.....	353
Daunes Extension.....	North Peace.....	3,014

Project	District	Amount
<i>Fraser Valley Region—Continued</i>		
McKinley Road-Exeter	Cariboo	\$1,600
Fletcher Extension	South Peace	1,446
Waddell Extension	South Peace	1,060
Konapad Extension	South Peace	1,500
Tatlow Road	Smithers	793
Grassy Plains	Burns Lake	1,266
Ernst Road Extension	Quesnel	2,560
Bright Extension, Horsefly Lake	Williams Lake	4,452
Naver Creek Road	Prince George	3,053
Kitwancool	Smithers	22,990
Roy Clovis	North Peace	1,377
Alaska Highway	North Peace	9,720
Cowger Extension	North Peace	4,053
Barrette Extension	North Peace	1,143
Bangs Extension	Vanderhoof	1,600
J. Price	Smithers	1,600
141 Mile	Cariboo	308
J. Schlamp	Vanderhoof	563
Finmore Road	Vanderhoof	1,978
Buick Creek	North Peace	7,200
Horsefly Bay Road	Williams Lake	3,180
Davis Extension	Quesnel	940
Topley Landing	Burns Lake	6,721
Cluculz Intertie	Vanderhoof	5,800
Acquisition, rebuilding of distribution system and recovery of operating deficit	Atlin	128,769
Providing generating facilities and distribution system, and recovery of operating deficit	Wells	188,370
Acquisition and rebuilding system	Masset	150,000
Acquisition of system and providing equipment	Port Clements	68,050
		<u>\$846,525</u>
<i>Southern Interior Region</i>		
Pillar Lake Road	North Okanagan	\$3,200
C. Wilcox	Kamloops	1,553
Donald Area	Columbia Valley	998
Hicks Extension	Valemount	600
Pender Extension	Arrow Lakes	390
Gardom Lake Road	North Okanagan	1,616
Upper Louis Creek-Pawloff	Kamloops	2,675
Rose Hill	Kamloops	1,145
Upper Louis Creek-Henderson	Kamloops	1,600
Cuthbertson	North Okanagan	1,532
C.N.R., Tête Jaune	Valemount	2,773
Creighton Valley Road	Vernon	3,200
Barnhartualt	Kamloops	1,496
Upper Louis Creek	Kamloops	8,000
South Thompson River	Kamloops	718
		<u>\$31,496</u>
<i>Vancouver Island Region</i>		
G. Sampson, Saltspring Island	Duncan	\$162
J. Kuracina, Saltspring Island	Duncan	147
North Pender Island	Duncan	235
Garret Road	Nanaimo	1,500
Vaux Road, J. Hull	Duncan	327
Volski Extension, Larnel Road, Cedar	Nanaimo	1,016
G. Zazzi	Nanaimo	1,600
Simson Road	Duncan	236
J. Balme	Duncan	606
R. Gregson, Old Hillcrest	Duncan	496
		<u>\$6,325</u>
		<u>\$963,189</u>

201 Mr. *Nimsick* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

1. Has the B.C. Hydro completed negotiations for the purchase of East Kootenay Power Company?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what was the purchase price, (b) when was the deal completed, and (c) when will it be officially declared a part of B.C. Hydro?

3. If the answer to No. 1 is no, when will the negotiations be completed?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied that the following information has been submitted by the British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) \$3,400,275, (b) September, 1966, and (c) April 1, 1968.

“ 3. Not applicable.”

202 Mr. *Nimsick* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

Regarding the Mica Dam development:—

1. Is it the intention of B.C. Hydro to construct the Mica Dam as both a storage and an on-site power dam by 1973?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, is it the intention of B.C. Hydro to have the Mica Dam machined for the production of electricity by the completion of the dam in 1973?

3. If the answer to No. 2 is no, when will this take place?

4. If the answer to No. 1 is no, will it be completed only as a storage dam in compliance with the Columbia River Treaty?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied that the following information has been submitted by the British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. No.

“ 3. Generators will be installed as required to meet power demands.

“ 4. Not applicable.”

211 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

Regarding the following power projects: (a) Lower Bonnaington Falls, No. 1 plant; (b) Upper Bonnaington Falls, No. 2 plant; (c) South Slocan, No. 3 plant; (d) Corra Linn, No. 4 plant; (e) Brilliant, No. 5 plant; (f) Waneta; and (g) Whatshan:—

1. What was the assessed value of land and improvements on each of the above projects in 1967 (a) for school purposes, (b) for general purposes, (c) for regional purposes, and (d) for regional hospital purposes?

2. What amount of tax was levied on each project in 1967 (a) for school purposes, (b) for general purposes, (c) for regional purposes, and (d) for regional hospital purposes?

3. What was the assessed value of the (1) West Kootenay Power and Light transmission-lines, (2) Consolidated Mining and Smelting Company transmission-lines, and (3) British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority transmission-lines (a) in the Nelson Assessment District for 1967 and (b) in the Kettle River Assessment District for 1967?

4. What taxes were paid in 1967 for (a) school purposes, (b) general purposes, (c) regional purposes, and (d) regional hospital purposes by the (1) West Kootenay Power and Light transmission-lines, (2) Consolidated Mining and Smelting

Company transmission-lines, and (3) British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority transmission-lines (i) in the Nelson Assessment District and (ii) in the Kettle River Assessment District?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

"1. (a) 1967 assessed values for school purposes by power project:—

	Land, 100 per Cent	Improvements, 100 per Cent
Lower Bonnington Falls (No. 1).....	\$2,500	\$2,899,907
Upper Bonnington Falls (No. 2).....	2,750	3,407,540
South Slocan (No. 3).....	15,325	3,844,527
Corra Linn (No. 4).....	2,500	3,588,136
Brilliant (No. 5).....	12,700	7,613,965
Waneta.....	6,200	19,017,414
Whatshan.....	1,880	3,852,137

"(b) 1967 assessed values for general purposes by power project:—

Lower Bonnington Falls (No. 1).....	\$2,500	\$1,178,261
Upper Bonnington Falls (No. 2).....	2,750	1,575,778
South Slocan (No. 3).....	15,325	2,351,917
Corra Linn (No. 4).....	2,500	2,325,239
Brilliant (No. 5).....	12,700	5,264,138
Waneta.....	6,200	13,258,998
Whatshan.....	Exempt	Exempt

"(c) 1967 assessed values for regional purposes by power project:—

Lower Bonnington Falls (No. 1).....	\$2,500	\$2,899,907
Upper Bonnington Falls (No. 2).....	2,750	3,407,540
South Slocan (No. 3).....	15,325	3,844,527
Corra Linn (No. 4).....	2,500	3,588,136
Brilliant (No. 5).....	12,700	7,613,965
Waneta.....	6,200	19,017,414
Whatshan.....	Exempt	Exempt

"(d) 1967 assessed values for regional hospital purposes by power project:—

Lower Bonnington Falls (No. 1).....	\$2,500	\$2,899,907
Upper Bonnington Falls (No. 2).....	2,750	3,407,540
South Slocan (No. 3).....	15,325	3,844,527
Corra Linn (No. 4).....	2,500	3,588,136
Brilliant (No. 5).....	12,700	7,613,965
Waneta.....	6,200	19,017,414
Whatshan.....	Exempt	Exempt

"2. 1967 tax levies by power project:—

	School Purposes	General Purposes	Regional Purposes	Regional Hospital Purposes
Lower Bonnington Falls (No. 1).....	\$77,609.64	\$12,413.93	\$1,550.49	No levy
Upper Bonnington Falls (No. 2).....	93,443.01	11,845.84	1,866.81	No levy
South Slocan (No. 3).....	121,641.04	17,792.63	2,115.24	No levy
Corra Linn (No. 4).....	98,383.11	17,464.29	1,965.51	No levy
Brilliant (No. 5).....	201,015.58	39,608.04	4,119.88	No levy
Waneta.....	433,180.61	99,504.49	9,702.33	No levy
Whatshan.....	199,206.64	Exempt	Exempt	Exempt

" 3. 1967 assessed values of transmission-lines by company:—

	Nelson Assessment District	Kettle River Assessment District
West Kootenay Power and Light Company Ltd.....	\$997,963	\$2,307,485
Consolidated Mining and Smelting Co. (Cominco) Ltd.....	1,752,642	Nil
British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority.....	194,195	19,016

" 4. 1967 taxes on transmission-lines by company:—

"(a) Nelson Assessment District.

	School	General	Regional District	Regional Hospital District
West Kootenay Power and Light Company Ltd.....	\$27,247.40	\$7,484.73	\$534.65	No levy
Consolidated Mining and Smelting Co. (Cominco) Ltd.....	45,078.55	13,144.84	934.77	No levy
British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority.....	5,915.97	Exempt	Exempt	Exempt

"(b) Kettle River Assessment District.

	School	General	Regional District	Regional Hospital District
West Kootenay Power and Light Company Ltd.....	\$57,142.03	\$17,306.14	\$447.40	No levy
Consolidated Mining and Smelting Co. (Cominco) Ltd.....	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority.....	415.45	Exempt	Exempt	Exempt

217 Mr. Hartley asked the Hon. the Premier and Minister of Finance the following questions:—

Regarding Howe Sound School District No. 48 and Lillooet School District No. 29:—

1. What are the assessed values in each of these districts for the years 1967 and 1968 for (a) school purposes, (b) general purposes, and (c) hospital purposes?

2. Did B.C. Hydro make any grants to the above districts for hospital purposes?

3. If the answer to No. 2 is yes, (a) what amount was granted in 1967, (b) what amount, if any, will be granted in 1968, and (c) will these grants be continued in future years?

The Hon. W. A. C. Bennett replied as follows:—

" School District No. 48 (Howe Sound):

" 1. 1967, (a) \$47,117,180, (b) \$16,140,345, (c) \$30,422,029; 1968 not yet available.

" 2. Yes.

" 3. 1967, (a) \$77.88, (b) and (c) not known.

" School District No. 29 (Lillooet):

" 1. 1967, (a) \$47,866,099, (b) \$3,536,746, (c) \$2,548,190; 1968 not yet available.

" 2. Yes.

" 3. 1967, (a) \$18,299.76, (b) and (c) not known."

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.55 p.m.

Tuesday, March 19, 1968

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Public Schools Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 19, 1968.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 86) intituled *An Act to Amend the Public Schools Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

143. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$888,708 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Mental Health Services, General Administration, to 31st March, 1969.

144. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,239,406 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Mental Health Services, Division of Nursing Education, to 31st March, 1969.

145. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,619,078 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Mental Health Services, Community Services, to 31st March, 1969.

146. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$25,190,282 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Mental Health Services, In-patient Care, to 31st March, 1969.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

The following Bills were read a third time and passed:—

Bill (No. 1) intituled *An Act for the Protection of Personal Privacy*.

Bill (No. 7) intituled *An Act to Amend the Mining Tax Act*.

Bill (No. 17) intituled *An Act to Amend the Pollution Control Act, 1967*.

The following Bills were committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed:—

Bill (No. 18) intituled *An Act to Adopt a Mineral Emblem for the Province*.

Bill (No. 19) intituled *An Act to Amend the Mineral Act*.

Bill (No. 20) intituled *An Act to Amend the Forest Act* was committed, reported complete with amendments. Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

The Committee further reported that in consideration of section 11 of Bill (No. 20) the Committee divided.

The Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Perrault*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the division on section 11 be recorded in the Journals of the House as follows:—

YEAS—43

Messieurs

<i>Hall</i>	<i>Harding</i>	<i>Jordan, Mrs.</i>	<i>Mussallem</i>
<i>Berger</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Dawson, Mrs.</i>	<i>McDiarmid</i>
<i>Williams, R. A.</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Capozzi</i>
<i>Vulliamy</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Vogel</i>
<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Parkinson</i>	<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Dailly, Mrs.</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Calder</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Wenman</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Eddie</i>	<i>Shelford</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>McCarthy, Mrs.</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	

NAYS—8

Messieurs

<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Clark</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Perrault</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Macfarlane</i>	<i>Dowding</i>

The following Bills were committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed:—

Bill (No. 21) intituled *An Act to Repeal the Land Settlement and Development Act and to Vest the Undertaking of the Board Thereby Established in the Crown.*

Bill (No. 25) intituled *An Act to Amend the Prospector's Grub-stake Act.*

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 11.34 p.m.

Wednesday, March 20, 1968

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Father *L. MacLellan*.

On the motion of the Hon. *D. L. Brothers*, Bill (No. 77) intituled *An Act to Amend the Petroleum and Natural Gas Act, 1965*, was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of Mr. *Hall*, Bill (No. 90) intituled *An Act to Amend the Parliamentary Association Conferences Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of Mr. *Nimsick*, Bill (No. 91) intituled *An Act to Amend the Annual Holidays Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of Mr. *Gardom*, the following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today:—

Bill (No. 92) intituled *An Act to Amend the Insurance Act.*

Bill (No. 93) intituled *An Act to Amend the Motor-vehicle Act.*

On the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

On the motion for the third reading of Bill (No. 20) intituled *An Act to Amend the Forest Act* a debate arose.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:—

YEAS—43

Messieurs

<i>Hall</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>McCarthy, Mrs.</i>	<i>Mussallem</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Harding</i>	<i>Jordan, Mrs.</i>	<i>McDiarmid</i>
<i>Berger</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Dawson, Mrs.</i>	<i>Capozzi</i>
<i>Williams, R. A.</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Vogel</i>
<i>Vulliamy</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Dailly, Mrs.</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Wenman</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Calder</i>	<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Eddie</i>	<i>Shelford</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	

NAYS—6

Messieurs

<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Clark</i>	<i>Macfarlane</i>	<i>Perrault</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>McGeer</i>		

Bill read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 29) intituled *An Act to Amend the Milk Industry Act* was committed, reported complete without amendment.

The Committee further reported that in consideration of section 6 of Bill (No. 29) the Committee divided.

The Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Perrault*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the division on section 6 be recorded in the Journals of the House as follows:—

YEAS—6

Messieurs

<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Clark</i>	<i>Macfarlane</i>	<i>Perrault</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>McGeer</i>		

NAYS—44

Messieurs

<i>Hall</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Shelford</i>	<i>Phillips</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Harding</i>	<i>McCarthy, Mrs.</i>	<i>Mussallem</i>
<i>Berger</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Jordan, Mrs.</i>	<i>McDiarmid</i>
<i>Williams, R. A.</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Dawson, Mrs.</i>	<i>Capozzi</i>
<i>Vulliamy</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Vogel</i>
<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Dailly, Mrs.</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Calder</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Wenman</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Eddie</i>	<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Richter</i>

Bill read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 37) intituled *An Act to Amend the Protection of Children Act* was committed, reported complete with amendment. Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

The Committee further reported that in Committee on Bill (No. 37) Mr. Barrett moved an amendment to section 4 as follows:—

By adding the following as subsection (15):—

“(15) When any proceedings authorized by this section are held, the parents and (or) guardian of the child shall be represented by legal counsel provided by the Department of Welfare. In all proceedings authorized by this section, parents and (or) guardian of the child or children concerned shall have the right to call for independent social case-work analysis of the charges brought against them, this service to be provided by the Department of Welfare.”

The Chairman ruled the amendment out of order on the ground that the amendment involved the expenditure of public funds and accordingly was out of order in the hands of a private member.

The Chairman's ruling was appealed to the House.

The Chairman's ruling was sustained on the following division:—

YEAS—34

Messieurs

<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Capozzi</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Vogel</i>
<i>Clark</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Shelford</i>	<i>Wenman</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>Macfarlane</i>	<i>McCarthy, Mrs.</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Jordan, Mrs.</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Dawson, Mrs.</i>	<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>McDiarmid</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Williston</i>		

NAYS—15

Messieurs

<i>Hall</i>	<i>Vulliamy</i>	<i>Dailly, Mrs.</i>	<i>Harding</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>Berger</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Eddie</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Williams, R. A.</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	

The Committee further reported that in consideration of Bill (No. 37) the Committee divided on Mr. Barrett's motion to amend section 5 as follows:—

By adding to section 11A (1) the following: “No child twelve years of age or under shall be sent to or held in a training-school housing children over the age of twelve.”

The Chairman reported that the Committee recommended that the division in Committee on the amendment to section 5 be recorded in the Journals of the House.

The report was adopted.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. Strachan, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the division on section 5 be recorded in the Journals of the House as follows:—

YEAS—21

Messieurs

<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>	<i>Macfarlane</i>	<i>Eddie</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Vulliamy</i>	<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>
<i>Clark</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Harding</i>
<i>Hall</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Dailly, Mrs.</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Berger</i>			

NAYS—28

Messieurs

<i>LeCours</i>	<i>McCarthy, Mrs.</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>Vogel</i>
<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Jordan, Mrs.</i>	<i>Wenman</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Dawson, Mrs.</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>Little</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>McDiarmid</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Shelford</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Capozzi</i>	<i>Richter</i>

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 33) intituled *An Act Respecting Collective Bargaining and Mediation*.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Nimsick*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House reverted to the Order "Presenting Reports by Standing and Special Committees."

Mr. *Wolfe*, Chairman of the Select Standing Committee on Public Accounts and Printing, asked leave to present the Second Report of the Committee, dated March 20, 1968.

A point of order was raised that unanimous consent of the House was required for leave to present such a report, and that as some honourable members had objected, the report could not be presented.

Mr. Speaker stated that while it has been customary when presenting such reports to ask for leave, it was, in his opinion, unnecessary to do so by reason of the House having already empowered Select Standing Committees to report from time to time. Accordingly, Mr. Speaker ruled that unanimous consent was not required.

Mr. *Wolfe* presented the Report as follows:—

REPORT No. 2

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
March 20, 1968.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Public Accounts and Printing begs leave to report as follows:—

During examination of vouchers for the year ended March 31, 1967, the Committee was asked to investigate two matters relating to the supplying of labour and materials to certain dams in the Kamloops area.

These matters were referred to the Committee by the member from Burnaby-Edmonds, Mr. *Dowding*, and although they occurred subsequent to the year under review by the Committee, it was decided to investigate and report thereon.

The first matter relates to salvaged steel I-beams used to brace the dam at Cherry Creek, the property of Karobil Enterprises Limited. This steel was delivered on April 28, 1967. At this time an emergency existed because of flooding conditions. Mr. Ferber, the Comptroller-General for the Province, went to Kamloops and made a full investigation of the matter, and his report, dated March 12, 1968, is attached. In their meeting of March 15, 1968, the Committee recommended that the District

Superintendent of the Highways Department, Kamloops, be instructed to have the salvaged steel loaned to Karobil Enterprise Ranch returned within 30 days or billed immediately.

The second matter relates to the labour and material supplied to Abilene Ranches Limited, near Kamloops, for the purpose of constructing a protective wing wall with the object of saving a pumphouse which was in danger due to existing flood conditions. This job was billed to the owners by the Department of Highways, and an invoice dated July 5, 1967, is attached. An official receipt is also attached indicating payment received on February 6, 1968.

The Committee recommends that steps be taken to improve the general control of supplies and follow-up of collection in Government offices.

Your Committee held several meetings for examination of vouchers supplied by the Comptroller-General. These vouchers were supplied from various votes on the request of members through the Chairman.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

EVAN WOLFE, *Chairman.*

The report was read and received.

The Hon. *F. X. Richter* (Minister of Agriculture) presented the Department of Agriculture Annual Report for the year 1967.

30 Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With respect to Department of Highways aircraft:—

1. As of December 31, 1967, how many aircraft were (a) owned and (b) leased by this Department?

2. As of the same date, what was the approximate value of (a)?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, on behalf of the Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi*, replied as follows:—

“ 1. (a) Five and (b) one.

“ 2. Estimated market value, \$600,000.”

31 Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With respect to the Lear jet aircraft:—

1. During the year ended December 31, 1967, did this aircraft land at any point in Yukon Territory?

2. If the answer to the above is yes, (a) by dates, who were the passengers aboard the aircraft, and (b) what was the purpose of the visit(s)?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, on behalf of the Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi*, replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) August 31, 1967, passenger names not recorded, and (b) refuelling stop.”

33 Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

1. During the year ended December 31, 1967, were any aircraft (a) purchased by the Department of Highways or (b) leased by the Department?

2. If the answer to either of the above is yes, what was the cost?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, on behalf of the Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi*, replied as follows:—

- “ 1. (a) No and (b) yes.
- “ 2. \$70,986.”

60 Mr. *Clark* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With respect to land acquired for highway purposes from the North Vancouver Recreation Board in North Vancouver:—

1. Have all the negotiations regarding the acquisition of this land been completed?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what was the final compensation arrived at?

3. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, have all moneys due been paid to the North Vancouver Recreation Board?

4. If the answer to No. 3 is no, what moneys are still due to the North Vancouver Recreation Board, and when will such moneys be paid?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, on behalf of the Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi*, replied as follows:—

- “ 1. No; negotiations are currently in process.
- “ 2, 3, and 4. Answered by No. 1.”

88 Mr. *Nimsick* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

1. Is the Department of Highways considering the building of an access highway between the City of Kimberley and the new airport?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) when will construction commence, and (b) has a completion date been set?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, on behalf of the Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi*, replied as follows:—

- “ 1. Unresolved.
- “ 2. See No. 1.”

89 Mr. *Nimsick* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

1. Is the Department of Highways considering the building of an access highway between the City of Cranbrook and the new airport?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) when will the construction commence, and (b) has a completion date been set?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, on behalf of the Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi*, replied as follows:—

- “ 1. Unresolved.
- “ 2. See No. 1.”

105 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

Regarding the Peace River power project:—

1. When was the W. A. C. Bennett Dam officially opened?

2. Has any assessed value been placed on the dam for school purposes?

3. If the answer to No. 2 is yes, (a) what is the amount, and (b) when was this assessment placed on the assessment roll?

4. What is the total assessment levied and placed upon the assessment roll of all British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority property relating to the entire

project and what were the tax returns for the years 1965, 1966, 1967, and 1968 (estimated)?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. September 12, 1967.
- “ 2. Final policy not yet determined.
- “ 3. Not applicable.
- “ 4. 1965, assessment, \$4,446,491, tax, \$115,208; 1966, assessment, \$12,020,543, tax, \$298,109; 1967, assessment, \$27,864,976, tax, \$470,639; 1968, assessment and tax, not yet known.”

115 Mr. *Calder* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With reference to the Stewart–Hyder Road section of the Stewart–Watson Lake Highway:—

1. Has any work been done on the road since January 1, 1965?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what is the total cost to date of the work?
3. Have any private contractors been paid for work on this road?
4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, (a) what are the names of the contractors, and (b) what amount was each contractor paid?
5. Is the work completed?
6. If the answer to No. 5 is yes, does the Department contemplate paving the road in the near future?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, on behalf of the Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi*, replied as follows:—

- “ 1. Yes.
- “ 2. \$536,224.65.
- “ 3. Yes.
- “ 4. (a) Benray Bridge Company Limited, and (b) \$411,943.57.
- “ 5. No.
- “ 6. Answered by No. 5.”

123 Mr. *Stupich* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

Regarding the Cedar Bridge over the Nanaimo River:—

1. When was the bridge built?
2. What are the (a) width, (b) height, and (c) load limits on the use of this bridge?
3. Have plans been made for the replacement of this bridge?
4. Are negotiations with representatives of the Indians on the reserve adjacent to the present bridge-site going on?
5. If the answer to No. 4 is yes, when did negotiations with the Indians or their representatives first start?
6. If the answer to No. 4 is no, why not?
7. When is it expected that work will commence on the reconstruction of this crossing?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, on behalf of the Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi*, replied as follows:—

- “ 1. 1932.
- “ 2. (a) 16 feet 4 inches, (b) 13 feet 7 inches, and (c) legal loading.
- “ 3. Yes.

- “ 4. Yes.
- “ 5. July 12, 1965.
- “ 6. Answered by No. 5.
- “ 7. As soon as priorities permit.”

135 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

Regarding the proposed bridge to cross the Columbia River near Fauquier and Needles:—

1. Has the site for the proposed bridge been selected?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, have any contracts on any phase of the project been let?
3. If the answer to No. 2 is yes, (a) what contracts have been let, (b) who are the contractors, and (c) what is the amount of each contract?
4. What is the total amount spent on the project to date?
5. (a) What was the original estimated cost of the project, and (b) what is the revised estimated cost of the project, if any?
6. (a) What part of the project remains to be completed, and (b) when will the tenders for these sections be called?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, on behalf of the Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi*, replied as follows:—

- “ 1. Yes.
- “ 2. Yes.
- “ 3. (a) Bridge Project 281, Needles Bridge, driving and testing piles; Project 588, Needles Bridge, Contract No. 1, river-bank stabilization and riprap protection; (b) Interior Contracting Company Limited, Edco Construction Limited, and Alpine Clearing Limited (joint venture); (c) \$93,445 and \$612,360.
- “ 4. \$908,029.36.
- “ 5. (a) \$8,000,000 and (b) \$15,400,000.
- “ 6. (a) Entire bridge and (b) not scheduled.”

145 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

Regarding the New Denver Bridge on No. 6 Highway in the Revelstoke-Slocan riding:—

1. (a) When was this bridge built, and (b) will it carry two lanes of traffic?
2. What is the usable roadway width on the bridge?
3. What is the load limit at present in use?
4. (a) Have the location surveys for a new bridge been made, and (b) if no, have any plans been made for these location surveys?
5. When is replacement expected to take place?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, on behalf of the Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi*, replied as follows:—

- “ 1. (a) 1929; (b) yes, with limitations (*see* answer No. 2).
- “ 2. 14 feet 6 inches.
- “ 3. Highway regulations.
- “ 4. (a) No and (b) yes.
- “ 5. No definite date available.”

146 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

Regarding the Rosebery Bridge on No. 6 Highway in the Revelstoke-Slocan riding:—

1. (a) When was this bridge built, and (b) will it carry two lanes of traffic?
2. What is the usable roadway width on the bridge?
3. What is the load limit at present in use?
4. (a) Have the location surveys for a new bridge been made, and (b) if no, have any plans been made for these location surveys?
5. When is replacement expected to take place?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, on behalf of the Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi*, replied as follows:—

“ 1. (a) 1929; (b) yes, with limitations (see answer No. 2).

“ 2. 14 feet 6 inches.

“ 3. Highway regulations.

“ 4. (a) No and (b) yes.

“ 5. No definite date available.”

157 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

Regarding the Nakusp–Fauquier Highway:—

1. Have all contracts for construction of the above highway been let?
2. If yes, when will the construction work be completed?
3. Will this highway be black-topped in the fiscal year 1968/69?
4. What was the total cost of clearing the right-of-way for the above highway?
5. Were contracts called for the above highway?
6. If the answer to No. 5 is yes, (a) how many contracts were called, (b) what was the mileage involved in each contract, (c) how many bids were received on each contract, (d) what was the amount of each bid, and (e) who was the successful bidder in each case?
7. What is the cost of the highway to date?
8. Is the cost of the above highway shared with the British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority, and, if yes, on what basis is this cost shared?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, on behalf of the Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi*, replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes, except for Caribou Creek bridge at Burton.

“ 2. December 31, 1968, except for base processing.

“ 3. No.

“ 4. \$667,444.81.

“ 5. Yes.

“ 6. (a) Four contracts; (b) Project 1741, 8.79 miles; Project 1742, 8.91 miles; Project 1743, 9.79 miles; Project 1744, 6.74 miles; (c) Project 1741, 10 bids; Project 1742, 10 bids; Project 1743, 14 bids; Project 1744, 14 bids.

“(d) Project 1741, Fauquier–Carrolls Landing (Section I)—

United Contractors Ltd.	\$1,350,092.00
New West Construction Co. Ltd.	1,469,449.00
McPhail's Construction Co. Ltd.	1,539,176.80
Emil Anderson Construction Co. Ltd.	1,547,871.00
View Construction Co. Ltd.	1,609,243.00
Cattermole-Trethewey Contractors Ltd.	1,609,415.00
Peter Kiewit Sons Co. of Canada Ltd.	1,648,019.80
Dawson Construction Ltd.	1,790,084.00
Edco Construction Ltd. and Alpine Clearing Ltd.	1,818,570.00
A. C. McEachern Ltd.	62,399,632.00

“ Project 1742, Fauquier—Carrolls Landing (Section II)—

Reg Pearen Ltd.	\$1,234,230.00
McPhail's Construction Co. Ltd.	1,325,810.00
View Construction Co. Ltd.	1,326,677.00
P. F. Law Construction Ltd.	1,396,251.00
United Contractors Ltd.	1,407,326.00
A. C. McEachern Ltd.	1,438,281.40
Emil Anderson Construction Co. Ltd.	1,444,218.00
Dawson Construction Ltd.	1,464,393.00
Peter Kiewit Sons Co. of Canada Ltd.	1,486,910.00
New West Construction Co. Ltd.	1,497,390.00

“ Project 1743, Carrolls Landing—Nakusp (Section I)—

Reg Pearen Ltd.	\$724,529.00
United Contractors Ltd.	780,041.00
Hirz Bros. Construction Ltd., K.R.M. Construction Ltd.	929,790.00
View Construction Co. Ltd.	954,569.00
Dawson Construction Ltd.	993,160.50
New West Construction Co. Ltd.	996,599.50
McPhail's Construction Co. Ltd.	1,027,447.50
A. C. McEachern Ltd.	1,029,684.60
P. F. Law Construction Ltd.	1,070,534.50
Peter Kiewit Sons Co. of Canada Ltd.	1,086,578.40
Emil Anderson Construction Co. Ltd.	1,091,207.00
W. C. Arnett and Co. Ltd.	1,153,956.00
Cattermole-Trethewey Contractors Ltd.	1,247,566.00
Edco Construction Ltd. and Alpine Clearing Ltd.	1,626,491.50

“ Project 1744, Carrolls Landing—Nakusp (Section II)—

United Contractors Ltd.	\$654,857.00
Reg Pearen Ltd.	678,030.00
View Construction Co. Ltd.	691,740.50
Miller Cartage & Contracting Ltd.	745,141.40
McPhail's Construction Co. Ltd.	772,696.60
P. F. Law Construction Ltd.	773,171.00
Edco Construction Ltd. and Alpine Clearing Ltd.	785,408.00
Dawson Construction Ltd.	814,225.95
A. C. McEachern Ltd.	820,126.50
Cattermole-Trethewey Contractors Ltd.	840,647.00
W. C. Arnett and Co. Ltd.	847,625.50
Emil Anderson Construction Ltd.	869,514.00
New West Construction Co. Ltd.	881,115.00
Peter Kiewit & Sons of Canada Ltd.	897,816.40

“(e) Project 1741, United Contractors Ltd.; Project 1742, Reg Pearen Ltd.; Project 1743, Reg Pearen Ltd.; Project 1744, United Contractors Ltd.

“ 7. \$3,732,732.68.

“ 8. Highway costs: 75 per cent by British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority, 25 per cent by Department of Highways. Bridge costs: 50 per cent by British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority, 50 per cent by Department of Highways. Base processing: 100 per cent by Department of Highways.”

158 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

Regarding the Revelstoke—Shelter Bay Road:—

1. Have all contracts for construction of the above highway been let?
2. If yes, when will the construction work be completed?
3. Will this highway be black-topped in the fiscal year 1968/69?
4. What was the total cost of clearing the right-of-way for the above highway?
5. Were contracts called for the various sections of the above highway?
6. If the answer to No. 5 is yes, (a) how many contracts were called, (b) what was the mileage involved in each contract, (c) how many bids were received

on each contract, (d) what was the amount of each bid, and (e) who was the successful bidder in each case?

7. What is the cost of the highway to date?

8. Is the cost of the above highway shared with the British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority, and, if yes, on what basis is this cost shared?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, on behalf of the Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi*, replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes, except for bridges.

“ 2. December, 1968, except for base processing.

“ 3. No.

“ 4. Clearing not yet completed.

“ 5. Yes.

“ 6. (a) Three; (b) Project 1823, 8.41 miles; Project 1835, 8.51 miles; Project 1824, 13.72 miles; (c) Project 1823, 11 bids; Project 1835, 10 bids; Project 1824, 13 bids.

“(d) Project 1823, Revelstoke—Begbie Lake—

View Construction Co. Ltd.	\$861,467.00
McPhail's Construction Co. Ltd.	876,013.80
Knight Gravel Ltd.	897,344.50
P. F. Law Construction Ltd.	945,277.00
New West Construction Ltd.	899,462.50
Cattermole-Trethewey Contractors Ltd.	963,747.50
Dawson Construction Ltd.	998,791.60
H.B. Contracting Ltd.	1,014,432.00
Emil Anderson Construction Co. Ltd.	1,031,102.00
A. C. McEachern Ltd.	1,091,865.00
Peter Kiewit Sons Co. of Canada Ltd.	1,261,694.80

“ Project 1835, Begbie Lake—Cranberry Lake—

McPhail's Construction Co. Ltd.	\$1,361,747.60
A. C. McEachern Ltd.	1,462,146.00
Emil Anderson Construction Co. Ltd.	1,482,613.00
P. F. Law Construction Ltd.	1,509,172.00
Cattermole-Trethewey Contractors Ltd.	1,529,612.00
New West Construction Co. Ltd.	1,547,160.00
Dawson Construction Ltd.	1,556,902.70
Edco Construction Ltd. and Alpine Clearing Ltd.	1,661,030.00
View Construction Co. Ltd.	1,696,830.00
Peter Kiewit Sons of Canada Ltd.	2,046,331.40

“ Project 1824, Cranberry Lake—Shelter Bay—

Dawson Construction Ltd.	\$1,191,934.95
Knight Gravel Ltd.	1,195,433.95
McPhail's Construction Co. Ltd.	1,207,897.20
View Construction Co. Ltd.	1,302,302.00
Edco Construction Ltd. and Alpine Clearing Ltd.	1,322,318.00
New West Construction Co. Ltd.	1,330,568.60
United Contractors Ltd.	1,352,516.00
A. C. McEachern Ltd.	1,434,897.25
W. C. Arnett and Co. Ltd.	1,461,755.00
Emil Anderson Construction Co. Ltd.	1,515,249.00
Peter Kiewit Sons of Canada Ltd.	1,520,767.75
Cattermole-Trethewey Contractors Ltd.	1,566,094.00
P. F. Law Construction Ltd.	9,633,667.00

“(e) Project 1823, View Construction Co. Ltd.; Project 1835, McPhail's Construction Co. Ltd.; Project 1824, Dawson Construction Ltd.

“ 7. \$623,063.88.

“ 8. Yes, (a) Revelstoke—Cranberry Lake, lump-sum contribution by British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority to be determined by a detailed estimate of

the alternative solution of replacing the Twelve Mile Ferry to operate under maximum flood and low-water conditions, plus the construction of a road link from Twelve Mile to Cranberry Lake; (b) Cranberry Lake-Shelter Bay, 75 per cent by British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority, 25 per cent by Department of Highways, base processing, 100 per cent by Department of Highways; (c) bridges, 50 per cent by British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority and 50 per cent by Department of Highways."

162 Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

1. Is action being taken to improve the road between D'Arcy and Seaton Portage?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what action is being taken?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, on behalf of the Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi*, replied as follows:—

"1. This is a private road belonging to British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority.

"2. Answered by No. 1."

163 Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

1. Is action being taken to improve the access road to Fountain Indian Reserve from Highway No. 12?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what action is being taken?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, on behalf of the Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi*, replied as follows:—

"1. Yes.

"2. Negotiations are under way with the Department of Indian Affairs."

164 Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

1. Are there any plans for the completion of the black-topping of the Tulameen-Coalmont-Princeton Highway?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what are the plans?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, on behalf of the Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi*, replied as follows:—

"1. No.

"2. Answered by No. 1."

165 Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

1. Has the Department of Highways any plans to improve and extend the road between Squamish and Lillooet?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what are the plans?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, on behalf of the Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi*, replied as follows:—

"1. Yes.

"2. To investigate possible routes to connect Pemberton to Lillooet when priorities permit."

166 Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

1. Does a dangerous situation exist on the Lytton access road north to the Thompson River Bridge on Highway No. 12?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, are steps being taken to correct the hazard?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, on behalf of the Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi*, replied as follows:—

“ 1. This portion of highway does not constitute a dangerous situation; persons may traverse this section with reasonable assurance of safety if good driving practices are observed.

“ 2. Not applicable.”

167 Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

1. Has the Department any plans for black-topping the road between Lillooet, Shalalth, and Bralorne?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what are the plans?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, on behalf of the Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi*, replied as follows:—

“ 1. No.

“ 2. Answered by No. 1.”

170 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

Regarding Cape Horn Bluffs on Highway No. 6, directly north of Slokan:—

1. Have surveys for a final route on this section been completed by the Department of Highways?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, when were these surveys made?

3. How much was spent on standardizing this section in the (a) fiscal year ended March 31, 1967, and (b) in the current fiscal year to date?

4. Have any plans been made for work on this section in the fiscal year 1968/69?

5. If the answer to No. 4 is yes, what are the plans and the amounts involved for the fiscal year 1968/69?

6. What are the plans, time schedule, and estimated costs of standardizing this section?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, on behalf of the Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi*, replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. 1961.

“ 3. (a) and (b) Nil.

“ 4. No.

“ 5. Answered by No. 4.

“ 6. None at present.”

172 Mr. *Macdonald* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

1. Has the Department of Highways undertaken any engineering surveys of a suggested road from the Alberni Valley to the East Coast Island Highway via Horne Lake?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, have there been any estimates prepared as to cost of construction?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, on behalf of the Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi*, replied as follows:—

- “ 1. No field location surveys have been made.
- “ 2. No.”

173 Mr. *Macdonald* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

1. Has the Department of Highways undertaken any engineering surveys of a suggested road from the Alberni Valley to the East Coast Island Highway via Comox Lake and the Village of Cumberland?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, have there been any estimates prepared as to cost of construction?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, on behalf of the Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi*, replied as follows:—

- “ 1. Yes.
- “ 2. No.”

174 Mr. *Macdonald* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

1. Has the Department of Highways undertaken any engineering surveys of a proposed road to connect the Townsite of Tahsis with the Municipality of Gold River?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, have there been any estimates prepared as to cost of construction?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, on behalf of the Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi*, replied as follows:—

- “ 1. No field location surveys have been made.
- “ 2. No.”

175 Mr. *Macdonald* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

1. During the calendar years 1965, 1966, and 1967, has the Department of Highways allocated any moneys for the reconstruction of the Tofino Road between Alberni and the junction of the Tofino-Ucluelet Highway?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what were the road expenditures by other than highway crews for each of the years 1965, 1966, and 1967; (b) what were the names of construction crews or companies involved in the reconstruction; (c) were public tenders called for, and, if so, what were the bids of the companies involved; (d) if the answer to (c) is no, what is the method of payment for work performed for the Department of Highways; (e) was a system of day labour used, and, if so, what is the schedule of payments for each category of labour and piece of equipment used; and (f) was a cost-plus system used, and, if so, what is the percentage of profit allowed contractor(s) over and above actual cost?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, on behalf of the Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi*, replied as follows:—

- “ 1. Yes.
- “ 2. (a) 1965, \$366,297.15; 1966, \$977,642.67; 1967, \$518,598.05; (b) Apex Hauling Ltd., A & M Construction Ltd., Bill Lawson Trucking, D. Robinson

Rentals Ltd., Donovan Trucking, Goodbrand Equipment Rentals, Hodge Contracting, Island Excavating Ltd., John Van Iperen Trucking Ltd., K & L Contracting Ltd., M. F. Mitchell Trucking, Mould Trucking, Nanaimo Bulldozing Co. Ltd., R & E Crushing Ltd., Raynor & Bracht, Reg Dorman Trucking, Tom Gibson & Sons, Toms Bros., Turner Contracting (Alberni) Ltd., Ucluelet Contracting Co. Ltd., Windsor Construction (1962) Ltd.; (c) no; (d) equipment rentals; (e) yes, all found equipment rental rates, based on the rate schedule authorized for use by all departments; (f) no.”

182 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

Regarding the proposed new ferry for the Shelter Bay–Galena Bay run:—

1. Have tenders for the above ferry been called and, if yes, on what date?
2. (a) Have contracts for the above ferry been let, (b) if yes, who was the successful bidder, and (c) what was the bid price?
3. (a) If the answer to No. 2 (a) is yes, what is the delivery date, (b) what are the dimensions of the ferry, (c) what is its car-carrying capacity, (d) what passenger facilities, if any, will be located on this ferry, (e) when is it expected to be placed in service, and (f) what size and type of motive-power unit will be installed?
4. If the answer to No. 2 (a) is no, when will the contracts, if any, be let?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, on behalf of the Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi*, replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes, advertised January 26, 1968; returnable February 9, 1968.

“ 2. No, one bid received and rejected; readvertised February 27, 1968; returnable March 27, 1968.

“ 3. (a) March 31, 1969, (b) length 160 feet, breadth 61 feet, (c) approximately 30 automobiles, (d) passenger space to seat approximately 20 persons in the starboard deckhouse, restroom facilities, (e) soon after delivery, (f) diesel-electric, 350 horsepower on each of two shafts.

“ 4. Answered by No. 2.”

183 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

Regarding the Galena Bay–Trout Lake Road in the Revelstoke–Slocan riding:—

1. Will part of this road be flooded when the Arrow basin is filled in the spring of 1969?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how many miles of new construction is required to link up the new Galena Bay Ferry site to the above existing road link?
3. What is the estimated cost of this new construction?
4. Will the British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority share in the construction of this link, and, if yes, on what basis?
5. (a) When will this new link be completed, and (b) will it be black-topped in the fiscal year 1968/69?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, on behalf of the Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi*, replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) 1.86 miles from the new Galena Bay Ferry site to the existing Galena Bay–Nakusp Road; (b) 2.87 miles of road on a new location required to connect with the road to Trout Lake (Galena Pass section).

" 3. Galena Bay Ferry approach, \$300,000; Galena Pass section, \$260,000.

" 4. Yes; Galena Bay Ferry approach, 50 per cent by British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority; Galena Pass section, 25 per cent by British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority; on both sections, base processing 100 per cent by Department of Highways.

" 5. (a) End of October, 1968, except for base processing, and (b) no."

195 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

Regarding that portion of Highway No. 6 between Summit Lake and the Cape Horn Bluffs north of Slocan:—

1. What portion of this highway will be standardized in the fiscal year 1968/69?

2. What is the estimated amount to be spent on this section for the fiscal year 1968/69?

3. What time schedule, if any, has been set for completing the standardizing of this section?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, on behalf of the Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi*, replied as follows:—

" 1. None planned.

" 2. Maintenance only.

" 3. No schedule set."

196 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

Regarding the highway from Halfway Creek to the new Galena Bay Ferry site in the Revelstoke-Slocan riding:—

1. Have all location surveys for this section been completed?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is no, (a) what sections, if any, have been completed, and (b) when will all the required location surveys be completed?

3. Is any of this section a shared project with B.C. Hydro and, if yes, what is the mileage involved and how much has been contributed by B.C. Hydro for this section?

4. What is the estimated mileage of this section?

5. What are the construction plans and completion schedules for this section and on what basis will the work be done?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, on behalf of the Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi*, replied as follows:—

" 1. No.

" 2. (a) Halfway Creek section, 1.23 miles; Halcyon Creek Hot Springs section, 2.74 miles; and (b) not known.

" 3. Yes; 1.86 miles (Galena Bay Ferry approach); *nil* to date.

" 4. 12 miles.

" 5. Progressive improvements by day labour, completion date not known."

197 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

Regarding the highway from Nakusp to Halfway Creek in the Revelstoke-Slocan riding:—

1. Have all location surveys for this section been completed?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what is the total mileage of this section?

3. To what standard will this highway be constructed?
4. How much of this section has been completed to the standard required?
5. Is any of this section a shared project with B.C. Hydro and, if yes, what is the mileage involved and how much has been contributed by B.C. Hydro for this section?
6. Is work currently in progress on this section and, if yes, what work is in progress and on what basis is the work being carried out?
7. What is the estimated amount required for the fiscal year 1968/69 to complete and bring this section to standard?
8. Is it planned to hard surface the completed portion in the fiscal year 1968/69?
9. How much, including any B.C. Hydro contribution, was allocated for this section in the fiscal year 1967/68?
10. Is it planned to complete this section by the end of the fiscal year 1968/69 and, if no, what is the scheduled completion date?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, on behalf of the Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi*, replied as follows:—

- “ 1. Yes.
- “ 2. 15 miles.
- “ 3. 30-foot finished top.
- “ 4. 6 miles.
- “ 5. Yes; 0.88 mile; *nil* to date.
- “ 6. Yes; a 1.48-mile section at Cape Horn Creek; by day-labour forces.
- “ 7. Not known.
- “ 8. Yes.
- “ 9. \$200,000.
- “ 10. No; not known.”

203 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

1. Was any kind of a survey made in 1967 for a possible route east of Duncan Lake to connect with the Trans-Canada Highway in the north?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what kind of a survey was made, who made it, when was it made, and how long did it take?
3. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what was the estimated cost of building the above link?
4. (a) What future surveys or plans are contemplated for the above proposed highway link and (b) will anything be done in the fiscal year 1968/69?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, on behalf of the Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi*, replied as follows:—

- “ 1. Yes.
- “ 2. Air-photo study and reconnaissance; N. R. Zapf, Director of Location; June, 1967; approximately one week plus one day on site with a helicopter.
- “ 3. No estimate of cost available; study assessed feasibility and snowslide problems involved.
- “ 4. (a) None at present and (b) no.”

208 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

Regarding the Regional Districts of Dewdney-Alouette, Central Kootenay, Comox-Strathcona, Squamish-Lillooet:—

1. What was the assessed value for school purposes in each of the above regional districts for (a) 1967 and (b) 1968?

2. What was the assessed value for general purposes in each of the above regional districts for (a) 1967 and (b) 1968?

3. What was the assessed value for hospital purposes in each of the above regional districts for (a) 1967 and (b) 1968?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“1. Taxable assessed values for schools, 1967, Dewdney-Alouette, \$62,-828,670; Central Kootenay, \$105,151,894; Comox-Strathcona, \$153,665,761; Squamish-Lillooet, \$94,983,279.

“2. Not available.

“3. Taxable assessed values for hospitals, 1967, Dewdney-Alouette, \$51,-443,045; Central Kootenay, \$99,409,293; Comox-Strathcona, \$128,722,725; Squamish-Lillooet, \$34,518,319.

“NOTE.—Figures for 1968 not available.”

215 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

Regarding the Jumbo Pass:—

1. Has any feasibility of reconnaissance survey ever been made on the above pass and, if yes, when was the survey made, who made it, and was a report made and is it available?

2. Will a feasibility survey of the pass be made in 1968?

3. If the answer to No. 2 is yes, how extensive will the survey be?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, on behalf of the Hon. *P. A. Gagliardi*, replied as follows:—

“1. Yes; 1954, Director of Location, yes, no.

“2 and 3. Not applicable.”

216 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

Regarding Rose Pass and (or) Grey Creek Road:—

1. Has any feasibility or reconnaissance survey ever been made on the above pass and, if yes, when was the survey made, who made it, and was a report made and is it available?

2. Will a feasibility survey of the pass be made in 1968?

3. If the answer to No. 2 is yes, how extensive will the survey be?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, on behalf of the Hon. *P. A. Gagliardi*, replied as follows:—

“1. Yes; preliminary reconnaissance study only, 1967; Regional Location Engineer, yes, no.

“2. Yes.

“3. Detailed reconnaissance and report.”

219 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

Regarding the proposed highway link between Kinnaird and Salmo via Champion Lakes:—

1. Has any survey for the above link been made and, if yes, when was the survey made?

2. What is the approximate length of this proposed route?
3. What is the estimated cost of constructing this link?
4. Have any plans been made for work on this section in the fiscal year 1968/69 and, if yes, how much will be spent and what work is planned?
5. If the answer to No. 4 is no, what are the plans and time schedule for constructing this link?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, on behalf of the Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi*, replied as follows:—

- “ 1. Yes, 1957–1961.
- “ 2. 20 miles.
- “ 3. Design not complete, estimate not available.
- “ 4. No.
- “ 5. As priorities permit.”

222 Mr. *McGeer* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following question:—

With respect to sale No. 40 on December 15, 1967, of the British Columbia School Districts Capital Financing Authority, what Government accounts purchased bonds of that sale and what were the specific amounts purchased by each of those Government accounts?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ Civil Service Retirement Fund, \$550,000; Civil Service Superannuation Fund, \$3,876,000; M.L.A.'s Superannuation Fund, \$25,000; Land Registry Assurance Fund, \$24,000; Suitors' Fund Investment Account, \$644,000; British Columbia Power Superannuation Fund, \$260,000; Municipal Superannuation Fund, \$3,100,000; Teachers' Pensions Fund, \$3,137,000; British Columbia Hydro and Power Pension Fund, \$220,000; Advance Payment Sinking Fund, \$6,000; British Columbia Hydro and Power Sinking Fund, \$946,000; British Columbia School Districts Capital Financing Authority Sinking Fund Account, \$1,235,000; Pacific Great Eastern Railway Sinking Fund, \$977,000; total, \$15,000,000.”

223 Mr. *McGeer* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following question:—

With respect to sale No. 41 on January 15, 1968, of the British Columbia School Districts Capital Financing Authority, what Government accounts purchased bonds of that sale and what were the specific amounts purchased by each of those Government accounts?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ *Centennial Cultural Fund Act*, \$5,014,000; Crop Insurance Stabilization Fund, \$4,986,000; total, \$10,000,000.”

In answer to the following questions standing on the Order Paper in the name of Mr. *McGeer*:—

221 Mr. *McGeer* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following question:—

What were the specific assets of the Municipal Civil Servants' Superannuation Fund as of January 29, 1968?

224 Mr. *McGeer* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following question:—

What were the specific assets of the Workmen's Compensation Fund as of January 29, 1968?

225 Mr. *McGeer* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following question:—

What were the specific assets of the Teachers' Pension Fund as of January 29, 1968?

226 Mr. *McGeer* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following question:—

What were the specific assets of the Pacific Great Eastern Railway Company Employees' Pension Fund as of January 29, 1968?

227 Mr. *McGeer* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following question:—

What were the specific assets of the British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority Superannuation Fund as of January 29, 1968?

The Hon. Minister of Finance stated that, in his opinion, the replies should be in the form of Returns and that he had no objection to laying such Returns upon the table of the House, and thereupon presented such Returns.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.25 p.m.

Thursday, March 21, 1968

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *K. M. Wood*.

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith amendments to Bill (No. 27) intituled *An Act to Amend the Motor-vehicle Act*, enclosed herewith, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 21, 1968.

(ENCLOSURE)

Section 16: To amend new section 126A, as contained in section 16 of the Bill, as follows:—

(a) Strike out subsection (2) and substitute the following:—

“(2) Where it is alleged that a person has violated a traffic rule, a peace officer may complete and sign a violation report. If a copy of the report is not served on the person alleged to have violated the rule forthwith after the alleged violation, it shall be served on him in the like manner as a summons is required to be served under the *Summary Convictions Act*.”

(b) In subsection (4), line 2, strike out the words “forty-eight hours” and substitute the words “seven days”.

(c) In subsection (7), strike out the last line and substitute the following: “A determination by a Magistrate under this subsection is an order from which an appeal lies under the *Summary Convictions Act*, but no Court exercising jurisdiction on appeal from such a determination shall record a conviction in respect of the violation or impose any penalty therefor.”

Ordered, That the said Message, and the amendments accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the proposed amendments to Bill (No. 27) intituled *An Act to Amend the Motor-vehicle Act*, a draft of which is annexed to the Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, be referred to the Committee having in charge Bill (No. 27).

Resolution reported.

Report adopted.

On the motion of the Hon. R. W. Bonner, the following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today:—

Bill (No. 78) intituled *An Act to Amend the Land Registry Act*.

Bill (No. 85) intituled *An Act to Amend the Securities Act, 1967*.

Bill (No. 84) intituled *An Act to Amend the Companies Act*.

On the motion of Mr. Gardom, Bill (No. 96) intituled *An Act to Amend the Wives' and Children's Maintenance Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.

Report to be considered at the next sitting.

Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Hon. R. G. Williston (Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources) presented the Annual Report of the British Columbia Lands Service for the year ended December 31, 1967.

22 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

With reference to private hospitals (nursing homes) caring for all types of chronic patients (those capable of rehabilitation, those needing skilled nursing care and medical supervision, and those needing custodial care):—

1. How many profit hospitals are there in the Province and what is the total number of beds in these hospitals?

2. How many non-profit hospitals are there in the Province and what is the total number of beds in these hospitals?

3. Are any patients occupying beds in non-profit hospitals who do not qualify for \$1-per-day coverage under the British Columbia Hospital Insurance Service and, if so, how many?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“ 1. There were 68 private hospitals with 3,150 beds. These are licensed under section 8 of the *Hospital Act*.

“ 2. There were 16 extended care hospitals and units with 1,190 beds. These are designated under section 25 of the *Hospital Act* and are not licensed as private hospitals. The average number of eligible patients rather than the number of beds is included for hospitals operated by the Federal Government. The above figure excludes rehabilitation hospitals and units.

“ 3. Yes, 74 in extended care facilities referred to in No. 2.”

71 Mr. *Tisdalle* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

1. With regard to the origin of residence of patients in The Woodlands School, how many show as their place of residence Vancouver Island, (a) for the years 1958, 1959, and 1960 and (b) for the years 1966 and 1967?

2. With regard to Tranquille, how many show as their place of residence Vancouver Island for the years 1966 and 1967?

3. With respect to admittance of patients into Woodlands and Tranquille Schools, (a) is there an up-to-date waiting list kept, (b) if the answer to (a) is yes, how many are requesting admittance from Vancouver Island and the Gulf Islands, and (c) how many have been on the waiting list (i) over 12 months and (ii) over 24 months?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“ 1. (a) 1958, 11; 1959, 24; 1960, 23; and (b) 1966, 37; 1967, 15.

“ 2. Included in answer to No. 1.

“ 3. (a) Yes, (b) 94, and (c) (i) 26, (ii) 42.”

72 Mr. *Parkinson* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

1. Did any graduate psychiatric nurses resign from the Mental Health Services during the year 1967?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how many resigned and (b) what reasons, if any, were given for resignations?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) 255; and (b) personal and family, 94; left British Columbia or the area, 63; other employment, 48; further education, 24; health reasons, 9; job dissatisfaction, 5; miscellaneous other reasons, 12; total, 255.”

75 Mr. *Parkinson* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

With respect to the nursing staff at The Woodlands School:—

1. What was the total number of nurses employed as at December 31, 1967?
2. (a) How many are graduate psychiatric nurses and (b) how many are registered nurses?
3. Did any nurses resign during the year 1967?
4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, (a) how many were graduate psychiatric nurses and (b) how many were registered nurses?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. 310.
- “ 2. (a) 275 and (b) 35.
- “ 3. Yes.
- “ 4. (a) 54 and (b) 12.”

76 Mr. *Parkinson* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following question:—

What was the total number of (a) psychiatric nurses, (b) registered nurses, and (c) psychiatric aides by category employed in the Mental Health Services as at December 31, 1967?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“(a) Psychiatric nurses, 1,049; (b) registered nurses, 146; and (c) psychiatric aides, 996.”

77 Mr. *Parkinson* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

1. What was the total number of psychiatric social workers employed in the Mental Health Services as at December 31, 1967?
2. Did any psychiatric social workers resign from the Mental Health Services during 1967?
3. If the answer to No. 2 is yes, (a) what was the total number of resignations, (b) in what departments did the resignations take place, and (c) what were the reasons, if any, given for resignation?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. 79.
- “ 2. Yes.
- “ 3. (a) 25; (b) Riverview Hospital, 11; The Woodlands School, 5; Mental Health Centre, Burnaby, 4; The Tranquille School, 2; Valleyview Hospital, 1; Mental Health Centre, Victoria, 1; Mental Health Centre, Nanaimo, 1; and (c) personal reasons, 13; other employment, 9; educational, 2; job dissatisfaction, 1.”

80 Mr. *Parkinson* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

With reference to the answer to Question No. 109 recorded in the Journals for 1964, regarding a planning committee to prepare for the construction of additional beds for the mentally retarded:—

1. Have any additional beds been provided at Tranquille or Victoria?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how many beds in each place?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. There are 104 beds in the Sage Building, Tranquille School, completed and occupied in 1966. Plans for the Glendale Hospital, Royal Oak, Saanich, complete and site preparation concluded. First phase of construction complete and tenders for construction of main unit for chronic pædiatric care and mental retardation to be called in 1968.”

83 Mr. *Parkinson* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

With respect to the operational costs of Riverview Hospital for the fiscal year 1967:—

1. What was the total cost of operations?

2. What part of this cost was expended on (a) salaries of psychiatrists, (b) salaries of physicians other than psychiatrists, (c) pharmaceuticals, and (d) other medical expenses?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“ 1. \$11,162,462.

“ 2. (a) \$311,952, (b) \$240,753, (c) \$323,684, and (d) \$181,484.”

84 Mr. *Parkinson* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

With respect to psychiatric beds in community general hospitals:—

1. How many such beds were in use as of December 31, 1967, and where are they located?

2. Are any such beds under construction, and, if so, how many?

3. Are there any such units approved by British Columbia Hospital Insurance Service but not yet under construction, and, if so, where are they located?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“ 1. There were 149, located as follows: Royal Inland Hospital, Kamloops; Kelowna General Hospital; Lions Gate Hospital, North Vancouver; Vancouver General Hospital; Royal Jubilee Hospital, Victoria. In addition, Nanaimo Regional General Hospital has general beds allocated to psychiatry as required.

“ 2. Yes; 94 beds.

“ 3. Yes; Nanaimo Regional General Hospital; Surrey Memorial Hospital; Trail-Tadanac Hospital; Burnaby General Hospital; Chilliwack General Hospital; Royal Inland Hospital, Kamloops (additional beds); Prince George Regional Hospital; St. Paul's Hospital, Vancouver; St. Vincent's Hospital, Vancouver; Vancouver General Hospital (new and larger unit); Royal Columbian Hospital, New Westminster. Approval to Penticton Hospital and Prince Rupert General Hospital for construction and allocation of some general beds for psychiatric patients.”

86 Mr. *Parkinson* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

With respect to physicians employed at the Riverview Hospital, Essondale:—

1. Were any employed and at their duties as at December 31, 1967, and, if so, how many?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how many of these physicians (a) are graduates in medicine of Canadian universities, (b) are in possession of the licentiate

of the Medical Council of Canada, (c) possess interim licences from the British Columbia College of Physicians and Surgeons, (d) possess a full licence to practise medicine anywhere in British Columbia, (e) are employed in medical duties other than psychiatric care, and (f) are medical specialists other than those certified in psychiatry?

3. What is the establishment for physicians, other than psychiatric specialists?

4. Were any resignations received during the calendar year ended December 31, 1967, and, if so, how many?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes, 28.

“ 2. (a) 7, (b) 14, (c) 16, (d) 12, (e) 5, and (f) 3.

“ 3. 12.

“ 4. Yes, nine.”

87 Mr. *Parkinson* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

With respect to the forensic clinic of the Provincial Mental Health Services located at Burnaby:—

1. When did this clinic begin operation?

2. What staff has been employed—(a) psychiatrists, (b) psychologists, and (c) clerical?

3. Have any resignation(s) or notice of resignation(s) occurred?

4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, what reason(s) was given?

5. What services has this clinic offered to (a) Provincial institutions, (b) agencies, (c) Courts, and (d) individual patients?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“ 1. October 13, 1966.

“ 2. (a) One, (b) sessional services supplied, and (c) one. (Also one social worker.)

“ 3. Yes.

“ 4. Other employment.

“ 5. The forensic clinic director and staff provide assessment and treatment services to Courts and probation services, agency consultations, acceptance of voluntary referrals, and conducts professional and public education.”

212 Mr. *Hall* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:—

Regarding the *Parents' Maintenance Act* for the fiscal years 1960 to date:—

1. Have any orders for maintenance been made under this Act?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how many of the orders were made as a result of proceedings by (a) the parents, (b) a municipality, and (c) the Attorney-General?

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* replied as follows:—

“ 1 and 2. Records disclose three cases since 1960, one each in Greater Victoria, Richmond, and Surrey. Parent brought proceedings in Richmond, other two were brought by municipality. One brought in Vancouver by parent prior to 1960. Major population areas checked. Complete check back to 1960 for the whole Province would probably not be available before Legislature's session ends.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 6.01 p.m.