

Friday, March 19, 1971

FIVE MINUTES PAST SIX O'CLOCK P.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

167. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,147,444 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Pollution Control, to 31st March 1972.

168. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$299,598 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Laboratory Services, to 31st March 1972.

169. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$7,000,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Preparation of Libby Reservoir, to 31st March 1972.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.

Report to be considered at the next sitting.

Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. on Monday next.

And then the House adjourned at 6.57 p.m.

Monday, March 22, 1971

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Major *H. Tilley*.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

The following Bills were committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time, and passed.

Bill (No. 1) intituled *An Act to Amend the Reciprocal Enforcement of Maintenance Orders Act*.

Bill (No. 28) intituled *An Act to Amend the Civil Service Superannuation Act*.

Bill (No. 3) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipal Superannuation Act.*

Bill (No. 5) intituled *An Act to Amend the School District and Regional Colleges (Pensions) Act.*

Bill (No. 6) intituled *An Act to Amend the Members of the Legislative Assembly Superannuation Act.*

Bill (No. 7) intituled *An Act to Amend the Public Service Group Insurance Act.*

Bill (No. 11) intituled *Special Funds Appropriation Act.*

Bill (No. 12) intituled *Accelerated Park Development Act.*

Bill (No. 13) intituled *An Act to Amend the Provincial Home-owner Grant Act.*

Bill (No. 14) intituled *An Act to Amend the Gasoline Tax Act, 1948.*

Bill (No. 15) intituled *An Act to Amend the Gasoline Tax Act, 1958.*

Bill (No. 16) intituled *An Act to Amend the Coloured Gasoline Tax Act.*

Bill (No. 17) intituled *An Act to Amend the Motive-fuel Use Tax Act.*

Bill (No. 18) intituled *Cigarette and Tobacco Tax Act.*

Bill (No. 19) intituled *Hotel and Motel Room Tax Act.*

Bill (No. 21) intituled *An Act to Amend the Taxation Act.*

Bill (No. 23) intituled *An Act to Amend the Trespass Act.*

Bill (No. 24) intituled *An Act to Amend the Fur-farm Act.*

Bill (No. 25) intituled *An Act to Amend the Animals Act.*

Bill (No. 27) intituled *An Act to Amend the Public Libraries Act* was committed, reported complete with amendments. Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

The following Bills were committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed:

Bill (No. 29) intituled *An Act to Amend the Settled Estates Act.*

Bill (No. 30) intituled *An Act to Amend the Variation of Trusts Act.*

Bill (No. 31) intituled *An Act to Amend the Testator's Family Maintenance Act.*

Bill (No. 32) intituled *An Act to Amend the Infants Act* was committed, reported complete with amendments. Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

The following Bills were committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed:

Bill (No. 33) intituled *An Act to Amend the Consumer Protection Act.*

Bill (No. 35) intituled *Hearing-aid Regulation Act.*

Bill (No. 36) intituled *An Act to Amend the Revised Statutes Act, 1966.*

Bill (No. 45) intituled *An Act to Amend the Community Care Facilities Licensing Act*.

Bill (No. 47) intituled *An Act to Amend the Public Schools Act* was committed. The Committee reported progress and asked leave to sit again.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House reverted to the Order "Presenting Reports by Standing and Special Committees."

Mr. *Price* presented the Second, Third, Fourth, Fifth, and Sixth Reports of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:

REPORT NO. 2

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
March 22, 1971

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:

That the preamble to Bill (No. 50) intituled *An Act to Incorporate the Vancouver School of Theology* has been proved, and the Bill *Ordered* to be reported with amendments.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

B. PRICE, *Chairman*

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

REPORT NO. 3

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
March 22, 1971

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:

That the preamble to Bill (No. 51) intituled *An Act Respecting Central City Mission* has been proved, and the Bill *Ordered* to be reported without amendment.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

B. PRICE, *Chairman*

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

REPORT NO. 4

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
March 22, 1971

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:

That the preamble to Bill (No. 52) intituled *An Act to Incorporate Canadian Institute of Management (British Columbia Branch)* has not been proved to the satisfaction of your Committee, which recommends that the Bill not proceed further.

Your Committee's decision is based on the conclusion that the principal aims and objects of the petitioners do not require private legislation to be effectively accomplished.

And your Committee further recommends that the deposit paid by the petitioners be refunded.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

B. PRICE, *Chairman*

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

REPORT NO. 5

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
March 22, 1971

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:

That the preamble to Bill (No. 53) intituled *An Act to Amend the Vancouver Charter* has been proved, and the Bill *Ordered* to be reported with amendments.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

B. PRICE, *Chairman*

The report was read and received.

On the motion of Mr. Price that the Rules be suspended and the report adopted, leave to suspend the Rules was not granted.

REPORT NO. 6

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
March 22, 1971

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:

That the preamble to Bill (No. 54) intituled *An Act to Amend the Seaboard Assurance Company Act, 1953* has been proved, and the Bill *Ordered* to be reported with amendments.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

B. PRICE, *Chairman*

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* (Premier) presented the Thirty-second Annual Report of the Public Utilities Commission for the year ended December 31, 1970, and a Report of Activities pursuant to the *Cemeteries Act* and the *Prearranged Funeral Services Act*.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.57 p.m.

Monday, March 22, 1971

EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:

JOHN R. NICHOLSON
Lieutenant-Governor

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Regional Parks Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 18, 1971

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 88) intituled *An Act to Amend the Regional Parks Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 66) intituled *All-terrain Vehicles Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Nimsick*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Bill (No. 67) intituled *An Act to Amend the Wildlife Act* was read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 68) intituled *An Act to Amend the Forest Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *R. A. Williams*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Bill (No. 69) intituled *An Act to Amend the Jury Act* was read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 70) intituled *An Act to Amend the Police and Prisons Regulation Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. Barrett, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Bill (No. 72) intituled *An Act to Amend the Land Act* was read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

Bill (No. 73) intituled *An Act to Amend the Wives' and Children's Maintenance Act* was read a second time and *Ordered* referred to the Select Standing Committee on Social Welfare and Education.

Bill (No. 47) intituled *An Act to Amend the Public Schools Act* was committed, reported complete with amendments. Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

60 Mr. Hartley asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following questions:

With reference to leasing or purchasing of Crown lands—

1. Was any Crown land leased or purchased by any of the following companies for townsites or industrial sites: Lornex Mining Company of Canada, Rayonier Limited, Tahsis Company?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what was the price paid per acre for each location?

The Hon. R. G. Williston replied as follows:

“1. Yes.

“2. In the course of administration applications have been received from these companies spanning a period of many years. A detailed search of records will be carried out if a time period is indicated in the question.”

187 Mr. Hartley asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following questions:

1. Were there any forest fires in the Lytton area during the year 1970?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what was the cost of fighting those fires, (b) was any investigation made into the cause of those fires, (c) has consideration been given to reimbursing those local residents who lost homes, range, and other assets as a result of those fires, and (d) was the closing of the local forestry station in any way related to those forest fires?

The Hon. R. G. Williston replied as follows:

“1. Yes.

“2. (a) \$520,800, (b) yes, (c) no, and (d) no.”

191 Mr. Nimsick asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following questions:

1. Is any land being reserved for the use of wildlife in the area around the Libby Pond?

2. If so, what is the acreage and how many animal units are involved?

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* replied as follows:

"1. No. However the Libby Pondage comprises part of a larger study in land-use management in the East Kootenay area which is now being carried out under the direction of the Land Use Committee.

"2. Not applicable."

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 11.04 p.m.

Tuesday, March 23, 1971

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *W. E. Greenhalgh*.

On the motion of Mr. *Macdonald*, Bill (No. 101) intituled *The Environmental Bill of Rights Act, 1971* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:

JOHN R. NICHOLSON
Lieutenant-Governor

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith amendments to Bill (No. 67) intituled *An Act to Amend the Wildlife Act*, enclosed herewith, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 18, 1971

(ENCLOSURE)

Section 17 (1), line 4: Clause (b) is repealed and the following substituted:
“(b) by striking out the word ‘with’ in the second line of clause (b), and substituting the words ‘, or carry,’; and by inserting, after the words ‘under the’ in the third line of clause (b), the words ‘close, personal’; and”.

Section 17 (2), line 2: Clause (a) is repealed and the following substituted:
“(a) by striking out the word ‘with’ in the second line, and substituting the words ‘, or carries,’; and by inserting, after the words ‘company and’ in the second line, the words ‘close, personal’; and”.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the amendments accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the proposed amendments to Bill (No. 67) intituled *An Act to Amend the Wildlife Act*, a draft of which is annexed to the Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, be referred to the Committee having in charge Bill (No. 67).

Resolution reported.
Report adopted.

The Hon. *D. R. J. Campbell* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:

JOHN R. NICHOLSON
Lieutenant-Governor

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *Mobile Home Park Fee Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 22, 1971

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 78) intituled *Mobile Home Park Fee Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

The following Bills were read a third time and passed:

Bill (No. 27) intituled *An Act to Amend the Public Libraries Act*.

Bill (No. 32) intituled *An Act to Amend the Infants Act*.

The following Bills were committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed:

Bill (No. 48) intituled *An Act to Amend the Provincial Home Acquisition Act*.

Bill (No. 69) intituled *An Act to Amend the Jury Act*.

Bill (No. 72) intituled *An Act to Amend the Land Act*.

The following Bills were read a second time and *Ordered* referred to the Select Standing Committee on Social Welfare and Education:

Bill (No. 74) intituled *An Act to Amend the Attachment of Debts Act*.

Bill (No. 75) intituled *An Act to Amend the Small Claims Act*.

The following Bills were read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today:

Bill (No. 76) intituled *An Act to Amend the Department of Commercial Transport Act*.

Bill (No. 77) intituled *An Act to Amend the Provincial Court Act*.

Bill (No. 80) intituled *Ecological Reserves Act*.

Bill (No. 82) intituled *Environment and Land Use Act*.

Bill (No. 83) intituled *An Act to Amend the Water Act*.

Bill (No. 85) intituled *An Act to Amend the Sale of Goods Act*.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 88) intituled *An Act to Amend the Regional Parks Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. Hall, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Bill (No. 89) intituled *An Act to Amend the Summary Convictions Act* was read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 22) intituled *An Act to Amend the Assessment Equalization Act*.

The debate continued.

At the hour of 6 o'clock p.m. Mr. Speaker left the chair.

Tuesday, March 23, 1971

EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

On the motion of the Hon. R. R. Loffmark, Bill (No. 97) intituled *Hospital Corporations Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of the Hon. W. A. C. Bennett, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

On the motion of the Hon. W. A. C. Bennett, seconded by the Hon. L. R. Peterson, it was *Ordered*—

That the adjourned debate on second reading of Bill (No. 22) intituled *An Act to Amend the Assessment Equalization Act* be appointed for consideration at this sitting.

On the motion for the third reading of Bill (No. 47) intituled *An Act to Amend the Public Schools Act* the House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—33

Messieurs

Wallace	Chabot	Bennett	Skillings
Ney	Jefcoat	Peterson	Chant
Marshall	Tisdalle	Black	Loffmark
Wenman	Bruch	Fraser	Gaglardi
Kripps, Mrs.	McCarthy, Mrs.	Campbell, B.	Campbell, D. R. J.
Mussallem	Dawson, Mrs.	Wolfe	Brothers
Price	Kiernan	Smith	Shelford
Vogel	Williston	McDiarmid	Richter
LeCours			

NAYS—17

Messieurs

Brousson	Hall	McGeer	Dowding
Gardom	Williams, R. A.	Williams, L. A.	Nimsick
Cocke	Calder	Macdonald	Barrett
Hartley	Clark	Strachan	Daily, Mrs.
Lorimer			

Bill read a third time and passed.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 22) intituled *An Act to Amend the Assessment Equalization Act*.

The debate continued.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—33

Messieurs

Wallace	Chabot	Williston	Skillings
Ney	Jefcoat	Bennett	Chant
Marshall	Tisdalle	Peterson	Loffmark
Wenman	Bruch	Fraser	Gaglardi
Kripps, Mrs.	McCarthy, Mrs.	Campbell, B.	Campbell, D. R. J.
Mussallem	Jordan, Mrs.	Wolfe	Brothers
Price	Dawson, Mrs.	Smith	Shelford
Vogel	Kiernan	McDiarmid	Richter
LeCours			

NAYS—17

Messieurs

Brousson	Hall	McGeer	Dowding
Gardom	Williams, R. A.	Williams, L. A.	Nimsick
Cocke	Calder	Macdonald	Barrett
Hartley	Clark	Strachan	Daily, Mrs.
Lorimer			

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debates on the motions for the second reading of the following Bills:

Bill (No. 38) intituled *Mortgage Brokers Act*.

Bill (No. 42) intituled *An Act to Amend the Mines Regulation Act*.

Bill (No. 43) intituled *An Act to Amend the Petroleum and Natural Gas Act, 1965*.

Bills read a second time and Ordered to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 44) intituled *An Act to Amend the Marriage Act*.

The debate continued.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—43

Messieurs

Wallace	Clark	Tisdalle	Smith
Ney	McGeer	McCarthy, Mrs.	McDiarmid
Marshall	Williams, L. A.	Jordan, Mrs.	Skillings
Lorimer	Macdonald	Dawson, Mrs.	Chant
Hall	Strachan	Kiernan	Loffmark
Williams, R. A.	Dowding	Williston	Gaglardi
Calder	Dailly, Mrs.	Bennett	Campbell, D. R. J.
Wenman	Vogel	Peterson	Brothers
Kripps, Mrs.	LeCours	Fraser	Shelford
Mussallem	Chabot	Campbell, B.	Richter
Price	Jefcoat	Wolfe	

NAYS—5

Messieurs

Gardom	Hartley	Nimsick	Barrett
Cocke			

PAIR:

Messieurs

Merillees

Brousson

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debates on the motions for the second reading of the following Bills:

Bill (No. 49) intituled *An Act to Amend the Department of Highways Act*.

Bill (No. 61) intituled *An Act to Amend the Soldiers' Land Act*.

Bill (No. 62) intituled *An Act to Amend the Land Registry Act*.

Bill (No. 66) intituled *All-terrain Vehicles Act*.

Bill (No. 68) intituled *An Act to Amend the Forest Act*.

Bill (No. 70) intituled *An Act to Amend the Police and Prisons Regulation Act*.

Bill (No. 71) intituled *An Act to Amend the Controlled Access Highways Act*.

Bills read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 11.26 p.m.

Wednesday, March 24, 1971

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. P. J. Calkins.

On the motion of the Hon. L. R. Peterson, Bill (No. 98) intituled *An Act to Amend the Legal Professions Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. F. X. Richter presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:

JOHN R. NICHOLSON
Lieutenant-Governor

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *Sunshine Comstock Mines Limited (Non-Personal Liability) Mineral Claims Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 23, 1971

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 86) intituled *Sunshine Comstock Mines Limited (Non-Personal Liability) Mineral Claims Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

170. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$45,620 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, Minister's Office, to 31st March 1972.

171. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,783,600 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, General Administration, to 31st March 1972.

172. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$34,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, Grants and Subsidies, to 31st March 1972.

173. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$500,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, Grants in Aid of Mining Roads and Trails, to 31st March 1972.

174. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$250,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, Grants in Aid of Roads and Trails, Petroleum and Natural Gas, to 31st March 1972.

175. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,750,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, Construction of Cassiar—Stewart Road, to 31st March 1972.

176. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$40,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, Grub-staking Prospectors, to 31st March 1972.

177. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$100,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, Special Mineral Surveys, to 31st March 1972.

178. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$150,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, *Iron Bounty Act*, to 31st March 1972.

42. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$15,460 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Commercial Transport, Minister's Office, to 31st March 1972.

43. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$100,142 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Commercial Transport, General Administration, to 31st March 1972.

44. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$132,284 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Commercial Transport, Engineering Branch, to 31st March 1972.

45. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$911,712 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Commercial Transport, Weigh-scale Branch, to 31st March 1972.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House continued to sit after 6 o'clock p.m.

137 Mr. *McGeer* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:

With respect to the Annual Report of the Pacific Great Eastern Railway for the year ended December 31, 1970—

1. What were the details of "temporary cash investments" of \$31,539,118?
2. How much income was received from these cash investments?
3. What were the sources of "non-operating income" totalling \$2,347,575?
4. What were the details for the temporary cash investments, income from those investments, and non-operating income for the comparable period in 1969?

The Hon. the Minister of Finance stated that, in his opinion, the reply should be in the form of a Return and that he had no objection to laying such Return upon the table of the House and thereupon presented the Return.

139 Mr. *Nimsick* asked the Hon. the Minister of Mines and Petroleum Resources the following questions:

1. How many tons of iron concentrates were produced in British Columbia in 1969 and 1970?
2. What was (a) the total estimated value of these iron concentrates for each of the above years and (b) the royalty return to the Province from iron concentrates for each of the above years?

The Hon. *F. X. Richter* replied as follows:

"1. Iron concentrates shipped: 1969, 2,074,854 tons; 1970 (estimated), 1,830,650 tons.

"2. (a) Value of iron concentrates shipped: 1969, \$19,787,845; 1970 (estimated), \$17,599,255; and (b) royalty return: 1969, \$252,489.34; 1970, \$260,132.25."

140 Mr. *Nimsick* asked the Hon. the Minister of Mines and Petroleum Resources the following questions:

1. How many tons of copper concentrates were produced in British Columbia in 1969 and 1970?
2. What was (a) the total estimated value of these copper concentrates for each of the above years and (b) the royalty return to the Province from the copper concentrates for each of the above years?

The Hon. *F. X. Richter* replied as follows:

"1. Copper concentrates shipped and copper content of concentrates: 1969, copper concentrate, 293,254 tons, copper content, 167,421,925 pounds; 1970 (estimated), copper concentrate, 393,421 tons, copper content, 215,459,416 pounds.

"2. (a) Value of copper in copper concentrate shipped: 1969, \$111,596,758; 1970 (estimated), \$128,800,000; and (b) royalty return, *nil*."

143 Mr. *Nimsick* asked the Hon. the Minister of Mines and Petroleum Resources the following questions:

1. How many coal mining licences were in good standing as at January 1, 1971?
2. How many of these licences were granted during 1970?
3. How many coal mines were operating in the Province as at January 1, 1971?

4. What are the names of these mines?
5. What was the production of each mine during 1970?
6. Did any of these mines pay royalty on the coal produced?
7. If the answer to No. 6 is yes, (a) which ones paid royalty and (b) in each case how much was the royalty per ton?

The Hon. *F. X. Richter* replied as follows:

- "1. 1,651.
- "2. 933.
- "3. Two.
- "4. Kaiser Resources Limited and Bulkley Valley Coal Sales Limited.
- "5. Preliminary figures for 1970: 3,480,631 short tons and 2,431 short tons, respectively.
- "6 and 7. Questions should be asked of Minister of Finance."

145 Mr. *Nimsick* asked the Hon. the Minister of Mines and Petroleum Resources the following questions:

1. Does Scurry Rainbow coal mines have licences to produce coal in British Columbia?
2. If so, when were these licences granted?
3. For what properties were these licences granted?
4. How many acres are involved in each licence?
5. Has the company presented a plan of development?
6. If so, does this plan involve the diversion of the Elk River?
7. Has the plan been accepted by the Department?

The Hon. *F. X. Richter* replied as follows:

"1. Yes; 22 coal licences held by Scurry Rainbow Oil Limited and 42 coal licences held jointly (50 per cent interest each) by Scurry Rainbow Oil Limited and Emkay Canada Natural Resources Limited.

"2. 22 licences issued September 4, 1970; 42 licences jointly held by Scurry Rainbow Oil Limited and Emkay Canada Natural Resources Limited issued as follows: September 25, 1953, 2; December 5, 1967, 14; July 16, 1968, 9; August 29, 1968, 1; October 30, 1969, 9; and February 11, 1970, 7.

"3. 22 licences located in Coast Range 5 Land District in vicinity of Chisholm Lake and Morice River in unsurveyed territory and 42 licences located in Kootenay Land District in Elk River area, 24 surveyed lots and 18 unsurveyed parcels of land.

"4. 640 acres or less.

"5. No.

"6 and 7. Answered by No. 5."

156 Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Mines and Petroleum Resources the following questions:

1. Does Scurry Rainbow Limited hold mining claims in the Upper Elk Valley between Connor Lake and the Upper Elk Lake?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how many claims are held by Scurry Rainbow Limited, (b) will this be an open-pit operation, (c) is there a townsite planned for this mine, and (d) what effect will this entire operation have on the Elk River?

The Hon. *F. X. Richter* replied as follows:

"1. No.

"2. Answered by No. 1."

182 Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:

1. What price per dozen is paid by the Liquor Control Board to breweries for bottled beer?

2. What price per barrel is paid by the Liquor Control Board to breweries for beer in barrels?

3. What price is charged per dozen by the Liquor Control Board to hotels and restaurants for bottled beer?

4. What price is charged per barrel by the Liquor Control Board when barrel beer is sold to hotels for resale in public houses?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* replied as follows:

"1. \$1.99.

"2. \$29.75.

"3. \$2.38.

"4. \$36."

192 Mrs. *Dailly* asked the Hon. the Minister of Rehabilitation and Social Improvement the following question:

With reference to flights made by the Minister as recorded in the flight logs of Government aircraft tabled in the Legislature by the Minister of Highways on March 10, 1971: What was the reason or purpose for each flight so recorded?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:

"On Government business."

195 Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Rehabilitation and Social Improvement the following questions:

1. Have any social workers or social worker aides been appointed since January 1, 1971?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how many in each category and (b) what was the grade and number of years experience in each appointment made?

3. Were there any social worker or social worker aide appointments made since January 1, 1971 that were not open to competition through the Civil Service Commission?

4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, how many in each category and what was the name and the grade of each person appointed?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:

"1. Yes.

"2. (a) and (b) Seven Social Workers Grade 2 with the following experience: 2 years ½ month, 3 years 5 months, 2 years 3 months, 3 years 2 months, 1 year 9 months, 7 months, and none. Two Social Workers Grade 2A with the following experience: 5 years 3 months, and 11 years. Five case aides with the following experience: 1 year and four with none.

"3. Yes; the Civil Service Commission has delegated to the Department initial screening at the entrance level for line social workers and case aides, with the recommended appointment subject to approval of the Civil Service Commission.

"4. See No. 3."

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* (Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources) presented the Annual Report of the Forest Service for the year ended December 31, 1970.

The House proceeded to the Order "Presenting Reports by Standing and Special Committees."

Mr. *Tisdalle* presented Report No. 1 of the Select Standing Committee on Social Welfare and Education, as follows:

REPORT NO. 1

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
March 24, 1971

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Social Welfare and Education begs leave to report as follows:

On February 9, 1971, on the motion of the Hon. *D. L. Brothers*, seconded by Mr. *Price*, it was *Ordered*—

That this House authorize the Select Standing Committee on Social Welfare and Education to examine the provisions and practices relating to the security of tenure for teachers in the public schools of British Columbia, and to report their findings and recommendations.

Since that date the following people have appeared before the Committee:

Mr. J. W. Killeen, Miss Frances Worledge, and Mr. C. D. Ovans, representing the British Columbia Teachers' Federation.

Mr. P. C. D. Powell and Mr. J. Campbell, representing the British Columbia School Trustees' Association.

Mr. E. E. Hyndman, on behalf of Mr. R. Thorstenson, President of the British Columbia Association of District Superintendents and Inspectors of Schools.

Mrs. C. Schoen and Mr. N. A. Skov, representing the British Columbia Parent-Teachers' Federation.

Mr. K. Fletcher, Miss L. Rundell, and Mr. G. Hudson, representing the Education Undergraduate Society, University of Victoria.

Mr. L. Isaacson, Mr. E. Kelly, and Mr. D. Smith, representing the Principals' Association of the British Columbia Teachers' Federation.

Your Committee begs leave to present a report as follows:

Your Committee accepts that the function of tenure provisions is to indicate those conditions under which a teacher may be assured of continuing employment by a school district, and that it is desirable that there be such provisions. At the same time, your Committee is of the opinion that it is essential that these provisions apply only to those teachers who have proven themselves capable of performing their duties satisfactorily, and who continue to perform them at that level.

Your Committee is also convinced that there must be some form of review provided in cases of dismissal, but that the particular form of review must be such that it will be concerned more with the substance of the issues involved in the termination of employment than with the details of the manner in which the employment was terminated.

It was agreed by the Committee that a teacher should be notified immediately whenever performance of his duties was evaluated as unsatisfactory; that he should be notified of the improvements considered necessary; and that, if after a reasonable

period of time, he did not effect these improvements, he should be subject to dismissal on the grounds of inefficiency.

The remainder of this report is divided into five sections, under these headings: (1) Probationary Appointments, (2) Grounds for Dismissal, Transfer, and Suspension, (3) Appeals Against Dismissal, (4) Personnel Practices, (5) Availability of Information.

(1) PROBATIONARY APPOINTMENTS

The Committee was informed by representatives of the British Columbia School Trustees' Association, the British Columbia Teachers' Federation, and the British Columbia Parent-Teachers' Federation that all three groups felt some extension of the present one-year probationary period was indicated. Nevertheless, the Committee believes that there is great value, in emphasizing the importance of careful selection of teachers, in the present one-year probationary appointment. With these points of view in mind, the Committee makes the following recommendation:

1. That rules 5.02 and 5.05 be replaced with the following:

5.02 Before a notice of termination is given, or before the teacher is reappointed on a second year of probationary appointment to a position in the service of the same Board, the Board shall consider the District Superintendent's report on the teacher concerned, and shall confer with the District Superintendent or, in the case of a teacher in a school to which Section 3.14 of the Rules is applicable, with the principal or the District Superintendent, or both.

5.05 When a Board terminates a probationary appointment, or reappoints a teacher pursuant to Rule 5.02, it shall inform him of the reasons for the action of the Board. Such information shall, at the written request of the teacher, be supplied in writing.

(2) GROUNDS FOR DISMISSAL, SUSPENSION, AND TRANSFER

Section 129 of the *Public Schools Act* sets forth the grounds for dismissal as "for cause," "for inefficiency or misconduct," and "for gross misconduct." The Committee is of the opinion that the terms "cause," "misconduct," and "gross misconduct" should not be defined in any specific way, since they must, of necessity, provide for a wide variety of situations. However, the Committee feels that "inefficiency" can be, at least partially, defined in terms of repeated reports assessing the work of a teacher as unsatisfactory. It is also of the opinion that the term "gross misconduct" is sufficiently clear that dismissal on this ground should be subject to different procedures both before and after the dismissal. The Committee makes the following recommendations:

2. That clause (i) of section 129 of the *Public Schools Act* be amended to read as follows:

(i) subject to the regulations and to the provisions of section 134, dismiss a teacher for inefficiency or misconduct at any time during the school-year by giving him thirty days' notice of dismissal, and in such case may recommend to the Minister the cancellation of the certificate of the teacher so dismissed;

3. That the Council of Public Instruction add the following as a Rule:

A teacher may be dismissed for inefficiency pursuant to section 129 (i) upon receipt by the Board of three or more reports indicating that the learning situation in the classes of the teacher is unsatisfactory, issued in accordance with the following:

(a) The three reports shall have been issued in a period of not less than 12 nor more than 24 months:

- (b) One of the reports shall have been written by the District Superintendent of Schools for the school district in which the teacher is employed, or by a Director of Instruction empowered to write reports under the regulations:
- (c) One of the reports shall have been written by another District Superintendent of Schools, appointed by the Department to inspect and report upon the teacher following the receipt of a request made to the Department by the District Superintendent of Schools in paragraph (b):
- (d) If the teacher is assigned to a school to which Rule 3.14 is applicable, one of the reports shall have been prepared under that Rule made in conformity with Rule 3.15:
- (e) The three reports shall have indicated an assessment of the learning situation and have contained recommendations for improvement.

Recommendation 3 requires that the principal's report provide an assessment of the learning situation. It appears to the Committee that, at present, these reports do not contain such evaluations and it was also drawn to the Committee's attention that the present Rules of the Council of Public Instruction do not specifically authorize or require such evaluations. Since the Committee is of the opinion that the principal of a school will often be the first supervisor to become aware of unsatisfactory performance by a teacher, he should not only have such authority, but should also be required to make evaluations. The Committee therefore recommends:

4. That the Council of Public Instruction revise Rule 3.15 by adding clauses (d) and (e) to require that

- (d) the (principal's) report contain an assessment of the learning situation in the teacher's classes and recommendations for improvement therein;
- (e) the report, at the specific request of either the District Superintendent of Schools or the Board, contain a statement that, in the opinion of the principal, the learning situation is satisfactory or unsatisfactory;

and further, that Rules 3.14 and 3.17 be revised to remove any implication that a principal may report only once a year.

5. That the present provision in clause (j) of section 129, concerning summary dismissal, be retained, but that the following words be added "and such dismissal is not subject to section 134, but the teacher shall have the right to appeal to the County Court."

Since the Committee is of the opinion that suspension should be a temporary measure invoked only during the investigative phases of a complaint which could lead to dismissal, it has made certain recommendations regarding suspension in the recommended amendments to section 134 of the *Public Schools Act*. Recommendations which will make provisions concerning transfer more flexible are made in the same amendments.

(3) APPEALS AGAINST DISMISSAL

Submissions by both the British Columbia School Trustees' Association and British Columbia Teachers' Federation questioned the value of the present Investigation Committee procedure established in section 134 of the *Public Schools Act*. The Committee gained the impression that this device, regardless of its original intent, had become formal and rigid in application, and of little value in resolving tenure disputes. The Committee feels that, if such is the case, this procedure may well serve to increase, rather than diminish, the tensions that may surround a proposed dismissal, and becomes merely a preliminary hearing preceding a Board of Reference.

The Committee is convinced that some less formal procedure must be introduced, and concurs with the British Columbia Teachers' Federation that the proper

time for such a development is before an actual dismissal has taken place. The Committee is also of the opinion that the appeal procedures themselves must be revised, and that appeals must be considered by a continuing body which will be able to develop suitable educational criteria. The Committee's proposals are detailed in the following recommended recommendations for re-enactment of sections 134 and 135 of the *Public Schools Act*:

Re-enacts
s. 134.

Section 134 is repealed and the following is substituted:

Notice of
intention to
dismiss,
transfer, or
terminate.

134. (1) Except as otherwise provided in this Act, the Board of a school district shall, at least thirty days prior to the issuance of a notice of dismissal, transfer, or a termination pursuant to clause (f1) of section 129, send the teacher by registered mail written notice of intention of dismissal, transfer, or termination.

Interview with
Board.

(2) Every teacher who has received a notice of intention issued in accordance with subsection (1), or who has received notice that he is suspended pursuant to clause (m) of section 129, may, within five days of receipt of such notice, request an interview by the Board, by delivering or sending by registered mail a written request for a hearing to the Board.

(3) The Board shall, upon receipt of a request pursuant to subsection (2), grant the teacher, within twenty days, an interview, either by the Board, or by a committee of the Board and such officers of the Board as the Board may direct, and the teacher shall have the right to be accompanied during the interview by a member of his profession.

(4) If the Board directs that the interview shall be by a committee, the Board shall consider the report of the committee, before issuing any notice of dismissal, transfer, or termination pursuant to clause (f1) of section 129, to the teacher who has requested the hearing.

(5) Any notice of dismissal, transfer, or termination pursuant to clause (f1) of section 129 issued to a teacher who has requested an interview shall be sent by registered mail.

Action
following
suspension.

(6) A Board which has suspended a teacher pursuant to clause (m) of section 129 shall, within seven days of the interview under subsection (3), reinstate the teacher, or take action to dismiss the teacher pursuant to section 129.

Salary to be
paid.

(7) A teacher shall receive his salary for the period during which he is under suspension, unless the Board subsequently dismisses him in accordance with subsection (6), and such dismissal shall not require a notice of intention under subsection (1), or an interview under subsection (3).

Appeal by
request.

(8) A teacher who has received a notice of dismissal issued by a Board may, not later than five days after the receipt of the notice, appeal from the action of the Board to a Review Panel appointed pursuant to section 135 by sending by registered mail, addressed to the Department and accompanied by a deposit in the amount of one hundred and fifty dollars, a request for an investigation of the dismissal, including reasons for the request, and by sending by registered mail to the Board a copy of the request for investigation.

Transferred
teacher may
resign.

(9) Every teacher who has been transferred by a Board may, notwithstanding any other provisions of this Act, if he has requested an interview pursuant to subsection (2), and if he does not wish to accept the transfer, resign upon thirty days' notice.

Statement by
Board.

(10) The Board shall, not later than five days after the receipt of the notice of the request for investigation from the teacher, send by registered

mail to the teacher and to the Department a full statement of the reasons for the notice of dismissal, accompanied by a deposit in the amount of one hundred and fifty dollars.

Procedure. (11) After consideration of such evidence as it may consider relevant, and in accordance with the provisions of section 135, the Review Panel may allow the appeal and make an order for the immediate reinstatement of the teacher, may also make such recommendations as it considers advisable, and shall notify the Board, the teacher, and the Department of its decision and recommendations.

(12) If the Board does not comply with the provisions of subsection (10), the appeal of the teacher shall be allowed, and his deposit shall be returned to him.

Payment to Consolidated Revenue. (13) If the appeal of the teacher is allowed, his deposit shall be returned to him and the deposit of the Board shall be paid into the Consolidated Revenue Fund.

(14) If the action of the Board is confirmed, the deposit of the Board shall be returned to the Board, and the deposit of the teacher shall be paid into the Consolidated Revenue Fund.

Re-enacts s.135. Section 135 is repealed and the following is substituted:

Duties of Review Panels. **135.** (1) A Review Panel established under this section shall investigate appeals under subsection (8) of section 134.

Notification by Department. (2) Upon receipt of a notice of appeal under subsection (8) of section 134, the Department shall immediately direct the appeal to the chairman of such one of the Review Panels as the Minister shall deem appropriate.

Appointment of Review Panels. (3) The Minister shall appoint, at such times as he shall determine, such number of Review Panels as he shall consider necessary, in accordance with the following provisions:

(a) Each Review Panel shall consist of a Chairman appointed by the Minister, one member appointed by the Minister from two persons nominated by the British Columbia School Trustees' Association, and one member from two persons nominated by the British Columbia Teachers' Federation, provided also that all persons nominated and appointed shall be persons actively engaged in the practice of education in British Columbia as evidenced by appointment to the staff of a Board, a college or university, or some other educational institution;

(b) The term of appointment shall be for not less than one year nor more than three years, provided that no person shall hold appointment for more than six consecutive years.

(4) If either party fails to notify the Minister of its nominees within fourteen days of receipt of his request for such nominees or if both parties fail so to notify the Minister, the Minister shall appoint suitable persons as members of the Review Panel on behalf of the party that failed to nominate a member.

(5) Any person employed by a Board, a college council, or a university who is appointed pursuant to subsection (3) shall be granted leave of absence with full salary to permit him to carry out his duties on the Review Panel, provided that the Board, college council, or university shall be reimbursed as provided in subsections (12), (13), (14), and (15).

Voting by chairman. (6) The chairman of a Review Panel shall have the same right of voting as the other members of the Review Panel.

(7) All three members of a Review Panel shall be present at the time the panel makes a decision pursuant to subsection (10).

(8) A member of a Review Panel established under this section has the power and privileges of a Commissioner appointed under the *Public Inquiries Act*, and sections 7, 10, and 11 of that Act apply to an appeal under this section.

Evidence to be relevant.

(9) No evidence or argument shall be admitted by the Review Panel which is not relevant to the appeal of the teacher, the reasons given by the teacher for his appeal, the statement of reasons for the notice of dismissal given by the Board to the teacher, or the general educational welfare of the pupils in the school district concerned.

Department records.

(10) Review Panels shall have access to those records of the Department which are relevant to the appeal.

Decision.

(11) On the conclusion of its hearing of the appeal, the Review Panel shall confirm the action of the Board, or allow the appeal, and such action is final and binding upon the teacher and the Board.

Remuneration.

(12) The Lieutenant-Governor in Council shall reimburse a Board, college council, or university for the salary of the chairman for the period of leave of absence granted pursuant to subsection (5), and shall also pay any expenses necessarily incurred by the chairman in the performance of his duties, and such expenses shall include any necessary payments for facilities, equipment, and clerical or related services in connection with the Review Panel.

(13) The Lieutenant-Governor in Council shall reimburse a Board, college council, or university for the salary of any member appointed pursuant to subsection (4) for the period of leave of absence granted pursuant to subsection (5) and shall also pay any expenses necessarily incurred by that member in the performance of his duties.

(14) The British Columbia Teachers' Federation shall reimburse a Board, college council, or university for the salary of a member appointed by the Minister pursuant to subsection (3) from persons nominated by it, and shall also pay any expenses necessarily incurred by that member in the performance of his duties.

(15) The British Columbia School Trustees' Association shall reimburse a Board, college council, or university for the salary of any member appointed pursuant to subsection (4) for the period of leave of absence granted pursuant to subsection (5) and shall also pay any expenses necessarily incurred by that member in the performance of his duties.

The Committee also recommends that section 129 be revised to provide the necessary cross-references to the re-enacted sections.

(4) PERSONNEL PRACTICES

The Committee is fully aware that as the representatives of the British Columbia Parent-Teachers' Federation so succinctly stated, "Good personnel practices cannot be legislated." However, the importance of them cannot be overstated. The Committee draws to the attention of the School Boards of British Columbia, and to the Department of Education, its concerns in connection with the following: Selection of staff, evaluation during probation, notification of concern *re* quality of work, and role of the principal; and recommends that these matters be reviewed to ensure that sound policies and practices are established.

(5) AVAILABILITY OF INFORMATION

The Committee believes that there is ground for concern about the extent to which information about a teacher's performance is made available to prospective employers and, even within the same district, to the principal of a school to which a teacher may be transferred, or transfer.

The Committee also was concerned at receiving little indication that information concerning the performance of recent graduates of the universities is made available to those institutions so that they may improve their preparation of teachers, and recommends that the Department of Education, the British Columbia School Trustees' Association, and the British Columbia Teachers' Federation develop procedures to ensure that such information is communicated.

Finally, the Committee recommends that the Hon. the Minister of Education be requested to furnish to the Select Standing Committee on Social Welfare and Education, at the next session of the Legislature, a survey of the operations of the recommendations of the Committee.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

J. D. TISDALE, *Chairman*

The report was taken as read and received.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 6.15 p.m.

Thursday, March 25, 1971

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. D. Metzger.

The Hon. D. R. J. Campbell presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:

JOHN R. NICHOLSON
Lieutenant-Governor

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipal Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 23, 1971

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 100) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipal Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today:

On the motion of the Hon. *R. R. Loffmark*, Bill (No. 94) intituled *An Act to Amend the Health Act*.

On the motion of Mr. *Macdonald*, Bill (No. 106) intituled *An Act to Incorporate the Land Bank of British Columbia*.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.

Report to be considered at the next sitting.

Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Tisdalle*, it was *Ordered* that Report No. 1 of the Select Standing Committee on Social Welfare and Education, received on March 24, 1971, be corrected by deleting the figure "(4)" from the third line of subsection (15) of section 135 of the legislation proposed under section (3) of the Report, and substituting the figure "(3)."

The Hon. *D. R. J. Campbell* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:

JOHN R. NICHOLSON
Lieutenant-Governor

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipalities Enabling and Validating Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 25, 1971

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 104) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipalities Enabling and Validating Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *D. R. J. Campbell* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:

JOHN R. NICHOLSON

Lieutenant-Governor

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *Greater Vancouver Regional Water and Sewer District Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,

March 25, 1971

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 102) intituled *Greater Vancouver Regional Water and Sewer District Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

Thursday, March 25, 1971

EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. K. Kiernan*, on behalf of the Hon. *L. R. Peterson*, Bill (No. 105) intituled *An Act to Amend the Credit Unions Act, 1961* was

introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

179. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$45,700 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Municipal Affairs, Minister's Office, to 31st March 1972.

180. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$463,754 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Municipal Affairs, General Administration, to 31st March 1972.

181. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$515,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Municipal Affairs, Grants and Subsidies, to 31st March 1972.

182. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$5,000,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Municipal Affairs, Housing and Urban Renewal, to 31st March 1972.

183. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$54,370,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Municipal Affairs, Grants in Aid of Local Government and Home-owners' Subsidies, to 31st March 1972.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 10.35 p.m.

Friday, March 26, 1971

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *Cyril Venables*.

The Hon. *R. G. Williston*, on behalf of the Hon. *L. R. Peterson*, presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:

JOHN R. NICHOLSON
Lieutenant-Governor

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *Statute Law Amendment Act, 1971*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 26, 1971

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 107) intituled *Statute Law Amendment Act, 1971*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *R. G. Williston*, on behalf of the Hon. *L. R. Peterson*, presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:

JOHN R. NICHOLSON
Lieutenant-Governor

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *Tobacco Advertising Restraint Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 26, 1971

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 103) intituled *Tobacco Advertising Restraint Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

220. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$34,725 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Public Works, Minister's Office, to 31st March 1972.

221. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$419,792 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Public Works, General Administration, to 31st March 1972.

222. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$13,141,569 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Public Works, Government Buildings (Maintenance), to 31st March 1972.

223. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$12,500,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Public Works, Construction of Provincial Buildings, to 31st March 1972.

224. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,500,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Public Works, Rentals, to 31st March 1972.

225. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,214,424 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Public Works, Safety Inspection Division, to 31st March 1972.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

On the motion of the Hon. *R. G. Williston*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

The following Bills were committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed:

Bill (No. 61) intituled *An Act to Amend the Soldiers' Land Act*.

Bill (No. 66) intituled *All-terrain Vehicles Act*.

Bill (No. 22) intituled *An Act to Amend the Assessment Equalization Act* was committed, reported complete without amendment.

On the motion for the third reading of Bill No. 22 the House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—31

Messieurs

<i>Wallace</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Skillings</i>
<i>Ney</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Marshall</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Wenman</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Gaglardi</i>
<i>Kripps, Mrs.</i>	<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Campbell, B</i>	<i>Campbell, D. R. J.</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>McCarthy, Mrs.</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>Price</i>	<i>Jordan, Mrs.</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Dawson, Mrs.</i>	<i>McDiarmid</i>	

NAYS—15

Messieurs

Brousson
Gardom
Cocke
Hartley

Lorimer
Hall
Williams, R. A.
Calder

Clark
McGeer
Williams, L. A.
Strachan

Nimsick
Barrett
Dailly, Mrs.

PAIRS:

Messieurs

Merilees
Little

Macdonald
Dowding

Bill read a third time and passed.

The following Bills were committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed:

Bill (No. 42) intituled *An Act to Amend the Mines Regulation Act*.

Bill (No. 43) intituled *An Act to Amend the Petroleum and Natural Gas Act, 1965*.

Bill (No. 44) intituled *An Act to Amend the Marriage Act* was committed, reported complete with amendments. Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. on Monday next.

And then the House adjourned at 5.03 p.m.

Monday, March 29, 1971

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *Harold Pendray*.

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:

JOHN R. NICHOLSON
Lieutenant-Governor

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith amendments to Bill (No. 107) intituled *Statute Law Amendment Act, 1971*, enclosed herewith, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 29, 1971

(ENCLOSURE)

To add section 20 as follows:

"Amends
Municipal
Finance
Authority of
British Colum-
bia Act."
"Municipality."

"20. Section 20 of the *Municipal Finance Authority of British Columbia Act*, being chapter 30 of the Statutes of British Columbia, 1970, is repealed and the following is substituted:

"20. The Municipal Finance Authority of British Columbia, for the purposes of the *Municipal Finance Authority of British Columbia Act* and the objects of the Municipal Finance Authority of British Columbia, is a municipality."

Ordered, That the said Message, and the amendments accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the proposed amendments to Bill (No. 107) intituled *Statute Law Amendment Act, 1971*, a draft of which is annexed to the Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, be referred to the Committee having in charge Bill (No. 107).

Resolution reported.

Report adopted.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

Bill (No. 4) intituled *An Act to Amend the Teachers' Pensions Act* was committed, reported complete without amendment.

On the motion for the third reading of Bill (No. 4) the House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—32

Messieurs

<i>Wallace</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Skillings</i>
<i>Ney</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Peterson</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Marshall</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Wenman</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Gaglardi</i>
<i>Kripps, Mrs.</i>	<i>Bruh</i>	<i>Campbell, B.</i>	<i>Campbell, D. R. J.</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>McCarthy, Mrs.</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>Price</i>	<i>Jordan, Mrs.</i>	<i>McDiarmid</i>	<i>Shelford</i>
<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Dawson, Mrs.</i>	<i>Capozzi</i>	<i>Richter</i>

NAYS—17

Messieurs

<i>Brousson</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Dowding</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>
<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Clark</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Daily, Mrs.</i>
<i>Lorimer</i>			

Bill read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 38) intituled *Mortgage Brokers Act* was committed, reported complete with amendments. Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

Bill (No. 49) intituled *An Act to Amend the Department of Highways Act* was committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 62) intituled *An Act to Amend the Land Registry Act* was committed, reported complete with amendments. Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

The following Bills were committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed:

Bill (No. 70) intituled *An Act to Amend the Police and Prisons Regulation Act*.

Bill (No. 71) intituled *An Act to Amend the Controlled Access Highways Act*.

Bill (No. 76) intituled *An Act to Amend the Department of Commercial Transport Act*.

Bill (No. 77) intituled *An Act to Amend the Provincial Court Act*.

Bill (No. 85) intituled *An Act to Amend the Sale of Goods Act*.

Bill (No. 89) intituled *An Act to Amend the Summary Convictions Act*.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 86) intituled *Sunshine Comstock Mines Limited (Non-Personal Liability) Mineral Claims Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. Barrett, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The following Bills were read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today:

Bill (No. 94) intituled *An Act to Amend the Health Act*.

Bill (No. 97) intituled *Hospital Corporations Act*.

Bill (No. 78) intituled *Mobile Home Park Fee Act*.

Bill (No. 98) intituled *An Act to Amend the Legal Professions Act*.

Bill (No. 67) intituled *An Act to Amend the Wildlife Act* was committed, reported complete with amendments. Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

173 Mr. Brousson asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:

1. How many residential properties were expropriated by the Provincial Government for the Department of Highways in each of the years 1967, 1968, 1969, and 1970?

2. Have any of these properties been rented to individuals or companies since expropriation and, if so, for how much rental and for what periods?

3. If the answer to No. 2 is yes, have any of these properties been rented to employees of the Department of Highways and, if so, for what rental and for what periods?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:

"1. 40 in 1967, 41 in 1968, 106 in 1969, and 29 in 1970.

"2. Yes. Varying amounts and varying periods.

"3. Yes. Listed below:

Address	Period	Rental Rate
North Vancouver R1—		
4575 Viewmont Avenue, Victoria	November 1 to December 31, 1970	\$125.00
4326 Quadra Street, Victoria.....	March 1 to December 31, 1970	113.00
2507 Millstream Road, Victoria	July 1, 1968 to August 22, 1970.....	75.00
991 Trans-Canada Highway, Victoria	September 1 to December 31, 1970	61.00
776 Portage Road	October 15, 1968 to July 15, 1969	94.50
	July 19, 1969 to December 31, 1970	66.67
	May 1, 1968 to December 31, 1970.....	97.50
Kamloops R2—		
Kerber House, Williams Lake	May 24 to July 9, 1969	106.00
Kolida House, McBride	July 15 to August 15, 1970	50.00
Nelson R3—		
Granite Road, Nelson	October 1, 1968 to December 31, 1970.....	40.00
203 Silica Street, Nelson	October 4, 1969 to November 30, 1970.....	64.50
	December 1 to December 31, 1970	97.00
214 Silica Street, Nelson	November 1, 1969 to December 31, 1970..	82.00
402 Lakeview Crescent, Nelson	October 1 to December 31, 1970.....	71.25
Prince George R4—		
<i>Nil.</i>		

194 Mr. *Cocke* asked the Hon. the Provincial Secretary the following questions:

1. Were any copies of the Minister's speech in closing debate on second reading of Bill No. 4 printed?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how many were printed, (b) to whom were they distributed, and (c) what was the cost to the Department?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:

"1. Yes.

"2. (a) 40,000, (b) retired teachers, schools, school boards, news media, and (c) approximately \$3,650."

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.54 p.m.

Monday, March 29, 1971

EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 103) intituled *Tobacco Advertising Restraint Act*, a debate arose.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to *nemine contradicente* on the following division:

YEAS—49

Messieurs

Wallace	Price	Jefcoat	Campbell, B.
Marshall	Clark	Tisdalle	Smith
Brousson	McGeer	Bruch	McDiarmid
Gardom	Macdonald	McCarthy, Mrs.	Capozzi
Cocke	Strachan	Jordan, Mrs.	Skillings
Hartley	Dowding	Dawson, Mrs.	Chant
Lorimer	Nimsick	Kiernan	Loffmark
Hall	Barrett	Williston	Gaglardi
Williams, R. A.	Dailly, Mrs.	Bennett	Campbell, D. R. J.
Calder	Vogel	Peterson	Brothers
Wenman	LeCours	Black	Shelford
Kripps, Mrs.	Chabot	Fraser	Richter
Mussallem			

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

The following Bills were read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today:

Bill (No. 105) intituled *An Act to Amend the Credit Unions Act, 1961*.

Bill (No. 107) intituled *Statute Law Amendment Act, 1971*.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 11.37 p.m.

Tuesday, March 30, 1971

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. F. Otke.

On the motion of the Hon. W. A. C. Bennett, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 88) intituled *An Act to Amend the Regional Parks Act*.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

The following Bills were read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today:

Bill (No. 100) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipal Act.*

Bill (No. 102) intituled *Greater Vancouver Regional Water and Sewer District Act.*

Bill (No.104) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipalities Enabling and Validating Act.*

The House proceeded to the Order "Private Bills."

The following Bills were read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today:

Bill (No. 50) intituled *An Act to Incorporate the Vancouver School of Theology.*

Bill (No. 51) intituled *An Act Respecting Central City Mission.*

Bill (No. 54) intituled *An Act to Amend the Seaboard Assurance Company Act.*

The House reverted to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

The following Bills were read a third time and passed:

Bill (No. 38) intituled *Mortgage Brokers Act.*

Bill (No. 44) intituled *An Act to Amend the Marriage Act.*

Bill (No. 62) intituled *An Act to Amend the Land Registry Act.*

Bill (No. 67) intituled *An Act to Amend the Wildlife Act.*

Bill (No. 68) intituled *An Act to Amend the Forest Act* was committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 80) intituled *Ecological Reserves Act* was committed, reported complete without amendment.

The Committee further reported that in consideration of section 4 the Committee divided.

The Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Barrett*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the division on section 4 be recorded in the Journals of the House as follows:

YEAS—17

Messieurs

Brousson
Gardom
Cocke
Hartley
Lorimer

Hall
Williams, R. A.
Calder
Clark

McGeer
Williams, L. A.
Macdonald
Strachan

Dowding
Nimsick
Barrett
Daily, Mrs.

NAYS—34

Messieurs

<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Peterson</i>	<i>Skillings</i>
<i>Ney</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Marshall</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Wenman</i>	<i>McCarthy, Mrs.</i>	<i>Campbell, B.</i>	<i>Gaglardi</i>
<i>Kripps, Mrs.</i>	<i>Jordan, Mrs.</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>Campbell, D. R. J.</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Dawson, Mrs.</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>Price</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>McDiarmid</i>	<i>Shelford</i>
<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Capozzi</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Bennett</i>		

Bill read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 78) intituled *Mobile Home Park Fee Act* was committed, reported complete without amendment.

On the motion for the third reading of Bill (No. 78) the House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—34

Messieurs

<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Skillings</i>
<i>Ney</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Peterson</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Marshall</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Wenman</i>	<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Gaglardi</i>
<i>Kripps, Mrs.</i>	<i>McCarthy, Mrs.</i>	<i>Campbell, B.</i>	<i>Campbell, D. R. J.</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Jordan, Mrs.</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>Price</i>	<i>Dawson, Mrs.</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Shelford</i>
<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>McDiarmid</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Williston</i>		

NAYS—17

Messieurs

<i>Brousson</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Dowding</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>
<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Clark</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Daily, Mrs.</i>
<i>Lorimer</i>			

Bill read a third time and passed.

The following Bills were committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed:

Bill (No. 82) intituled *Environment and Land Use Act*.

Bill (No. 83) intituled *An Act to Amend the Water Act*.

Bill (No. 94) intituled *An Act to Amend the Health Act*.

Bill (No. 97) intituled *Hospital Corporations Act*.

Bill (No. 98) intituled *An Act to Amend the Legal Professions Act*.

Bill (No. 103) intituled *Tobacco Advertising Restraint Act*.

Bill (No. 105) intituled *An Act to Amend the Credit Unions Act, 1961*.

Bill (No. 107) intituled *Statute Law Amendment Act, 1971* was committed. The Committee reported progress and asked leave to sit again.

The House proceeded to the Order "Presenting Reports by Standing and Special Committees."

Mr. Tisdalle presented the Second and Third Reports of the Select Standing Committee on Social Welfare and Education, as follows:

REPORT NO. 2

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
March 30, 1971

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Social Welfare and Education begs leave to report as follows:

On March 23, 1971, Bill (No. 74) intituled *An Act to Amend the Attachment of Debts Act* and Bill (No. 75) intituled *An Act to Amend the Small Claims Act* were *Ordered* referred to the Select Standing Committee on Social Welfare and Education.

At meetings of the Committee held on March 26 and March 30, 1971, representatives of the Department of the Attorney-General and of the Department of Rehabilitation and Social Improvement appeared before the Committee and were heard.

The Bills were discussed in detail with the representatives present.

Your Committee begs leave to recommend both Bills to the House.

Your Committee further begs leave to recommend that clause 9 of Bill (No. 74) intituled *An Act to Amend the Attachment of Debts Act* be amended as follows:

(a) By adding the words "or demote" in line three after the word "dismiss".

(b) By rewriting subsection (3) of the proposed new section 24 as follows:

"(3) In addition to the penalty imposed under subsection (2),

"(a) the employer shall forthwith reinstate the employee in his employment on the terms and conditions that were in effect before the offence; and

"(b) the employee is entitled to wages and benefits from the date of the offence to the date of the information and, to the extent ordered by the Judge, from the date of the information to reinstatement, provided that the information is sworn within fourteen days of the offence."

All of which is respectfully submitted.

J. D. TISDALLE, *Chairman*

REPORT NO. 3

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
March 30, 1971

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Social Welfare and Education begs leave to report as follows:

On March 22, 1971, Bill (No. 73) intituled *An Act to Amend the Wives' and Children's Maintenance Act* was *Ordered* referred to the Select Standing Committee on Social Welfare and Education.

At meetings of the Committee held on March 26 and March 30, 1971, representatives of the Department of the Attorney-General and of the Department of Rehabilitation and Social Improvement appeared before the Committee and were heard.

The Bill was discussed in detail with the representatives present.

Your Committee further begs leave to report that it refers the Bill back to the House without recommendation since there was not adequate time to properly examine the Bill nor to hear testimony from interested parties in the community.

Your Committee further begs leave to report that it is of the opinion that the Act and relevant material be referred to a Select Standing Committee early in the next Session.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

J. D. TISDALE, *Chairman*

The reports were taken as read and received.

184 Mr. *Nimsick* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following questions:

1. Is there any area of British Columbia where the sale of Crown land automatically carries a smoke damage clause on the title?
2. If so, to what areas in the Province does this apply?

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* replied as follows:

"1 and 2. No. By way of explanation it is pointed out that, when Crown land is sold, a Crown grant, not a title, is issued under the provisions of the *Land Act*. Crown grants issued covering Crown lands in the vicinity of Trail and Kimberley contain the following proviso with respect to an easement: 'Provided that out of the Grant hereby made there shall be excepted and reserved unto the Grantor, Her successors and assigns, at all times any and all easements relating to smoke or fumes which may in any way interfere with the enjoyment or occupation of the premises hereby granted or any part thereof arising from any smelter, concentrator, or other industry'."

193 Mr. *Wenman* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following questions:

1. What are the projected capital and annual operational costs of the primary treatment plant at Annacis Island in Delta?
2. What are the projected capital and annual operational costs of secondary treatment at Annacis Island in Delta?
3. What is the tentative date for secondary treatment plant construction to begin at Annacis Island?

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* replied as follows:

"1. The primary treatment plant at Annacis Island in Delta will be owned and operated by the Greater Vancouver Sewerage and Drainage District, which has advised that the projected capital and annual operating costs of primary treatment plant at Annacis Island in Delta are \$17,000,000 and \$450,000 respectively.

"2. The Greater Vancouver Sewerage and Drainage District advises that the projected capital and annual operating costs for primary and secondary treatment at Annacis Island in Delta are \$30,000,000 and \$820,000 respectively.

"3. No tentative date has been established for secondary treatment plant construction to begin at Annacis Island as such a date would be predicated upon the information to be obtained from the studies required by the permit issued by the Director of Pollution Control Branch under the *Pollution Control Act, 1967.*"

196 Mr. *Nimsick* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following questions:

1. Were any logs in the raw state exported from British Columbia during the year 1970?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) to what countries, (b) how many board-feet to each country, (c) how many cubic feet to each country, and (d) what was the value of these raw logs?

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* replied as follows:

"1. Yes.

"2. (a) Japan, United States, and Canada (Alberta); (b) volumes scaled in board-feet, Japan, 183,007,751 board-feet; United States, 112,555,043 board-feet; (c) volumes scaled in cubic feet, United States, 616,848 cubic feet; Canada (Alberta), 205,616 cubic feet; and (d) approximate value, \$19,831,100 (based on average value of logs in forest districts from which logs were exported)."

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 6 p.m.

Tuesday, March 30, 1971

EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

Bill (No. 107) intituled *Statute Law Amendment Act, 1971* was committed, reported complete with amendments. Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.

Report to be considered at the next sitting.

Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Mr. *Jefcoat* presented the First and Second Reports of the Select Standing Committee on Agriculture, as follows:

REPORT NO. 1

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
March 30, 1971

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Agriculture begs leave to report as follows: Pursuant to motion of February 2, 1971 your Committee was ordered convened to study the following matter:

- That this House authorize the Select Standing Committee on Agriculture to
- (a) examine the prices of food products sold in British Columbia at the following levels: (i) Producer level, (ii) wholesale level, (iii) retail level, and (iv) processor level, and to evaluate these prices in relation to the inputs or services provided at or between each of these levels;
 - (b) recommend improved marketing procedures for agricultural products in British Columbia.

The Committee shall have the power to send for persons, papers, and records and to hear representations from the food and agricultural industry, and such organizations and individuals as may in their discretion appear necessary, and to report its findings and recommendations to this House.

In its study of price spreads at the various stages between producer and consumer the Select Standing Committee on Agriculture conducted nine public hearings at which 16 briefs were presented by individuals and groups representing producers, marketing boards, wholesalers, processors, retailers, and consumers of food and agricultural products.

There was evidence throughout the hearings that, within the marketing segment, each representative group was attempting to justify its own position and the effectiveness of its role. There was, however, much evidence to indicate that there is no standardized pattern of food marketing. Merchandising of food products throughout the food marketing channels, from producer to consumer, varies from commodity to commodity and is affected by the nature and size of the production segment, the extent to which post-production processing is required, specialty selling, and by the integration of the functions of assembling, wholesaling, and retailing of the commodity.

Each of the many groups involved in food marketing indicated that its role was being discharged efficiently and that its profit margin was minimal. Evidence was also presented from various segments of the food marketing channel that their operations were subject to the full impact of competition in the free marketplace. With the time and facilities available to it, the Committee has been unable to test this evidence, and accordingly can neither accept nor reject it.

On the basis of the evidence presented, the Committee is able to conclude that the financial returns to the primary food producer are low and that, if this segment of the industry is to become viable, means must be found to increase the net returns at the farm level. Failure to provide such upward adjustment in farm net revenue is likely to hasten the disappearance of farmers and farmland, resulting in diminishing control over food prices at the consumer level. The loss of family farms encourages the growth of corporate production organizations, and the Committee is doubtful that production controlled by the corporate farm structure will necessarily lead to lower prices at the consumer level.

On the basis of all of the presentations before it, the Committee concludes that the matters referred to it for study by the Legislature in 1971 should be the subject of an expanded and continuing study under the auspices of the Department of Agriculture.

Accordingly, the Committee recommends that

- (a) appropriate amendments be made to the *Department of Agriculture Act* to rename the Department and broaden its responsibilities as the "Department of Food and Agriculture," with power to administer all of the laws of the Province with respect to the production, processing, distribution, and marketing of food;
- (b) under the authority of the Minister of Food and Agriculture, there be established an agency known as "The British Columbia Food Council," empowered to study, investigate, report, advise, and recommend action in respect of all questions relating to the production and marketing of food;
- (c) the British Columbia Food Council be comprised of representatives from the Department of Food and Agriculture, producers, processors, wholesalers, retailers, consumers, and labour, with power to establish advisory committees;
- (d) the remuneration of members of the British Columbia Food Council and the expenses incurred in the performance of their duties be fixed and paid by the Lieutenant-Governor in Council.

The Committee recommends that the Government act as quickly as possible to introduce legislation and provide the necessary extra resources for a Department of Food and Agriculture to undertake, on a satisfactory basis, the broadened responsibilities as proposed.

Respectfully submitted.

WILLIS F. JEFCOAT, *Chairman*

REPORT NO. 2

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,

March 30, 1971

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Agriculture begs leave to report as follows:

Pursuant to Votes and Proceedings dated March 8, 1971 Bill (No. 39) intitled *Synthetic Food Products Act* was read a second time and *Ordered* referred to the Select Standing Committee on Agriculture.

The Committee received briefs from grocery products manufacturers of Canada representing some 76 companies. Also, briefs were received from Langis Foods Limited. A number of letters were received.

Based on the information received the Committee recommends that Bill (No. 39) the *Synthetic Food Products Act* proceed with the following amendments:

Section 1 (d): "Natural food product" means any meat, vegetable, fruit, poultry, or products in semblance thereof, or any other designated natural substance of whatever origin, source, or composition that is ordinarily used, in either the natural or processed state or condition, for human ingestion or consumption.

Section 8: This Act shall come into force and effect on a day to be fixed by the Lieutenant-Governor in Council by his Proclamation.

Respectfully submitted.

WILLIS F. JEFCOAT, *Chairman*

The reports were taken as read and received.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 11.34 p.m.

Wednesday, March 31, 1971

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. R. Carbol.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

226. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$51,270 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Recreation and Conservation, Minister's Office, to 31st March 1972.

227. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$170,424 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Recreation and Conservation, General Administration, to 31st March 1972.

228. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$503,418 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Recreation and Conservation, Provincial Museum, to 31st March 1972.

229. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$66,734 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Recreation and Conservation, Commercial Fisheries Branch, to 31st March 1972.

230. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,727,979 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Recreation and Conservation, Fish and Wildlife Branch, to 31st March 1972.

231. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$3,808,262 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Recreation and Conservation, Parks Branch, to 31st March 1972.

232. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,150,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Recreation and Conservation, Grants in Aid of Regional Parks Development, to 31st March 1972.

233. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$275,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Recreation and Conservation, Youth Training Programme, to 31st March 1972.

234. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$3,850 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Travel Industry, Minister's Office, to 31st March 1972.

235. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$37,838 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Travel Industry, General Administration, to 31st March 1972.

236. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$394,514 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Travel Industry, Community Recreation Branch, to 31st March 1972.

237. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,743,600 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Travel Industry, Travel Division, to 31st March 1972.

238. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$120,885 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Travel Industry, California and London Offices, to 31st March 1972.

239. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$298,348 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Travel Industry, Film and Photographic Branch, to 31st March 1972.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The House proceeded to the Order "Presenting Reports by Standing and Special Committees."

Mr. *Vogel* presented the First and Second Reports of the Select Standing Committee on Municipal Matters, as follows:

REPORT NO. 1

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
March 31, 1971

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Municipal Matters begs leave to report as follows:

Pursuant to the motion passed on February 18, 1971, "That this House authorize the Select Standing Committee on Municipal Matters to examine the criteria and conditions which should be met either to incorporate by Statute an area as a municipality or to include an area in an existing municipality, and to report their findings and recommendations to the House." Your Committee held five meetings.

Representations were heard from the Union of British Columbia Municipalities.

Your Committee was of the opinion that legislation on the matters referred to the Committee should be the subject of further study.

Your Committee further recommends that the offer by the Union of British Columbia Municipalities to review the question and report with recommendations to the Minister of Municipal Affairs be accepted.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

H. VOGEL, *Chairman*

REPORT NO. 2

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
March 31, 1971

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Municipal Matters begs leave to report as follows:

Pursuant to motion of March 8, 1971, it was *Ordered* that Bill (No. 37) intitled *Air Space Titles Act* be referred to the Select Standing Committee on Municipal Matters.

Three meetings were held in connection with the Bill, and representations were heard from the Architectural Institute of British Columbia, the Canadian Bar Association, Corporation of British Columbia Land Surveyors, Real Estate Institute of British Columbia, and the Insurance Agents' Association of British Columbia. A representation was also received from Mr. Colin D. McQuarrie, Q.C., solicitor for the Union of British Columbia Municipalities.

Your Committee recommends that the Bill be proceeded with, and recommends the following amendments:

1. Section 1 is amended
 - (a) by inserting, after the words "volumetric parcel" in clause (a), the words " , whether or not occupied in whole or in part by a building or other structure"; and
 - (b) by adding before the word "based" in the first line of clause (c) the words "designated by regulation by the Surveyor-General, or".
2. Section 4 is amended by striking out the word "planes" in the fourth line and substituting "surfaces".
3. Section 7 is amended
 - (a) by striking out the word "planes" in the first line of clause (a) of subsection (1) and substituting "surfaces"; and
 - (b) by striking out the word "and" in the third line of paragraph (i) of clause (f) of subsection (1) of section 7 and substituting "or as otherwise designated by regulation by the Surveyor-General; and"; and
 - (c) by striking out the words "does not require" in the first line of subsection (3) and substituting the word "requires".

All of which is respectfully submitted.

H. VOGEL, *Chairman*

The reports were taken as read and received.

26 Mr. *Cocke* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:

With respect to hospital treatment of emotionally disturbed children—

1. Did any general hospital under British Columbia Hospital Insurance Service operate psychiatric wards for children during the year ended December 31, 1970?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, where are such units located, how many beds involved, and how many children treated during the year indicated in No. 1?
3. Are any such units referred to in No. 1 at present (a) under construction and (b) approved in principle?
4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, at what locations and how many beds?

5. Has any request for such facilities been renewed from the Health Sciences Centre, University of British Columbia?

6. If answer to No. 5 is affirmative, at what stage of development is such project?

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* replied as follows:

"1. Yes.

"2. Vancouver General Hospital, eight beds; 220 admissions involving 105 children. Hospitals operating psychiatric wards report that admissions and bed allocations are determined by the hospital medical staff, the attending physician, and the administration according to the diagnosis and state of urgency indicated by the admitting doctor at the time of admission, but without regard to age or sex of the patient. In many hospitals, beds are not specifically designated for any particular disorder or for patients coming within a specified age-group. One hospital reported that certain patients under 16 years of age requiring psychiatric treatment were admitted to a paediatric ward.

"3. (a) and (b) No. While no new units are under construction or approved in principle for construction at the present time, a 20-bed unit has been completed at the Eric Martin Institute, Royal Jubilee Hospital, Victoria.

"4. See No. 3.

"5. There has been a request from the British Columbia Centennial Child and Family Psychiatric Centre Committee for a centre which would be located at the University of British Columbia. It is understood that the application has the support of the Board of Governors of the University of British Columbia on the understanding that the project would not involve commitment of university funds.

"6. The project is being reviewed by the Department for consideration regardless of whether or not it becomes a centennial grant project."

32 Mr. *Hall* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:

With respect to hospital construction—

1. What was the total sum spent on construction of acute hospital accommodation in British Columbia in the year ended December 31, 1970?

2. How much of that sum was provided by the Provincial Government?

3. How much of that sum was provided by the Federal Government?

4. How much of that sum was provided by municipal governments, hospital improvement districts, and fund-raising?

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* replied as follows:

"1. \$13,655,955.

"2. The Provincial Government provided cash grants to hospitals totalling \$25,011 and is, in addition, responsible for repayment of a major portion of the moneys borrowed under the *Regional Hospital Districts Act* (i.e., No. 1 less the \$25,011 mentioned above and the sums shown in Nos. 3 and 4). The Provincial Government's statutory responsibility for assisting in the payment of interest and principal on such borrowings is set out in section 22 of the above-mentioned Act.

"3. \$751,678.

"4. \$25,010. (NOTE—The hospital fund-raising function formerly carried out by hospital improvement districts and municipalities is now the main function of regional hospital districts)."

39 Mrs. *Daily* asked the Hon. the Minister of Rehabilitation and Social Improvement the following questions:

With reference to the Provincial Alliance of Businessmen for the period April 1, 1970 to date—

1. Who are the members of the Alliance?
2. What are the names, functions, and salaries paid to all persons employed?
3. What are the full details of revenue and expenditure?
4. How many persons have been placed in permanent employment by the Alliance?
5. How many persons have been placed in temporary employment by the Alliance?
6. What was the breakdown by age-group and sex of persons placed in employment?
7. Were any persons placed in employment in Government services or Crown agencies?
8. If the answer to No. 7 is yes, how many persons were placed and in what department or agency?
9. Were any persons placed in employment who were at the time of placement recipients of social assistance?
10. If the answer to No. 9 is yes, (a) how many persons were placed by age-group and sex, (b) how many of the placements were permanent and how many temporary, and (c) how many of those placed were single and how many married?
11. What is the location and address of each Alliance office in British Columbia?
12. Were any staff placements made which were not made under the jurisdiction of the Civil Service Commission and, if so, what were the names of the persons placed, their positions, and their salaries?
13. Do any employees have Civil Service classification and, if so, what are their names and classifications?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:

"1. Chairman, the Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi*; committee members, David G. Anstey, Co-ordinator of Adult Technical and Vocational Training, Department of Education; John Melville, Assistant Deputy Minister of Labour, stationed in Vancouver; and Norman S. Brooke, Director, Social Assistance and Rehabilitation Division, Department of Rehabilitation and Social Improvement.

"2. R. S. Price, Executive Assistant, Victoria, \$1,050; E. N. Delmonico, Regional Director, Vancouver, \$810; D. G. Stewart, Regional Director, Kamloops, \$730; D. A. Chapman, Regional Director, Kelowna, \$850; N. J. H. D'Arcy, Regional Director, Prince George, \$730; A. B. Erskine (resigned December 15, 1970), Regional Director, Nanaimo, \$730; E. Devore, Regional Director, Dawson Creek¹, \$621; K. J. Landucci, Regional Director, Castlegar¹, \$621; B. Hobbs, Assistant Regional Director, Vancouver, \$580; Mrs. M. Carroll², Senior Clerk (Clerk 4), Victoria, \$533; Miss E. J. McLeod³, Secretary-Receptionist and Rehabilitation Officer 1, Vancouver, \$498; Mrs. C. L. Lassen³, Secretary-Receptionist (Clerk-Stenographer 3), Nanaimo, \$394; Miss N. Dutcyvich³, Secretary-Receptionist (Clerk-Typist), Kamloops, \$316; Mrs. J. Murphy³, Secretary-Receptionist (Case

¹ NOTE—Castlegar and Dawson Creek offices opened January 11, 1971. Duties, to administer the regional office which comes under the jurisdiction of the individual Regional Director, to establish liaison with prospective employers and all groups interested in the re-employment and rehabilitation of the unemployed employables.

² Duties, to act as Secretary-Receptionist in the Victoria office, to gather statistical data as required, to supervise the activities of the clerical staff, to order and maintain supplies in all offices, and to attend to clerical duties related to headquarters' records.

³ Duties, under the direction of the Regional Director, to fill duties as Secretary-Receptionist. To undertake all clerical duties and maintain office filing system, to accept applications for employment and to conduct interviews as and when required.

Aide), Kelowna, \$457; Mrs. J. Caufield³, Secretary-Receptionist (Clerk-Stenographer 3), Prince George, \$424; Miss D. T. Renard, Clerk-Stenographer 2, Vancouver, \$365; Mrs. J. S. Walker, Clerk-Typist 1, Victoria, \$328; Miss M. Nygard, Clerk-Stenographer 1, Kamloops, \$340; Miss D. Slater, Clerical Assistant (part-time), Vancouver.

"3. Salaries, \$117,813; office expenses, \$28,688; travelling expenses, \$10,774; office furniture and equipment, \$7,880; incidentals, \$502; total, \$165,657.

"4. 2,815. Provincial Alliance of Businessmen only, 1970/71.

"5. 482.

"6. Under 20, male, 512, female, 151; 21 to 30, male, 742, female, 212; 31 to 40, male, 564, female, 119; 41 to 50, male, 401, female, 76; 51 to 60, male, 155, female, 41; over 60, male, 30, female, 6.

"7. Yes.

"8. Government of Canada: Postal Services, 7; Department of Transport, 1; Department of Veterans Affairs, 1; Department of Revenue, 1; Department of Fisheries, 1; Dockyard, 3; Canadian National Railways, 4; Canadian Pacific Railway Company, 2; Pacific Great Eastern Railway, 483; United Nations, 1; Provincial Government Department of Highways, 422; Provincial Government Department of Recreation and Conservation, 37; Department of Public Works, 9; British Columbia Forest Service, 7; Government Agency, 3; British Columbia Ferries, 5; British Columbia Vocational School, 1; Department of Rehabilitation and Social Improvement, 4; Department of Education, 18; Department of Attorney-General, 1; Provincial Home, 6.

"9. Yes.

"10. Information not available.

"11. Victoria, 316, 620 View Street; Vancouver, 1850, 777 Hornby Street; Nanaimo, 9, 495 Dunsmuir Street; Kamloops, 90, 180 Seymour Street; Kelowna, 9, 1638 Pandosy Street; Prince George, 38, Provincial Government Building; Castlegar⁴, 7, 605 Columbia Street; Dawson Creek⁴, 1023—102nd Avenue.

"12. All placed with understanding and agreement of the Civil Service Commission.

"13. Yes. This information contained in the reply to No. 2.

³Duties, under the direction of the Regional Director, to fill duties as Secretary-Receptionist. To undertake all clerical duties and maintain office filing system, to accept applications for employment and to conduct interviews as and when required.

⁴These two offices opened January 11, 1971."

79 Mr. *Cocke* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:

With reference to Vote 96, Code 023, \$75,000 (Vocational Training of Disabled Persons), for the fiscal year 1970/71—

1. Was any money expended from this vote?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what were the amounts expended on a monthly basis?

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* replied as follows:

"1. Yes.

"2. April 1970, \$8,107.75; May 1970, \$10,317.85; June 1970, \$9,918.56; July 1970, \$9,478.42; August 1970, \$16,135.70; September 1970, \$14,014.34; October 1970, \$20,980.91; November 1970, \$13,626.17; December 1970, \$20,206.84; January 1971, \$7,976.83; and February 1971, \$6,487.61."

80 Mr. *Cocke* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:

With reference to Vote 96, Code 019, \$150,000 (Grants to Vocational Rehabilitation Agencies), for the fiscal year 1970/71—

1. Was any money expended from this vote?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what are the names of the agencies, the amounts, and the dates the grants were made?
3. Have any agencies applied for grants and not received a grant?
4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, what are the names of the agencies, the amounts applied for, and the dates of application?

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* replied as follows:

"1. Yes.

"2. Up to February 28, 1971:

"Goodwill Enterprises for the Handicapped: June 18, 1970, \$4,766; July 13, 1970, \$2,382; August 18, 1970, \$2,382; September 21, 1970, \$2,382; October 21, 1970, \$2,382; November 18, 1970, \$2,382; December 24, 1970, \$2,382; January 12, 1971, \$2,382; February 15, 1971, \$2,382.

"Western Institute for the Deaf: May 28, 1970, \$2,900; June 17, 1970, \$1,450; July 21, 1970, \$1,450; August 18, 1970, \$1,450; October 13, 1970, \$1,450; October 19, 1970, \$1,450; November 18, 1970, \$1,450; December 16, 1970, \$1,450; January 18, 1971, \$1,450; February 26, 1971, \$1,450.

"Opportunity Rehabilitation Workshop: June 5, 1970, \$4,223.20; July 27, 1970, \$2,494.90; August 18, 1970, \$2,494.90; September 21, 1970, \$2,494.90; October 9, 1970, \$2,494.90; November 9, 1970, \$2,494.90; December 24, 1970, \$2,494.90; January 20, 1971, \$2,494.90; February 11, 1971, \$2,494.90.

"3. Yes.

"4. Handicrafts for Homebound Handicapped, \$18,600, August 19, 1969; VARCO Workshop, \$27,600, August 6, 1970; and Narcotic Addiction Foundation, \$16,618, August 18, 1969."

112 Mr. *McGeer* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:

1. Was any advertising placed by the Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance for publication through the period July 1, 1970 to August 31, 1970 with regard to air quality standards?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what was the cost of such advertising, (b) what was the purpose of such advertising, (c) to what individuals or companies were payments made for services provided, and (d) what amounts were paid to individual publications or broadcasting companies?

3. What was the total spent for the comparable period in 1969?

4. What was the total amount spent for this purpose during the fiscal year 1969 and what was the total amount spent during the fiscal year 1970?

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* replied as follows:

"1. No advertising was placed by the Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance for publication through the period July 1, 1970 to August 31, 1970 with regard to air quality standards.

"2. Not applicable.

"3. Nil.

"4. Nil."

116 Mr. *McGeer* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:

1. Was any advertising placed by the Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance for publication by itself or in any combination with other departments during the period July 1, 1970 to August 31, 1970 regarding water quality standards?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what was the cost of such advertising, (b) what was the purpose of such advertising, (c) to what individuals or companies were payments made for services provided, and (d) what amounts were paid to individual publications or broadcasting companies?

3. What was the total spent for the comparable period in 1969?

4. What was the total amount spent for this purpose during the fiscal year 1969 and what was the total amount spent during the fiscal year 1970?

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* replied as follows:

"1. No advertising was placed by the Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance for publication, by itself or in any combination with other departments, during the period July 1, 1970 to August 31, 1970 regarding water quality standards.

"2. Not applicable.

"3. *Nil*.

"4. *Nil*."

181 Mr. *McGeer* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:

1. What was the price paid per bottle to the winery or agent for the following wines: Calona Royal White, 26 oz., retailing at \$1; Calona White Dry, 26 oz., retailing at \$1; Calona Still Rose, 26 oz., retailing at \$1.05; Calona Sauterne, 26 oz., retailing at \$1.15; Calona Crackling Burgundy, 26 oz., retailing at \$1.70; Calona Crackling Rose, 26 oz., retailing at \$1.70; Calona Canadian Champagne, bottle \$3.35; Calona Red Dry, 26 oz., retailing at \$1; Andre's Regency Vin Rose, 26 oz., retailing at \$1.30; Andre's Sauterne, 26 oz., retailing at \$1.15; Andre's Regency Rhine Wine, 26 oz., retailing at \$1.30; Andre's Richelieu Champagne, bottle \$3.35; Beau Sejour Champagne, bottle \$2.85; Jordan Valley Champagne, bottle \$4.10; Mission Hill Vin Blanc, 26 oz., \$1; Bon White, 26 oz., \$1; Villa Still Rose, 26 oz., \$1.05; Villa Red Dry, 26 oz., \$1; Mission Hill Okanagan Claret, 26 oz., \$1.30; Ste. Michelle Still Rose, 26 oz., \$1.30; Lindeman Coolalta Claret, bottle \$2.20; Graves Superior (White Bordeaux), bottle \$2.15; Eschenaur Haut Sauterne, bottle \$3.20; Eschenaur Sauterne, bottle \$3.15; Medoc Bordeaux Red, bottle \$2.35; St. Julien Bordeaux Red, bottle \$3.45; Beaune Red Burgundy, \$4.70; Beaujolais (Burgundy), bottle \$3?

2. With respect to the prices paid to the wineries or agents for each of the wines named in No. 1, were any of these prices greater than that paid for the same item one year previous and, if so, which items and by how much?

3. (a) Is the same price paid to the brewers of the following beers for one dozen, and all of which retail at \$2.50: Carlings Black Label, Carlings Pilsener, Carlings U.B.C., Carlings Charrington Toby; Interior Breweries Ltd. Fernie, Interior Breweries Ltd. Columbia, Interior Breweries Ltd. Kokanee; Labatt Breweries Lucky Lager, Labatt's Pilsener, Labatt's Silver Spring, Labatt's Rainier, Labatt's Gold Keg; Molson's Capilano Brewery Old Style; O'Keefe Brewing Co. (B.C.) Ltd. Old Vienna, O'Keefe Brewing Co. Stein; Tartan Brewing Ltd. Tartan Pilsener, Tartan

Brewing High Life, Tartan Brewing Uncle Ben's, Tartan Brewing Atlas; and (b) if not, to which firms were greater or lesser prices paid per dozen?

4. (a) Are the following breweries paid identical prices for one dozen tins of the following beer, all of which retail at \$2.60 per dozen: Carlings Breweries (B.C.) Ltd. Black Label, Carlings Breweries Pilsener; Interior Breweries Ltd. Columbia, Interior Breweries Kokanee; Labatt Breweries of British Columbia Ltd. Lucky Lager, Labatt Breweries Labatt's Pilsener; Molson's Capilano Brewery Ltd. Old Style, Molson's Capilano Canadian; O'Keefe Brewing Co. Old Vienna; Tartan Brewing Ltd. Tartan Pilsener, Tartan High Life, Tartan Uncle Ben's, Tartan Simon Fraser; and (b) if not, to which firms were greater or lesser prices paid per dozen?

5. (a) Were identical prices paid to the breweries for the following ales, all of which retail at \$2.70: Carlings Red Cap; Interior Kootenay Pale; Labatt's Silver Spring and Labatt's 50; Molson's Export; and O'Keefe Brewing O'Keefe; and (b) if not, to which firms were greater or lesser prices paid per dozen?

6. Were the same prices paid to the brewers or agents for the following imported beers: Ekla, Frydenlunds, Asahi, Swan Logger, and Zealandia?

7. Were the same prices paid to the brewers and agents of all of the following imported beers: Heineken's Tuborg, Lowenbrau, Harp Lager, and Steffibraeu?

8. In respect to all items referred to in Nos. 1 through 7, were the prices all f.o.b. Liquor Control Board warehouses?

9. With reference to each of the following persons and the expenses shown opposite each name: Anderson, J. T., \$596.50; Archibald, D. G., \$553.56; Armstrong, W. M., \$1,272.05; Auton, F., \$883.75; Bain, R. L., \$445.55; Battistone, G. F., \$446.48; Bereditsch, P., \$1,945.65; Bjornson, K. S., \$1,430.49; Branham, A. V., \$2,791.38; Brkich, J., \$650.05; Campbell, D. J., \$900.34; Chalmers, L. R., \$627.72; Chubb, R. A., \$808.63; Churchill, W. J., \$1,344.46; Cockle, H. J., \$978.92; Cowles, H. C., \$786.51; Craig, J. A., \$2,039.80; Crawford, C. R., \$1,542.45; Creasey, E., \$1,040.30; Crittenden, J. O., \$4,316.02; Cumisky, M. P., \$1,133.70; De Witt, V. E., \$1,397.67; Devine, G. M., \$3,284.76; Digeorgio, J. D., \$624.35; Doig, W. J., \$590; Dougall, N., \$691.95; Elphick, N. H., \$2,598.10; Evans, R. M., \$727.50; Ferbey, R. J., \$1,111.81; Forrister, A. E., \$503.37; Gavin, D. J. F., \$2,189.30; Georgeson, W. A., \$3,924.62; Griffeth, G. H., \$502.10; Haggerty, D. J., \$525.11; Hatchwell, A. R. G., \$1,039.91; Hedges, B. H., \$872.96; Hill, R. N., \$801.12; Hillairet, L., \$1,027.08; Hiscock, S. L., \$2,867.89; Howard, D. J., \$805.68; Kozley, A. G., \$458.86; Lambeth, J. A., \$3,275.63; Lang, A. M., \$1,416; Leggett, W., \$525.92; Litzen, R. J., \$1,080.80; Lythgoe, W. S., \$3,197.68; MacDonell, R. A., \$31.94; McEwan, H. A., \$574.25; McFarlane, K. W., \$934.10; McFaul, N. P., \$919.63; McKenzie, G. E., \$1,798.86; Mew, E. W., \$1,644.82; Munkley, B. E., \$3,484.30; Nicholas, P. D., \$418; Nicoll, D. F., \$418.35; Palmer, J. H., \$1,525.03; Petty, R. W., \$796.15; Price, C. E., \$2,480.49; Prowse, K. M., \$674.35; Pybus, J. A., \$573.95; Ready, G., \$554.54; Richardson, G. C., \$1,039.96; Richardson, S., \$900.68; Rogers, J., \$1,841.65; Serediuk, G. N., \$1,170.01; Sherret, J. A., \$494.24; Sinclair, A. J., \$692.29; Smith, D. B., \$743.60; St. Arnault, J. H. R., \$1,441.04; Turiff, W. T., \$1,755.04; Veland, B., \$3,303.20; Very, W. D., \$1,638.74; Warren, J. E., \$2,846.53; Whiffin, T. F., \$2,389.18, as shown in the return made under the *Public Bodies Information Act* by the British Columbia Liquor Control Board for the year ended March 31, 1970:

(a) In what job classification does each individual named serve the British Columbia Liquor Control Board and where is his or her place of employment?

- (b) Please give the date, place, purpose, and reason for each itemized expenditure included in each of the above amounts?
- (c) In each case please give the name and position of the person who verified that value was received for each itemized expenditure in each of the above listed amounts?

10. What positions were occupied by the following persons employed by the Liquor Control Board of British Columbia during the fiscal year ended March 31, 1970, and to whom salary and wage payment was shown in the return made under the *Public Bodies Information Act* by the Liquor Control Board for the year ended March 31, 1970: Barr, E. M.; Barry, C. R.; Bedford, E. J.; Blygh, J. A.; Branham, A. V.; Brown, G. S.; Brown, J. E.; Bruce, W. A.; Conner, B. E.; Cookson, T.; Craig, J. A.; Craig, K. G.; Craig, R. D.; Crittenden, J. O.; Dabell, J. H.; Davis, C. G.; Devine, G. M.; Dewberry, J. W.; Dunlop, R. C.; Ferguson, C. A.; Foster, D. C.; Foster, H.; Foster, K. W.; Foster, R. W.; Granger, L. J.; Greig, I. M.; Jensen, R. J.; Johnson, J. R.; Johnson, M. S.; Kaines, W. A. S.; Klett, A.; Klye, F. M.; Lloyd, N. J.; Loughheed, F. M.; Lunn, L. M.; Lythgoe, W. S.; Marcer, R. A.; Marsland, T.; Mason, R.; McCorkindale, J. R.; McGladrey, J.; McGugan, D.; McIntosh, C. B.; McKay, J. A.; McKim, J. M.; Mew, E. W.; Middleton, L. H.; Mills, J. H.; Murray, J. F.; Peticrew, G. C.; Picotte, R. L.; Prowse, K. M.; Reinhold, R. F. W.; Ritchie, L. E.; Rose, M. U.; Rosso, J. N.; Scott, R.; Shay, W. C.; Siddall, J.; Smith, C. L.; Smith, R. E. G.; Stapleton, A. E.; Trainor, J. C.; Venables, G. L.; Webster, R. N.; Westwood, J. T.; Whiffin, R. G.; Whiffin, T. F.; Winston, W. D.; Woodland, V. C.; Zala, G. A.?

11. With reference to the following sums of money shown as having been paid to the following: \$49,792 to Ackerman-Laurence; \$8,100 to Atom Investments Ltd.; \$5,696 to Baker, Noel W.; \$1,215 to Bewza, Mrs. G. and Mrs. I.; \$6,300 to Bill's Investments Ltd.; \$110,556 to Canada Safeway Ltd.; \$3,288 to Capostinsky, Frank and Verla; \$30,542 to CIP Van Pac Ltd.; \$4,200 to Concord Masonic Temple Association; \$1,429 to Dick, Stanley; \$2,916 to Eldorado Properties Ltd.; \$1,395 to Floyd, Maurice Albert; \$26,874 to Fromm & Sichel Inc.; \$595 to Hastings Park Club; \$15,180 to Hodgson, Alan James; \$4,800 to Hoodspith Publishing Co. Ltd.; \$557 to Incola Hotel; \$4,752 to Jang, Fook Tong and Mrs. Chai Yau; \$31,858 to Kelly, Douglas & Co. Ltd.; \$6,000 to Kelowna and District Credit Union; \$650 to Knight, Harold Davis; \$3,240 to L. & L. Holdings Ltd.; \$703 to Leo's Camera Supply Ltd.; \$840 to London Calc-Type Exchange Corporation; \$1,640 to Merrydown Wine Co. Ltd.; \$6,896 to Monimpex Wine Department; \$600 to Moore, Mrs. Genevieve; \$10,395 to Moser, Weingut Lenz; \$504 to Norhaug, Olaf; \$5,000 to Petrie, D. R., and Sparks, H. C. and V. A.; \$19,100 to Porter, R. E.; \$1,020 to Price, George W.; \$4,840 to Pronger, R. J.; \$1,680 to Scott's Pharmacy; \$3,570 to Simpson, William H.; \$1,575 to Smith, H. J.; \$2,911 to Societe Hellenique; \$780 to Stewart, K.; \$2,900 to Turley, F. M.; \$3,800 to Turner, Mrs. Ines Josephine; \$3,800 to Turner, Lloyd Ketcheson; \$2,440 to Wilcox, Richard K.; \$3,363 to Williams, Donald and Iris; \$1,200 to Wood, Mrs. Laure Pearl; and \$1,087 to York Hotel, as shown in the return made under the *Public Bodies Information Act* by the British Columbia Liquor Control Board for the year ended March 31, 1970:

- (a) Were these amounts paid for the supply of goods or services?
- (b) In each case please give particulars of dates, places of use and purpose and, where applicable, unit cost of such goods or services?
- (c) In each case please give name and position of the person who authorized these expenditures and name and position of the individual who verified that value was received for the said expenditures?

12. With respect to H. J. Smith to whom the amount of \$1,575 was paid by the British Columbia Liquor Control Board according to the Schedule of Payments to Corporations and Individuals for Supplies and Services Rendered (page 32) as shown in the British Columbia Liquor Control Board Financial Statement for the fiscal year ended March 31, 1970, is this the same H. J. Smith shown on page 25 of the same Financial Statement under Salaries, Wages, and Travelling Expenses to have been paid \$714.35 and, if so, what position does or did said H. J. Smith occupy with the Liquor Control Board of British Columbia which entitled him to be paid wages?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* stated that, in his opinion, the reply should be in the form of a Return and that he had no objection to laying such Return upon the table of the House, and thereupon presented such Return.

183 Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:

1. What was the selling-price of each brand of liquor and wine as at December 31, 1970?
2. What was the cost price of each brand of liquor and wine as at December 31, 1970?
3. What was the percentage of profit in each case?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* stated that, in his opinion, the reply should be in the form of a Return and that he had no objection to laying such Return upon the table of the House, and thereupon presented such Return.

205 Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Public Works the following questions:

1. Has the Government purchased, or are they in the process of purchasing, The Bay Warehouse on Cloverdale Avenue in Victoria?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what is the purchase price of the property?

The Hon. *W. N. Chant* replied as follows:

- "1. Yes, in the process of purchasing.
- "2. Transaction incomplete."

207 Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Public Works the following questions:

With reference to the construction of liquor stores in the Sicamous, Enderby, and Armstrong areas—

1. Were tenders called for the construction of stores in these areas?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what were the names of the tenderers, the amount of each tender, and the name of the successful tenderer?
3. What was the final cost of each store completed?

The Hon. *W. N. Chant* replied as follows:

"This matter does not come under the jurisdiction of the Department of Public Works."

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.52 p.m.