

Monday, February 18, 1963

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *A. G. McLeod*.

The following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today:—

On the motion of Mr. *Strachan*—Bill (No. 23) intituled *An Act Respecting the Sale of Goods under Time Sale Agreements*.

On the motion of Mr. *Strachan*—Bill (No. 24) intituled *An Act to Amend the Public Bodies Financial Information Act*.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Turner*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* (President of the Council) presented the Pacific Great Eastern Railway Company Statement of Accounts as at December 31, 1962.

Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

With respect to the Provincial Energy Board:—

1. What has been the total cost to date of reports issued by the Board?
2. In connection with these reports, did any members of the Board, or persons whose services have been retained by the Board, conduct surveys, engage in authorized conversations, or attend meetings in the year 1962 in the United States with respect to the possibility of selling power generated in British Columbia in that country?
3. If the answer to No. 2 is yes, (a) on what date(s) did these meetings occur, (b) who were the persons attending these meetings, (c) who were the Energy Board representatives attending these meetings, (d) where were these meetings held, and (e) what were the total expenses incurred in the United States by the Energy Board representatives?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

" 1. \$66,964 and £33,577.

" 2. Yes.

" 3. (a) September 10 to 13, October 1 to 3, October 9 to 10, (b) members of Columbia River negotiating committees, (c) no representation by Provincial Energy Board as such, but among representatives of the Provincial Government negotiating committee were the following: Dr. G. M. Shrum, Chairman, British Columbia Energy Board; Dr. H. L. Keenleyside, Member, British Columbia Energy Board; Mr. P. R. Purcell, Chief Engineer, British Columbia Energy Board; (d) Washington, D.C.; Portland, Ore.; Seattle, Wash.; and (e) not applicable, *see* (c)."

Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Council the following question:—

With reference to Order in Council No. 2905 in 1960 referring to Peace River and Columbia River development for the advice of the British Columbia Energy Board and the answer of the Hon. the Premier recorded in the Journals of the House, 1961, page 97, what was the cost to the Energy Board for the report of Merz & McLellan?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“\$31,255.45 and £24,725.14.6.”

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

**Tuesday, February 19, 1963**

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Brig. *R. Thierstein*.

The Hon. *W. D. Black* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the City of Greenwood Debt Refunding Act, 1941-42*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*February 18, 1963.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 12) intituled *An Act to Amend the City of Greenwood Debt Refunding Act, 1941-42*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *F. X. Richter* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Apiaries Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*February 18, 1963.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 21) intituled *An Act to Amend the Apiaries Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.  
Report adopted.  
Bill introduced and read a first time.  
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of the Hon. *F. X. Richter*, Bill (No. 15) intituled *An Act to Amend the Soldiers' Land Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Campbell*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Mr. *Rhodes* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With reference to the Surrey Social Welfare Department:—

1. During the year 1962, did any of the employees leave the service in (a) field staff and (b) office staff?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how many and (b) for what reasons?
3. Have they been replaced?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

"1. (a) and (b) Yes.  
"2. (a) Three; (b) two social workers, husband transferred and health reasons, one office staff, change-over in method of accounting reduced office staff by one.

"3. Two social workers have been replaced."

Mrs. *Mather* asked the Hon. the Minister of Municipal Affairs the following questions:—

1. Were there any charges laid under the *Pollution-control Act* last year?
2. If the answer is yes, (a) how many, (b) how many convictions, and (c) name industries or individuals charged and convicted?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. No.
- “ 2. None.”

Mr. *Calder* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

1. Are any residents in (a) Alice Arm and (b) Stewart in receipt of (i) Family Service, (ii) Social Assistance, (iii) Disabled Persons' Allowance, (iv) Old-age Assistance, (v) Supplementary Social Assistance, and (vi) Blind Persons' Allowance?

2. If the answer to any part of No. 1 is yes, how many residents in each place are in receipt of the relevant services?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. (a) No and (b) yes.
- “ 2. Stewart: (i) none; (ii) four, Social Assistance; (iii) one, Disabled Persons' Allowance; (iv) two, Old-age Assistance; (v) four, Old-age Supplementary Social Assistance; and (vi) one, Blind Persons' Allowance.”

Mr. *Rhodes* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With reference to Surrey Social Welfare Department:—

1. What was the total number of employees as at December 31, 1962?
2. How many of the employees were paid salaries by (a) the Provincial Government and (b) the Municipality of Surrey?
3. How many of the employees were (a) field staff and (b) office staff?
4. Do any of the employees have either B.S.W. or M.S.W. degrees, and, if so, how many?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. Twenty-one.
- “ 2. (a) Six and one-half and (b) fourteen and one-half.
- “ 3. (a) Thirteen; eleven social workers, one municipal administrator, one supervisor, and (b) eight.
- “ 4. Yes; one, the Provincial supervisor.”

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.54 p.m.

**Tuesday, February 19, 1963**

---

EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 10.14 p.m.

---

---

**Wednesday, February 20, 1963**

---

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *H. G. Walker*.

The following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today:—

On the motion of the Hon. *F. X. Richter*—Bill (No. 14) intituled *An Act to Amend the Line Fences Act*.

On the motion of the Hon. *F. X. Richter*—Bill (No. 16) intituled *An Act to Amend the Poultry and Poultry Products Act*.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *R. G. Williston*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

*Mr. Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce the following question:—

With respect to employment in the manufacturing industries of British Columbia, what were the employment totals in these industries, by years: 1952, 1953, 1954, 1955, 1956, 1957, 1958, 1959, 1960, and 1961?

The Hon. R. W. Bonner replied as follows:—

*“ Estimates of Employment in British Columbia, 1952 to 1962*

(Thousands of persons.)

Year	Primary Industries	Construction	Manufacturing	Service, Trade, and Other	Total
1952.....	58	37	93	241	429
1953.....	55	41	94	241	431
1954.....	56	33	96	252	437
1955.....	69	35	102	256	462
1956.....	60	45	109	275	489
1957.....	53	50	105	303	511
1958.....	52	35	100	318	505
1959.....	51	36	101	338	526
1960.....	59	34	101	330	524
1961.....	54	31	99	352	536
1962.....	55	33	102	368	558

“ Source: Bureau of Economics and Statistics, Victoria, B.C.

*“ Estimates of Employment in Canada, 1952 to 1962*

(Thousands of persons.)

Year	Primary Industries	Construction	Manufacturing	Service, Trade, and Other	Total
1952.....	1,157	390	1,288	2,324	5,159
1953.....	1,098	374	1,327	2,436	5,235
1954.....	1,115	351	1,268	2,509	5,243
1955.....	1,166	365	1,298	2,535	5,364
1956.....	1,041	418	1,353	2,773	5,585
1957.....	1,000	430	1,355	2,940	5,725
1958.....	943	400	1,287	3,065	5,695
1959.....	926	413	1,301	3,216	5,856
1960.....	911	399	1,295	3,350	5,955
1961.....	894	386	1,290	3,479	6,049
1962.....	874	395	1,300	3,648	6,217

“ Source: Bureau of Economics and Statistics, Victoria, B.C.

*“ Employment<sup>1</sup> in the United States, 1952 to 1961*

(Thousands of persons.)

Year	Primary <sup>2</sup> (1)	Construc- tion (2)	Manufac- turing (3)	Trade, Service, and Other (4)	Total, Columns (1) to (4)	Total, Civilian Employment
1952.....	7,690	2,634	16,632	28,660	55,616	61,034
1953.....	7,421	2,623	17,549	29,195	56,788	61,945
1954.....	7,286	2,612	16,314	29,306	55,518	60,890
1955.....	7,510	2,802	16,882	30,199	57,393	62,943
1956.....	7,394	2,999	17,243	31,344	58,980	64,707
1957.....	7,050	2,923	17,174	31,979	59,126	65,011
1958.....	6,595	2,778	15,945	31,949	57,267	63,966
1959.....	6,567	2,955	16,667	33,027	59,216	65,581
1960.....	6,432	2,882	16,762	33,994	60,070	66,681
1961.....	6,130	2,760	16,268	34,381	59,539	66,796

“ Source: Statistical Abstract of the United States, United States Department of Commerce, Washington.

“ <sup>1</sup> Industry breakdown excludes proprietors, self-employed persons, unpaid family workers, domestic servants, and personnel of Armed Services.

“ <sup>2</sup> Agriculture and mining only, logging included with manufacturing.”

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.17 p.m.

**Thursday, February 21, 1963**

---

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Mr. Speaker.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Smith*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.57 p.m.

---

---

**Friday, February 22, 1963**

---

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *H. Ha.*

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Nimsick* to adjourn the debate Mr. Speaker stated that, in his opinion, the motion was an abuse of the Rules and privileges of the House as contemplated by Standing Order 44 and, accordingly, declined to propose the question.

Mr. *Nimsick* appealed from the ruling of the Chair.

The House divided.

The ruling of the Chair was sustained on the following division:—

## YEAS—27

## Messieurs

<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Price</i>	<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Murray</i>
<i>Macfarlane</i>	<i>Corbett</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Westwood</i>
<i>Robinson</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Huhn</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Brothers</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Matthew</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Speare</i>	<i>Martin</i>
<i>Bate</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Shelford</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Carnell</i>	

## NAYS—14

## Messieurs

<i>Hobbs, Mrs.</i>	<i>Cox</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Squire</i>
<i>Mather Mrs.</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Haggen, Mrs.</i>	<i>Eddie</i>
<i>Rhodes</i>	<i>Gargrave</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>Calder</i>	<i>Barrett</i>		

## PAIRS:

## Messieurs

<i>Campbell</i>	<i>McKay</i>
<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Harding</i>
<i>Gaglardi</i>	<i>Gibson</i>
<i>Little</i>	<i>Turner</i>

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *F. X. Richter*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Mr. *Brothers* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:—

1. How many prisoners, if any, have been transported during the year 1962 by Canadian Pacific Airlines from Cranbrook to Vancouver?
2. How many prisoners, if any, have been transported during the year 1962 by Canadian Pacific Airlines from Castlegar to Vancouver?
3. Did Royal Canadian Mounted Police escorts accompany the prisoners referred to in No. 1 and, if so, how many?
4. Did Royal Canadian Mounted Police escorts accompany the prisoners referred to in No. 2 and, if so, how many?
5. What was the total cost of transportation involved in each of the above Nos. 1, 2, 3, and 4?
6. Were any fare reductions granted by Canadian Pacific Airlines in connection with the transportation referred to in the above questions?
7. Did the Royal Canadian Mounted Police endeavour to transport prisoners referred to in Nos. 1 and 2 above on days on which plane travel is normally light?

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* replied as follows:—

“See Journals of the Legislative Assembly of British Columbia, 1960, page 123, and 1961, page 61.”

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. on Monday next.

And then the House adjourned at 5.40 p.m.



Monday, February 25, 1963

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. D. M. Emberg.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. Huhn, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Mr. Perrault asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following question:—

With respect to amounts paid by the Federal Government under the *Unemployment Assistance Act*, what were the amounts paid to the Province of British Columbia under terms of this Act in the years 1956 to 1962, inclusive?

The Hon. W. A. C. Bennett replied as follows:—

"July 1, 1955, to March 31, 1956, \$1,721,339.28; 1956/57, \$2,299,894.48; 1957/58, \$2,828,568.49; 1958/59, \$5,984,364.66; 1959/60, \$7,245,438.85; 1960/61, \$11,676,479.12; and 1961/62, \$15,077,744.23."

Mr. Cox asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

1. In organized territory, do any home-owners pay property taxes that are less than \$50 a year and, if so, how many?
2. In unorganized territory, do any home-owners pay property taxes that are less than \$50 a year and, if so, how many?

The Hon. W. A. C. Bennett replied as follows:—

- "1. Not available.
- "2. Yes, approximately 13,000."

Mr. Dowding asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Council the following questions:—

With reference to the Pacific Great Eastern Railway Company's account with the firm of W. L. Laird Distributors Limited and the Premier's answer on the subject recorded in the Journals of this House, page 44, 1962:—

1. Has the firm of W. L. Laird Distributors Limited made any further payments to the Pacific Great Eastern Railway Company since those recorded in the Premier's answer?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is no, has the amount or any portion of it been written off by the railway company?

The Hon. W. A. C. Bennett replied as follows:—

- "1. Yes.
- "2. Not applicable."

Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

In respect to the last balance-sheet of the Pacific Great Eastern Railway:—

1. What portion of the sum shown as road and equipment property was the cost of (a) rolling-stock, (b) road-bed, (c) right-of-way, (d) buildings, and (e) other assets?

2. What portion of the accumulated depreciation was allocated to (a) rolling-stock, (b) road-bed, (c) buildings, and (d) other assets?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. (a) \$23,190,059, (b) \$128,901,909, (c) \$3,419,716, (d) \$5,305,580, and (e) \$26,543,909.

“ 2. Depreciation is allocated under two headings as follows:—

“Accumulated depreciation reserves, December 31, 1962: For road and structures, \$3,266,975; for equipment, \$1,962,502; and total, \$5,229,477.

“Depreciation reserves set up in 1962: For road and structures, \$1,065,199; for equipment, \$316,263; and total, \$1,381,462.”

Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Council the following questions:—

1. Has the Pacific Great Eastern Railway Company purchased any rail since 1951?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, in the case of each purchase, (a) were tenders called and, if so, what bids, if any, were received, and (b) what was the date, the name of the company involved, and the amount paid to them?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) Yes, tenders called and bids received as follows:—

Bids Called	Quantity (Tons)	Bidder Meeting Specifications	Price Bid (Ton)
June 30, 1953.....	7,500	United Steel.....	\$129.18
		Algoma Steel.....	147.30
May 25, 1954.....	13,500	United Steel.....	116.91
		Algoma Steel.....	134.57
October 4, 1955.....	25,136	United Steel.....	121.13
		Yawata Iron & Steel.....	143.90
August 17, 1956.....	47,000	Algoma Steel bid on 10,000 tons only.....	150.10
		United Steel bid on 22,500 tons only.....	152.01
August 30, 1957.....	7,500	Dominion Steel & Coal.....	143.84
		Algoma Steel.....	150.28
		United Steel.....	155.50
November 28, 1960.....	6,732	Dominion Steel & Coal.....	139.60
		Algoma Steel.....	139.80
April 2, 1962.....	750	Dominion Steel & Coal.....	148.72
		Algoma Steel.....	151.36

“(b) Name of company, date, and amount paid as follows:—

Successful Bidder	Delivery Date	Quantity (Tons)	Amount Paid
United Steel.....	1954	7,566.3175	\$977,416.29
United Steel.....	1955	13,703.615	1,602,089.61
United Steel.....	1956	25,504.7225	3,044,088.73
Algoma Steel.....	1957	9,793.9	1,435,315.40
United Steel.....	.....	22,505.3823	3,345,003.36
Dominion Steel & Coal.....	1958	7,503.06	1,054,776.40
Dominion Steel & Coal.....	1961	6,734.5	953,745.38
Dominion Steel & Coal.....	1962	751.85	107,233.49 ”

Mr. *Nimsick* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following questions:—

With reference to the Bull River hatchery:—

1. How much money has been spent on this project to December 31, 1962?
2. How much money was spent on this project during the calendar year of 1962?

The Hon. *E. C. Westwood* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. \$21,480.78.
- “ 2. \$11,357.26.”

Mr. *Carnell* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following questions:—

1. What was the total cost of the Kiskatinaw Camp-site at Mile 20 on the Alaska Highway which was constructed during the 1962/63 fiscal year?
2. Are plans completed or in preparation for construction of further camp and picnic sites adjacent to the Alaska Highway within British Columbia?
3. If the answer to No. 2 is yes, at what locations are construction projects planned?

The Hon. *E. C. Westwood* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. \$12,726.35.
- “ 2. Yes.
- “ 3. Sikanni Chief River Crossing, Mile 160; Kledo Creek Crossing, Mile 335; Muncho Lake, Mile 460; and Highland River Crossing, Mile 605.”

Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following question:—

In each school district in the Province which has rural components, what were the total sums collectable under the *Public Schools Act* in each rural component for the school budget year of 1962?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“Unorganized rural components of school districts and 1962 school requirements: No. 1, Fernie, \$289,049; No. 2, Cranbrook, \$158,810; No. 3, Kimberley, \$245,548.39; No. 4, Windermere, \$135,674; No. 5, Creston, \$218,858; No. 6, Kootenay Lake, \$47,549; No. 7, Nelson, \$284,185; No. 8, Slocan, \$110,193; No. 9, Castlegar, \$449,588; No. 10, Arrow Lakes, \$142,301; No. 11, Trail, \$291,203; No. 12, Grand Forks, \$78,199; No. 13, Kettle Valley, \$73,179; No. 14, Southern Okanagan, \$145,915; No. 15, Penticton, \$71,964.35; No. 16, Keremeos, \$48,542.05; No. 17, Princeton, \$53,145; No. 18, Golden, \$58,043.07; No. 19, Revelstoke, \$59,495.67; No. 20, Salmon Arm, \$143,787; No. 21, Armstrong-Spallumcheen, \$6; No. 22, Vernon, \$132,782; No. 23, Kelowna, \$362,149; No. 24, Kamloops, \$585,081; No. 25, Barriere, \$68,757; No. 26, Birch Island, \$142,986; No. 27, Williams Lake, \$285,160.50; No. 28, Quesnel, \$306,778; No. 29, Lillooet, \$428,485; No. 30, South Cariboo, \$176,995; No. 31, Merritt, \$177,930; No. 32, Fraser Canyon, \$142,075; No. 33, Chilliwack, \$96,225; No. 34, Abbotsford, \$6,083.84; No. 36, Surrey, \$3,381.75; No. 38, Richmond, \$233.06; No. 40, New Westminster, \$36,886.59; No. 42, Maple Ridge, \$12,954.96; No. 43, Coquitlam, \$371,453; No. 44, North Vancouver, \$1,612.97; No. 45, West Vancouver, \$133; No. 46, Sechelt, \$503,293; No. 47, Powell River, \$204,371; No. 48, Howe Sound, \$508,950.14; No. 49, Ocean Falls, \$386,646; No. 50, Queen Charlotte, \$54,509; No. 51, Portland Canal, \$9,785; No. 52, Prince Rupert, \$396,604; No.

53, Terrace, \$88,529; No. 54, Smithers, \$39,620.74; No. 55, Burns Lake, \$65,777; No. 56, Vanderhoof, \$73,313.13; No. 57, Prince George, \$515,775; No. 58, McBride, \$109,486.98; No. 59, Peace River South, \$267,161; No. 60, Peace River North, \$534,459; No. 61, Greater Victoria, \$85,141; No. 62, Sooke, \$428,832; No. 63, Saanich, \$129,281.21; No. 64, Gulf Islands, \$205,268; No. 65, Cowichan, \$290,327.57; No. 66, Lake Cowichan, \$479,549; No. 67, Ladysmith, \$85,938; No. 68, Nanaimo, \$927,510; No. 69, Qualicum, \$184,972; No. 70, Alberni, \$260,249.47; No. 71, Courtenay, \$320,711; No. 72, Campbell River, \$859,557; No. 73, Alert Bay, \$148,016; No. 74, Quatsino, \$234,569; No. 75, Mission, \$71,498; No. 76, Agassiz, \$57,509.05; No. 77, Summerland, \$6,057; No. 78, Enderby, \$32,979; No. 79, Ucluelet-Tofino, \$56,510; No. 81, Fort Nelson, \$45,547; and No. 82, Chilcotin, \$11,853.

"Unattached, 1962 school requisitions: Amai, \$146; Atlin, \$3,119; Bamfield, \$4,410; Belmont Park, \$34; Butedale, \$2,965.90; Comox Airport, \$62; Esperanza, \$580; Fair Harbour, \$2,560; Good Hope Lake, \$19; Kyuquot, \$2,137; Lower Post, \$550; McDame Creek, \$44,543; Muchalat, \$6,577; Sarita River, \$6,675; Tahsis River, \$37,044; Telegraph Creek, \$820; University Hill, \$196,116; and Zeballos, \$8,847."

Mr. *Nimsick* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

1. What were the total receipts of the Nelson Land Registry Office for the fiscal years ended March 31, 1951, and March 31, 1961?
2. What were the total receipts of all the Land Registry Offices in the Province for the fiscal years ended March 31, 1951, and March 31, 1961?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

"1. March 31, 1951, \$68,376.55; and March 31, 1961, \$133,041.36.

"2. March 31, 1951, \$1,320,864.19; and March 31, 1961, \$2,358,933.34."

Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

With reference to the Tax Sale Suspense Fund:—

1. What was the total amount paid into this Fund from 1951/52 to 1961/62?
2. Has any of this money been transferred to general revenue and, if so, how much?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

"1. 1951/52, \$9,167; 1952/53, \$16,615; 1953/54, \$29,072; 1954/55, \$27,012; 1955/56, \$49,732; 1956/57, \$34,363; 1957/58, \$33,858; 1958/59, \$51,302; 1959/60, \$65,642; 1960/61, \$42,869; and 1961/62, \$63,965.

"2. No."

Mr. *Tisdalle* asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Council the following questions:—

1. Has the Federal Government made any ship-building subsidy payments on British Columbia toll ferries?
2. Is the Federal Government in arrears, and, if so, by how much, and, if so, has any reason been given?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

"1. Yes.

"2. Yes, \$1,930,177, and no."

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.18 p.m.

---

## Tuesday, February 26, 1963

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *E. A. Hornby*.

The following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today:—

On the motion of the Hon. *F. X. Richter*—Bill (No. 18) intituled *An Act to Amend the Stock Brands Act*.

On the motion of the Hon. *F. X. Richter*—Bill (No. 20) intituled *An Act to Amend the Horned Cattle Purchases Act*.

On the motion of the Hon. *F. X. Richter*—Bill (No. 25) intituled *An Act to Amend the Meat Inspection Act*.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

During the debate Mr. *Dowding*, by leave of the House, tabled the following papers:—

Statement by Dick Holzworth dated February 20, 1963.

Photostatic copies of Estimates Nos. 4 and 5 relating to Highway Construction Project No. 819, Department of Highways.

Copy of assignment of chattel mortgage dated November 13, 1958, and made between Herbert H. Gillespie and Clyde W. Thornton.

Photostatic copy of assignment of judgment between Herbert H. Gillespie and H. A. Campbell, L. S. Britton, Hemlock Equipment Limited, V. L. Gresty, and Mid City Construction Limited dated November, 1958.

Photostatic copy of assignment of judgment between Herbert H. Gillespie and H. A. Campbell, L. S. Britton, Hemlock Equipment Limited, V. L. Gresty, and Mid City Construction Limited dated November, 1958.

Copy of letter dated February 21, 1963, from Everett W. Gross to G. H. Dowding.

Photostatic copy of cheque, photostatic copy of receipt, dated November 10, 1958, made and given by Union Contractors Limited and Messrs. Kennedy and Andrews, respectively.

Affidavit of Dick Holzworth dated February 21, 1963.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Rhodes*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The House proceeded to the Order "Motions and Adjourned Debates on Motions."

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* moved, seconded by the Hon. *E. C. Westwood*,—

That a Special Committee on Public Access to Private Roads, comprised of Messrs. *Speare* (Convener), *Bate*, *Bruch*, *Campbell*, *Carnell*, *Huhn*, the Hon. *E. C. Westwood*, the Hon. *R. G. Williston*, Messrs. *Calder*, *Nimsick*, *Squire*, and *Gibson*, be appointed to consider available evidence presented to that Committee during the last Session, and the report of the said Committee received on March 23, 1962, and to prepare a report on the matter of public access problems in British Columbia as it applies to private roads in the light of circumstances and developments which may have occurred subsequent to the receipt of the said report on March 23, 1962.

Motion agreed to.

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* (Attorney-General) asked leave of the House to move, without notice, the following motion:—

That the material today tabled in the Legislature by the second member for Burnaby, allegedly relating to Department of Highways, Contract No. 819, be referred to the Select Standing Committee on Public Accounts and Printing.

Leave was not granted.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.14 p.m.

---

## Wednesday, February 27, 1963

---

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. Father *P. Hanley*.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

During the debate Mr. *Rhodes*, by leave of the House, tabled the following papers:—

Photostatic copy of assignment of agreement of sale between Marion Burns Laird and Pacific Great Eastern Railway Company dated November 21, 1956.

Photostatic copy of quit claim deed between Pacific Great Eastern Railway Company and Marion Burns Laird dated January 28, 1959.

Photostatic copy of statutory declaration of Douglas Farquhar Small dated April 13, 1960.

Photostatic copy of statutory declaration of Ludger C. Robineau dated April 23, 1960.

The debate continued.

The motion was agreed to on the following division:—

YEAS—26

Messieurs

<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Corbett</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Carnell</i>
<i>Robinson</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Murray</i>
<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Huhn</i>	<i>Westwood</i>
<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Brothers</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Bate</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Speare</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Shelford</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Price</i>	<i>Skillings</i>		

NAYS—20

Messieurs

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Cox</i>	<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Squire</i>
<i>Hobbs, Mrs.</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Macfarlane</i>	<i>Eddie</i>
<i>Mather, Mrs.</i>	<i>Gargrave</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Harding</i>
<i>Rhodes</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Haggen, Mrs.</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>Calder</i>	<i>McKay</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Turner</i>

PAIR:

Messieurs

*Gaglardi*                      *Gibson*

(IN THE COMMITTEE OF SUPPLY)

The Committee rose and reported progress.  
Report to be considered at the next sitting.  
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Mr. *Price* (on behalf of Mr. *Matthew*) presented the Third Report of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:—

REPORT NO. 3

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,

February 26, 1963.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the petitioner for Bill (No. 51) intituled *Interior Designers Act* has asked leave to withdraw this Bill. Your Committee recommends that such leave be granted and further recommends that the deposit of three hundred dollars (\$300) paid by the petitioner be refunded.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman*.

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

Mr. Price (on behalf of Mr. Matthew) presented the Fourth Report of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:—

## REPORT NO. 4

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,  
February 26, 1963.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the preamble of Bill (No. 50) intituled *An Act to Amend the Vancouver Charter* has been proved, and the Bill ordered to be reported with amendments.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman.*

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

Mr. Strachan asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

With respect to bond issues for school construction:—

1. Were any bond issues authorized by the Government in 1962 and, if so, in what total amount?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is in the affirmative, what was the total amount of these bonds floated?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes, \$25,000,000.

“ 2. \$25,000,000.”

Mr. Rhodes asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

1. Were any printed copies of the Budget Speech for 1961, 1962, and 1963 distributed other than to elected members?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how many were so distributed in each relevant year and (b) what was the total cost to the Government of the copies so distributed?

3. Did any source, other than the Government, pay for the printing and (or) distribution of the copies referred to in No. 2?

4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, (a) who were the organizations and (or) persons concerned and (b) what was the total cost to them of printing and (or) distribution?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) and (b) 1961, 3,500, \$2,062.99; 1962, 17,500, \$8,100.23; and 1963, see answer to question on page 68.

“ 3. No.

“ 4. Not applicable.”



Mr. *Calder* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following questions:—

1. Have any Provincial school districts signed agreements with the Indian Affairs Branch for the admittance of Indian students?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, which districts have agreements?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. Yes.
- “ 2. The Department of Education keeps no record of such agreements because these agreements are made between the local School Boards and the Indian Affairs Branch. Our records do show, however, that there are 3,776 Indian children attending the public schools during the present school-year.”

Mr. *Calder* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following questions:—

1. Are there any recreational facilities on school grounds at (a) Atlin, (b) Lower Post, (c) Cassiar, (d) Telegraph Creek, and (e) Stewart?
2. If the answer to any part of No. 1 is no, has the Provincial Government investigated the advisability of establishing these facilities in the near future?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. Yes, all these schools are located on sites providing playing space and equipment (which may be termed ‘recreational facilities’). The provision of such facilities lies within the jurisdiction of each School Board or official trustee.
- “ 2. See No. 1.”

Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

1. Did the Provincial Government purchase any debentures issued by school districts in the Province in 1962?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, in what amount and in which districts?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. The Government, acting on behalf of superannuation, etc., accounts, purchased school district debentures.
- “ 2. The Government, acting on behalf of superannuation, etc., accounts, purchased school district debentures, as follows:—

School District	Par Value of Debentures
No. 2 Cranbrook .....	\$256,000
No. 5 Creston .....	86,000
No. 7 Nelson .....	100,000
No. 9 Castlegar .....	300,000
No. 10 Arrow Lakes .....	168,000
No. 14 Southern Okanagan .....	400,000
No. 18 Golden .....	180,000
No. 22 Vernon .....	260,000
No. 23 Kelowna .....	122,000
No. 24 Kamloops .....	520,000
No. 26 Birch Island .....	266,000
No. 27 Williams Lake .....	440,000
No. 28 Quesnel .....	100,000
No. 30 South Cariboo .....	240,000
No. 31 Merritt .....	400,000
No. 34 Abbotsford .....	100,000

School District	Par Value of Debentures
No. 35 Langley .....	\$240,000
No. 36 Surrey .....	1,100,000
No. 37 Delta .....	540,000
No. 38 Richmond .....	950,000
No. 39 Vancouver .....	3,156,000
No. 40 New Westminster .....	117,000
No. 41 Burnaby .....	1,237,000
No. 42 Maple Ridge .....	200,000
No. 43 Coquitlam .....	1,080,000
No. 44 North Vancouver .....	1,000,000
No. 45 West Vancouver .....	670,000
No. 50 Queen Charlotte .....	120,000
No. 52 Prince Rupert .....	160,000
No. 53 Terrace .....	160,000
No. 54 Smithers .....	60,000
No. 55 Burns Lake .....	162,000
No. 56 Vanderhoof .....	180,000
No. 57 Prince George .....	414,000
No. 59 Peace River South .....	480,000
No. 60 Peace River North .....	140,000
No. 61 Greater Victoria .....	800,000
No. 62 Sooke .....	240,000
No. 63 Saanich .....	400,000
No. 65 Cowichan .....	366,000
No. 66 Lake Cowichan .....	160,000
No. 67 Ladysmith .....	60,000
No. 68 Nanaimo .....	400,000
No. 70 Alberni .....	500,000
No. 71 Courtenay .....	100,000
No. 72 Campbell River .....	190,000
No. 73 Alert Bay .....	80,000
No. 74 Quatsino .....	112,000
No. 75 Mission .....	40,000
No. 76 Agassiz .....	128,000
No. 77 Summerland .....	60,000
No. 81 Fort Nelson .....	260,000 "

Mr. *Rhodes* asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture the following questions:—

With reference to the *Agricultural Rehabilitation and Development Act*:—

1. Have any applications been received for assistance?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how many and (b) from what areas?
3. How many, if any, of the applications have been approved?

The Hon. *F. X. Richter* replied as follows:—

" 1. Yes.

" 2. (a) Fifteen and (b) Vancouver Island, Lower Mainland-Fraser Valley, Okanagan-Similkameen, Kootenays, North Cariboo, and Central British Columbia.

" 3. None; project agreements not yet signed with Government of Canada. Cost-benefit and engineering studies are required before approval or disapproval of projects."

Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

With respect to the Budget Speech:—

1. How many original multigraphed copies of the Budget Speech delivered Friday, February 8, 1963, were printed?
2. What was the cost of the printing?
3. How many copies of the bound type-set edition of the Budget Speech were printed?
4. What was the total cost of the printing, including engraving, production, and type-setting costs?
5. Where was this edition of the Budget Speech printed?
6. By profession, how many copies of the Budget Speech were distributed to (a) teachers, (b) lawyers, (c) Civil Servants, (d) doctors, (e) chartered accountants, (f) financiers, and (g) others?
7. What was the total cost of mailing this Budget Speech to the above and all other sources?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. 125.
- “ 2. Excluding Provincial tax, \$188.
- “ 3. 45,000.
- “ 4. Excluding Provincial tax, \$14,640.54.
- “ 5. Queen’s Printer.
- “ 6. Not known.
- “ 7. Regular rates.”

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8 o’clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.42 p.m.

**Wednesday, February 27, 1963**

EIGHT O’CLOCK P.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.  
Report to be considered at the next sitting.  
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o’clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 10.04 p.m.

Thursday, February 28, 1963

---

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *R. D. Holmes*.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

2. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$66,749 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Premier's Office to 31st March, 1964.

---

The Committee reported the Resolution.

Report to be considered at the next sitting.

Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

By leave of the House, two letters tabled in Committee by the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, to wit: Letter to Premier *W. A. C. Bennett* from *A. E. Ames & Company Limited*, dated February 18, 1963, and letter to Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* from *Ralph Kendall*, editor of *The Citizen*, Prince George, dated January 19, 1963. And two letters tabled in Committee by Mr. *Perrault*, to wit: Letter to *R. J. Perrault* from *John A. Talley*, vice-president and general manager of *California Electric Power Company*, dated February 26, 1963, and letter to *R. J. Perrault* from *N. R. Sutherland*, president of *Pacific Gas and Electric Company*, San Francisco, dated February 26, 1963, were *Ordered* to be received.

In the House, the House proceeded to the Order "Motions and Adjourned Debates on Motions."

By leave of the House, the Hon. *R. W. Bonner* moved, seconded by the Hon. *W. D. Black*,—

That the material tabled February 26 in the Legislature by the second member for Burnaby, allegedly relating to Department of Highways, Contract No. 819, be referred to the Select Standing Committee on Public Accounts and Printing.

A debate arose.

Mr. *Strachan* moved in amendment, seconded by Mrs. *Haggen*,—

That this motion be amended by deleting all of the words after the word "to" in the last line and substituting therefor the words "a Royal Commission consisting of a Supreme Court Judge pursuant to the *Public Inquiries Act*."

A debate arose on the amendment.

On the motion of Mr. *Perrault*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.