

Wednesday, March 26, 1969

EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

163. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$31,260 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1970.

164. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$162,336 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, General Administration, to 31st March, 1970.

165. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$3,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Grant to British Columbia Natural Resources Conference, to 31st March, 1970.

166. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$89,768 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Accounting Division, to 31st March, 1970.

167. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$274,776 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Lands Branch, Land Administration Division, to 31st March, 1970.

168. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$205,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Lands Branch, Pre-servicing Crown Lands for Sale or Lease, to 31st March, 1970.

169. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$439,696 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Lands Branch, Land Inspection Division, to 31st March, 1970.

170. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$39,292 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Surveys and Mapping Branch, Administration, to 31st March, 1970.

171. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$26,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Surveys and Mapping Branch, Special Commitments, to 31st March, 1970.

172. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$693,303 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Surveys and Mapping Branch, Legal Surveys Division, to 31st March, 1970.

173. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$217,979 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Surveys and Mapping Branch, Geographic Division, to 31st March, 1970.

174. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$546,130 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Surveys and Mapping Branch, Topographic Division, to 31st March, 1970.

175. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$513,669 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Surveys and Mapping Branch, Air Division, to 31st March, 1970.

176. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, *University Endowment Lands Administration Act*, to 31st March, 1970.

The House continued to sit after midnight.

THURSDAY, MARCH 27.

177. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$17,306,884 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, General Administration, Protection, and Management of Forests, to 31st March, 1970.

178. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,239,150 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Reforestation and Forest Nursery, to 31st March, 1970.

179. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$13,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Forestry and Correction Camp Programme, to 31st March, 1970.

180. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$182,380 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Forest Research, to 31st March, 1970.

181. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$61,550 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Public Information and Education, to 31st March, 1970.

182. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$129,380 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Forest Service Training-school, to 31st March, 1970.

183. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$17,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Grant to Canadian Forestry Association, to 31st March, 1970.

184. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,851,274 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Engineering Service and Forest-development Roads, to 31st March, 1970.

185. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,500,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Fire Suppression, to 31st March, 1970.

186. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$877,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Forest Inventory, to 31st March, 1970.

187. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Scaling Fund, to 31st March, 1970.

188. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,507,330 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Silviculture, to 31st March, 1970.

189. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$182,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Grazing Range Improvement Fund, to 31st March, 1970.

190. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$20,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Peace River Community Pastures, to 31st March, 1970.

191. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,869,372 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Reservoir Waterway Improvements, to 31st March, 1970.

192. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$56,452 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, General Administration, to 31st March, 1970.

193. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$621,371 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Water Rights Branch, to 31st March, 1970.

194. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$25,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Assistance to Improvement Districts, to 31st March, 1970.

195. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$21,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Canadian Council of Resource Ministers, to 31st March, 1970.

196. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$42,474 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Inspector of Dykes, to 31st March, 1970.

197. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$122,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, River-bank Protection, to 31st March, 1970.

198. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$643,046 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Water Investigations Branch, to 31st March, 1970.

199. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$933,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Water Investigations, Hydraulic Surveys, and Projects, to 31st March, 1970.

200. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$94,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Okanagan Flood Control, to 31st March, 1970.

201. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$5,000,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, *Canada-British Columbia Joint Development Act*, to 31st March, 1970.

202. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$80,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, British Columbia Hydrometric Stream-gauging, to 31st March, 1970.

203. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$328,211 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Southern Okanagan Lands Project, to 31st March, 1970.

204. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$354,096 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Pollution Control, to 31st March, 1970.

205. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$4,500,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Preparation of Libby Reservoir, to 31st March, 1970.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. today.

46 Mr. *Parkinson* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

With respect to psychiatric units in general hospitals operating under the British Columbia Hospital Insurance Service:—

1. Were any such units in operation as of December 31, 1968?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how many such units, (b) at what locations, (c) how many patient beds used, and (d) did any such unit commence operation during the year ended December 31, 1968?
3. Was permission granted by the Minister, during the year ended December 31, 1968, in respect to psychiatric units in general hospitals, with regard to (a) approval in principle, (b) commencing working drawings, and (c) commencing construction?

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) Six; (b) Royal Inland Hospital, Kamloops; Kelowna General Hospital; Vernon Jubilee Hospital; Lions Gate Hospital, North Vancouver; Vancouver General Hospital; Royal Jubilee Hospital, Victoria; in addition, Nanaimo Regional General Hospital has general beds allocated to psychiatry as required; (c) 160 beds; and (d) yes.

“ 3. (a), (b), and (c) Yes.”

And then the House adjourned at 3.50 a.m.

Thursday, March 27, 1969

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *A. G. MacLeod*.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

206. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$39,400 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1970.

207. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,467,368 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, General Administration, to 31st March, 1970.

208. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$32,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, Grants and Subsidies, to 31st March, 1970.

209. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$500,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, Grants in Aid of Mining Roads and Trails, to 31st March, 1970.

210. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$250,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, Grants in Aid of Roads and Trails, Petroleum and Natural Gas, to 31st March, 1970.

211. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,750,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, Construction of Cassiar-Stewart Road, to 31st March, 1970.

212. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$40,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, Grubstaking Prospectors, to 31st March, 1970.

213. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$100,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, Special Mineral Surveys, to 31st March, 1970.

214. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$150,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, *Iron Bounty Act*, to 31st March, 1970.

61. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10,560 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Commercial Transport, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1970.

62. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$80,820 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Commercial Transport, General Administration, to 31st March, 1970.

63. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$112,150 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Commercial Transport, Engineering Branch, to 31st March, 1970.

64. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$721,594 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Commercial Transport, Weigh-scale Branch, to 31st March, 1970.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.58 p.m.

Thursday, March 27, 1969

EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

257. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$30,285 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Public Works, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1970.

258. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$350,724 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Public Works, General Administration, to 31st March, 1970.

259. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10,524,924 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Public Works, Government Buildings (Maintenance), to 31st March, 1970.

260. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$12,000,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Public Works, Construction of Provincial Buildings, to 31st March, 1970.

261. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,000,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Public Works, Rentals, to 31st March, 1970.

262. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,040,544 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Public Works, Safety Inspection Division, to 31st March, 1970.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

158 Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

1. Does the Department of Highways operate an Insurance and Safety Committee?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, does this Committee carry the insurance on all Government owned and operated vehicles (both commercial and passenger)?

3. If the answer to No. 2 is yes, (a) how many vehicles are protected or insured under this fund, (b) what coverage is provided under this fund, and (c) what was the average cost per vehicle for the past year's coverage?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“ 1. No.

“ 2 and 3. See answer to No. 1.”

And then the House adjourned at 10.28 p.m.

Friday, March 28, 1969

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Capt. *H. Tilley*.

On the motion of Mr. *Hall*, Bill (No. 82) intituled *An Act to Amend the Pollution Control Act, 1967*, was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order “Public Bills and Orders.”

The following Bills for report were read a third time and passed:—

Bill (No. 30) intituled *An Act for the Promotion and Protection of the Fundamental Rights of the People of British Columbia*.

Bill (No. 39) intituled *An Act to Provide for the Regulation and Licensing of Community Care Facilities*.

Bill (No. 40) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipal Act*.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 74) intituled *An Act to Amend the Insurance Act* a debate arose.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:—

YEAS—28

Messieurs

<i>Vogel</i>	<i>McCarthy, Mrs.</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Skillings</i>
<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Jordan, Mrs.</i>	<i>Wenman</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Dawson, Mrs.</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>McDiarmid</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Capozzi</i>	<i>Shelford</i>
<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Peterson</i>	<i>Gagliardi</i>	<i>Richter</i>

NAYS—19

Messieurs

<i>Cox</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Stupich</i>
<i>Brousson</i>	<i>Berger</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>King</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>	<i>Dailly, Mrs.</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Levi</i>	<i>Parkinson</i>	<i>Eddie</i>	

PAIRS:

Messieurs

<i>Little</i>	<i>Clark</i>
<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>Calder</i>

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

The following Bills were read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today:—

Bill (No. 75) intituled *An Act to Amend the Motor-vehicle Act.*

Bill (No. 76) intituled *An Act to Amend the Land Surveyors Act.*

Bill (No. 77) intituled *An Act to Amend and Repeal Certain Provisions of the Statute Law.*

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

JOHN R. NICHOLSON,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith amendments to Bill (No. 74) intituled *An Act to Amend the Insurance Act*, enclosed herewith, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 28, 1969.

(ENCLOSURE)

To amend by adding, after section 7, the following as section 7A:—

“7A. Section 35 of the Act is repealed.”

Section 25: To amend by striking out the word “where” in the second line of clause (a) and substituting the word “were”.

Section 36: To amend by adding, after " 1970 " at the end of subsection (1) of section 218 as enacted by section 36, the following: ", or such earlier date as may be fixed by the Lieutenant-Governor in Council ".

Schedule: To amend by inserting after the word " any " in the fifth line of clause (d) of section 1, under the heading " Special Provisions, Definitions, and Exclusions of Section B ", the word " other ", and by striking out, in the first line of clause (b) of section 2, the word and figure " or 3 ".

Ordered, That the said Message, and the amendments accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the proposed amendments to Bill (No. 74) intituled *An Act to Amend the Insurance Act*, a draft of which is annexed to the Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, be referred to the Committee having in charge Bill (No. 74).

Resolution reported.

Report adopted.

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

JOHN R. NICHOLSON,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith amendments to Bill (No. 75) intituled *An Act to Amend the Motor-vehicle Act*, enclosed herewith, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 28, 1969.

(ENCLOSURE)

Sections 2, 5, 15, 37, 50, and 51: To amend as follows:—

Section 2, enacting subsection (2d) of section 18, by adding, before the words " No person " in the first line, the words " Except with the consent of the Superintendent, "; and by striking out the words " by a driver-training school " in the third line, and substituting the words " under a driver-training programme ".

Section 5, by striking out the words " motor-vehicle liability insurance " in the fourth line of section 19 (1).

Section 15, by striking out, in clause (u), the words " of recording point penalties " in the second line.

Section 37, by striking out the word " Act " in the last line and substituting the word " section ".

Section 50, by adding, after the word " Act " in the first line of subsection (2a), the words " or regulations ".

Section 51, by adding, after the word " municipality " in the first line of clause (d) of subsection (7b), the words " as defined in the *Provincial Court Act* ".

Ordered, That the said Message, and the amendments accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the proposed amendments to Bill (No. 75) intituled *An Act to Amend the Motor-vehicle Act*, a draft of which is annexed to the Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, be referred to the Committee having in charge Bill (No. 75).

Resolution reported.
Report adopted.

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

JOHN R. NICHOLSON,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith amendments to Bill (No. 77) intituled *An Act to Amend and Repeal Certain Provisions of the Statute Law*, enclosed herewith, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 28, 1969.

(ENCLOSURE)

Section 7: To amend by adding, after the word “recorder” in the first line of section 23, subsection (1), enacted by clause (a) of section 7, the words “may be”.

Section 19: To amend by adding, at the end of clause (c) as enacted by clause (b) of section 19, the words “whichever first occurs”.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the amendments accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the proposed amendments to Bill (No. 77) intituled *An Act to Amend and Repeal Certain Provisions of the Statute Law*, a draft of which is annexed to the Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, be referred to the Committee having in charge Bill (No. 77).

Resolution reported.
Report adopted.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. on Monday next.

The House proceeded to the Order “Presenting Reports by Standing and Special Committees.”

Mr. *Jefcoat* presented the Report of the Select Standing Committee on Agriculture, as follows:—

REPORT

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
March 28, 1969.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Agriculture begs leave to report as follows:—

Pursuant to motion of February 6, 1969, your Committee was ordered convened to study the following:—

Resolved, That this House authorize the Select Standing Committee on Agriculture to study the following:—

- (1) What proportion of the retail value of food products goes to the producer:
- (2) Whether advances in technology are benefiting the primary producers and, if so, to what extent:
- (3) What steps can be taken to bring farmers' income more closely into line with average incomes in other sectors of the economy.

Your Committee to hear presentations from the food and agricultural industry, organizations, and individuals, and report its findings to this House.

Your Committee on Agriculture has received and considered a variety of briefs, directed in whole or in part to the terms of reference as above.

Four meetings were held and representations were heard from the following:—

Mr. R. B. Stocks on behalf of British Columbia Federation of Agriculture.

Mr. E. L. Hanson on behalf of District "J" Farmers' Institute.

Mr. Allan Barker on behalf of Island Vegetable Co-operative Association.

Mrs. W. E. Rolls on behalf of Consumers Association of Canada.

Mr. D. L. Leahy on behalf of Deep Creek Farmers' Institute.

Mr. Arnold Forbes on behalf of Deep Creek Farmers' Institute.

Mr. A. W. Nordman on behalf of British Columbia Oyster Board.

Mr. A. J. McKechnan on behalf of Retail Council of Canada.

Mr. J. A. Rankin on behalf of Western Food Processors Association.

Mr. E. Taylor on behalf of Vancouver Island Milk Producers.

From the material presented to your Committee, only general answers can be given to the three questions asked in the terms of reference.

- (1) What proportion of the retail value of food products goes to the producer:

In answer to question (1). The portion of the retail value of food products received by the primary producer is widely variable; from a commodity such as fresh eggs, where the primary producer receives approximately 70 per cent of the consumer price, to such commodities as potato chips, where the primary producer is reported to receive only 2 per cent of the consumer price.

In response to question (1), therefore, we can only generalize that the return to the producer as a percentage of the consumer dollar is a declining factor as processing and distribution costs tend to rise faster than producer prices, but according to Federal reports he receives a smaller percentage than 10 years ago, although some exceptions to this situation do arise and are noted later in this report.

- (2) Whether advances in technology are benefiting the primary producers and, if so, to what extent:

In response to question (2) your Committee offers the following observations. Applied technology and the mechanization of farm production has been a necessity for the economic survival of the farm unit. The capital required for this mechanization process has dictated the consolidation of farms into larger units and this trend is likely to continue.

In some cases there is evidence that applied technology has benefited the primary producer and has improved his take-home pay position. It is equally evident, however, that the major benefits from this mechanization process have resulted in holding down consumer prices rather than any major improvement to the financial return to the primary producer.

In our opinion, therefore, the consumer has been the recipient of most of the benefits of the advances in technology, as applied to agricultural production. Without the benefit of applied technology the British Columbia producer could not have maintained a competitive position and would not have survived.

The activities of the Federal Department of Agriculture and the Provincial Department of Agriculture in both research and extension have materially aided the producer to keep in step with changing times.

(3) What steps can be taken to bring farmers' income more closely into line with average incomes in other sectors of the economy:

While few specific recommendations emerged from the various briefs submitted to your Committee, there were a number of general recommendations that can be drawn on for further consideration.

It is noted that a number of successful producers', processing, and marketing organizations are in fact able to do an effective job of merchandising on behalf of the primary producer and maintain a quality product, at a fair price for the consumer. Reference could be made to the Fraser Valley Milk Producer's Association and the Okanagan Tree Fruit Association.

Provided organizations are prepared to employ capable management, it would seem likely that this approach would recommend itself to greater application in farm produce merchandising.

Your Committee also notes the tendency to ever-increasing numbers of marketing boards with segmented duties and jurisdictions. It is recognized that in the initial stage of marketing organization it is often difficult to organize across commodity lines.

We would recommend, however, that serious consideration be given by the various marketing boards to achieving some consolidation of their organizations and administrative powers. That such boards should review unduly severe regulations regarding local distribution of farm produce to local consumers and retailers adjacent to marketing areas. That these organizations lend themselves to cooperative purchasing in bulk of farm equipment and farm supplies such as fertilizer, feed grains, seeds, etc., as evidence has been brought before this Committee which indicates that many advantages may be obtained through this type of purchasing. As an example the Vancouver Island Vegetable Growers' Association in their submission indicated a saving of approximately \$9 a ton on fertilizer alone. Information regarding farm equipment purchases also was provided indicating tremendous savings on this type of purchasing. Your Committee recommends that all farm groups study this approach during the coming year.

Your Committee observed that the matter of taxation on farm lands was a contentious problem. Frequent references were made by producer representatives as to the effect of taxation on production costs. It is very doubtful this aspect is within the provisions of the Committee's terms of study. However, your Committee recommends that the subject be a matter for study by the Select Standing Committee on Agriculture next year.

Your Committee recognizes that the oyster-producers are in a unique position as farmers, having rather peculiar problems associated with their industry. Therefore, your Committee recommends that an immediate approach be made by the

Provincial Government to the Federal Government with the intention of clarifying the areas of co-operation with a view of defining the production of oyster-growing as an agricultural activity.

It is also recommended by your Committee that the Government, through its appropriate department, endeavour to provide the oyster-grower with a more definite bona fide security of tenure on his foreshore ground lease.

Your Committee notes that marketing is a major concern to the producer, and recommends that all groups consider taking part in a marketing seminar to be held during one or more outlook conferences this year, as announced by the Minister.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

W. F. JEFCOAT, *Chairman.*

The report was taken as read and received.

Mr. *Smith* presented the Report of the Select Standing Committee on Mining and Railways, as follows:—

REPORT

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
March 28, 1969.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Mining and Railways begs leave to report as follows:—

Pursuant to motion of February 21, 1969, it was ordered that Bill (No. 23) intituled *An Act to Amend the Mines Regulation Act* be referred to the Select Standing Committee on Mining and Railways for consideration and report, and on February 25th that Bill (No. 24) intituled *Coal Mines Regulation Act* be referred to the Select Standing Committee on Mining and Railways for consideration and report.

Twelve meetings were held and representations were heard from the Chief Inspector of Mines, British Columbia Wildlife Association, Mining Association of British Columbia, Fernie Chamber of Commerce, British Columbia and Yukon Chamber of Mines, United Mine Workers, Kennco Explorations, Limited, W. S. Beale on behalf of the Limestone Quarry Operators, Texada Mines, Similkameen Mines, United Steel Workers of America, Utah Construction and Mining Company, Cominco Limited, British Columbia Society of Landscape Architects, Craigmont Mines Limited, British Columbia Molybdenum Limited, Bethlehem Copper Corporation, Brenda Mines, and Society of Pollution and Environmental Control.

In addition to the persons appearing before the Committee, we received correspondence or written submissions from 100 Mile House Chamber of Commerce, Town of Williams Lake, Vancouver Board of Trade, Trail Wildlife Association, Granby Mining Company, Limited, Mr. Robert Edwin Williams, Anaconda Britannia Mines Limited, Rio Algom Mines Limited, Rock Products Division of Ideal Cement Company, Tunnel and Rock Workers, Local 168, Princeton and District Chamber of Commerce, Wesfrob Mines Limited, and the Port Coquitlam and District Hunting and Fishing Club.

Without exception, the submissions received endorsed the idea of reasonable reclamation laws. Some asked for a concise, clear-cut definition of what was meant by the term "continuous and progressive restoration, reclamation, and conservation of the surface of the land."

Others claimed that this requirement imposed an unreasonable and unworkable restriction on the mining industry.

In summarizing the theme most prevalent in the submissions, we quote from one received, which reads as follows:—

“Our purpose in coming here today is to make clear our feelings that while supporting sound reclamation legislation, we do not believe it should be so restrictive as to place unnecessary and improper mining restrictions on mining operators or require financial expenditures beyond the point of sound business reasoning that would destroy economic feasibility of raw material mining operations.”

Land reclamation, method to be used in determining the amount of a bond, the desire for a right of appeal against a decision of the Minister, and the problems inherent in multiple land use when more than one natural resource is involved were matters most frequently referred to.

With respect to a particular matter of multiple land use, we recommend that the Department take steps to reconcile the divergent opinions which are held concerning that area known as the Elk Valley in South-eastern British Columbia.

Your Committee recommends that Bill (No. 23) intituled *An Act to Amend the Mines Regulation Act* be proceeded with, and recommends the following amendments:—

Section 11 (1): To delete the existing section and replace with a new section, which shall read as follows:—

“(1) It is the duty of every owner, agent, or manager of a surface mine to institute and carry out a programme for the protection and reclamation of the surface of the land and watercourses effected thereby, and on the discontinuance or abandonment of a surface mine, to undertake and complete the programme to leave such land and watercourses in a condition satisfactory to the minister. Such a programme shall be submitted to and approved by the minister as hereinafter provided.”

Section 11 (2): To delete the words “three months” in the third line thereof and replace with the words “six months”.

Section 11 (3) (d): To delete the words “restoration or” in the first line thereof and replace with the words “and (or)”.

Section 11 (5): To delete the words “reclamation of the land” in the third line and replace with the words “reclamation and (or) conservation”.

In the second to last line, add, after the words “subject to”, “Compliance with the approved programme and”.

Section 11 (6): In second to last line add the words “year to year” after “land used”.

Section 11 (8): To delete and replace with the following subsection:—

“(8) During the entire period of production from a surface mine, the owner, agent, or manager

“(a) shall continually and progressively reclaim the surface of the land affected by the mining operation; or

“(b) place in trust in a manner satisfactory to the minister each year a sum of money which, taken in aggregate with the deposit made in compliance with section 11, subsection (6), and calculated over the estimated life of the mine will provide the funds necessary to

“(c) properly perform and carry out

“(i) all the requirements of the approved programme at the proper time; and

“(ii) all the orders and directions of the chief inspector or an inspector respecting the execution of the approved programme.”

Section 11 (9): To delete and replace with the following subsection:—

“(9) When a dispute arises respecting any order or decision of the chief inspector or an inspector under subsection (8), the owner, agent, or manager may appeal in writing to the minister, and if the minister is unable to reconcile the matter of dispute to the satisfaction of the contending parties, an appeal shall lie to the Lieutenant-Governor in Council, who may

“(a) hear the appeal; or

“(b) appoint a committee of Cabinet to hear the appeal; or

“(c) appoint a person or persons to hear the appeal, and the decision of that body shall be final and conclusive of the dispute.”

It is further recommended that prior to granting initial approval under subsection (5) or granting revision of terms of the permit under subsection (10) “it shall be incumbent upon the minister before exercising the powers outlined in this section of the Act to obtain approval of the plan for reclamation and conservation from the Ministers of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Recreation and Conservation, and Agriculture in so far as the said plan will in any way coincide with the ministerial responsibilities of the aforesaid ministers.”

Your Committee recommends that Bill (No. 24) intituled *Coal Mines Regulation Act* be proceeded with, and recommends the following amendments:—

Section 8: To amend section 8 of Bill No. 24 in the same manner and with the same wording as used in the recommended amendments to section 11 of Bill No. 23.

Section 23 (2): To add, after the word “underground” in the first line, the words “in a mine” and, after “appointed” in the third line, the word “overman”, so that the subsection would now read:—

“(2) Where fifty or more persons are employed underground in a mine, an official holding a second-class certificate of competency, or higher, granted under this Act shall be appointed overman to supervise all underground operations.”

Section 24: To strike out the word “mine” in the first line and substitute the word “colliery”.

“Colliery” is defined as two or more adjacent mines under the same control and ownership, and that is what is meant for the purpose of this section.

Section 28, Rule 19: To add, after the word “initiate”, the words “or to be allowed to conduct or initiate”.

With respect to Bill No. 24 it is also recommended that the Committee struck in 1968 to review the rules contained in the *Coal Mines Regulation Act* be convened again no later than 1971.

It is intended by this recommendation that the said rules will be reviewed and updated, if necessary, not any less regularly than every two years.

Your Committee further recommends that, following the enactment of Bills No. 23 and No. 24, the Government give consideration to the implementation of subsection (15) of section 8 of the *Coal Mines Regulation Act* and the similar subsection of section 11 in the *Mines Regulation Act* in so far as bulldozing operations are necessary in the performance of assessment work and exploratory work required by the *Mineral Act*.

The Committee suggests that an investigation of the situation be conducted by the Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources in conjunction with the Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, and a report submitted to this Committee at the next Session of the Legislature.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

D. E. SMITH, *Chairman*.

The report was taken as read and received.

Mr. *Wolfe* presented the First Report of the Select Standing Committee on Public Accounts and Printing, as follows:—

REPORT NO. 1

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
March 26, 1969.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Public Accounts and Printing begs leave to report as follows:—

Your Committee summoned Mr. Willard E. Ireland, Chairman of the Public Documents Committee, established by the *Public Documents Disposal Act (Revised Statutes of British Columbia, 1960, c. 134)*, and, having heard the submission on behalf of the Public Documents Committee, recommends that, in accordance with the provisions of the *Public Documents Disposal Act*, approval be given for the destruction of various public documents as listed in the submissions to the Public Documents Committee for 1969 as amended by the Select Standing Committee on Public Accounts and Printing in so far as the following departments of Government are concerned: Education; Finance; Lands, Forests, and Water Resources (Forest Service); Health Services and Hospital Insurance; Mines and Petroleum Resources.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

EVAN WOLFE, *Chairman.*

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

188 Mr. *King* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

With respect to the British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority's Mica Creek Dam:—

1. In view of the steep mountain terrain surrounding the Mica reservoir, have any engineering surveys been conducted to determine the possibility of landslides, flash floods, etc.?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) are these surveys complete and (b) who conducted these surveys?

3. Did such surveys assess the affect a major landslide would have on (a) the dam structure and (b) possible spill-over?

4. What other aspects have been examined by such a survey?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) No and (b) Dolmage Mason and Stewart, and Caseco Consultants Limited with assistance from their special advisers, Dr. A. Casagrande, Dr. R. Peck, Dr. J. D. Mollard, Dr. F. Nickell, and Messrs. H. Naismith, E. B. Burwell, T. F. Thompson, and B. S. Barnes.

“ 3. (a) and (b) Yes.

“ 4. The effects of earthquakes and acts of war.”

189 Mr. *King* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

With respect to the British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority's Duncan Lake Dam:—

1. In view of the steep mountain terrain surrounding the Duncan reservoir, have any engineering surveys been conducted to determine the possibility of landslides, flash floods, etc.?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) are these surveys complete and (b) who conducted these surveys?

3. Did such surveys assess the affect a major landslide would have on (a) the dam structure and (b) possible spill-over?

4. What other aspects have been examined by such a survey?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) Yes and (b) Montreal Engineering Company Limited with their specialist consultants, Dr. R. M. Hardy, Mr. R. A. Peterson, and Dr. J. D. Mollard, Regina, Saskatchewan.

“ 3. (a) and (b) Yes.

“ 4. The possible occurrence of major snowslides and the effects of earthquakes.”

192 Mr. *King* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With respect to the Trout Lake Highway between Galena Bay and Meadow Creek in the Revelstoke-Slocan riding:—

1. Have any surveys been conducted or planned relative to the standardization of this highway?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) is any construction planned for 1969, (b) what sections will be involved, and (c) what is the estimated cost of construction up to December 31, 1969?

3. Will construction on this highway be carried out by the Department of Highways or by public tender?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“ 1. No.

“ 2. Some minor improvements planned for 1969 on a 3-mile section between Beaton and Gerrard.

“ 3. To be done by Department of Highways.”

196 Mr. *McGeer* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

With respect to advertisements placed in newspapers and magazines before March 19, 1969, offering free copies of the 1969 Budget Speech:—

1. In which publication and on what date was each advertisement placed, and what was the cost of each advertisement?

2. What is the total number of coupons returned from these advertisements up to March 18, 1969?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* stated that, in his opinion, the replies should be in the form of Returns and that he had no objection to laying such Returns upon the table of the House, and thereupon presented such Returns.

204 Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

1. Has there been a major winter break-up of the black-top surface on the Trans-Canada Highway in a 7-mile stretch between Spuzzum and Yale, in the area between Sailor Bar and Saddle Rock tunnels?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) will it be possible to have this road rebuilt prior to this year's tourist season, (b) what type of finish will be used, and (c) what vote, under the Department of Highways estimates, represents this project?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

" 1. An accelerated surface deterioration has been noted in this section. This is being investigated to determine the most satisfactory method of repair.

" 2. (a) Corrective measures required will not be known until investigation has been completed but steps will be taken to provide an adequate road surface for the tourist season, (b) and (c) not known at this time."

And then the House adjourned at 5.37 p.m.

Monday, March 31, 1969

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Rev. Father *J. C. Dowd*.

The Hon. *F. X. Richter* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

JOHN R. NICHOLSON,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith amendments to Bill (No. 23) intituled *An Act to Amend the Mines Regulation Act*, enclosed herewith, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 31, 1969.

(ENCLOSURE)

Section 2: Amend section 11 as enacted by section 2 as follows:—

(a) By striking out subsection (1) and substituting the following:—

" 11. (1) It is the duty of every owner, agent, or manager of a surface mine to institute and carry out a programme for the protection and reclamation of the surface of the land and watercourses affected thereby, and, on the discontinuance or abandonment of a surface mine, to undertake and complete the programme to leave the land and watercourses in a condition satisfactory to the minister; and such a programme shall be submitted to and approved by the minister as hereinafter provided."

(b) By striking out the words "three months" in the third line of subsection (2) and substituting the words "six months".

(c) By striking out the words "restoration, or" in the first line of clause (d) of subsection (3) and substituting the word "and".

(d) By adding, after the word "reclamation" in the third line of subsection (5), the words "and conservation", and by adding, after the words "subject to" in the fifteenth line, the words "compliance with the approved programme and to".

(e) By adding, after the words "acre of land used" in the seventh line of subsection (6) the words "year to year".

(f) By repealing subsection (8) and substituting the following:—

"(8) During the entire period of production from a surface mine, the owner, agent, or manager

"(a) shall continually and progressively reclaim the surface of the land affected by the mining operation; or

"(b) deposit as security in a manner satisfactory to the minister, in each year, a sum of money that, together with the deposit made in compliance with subsection (6) and calculated over the estimated life of the mine, will provide the funds necessary to properly perform and carry out

"(i) all the requirements of the approved programme at the proper time; and

"(ii) all the orders and directions of the chief inspector or an inspector respecting the execution of the approved programme."

(g) By repealing subsection (9) and substituting the following:—

"(9) When a dispute arises respecting any order or decision of the chief inspector or an inspector under subsection (8), the owner, agent, or manager may appeal in writing to the minister; and if the minister is unable to reconcile the matter of dispute to the satisfaction of the contending parties, an appeal shall lie to the Lieutenant-Governor in Council, who may

"(a) hear the appeal; or

"(b) appoint a committee of Cabinet to hear the appeal; or

"(c) appoint a person or persons to hear the appeal, and the decision of that body shall be final and conclusive of the dispute."

(h) By adding after subsection (5) the following as subsection (5a):—

"(5a) The minister shall, before exercising the powers in this section, obtain approval of the programme for reclamation and conservation from the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, the Minister of Recreation and Conservation, and the Minister of Agriculture in so far as the programme affects in any way the ministerial responsibilities of those ministers."

Ordered, That the said Message, and the amendments accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the proposed amendments to Bill (No. 23) intituled *An Act to Amend the Mines Regulation Act*, a draft of which is annexed to the Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, be referred to the Committee having in charge Bill (No. 23).

Resolution reported.

Report adopted.

The Hon. *F. X. Richter* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

JOHN R. NICHOLSON,

Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith amendments to Bill (No. 24) intituled *Coal Mines Regulation Act*, enclosed herewith, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 31, 1969.

(ENCLOSURE)

Section 8: Amend as follows:—

(a) By striking out subsection (1) and substituting the following:—

“ 8. (1) It is the duty of every owner, agent, or manager of a surface mine to institute and carry out a programme for the protection and reclamation of the surface of the land and watercourses affected thereby, and, on the discontinuance or abandonment of a surface mine, to undertake and complete the programme to leave the land and watercourses in a condition satisfactory to the minister; and such a programme shall be submitted to and approved by the minister as hereinafter provided.”

(b) By striking out the words “ three months ” in the third line of subsection (2) and substituting the words “ six months ”.

(c) By striking out the words “ restoration, or ” in the first line of clause (d) of subsection (3) and substituting the word “ and ”.

(d) By adding, after the word “ reclamation ” in the third line of subsection (5), the words “ and conservation ”, and by adding, after the words “ subject to ” in the fifteenth line, the words “ compliance with the approved programme and to ”.

(e) By adding, after the words “ acre of land used ” in the seventh line of subsection (6), the words “ year to year ”.

(f) By repealing subsection (8) and substituting the following:—

“(8) During the entire period of production from a surface mine, the owner, agent, or manager

“(a) shall continually and progressively reclaim the surface of the land affected by the mining operation; or

“(b) deposit as security in a manner satisfactory to the minister, in each year, a sum of money that, together with the deposit made in compliance with subsection (6) and calculated over the estimated life of the mine, will provide the funds necessary to properly perform and carry out

“(i) all the requirements of the approved programme at the proper time; and

“(ii) all the orders and directions of the chief inspector or an inspector respecting the execution of the approved programme.”

(g) By repealing subsection (9) and substituting the following:—

“(9) When a dispute arises respecting any order or decision of the chief inspector or an inspector under subsection (8), the owner, agent, or manager may appeal in writing to the minister; and if the minister is unable to reconcile the matter of dispute to the satisfaction of the contending parties, an appeal shall lie to the Lieutenant-Governor in Council, who may

“(a) hear the appeal; or

“(b) appoint a committee of Cabinet to hear the appeal; or

“(c) appoint a person or persons to hear the appeal,

and the decision of that body shall be final and conclusive of the dispute.”

Further amend section 8 by adding after subsection (5) the following as subsection (5a):—

“(5a) The minister shall, before exercising the powers in this section, obtain approval of the programme for reclamation and conservation from the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, the Minister of Recreation and Conservation, and the Minister of Agriculture in so far as the programme affects in any way the ministerial responsibilities of those ministers.”

Section 23: Amend section 23 by adding, after the word “underground” in the first line of subsection (2), the words “in a mine”, and by adding, after the word “appointed” in the third line, the word “overman”.

Section 24: Amend by striking out the word “mine” and substituting the word “colliery”.

Section 28: In Rule 19 of section 28, amend by adding, after the word “initiate” in the first line of clause (a), the words “or be allowed to conduct or initiate”.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the amendments accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the proposed amendments to Bill (No. 24) intituled *Coal Mines Regulation Act*, a draft of which is annexed to the Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, be referred to the Committee having in charge Bill (No. 24).

Resolution reported.
Report adopted.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order “Public Bills and Orders.”

Bill (No. 74) intituled *An Act to Amend the Insurance Act* was committed, reported complete with amendments. Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting of the House.

Bill (No. 75) intituled *An Act to Amend the Motor-vehicle Act* was committed, reported complete with amendments. Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting of the House.

Bill (No. 76) intituled *An Act to Amend the Land Surveyors Act* was committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 77) intituled *An Act to Amend and Repeal Certain Provisions of the Statute Law* was committed, reported complete with amendments. Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting of the House.

The House proceeded to the Order “Private Bills.”

The following Bills were read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today:—

Bill (No. 52) intituled *An Act to Amend the Vancouver Charter*.

Bill (No. 53) intituled *An Act Respecting Trinity Junior College*.

Bill (No. 56) intituled *An Act to Incorporate St. Paul's Hospital at Vancouver, British Columbia*.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8 o'clock p.m. today.

The House proceeded to the Order "Presenting Reports by Standing and Special Committees."

Mr. *Chabot* presented the Report of the Select Standing Committee on Forestry and Fisheries, as follows:—

REPORT

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
March 28, 1969.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Forestry and Fisheries begs leave to report as follows:—

Pursuant to motions of February 6 and 11, 1969, your Committee was ordered convened to study the following matters:—

Resolved, That this House authorize the Select Standing Committee on Forestry and Fisheries to consider the reports prepared by the Forest Service pursuant to request of this Committee at the last Session on

- (1) slash-burning in the Interior under section 116 of the *Forest Act*;
- (2) fire-fighting wages;
- (3) slash disposal on the Coast;
- (4) damage to forest values by mining development;

and to review

- (1) grazing administration within the Province to ensure that the practices being followed lead to the most efficient use of the land in the interest of the cattlemen and the general public;
- (2) the application of the 30-50-per-cent contractor clause in tree-farm licences as recommended in the report filed by the 1966 Committee.

Ten meetings were held and representations were heard from the following: Forestry official, the Mining Association of British Columbia, British Columbia and Yukon Chamber of Mines, British Columbia Beef Cattle Growers' Association, British Columbia Fish and Wildlife Branch, British Columbia Wildlife Federation, British Columbia Truck Loggers Association, Independent Squamish Logging Operators Limited, Prince George and District Truck Loggers' Association, Western Independent Log Haulers, Cariboo Lumber Manufacturers' Association, Council of Forest Industries, British Columbia Federation of Labour, Canadian Institute of Forestry, Interior Logging Association, British Columbia Federation of Agriculture.

The Forestry Committee appreciates the co-operation of the Forestry Department in collecting reports and submitting information on the various subjects.

Slash-burning in the Interior under Section 116 of the Forest Act.—Weather conditions in the fall of 1967 and during 1968 have not permitted ample opportunity to properly assess slash-burning in the Interior. Your Committee recommends that the Forest Service continue in its programme of education in this phase of forest management. Weather permitting in the current year should allow the Forest Service to further investigate slash-burning and to establish a system of assessment. It is further recommended that a report of this year's experience be submitted to this Committee during the next Session for further consideration.

Fire-fighting Wages.—Your Committee recommends that the fire-fighting rate be established at \$1.75 per hour, plus a board allowance of \$4.50 per day where meals are not supplied.

Slash Disposal on the Coast.—Your Committee is appreciative of the study undertaken by the Committee established during the last Session of the Legislature to review slash disposal on the Coast.

Your Committee recommends that the Forest Service and industry make representations to the Department of Transport requesting a continuation of efforts to improve the special fire-weather forecasts, and to the Canadian Department of Fisheries and Forestry requesting that they expedite their research project aimed at producing a series of burning indices.

Although disposal of slash by fire is now, and is expected to continue to be, the most economical means of slash disposal, research of other means should be encouraged.

Evaluation of slash disposal should be continued, and in this respect your Committee further recommends that a committee be established in two years to again review the effectiveness of the existing policies.

Damage to Forest Values by Mining Development.—The Committee has observed that there is a time lapse between the submission of the "Notice of Opening" to the Gold Commissioner and the copy being forwarded to the local Forest Ranger; the result being that in many instances the exploratory work has been carried out and the operator having abandoned the operation prior to an examination by the Forest Ranger.

Your Committee recommends that a copy of the "Notice of Opening" be mailed direct to the local Forest Ranger.

Your Committee further recommends that the mining industry be allowed to utilize the necessary timber to carry out their normal operation, and that the Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, in co-operation with the Forest Service, continue to advise the mining industry of the need for minimum tree-cutting, fire, and disease prevention.

Grazing Administration within the Province to Ensure That the Practices Being Followed Lead to the Most Efficient Use of the Land in the Interest of the Cattlemen and the General Public.—Your Committee heard representations from several organizations on the use of Crown land. It appeared that all groups agreed to the multiple-use concept.

There appear to have been conflicts in the best utilization of our Crown lands, primarily in the fields of agriculture, forestry, mining, and recreation.

1. Your Committee recommends that there be established a land-use committee, consisting of the Ministers of Municipal Affairs, Agriculture, Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Recreation and Conservation, and Mines and Petroleum Resources, to establish and administer a land-use policy.

2. Your Committee further recommends that in order to resolve these problems that an over-all land-use policy be developed to accommodate and encourage an orderly and integrated use of our Crown lands. The establishment of such a

policy would improve land management with a greater degree of social and economic benefit for the people of this Province.

3. Your Committee further recommends that there be established an advisory committee consisting of the Deputy Ministers of the departments concerned and from land-user groups of the Province.

4. Your Committee further recommends that grazing permits for a five-year period be granted containing terms and conditions set by the Department for the purpose of improving and encouraging range management.

Application of the 30-50-per-cent Contractor Clause in Tree-farm Licences.—Your Committee heard representations on the application of the contractor clause within tree-farm licences.

Your Committee has not had sufficient time to fully investigate and assess the problems that have been presented.

Your Committee recommends that the application of this clause should be based on timber volume.

Your Committee further recommends that the Forest Service, the independent contractor, and the licensee carry out, during the current year, a study of the contractor clause and submit to this Committee at the next Session of the Legislature a complete report in order for the subject to be further reviewed.

Your Committee further recommends that in this report there be defined the various phases of the contract within tree-farm licences. Longer-term contracts should also be considered.

Your Committee further recommends that a study be undertaken by the Forest Service to establish whether the contractor clause should be applicable to pulp harvesting licences and long-term timber sales.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

JAMES R. CHABOT, *Chairman.*

The report was taken as read and received.

112 Mr. *Lorimer* asked the Hon. the Minister of Municipal Affairs the following questions:—

1. Were any funds expended by the Province of British Columbia in conjunction with public and private agencies in the years 1966/67 and 1967/68 for low-rental housing?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, for what projects and what funds were expended?

The Hon. *D. R. J. Campbell* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) Expenditures in conjunction with public agencies in the year 1966/67 for public housing were Vancouver, \$1,091,683; (b) expenditures in conjunction with public agencies in the year 1967/68 for public housing were (i) Vancouver, \$517,666; (ii) Saanich, \$18,813; (iii) Prince Rupert, \$782; and (c) expenditures in conjunction with private agencies are controlled by the Provincial Secretary.”

199 Mr. *Wenman* asked the Hon. the Minister of Travel Industry the following questions:—

1. How much does the Department of Travel Industry pay the producers of the television series “ Dating Game ” for advertising the Province of British Columbia?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is no charge, what incentive is given to the producers to mention British Columbia?
3. What is the cost of such incentive to the Department of Travel Industry?
4. How much exposure does the Province receive on "Dating Game"?
5. What is the cost of an advertising minute on "Dating Game"?
6. How many television stations carry "Dating Game" and what is the estimated viewing audience?

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* replied as follows:—

- " 1. Nothing.
- " 2. On each of the programmes in which we participate a four-day holiday is given to the winning couple and their chaperon.
- " 3. The last show, less than \$200. "Dating Game" or the major air lines pay for air transportation and British Columbia tour operators take care of the land arrangements in return for television exposure.
- " 4. Two minutes on the originating programme and coverage on a later programme when the holiday trip is reviewed.
- " 5. Saturday night shows, \$25,000 per advertising minute; week-day daytime shows, \$5,500 per minute.
- " 6. 193 stations across the continent. Thirteen million homes, with an estimated 2.7 persons per set watching."

200 Mr. *Smith* asked the Hon. the Minister of Travel Industry the following questions:—

1. How many travel writers and television personnel were hosted by the Department of Travel Industry on a press tour of the Kootenay area in June of 1968?
2. Who paid for this promotion?
3. What was the total cost and what was the cost to the Government?
4. What benefits were received as a result of this promotion?
5. Is this an annual promotion and, if so, what other areas have been covered?
6. What area will be covered in 1969?

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* replied as follows:—

- " 1. Thirty-four Canadian and American writers and television personnel.
- " 2. This was a co-operative promotion with Vancouver Island Coach Lines.
- " 3. Total cost, \$9,129.98; Vancouver Island Coach Lines, \$2,500, and Department of Travel Industry, \$6,629.98.
- " 4. A conservative estimate of the value of stories printed about the tour based on an advertising per line rate would be well over \$100,000. Tear sheets are on record in the report of the tour.
- " 5. Five tours have been undertaken. East and west coast of Vancouver Island; Victoria to the W. A. C. Bennett Dam; Victoria, Kelsey Bay, Prince Rupert, Prince George, Barkerville to Vancouver (1966 Totem Press Tour); Victoria, Gulf Islands, Merritt, Kamloops, Three Valley, Okanagan Valley, and Southern Trans-Canada Highway (1967 Blue Water Press Tour); and Victoria, Vancouver, Osoyoos, West and East Kootenays, Kootenay National Park, Trans-Canada Highway, Yoho Park, Rogers Pass, and Revelstoke to Kelowna (1968 Kootenay Caravan Press Tour).
- " 6. The Cariboo, Highway No. 16 between Prince George and Jasper National Park, and the Yellowhead."

And then the House adjourned at 5.55 p.m.

Monday, March 31, 1969

EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

On the motion of Mr. Dowding, Bill (No. 84) intituled *An Act to Amend the Trust Companies Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of the Hon. W. A. C. Bennett, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

Bill (No. 23) intituled *An Act to Amend the Mines Regulation Act* was committed, reported complete with amendments and, by leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the Bill read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 24) intituled *Coal Mines Regulation Act* was committed, reported complete with amendments and, by leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the Bill read a third time and passed.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

215. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$46,020 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Municipal Affairs, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1970.

216. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$429,380 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Municipal Affairs, General Administration, to 31st March, 1970.

217. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$378,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Municipal Affairs, Grants and Subsidies, to 31st March, 1970.

218. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$5,000,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Municipal Affairs, Housing and Urban Renewal, to 31st March, 1970.

219. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$50,100,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Municipal Affairs, Grants in Aid of Local Government and Home-owners' Subsidies, to 31st March, 1970.

276. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$8,440 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Social Welfare, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1970.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

45 Mr. *Parkinson* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

With respect to hospital treatment of emotionally disturbed children:—

1. Did any general hospitals under British Columbia Hospital Insurance operate psychiatric wards for children (a) during the year ended December 31, 1967, and (b) during the year ended December 31, 1968?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, where are such units located, how many beds involved, and how many children treated during the years indicated in No. 1?

3. Are any such units referred to in No. 1 at present (a) under construction and (b) approved in principle?

4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, at what locations and how many beds?

5. Has any request for such facilities been received from the Health Sciences Centre, University of British Columbia?

6. If the answer to No. 5 is yes, at what stage of development is such project?

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Hospitals operating psychiatric wards report that admissions and bed allocations are determined by the hospital medical staff, the attending physician, and the administration according to the diagnosis and state of urgency indicated by the admitting doctor at the time of admission, but without regard to age or sex of the patient. In many hospitals, beds are not specifically designated for any particular disorder or for patients coming within a specified age-group. One hospital reported that certain patients under 16 years of age requiring psychiatric treatment were admitted to a pediatric ward.

“ 2. Not applicable.

“ 3. (a) and (b) Yes.

“ 4. Vancouver General Hospital, 8 beds; Eric Martin Institute, 20 beds.

“ 5. No.

“ 6. Not applicable.”

201 Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

1. Did the Government of British Columbia purchase the Lady of Fatima Convent in Maillardville, British Columbia?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what was the purchase price, what was it purchased for, and how much will renovations cost?

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. \$180,000; will be used as a special training facility for patients of The Woodlands School and The Tranquille School who are to be placed in community boarding-homes; renovations plus equipment are estimated to total \$60,000.”

203 Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following questions:—

1. Did a management contract or a lease exist between the Parks Branch of the Provincial Government and either Pinewood Lodge Limited or Mr. Rene Pelletier?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what were the terms of this agreement?

3. What moneys did the Provincial Government receive from this enterprise in the years 1954 to 1968, inclusive?

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. The Crown received a percentage of gross sales as follows: Dining-room, 4 per cent; groceries, 4 per cent; motels, 20 per cent; service-station, 2 per cent; ski tow, 10 per cent; ski rental, 4 per cent; boat rental, 2 per cent; house rental, 2 per cent.

3. \$255,878.86.”

And then the House adjourned at 11.53 p.m.

Tuesday, April 1, 1969

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *S. Parsons*.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order “Public Bills and Orders.”

On the motion for the third reading of Bill (No. 74) intituled *An Act to Amend the Insurance Act* a debate arose.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:—

YEAS—28

Messieurs

<i>Vogel</i>	<i>McCarthy, Mrs.</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Skillings</i>
<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Jordan, Mrs.</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Dawson, Mrs.</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Little</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Capozzi</i>	<i>Shelford</i>
<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Peterson</i>	<i>Gagliardi</i>	<i>Richter</i>

NAYS—21

Messieurs

<i>Cox</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Eddie</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>
<i>Brousson</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Stupich</i>
<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Parkinson</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>King</i>	<i>Clark</i>	<i>Dailly, Mrs.</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Levi</i>			

PAIRS:

Messieurs

<i>Wenman</i>	<i>Berger</i>
<i>McDiarmid</i>	<i>Calder</i>

Bill read a third time and passed.

The following Bills for report were read a third time and passed:—

Bill (No. 75) intituled *An Act to Amend the Motor-vehicle Act.*

Bill (No. 77) intituled *An Act to Amend and Repeal Certain Provisions of the Statute Law.*

On the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order “Private Bills.”

The following Bills were committed, reported complete without amendments, read a third time and passed:—

Bill (No. 52) intituled *An Act to Amend the Vancouver Charter.*

Bill (No. 53) intituled *An Act Respecting Trinity Junior College.*

Bill (No. 56) intituled *An Act to Incorporate St. Paul's Hospital at Vancouver, British Columbia.*

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

277. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$137,642 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Social Welfare, General Administration, to 31st March, 1970.

278. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$36,120 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Social Welfare, Social Assistance and Rehabilitation Division, to 31st March, 1970.

279. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$4,729,074 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Social Welfare, Field Service, to 31st March, 1970.

280. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$155,596 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Social Welfare, Medical Services Division, to 31st March, 1970.

281. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$74,560,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Social Welfare, Social Assistance, to 31st March, 1970.

282. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Social Welfare, *Residence and Responsibility Act*, to 31st March, 1970.

283. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$326,400 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Social Welfare, New Denver, to 31st March, 1970.

284. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$298,946 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Social Welfare, Child Welfare Division—Administration, to 31st March, 1970.

285. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$257,876 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Social Welfare, Provincial Home, to 31st March, 1970.

286. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$704,849 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Social Welfare, Brannan Lake School for Boys, to 31st March, 1970.

287. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$421,876 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Social Welfare, Willingdon School for Girls, to 31st March, 1970.

288. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$3,523,608 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Social Welfare, Division on Aging, to 31st March, 1970.

289. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$389,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Social Welfare, Blind Persons' Allowances, to 31st March, 1970.

290. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,222,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Social Welfare, Disabled Persons' Allowances, to 31st March, 1970.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8 o'clock p.m. today.

By leave of the House, the Hon. *L. R. Peterson* (Attorney-General) presented a report of investigations into the allegations by Mr. *Thomas Berger*, M.L.A., of police brutality at Sechelt Village and Indian Reserve.

By leave of the House, the Hon. *W. M. Skillings* (Minister of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce) presented a Preliminary Survey of Employment Opportunities for the Handicapped in British Columbia, dated March 31, 1969.

10 Mr. *L. A. Williams* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:—

1. Did the Honourable the Attorney-General or any member of his Department correspond with the Commonwealth Trust Company or any affiliate company or any officers or directors thereof during the 1968 calendar year?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) to whom was such correspondence directed, (b) what were the dates of each letter, and (c) what matter or matters were dealt with in each letter?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. This question is answered in part by the documents and letters that were tabled with the House in response to Motion No. 11. The remaining part of the question is answered in the summary attached.”

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* stated that, in his opinion, the summary should be in the form of a Return and that he had no objection to laying such Return upon the table of the House, and thereupon presented such Return.

61 Mr. *Lorimer* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With reference to the social welfare cost-sharing formula between the Government and the municipalities:—

1. What was the total amount paid by the Government under this formula in the fiscal year 1967/68?

2. What was the total amount paid by the municipalities in the fiscal year 1967/68?

3. In the case of each individual municipality, what was the amount paid by (a) the Government and (b) the municipality?

The Hon. *D. R. J. Campbell* replied as follows:—

“ 1. 1967/68, \$50,668,896.96.

“ 2. 1967/68, \$5,629,877.44

“ 3. See following table:—

Municipality	(a) Amount Paid by the Individual Municipalities for the Fiscal Year 1967/68	(b) Amount Paid by the Government during the Fiscal Year 1967/68
Alberni (7 months).....	\$11,117.63	\$100,058.67
Armstrong.....	5,567.47	50,107.23
Burnaby.....	436,241.72	3,926,175.48
Campbell River.....	30,484.21	274,357.89
Castlegar.....	12,915.70	116,241.30
Central Saanich.....	13,830.77	124,476.93
Chilliwack City.....	34,366.99	309,302.91
Chilliwack District.....	78,549.26	706,943.34
Comox (3 months).....	2,650.23	23,852.07
Coquitlam.....	150,784.18	1,357,057.62
Courtenay.....	18,101.43	162,912.87
Cranbrook.....	30,128.08	271,152.72
Creston.....	11,199.24	100,793.16
Dawson Creek.....	48,101.72	432,915.48
Delta.....	76,117.16	685,054.44
Duncan.....	16,606.41	149,457.69
Enderby.....	4,427.37	39,846.33
Esquimalt.....	50,788.51	457,096.59
Fernie.....	10,880.33	97,922.97
Fort St. John.....	23,530.86	211,777.74
Fraser Mills.....	659.43	5,934.87
Gold River.....	2,530.78	22,777.02
Grand Forks.....	10,003.50	90,031.50
Greenwood.....	3,680.57	33,125.13
Hope.....	11,609.87	104,488.83
Kamloops.....	57,353.18	516,178.62
Kelowna.....	63,932.47	575,392.23
Kimberley.....	23,813.62	214,322.58
Kinnaird (3 months).....	2,840.31	25,562.79
Kitimat.....	37,519.04	337,671.36
Ladysmith.....	13,686.61	123,179.49
Langley City.....	10,745.91	96,713.19
Langley District.....	61,948.35	557,535.15
Maple Ridge.....	74,538.79	670,849.11
Matsqui.....	62,751.94	564,767.46
Merritt.....	16,406.23	147,656.07
Mission District.....	21,448.30	193,034.70
Town of Mission.....	13,513.19	121,618.71
Nanaimo.....	59,770.53	537,934.77
Nelson.....	37,780.51	340,024.59
New Westminster.....	147,641.08	1,328,769.72
North Cowichan (8 months).....	40,300.16	362,701.44

Municipality	(a) Amount Paid by the Individual Municipalities for the Fiscal Year 1967/68	(b) Amount Paid by the Government during the Fiscal Year 1967/68
North Kamloops.....	\$24,978.74	\$224,808.66
North Saanich.....	11,513.75	103,623.75
North Vancouver City.....	104,156.78	937,411.02
North Vancouver District.....	182,790.23	1,645,112.07
Oak Bay.....	71,398.53	642,586.77
Penticton.....	59,866.51	538,798.59
Port Alberni.....	60,955.59	548,600.31
Port Alice.....	5,459.51	49,135.59
Port Coquitlam.....	41,226.35	371,037.15
Port Moody.....	25,651.21	230,860.89
Powell River.....	48,412.87	435,715.83
Prince George.....	86,213.00	775,917.00
Prince Rupert.....	55,863.02	502,767.18
Quesnel.....	21,787.18	196,084.62
Revelstoke.....	17,907.70	161,169.30
Richmond.....	194,453.08	1,750,077.72
Rossland.....	17,217.76	154,959.84
Saanich.....	224,898.98	2,024,090.82
Salmon Arm.....	18,396.74	165,570.66
Sidney.....	12,264.96	110,384.64
Squamish.....	17,382.75	156,444.75
Sumas.....	21,516.03	193,644.27
Summerland.....	18,088.94	162,800.46
Surrey.....	315,989.17	2,843,902.53
Terrace.....	31,610.52	284,494.68
Trail.....	46,539.72	418,857.48
Vancouver.....	1,617,927.95	14,561,351.55
Vernon.....	44,521.74	400,695.66
Victoria.....	227,767.79	2,049,910.11
West Vancouver.....	120,987.40	1,088,886.60
White Rock.....	29,744.26	267,698.34
Williams Lake.....	11,525.04	103,725.36 "

147 Mr. Wolfe asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following questions:—

With regard to the employment of the teachers and faculty at the three universities in this Province:—

1. How many university professors are employed at our three universities?
2. Of these, how many are teachers, how many are in research, and how many are doing both?
3. Of those who teach, how many hours per week are spent in teaching?
4. How many university professors receive outside emoluments in addition to their regular salaries?
5. What is their range of salaries?

The Hon. D. L. Brothers replied as follows:—

" 1. There are several categories of persons engaged by the three universities. On the basis of information supplied by the universities, the present employment, excluding instructors and lecturers, is:—

" University of British Columbia, professors (including deans and department heads), 320; associate professors, 337; assistant professors, 533; total, 1,190.

" Simon Fraser University, professors (including deans and department heads), 38; associate professors, 54; assistant professors, 184; total, 276.

" University of Victoria, professors (including deans and department heads), 40; associate professors, 64; assistant professors, 137; total, 241.

" 2. Definitive information about the numbers who both teach and engage in research is not available.

" 3. Definitive information setting forth the hours spent in teaching by each faculty member is not available.

" 4. Definitive information is not available.

" 5. On the basis of the information supplied to the Department of Education, the minimum annual salaries in each of the professional ranks is: Assistant professor, \$9,500; associate professor, \$11,700; full professor, \$15,500."

191 Mr. *McGeer* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:—

With respect to Commonwealth Savings Plan:—

1. Were financial statements filed with the Superintendent of Insurance pursuant to section 18 of the *Investment Contracts Act* for each quarter from March 31, 1963, to the present time?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is no, on what dates were there failures to submit such financial statements?

3. Of those financial statements that were submitted, what were the details of the statements and which directors verified the statements by statutory declaration?

4. In any financial statements submitted, were any assets declared as reserves which did not meet the requirements of section 11, subsection (1), clause (a), of the *Investment Contracts Act*?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* replied as follows:—

" 1 and 2. Yes, except for December 31, 1965, which was verified by two directors. Statements for each quarter ending September 30th coincided with company's fiscal-year end and instead were certified by company's external auditors in addition to being verified by two company officers.

" 3. The details were maturity values of contracts, gross collections plus interest credited to accounts, amount required under section 11 of *Investment Contracts Act*, and assets held against certificate liability. Statutory declarations were made by A. G. Duncan Crux, A. E. Harbottle, M. A. Harling, J. R. Luttrell, and C. G. Polvliet.

" 4. No."

202 Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:—

1. Do the Royal Canadian Mounted Police provide police service on Indian reserves?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) do the Royal Canadian Mounted Police provide this service on Indian reserves on the same basis as they do on the Provincial highways and other public areas and (b) are the Royal Canadian Mounted Police free to move on the reserve just as they are in public places?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* replied as follows:—

" 1. Yes.

" 2. The role of the Royal Canadian Mounted Police on reserves does not differ from their role off reserves."

205 Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

1. Did the Provincial Government buy the Montebello Motel in Hope?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what furnishings and appliances were purchased with each motel unit?

3. Were these items listed as stock at the time of the purchase?
4. What furnishings are now in each unit?
5. Is the Montebello Motel for sale, either as a complete unit or in single units, to be sold and removed?
6. Who is the present manager or caretaker?
7. Did he check the stock-list of furnishings and appliances when he undertook his responsibilities for the above motel?
8. Did his list of stock and material check with the original stock-list made at the time of the Department's purchase of Montebello Motel?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

- " 1. Yes.
- " 2. Equipment and chattels as furnished each unit.
- " 3. Inventory completed following sale.
- " 4. Equipment and chattels as furnished each unit.
- " 5. Not for sale at this date.
- " 6. Mr. L. W. White is caretaker.
- " 7. Inventory was carried out by Department on November 12th and December 18th.
- " 8. No. (A number of units and furnishings were previously sold by Department following public tenders.)"

And then the House adjourned at 5.58 p.m.

Tuesday, April 1, 1969

EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

By leave of the House, Mr. *Gardom* withdrew notices of introduction of the following Bills standing in his name on the Order Paper:—

Intestate's Family Maintenance Act.

Expropriations Act.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Gardom*, the following Bills Ordered for second reading were withdrawn:—

Bill (No. 15) intituled *An Act to Amend the Motor-vehicle Act.*

Bill (No. 16) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipal Act.*

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

263. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$44,678 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Recreation and Conservation, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1970.

264. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$125,554 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Recreation and Conservation, General Administration, to 31st March, 1970.

265. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$424,982 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Recreation and Conservation, Provincial Museum, to 31st March, 1970.

266. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$61,052 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Recreation and Conservation, Commercial Fisheries Branch, to 31st March, 1970.

267. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,397,873 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Recreation and Conservation, Fish and Wildlife Branch, to 31st March, 1970.

268. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$3,067,570 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Recreation and Conservation, Parks Branch, to 31st March, 1970.

269. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$325,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Recreation and Conservation, Grants in Aid of Regional Parks Development, to 31st March, 1970.

270. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$275,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Recreation and Conservation, Youth Training Programme, to 31st March, 1970.

271. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$3,850 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Travel Industry, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1970.

272. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$33,278 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Travel Industry, General Administration, to 31st March, 1970.

273. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,221,258 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Travel Industry, Travel Division, to 31st March, 1970.

274. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$106,393 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Travel Industry, California and London Offices, to 31st March, 1970.

275. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$269,250 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Travel Industry, Film and Photographic Branch, to 31st March, 1970.

291. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$55,028 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Ministers without Portfolio to 31st March, 1970.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 11.25 p.m.