

Monday, February 14, 1966

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *Victor E. Propp*.

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Administrator, which read as follows:—

J. O. WILSON,
Administrator.

The Administrator transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act Respecting the Revised Statutes of British Columbia*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 15, 1966.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 24) intituled *An Act Respecting the Revised Statutes of British Columbia*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Administrator, which read as follows:—

J. O. WILSON,
Administrator.

The Administrator transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Official Guardian Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 15, 1966.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 26) intituled *An Act to Amend the Official Guardian Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
 Report adopted.
 Bill introduced and read a first time.
 Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *D. R. J. Campbell* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Administrator, which read as follows:—

J. O. WILSON,
Administrator.

The Administrator transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Greater Vancouver Water District Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 15, 1966.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 25) intituled *An Act to Amend the Greater Vancouver Water District Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
 Report adopted.
 Bill introduced and read a first time.
 Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *F. X. Richter* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Administrator, which read as follows:—

J. O. WILSON,
Administrator.

The Administrator transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act Respecting Crop Insurance*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 15, 1966.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 27) intituled *An Act Respecting Crop Insurance*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *L. R. Peterson*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion of the Hon. *E. C. F. Martin*, seconded by Mr. *Price*, it was *Ordered*,—

That a Special Committee be appointed to examine, investigate, study, and report on all matters relating to the provisions of the *Dental Technicians Act* and the determination of the proper role to be filled by dental laboratory technicians and by dental mechanics with particular reference to the delineation of services which may be provided by each, consistent with sound public health policy, and to hear representations from any organization or individual wishing to advise the Committee and to make such findings and recommendations as are deemed advisable with respect thereto, and that the Special Committee appointed to name the Select Standing Committees prepare and report with all convenient speed a list of members to compose this Special Committee.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* (President of the Council) presented the Milk Board Annual Report for the year ended December 31, 1965.

14 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

With respect to bond issues for school construction:—

1. Were any bond issues authorized by the Government in 1965 and, if so, in what total amount?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what was the total amount of those bonds floated?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

"1. Yes, \$30,000,000.

"2. \$30,000,000."

15 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following question:—

With respect to amounts paid by the Federal Government under the *Unemployment Assistance Act*, what was the amount paid to the Province of British Columbia under terms of this Act in the year 1964/65?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“\$17,635,518.29.”

16 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

With reference to the Tax Sale Suspense Fund:—

1. What was the total amount paid into this Fund in 1964/65?
2. Has any money paid into this Fund since 1951/52 been transferred to general revenue and, if so, how much?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“1. \$139,387.99.

“2. No.”

17 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following question:—

In each school district in the Province which has rural components, what were the total sums collectable under the *Public Schools Act* in each rural component for the school budget year of 1965?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“Unorganized rural components of school districts and 1965 school requisitions: No. 1, Fernie, \$364,127; No. 2, Cranbrook, \$209,392; No. 3, Kimberley, \$476,838; No. 4, Windermere, \$202,124; No. 5, Creston, \$268,257; No. 6, Kootenay Lake, \$66,882; No. 7, Nelson, \$442,117; No. 8, Slocan, \$170,555; No. 9, Castlegar, \$730,272; No. 10, Arrow Lakes, \$166,130; No. 11, Trail, \$420,884; No. 12, Grand Forks, \$136,972; No. 13, Kettle Valley, \$98,668; No. 14, Southern Okanagan, \$267,079; No. 15, Penticton, \$114,860; No. 16, Keremeos, \$69,680; No. 17, Princeton, \$83,125; No. 18, Golden, \$103,626; No. 19, Revelstoke, \$115,183; No. 20, Salmon Arm, \$280,436; No. 21, Armstrong-Spallumcheen, \$8; No. 22, Vernon, \$253,727; No. 23, Kelowna, \$632,583; No. 24, Kamloops, \$1,092,022; No. 25, Barriere, \$104,777; No. 26, Birch Island, \$221,055; No. 27, Williams Lake, \$667,380; No. 28, Quesnel, \$462,799; No. 29, Lillooet, \$556,622; No. 30, South Cariboo, \$251,040; No. 31, Merritt, \$279,610; No. 32, Fraser Canyon, \$246,916; No. 33, Chilliwack, \$149,607; No. 34, Abbotsford, \$9,594; No. 36, Surrey, \$6,267; No. 38, Richmond, \$293; No. 42, Maple Ridge, \$19,066; No. 43, Coquitlam, \$742,131; No. 44, North Vancouver, \$3,982; No. 45, West Vancouver, \$33,610; No. 46, Sechelt, \$799,596; No. 47, Powell River, \$376,806; No. 48, Howe Sound, \$629,873; No. 49, Ocean Falls, \$536,279; No. 50, Queen Charlotte, \$122,792; No. 51, Portland Canal, \$15,068; No. 52, Prince Rupert, \$555,480; No. 53, Terrace, \$144,750; No. 54, Smithers, \$76,954; No. 55, Burns Lake, \$105,261; No. 56, Vanderhoof, \$176,227; No. 57, Prince George, \$943,896; No. 58, McBride, \$153,509; No. 59, Peace River South, \$383,919; No. 60, Peace River North, \$970,717; No. 61, Greater Victoria, \$142,387; No. 62, Sooke, \$749,945; No. 63, Saanich, \$239,027; No.

64, Gulf Islands, \$321,446; No. 65, Cowichan, \$448,825; No. 66, Lake Cowichan, \$652,639; No. 67, Ladysmith, \$141,257; No. 68, Nanaimo, \$1,793,490; No. 69, Qualicum, \$286,302; No. 70, Alberni, \$533,172; No. 71, Courtenay, \$556,579; No. 72, Campbell River, \$465,120; No. 75, Mission, \$115,075; No. 76, Agassiz, \$69,392; No. 77, Summerland, \$9,292; No. 78, Enderby, \$62,218; No. 79, Ucluelet-Tofino, \$117,128; No. 81, Fort Nelson, \$170,112; No. 82, Chilcotin, \$24,307; No. 83, Portage Mountain, \$139,891; No. 84, Vancouver Island West, \$157,013; and No. 85, Vancouver Island North, \$610,115.

“Unattached, 1965 school requisitions: Atlin, \$3,645; Bamfield, \$7,098; Belmont Park, \$56; Comox Airport, \$104; Good Hope Lake, \$20; Lower Post, \$616; McDame Creek, \$33,707; Telegraph Creek, \$902; University Hill, \$181,801; total, \$24,544,104.”

33 Mr. *Dowding* asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Council the following questions:—

With reference to the Pacific Great Eastern Railway Company's "Traffic Accounts Receivable" as recorded in the Journals of the House, 1960, page 163:—

1. Has the amount of \$30,605 outstanding against Laird Distributors Limited, known now as Pacific Western Distributors, been paid?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is in the negative, (a) what is the total amount paid to date on this specific account and (b) has any portion of the account been written off by the railway company?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“1. No.

“2. (a) \$12,250 and (b) no.”

34 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Council the following question:—

With reference to the financial statement of the Pacific Great Eastern Railway for the year ended December 31, 1964, page 31, payment of \$10,238.28 to H. Gentles, what were the specific services rendered for this payment and upon what date or dates was this money paid?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“January 27, 345 fir ties, \$618.57; April 14, 1,544 fir ties, \$2,810.02; June 24, 1,575 fir ties, \$2,989.66; August 17, 1,328 fir and pine ties, \$2,536.19; November 20, 682 fir ties, \$1,283.84; total, \$10,238.28.”

35 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Council the following questions:—

With reference to the British Columbia Toll Authority ferry system:—

1. Were free travel facility passes provided on the ferries to any persons other than members of the Legislature during 1965 and 1966?

2. If the answer to any part of No. 1 is yes, what were the names of the persons provided with passes in each relevant year?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1 and 2. See answer to Question No. 59, page 48, Journals of the Legislative Assembly, 1965. No change except Mr. J. M. Donald replaces Mr. W. R. Tinsley, assistant marine superintendent; Mr. T. F. Annan replaces Mr. E. Geldart, assistant superintendent engineer; Mr. A. S. Lukinuk replaces Mr. M. M. Duke, administrative officer; and the following have been added: Capt. E. P. de Cunha, catering superintendent; Mr. J. Smithson, upholsterer; Mr. C. F. Jenkins, electrician; Mr. A. E. Nelson, refrigeration technician; Mr. N. Prescott, radioman.

“All permanent employees of the British Columbia Ferry Authority and their immediate families are allowed one return pass per month for travel on Tuesdays, Wednesdays, and Thursdays.”

37 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Council the following questions:—

With reference to litigation costs involved in the placing of the Peace River Power Development Company under public ownership and the Premier's answer to Question No. 55 recorded in the Journals of 1965:—

1. Have any further costs been paid?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what is the total amount paid to date and (b) what are the names of the individuals or firms who received these moneys and what amounts were they paid?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1 and 2. No.”

38 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Council the following questions:—

With reference to litigation costs involved in placing the British Columbia Electric Company under public ownership and the Premier's answer to Question No. 64 on this subject recorded in the Journals of 1965:—

1. Have any further costs been paid?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what is the total amount paid to date, (b) what are the names of the individuals or firms who received these moneys and in what amounts were they paid, and (c) is the total amount now paid the final amount?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1 and 2. No.”

49 Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Premier the following question:—

With respect to gasoline tax revenue, during the period April 1, 1965, to December 31, 1965, what was the estimated percentage of this tax yield which derived from (a) the City and District of North Vancouver, (b) the Municipality of West Vancouver, (c) the City of Vancouver, (d) the Municipality of Burnaby, and (e) the City of New Westminster?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ Not known.”

58 Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Premier the following question:—

With respect to the British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority, in the period from January 1, 1965, to December 31, 1965, by months, how many (a) residential and (b) commercial power disconnections were made by B.C. Hydro because of delinquent accounts?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“(a) and (b). Disconnections in 1965 were as follows: January, 386; February, 615; March, 755; April, 636; May, 791; June, 764; July, 629; August, 428; September, 588; October, 400; November, 475; and December, 386.

“No breakdown by residential and commercial power accounts is available.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

Tuesday, February 15, 1966

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *H. Kerley*.

The Hon. *W. D. Black* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKE,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Constitution Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 15, 1966.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 32) intituled *An Act to Amend the Constitution Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Administrator, which read as follows:—

J. O. WILSON,
Administrator.

The Administrator transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act Respecting the Rutland Agricultural Society*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.
Government House,
February 15, 1966.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 30) intituled *An Act Respecting the Rutland Agricultural Society*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* referred to the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills:—

On the motion of Mr. *Price*—Bill (No. 52) intituled *An Act to Amend the Vancouver Charter*.

On the motion of Mr. *Matthew*—Bill (No. 51) intituled *An Act Respecting the Practice of Radiological Technique and Respecting Radiological Technicians*.

On the motion of Mr. *MacSorley*—Bill (No. 50) intituled *An Act to Incorporate Fraser Valley Mutual Insurance Company*.

On the motion of Mr. *Skillings*—Bill (No. 53) intituled *An Act Respecting the Practice of Professional Engineering*.

On the motion of Mr. *Skillings*—Bill (No. 54) intituled *An Act to Amend the Victoria Foundation Act*.

On the motion of Mr. *Price*—Bill (No. 55) intituled *An Act to Incorporate the Heritage Life Assurance Corporation*.

On the motion of Mr. *Price*—Bill (No. 56) intituled *An Act to Incorporate the Southwest Mortgage Indemnity Corporation Limited*.

On the motion of Mr. *Matthew*—Bill (No. 57) intituled *An Act to Amend the Vancouver Charter*.

On the motion of Mr. *Speare*—Bill (No. 58) intituled *The Granby Mining Company Limited Act Amendment Act, 1966*.

On the motion of Mr. *Vogel*—Bill (No. 59) intituled *An Act to Amend the Seaboard Assurance Company Act, 1953*.

On the motion of Mr. Bruch—Bill (No. 60) intituled *An Act to Incorporate the Prince George College of Prince George*.

On the motion of Mr. Price—Bill (No. 61) intituled *An Act to Incorporate Westco Insurance Company*.

On the motion of Mr. Price—Bill (No. 62) intituled *An Act to Incorporate the Major Life & Casualty Company of Canada*.

On the motion of Mr. Price—Bill (No. 63) intituled *An Act to Amend the Shaughnessy Heights Building Restriction Act, 1922*.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. Skillings, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.55 p.m.

Tuesday, February 15, 1966

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. D. L. Brothers, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 10.18 p.m.

Wednesday, February 16, 1966

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *E. A. Wright*.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *R. G. Williston*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Bill (No. 1) intituled *An Act to Amend the Interpretation Act* was read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 2) intituled *An Act to Amend the Regional Parks Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Barrett*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 4) intituled *An Act to Amend the Survivorship and Presumption of Death Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Gargrave*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 5) intituled *An Act to Amend the Plans Cancellation Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Dowding*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Bill (No. 7) intituled *An Act to Amend the Special Surveys Act* was read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 9) intituled *An Act to Amend the Supreme Court Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Gargrave*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 10) intituled *An Act to Amend the Partnership Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Macdonald*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* (Minister of Finance) presented the Annual Return for the calendar year 1965 submitted in accordance with section 53 of the *Administration Act, Revised Statutes of British Columbia, 1960*.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.56 p.m.

Thursday, February 17, 1966

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Capt. *Donald McMillan*.

Mr. Speaker read the following letter from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor:—

*"The 16th of February, 1966,
"At Government House, Victoria, B.C."*

"Dear Mr. Speaker,

"When I was in the Vernon Jubilee Hospital you very kindly notified me that the Legislature had passed a motion expressing the desire for my speedy recovery. At the time, Mrs. Pearkes acknowledged your message.

"Now that I have returned to Victoria, may I take this opportunity of thanking, through you, all the members of the Legislature for their concern and consideration in the matter of my health.

"While in the Vernon Jubilee Hospital I received every possible care and, after a few days there, returned to Government House on the 14th of February. I am feeling very much better now. I am sure that the knowledge that the members of the Legislature were pulling for my recovery helped in the restoration of my health.

"With kindest regards,

"Yours sincerely,
"GEORGE R. PEARKES."

"The Honourable William H. Murray,

"Office of the Speaker,

"Parliament Buildings, Victoria, B.C."

The following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today:—

On the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*—Bill (No. 8) intituled *An Act to Amend the Trustees Act*.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. K. Kiernan*—Bill (No. 33) intituled *An Act to Amend the Fisheries Act*.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. K. Kiernan*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

By leave, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

Bill (No. 11) intituled *An Act to Amend the Land Registry Act* was read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 12) intituled *An Act to Facilitate the Subdivision of Land in Strata and the Disposition of Title Thereto* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. Turner, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Bill (No. 16) intituled *An Act to Amend the Taxation Act* was read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 17) intituled *An Act Respecting the Purchase of Unissued Shares of the Capital Stock of the Pacific Great Eastern Railway Company* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. Strachan, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended to permit the moving of the following motion:—

Moved by the Hon. *D. R. J. Campbell*, seconded by the Hon. *R. G. Williston*,—

That this House authorize the Select Standing Committee on Municipal Matters to inquire into the adaptation of expanding regional districts toward undertaking air-pollution controls in co-operation with the Provincial Government and to further study the following aspects of introducing such controls:—

- (a) A study directed toward a proper classification of detrimental air pollution:
- (b) A study of existing technology in terms of its on-site installation:
- (c) A study of existing air-pollution control installations in terms of effectiveness and efficiency:
- (d) A study of existing technology in terms of establishing enforceable by-laws:
- (e) A study of the nature of Provincial air-pollution control structure necessary to correlate available technology to co-ordinate on-site inspections and to give aid in the drafting of enforceable regional by-laws.

A debate arose.

Mr. *Strachan* moved, seconded by Mr. *Turner*, that the motion be amended by adding the following words:—

"And be it further Resolved, That the said Committee report to the Legislative Assembly, as soon as possible, their findings and recommendations on the afore-said and specified matters."

A debate arose.

The amendment was negatived.

The debate was resumed on the main motion.

Mr. *Macfarlane* moved the adjournment of the debate.

The motion was negatived.

The Speaker's attention having been drawn to the fact that the hour of 6 o'clock had arrived, he announced that he would return at 8.30 o'clock.

And then the House adjourned at 6 p.m.

Thursday, February 17, 1966

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 10.02 p.m.

Friday, February 18, 1966

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Bishop *Fergus O'Grady*.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

The Speaker's attention having been drawn to the fact that the hour of 6 o'clock had arrived, he announced that he would return at 8 o'clock.

And then the House adjourned at 6 p.m.

Friday, February 18, 1966

EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Mr. Speaker informed the Legislative Assembly that he had received a certificate from the Registrar of the British Columbia Court of Appeal, which certificate he read to the House, as follows:—

No. 13/66.

COURT OF APPEAL

IN THE MATTER OF THE PROVINCIAL ELECTIONS ACT
R.S.B.C. 1960, CHAP. 306

and

IN THE MATTER OF THE ELECTION IN THE ELECTORAL DISTRICT OF YALE,
BRITISH COLUMBIA, HELD ON THE 30TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 1963

Between:

WILLIAM LEONARD HARTLEY

Respondent (Appellant).

And:

THOMAS WHEATLY HARVEY
MARK LEONARD PRETTY
JOHN REID
ARTHUR JAMES RYAN

Petitioners (Respondents).

I, Bernard Joseph Burke Morahan, Registrar of the Court of Appeal, hereby certify pursuant to section 239 of the *Provincial Elections Act*, R.S.B.C. 1960, chap. 306, that in a Judgment pronounced the 16th day of February, 1966, the Court of Appeal did allow the appeal from the Judgment of the Honourable Mr. Justice Ruttan, dated the 10th day of January, 1966, and did set aside the said Judgment and further did dismiss the cross appeal and the election petition herein.

And I further certify that in the said Judgment made the said 16th day of February, 1966, the Honourable Court did find as follows:—

- (1) That the respondent petitioners did not prove that six unlawful votes were cast in the Lower Nicola Polling Division:
- (2) That the learned Trial Judge found that there were three other votes illegally cast but that it was not proven that the number of votes so illegally cast exceeded the appellant Hartley's majority:
- (3) That completion of the list of voters as certified under section 26 of the *Provincial Elections Act*, R.S.B.C. 1960, chap. 306, is conclusive as to the residential qualifications of a person registered on that list as a voter:
- (4) That in the opinion of the Court of Appeal on the material before it the appellant William Leonard Hartley was duly elected as member for the Electoral District of Yale.

The Court which heard the within appeal was constituted as follows: The Honourable Mr. Justice Davey, presiding; the Honourable Mr. Justice Norris; and the Honourable Mr. Justice Maclean.

Witness my hand and the Seal of the said Court at Victoria, B.C., this 18th day of February, 1966.

COURT OF APPEAL
SEAL
BRITISH COLUMBIA

B. J. B. MORAHAN,
Registrar.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. D. Black*, seconded by Mr. *Strachan*, it was *Ordered*,—

That the certificate of the Registrar of the Court of Appeal dated the 18th day of February, 1966, communicated to the House by Mr. Speaker, be entered in the Journals.

Motion agreed to.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. D. Black*, seconded by Mr. *Strachan*, it was *Ordered*,—

That Mr. Speaker do issue to the Deputy Provincial Secretary a Writ of Superseas to the Warrant issued by Mr. Speaker on the 17th day of January, 1966, for the issue of a new writ for the election of a member for the Electoral District of Yale.

Motion agreed to.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, seconded by the Hon. *W. D. Black*, it was *Ordered*,—

That the Rules be suspended and the debate upon the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply be resumed as left at 6 p.m. today.

The debate resumed on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

The Hon. *D. R. J. Campbell* moved the adjournment of the debate.

Motion agreed to on the following division:—

YEAS—24

Messieurs

<i>Smith</i>	<i>Brothers</i>	<i>MacSorley</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Carnell</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Robinson</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Shelford</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Martin</i>
<i>Price</i>	<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Huhn</i>	<i>Richter</i>

NAYS—14

Messieurs

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Harding</i>
<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Gargrave</i>	<i>Haggen, Mrs.</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Squire</i>	<i>Turner</i>
<i>Eddie</i>	<i>Macfarlane</i>		

PAIRS:

Messieurs

<i>Speare</i>	<i>McGeer</i>
<i>Matthew</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Gaglardi</i>	<i>McKay</i>

On the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

The following Bills were committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed:—

Bill (No. 1) intituled *An Act to Amend the Interpretation Act.*

Bill (No. 7) intituled *An Act to Amend the Special Surveys Act.*

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. on Monday next.

And then the House adjourned at 9.33 p.m.

Monday, February 21, 1966

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Canon *C. H. Butler*.

Mr. Speaker made the following statement:—

Honourable Members,—At the opening of the Session on Friday last the Honourable the Leader of the Opposition rose on a point of order inquiring as to the disposition of the notice of motion moved by the Honourable *D. R. J. Campbell* on February 17, 1966.

On February 17 when the House adjourned at 6 p.m., the motion in question was being debated and as the Speaker's attention had been drawn to the hour he announced that he would leave the chair and would return at 8.30 o'clock, and accordingly the debate on the motion was not adjourned nor was the motion negatived nor passed.

Sir *Erskine May's* Parliamentary Practice, 17th edition, page 388, states as follows: "When an order of the day has been read, the proceedings thereon may be cut short by the adjournment of the House whilst those proceedings are in the course of transaction. An order of the day in such cases (or if, when the order is read, no day is appointed for its future consideration), drops off the notice paper as the House has made no order thereon."

Accordingly the motion in question did not appear on the Orders of the Day at the next sitting of the House.

W. H. MURRAY, Speaker.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Matthew*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

Tuesday, February 22, 1966

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Father *M. Costello*.

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the British Columbia Government Travel Bureau Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 18, 1966.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 34) intituled *An Act to Amend the British Columbia Government Travel Bureau Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Provide for the Conservation of Wildlife*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 18, 1966.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 35) intituled *An Act to Provide for the Conservation of Wildlife*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
 Report adopted.
 Bill introduced and read a first time.
 Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *F. X. Richter* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Farmers' Land-clearing Assistance Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 22, 1966.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 36) intituled *An Act to Amend the Farmers' Land-clearing Assistance Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
 Report adopted.
 Bill introduced and read a first time.
 Second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, (Bill (No. 13) intituled *An Act to Amend the Wives' and Children's Maintenance Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi*, on behalf of the Hon. *W. N. Chant*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Pursuant to Order, the Hon. *R. W. Bonner* presented the following report:—

REPORT

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,

February 21, 1966.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Special Committee appointed on January 27th to prepare and report lists of members to compose the Select Standing Committees of this House for the present Session begs to report and recommend that the personnel of the Special Committee to be appointed to examine, investigate, study, and report on all matters relating to the provisions of the *Dental Technicians Act*, be as follows:—

Messrs. *Chabot* (Convener), *Jefcoat*, *Lundell*, *Price*, *Shelford*, *Speare*, *Tisdalle*, *Vogel*, *Hartley*, *Stupich*, *Turner*, and *McGeer*.

Respectfully submitted.

R. W. BONNER, *Chairman*.

The report was taken as read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

31 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With reference to the Montebello Auto Court:—

1. Was any of the land upon which this auto court rested, prior to the purchase by the Government, owned by the Crown?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what was the area of Crown land, (b) what was the area of the privately owned land, and (c) was the Crown land involved leased to the Montebello Auto Court?

3. If the answer to No. 3 (c) is yes, what were the terms of the lease prior to the Government's purchase of the auto court?

The Hon. *P. A. Gagliardi* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) 0.551 acre, (b) 2.29 acres, more or less, and (c) leased to Joseph J. DiMarzo.

“ 3. (a) Twenty-five-year lease from April 1, 1958, with right of renewal for a further three years, (b) \$10 per annum, payable April 1st each year, (c) lessee responsible for payment of taxes, (d) no buildings or other structures to be erected without permission of Department, (e) consent of lessor required to assign or sublet, (f) lessee responsible for all costs in connection with construction and maintenance of the auto court, and (g) lease may be terminated upon 90 days' notice at any time after execution.”

122 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Municipal Affairs the following questions:—

With reference to Estimates of Revenue and Expenditure, 1966/67, for the Department of Municipal Affairs:—

1. What, if any, is the total amount estimated to be received from (a) the Government of Canada and (b) the municipalities?

2. With respect to each relevant vote, what, if any, is the amount estimated to be received from (a) the Government of Canada and (b) the municipalities, and what specific function within the vote is the money to be used for?

The Hon. *D. R. J. Campbell* replied as follows:—

“ 1. (a) and (b) *Nil*.

“ 2. Answered by No. 1.

“ The Department of Municipal Affairs, at the request of the Union of British Columbia Municipalities, provides the general administration of licensing of commercial vehicles in municipalities and by agreement retains \$3,000 per annum from the proceeds of licence fees as a partial offset of the costs involved.”

123 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Mines and Petroleum Resources the following questions:—

With reference to Estimates of Revenue and Expenditure, 1966/67, for the Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources:—

1. What, if any, is the total amount estimated to be received from (a) the Government of Canada and (b) the municipalities?

2. With respect to each relevant vote, what, if any, is the amount estimated to be received from (a) the Government of Canada and (b) the municipalities, and what specific function within the vote is the money to be used for?

The Hon. *D. L. Brothers* replied as follows:—

“ 1. (a) \$695,349.13 and (b) *nil*.

“ 2. (a) Vote No. 236, Construction of Mining-roads, \$695,349.13, assistance on the construction of the Stewart-Cassiar Road, and (b) *nil*.

“ NOTE.—The sum of \$695,349.13 is the amount which remains to be paid under the agreement with the Federal Government and is the maximum that can be recovered under the terms of the existing agreement on the construction of the Stewart-Cassiar Road.”

134 Mr. *Stupich* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following question:—

How many motor-vehicles were carried on the Gabriola ferry each year for 1961, 1963, and 1965?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“ 1961, 13,443; 1963, 20,100; and 1965, 31,555.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.53 p.m.

Tuesday, February 22, 1966

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. D. Black*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 11.30 p.m.

Wednesday, February 23, 1966

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Rev. *E. A. Morris*.

On the motion of the Hon. *D. L. Brothers* the following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today:—

Bill (No. 43) intituled *An Act to Amend the Mineral Act*.

Bill (No. 42) intituled *An Act to Amend the Coal Act*.

On the motion of Mr. *Macfarlane* Bill (No. 44) intituled *An Act Respecting Proceedings against the Crown* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

Mr. *Macdonald* asked leave of the House to move the adjournment of the House for the purpose of discussing a definite matter of urgent public importance; he submitted the following motion and made a statement in connection therewith:—

Pursuant to Standing Order 35 (1) I move the adjournment of the House for the purpose of discussing a definite matter of urgent public importance, namely, the urgent necessity of breaking the stranglehold on the growth of the Lower Mainland by the Canadian Pacific Railway Company, and its refusal, neglect, and obstruction of every effort to develop or improve the waterfront of the Port of Vancouver.

Mr. Speaker ruled that the matter did not come within the scope of Standing Order 35 for the reason that it was not a matter of administrative responsibility of the Government. (*See May*, 16th edition, page 369.)

An appeal was taken from the ruling of the Chair.

The House divided.

The ruling was sustained on the following division:—

YEAS—34

Messieurs

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Huhn</i>
<i>McKay</i>	<i>Matthew</i>	<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Shelford</i>	<i>MacSorley</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Macfarlane</i>	<i>Price</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Lundell</i>	<i>Brothers</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Speare</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Martin</i>
<i>Smith</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Gaglardi</i>
<i>Carnell</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Robinson</i>	<i>Bonner</i>		

NAYS—14

Messieurs

<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Haggen, Mrs.</i>	<i>Harding</i>
<i>Calder</i>	<i>Gargrave</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Squire</i>	<i>Turner</i>
<i>Eddie</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>		

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *F. X. Richter*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

Thursday, February 24, 1966

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Bishop *D. A. G. Rankilor*.

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act Respecting Firearms*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 23, 1966.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 39) intituled *An Act Respecting Firearms*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act Respecting the Employment of Women before and after Childbirth*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 23, 1966.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 37) intituled *An Act Respecting the Employment of Women before and after Childbirth*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
 Report adopted.
 Bill introduced and read a first time.
 Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Annual Holidays Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 23, 1966.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 38) intituled *An Act to Amend the Annual Holidays Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
 Report adopted.
 Bill introduced and read a first time.
 Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act Respecting Factories, Shops, Offices, and Elevators*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 23, 1966.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 40) intituled *An Act Respecting Factories, Shops, Offices, and Elevators*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
 Report adopted.
 Bill introduced and read a first time.
 Second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of Mr. *Gargrave*, Bill (No. 45) intituled *An Act to Provide Legal Aid and Advice* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

The following Bills were committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed:—

Bill (No. 11) intituled *An Act to Amend the Land Registry Act*.

Bill (No. 16) intituled *An Act to Amend the Taxation Act*.

The following Bills were read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today:—

Bill (No. 8) intituled *An Act to Amend the Trustee Act*.

Bill (No. 13) intituled *An Act to Amend the Wives' and Children's Maintenance Act*.

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, on behalf of the Hon. *W. D. Black* (Provincial Secretary), presented the Statement of Receipts and Disbursements of the Capital Improvement District Commission for the year ended March 31, 1965.

60 Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

1. Were there any supervisors employed in the Social Welfare Department who lacked an M.S.W. degree in the calendar year 1965?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how many?

3. Were there any social workers, exclusive of supervisors, employed in the Social Welfare Department who lacked a university degree in the calendar year 1965?

4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, how many?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

" 1. Yes.

" 2. 37.

" 3. Yes.

" 4. 102."

61 Mr. Barrett asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following question:—

What was the total number of social workers in the Social Welfare Branch, by regions, as at December 31, 1965?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“Region 1, 43; Region 2, 71½; Region 3, 40½; Region 4, 29½; Region 5, 29½; Region 6, 43; Region 7, 21; Region 8, 15; and total, 293.

“NOTE.—½ denotes part time.”

62 Mr. Barrett asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With reference to recipients of Old-age Assistance, Old Age Security, and Supplementary Social Allowance:—

1. Are there any recipients of Old-age Assistance who are not in receipt of Supplementary Social Allowance?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, do any of these recipients hold valid health services identity cards and, if so, how many?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“1. Yes.

“2. Yes, 837.”

63 Mr. Barrett asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With reference to boards of review under the social assistance regulations:—

1. How many applications were received for boards (a) in each month of 1965 and (b) for the complete calendar year of 1965?

2. How many boards were set up in 1965?

3. How many cases were resolved in the applicant's favour during 1965 (a) after hearing by a board of review and (b) after application for a board but without hearing by a board?

4. From what areas of the Province were the total number of applications received?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“1. (a) January, *nil*; February, 3; March, 3; April, 1; May, 1; June, 1; July, 1; August, 3; September, *nil*; October, 3; November, 1; December, 3; and (b) 20.

“2. 20.

“3. (a) 17 and (b) separate statistics not kept of cases which are resolved without a hearing by a board of review.

“4. Abbotsford, 1; Haney, 1; Lillooet, 1; North Surrey, 3; North Vancouver, 1; Prince George, 1; Saanich, 1; Sardis, 1; Summerland, 1; Vancouver City, 3; and Victoria City, 6.”

64 Mr. Barrett asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following question:—

What were the total case loads by regions in the Social Welfare Department as at December 31, 1965?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“Region 1, 10,633; Region 2, 33,563; Region 3, 9,400; Region 4, 5,527; Region 5, 4,120; Region 6, 11,069; Region 7, 2,515; and Region 8, 1,928.”

65 Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following question:—

Were any individuals given in-service training by the Social Welfare Department training division during 1965 and, if so, how many?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“Initial training was given to 51 individuals and advanced training to 48.”

67 Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With respect to the number of social workers employed by the Social Welfare Department as at December 31, 1965:—

1. What was the total number employed?
2. How many of the total number employed held B.S.W. or M.S.W. degrees?
3. How many were employed in the field exclusive of administrators and supervisors?
4. How many of those in No. 3 were not in possession of B.S.W. or M.S.W. degrees?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“1. 333.

“2. 95.

“3. 273.

“4. 221.”

70 Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With respect to social workers employed by the Social Welfare Department as at December 31, 1965:—

1. How many were (a) Grade 1 and (b) Grade 2 social workers?
2. How many Grade 1 social workers had practical experience of less than (a) one year, (b) two years, (c) three years, (d) four years, and (e) five years in social work?
3. How many Grade 2 social workers had practical experience of less than (a) one year, (b) two years, (c) three years, (d) four years, and (e) five years in social work?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“1. (a) 120, comprised of 117 full-time and 3 part-time social workers, and (b) 124, comprised of 120 full-time and 4 part-time social workers.

“2. (a) 54, (b) 46, (c) 16, (d) 2, (e) 2, and total, 120.

“3. (a) 21, (b) 13, (c) 5, (d) 14, (e) 15, and total, 68 plus 56 over 5 years, totalling 124.”

72 Mr. Barrett asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

1. How many children who are wards of the Government are awaiting adoption?

2. How many children under 1 year who are in Government care (ward and non-ward) are awaiting adoption and what is the *per diem* cost for such children?

3. How many applications for adoption by prospective new adoptive parents were made last year?

4. How many applications for adoption by prospective new adoptive parents were processed last year, (a) how many of these were accepted as suitable parents and (b) how many of the suitable parents are still awaiting a child?

5. What is the cost per month to care for a child under age 1 year while awaiting adoption?

The Hon. W. D. Black replied as follows:—

“ 1. 134.

“ 2. 97 at \$3.68 *per diem*.

“ 3. 876.

“ 4. 1,333, (a) 1,071 and (b) 32.

“ 5. \$110.37 (average).”

73 Mr. Barrett asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With respect to payments of supplementary social assistance:—

1. Are any recipients in receipt of pay at the present time?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how many and (b) how many receive the maximum allowance of \$24?

The Hon. W. D. Black replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) 30,437 and (b) approximately 18,900 receiving the maximum of \$30 per month (maximum supplementary assistance paid is now \$30, not \$24).”

74 Mr. Barrett asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With reference to recipients of social assistance:—

1. Were any recipients referred for vocational training or retraining during 1964 and 1965?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how many recipients were referred and (b) how many completed training or retraining?

The Hon. W. D. Black replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) During the 12-month period ended July 1, 1965, a total number of 426 persons receiving social assistance were given training under Programmes 5 and 6, and (b) 181 of these persons completed their training courses but many left courses early to take offered employment.”

75 Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following question:—

With reference to medical identity cards issued to recipients of social assistance, excluding supplementary social assistance paid to pensioners, how many persons were eligible for health services as at November 30, 1965, or at the latest date available?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“At November 30, 1965, there were 42,581 persons eligible for health services, excluding those who are eligible by reason of being in receipt of Old-age Assistance, Blind Persons’ Allowance, Disabled Persons’ Allowance, and Old Age Security plus Supplementary Social Allowance.”

76 Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With respect to grants of social assistance made between April 1, 1965, and December 31, 1965:—

1. How many individuals were granted Social Allowance?
2. What was the case load and total number of recipients on a monthly basis?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“1 and 2. Social Allowance granted and case load on a monthly basis was as follows:—

	Social Allowance Case Load	Total Number of Recipients of Social Allowance
April.....	27,571	59,846
May.....	27,654	60,168
June.....	27,864	60,378
July.....	26,753	58,019
August.....	27,010	57,672
September.....	26,609	57,496
October.....	26,562	56,807
November.....	28,034	60,047 ”

77 Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

1. Were any cases referred to the Rehabilitation Co-ordinator of the Public Health Branch by the Social Welfare Branch during the calendar year 1965?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how many and (b) how many of these cases were successfully rehabilitated during the year?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

- “1. Yes.
- “2. (a) 128 and (b) 41.”

78 Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With reference to grants for children’s school supplies for the school-year commencing September, 1965:—

1. Were grants to families receiving social assistance made available?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what was the available amount for each child and (b) were all eligible parents notified of the availability of the grant and, if so, when and how were they notified?

3. Did any parents apply for grants?

4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, (a) how many grants were applied for, (b) what was the total expenditure on these grants, and (c) how many children did the total grants cover?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) Up to \$10 per child and (b) all parents who appeared to be in need and eligible were notified by letter, telephone, or personal contact.

“ 3. Yes.

“ 4. (a) 4,741, (b) \$105,827.70, and (c) 11,515.”

85 Mr. *Macdonald* asked the Hon. the Provincial Secretary the following questions:—

With reference to the *Medical Grants Act* Stabilization Fund:—

1. Have any payments been made from this Fund?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, who have the payments been made to and in what amounts?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“ 1. No.

“ 2. Not applicable.”

86 Mr. *Macdonald* asked the Hon. the Provincial Secretary the following questions:—

With reference to the *Medical Grants Act* and the British Columbia Medical Plan statistics, to the latest date available:—

1. How many actual applications, including any necessary premium payments, have been received?

2. What is the total number of persons, excluding dependents, now covered under the family rate?

3. What is the total number of single persons covered under the single rate?

4. What is the total number of dependents covered?

5. Have any of the persons mentioned in Nos. 2 and 3 applied for and received a premium subsidy of either 25 per cent or 50 per cent?

6. If the answer to any part of No. 5 is yes, how many families have received a premium subsidy of (a) 25 per cent or (b) 50 per cent, and how many single persons have received a premium subsidy of (c) 25 per cent or (d) 50 per cent?

7. With reference to No. 4, were any of these persons previously covered by other forms of prepaid medical care and, if so, how many?

8. How many of the total number of persons covered come within the following age-groups: (a) 1 to 20, (b) 21 to 30, (c) 31 to 49, (d) 50 to 65, (e) 66 to 75, (f) 76 to 86, (g) 87 to 90, and (h) 90 and over?

9. How many claims have been processed to date?

10. What was the average claim payment per family receiving benefit?

11. What is the total payment made for insured services?

12. What is the average payment per service?

13. What is the *per capita* cost of insured services?

14. What is the *per capita* administration cost?

15. What percentage of the total costs was for administration?
 16. Were any payments for insured services made direct to physicians and, if so, what was the total amount paid in this manner?
 17. Were any payments for insured services made direct to patients and, if so, what was the total amount paid in this manner?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

- “As at February 1, 1966:—
 “ 1. 100,105.
 “ 2. 26,874 with one dependent, \$10 rate, 20,824 with more than one dependent, \$12.50 rate, totalling 47,698 subscribers.
 “ 3. 48,246 subscribe only to \$5 rate.
 “ 4. 102,290 dependents.
 “ 5. Yes.
 “ 6. (a) 6,992, including two-person contracts; (b) 19,888, including two-person contracts; (c) 5,654 one-person contracts; and (d) 31,828 one-person contracts.
 “ 7. Answer not available; this question is not asked on the application form.
 “ 8. (a) 57,552 (1–20); (b) 11,280 (21–30); (c) 31,322 (31–49); (d) 37,450 (50–65); (e) 31,719 (66–75); (f) 23,751 (76–86); (g) 1,962 (87–90); (h) 739 (over 90); and (i) 2,459 (no birth date).
 “ 9. 176,832 services.
 “ 10. \$33.98 average claim payment per subscriber receiving benefit.
 “ 11. \$1,156,236.71.
 “ 12. \$6.54.
 “ 13. \$3.58 paid to January 31, 1966, for services rendered in September, 1965, for total population of 107,877 covered in September, 1965.
 “ 14. 34 cents per month.
 “ 15. 7.9 per cent.
 “ 16. Yes, \$1,154,971.59.
 “ 17. Yes, for services outside British Columbia, \$1,265.12.”

124 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following questions:—

With reference to Estimates of Revenue and Expenditure, 1966/67, for the Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources:—

1. What, if any, is the total amount estimated to be received from (a) the Government of Canada and (b) the municipalities?
 2. With respect to each relevant vote, what, if any, is the amount estimated to be received from (a) the Government of Canada and (b) the municipalities, and what specific function within the vote is the money to be used for?

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* replied as follows:—

“ 1 and 2. In his Budget Speech on February 11th last, the Honourable the Premier in the last two lines of page 26 stated that ‘each Minister will be available during discussion of his estimates to give information concerning the change to any individual vote . . .’ ”

137 Mr. *Nimsick* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following question:—

Is the sale of any Crown land in British Columbia subject to a smoke or pollution clause and, if so, (a) in what areas does this apply and (b) by what authority is this being done?

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* replied as follows:—

“There is no generally applied procedure in the disposition of Crown lands which places restriction on title in respect to use involving smoke or other pollution. It is considered control in this respect is the proper function of other authority.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

Thursday, February 24, 1966

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion “That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair” for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. *McKay*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 11.13 p.m.

Friday, February 25, 1966

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *Lloyd Mackey*.

On the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, Bill (No. 14) intituled *An Act to Amend the Real Estate Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

Pursuant to Order, the House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion "That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair" for the House to go into Committee of Supply.

The debate continued.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:—

YEAS—26

Messieurs

<i>Speare</i>	<i>Shelford</i>	<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Smith</i>	<i>Price</i>	<i>MacSorley</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Carnell</i>	<i>Brothers</i>	<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Robinson</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Martin</i>
<i>Matthew</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Huhn</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Black</i>		

NAYS—19

Messieurs

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Eddie</i>	<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Squire</i>
<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Macfarlane</i>	<i>Harding</i>
<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Gargrave</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>Calder</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Haggen, Mrs.</i>	<i>Turner</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>McKay</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	

(IN THE COMMITTEE OF SUPPLY)

The Committee rose and reported progress.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 18) intituled *An Act to Amend the Social Services Tax Act*, a debate arose.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to *nemine contradicente* on the following division:—

YEAS—40

Messieurs

McGeer	Haggen, Mrs.	Bruch	Skillings
Gibson	Squire	Shelford	Little
Stupich	Harding	Price	Jefcoat
Hartley	Strachan	Brothers	Huhn
Eddie	Turner	Kiernan	Loffmark
Dowding	Speare	Bennett	Campbell
McKay	Smith	Bonner	Chant
Perrault	Carnell	Black	Peterson
Macfarlane	Robinson	Vogel	Martin
Nimsick	Tisdalle	MacSorley	Richter

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

Bill (No. 19) intituled *An Act to Amend the Improvement Districts Assistance Loan Act* was read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. R. W. Bonner presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Motor-vehicle Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 25, 1966.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 46) intituled *An Act to Amend the Motor-vehicle Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Summary Convictions Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 25, 1966.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 49) intituled *An Act to Amend the Summary Convictions Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Department of Recreation and Conservation Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 25, 1966.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 48) intituled *An Act to Amend the Department of Recreation and Conservation Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

4 Mrs. *Haggen* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following questions:—

With reference to the cost of school textbooks in British Columbia:—

1. What was the total cost to the Government for textbooks in the fiscal year 1964/65?
2. What was the average textbook cost per pupil in the fiscal year 1964/65?
3. What were the names of the companies or individuals supplying the textbooks, and what amount was paid to each relevant company or individual?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* replied as follows:—

“ 1. \$1,329,073.80.

“ 2. \$3.19.

“ 3. Appleton-Century Crofts, \$2,981.25; Addison-Wesley Publishing Company Incorporated, \$135,450; Bellhaven House, \$62,232.07; Book Society, \$1,500; Clarke, Irwin & Company Limited, \$129,122.26; Collier-Macmillan (Canada) Limited, \$54,292.49; Copp Clark Publishing Company Limited, \$436,569.34; Clarke & Stuart Company Limited, \$43,448.74; J. M. Dent & Sons (Canada) Limited, \$162,343.45; Evergreen Press Limited, \$13,563.80; W. J. Gage Limited, \$261,232.70; General Publishing Company Limited, \$6,597.50; Ginn & Company, \$104,664.28; Glasgow & Baker, \$8,450; Harper Brothers, \$480; Holt, Rinehart & Winston Company of Canada Limited, \$17,299.94; Institute of Applied Arts, \$1,925; Longman's Canada Limited, \$88,383; Musson Book Company, \$5,778.75; McAinsh & Company, \$76; The Macmillan Company of Canada Limited, \$285,423.38; McGraw-Hill Company of Canada Limited, \$51,937; McClelland & Stewart, \$17,087; Thomas Nelson & Sons (Canada) Limited, \$19,160.83; Oxford University Press, \$2,868.75; Sir Isaac Pitman & Sons Canada Limited, \$23,819.40; Prentice-Hall Incorporated, \$280.80; Queen's Printer, \$2,452; Ronald Press, \$148.75; The Ryerson Press, \$34,768.51; Saunders of Toronto, \$14,500; St. John Ambulance, \$832; Schaum Publishing Company, \$1,375; Waterloo Music Company Limited, \$2,730; University of British Columbia Book Store, \$376.50.”

7 Mrs. *Haggen* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following questions:—

With reference to vocational schools:—

1. How many persons applied for entry in 1965 and how many were accepted and undertook training?
2. Were any applicants rejected as unsuitable for training in 1965 and, if so, how many?
3. Were any applicants rejected because of a lack of training facilities in 1965 and, if so, how many?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* replied as follows:—

“ 1. (a) In 1965 a total of 14,460 persons applied for training, and (b) of that number, 9,580 accepted and undertook regular full-time day training.

“ 2. This information is not readily available in that students are not categorically rejected at the vocational schools but rather counselled and guided, wherever possible into a training programme that will lead to employment in a field best suited to their individual mental, emotional, and physical capabilities.

“ 3. In most courses operated at the vocational schools the present facilities could accommodate a larger enrolment; however, in some of the more popular

courses there are waiting lists. Advisory committees normally determine intake in line with job vacancies in order that over-training in one area does not result in trained personnel being unemployed."

81 Mr. *Carnell* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following questions:—

1. What is the planned opening date for the vocational school at Dawson Creek?
2. What is the estimated enrolment of students for opening of classes?
3. What subjects will be taught at the school?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* replied as follows:—

"1. The planned opening date for the vocational school at Dawson Creek is September 6, 1966, for some programmes. It is hoped that all programmes will be available to the public by November 1, 1966; however, this will be dependent on the progress of the contractors.

"2. The estimated enrolment by November 1, 1966, is 360 day students plus additional students in up-grading courses at night as is needed by the community.

"3. The subjects to be taught initially at the school are: Agriculture (farm management, marketing, soils and fertilizers, herbicides, etc.); automotive repair; basic training for skill development (vocational preparation in maths., science, and communicative skills of English); building construction, millwork, and joinery; cooks and chefs training; general commercial and business machines; heavy-duty and farm machinery mechanics; secretarial practice; and welding (general and specialized)."

119 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following questions:—

With reference to Estimates of Revenue and Expenditure, 1966/67, for the Department of Recreation and Conservation:—

1. What, if any, is the total amount estimated to be received from (a) the Government of Canada and (b) the municipalities?
2. With respect to each relevant vote, what, if any, is the amount estimated to be received from (a) the Government of Canada and (b) the municipalities, and what specific function within the vote is the money to be used for?

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* replied as follows:—

"1. (a) and (b) *Nil*.

"2. (a) and (b) *See above*.

"To the best of my knowledge it is not anticipated at the present time that this Department will receive any funds for credit to any vote in this Department from the Government of Canada or municipalities."

131 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Commercial Transport the following questions:—

With reference to Estimates of Revenue and Expenditure, 1966/67, for the Department of Commercial Transport:—

1. What, if any, is the total amount estimated to be received from (a) the Government of Canada and (b) the municipalities?
2. With respect to each relevant vote, what, if any, is the amount estimated to be received from (a) the Government of Canada and (b) the municipalities, and what specific function within the vote is the money to be used for?

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* replied as follows:—

“*See Budget Speech, 1966, page 26, last sentence: ‘Each Minister will be available during discussion of his estimates to give information concerning the change to any individual vote, if necessary.’*”

132 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:—

With reference to Estimates of Revenue and Expenditure, 1966/67, for the Department of the Attorney-General:—

1. What, if any, is the total amount estimated to be received from (a) the Government of Canada and (b) the municipalities?

2. With respect to each relevant vote, what, if any, is the amount estimated to be received from (a) the Government of Canada and (b) the municipalities, and what specific function within the vote is the money to be used for?

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* replied as follows:—

“*See Budget Speech, 1966, page 26, last sentence: ‘Each Minister will be available during discussion of his estimates to give information concerning the change to any individual vote, if necessary.’*”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. on Monday next.

And then the House adjourned at 5.55 p.m.

Monday, February 28, 1966

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *David Metzger*.

The Hon. *W. D. Black* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipal Superannuation Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 28, 1966.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 64) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipal Superannuation Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. D. Black* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Civil Service Superannuation Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 28, 1966.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 65) intituled *An Act to Amend the Civil Service Superannuation Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. D. Black* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Teachers' Pensions Act, 1961*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 28, 1966.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 66) intituled *An Act to Amend the Teachers' Pensions Act, 1961*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
 Report adopted.
 Bill introduced and read a first time.
 Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. D. Black* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act Respecting an Agreement between Canada and British Columbia Regarding the Canada Pension Plan*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 28, 1966.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 67) intituled *An Act Respecting an Agreement between Canada and British Columbia Regarding the Canada Pension Plan*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
 Report adopted.
 Bill introduced and read a first time.
 Second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of the Hon. *F. X. Richter*, Bill (No. 41) intituled *An Act to Amend the Milk Industry Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of Mr. *Gargrave*, Bill (No. 68) intituled *An Act to Amend the Pollution-control Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, the notice for leave to introduce a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Summary Convictions Act*, standing on the Order Paper in his name, was withdrawn.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 14) intituled *An Act to Amend the Real Estate Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. Macdonald, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 20) intituled *An Act to Amend the Provincial Home-owner Grant Act*, a debate arose.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to *nemine contradicente* on the following division:—

YEAS—45

Messieurs

McGeer	Haggen, Mrs.	Tisdalle	MacSorley
Gibson	Macdonald	Matthew	Skillings
Stupich	Squire	Bruch	Little
Calder	Harding	Shelford	Jefcoat
Hartley	Strachan	Price	Huhn
Eddie	Turner	Brothers	Loffmark
Dowding	Lundell	Kiernan	Campbell
Gargrave	Speare	Bennett	Chant
Barrett	Smith	Bonner	Peterson
Perrault	Carnell	Black	Martin
Macfarlane	Robinson	Vogel	Richter
Nimsick			

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 21) intituled *An Act to Amend the British Columbia Ferry Authority Act*, a debate arose.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to *nemine contradicente* on the following division:—

YEAS—46

Messieurs

McGeer	Macdonald	Bruch	Chabot
Gibson	Squire	Shelford	Skillings
Stupich	Harding	Price	Little
Calder	Strachan	Brothers	Jefcoat
Hartley	Turner	Kiernan	Huhn
Eddie	Lundell	Bennett	Loffmark
Gargrave	Speare	Bonner	Campbell
Barrett	Smith	Black	Chant
Perrault	Carnell	Vogel	Peterson
Macfarlane	Robinson	MacSorley	Martin
Nimsick	Tisdalle	LeCours	Richter
Haggen, Mrs.	Matthew		

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 22) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipalities Aid Act*, a debate arose.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:—

YEAS—46

Messieurs

McGeer	Nimsick	Tisdalle	LeCours
Gibson	Haggen, Mrs.	Bruch	Chabot
Stupich	Macdonald	Shelford	Skillings
Calder	Squire	Price	Jefcoat
Hartley	Harding	Brothers	Huhn
Eddie	Strachan	Kiernan	Loffmark
Dowding	Turner	Bennett	Campbell
Gargrave	Lundell	Bonner	Chant
Barrett	Speare	Black	Peterson
McKay	Smith	Vogel	Martin
Perrault	Carnell	MacSorley	Richter
Macfarlane	Robinson		

NAYS—1

Mr. Little

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 23) intituled *An Act to Amend the Medical Grant Act*, a debate arose.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to *nemine contradicente* on the following division:—

YEAS—47

Messieurs

McGeer	Nimsick	Tisdalle	Chabot
Gibson	Haggen, Mrs.	Bruch	Skillings
Stupich	Macdonald	Shelford	Little
Calder	Squire	Price	Jefcoat
Hartley	Harding	Brothers	Huhn
Eddie	Strachan	Kiernan	Loffmark
Dowding	Turner	Bennett	Campbell
Gargrave	Lundell	Bonner	Chant
Barrett	Speare	Black	Peterson
McKay	Smith	Vogel	Martin
Perrault	Carnell	MacSorley	Richter
Macfarlane	Robinson	LeCours	

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.58 p.m.