To an Address of the Legislative Assembly for Returns of all expenses incurred by the Government Printing Establishment, from the 1st January to 31st December, 1873, stating the nature and class of all and every description of work that has and may be done by said plant.

By Command.

Provincial Secretary's Office, January 9th, 1874. JOHN ASH, Provincial Secretary.

RETURN of EXPENDITURE incurred by the GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE,
from 1st January to 31st December, 1873.

SERVICE.	AMOUNT.	TOTAL.
SALARIES OF PERMANENT STAFF:	\$1,320 00 2,080 00 447 74	
	441 14	- \$3,847 74
TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE .— SESSION OF 1872-3. A. Rose W. T. McMillan R. H. McMillan W. A. Franklin. W. Harris Printers' overtime	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	- 586 05
SESSION OF 1873-4. E. M. Brown R. Holloway R. H. McMillan Printers' overtime	11 25 47 50	- 586 05
Incidental Expenses		- 252 22
Duck & Sandover, Repairs to Press H. Short, do. Langley & Co., Potash, &c. A. Rickman, Soap, Oil, &c. J. McDowell, Case for Rollers. J. Doughty, Lye Brush Printers' Overtime.		
<ul> <li>W. Dalby, Lye Brush</li> <li>P. McQuade, Oil, &amp;c</li> <li>B. Wakefield, Cleaning Office</li> <li>A. &amp; W. Wilson, Stove, &amp;c</li> <li>H. Child, Coal Oil, Matches, &amp;c</li> <li>W. A. Franklin, Carriage of paper to Binder</li> <li>Washing Towels</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
Brodrick & Co., Cordwood W. A. Franklin, Cartage Hayward & Jenkinson, repairing board J. Sehl, Door-mat A. Rickman, Molasses, &c Matthews, Richards, & Co., Mallet P. McQuade, Oil Langley & Co., Lamps, &c	$\begin{array}{c} 1 & 00 \\ 8 & 50 \\ 2 & 50 \\ 1 & 88 \\ 75 \\ 4 & 50 \end{array}$	
PRINTING PAPER, INK, &c.: T. N. Hibben & Co Value of Paper, &c., on hand 1st January 1873 Do. Received from Store	1,349 07 175 00	
		6,626 28
Deduct Value of Paper, &c., on hand 31st December, 1873, say Revenue received for advertisements and sale of Gazette	. 375 00 . 98 50	
		6,152 78
ADDITIONS TO PLANT : Two Printing Machines Repairs to same (damaged on voyage) Cartage, wharfage, storage, duties, charges, &c Roller Moulds Numbering Machine New Type, Chases, &c	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
		- 1,926 04
		\$8,078 82

### RETURN OF PRINTING, 1873.

			NUMBER OF								Total	Total			
	No. of Jobs.		TABULAR WORK.				PLAIN WORK.					Number of	Number of Im-		
		Foolscap	½ sheet Foolscap	Crown 4to.	Crown 8vo.	Other Sizes.	Total.	Foolscap	1 sheet Foolscap	Crown 4to.	Crown 8vo.	Other Sizes.	Total.		
Parliamentary	188			221			221		3	873	107	4	987	1208	76,846
Departmental	214	10	24	5	14	7	60	15	141	56	47	38	297.	357	108,730
TOTAL	402	10	24	226	14	7	281	15	144	929	154	42	1284	1565	185,576

# RETURN OF PAPER AND INK USED DURING THE YEAR.

									7	
	Double Crown.	Crown.	Double Foolscap.	Foolscap.	Demy.	Record.	Cover Paper.	Total paper received.	Cards.	Ink.
	Reams.	Reams.	Reams.	Reams.	Reams.	Reams.	Reams.	Reams.	No.	lbs.
Remaining on hand 1st January, 1873			12	11	10			33		9
Received during the year	18	60	35	65	67	6	3	254	1,050	50
Total	18	60	47	76	77	6	3	287	1,050	59
Expended during the year	17	53	$27\frac{1}{2}$	76	31	6	2	$212\frac{1}{2}$	1,050	29
Remaining on hand 31st December, 1873	1	7	191		46		1	$74\frac{1}{2}$		30

37 Vic.

Printing Establishment.

### REPORT.

In addition to the above abstract of printing, a quantity of binding in paper covers, such as Trade Licenses, Free Miners' Certificates, Miners' Leave of Absence, Road and Land Tax Receipts, Land Laws, &c., folding and mailing 240 copies of the Gazette, weekly, besides machine numbering of Cash Books, &c., has been done by the Establishment during the year.

It will be seen, by reference to the table, that 402 jobs of work were executed during the year, being an average of 1.31 per working day, at a cost of \$15 30 per job. Many of the jobs were lengthy, such as the Book of Statutes (174 pages), the Journals of the Legislative Assembly (237 pages), the British North America Act, Terms of Union, and Standing Orders (107 pages), the Public Accounts (67 pages), the School Report (50 pages), the Report of Public Works (67 pages), &c.

It is necessary to state that much of the work was revised two or three times, the alterations often entailing as much labour as the original setting up of the job, of which no record has been kept, so that in noting the quantity of work performed the above fact should be borne in mind.

281 pages of tabular work which should be reckoned as 562 pages (double composition being always allowed in the trade for this kind of work) and 1,284 pages of plain work, making 1,846 pages of all sizes, were set up, 185,576 impressions printed, and the type distributed during the year, being an average of 6.11pages set up and the type distributed, and 614 impressions struck off per day, at a cost of \$3 33 per page, including cost of composition, proof reading, presswork, distribution, paper, ink, and incidental expenses. This estimate does not include revising, binding, paging, numbering, folding and mailing the Gazette, &c.

Two Printing Machines were purchased during the year, which have greatly facilitated the work, the larger one printing a sheet twice the size and in less than one-half the time of the hand press formerly used, thus decreasing the number of impressions in book work by one-half.

A Numbering Machine was also added to the Office, enabling the establishment to number and page every description of Receipts, Vouchers, Cheques, Blank Books, Free Miners' Licenses, Road and Land Tax Receipts, Trade Licenses, &c.

The Establishment is capable of doing every description of Parliamentary and Departmental Printing, as well as binding in pamphlet form.

(Signed)

R. WOLFENDEN, Superintendent.

Government Printing Office, 9th January, 1874.

# OF OFFICERS WHO HAVE GIVEN SECURITY UNDER THE "CIVIL OFFICERS SECURITIES ACT, 1872."

NAME.	OFFICE.	AMOUNT.	NATURE OF SI	ECURITY.	
Adams, J. R.	Government Agent, Omineca	\$ 2,000	London Guarantee & Accdt.		
Aikman, H. B. W.	Registrar General of Titles	1,500	Canada Guarantee	Company.	
Armstrong, W. J.	Minister of Finance & Agriculture	10,000	Do.	do.	
Ash, John	Provincial Secretary	10,000	Do.	do.	
Austin, J. J.	Accountant, Lands and Works	2,500	London Guarantee	& Acedt.Co	
Berkeley, W. C.	Treasury Clerk	2,500	Do.	do.	
Bowron, J.	Clerk of Records, Cariboo	1,500	Canada Guarantee	Company.	
Beaven, R.	Chief Commissioner, Lands & Works	10,000	Do.	do.	
Boyd, J. T.	Collector and Constable	5,000	Do.	do.	
Calder, A.	Clerk in charge of Treasury	5,000	Do.	do.	
Edmonds, H. V.	Government Agent, New Westminster	2,500	Do.	do.	
Fitzgerald, W. H.	Gold Commissioner, Omineca	2,500	London Guarantee	& Acedt.Co	
Hitchcock, W.	Chief Melter, Cariboo	2,500	Do.	do.	
Mason, H. S.	Deputy Registrar, Supreme Court	1,500	Do.	do.	
essop, J.	Superintendent of Education	2,500	Canada Guarantee	Company.	
Pooley, C. E.	Registrar, Supreme Court	1,500	Do.	do.	
Smith, A. W.	Government Agent, Lillooet	2,500	Do.	do.	
Spence, T.	Superintendent of Roads	5,000	London Guarantee	& Acedt.Co	
Feague, W.	Government Agent and Constable, Yale	2,500	Do.	do.	
Walkem, G. A.	Attorney General	5,000	Do.	do.	
Young, J. J.	Treasury Clerk	5,000	Canada Guarantee	Company.	

\* Removed to Audit Department.

† Left the service.

‡ Deceased.

By Command.

JOHN ASH,

Provincial Secretary.

Provincial Secretary's Office, 18th December, 1873.

To an Address to His Excellency the Lieutenant-Governor, praying His Excellency to cause to be laid before the House a Return of all Fees received during the year 1871, and the expired part of 1872, by the Registrars of the Supreme Court for their own use and benefit; also, for Returns of the amount of Fees paid and taken into the Supreme Court, the Court of Chancery, the Court of Bankruptcy, and the Probate Court.

> By Command. JOHN ASH, Provincial Secretary.

Provincial Secretary's Office, January 13th, 1874. STATEMENT showing the ESTATES wound up in BANKRUPTCY in 1871 and 1872, and the moneys received by R. Woods and Chas. E. Pooley, as Official Assignees.

Year.	Estates.	Amount paid to other Parties.	Amount paid to Official Assignees.
1871.	Thomas Golden David Cameron	\$ 843 07	\$ 8 00 93 67
1872.	Julius William Keyser Vincent Baranovitch (not yet wound'up)	1,368 77	88 00

Mr. Hett is the only Commissioner of the Court who has kept an account of the Fees received, and has made a Return of \$11 75.

CHAS. E. POOLEY,

Registrar, Supreme Court.

STATEMENT of ESTATES ADMINISTERED and moneys received by Richard Woods and Charles E. Pooley, Official Administrators, 1871 and 1872.

Year.	Estates.	Amount paid to other Persons.		by Official		
1871.	Giaccomo Amoretti	\$ 736	83	\$ 84	29	
	Henry Newesham Peers	10,172	60	535	40	
	Simeon Curnow	360	00	40	00	
1872.	E. Bradley	376	20	40	00	
	C. Brew J. McBratine (unadministered)	1,175	72	.58	47	
	C. H. Petter	1,526	18	80	32	
	John Callahan	665	00	35	00	
	Nicholas Bailey Nicholas Bailey (unadministered)	690	42	36	33	
	Colin Chisholm. John Guthrie (unadministered)	475	50	25	00	
	Robert Dalziel do. Adam P. Heffley do.	and the				

CHAS. E. POOLEY,

Registrar, Supreme Court.

TOTAL FEES received in the Supreme Court (sitting at Common Law, in Chancery, in Probate, and in Bankruptcy) between the 20th July and 25th December, 1871, and paid into the Provincial Treasury.

Common Law Fees, as per Table A Chancery Fees, as per Table B Probate Fees, as per Table C Bankruptcy Fees, as per Table D		$ \begin{array}{r} 147 & 25 \\ 4,792 & 90 \\ 3 & 00 \\ 5 & 5,129 & 52 \\ \end{array} $	
		5,129	52
Total Fees collected from 1st January to 19th July, 1871, and paid into Colonial Treasury	4	1 0 2 1	т.c.

Total Fees collected from 20th July to 25th December, 1871, and paid into Colonial Treasury ...... \$ 1,831 75 Total Fees collected from 20th July to 25th December, 1871, and paid into Provincial Treasury 5,129 52 Total paid in for year 1871...... \$ 6,961 27

In addition to the above Fees the Registrar and Deputy Registrar received, for their own use, Office Copy Fees in proceedings in all of the above Courts, about Three Hundred and Fifty Dollars.

# A.-COMMON LAW FEES .- 20th July to 25th December, 1871.

	1		
MESSRS. DRAKE AND JACKSON :	\$ cts.	\$	cts.
Re Bendixon	50		
Neustadt v. Vereydhen	5 25		
Williams v. Bunster	6 75		
O'Reilly v. Felker	$     \begin{array}{c}       2 & 00 \\       1 & 75     \end{array} $		
Bunster v. Townsend	9 00		
Willson v. Ella,	500		
Davis v. Woods, et. al.	1 75		
Powell v. Farron Edwards v. Woodcock	1 75		
Rosenfeld v. Shaw	1 75		
Sproat & Co. v. Gerow	7 00		
Re En You & Youkey	4 75		
Langley v. Moses	1 75		
Bank of British Columbia v. Toy	1 75		
Bonanati v. Quagliotti	1 75		
Penny v. Pierce	1 75		
Nicholson v. Barnard	1 75		
Cargotitch v. Cunningham	1 75		
Stewart v. B. C. Whaling Co	1 75	1 Stalles	
Re Angelo	1 00	12.2	
Fell, et. al. v. Lowe	50		
Stewart & Keast v. Howard	1 25	~	
COURTNEY, H. C. :-	1 00	5	7 75
Willson v. Ella	1 00		
Carey v. Higgins	1 75		
Cargotitch v. Perich	1 25		4 00
BISHOP, R :	1 50		4 00
Davis v. Woods, et. al.	1 75		
Ah Sam v. Ah Chu	1 50		
Baker v. Dodgson,	1 00		
Ankers v. Smith	2 00	-	
Re. En You	1 75		
Vaughan v. Hemmingway	5 75		
Cargotitch v. Perich	50		
Anderson v. King	1 75		
Penny v. Pierce	1 00		
Harvey v. Peck	3 75		
Fell et. al. v. Lowe et. al.	7 25		
Finnerty v. Dupont	1 50		
Stewart v. B. C. Whaling Co	1 00	-	
Regina v. Ab Hoy	1 00		
		3	3 00
Hett, J. R. :- Re Hett			5 00
Lowenberg, L. :Meldrum v. Gibbs			1 00
Johnson, E.:			
Henderson v. Spence	1 75		
Bunster v. Townsend	6 00		
Macdonald v. Gerow, et. al	1 75		
Moore v. Smith	1 75		
Carey v. Higgins	1 00	1	9 95
			$   \begin{array}{ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
McCreight, J. FToy v. Gurnow			1 25 1 50
Turner, R. W.— <i>Re</i> Turner	********		1 50
Reay, Chas. Henry-Re Reay			1 50
Butcher, Fredk.—Re Butcher			50
Gleed, TRe Ruffley			1 50
Pooley, C. ERe Ward			2 00
Stevenson, JasRe Stevenson	********	57.00	
WALKEM, G. A	5 50	1919	
Toy v. Curnow	2 623		
Dunleavy et. al. v. Brusseau	1 50		
Bates v. Johnson			9 623
Bates, A. SBates v. Johnson		1	17 12
Barron.—Re Barron.			1 50
Roberts, John—Re Roberts			1 50
Chadwick, Wm.—Chadwick v. Burns			3 37
			1 50
Wirth, John-Re Wirth			9 00

-

BCHANCERY FEES20th July	to 25th	December,	1871.
-------------------------	---------	-----------	-------

DRAKE & JACKSON :	\$ cts.	\$ c1	ts.
Re. Queen Charlotte Co	43 00		
Attorney-General v. Trimble	9 00		
Gaston et. al. v. Dickson et. al	23 25		
Re. Schank	1 25		
	75		
Rodello v. Robb	5 00		
Re. Peers	1 25		
Re Begbie & Wolff	1 20	83	50
Johnson, E. :	3 50	00	00
Rodello v. Robb			
Attorney-General v. Trimble	3 00		
Re. Johnson & Anderson	2 50		
COURTNEY, H. C. :		9	00
Stewart v. Ash	2 50		
Gaston et. al. v. Dickson et. al	6 75		
Queen Charlotte Coal Mining Co	15 00		
BISHOP, R. :-		24	25
Re. Queen Charlotte Coal Mining Co	1 50		
B. Duck-t-1	11 25		
Re. Drinkall	1 00		
Re. L. R. office	1 00	13	75
		***	00
Harrison : Re. Harrison Robertson, A. B. : Re. Queen Charlotte Co	******	-	50
Robertson, A. R. :Re. Queen Charlotte Co	******		
Schank, A. :- Re. Schank	********	6	25
		\$ 147	05
		\$ 141	20

C.-PROBATE FEES.-19th July to 25th December, 1871.

		۵	
COURTNEY, H. C. :	\$ cts.	\$ c	cts.
Re. Titus	56 50		
" Demers	11 25		
"Rolls	1 00		
" Mills	36 75		
DRAKE, JACKSON, & AIKMAN:		105	50
Re. Peers	8 25		
"Yale	4 50		
" Casse	1 00		
"Thompson	50		
"Toomey	2 75		
" Ogden	6 00		
"Frain	79 25		
"Walls	50		
		102	75
Bishop, B. :Re. Orr		110	00
Bossi :— $Re$ . O'Brien		1	00
Wilson, W. B. :- Re. Wilkie		4107	20
Mouatt, J. :— $Re$ . Mouatt			00
Pooley, C. E. : Re. Church			85
Wylly : $Re$ . Frain			00
ROBERTSON, A. R. :	******	1	00
	23 25		
Re. Gunderson	50		
"Brew	2 25		
"McMicking	151 85		
" James	$151 85 \\ 97 25$		
" Sutton			
"Ab Kong	10 00		
DAVIE, T. :		285	10
Re. Bramfield	2 50		
" McCulloch	50		
		3	00
Williams, E. : Re. Titus		2	00
Williams, R. T. :- Re. Betts v. Graham		12	00
Driscoll:-Re. McTavish			50
		\$4,792	90

#### D.-BANKRUPTCY FEES.-20th July to 25th December, 1871.

	s cts.	5 cts.
Re. Cameron	2 50	
"Wright	50	
		\$ 3 00

TOTAL FEES received in the Supreme Court (sitting at Common Law, in Chancery, in Probate, and in Bankruptcy) between the 1st day of January and the 25th day of December, 1872.

Common Law Fees, as per Table A	\$1,776	30
Chancery Fees, as per Table B	276	50
Probate Fees, as per Table C	3,228	75
Bankruptcy Fees, as per Table D	125	50
	\$5,407	05

In addition to the above fees, the Registrar and Deputy Registrar received, for their own use, Office Copy Fees in proceedings in all of the above Courts, about Two Hundred Dollars.

The only Commissioner who is enabled to give any return of the fees received, &c., is Mr. Hett, who informed me that from the 10th of June (the date of his appointment) to the 31st December, 1872, 'he received the sum of Eleven Dollars Seventy-five Cents.

#### A.-COMMON LAW FEES, 1872.

RS. DRAKE AND JACKSON :	\$ cts.
Willson v. Ella	5 00
McDonald v. Gerow	7 00
Wolff v. Farron	1 75
Blum v. Ried	11 00
Drake & Co. v. Vereydhen	7 50
Boulet v. Vereydhen	7 00
Boulet v. Adams	7 50
Grancini v. Passerard	1 75
Sproat v. Seelye	1 75
Constantine v. Moody	1 75
Herdman et. al., v. Gerow et. al	8 75
Pearse v. Carson	37 75
Casamayou et. al., v. Gerow et. al	7 00
Ex parte Marvick	3 75
Powers v. Manson et. al.	2 75
Ernstein et. al., v. Beedy	8 00
O'Reilly v. Felker	6 00
Hudson Bay Company v. Gerow et. al	7 00
Re Welch Rithet	1 00
Guilloteau v. Passorard	7 00
Roberts v. Choak	2 75
Powell v. Nias	1 75
Stafford v. Macdonald	7 00
Caire et. al., v. Waddington	1 00
Myers v. McDonald	8 75
Smith & Sterling v. Tatlah Co	8 50
	5 75
Lafont v. Oppenheimer	1 75
B. C. I. L. Ŝ. v. Newbury	1 75
Munro v. Abington	1 10

# A.-COMMON LAW FEES, 1872.- Continued.

Brought forward	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
Moore v. Smith et. al Penny v. Pierce Driscoll v. Fiterre Rickman v. Keohan Tisset v. Sinclair Bank of British Columbia v. Keohan Leneveu v. Milby Bunster v. Whitingham Dunne v. Orchard Ord v. Drinkall Bitancourt v. Copeland Barry et. al., v. Nuttall Janion et. al., v. Nuttall Janion et. al., v. Milby Earl v. Elford Bank of British North America v. Woodcock Welch et. al., v. Piper Anderson et. al., v. Milby Chadwick v. Burns Drake & Co. v. Orchard Casamayou v. Evans Bros Lessin v. Hoffman et. al. Janion et. al., v. Carson Bigne v. Johnson Promis & Sanders v. Taylor Durrance v. Vaughan Edmonds v. Cooper et. al. Hicks v. Steaven Smallbone v. Lindsay Sproat et. al., v. Strachan Cunningham et. al., v. Lewin Bank of British North America v. Hoffman et. al Bank of British North America v. Hoffman et. al Bank of British North America v. Hoffman et. al Bank of British North America v. Stevenson	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
Penny v. Pierce         Driscoll v. Fitrre         Rickman v. Keohan         Tisset v. Sinclair         Bank of British Columbia v. Keohan         Leneveu v. Milby         Bunster v. Whitingham         Dunne v. Orchard         Ord v. Drinkall         Bitancourt v. Copeland         Barry et. al., v. Nuttall         Janion et. al., v. Milby         Earl v. Elford         Bank of British North America v. Woodcock         Welch et. al., v. Piper         Anderson et. al., v. Milby         Chadwick v. Burns         Drake & Co. v. Orchard         Casamayou v. Evans Bros         Lessin v. Hoffman et. al.         Janion et. al., v. Carson         Bigne v. Johnson         Promis & Sanders v. Taylor         Durrance v. Vaughan         Edmonds v. Cooper et. al.         Hicks v. Steaven         Smillbone v. Lindsay         Sproat et. al., v. Strachan         Cunningham et. al., v. Moore         Carey v. Moore         Earle v. J. Lewin         Bank of British North America v. Hoffman et. al.         Bank of British North America v. Stevenson	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
Driscoll v. Fiterre         Rickman v. Keohan         Tisset v. Sinclair         Bank of British Columbia v. Keohan         Leneveu v. Milby         Bunster v. Whittingham         Dunne v. Orchard         Ord v. Drinkall         Bitancourt v. Copeland         Barry et. al., v. Nuttall         Janion et. al., v. Milby         Earl v. Elford         Bank of British North America v. Woodcock         Welch et. al., v. Piper         Anderson et. al., v. Milby         Chadwick v. Burns         Drake & Co. v. Orchard         Casamayou v. Evans Bros         Lessin v. Hoffman et. al.         Janion et. al., v. Carson         Bigne v. Johnson         Promis & Sanders v. Taylor         Durrance v. Vaughan         Edmonds v. Cooper et. al         Hicks v. Steaven         Smallbone v. Lindsay         Sproat et. al., v. Strachan         Cunningham et. al., v. Moore         Carey v. Moore         Bank of British North America v. Stevenson	$\begin{array}{c} 9 & 30 \\ 1 & 75 \\ 1 & 75 \\ 1 & 75 \\ 3 & 50 \\ 1 & 75 \\ 2 & 000 \\ 1 & 75 \\ 2 & 000 \\ 1 & 75 \\ 1 & 000 \\ 3 & 50 \\ 7 & 000 \\ 9 & 25 \\ 5 & 75 \\ 1$	
Rickman v. Keohan Tisset v. Sinclair Bank of British Columbia v. Keohan Leneveu v. Milby Bunster v. Whittingham Dunne v. Orchard Ord v. Drinkall Bitancourt v. Copeland Barry et. al., v. Nuttall Janion et. al., v. Milby Earl v. Elford Bank of British North America v. Woodcock Welch et. al., v. Piper Anderson et. al., v. Milby Chadwick v. Burns Drake & Co. v. Orchard Casamayou v. Evans Bros Lessin v. Hoffman et. al. Janion et. al., v. Carson Bigne v. Johnson Promis & Sanders v. Taylor Durrance v. Vaughan Edmonds v. Cooper et. al Hicks v. Steaven Smallbone v. Lindsay Sproat et. al., v. Moore Carey v. Moore Englebrech et. al. v. Lewin Bank of British North America v. Stevenson	$\begin{array}{c} 1 & 75 \\ 1 & 75 \\ 1 & 75 \\ 1 & 75 \\ 2 & 00 \\ 1 & 75 \\ 2 & 00 \\ 1 & 00 \\ 1 & 75 \\ 11 & 00 \\ 3 & 50 \\ 7 & 00 \\ 0 & 25 \\ 5 & 75 \\ 1 & $	
Tisset v. Sinclair Bank of British Columbia v. Keohan Leneveu v. Milby Bunster v. Whittingham Dunne v. Orchard Ord v. Drinkall Bitancourt v. Copeland Barry et. al., v. Nuttall Janion et. al., v. Nuttall Janion et. al., v. Milby Earl v. Elford Bank of British North America v. Woodcock Welch et. al., v. Piper Anderson et. al., v. Milby Chadwick v. Burns Drake & Co. v. Orchard Casamayou v. Evans Bros Lessin v. Hoffman et. al. Janion et. al., v. Carson Bigne v. Johnson Promis & Sanders v. Taylor Durrance v. Vaughan Edmonds v. Cooper et. al Hicks v. Steaven Smallbone v. Lindsay Sproat et. al., v. Strachan Cunningham et. al., v. Moore Carey v. Moore Englebrech et. al. v. Lewin Bank of British North America v. Stevenson	1 75  1 75  1 75  2 00  1 75  2 00  1 75  2 00  1 75  1 00  3 50  7 00  9 25  5 75  1 75	
Leneveu v. Milby Bunster v. Whitlingham Dunne v. Orchard Ord v. Drinkall Bitancourt v. Copeland Barry et. al., v. Nutall Janion et. al., v. Nutall Janion et. al., v. Nutall Bank of British North America v. Woodcock Welch et. al., v. Piper Anderson et. al., v. Milby Chadwick v. Burns Drake & Co. v. Orchard Casamayou v. Evans Bros Lessin v. Hoffman et. al. Janion et. al., v. Carson Bigne v. Johnson Promis & Sanders v. Taylor Durrance v. Vaughan Edmonds v. Cooper et. al Hicks v. Steaven Smallbone v. Lindsay Sproat et. al., v. Moore Carey v. Mo	$\begin{array}{c} 1 & 75 \\ 3 & 50 \\ 1 & 75 \\ 2 & 000 \\ 1 & 000 \\ 1 & 75 \\ 11 & 000 \\ 3 & 500 \\ 7 & 000 \\ 9 & 25 \\ 5 & 75 \\ 1 & 75 \\$	
Bunster v. Whittingham         Dunne v. Orchard         Ord v. Drinkall         Bitancourt v. Copeland         Barry et. al., v. Nuttall         Janion et. al., v. Milby         Earl v. Elford         Bank of British North America v. Woodcock         Welch et. al., v. Piper         Anderson et. al., v. Milby         Chadwick v. Burns         Drake & Co. v. Orchard         Casamayou v. Evans Bros         Lessin v. Hoffman et. al.         Janion et. al., v. Carson         Bigne v. Johnson         Promis & Sanders v. Taylor         Durrance v. Vaughan         Edmonds v. Cooper et. al         Hicks v. Steaven         Smallbone v. Lindsay         Sproat et. al., v. Moore         Carey v. Moore         Englebrech et. al. v. Lewin         Bank of British North America v. Stevenson	$\begin{array}{c} 3 & 50 \\ 1 & 75 \\ 2 & 00 \\ 1 & 00 \\ 1 & 75 \\ 11 & 00 \\ 3 & 50 \\ 7 & 00 \\ 9 & 25 \\ 5 & 75 \\ 1 & $	
Dunne v. Orchard         Ord v. Drinkall         Bitancourt v. Copeland         Barry et. al., v. Nuttall         Janion et. al., v. Milby         Earl v. Elford         Bank of British North America v. Woodcock         Welch et. al., v. Piper         Anderson et. al., v. Milby         Chadwick v. Burns         Drake & Co. v. Orchard         Casamayou v. Evans Bros         Lessin v. Hoffman et. al.         Janion et. al., v. Carson         Bigne v. Johnson         Promis & Sanders v. Taylor         Durrance v. Vaughan         Edmonds v. Cooper et. al.         Hicks v. Steaven         Smallbone v. Lindsay         Sproat et. al., v. Moore         Carey v. Moore         Englebrech et. al. v. Lewin         Bank of British North America v. Stevenson	$\begin{array}{c} 1 & 75 \\ 2 & 00 \\ 1 & 00 \\ 1 & 75 \\ 11 & 00 \\ 3 & 50 \\ 7 & 00 \\ 9 & 25 \\ 5 & 75 \\ 1 & $	
Ord v. Drinkall Bitancourt v. Copeland Barry et. al., v. Nuttall Janion et. al., v. Milby Earl v. Elford Bank of British North America v. Woodcock Welch et. al., v. Piper Anderson et. al., v. Milby Chadwick v. Burns Drake & Co. v. Orchard Casamayou v. Evans Bros Lessin v. Hoffman et. al. Janion et. al., v. Carson Bigne v. Johnson Promis & Sanders v. Taylor Durrance e. Vaughan Edmonds v. Cooper et. al Hicks v. Steaven Smallbone v. Lindsay Sproat et. al., v. Strachan Cunningham et. al., v. Moore Carey v. Moore Englebrech et. al. v. Lewin Bank of British North America v. Stevenson	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
Bitancourt v. Copeland	$\begin{array}{c} 1 & 00 \\ 1 & 75 \\ 11 & 00 \\ 3 & 50 \\ 7 & 00 \\ 9 & 25 \\ 5 & 75 \\ 1 & 75 \\ 1 & 75 \\ 50 \\ 1 & 75 \\$	
Barry et. al., v. Nuttall Janion et. al., v. Milby Earl v. Elford Bank of British North America v. Woodcock Welch et. al., v. Piper Anderson et. al., v. Milby Chadwick v. Burns Drake & Co. v. Orchard Casamayou v. Evans Bros Lessin v. Hoffman et. al. Janion et. al., v. Carson Bigne v. Johnson Promis & Sanders v. Taylor Durrance v. Vaughan Edmonds v. Cooper et. al Hicks v. Steaven Smallbone v. Lindsay Sproat et. al., v. Strachan Cunningham et. al., v. Moore Carey v. Moore Englebrech et. al. v. Lewin Bank of British North America v. Hoffman et. al. Bank of British North America v. Stevenson	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
Janion et. al., v. Milby	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
Earl v. Elford Bank of British North America v. Woodcock Welch et. al., v. Piper. Anderson et. al., v. Milby . Chadwick v. Burns Drake & Co. v. Orchard Casamayou v. Evans Bros Lessin v. Hoffman et. al. Janion et. al., v. Carson Bigne v. Johnson Promis & Sanders v. Taylor Durrance v. Vaughan Edmonds v. Cooper et. al Hicks v. Steaven Smallbone v. Lindsay Sproat et. al., v. Strachan Cunningham et. al., v. Moore Carey v. Moore Englebrech et. al. v. Lewin Bank of British North America v. Hoffman et. al. Bank of British North America v. Stevenson	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
Bank of British North America v. Woodcock         Welch et. al., v. Piper         Anderson et. al., v. Milby         Chadwick v. Burns         Drake & Co. v. Orchard         Casamayou v. Evans Bros         Lessin v. Hoffman et. al.         Janion et. al., v. Carson         Bigne v. Johnson         Promis & Sanders v. Taylor         Durrance v. Vaughan         Edmonds v. Cooper et. al         Hicks v. Steaven         Sproat et. al., v. Moore         Carsy v. Moore         Englebrech et. al. v. Lewin         Bank of British North America v. Stevenson	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
Welch et. al., v. Piper Anderson et. al., v. Milby . Chadwick v. Burns Drake & Co. v. Orchard Casamayou v. Evans Bros Lessin v. Hoffman et. al. Janion et. al., v. Carson Bigne v. Johnson Promis & Sanders v. Taylor Durrance v. Vaughan Edmonds v. Cooper et. al. Hicks v. Steaven Smallbone v. Lindsay Sproat et. al., v. Strachan Cunningham et. al., v. Moore Carey v. Moore Englebrech et. al. v. Lewin Bank of British North America v. Hoffman et. al. Bank of British North America v. Stevenson	$\begin{array}{c} 9 & 25 \\ 5 & 75 \\ 1 & 75 \\ 50 \\ 1 & 75 \\ 1 & 75 \\ 1 & 75 \\ 1 & 75 \\ 1 & 00 \\ 5 & 75 \\ 7 & 50 \\ 1 & 75 \\ 4 & 50 \\ 1 & 00 \\ 1 & 75 \\ \end{array}$	
Anderson et. al., v. Milby Chadwick v. Burns Drake & Co. v. Orchard Casamayou v. Evans Bros Lessin v. Hoffman et. al. Janion et. al., v. Carson Bigne v. Johnson Promis & Sanders v. Taylor Durrance v. Vaughan Edmonds v. Cooper et. al. Hicks v. Steaven Smallbone v. Lindsay Sproat et. al., v. Strachan Cunningham et. al., v. Moore Carey v. Moore Englebrech et. al. v. Lewin Bank of British North America v. Hoffman et. al. Bank of British North America v. Stevenson	$5 75 \\ 1 75 \\ 50 \\ 1 75 \\ 50 \\ 1 75 \\ 1 75 \\ 1 00 \\ 5 75 \\ 7 50 \\ 1 75 \\ 4 50 \\ 1 00 \\ 1 75 \\ 4 50 \\ 1 00 \\ 1 75$	
Chadwick v. Burns Drake & Co. v. Orchard Casamayou v. Evans Bros Lessin v. Hoffman et. al. Janion et. al., v. Carson Bigne v. Johnson Promis & Sanders v. Taylor Durrance v. Vaughan Edmonds v. Cooper et. al. Hicks v. Steaven Smallbone v. Lindsay Sproat et. al., v. Strachan Cunningham et. al., v. Moore Carey v. Moore Englebrech et. al. v. Lewin Bank of British North America v. Hoffman et. al. Bank of British North America v. Stevenson	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
Drake & Co. v. Orchard Casamayou v. Evans Bros Lessin v. Hoffman et. al. Janion et. al., v. Carson Bigne v. Johnson Promis & Sanders v. Taylor Durrance v. Vaughan Edmonds v. Cooper et. al. Hicks v. Steaven Smallbone v. Lindsay Sproat et. al., v. Strachan Cunningham et. al., v. Moore Carey v. Moore Englebrech et. al. v. Lewin Bank of British North America v. Hoffman et. al. Bank of British North America v. Stevenson	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
Casamayou v. Evans Bros Lessin v. Hoffman et. al. Janion et. al., v. Carson Bigne v. Johnson Promis & Sanders v. Taylor Durrance v. Vaughan Edmonds v. Cooper et. al Hicks v. Steaven Smallbone v. Lindsay Sproat et. al., v. Strachan Cunningham et. al., v. Moore Carey v. Moore Englebrech et. al. v. Lewin Bank of British North America v. Hoffman et. al Bank of British North America v. Stevenson	$50 \\ 1 \\ 75 \\ 1 \\ 75 \\ 1 \\ 00 \\ 5 \\ 75 \\ 7 \\ 50 \\ 1 \\ 75 \\ 4 \\ 50 \\ 1 \\ 00 \\ 1 \\ 75 \\ 7 \\ 7$	
Lessin v. Hoffman et. al. Janion et. al., v. Carson Bigne v. Johnson Promis & Sanders v. Taylor Durrance v. Vaughan Edmonds v. Cooper et. al. Hicks v. Steaven Smallbone v. Lindsay Sproat et. al., v. Strachan Cunningham et. al., v. Moore Carey v. Moore Englebrech et. al. v. Lewin Bank of British North America v. Hoffman et. al. Bank of British North America v. Stevenson	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 & 75 \\ 1 & 75 \\ 1 & 00 \\ 5 & 75 \\ 7 & 50 \\ 1 & 75 \\ 4 & 50 \\ 1 & 00 \\ 1 & 75 \\ \end{array} $	
Janion et. al., v. Carson Bigne v. Johnson Promis & Sanders v. Taylor Durrance v. Vaughan Edmonds v. Cooper et. al	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
Promis & Sanders v. Taylor Durrance v. Vaughan Edmonds v. Cooper et. al Hicks v. Steaven Smallbone v. Lindsay Sproat et. al., v. Strachan Cunningham et. al., v. Moore Carey v. Moore Englebrech et. al. v. Lewin Bank of British North America v. Hoffman et. al Bank of British North America v. Stevenson	$575 \\ 750 \\ 175 \\ 450 \\ 100 \\ 175 \\ 75 \\ 100 \\ 175 \\ 175 \\ 175 \\ 175 \\ 100 \\ 175 \\ 100 \\ 175 \\ 100 \\$	
Durrance v. Vaughan Edmonds v. Cooper et. al	$ \begin{array}{r} 7 50 \\ 1 75 \\ 4 50 \\ 1 00 \\ 1 75 \\ \end{array} $	
Edmonds v. Cooper et. al Hicks v. Steaven Smallbone v. Lindsay Sproat et. al., v. Strachan Cunningham et. al., v. Moore Carey v. Moore Englebrech et. al. v. Lewin Bank of British North America v. Hoffman et. al Bank of British North America v. Stevenson	$     \begin{array}{r}       1 \\       4 \\       50 \\       1 \\       00 \\       1 \\       75     \end{array} $	
Hicks v. Steaven Smallbone v. Lindsay Sproat et. al., v. Strachan Cunningham et. al., v. Moore Carey v. Moore Englebrech et. al. v. Lewin Bank of British North America v. Hoffman et. al Bank of British North America v. Stevenson	4 50     1 00     1 75	
Smallbone v. Lindsay         Sproat et. al., v. Strachan         Cunningham et. al., v. Moore         Carey v. Moore         Englebrech et. al. v. Lewin         Bank of British North America v. Hoffman et. al         Bank of British North America v. Stevenson	$   \begin{array}{c}     1 & 00 \\     1 & 75   \end{array} $	A SULLEY
Sproat et. al., v. Strachan Cunningham et. al., v. Moore Carey v. Moore Englebrech et. al. v. Lewin Bank of British North America v. Hoffman et. al Bank of British North America v. Stevenson	1 75	
Cunningham et. al., v. Moore Carey v. Moore Englebrech et. al. v. Lewin Bank of British North America v. Hoffman et. al Bank of British North America v. Stevenson	1 75	
Carey v. Moore Englebrech et. al. v. Lewin Bank of British North America v. Hoffman et. al Bank of British North America v. Stevenson		
Englebrech et. al. v. Lewin	1 75	
Bank of British North America v. Hoffman et. al		
Bank of British North America v. Stevenson	1 75	10
	1 75	
нор, R:	1 75	- 287
Fell & others v. Lowe	1 25	- 201
Reynolds v. Adams		
Pearse v. Carson	16 50	
King v. Arnold	1 75	1. 15
Roberts v. Choak		
Anderson v. Nias		1 12 30 33
Re Wood	6 50	7
Soong v. Sing	1 75	
Leech v. Fish et. al	1 50	
Reynolds v. Vaughan		
Friffin v. Smallbone		
Ord v. Drinkall		
Pcarse v. Penny	6 75	1
Woodcock v. Hankin		
Fell v. Lachapelle		1 2
Re Good		
Landsdale $v$ . Herd Barry $et$ , $al$ , $v$ . Nuttall	1 75	
Starr v. Legasse		
Toy v. Curnow	575 425	
Dunne v. Kohn		
Bowker v. McKinley	1 75	
Drake et. al., v. Kohn	1 00	
Manson et. al. v. Powers	7 50	
Cooper v. Gardiner	1 00	
McWhav. Seward	1 75	-
Mann et. al., v. Tomkins	9 75	
Sproat et. al., v. Strachan	1 00	
Neufelder v. Gallen	15 00	
		- 128

A .- COMMON LAW FEES, 1872. - Continued.

#### \$ \$ cts. cts. Brought forward,..... 415 55 MASON, H. S:--- Re Lowenberg ..... 5 50 Chadwick v. Burns..... 3 50 COURTNEY, H. C :-9 00 Reynolds v. Adams..... 1 00 Dakin v. Evans et. al..... 1 75 Hodges v. Bioge ..... 4 75 Liniker v. Bateman..... 50 Mallandaine v. Price ..... 1 75 Carey v. Higgins ..... 50 Winger v. Bonwick ..... 6 00 Bitancourt v. Copeland ..... 1 75 Powers v. Manson and others ..... 1 00 Welsh et. al., v. Piper ..... 1 00 Trimble v. DeCosmos & Long ..... 1 75 Finnerty v King Bros..... 1 75 Gowen v. Taylor..... 5 75 Mann et. al., v. Tomkins ..... 2 25 HETT. J. R :--31 50 Re Hett ..... 5 00 Brown et. al., v. Ingram ..... 2 50 Pearse v. Penny ..... 508 00 1 00 1 00 Hadigan—Regina v. Hadigan...... Nicholls— Regina v. Nicholls ..... 25 00 10 00 JOHNSON, E :-Henderson v. Spence ..... 11 25 Ex parte Siffken..... 2 50 Snow v. King...... Read v. McKinnon.... 7 00 1 75 Lafont v. Oppenheimer..... 2 25 Lawrence v Egerton ..... 1 50 Lawrence v. Estall ...... Ernstein v. Nelson ..... 6 25 1 0.0 Leech v. Fisher et. al. ..... 5 00 Reynolds v. Vaughan et. al..... 7 75 Driscol v. Fiterre..... 3 00 Bank of British Columbia v. Keohan..... 1 00 Mallandaine v. Price ..... 1 75 Toy v. Curnow..... 11 75 Turner v. White..... 5 75 Bunster v. Whittingham ..... 5 00 Hicks v. Staeven ..... 1 75 Re Johnson..... 50Cooper v. Gardiner..... 5 75 Smallbone v. Lindsay ..... 1 75 Vigelius v. Piper..... 1 75 Millard v. Bond. Sproat et. al. v. Strachan et. al. 5 25 1 0.0 Bigné v. Johnston ..... 75 1 Grelley v. Scott 1 75 95 75 Lindhart, J. W .-- Ernstein et. al., v. Beedy. 1 00 Eddy, P.-Eddy v. O'Reilly ..... 2 25 5 00 50 1 00 Re Kriemler..... 3 00 Re Otto, John ..... 1 50 Re Picht, E. 1 50 Re Boudot, P..... 1 50 Regina v. Alep ..... 475 00 Harrison, E.-Gerow v. Johnson..... ..... 50 Re Wilkinson.... 50501 50

Carried forward ...... \$ 1,092 05

	S cts.	S cts
Brought forward,,		1,092 6
CARNSTON, J. G :		'
Mc Wha v. Ward	1 00	-
Ord v. Drinkall	3 75	
How Kow v. Ho Fi	15 00	
Low Sow v. Ho. Fi	10 00	
PARK, J :		29 7
Dunleavy v. Brussean	2 00	
Re Ah Tchew	4 00	
Nam Sing v. Sing Hin		
Ord v. Drinkall	19 00	
Naufaldon , Callon	15 00	
Neufelder v. Gallen	16 00	
Simpson v. Drinkall		
Porte v. Giscome.	1 50	F.0. F
DAVIE, A. E. B :	00.05	59 5
Dunleavy v. Brusseau		
Nam Sing v. Sing Hin		
Simpson v. Drinkall	3 75	
Nathan v. Brusseau	2 25	
How Kow v. Ho Fi	3 75	
Neufelder v. Gallen		
Porte v. Giscome	1 50	
Matthews v. Oppenheimer	1 50	
		40 7
Dunleavy, PDunleavy v. Brusseau		15 0
lanson-Powers v. Manson		1 0
urnow, RToy v. Curnow		4 7
oy, Mrs.—Toy v. Curnow		16 5
ees charged to no particular cause		42 0
Re Cunio		475 0
		2,0 0

### A .- COMMON LAW FEES, 1872.- Concluded.

### B.—CHANCERY FEES, 1872.

LESSRS. DRAKE AND JACKSON :-	\$	cts.	\$ cts
Rodello v. Robb	30	00	
Crown v. Trimble	43	75	
Gaston et. al., v. Dickson	4	50	
Re Schank	$^{2}$	00	
Stewart et. al., v. Ash	1	75	
Re Macdonald	4	50	
, Queen Charlotte Coal Mining Company	32	00	
,, Work	8	25	
, A. H. Francis'	14	25	
, Driard.	8		
, Grancini		50	
;;; or an ormalization of the second seco			152 2
Re L. R. O	1	25	100 4
., Queen Charlotte Coal Mining Company	_	25	
,, Trustee Relief Act	2		
,, Chieffrey	2	1	
Davia		75	
,, Davis , McCormick v. Coxon et. al.		50	
	4	50	10 5
OHNSON, E:	0	25	18 7
Ex parte Siffken Crown v. Trimble	-	,	
		75	
Rodello v. Robb	-	50	
Re Spark	6	25	
Re Queen Charlotte Coal Mining Company	5	75	
McCormick v. Coxon	10		
Re Gundersen	1	25	
			40 00
Re Christ Church	12	50	
Re Ash, L. R. O	12	50	
			25 00

1874

-

B.-CHANCERY FEES, 1872.-Concluded.

Brought forward	\$ cts.	\$ ct 236 (	
COURTNEY, H. C :-			
Stewart v. Ash	2 25		
Gaston et. al., v. Dickson et. al	9 25		
Astrico et. al., v. Balden			
LETT. J. R :		31 (	00
Re Queen Charlotte Coal Mining Company	8 50		
Crown v. Trimble	50		
		9	~ ~
immerman-Re Queen Charlotte Coal Mining Company			50
		\$ 276	50

C.-PROBATE FEES, 1872.

ESSRS. DRAKE AND JACKSON :	\$ cts.	\$ cts	s.
Re Tuite	20 00		
,, Meldrum	10 75		
, Melarum	79 75		
"Peter Ogden	9 75		
" P. Skeene Ogden			
, Struthers	8 25		
1, Dazet	3 75		
"Robinson	50		
, Munro	10 75		
	13 00		
Thread a	306 00		
37	2 00		
, Mouatt	40 75		
", Cameron			
, Heffley	7 50		
,, Yale	50		
", Peers	50		
, Smith	1 50		
· · ·	1,654 50		
,, Irving	24 25	1	
,, Demartine	22 25		
", Young	2 50		
"Klaucke			
, Simpson	11 25		
,, Tidara	50		
, McTavish	335 75		
, Hume	50		
		2,566	7
SISHOP, R:-	2 50	-,	
Re Betts	4 75		
,, Tuite			
,, Titus	1 25		
, Parsons	27 25		
ARNSTON, J. G :		35	7
Re Bailey	3 25		
,, Chisholm	2 25		
		5	5
OHNSON, E:	22 25		Ĩ
Re Donnally	4 50	1	
" Down			
"Wakeman	20 50		
", Spencer	50		
	4 25	1.1.8.3 10	
	38 25		
, Downes	50	1000	
", Combre	4 00		
", Gundersen	1 00	94	Ŀ
COURTNEY H C	15 05	04	1
Re Bradley.	17 25	1.1.1.1.1.1.	
"Hocking	100 20		
, Mills	1 00		
1) Distand-	95 50		
", Richards		- 222	(
		64	F
amieson, R.—Re Kirkwood			
Carried forward		\$ 2.989	ĺ

25

C.-PROBATE FEES, 1872.-Concluded.

Brought forward	\$ cts.	\$ c1 2,989	
Clarkson, W.—Re Wickwire		33 114	
Walkem, G. A. $-Re$ Smith HETT, J. R: $-$ Re Tuite		114	50
HETT, J. K : Re Tuite	55 75 50	- investor	
		56 1	00
Sanders-Re Orr Meldrum-Re Meldrum. Boyd-Re McCafferty		18	
Everett, P.—Re Bradley Woods, R.—Re McBraten	*******	14	
		\$ 3,228	75

D.-BANKRUPTCY FEES, 1872.

MESSRS. DRAKE AND JACKSON :	\$ cts.	\$ cts.
Re Fellows and others	2 50	
-,, Gerow	75	
,, Harris,	2 50	
,, Baranovitch	2 25	
,, Beedy & Co	1 25	
"Woodcock	1 50	
, Fell & Finlayson	2 50	
"Gerow & Johnson	2 50	
,, Gerow & Johnson, ,, Piper	2 50	
		18 25
Courtney, H. CRe Ward		1 00
JOHNSON, E.—	and the second strends	
Re Smallbone	2 50	
Re Śmallbone	2 50	
		5 00
Steele, HRe Steele		50 75
Hixson, J. F.—Re Hixson		50 00
Hixson, J. F.— <i>Re</i> Hixson Harrison, E.— <i>Re</i> James Fell		50
		A
	used in the state	\$125 50

TOTAL FEES received in the Supreme Court (sitting at Common Law, in Chancery, in Probate, and in Bankruptcy) between the 1st January and 19th July, 1871, and paid into the Colonial Treasury.

Common Law Fees, as per Table A Chancery Fees, as per Table B Probate Fees, as per Table C	\$	649 148 823	25
Bankruptcy Fees, as per Table D.		210	
The second	\$ 1	,831	75

# A.-COMMON LAW FEES.-1st January to 19th July, 1871.

ESSRS. DRAKE, JACKSON & AIKMAN:-	\$ cts.	\$	cts.
Pacific Insurance Co. v. Hudson Bay Co Johnson and others v. Geiger.			
Re Charlotte Cooper			
Douglas v. Tarbell and others	1 66		
Meldrum v. Gibbs	1 75 3 50		
Johnson v. Munro and others	6 50		
Bank of British Columbia v. Toy	1 72		
Anstruther v. Cameron	1 00		
Drake and others v. Muir	1 75		
Phillips v. Muir et. al.			
Murphy v. Toomey			
Perkins v. Harris Bank of British Columbia v. King			
Lowe Bros. v. Dawson			
O'Reilly v. Felker			
Alston v. Bagnall			
Brown v. Murray	$\begin{array}{r} 4 50 \\ 12 50 \end{array}$	1471.6	
Duck et. al. v. Johnson et. al	$     \begin{array}{r}       12 50 \\       2 75     \end{array} $		
Dalby et. al. v. Poole	1 75		
Neustadt v. Vereydhen	1 75		
Davies v. Keyser	1 75		
Lewin v. Ross	3 75		
Anderson v. Bank of British Columbia	7 75		
Brown v. Ingram	50		
Wilson v. Tynon	5 75		
Anderson v. King	1 25		
Bunster v. Townsend	1 00		
Hemmingway v. Pearkes		105	75
Johnson and others v. Geiger	1 75		
Pacific Insurance Co. v. Hudson Bay Co	2 00		
Woodcock v. Hankin	$\begin{array}{ccc} 2 & 00 \\ 9 & 25 \end{array}$		
Meldrum v. Gibbs	9 25 2 75		
Moore v. Nichol	6 00		
Peck et. al. v. Isbester et. al.	9 25		
Lush et. al. v. Gowan et. al	19 25		
Rabson v. Howard	10 25		
Baker v. Dodson	8 00		
Lowe Bros. v. Dawson	3 50		
Shepler v. Phillips	50		
Davis v. Keyser	50		
Regina v. Grahamslaw	1 00		
Anderson v. Bank of British Columbia.	19 75		
Gray v. Bernard	1 75		
Lowe v. Rosse	1 00		
Simpson v. Mercotte Arthur v. Selleck	1 75		
Harvey v. Peck	$   \begin{array}{c cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		
cssrs. PEARKES & JOHNSON :-	1 00	04	00
Bank of British North America v. Cohen	3 50	94	00
Re Bushby and Stamp	2 50		
Re Bushby and Stamp Bank of British North America v. Adams	1 75		
Lush v. Gowan et. al.	8 75		
Peck et. al. v. Isbester et. al	8 25		
Courtney v. Bailey	1 00		
Allsop v. King et. al	1 75		
Sehl v. Hautier	1 75		
Shepler v. Gowan	1 75		
Shepler v. Murray	1 75		
Shepler v. Phillips	1 75		
Shepler v. Heywood	1 75		
Bunster v. Townsend	1 75		
Re Murphy and Toomey	6 00	38	00
Re Pope.	5 00		
	0 00	11	00
son, H. SAnstruther v. Cameron		2	
		-	

27

# A .- COMMON LAW FEES .- 1st January to 19th July, 1871 .- Concluded.

Alter and a set of the	\$ cts.	\$ c	ts.
Brought forward		251	50
COURTNEY, H. C. :-			
Woodcock v. Hankin	12 50		
Rabson v. Howard	20 50		
Courtney v. Bailey	1 75		
Brown v. Murray	14 00		
McKeon v. Sutherland	5 75		
Harvey v. Peck			
Tom Chung v. Qwong Lee & Co	1 75		
	1 10	58	00
Alexander, F. JAlexander v. Chipp	CONTRACTOR OF A		75
Voodcock, HWoodcock v. Hankin	******		50
bas charged to no particular cause	*****	22	
Fees charged to no particular cause	•••••	22	20
Copperman v. Schultz			
Copperinan v. Schultz	2 25		
Shepler v. Murray	50		
			75
h Sam-Fine		200	00
Austin, J. J.—Anderson v. Bank of British Columbia		1	25
frahamslaw-Fine		100	00
wong Lee—Tom Chung v. Kwong Lee		1	00
Irs. Francis		2	50
IcCreight, J. FRe Bushby and Stamp		1	25
DAVIE, THEO :	CARLES AND AND AND A		
Anderson v. Bank of British Columbia	1 50		
Peck v. Isbester	50		
		2	00
			0.0
		\$ 649	75

B.-CHANCERY FEES.-1st January to 19th July, 1871.

	\$ cts.	\$ (	cts.
Messrs. Drake & Jackson :	and and date to		
Re Mouatt	5 75		
Rodello v. Robb	7 00		
Queen Charlotte Coal Mining Co	28 00	tore where	
Re W. J. Macdonald and others	4 25	1	
Re Edmunds and Tooley	6 50		
Attorney-General v. Trimble	6 00		
Re Peers	14 00	a april of	
Stewart v. Ash	10 75		
0 Uarey et. al. v. McTiernan et. al	50	1. 1. 1. 1.	
BISHOP, R:		82	75
Re Edmunds and Tooley	3 50		
Queen Charlotte Coal Mining Co	2 50	Sec. Sec. 1	
Re Kelly (an infant)	11 50		
OFNSON, E:		17	50
Queen Charlotte Coal Mining Co	8 75		00
Attorney-General v. Trimble	7 75		
Rodello v. Robb	2 50		
		10	0.0
lason, H. SQueen Charlotte Coal Mining Co	and the second second second	10	50
Ash. John.—Stewart v. Ash		2	50
Jarey, J. WRe Kelly (an infant)		-	00
COURTNEY, H. C. :-	L. D. Charles of The Control of Street	1	00
Queen Charlotte Coal Mining Co	6 25		
Re Jollibois	6 50	In DAY NY	
Stewart v. Ash	5 75		
		18	50
Davie, TheoQueen Charlotte Coal Mining Co			50
	1	@ 140	95

\$ 148 25

	\$ cts.	S c	cts.
MESSRS. DRAKE, JACKSON & AIKMAN:-	φ οισ.	φ	, 60
Re Wilkie	15 25		
" Mouatt	249 25		
"Yale	36 75		
" Corbinere	33 75		
" Brew	4 75		
" Peers	2 75		
" Dazet	2 50		
" Casse	9 75		
"O'Brien	48 25		
" Greavy		and the	
"McDonald	9 50		
(/ Callerter	1 50		
" Cafferty	3 75	March.	
		417	
Pooley, C. ERe Black		16	75
DAVIE, A. E. B			
Re Planell	3 25		
" Grelly, F	1 50		
" Bamfield	50		
		5	25
Noods, R. — Re Curnow		16	25
Company A P			
Re McNish	33 75	1.5.1.1.1.1.1.1	
"Ah Kong			
" McCafferty	16 25	-	
COURTNEY, H. C :	10 40	69	50
Re Mills	2 00	05	50
<sup>14</sup> Rolls			
· Kons	5 25	-	
			25
Valkem, G. ARe Evans		102	75
Візнор, R:— <i>Re</i> Smith	and the second second	DF 64 7 8 4	
	13 75		
" Carroll	2 00	-	
"Bamfield	50		
" McKay	14 25 /		
OHNSON, E:		30	50
OHNSON, E: Re N. M. Hicks	$138 \ 25$	-	
" Pearkes	19 25		
		157	50
ewis, RRe McCulloch		2	00
		-	00
		1	50
Blackburn Joseph : Re Toothill.		2	50
	*****	ت	00

### C.-PROBATE FEES.-1st January to 19th July, 1871.

D.-BANKRUPTOY FEES.-1st January to 19th July, 1871.

	\$ cts.	\$ .	cts.
BISHOP, R:			
Re Golden	50 75		
" Baranovitch	50 00		
"Frain	50		
(Kevser	50 50		
" Keyser	50		
		152	25
Mason, H. S : Re Cameron		52	00
DRAWE LAGROON & ATKMAN'			
P. Dawson	1 25		
Re Dawson	2 50		
( Komon	1 25		
(Unreal)	50		
··· Tugwell	50	5	50
D. D. O. Han		0	50
Munro, R : Re Golden	********* ********		50
		\$ 210	0.0

To an Address of the Legislative Assembly, for a Return of the amount paid for Road Tax on Timber Leases, in the District of New Westminster.

By Command.

W. J. ARMSTRONG,

Treasury, 19th January, 1873. Minister of Finance and Agriculture.

RETURN of the amount paid for ROAD TAX on the TIMBER LEASES in the District of NEW WESTMINSTER.

J. Rogers	\$ 15	60
Hastings Saw Mill Company	371	18_
Moody, Dietz, and Nelson	277	72

Тотац..... \$664 50

(Signed) W. C. BERKELEY.

To an Address of the Legislative Assembly, for a Return of the Land granted for Timber Leases, when leased, and where situated in the several Districts of the Province of British Columbia.

By Command.

Lands and Works Office, 20th January, 1874. ROBERT BEAVEN, Chief Commissioner of Lands and Works.

### RETURN OF TIMBER LEASES.

Names.	District.	No. of Acres.	Date of Lease.	Term of Lease.	Where Situated.
W. P. Sayward	Chemainus	1,370	23rd June, 1868	7 Years	At Saanich Inlet, commencing at a point about a quarter of a mile of the Mill now in occupation of the Lessee, and extending thence in a Southerly direction along the Coast a distance of 2 miles, with an average width of 1 mile.
Michael & John Muir	Sooke	3,316	19th January, 1872	10 ,,	Situated on the North shore of the Strait of Juan De Fuca, and North of Sooke town site.
George Askew	Chemainus	519	8th December, 1870	7 ,,	On the West shore of Oyster Harbour, on the East Coast of Vancouver Island.
R. P. Rithet	Coast District	15,000	22nd February, 1873	21 ,,	Commencing at Grief Point, Malaspina Strait, and extends about 10 miles in a North-westerly direction, with an average width of two miles.
Hastings Saw Mill Company	New Westminster	18,559	30th November, 1865	21 ,,	13,507 acres between English Bay and the North Arm, Fraser River; 2,505 acres on the West side of Howe Sound opposite Gambier Island; 565 acres of land on the South end of Gambier Island; 1,403 acres on the West shore of Howe Sound, South-west of Keats Island; 337 acres on the East shore of the North Arm, Burrard Inlet, and 242 acres at Deep Cove, Burrard Inlet.
Moody, Deitz, & Nelson	New Westminster	2,634	31st January, 1866	21 ,,	234 acres at Bedwell Bay, North Arm, Burrard Inlet; 300 acres East of first Narrows, North shore, Burrard Inlet; 2,100 acres at second Narrows, North shore, Burrard Inlet.
Moody, Deitz, & Nelson	New Westminster	11,4101	Ist January, 1870	21 ,,	752 acres North of Squamish River; 626 acres on the South shore of Burrard Inlet, opposite the North Arm; 178 acres about 2 miles North-west of Saw Mill; 957 acres at the head of Port Moody, Burrard Inlet; 4,975 acres North and North-west of the first narrows, Burrard Inlet; 272 acres at Point Atkins, on English Bay; 950 acres East of the head of Port Moody; 1,100 acres at Thunder Bay, Jarvis Inlet, and 1,600 acres at Malaspina Strait.
W. T. Collison,	New Westminster	365	25th February, 1870	7 ,,	240 acres on the West side of the Skeeks River, about 8 miles from its junction with the Fraser River, and 125 acres on the South-east end of the Lake, at about 13 miles from the junction of the Skeeks River with the Fraser River.
Jeremiah Rogers	New Westminster	780	30th November, 1868	5 ,,	The Naval Reserve on the South shore of English Bay, Burrard Inlet.
Walker, Bowers, & Robertson	Omineca	425	5th March, 1872	5 ,,	Situated Easterly and near the town site of Dunkeld, on Manson River.

ROBERT BEAVEN, C. C. L. & W.

Timber Leases.

32

1874

#### 37 Vic.

# RETURN

To an Address of the Legislative Assembly, for Returns of Homesteads registered under the Homestead Law now in force, and that formerly in force on Vancouver Island.

By Command.

#### GEO. A. WALKEM,

Attorney-General,

Attorney-General's Office, 20th January, 1874.

PART I. RETURN of transactions, from 21st August, 1866, to 22nd March, 1867, under the "Homestead Act, 1866," and value of Homesteads registered thereunder.

Year,	Number of Homesteads registered.	Declared Value.	Number of Homesteads abandoned.	Declared Value.
1866. 4 months and 10 days 1867. 2 months and 22 days	13	\$ 29,350 00		

H. B. W. AIKMAN,

Registrar-General.

PART II.

RETURN of transactions, from 23rd March, 1867, to 31st December, 1873, under the "Homestead Ordinance, 1867," and value of Homesteads registered thereunder.

Year.	Number of Homesteads registered.	Declared Value.	Number of Homesteads abandoned.	Declared Value,
1867.—9 months and 9 days	14	\$ 26,250 00	1	\$ 1,550 00
1868	13	25,940 00	3	4,250 00
1869	11	23,600 00	2	4,000 00
1870	12	- 20,550 00	3	7,500 00
1871	11	19,800 00	2	2,300 00
1872	7	14,600 00	3	5,500 00
1873	11	22,950 00	4	5,850 00
TOTAL	79	\$ 153,690 00	18	\$ 30,950 00

H. B. W. AIKMAN, Registrar-General. 33

### Homesteads.

#### PART III.

RETURN showing situation of all Homesteads as to Towns and Districts.

Town or District.	Number.	Value.	Number abandoned.	Value.
City of Victoria	64	\$ 119,440 00	14	\$ 23,200 00
City of New Westminster	3	7,500 00	1	2,500 00
Town of Nanaimo	2	3,750 00		
Town of Hope	1	2,000 00		
Victoria District	9	20,600 00	1	2,500 00
New Westminster District	1	250 00	1	250 00
North Saanich District	3	7,500 00		
Sooke District	1	2,500 00	1	2,500 00
Somenos District	1	2,500 00		
Comiaken District	1	2,500 00		
Lake District	2	5,000 00	-	
Mountain District	1	2,500 00		
Wellington District	1	2,500 00		
Esquimalt District	2	5,000 00		
TOTAL	92	\$ 183,040 00	18	\$ 30,950 00

H. B. W. AIKMAN,

Registrar-General.

### PART IV.

#### RECAPITULATION.

	Ordinance, &c.	Number registered.	Value.
	1866	13	\$ 29,350 00
	nance, 1867	79	153,690 00
Total	number and value	92	183,040 00
Dedu		18	30,950 00
Num	ber claimed 31st December, 1873, and value thereof	74	\$ 152,090 00

H. B. W. AIKMAN,

Registrar-General.

Of Correspondence respecting the Boarding School Bill, and the School Amendment Bill.

By Command.

JOHN ASH,

Provincial Secretary.

Provincial Secretary's Office, January 22nd, 1874.

Superintendent of Education to the Honorable Provincial Secretary.

Education Office, January 16th, 1874.

SIR.—I have the honour to forward a copy of Resolutions passed by the Board of Education at a meeting held yesterday, for the purpose of taking into consideration the "Boarding School Act, 1874," and "School Amendment Act, 1874," now before the Legislative Assembly.

I have, etc., (Signed) JOHN JESSOP, Chairman and Secretary to Board.

"The Board of Education, having perused the proposed amendments to the School Act, are of opinion that the power of dismissal of Teachers should not be vested in the Local Trustees, whether elected or nominated by the Governor in Council, for the following reasons:

"1. The Board of Trustees in many of the Districts, from want of more competent persons resident therein, are composed of persons who are totally unfitted to decide on the merits of the School Teachers in their Districts; and they are governed in their actions by local and personal prejudices, and not by the interests of the scholars or those whom they represent.

"2. The Teachers themselves feel that their status and position are lowered; and not being sure from day to day of their retention of office, they do their work without taking that interest in it which alone can make a successful Teacher and an apt scholar.

"3. Teachers of high qualifications will not submit to the dictation of the local boards; and every day the difficulty of obtaining good Teachers is becoming greater.

"4. If the power of dismissal were vested in the Board of Education, Teachers would feel that all cases of complaint would be investigated by an impartial tribunal, and that their engagements would not be terminated on every frivolous excuse, as is now too often the case.

"The Board further feel, that the alterations proposed by Mr. Smith's Bill would have the effect of enabling every Settler with a large family to have a Teacher of his own; and would create a very heavy burden on the people, and multiply the School Districts beyond all the requirements of the Province."

Carried unanimously.

(Signed)

JOHN JESSOP, Chairman and Secretary.