

**Wednesday, March 11, 1970**

---

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *G. G. Smith*.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

---

The Chairman reported progress.  
Report to be considered at the next sitting.  
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

At the hour of 6 o'clock p.m. Mr. Speaker left the chair.

---

---

**Wednesday, March 11, 1970**

---

EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

On the motion of Mr. *Nimsick*, Bill (No. 62) intituled *An Act to Amend the Annual Holidays Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

112. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$9,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1971.

113. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$3,197,780 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, General Administration, to 31st March, 1971.

114. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$37,500,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, Roads, Bridges, Ferries, Wharves, and Tunnels—Maintenance and Operation, Repairs, Snow and Ice Removal, to 31st March, 1971.

115. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$80,000,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, Roads, Bridges, and Ferries—Capital Construction, to 31st March, 1971.

116. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$860,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, Hydro-development Highways—Capital Construction, to 31st March, 1971.

117. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$120,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, Vehicle Damage Claims, to 31st March, 1971.

118. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$812,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, Highway Signs, Signals, Traffic Control, Etc., to 31st March, 1971.

119. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$17,775 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, Grants and Subsidies, to 31st March, 1971.

120. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$3,500,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, Purchase of New Equipment, to 31st March, 1971.

121. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$24,651,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, British Columbia Ferries, to 31st March, 1971.

199. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$55,382 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1971.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.  
Report to be considered at the next sitting.  
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

**133** Mr. *Nimsick* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

1. Is the Department of Highways in charge of purchasing property affected by the flooding that will be caused by the Libby Dam?

2. If so, (a) how many private properties will be affected, (b) of these properties, how many have been appraised, (c) how many settlements have been reached in the purchase of these properties, (d) who are the owners in each of these settlements, and (e) what was the amount of money involved in each settlement?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) Known number of privately owned parcels affected is 182, this may increase as safe line is determined (some parties own several parcels); (b) 171 parcels; (c) settlements have been reached for 38 parcels; (d) some owners settled on the basis that the Department would not disclose names or amounts publicly; and (e) *see* (d) but amounts will ultimately appear in Public Accounts.”

**155** Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Public Works the following questions:—

1. Was any advertising placed by newspaper, radio, television, or any other form by the Department of Public Works for publication during the period July 1, 1969, to August 31, 1969?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what was the total cost of the advertising, (b) what was the name of each company or individual supplying the service, and (c) what amount was paid in each case for this service?

The Hon. *W. N. Chant* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes, for regular tendering procedures.

“ 2. (a) \$7,009.15; (b) and (c) Kelowna Courier, \$59.40; Vernon News, \$53.20; Kamloops Daily Sentinel, \$196.20; Kamloops News Advertiser, \$61.92; Haney Gazette, \$12; Prince Rupert Daily News, \$17.33; Nanaimo Free Press, \$33.60; The Citizen, Prince George, \$109.20; 100 Mile Free Press, \$40; Pentticon Herald, \$60.23; Cariboo Observer, Quesnel, \$42.80; Salmon Arm Observer, \$18.72; Peace River Block News, Dawson Creek, \$44.88; Pacific Press, includes Vancouver Sun and Province, \$3,673.95; New Westminster Columbian, \$970.81; Journal of Commerce, \$1,386.80; and Victoria Press, \$228.11.”

**158** Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Mines and Petroleum Resources the following questions:—

1. Was any advertising placed by newspaper, radio, television, or any other form by the Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources for publication during the period July 1, 1969, to August 31, 1969?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what was the total cost of the advertising, (b) what was the name of each company or individual supplying the service, and (c) what amount was paid in each case for this service?

The Hon. *F. X. Richter* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes, newspaper and trade journals.

“ 2. (a) \$3,407.78; (b) and (c) Alaska Highway News, July 16, \$192.75; July 23, \$12.75; July 30, \$12.75; August 6, \$12.75; and August 13, \$12.75; Calgary Herald, July 23, \$27.75; Edmonton Journal, July 23, \$51; Fort Nelson News, July 16, \$378; McLean Hunter, July 28, \$70.07 and \$1,212.75; Peace River Block News, July 23, \$6; July 30, \$6; August 6, \$6; and August 13, \$6; Regina Leader Post, July 23, \$20.16; Southam Business Publications, July issue of Canadian Petroleum Magazine, \$313.60; Western Miner, July issue, \$180 and \$300; August issue, \$180; and World Oil, August 15, \$406.70.”

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 9.53 p.m.

---

---

**Thursday, March 12, 1970**

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *John Vickers*.

On the motion of the Hon. *R. G. Williston*, Bill (No. 63) intituled *An Act to Amend the Pollution Control Act, 1967*, was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

200. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$61,744 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, General Administration, to 31st March, 1971.

201. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$138,952 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Central Microfilm Bureau, to 31st March, 1971.

202. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$994,386 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Postal Branch, to 31st March, 1971.

203. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$183,802 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Provincial Library, to 31st March, 1971.

204. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$187,088 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Provincial Archives, to 31st March, 1971.

205. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$351,942 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Library Development Commission, to 31st March, 1971.

206. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$500,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Library and Library Association Grants, to 31st March, 1971.

207. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Queen's Printer, to 31st March, 1971.

208. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$63,868 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Government House, to 31st March, 1971.

209. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$39,582 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, *Indian Advisory Act*, to 31st March, 1971.

210. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,000,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Assessment on Class 13 (the Crown)—*Workmen's Compensation Act*, to 31st March, 1971.

211. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$75,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Incidentals and Contingencies, to 31st March, 1971.

212. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$60,000,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, British Columbia Over-all Medical Services Plan, to 31st March, 1971.

213. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,500,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Grants, Etc., to 31st March, 1971.

214. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$275,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Grant *re* Narcotic Foundation, to 31st March, 1971.

215. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$300,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Grant *re* Alcoholic Foundation, to 31st March, 1971.

216. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$200,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, *Capital Improvement District Act*, to 31st March, 1971.

217. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,650,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Centennial Celebrations '71, to 31st March, 1971.

218. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$500,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Restoration and Maintenance of Historical Sites, to 31st March, 1971.

219. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$740,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Civil Defence, to 31st March, 1971.

— — — — —  
The Chairman reported the Resolutions.  
Report to be considered at the next sitting.  
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

At the hour of 6 o'clock p.m. Mr. Speaker left the chair.

---

## Thursday, March 12, 1970

---

EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

220. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$109,754 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, *Provincial Elections Act*, to 31st March, 1971.

221. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$100,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, *Public Inquiries Act*, to 31st March, 1971.

222. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$15,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, *Archæological and Historic Sites Protection Act*, to 31st March, 1971.

223. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$443,334 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Civil Service Commission, Administration, to 31st March, 1971.

224. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$75,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Civil Service Commission, Grants *re* Civil Service—Gratuities under sec. 77 of *Civil Service Act* and other Government employees, to 31st March, 1971.

225. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$150,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Civil Service Commission, Retiring Allowances—*Civil Service Act*, sec. 70, and other Government employees, to 31st March, 1971.

226. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$17,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Civil Service Commission, Civil Service Schedule Bond, to 31st March, 1971.

227. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$329,666 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Superannuation Branch, Administration, to 31st March, 1971.

228. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$20,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Superannuation Branch, Grants—Retiring Allowances, to 31st March, 1971.

229. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$9,070,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Superannuation Branch, *Civil Service Superannuation Act*, to 31st March, 1971.

230. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$53,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Superannuation Branch, *Members of the Legislative Assembly Superannuation Act*, to 31st March, 1971.

231. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,300,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Superannuation Branch, *Public Services Medical Plan Act*, to 31st March, 1971.

232. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$163,682 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Superannuation Branch, *Public Services Group Insurance Act*, to 31st March, 1971.

233. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$35,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Superannuation Branch, Part III, *Civil Service Superannuation Act* Death Benefits, to 31st March, 1971.

234. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$75,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Superannuation Branch, *Municipal Superannuation Act*, to 31st March, 1971.

235. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,400,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Superannuation Branch, Canada Pension Plan, to 31st March, 1971.

---

The Committee reported the Resolutions.  
Report to be considered at the next sitting.  
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The House proceeded to the Order “Presenting Reports by Standing and Special Committees.”

Mr. Price presented the Second, Third, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, and Seventh Reports of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:—

## REPORT NO. 2

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,  
March 12, 1970.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the preamble to Bill (No. 52) intituled *An Act Respecting Montreal Trust Company* has been proved, and the Bill *Ordered* to be reported with amendment.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

B. PRICE, *Chairman.*

## REPORT NO. 3

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,  
March 12, 1970.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the preamble to Bill (No. 50) intituled *An Act to Amend the Fruit Growers Mutual Insurance Company Act* has been proved, and the Bill *Ordered* to be reported without amendment.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

B. PRICE, *Chairman.*

## REPORT NO. 4

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,  
March 12, 1970.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the preamble to Bill (No. 54) intituled *An Act Respecting Yorkshire Trust Company* has been proved, and the Bill *Ordered* to be reported with amendment.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

B. PRICE, *Chairman.*

## REPORT NO. 5

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,  
March 12, 1970.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the preamble to Bill (No. 51) intituled *An Act to Amend the Vancouver Charter* has been proved, and the Bill *Ordered* to be reported with amendments.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

B. PRICE, *Chairman.*

## REPORT NO. 6

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,  
March 12, 1970.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the preamble to Bill (No. 53) intituled *An Act Respecting Office Administrators* has not been proved to the satisfaction of your Committee, which recommends that the Bill not proceed further.

It is your Committee's opinion that enactment of the proposed Bill would not be in the public interest.

Your Committee recommends that the deposit paid pursuant to Standing Orders by the petitioners be refunded.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

B. PRICE, *Chairman.*

## REPORT NO. 7

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,  
March 12, 1970.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the preamble to Bill (No. 55) intituled *An Act Respecting Marine Surveyors* has not been proved to the satisfaction of your Committee, which recommends that the Bill not proceed further.

It is your Committee's opinion that enactment of the proposed Bill would not be in the public interest.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

B. PRICE, *Chairman.*

The reports were read and received.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. Price, the reports were adopted.



**11** Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:—

1. What are the names of each insurance company selling automobile insurance in British Columbia?

2. What is the actual rate per vehicle being charged for accident benefits under section B of the Standard Automobile Policy by each company selling automobile insurance in British Columbia?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* replied as follows:—

“1. See the Fifty-eighth Annual Report of the Superintendent of Insurance for the year ended December 31, 1968, page B 10, filed in this House on January 22, 1970.

“2. The actual rates have been filed with the Special Legislative Committee on Automobile Insurance.”

**19** Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Provincial Secretary the following question:—

What is the total cost to date of the Wootton Royal Commission on Automobile Insurance?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“\$344,237.”

**156** Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Provincial Secretary the following questions:—

1. Was any advertising placed by newspaper, radio, television, or any other form by the Department of the Provincial Secretary for publication during the period July 1, 1969, to August 31, 1969?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what was the total cost of the advertising, (b) what was the name of each company or individual supplying the service, and (c) what amount was paid in each case for this service?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“1. Yes.

“2. (a) \$11,126.28 (includes \$10,118.32 for advertising Civil Service vacant positions); (b) and (c) The British Columbia Gazette, \$19.50; CKEK Radio, \$315; The Courier, \$105; Daily Free Press, \$11.76; Kelowna Courier, \$5.04; Penticton Herald, \$5.76; Vernon News, \$8.64; Nanaimo Free Press, \$27.72; Nelson News, \$32.20; Courtenay-Comox District Free Press, \$27.77; Cranbrook Courier, \$8.40; Prince George Citizen, \$72.50; Prince Rupert News, \$17.63; Felicitor Canadian Library, \$86.50; Planning Institute of British Columbia, \$9; Town Planning Institute of Canada, \$25; Canadian Medical Journal, \$186; British Medical Journal, \$73; Terrace Omineca Herald, \$6.60; Fort St. John Alaska Highway News, \$12.38; Canadian Library Journal, \$86.50; British Columbia Teacher Federation Journal, \$80; Financial Post, \$243.10; The Social Worker, \$55; The Driftwood, \$2; Cockfield, Brown & Company Limited, \$412.50; New Bulletin of the College of Speech Therapists (English), \$18.20; The Edmonton Journal, \$249.90; The Albertan, \$8.75; The Winnipeg Tribune, \$17.50; The Globe and Mail, \$178.50; The Toronto Star, \$75.90; Montreal Star, \$56; Journal of Canadian Dietetic Association, \$65; Canadian Journal of Public Health, \$100; Port Hardy North Island Gazette, \$4.40; Campbell River Courier, \$12.45; Campbell River Upper Islander, \$3.30; New Westminster Columbian, \$16.32; Belleville

Intelligencer, \$4.55; Amberst News, \$2; Milton Champion, \$5.60; Saskatoon Star-Phoenix, \$9.50; Industrial Canada, \$165; Financial Times, \$73.50; Canadian Nurse, \$135; Canadian Hospital Journal, \$90; Nursing Times (English), \$25; Modern Hospital, \$129.60; Kamloops Sentinel, \$24.50; Clearwater Times, \$6; Richmond Review, \$6.95; Revelstoke Review, \$11.70; Calgary Herald, \$154.29; Regina Leader Post, \$45.92; Toronto Star, \$120.75; Oilweek, \$71.50; Port Alberni Valley Times, \$7; Smithers Interior News, \$6.20; Oak Bay Leader, \$12; Chilliwack Progress, \$34.02; Abbotsford Sumas and Matsqui News, \$8.47; Victoria Press, \$1,227.91; Pacific Press, \$6,008.60; total, \$11,126.28."

**160** Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Labour the following questions:—

1. Was any advertising placed by newspaper, radio, television, or any other form by the Department of Labour for publication during the period July 1, 1969, to August 31, 1969?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what was the total cost of the advertising, (b) what was the name of each company or individual supplying the service, and (c) what amount was paid in each case for this service?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* replied as follows:—

"1. Yes.

"2. (a) \$45,514.89, (b) J. Walter Thompson Company Limited, and (c) \$45,514.89."

**164** Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following questions:—

1. Was any advertising placed by newspaper, radio, television, or any other form by the Department of Education for publication during the period July 1, 1969, to August 31, 1969?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what was the total cost of the advertising, (b) what was the name of each company or individual supplying the service, and (c) what amount was paid in each case for this service?

The Hon. *D. L. Brothers* replied as follows:—

"1. Yes, routine advertising in connection with the operation of technical and vocational training establishments.

"2. (a) \$13,199.89; (b) and (c) Summerland Review, \$26.88; Kamloops Daily Sentinel, \$53.13; Armstrong Advertiser, \$10.08; Salmon Arm Observer, \$25.20; Oliver Chronicle, \$26.88; Osoyoos Times, \$21; Vernon News, \$60.48; Penticton Herald, \$50.40; Kelowna Daily Courier, \$70.56; Kelowna Capital News, \$15.50; Nelson Daily News, \$19.15; Kelowna Daily Courier, \$30.24; Vernon News, \$8.80; Kamloops Daily Sentinel, \$21.84; Prince George Citizen, \$31.50; Victoria Press, \$36; New Westminster Columbian, \$30.11; Pacific Press, \$95.20; Burnaby Examiner, \$15.60; Pacific Press, \$98; Peace River Block News, \$14.70; Nanaimo Daily Free Press, \$54.88; Nelson Daily News, \$47.04; Prince George Progress, \$27.72; Prince George Citizen, \$56; Pacific Press, \$831.60; Victoria Press, \$25.46; Pacific Press, \$616; Prince Rupert Daily News, \$64; Williams Lake Tribune, \$29.70; Nechako Chronicle, \$39.93; Lake District News, \$31.50; North Central Press, \$63; Cariboo Observer, \$36.40; Pacific Press, \$128.80; Victoria Press, \$25.84; Kelowna Daily Courier, \$20.16; Penticton Herald, \$15.12; Vernon

News, \$4.48; Nelson Daily News, \$16.80; Victoria Press, \$91.60; Pacific Press, \$235.20; Peace River Block News, \$80.85; Prince George Citizen, \$245; Nelson Daily News, \$302.40; Nanaimo Free Press, \$70.56; Kelowna Daily Courier, \$295.68; Pacific Press, \$1,724.80; Victoria Press, \$936.32; Alaska Highway News, \$4.50; Peace River Block News, \$2.40; New Westminster Columbian, \$189; North Vancouver Citizen, \$87.50; Nanaimo Daily Free Press, \$2.16; Nanaimo Times, \$15.40; New Westminster Columbian, \$226.38; Pacific Press, \$2,352; Victoria Press, \$171; Pacific Press, \$292.40; Victoria Press, \$106.40; Nanaimo Daily Free Press, \$15.68; Nanaimo Times, \$60; Pacific Press, \$610.40; Victoria Press, \$53.20; Nanaimo Daily Free Press, \$31.26; Nanaimo Times, \$50.60; Pacific Press, \$509.60; Pacific Press, \$274.40; Victoria Press \$26.60; Nanaimo Daily Free Press, \$23.52; Nanaimo Times, \$17.60; Penticton Herald, \$20.16; Vernon News, \$13.44; Kamloops Daily Sentinel, \$27.44; Kelowna Daily Courier, \$40.32; Victoria Press, \$79.04; Pacific Press, \$235.20; Victoria Press, \$136.80; Pacific Press, \$156.80; Pacific Press, \$313.60; Kamloops Daily Sentinel, \$35.28; Prince Rupert News, \$51; Prince George Progress, \$27.72; Prince George Citizen, \$63.”

**165** Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Commercial Transport the following questions:—

1. Was any advertising placed by newspaper, radio, television, or any other form by the Department of Commercial Transport for publication during the period July 1, 1969, to August 31, 1969?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what was the total cost of the advertising, (b) what was the name of each company or individual supplying the service, and (c) what amount was paid in each case for this service?

The Hon. *F. X. Richter* replied as follows:—

“ 1. No.

“ 2. Not applicable.”

**171** Mr. *Gardom* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:—

With reference to the Law Reform Commission:—

1. Who are the members?

2. What is their remuneration?

3. What is their tenure of service?

4. How many staff and (or) counsel are involved, what are their names, remuneration, and tenure of service?

5. Will the Commission be afforded premises and travelling expenses, and what is the estimated cost of the same?

6. What is the estimated cost for the Law Reform Commission for 1970?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* replied as follows:—

“ 1. See Orders in Council Nos. 2886/69, 3790/69, 649/70, and 150/70.

“ 2. See No. 1.

“ 3. Until completion of the study.

“ 4. See No. 1.

“ 5. Yes, presently under negotiation.

“ 6. Presently under study.”

By leave of the House, Mr. *L. A. Williams* withdrew a proposed amendment to Bill (No. 20) standing in his name on the Order Paper.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 10.28 p.m.

---

### Friday, March 13, 1970

---

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Brig. *R. Weddell*.

On the motion of Mr. *Gardom*, Bill (No. 67) intituled *An Act Respecting Proceedings against the Crown* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. D. Black* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

JOHN R. NICHOLSON,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Civil Service Superannuation Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*March 13, 1970.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 48) intituled *An Act to Amend the Civil Service Superannuation Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. D. Black* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

JOHN R. NICHOLSON,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipal Superannuation Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*March 13, 1970.*

*Ordered,* That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved,* That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 49) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipal Superannuation Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.  
Report adopted.  
Bill introduced and read a first time.  
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *D. L. Brothers* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

JOHN R. NICHOLSON,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Public Schools Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*March 13, 1970.*

*Ordered,* That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved,* That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 64) intituled *An Act to Amend the Public Schools Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.  
Report adopted.  
Bill introduced and read a first time.  
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *D. R. J. Campbell* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

JOHN R. NICHOLSON,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipalities Enabling and Validating Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*March 13, 1970.*

*Ordered,* That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved,* That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 43) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipalities Enabling and Validating Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.  
Report adopted.  
Bill introduced and read a first time.  
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *D. R. J. Campbell* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

JOHN R. NICHOLSON,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipal Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*March 13, 1970.*

*Ordered,* That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved,* That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 44) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipal Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.  
Report adopted.  
Bill introduced and read a first time.  
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today:—

On the motion of the Hon. *R. R. Loffmark*, Bill (No. 45) intituled *An Act to Amend the Medical Act*.

On the motion of the Hon. *R. R. Loffmark*, Bill (No. 61) intituled *Vancouver General Hospital Act, 1902*.

On the motion of Mr. *Hartley*, Bill (No. 68) intituled *An Act to Amend the Female Minimum Wage Act*.

Mr. *Hartley* rose on a question of privilege.

Mr. Speaker ruled that the motion made by the honourable member was not in order as essential facts were not known to the House.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 11) intituled *An Act to Amend the Succession Duty Act*, a debate arose.

During the debate, by leave of the House, Mr. *McGeer* tabled copies of documents quoted from by him.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:—

YEAS—33

Messieurs

<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Capozzi</i>
<i>Ney</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Peterson</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Merilees</i>	<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Marshall</i>	<i>McCarthy, Mrs.</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Gagardi</i>
<i>Kripps, Mrs.</i>	<i>Jordan, Mrs.</i>	<i>Campbell, B.</i>	<i>Campbell, D. R. J.</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Dawson, Mrs.</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>Price</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Shelford</i>
<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>McDiarmid</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>LeCours</i>			

NAYS—16

Messieurs

<i>Brousson</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Dowding</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>
<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Clark</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Dailly, Mrs.</i>

PAIR:

Messieurs

*Skillings*                      *Lorimer*

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

The following Bills were read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today:—

Bill (No. 10) intituled *An Act to Amend the Income Tax Act, 1962*.

Bill (No. 4) intituled *An Act to Amend the Provincial New-home Building Assistance Act*.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House reverted to the Order “Presenting Reports by Standing and Special Committees.”

Mr. *Mussallem* presented the First Report of the Select Standing Committee on Public Accounts and Printing, as follows:—

REPORT NO. 1

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,  
March 13, 1970.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Public Accounts and Printing begs leave to report as follows:—

Your Committee summoned Mr. Willard E. Ireland, Chairman of the Public Documents Committee, established by the *Public Documents Disposal Act (Revised Statutes of British Columbia, 1960, c. 134)*, and, having heard the submission on behalf of the Public Documents Committee, recommends that, in accordance with the provisions of the *Public Documents Disposal Act*, approval be given for the destruction of various public documents as listed in the submissions to the Public Documents Committee for 1969 as amended by the Select Standing Committee on Public Accounts and Printing in so far as the following departments of Government are concerned: Commercial Transport; Education; Health Services and Hospital Insurance; Mines and Petroleum Resources; Public Works.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

G. MUSSALLEM, *Chairman*.

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Mussallem*, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

By leave of the House, the Hon. *D. L. Brothers* withdrew a notice to introduce a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Public Schools Act*.

**172** Mr. *Smith* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

1. Has any discussion, written or verbal, taken place between the officials of your Department and the Federal Department of Public Works concerning the construction of a highway from Fort Nelson to Fort Simpson?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) did the Federal Department of Public Works indicate when they will construct that portion of the highway lying between our northern Provincial boundary and Fort Simpson, (b) is this a firm or tentative commitment on their part, (c) has the Federal Department of Public Works indicated a financial contribution toward construction of that portion of this highway between Fort Nelson and our northern boundary?



The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Discussed location of route only.

“ 2. (a) The Federal Department of Public Works published an advance notice of tender call to construct the first 60 miles of the route toward Fort Liard, (b) answered by (a), and (c) no.”

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. on Monday next.

And then the House adjourned at 5.55 p.m.

## Monday, March 16, 1970

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Pastor *A. E. Teal*.

The Hon. *W. D. Black* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

JOHN R. NICHOLSON,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Elderly Citizens' Housing Aid Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*March 16, 1970.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 70) intituled *An Act to Amend the Elderly Citizens' Housing Aid Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.  
Report adopted.  
Bill introduced and read a first time.  
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *D. L. Brothers* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

JOHN R. NICHOLSON,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith amendments to Bill (No. 64) intituled *An Act to Amend the Public Schools Act*, enclosed herewith, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*March 16, 1970.*

## (ENCLOSURE)

Section 25, line 7 of 255 (1): To amend by adding the word "except" after the word "college".

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the amendments accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

## (IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the proposed amendments to Bill (No. 64) intituled *An Act to Amend the Public Schools Act*, a draft of which is annexed to the Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, be referred to the Committee having in charge Bill (No. 64).

Resolution reported.

Report adopted.

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

JOHN R. NICHOLSON,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Regional Hospital Districts Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*March 16, 1970.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

## (IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 69) intituled *An Act to Amend the Regional Hospital Districts Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *F. X. Richter* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

JOHN R. NICHOLSON,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Mineral Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*March 16, 1970.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

## (IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 47) intituled *An Act to Amend the Mineral Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of Mr. *Barrett*, Bill (No. 71) intituled *An Act to Amend the Coal Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

Bill (No. 5) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipalities Aid Act* was read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 6) intituled *An Act to Amend the Provincial Home-owner Grant Act* a debate arose.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to *nemine contradicente* on the following division:—

YEAS—51

Messieurs

<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Price</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Campbell, B.</i>
<i>Merilees</i>	<i>Clark</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>
<i>Marshall</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Brousson</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Bruch</i>	<i>McDiarmid</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>McCarthy, Mrs.</i>	<i>Capozzi</i>
<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Jordan, Mrs.</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Dawson, Mrs.</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Hall</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Gaglardi</i>
<i>Williams, R. A.</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Campbell, D. R. J.</i>
<i>Calder</i>	<i>Dailly, Mrs.</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>Wenman</i>	<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Peterson</i>	<i>Shelford</i>
<i>Kripps, Mrs.</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	

PAIR:

Messieurs

*Skillings*

*Lorimer*

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

The following Bills were read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today:—

Bill (No. 12) intituled *An Act Respecting Motion Pictures*.

Bill (No. 13) intituled *An Act to Amend the Payment of Wages Act*.

Bill (No. 14) intituled *An Act to Amend the Supreme Court Act.*

Bill (No. 15) intituled *Jury Act.*

Bill (No. 16) intituled *An Act to Amend the Consumer Protection Act.*

Bill (No. 17) intituled *An Act to Amend the Land Registry Act.*

Bill (No. 18) intituled *An Act to Amend the Constitution Act.*

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 19) intituled *Corrections Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Barrett*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* (Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance) presented the Seventy-third Annual Report of the Public Health Services for the year ended December 31, 1969.

**16** Mrs. *Dailly* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following question:—

With respect to grants of social assistance made between April 1, 1969, and December 31, 1969, what was the case load and total number of recipients on a monthly basis?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“Social assistance from April 1, 1969, to December 31, 1969:—

	Social Allowance Case Load	Total Number of Recipients of Social Allowance
April, 1969.....	41,919	96,220
May.....	41,677	93,633
June.....	40,998	90,755
July.....	40,672	88,087
August.....	41,946	92,419
September.....	41,290	92,167
October.....	41,658	92,372
November.....	*	*
December, 1969.....	*	*

“ \* Information not yet available.”

**22** Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following question:—

What percentage of school operating costs was paid by the Provincial Government in each school district in the Province in the calendar year 1969?

The Hon. *D. L. Brothers* replied as follows:—

“ The percentages of net budgetted operating expenses paid by the Provincial Government to each school district, as direct grants and exclusive of home-owner grants, for the calendar year 1969 were:—

School District	Percentage	School District	Percentage
No. 1 Fernie.....	43.56	No. 7 Nelson.....	51.11
No. 2 Cranbrook.....	61.52	No. 8 Slocan.....	62.53
No. 3 Kimberley.....	17.92	No. 9 Castlegar.....	36.18
No. 4 Windermere.....	57.71	No. 10 Arrow Lakes.....	65.79

School District	Percentage	School District	Percentage
No. 11 Trail .....	25.53	No. 52 Prince Rupert .....	.....
No. 12 Grand Forks .....	59.05	No. 54 Smithers .....	72.02
No. 13 Kettle Valley .....	62.01	No. 55 Burns Lake .....	60.31
No. 14 Southern Okanagan .....	55.58	No. 56 Vanderhoof .....	46.93
No. 15 Penticton .....	53.14	No. 57 Prince George .....	29.63
No. 16 Keremeos .....	69.65	No. 58 McBride .....	50.95
No. 17 Princeton .....	57.41	No. 59 Peace River South .....	59.79
No. 18 Golden .....	67.62	No. 60 Peace River North .....	22.70
No. 19 Revelstoke .....	63.47	No. 61 Greater Victoria .....	45.31
No. 21 Armstrong-Spallumcheen .....	72.61	No. 62 Sooke .....	56.23
No. 22 Vernon .....	55.99	No. 63 Saanich .....	49.42
No. 23 Kelowna .....	50.90	No. 64 Gulf Islands .....	.....
No. 24 Kamloops .....	44.37	No. 65 Cowichan .....	30.84
No. 25 Barriere .....	66.33	No. 66 Lake Cowichan .....	23
No. 26 Birch Island .....	43.13	No. 67 Ladysmith .....	47.13
No. 27 Williams Lake .....	55.14	No. 68 Nanaimo .....	32.23
No. 28 Quesnel .....	57.85	No. 69 Qualicum .....	37.50
No. 29 Lillooet .....	.....	No. 70 Alberni .....	32.15
No. 30 South Cariboo .....	48.37	No. 71 Courtenay .....	53.84
No. 31 Merritt .....	57.95	No. 72 Campbell River .....	.....
No. 32 Hope .....	43.75	No. 75 Mission .....	57.40
No. 33 Chilliwack .....	67.47	No. 76 Agassiz .....	50.13
No. 34 Abbotsford .....	66.73	No. 77 Summerland .....	57.18
No. 35 Langley .....	63.09	No. 79 Ucluelet-Tofino .....	50.00
No. 36 Surrey .....	61.70	No. 80 Kitimat .....	.....
No. 37 Delta .....	46.05	No. 81 Fort Nelson .....	10.61
No. 38 Richmond .....	55.97	No. 82 Chilcotin .....	78.01
No. 39 Vancouver .....	18.46	No. 83 Portage Mountain .....	38.75
No. 40 New Westminster .....	24.84	No. 84 Vancouver Island West .....	.....
No. 41 Burnaby .....	42.68	No. 85 Vancouver Island North .....	33.72
No. 42 Maple Ridge .....	65.51	No. 86 Creston-Kaslo .....	62.87
No. 43 Coquitlam .....	48.14	No. 87 Stikin .....	36.52
No. 44 North Vancouver .....	42.92	No. 88 Skeena-Cassiar .....	49.19
No. 45 West Vancouver .....	26.61	No. 89 Shuswap .....	62.32
No. 46 Sechelt .....	3.45	* Bamfield .....	72.22
No. 47 Powell River .....	.13	* Belmont Park .....	99.96
No. 48 Howe Sound .....	.....	* Comox Airport .....	99.63
No. 49 Ocean Falls .....	.....	* University Hill .....	19.16
No. 50 Queen Charlotte .....	27.98		

“ \* Unorganized.”

**42** Mrs. *Dailly* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With reference to day-care services:—

1. Is assistance received on a cost-sharing basis under the Canada Assistance Plan?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how much was received in 1969?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. Yes.
- “ 2. \$148,747.28.”

**43** Mrs. *Dailly* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

1. Did any unemployed employables receive social assistance in any social welfare region in the Province in 1969?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what was the total number of recipients in all or any regions of the Province in 1969?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. Region No. 1, 3,375; Region No. 2, 10,755; Region No. 3, 4,209; Region No. 4, 1,954; Region No. 5, 4,306; Region No. 6, 3,386; Region No. 7, 2,000; Region No. 8, 1,224; total, 1969, 31,209.”

**44** Mrs. *Dailly* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

1. Were any cases referred to the Rehabilitation Co-ordinator of the Public Health Branch by the Social Welfare Department during the calendar year 1969?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how many and (b) how many of these cases were successfully rehabilitated during the year?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) Approximately 200 and (b) approximately 90.”

**45** Mrs. *Dailly* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With reference to recipients of social assistance:—

1. Were any recipients referred for vocational training or retraining during 1969?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how many recipients were referred and (b) how many completed training or retraining?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) Approximately 600 and (b) incomplete figures show 174 completed.”

**46** Mrs. *Dailly* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With respect to payments of supplementary social assistance:—

1. How many persons are in receipt of this allowance?

2. How many persons receive the maximum allowance of \$30, and how many receive allowances of \$1 to \$10, of \$11 to \$20, of \$21 to \$25, and of \$26 to \$30?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“ 1. There are presently 11,214 persons (old-age security cases) receiving Supplementary Social Allowance.

“ 2. 2,421 receive the maximum, 3,635 receive \$1 to \$10, 3,800 receive \$11 to \$20, 898 receive \$21 to \$25, and 2,881 receive \$26 to \$30.”

**50** Mr. *Hall* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With reference to boards of review under the social assistance regulations:—

1. How many applications were received for boards (a) in each month of 1969 and (b) for the complete calendar year of 1969?

2. How many boards were set up in 1969?

3. How many cases were resolved in the applicant's favour during 1969?

4. From what areas of the Province were the applications received and how many cases were resolved in the applicant's favour in each area?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“ 1. (a) January, 4; February, 4; March, 3; April, *nil*; May, 2; June, 1; July, *nil*; August, 2; September, *nil*; October 1; November, *nil*; December, 2; and (b) 19.

“ 2. Nineteen.

“ 3. Fifteen.

“ 4. Areas of the Province from which applications received are as follows:—

	No. of Cases	No. of Cases Resolved in the Applicant's Favour
Castlegar.....	1	1
Dawson Creek.....	1	1
Esquimalt.....	1	1
Fort St. John.....	1	--
Mahood Falls.....	1	1
Mount Lehman.....	1	1
Nanaimo.....	1	1
Nelson.....	1	1
North Vancouver.....	1	1
Quesnel.....	1	--
Saanich.....	1	1
Vancouver City.....	4	3
Victoria City.....	4	3”

**51** Mr. *Lorimer* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With reference to the social welfare cost-sharing formula between the Government and the municipalities:—

1. What was the total amount paid by the Government under this formula in the fiscal year 1968/69?

2. What was the total amount paid by the municipalities in the fiscal year 1968/69?

3. In the case of each individual municipality, what was the amount paid by (a) the Government and (b) the municipality?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“ 1. 1968/69, \$60,310,913.96.

“ 2. 1968/69, \$15,077,728.49.

“ 3. See following table:—

Municipality	(a) Amount Paid by the Government during the Fiscal Year 1968/69	(b) Amount Paid by the Individual Municipalities for the Fiscal Year 1968/69
Armstrong.....	\$58,739.80	\$14,684.95
Burnaby.....	4,614,986.92	1,153,746.73
Campbell River.....	321,668.32	80,417.08
Castlegar.....	142,622.24	35,655.56
Central Saanich.....	149,938.88	37,484.72
Chilliwack.....	357,587.76	89,396.94
Chilliwack.....	826,723.44	206,680.86
Comox.....	115,225.92	28,806.48
Coquitlam.....	1,685,411.88	421,352.97
Courtenay.....	217,123.04	54,280.76
Cranbrook.....	323,316.00	80,829.00
Creston.....	121,969.52	30,492.38
Dawson Creek.....	510,451.28	127,612.82
Delta.....	851,191.48	212,797.87
Duncan.....	177,084.40	44,271.10

Municipality	(a) Amount Paid by the Government during the Fiscal Year 1968/69	(b) Amount Paid by the Individual Municipalities for the Fiscal Year 1968/69
Enderby.....	\$45,887.88	\$11,471.97
Esquimalt.....	542,910.56	135,727.64
Fernie.....	114,554.96	28,638.74
Fort St. John.....	309,296.76	77,324.19
Fraser Mills.....	6,204.44	1,551.11
Gold River.....	24,632.80	6,158.20
Grand Forks.....	108,499.72	27,124.93
Greenwood.....	37,525.92	9,381.48
Hope.....	121,434.00	30,358.50
Kamloops.....	913,515.00	228,378.75
Kelowna.....	700,511.16	175,127.79
Kimberley.....	243,074.00	60,768.50
Kinnaird.....	118,864.24	29,716.06
Kitimat.....	403,352.08	100,838.02
Ladysmith.....	140,464.72	35,116.18
City of Langley.....	115,337.60	28,834.40
District of Langley.....	649,474.28	162,368.57
Maple Ridge.....	794,470.12	198,617.53
Matsqui.....	665,703.92	166,425.98
Merritt.....	185,364.00	46,341.00
District of Mission.....	220,418.40	55,104.60
Town of Mission City.....	140,547.12	35,136.78
Nanaimo.....	625,624.08	156,406.02
Nelson.....	391,488.76	97,872.19
New Westminster.....	1,565,831.48	391,457.87
North Cowichan.....	427,737.72	106,934.43
North Saanich.....	119,086.08	29,771.52
City of North Vancouver.....	1,106,046.40	276,511.60
District of North Vancouver.....	1,982,323.80	495,580.95
Oak Bay.....	746,522.60	186,630.65
Penticton.....	631,473.36	157,868.34
Port Alberni.....	763,617.28	190,904.32
Port Alice.....	56,968.52	14,242.13
Port Coquitlam.....	458,096.24	114,524.06
Port Moody.....	289,209.04	72,302.26
Powell River.....	518,112.96	129,528.24
Prince George.....	1,023,384.64	255,846.16
Prince Rupert.....	604,575.00	151,143.75
Quesnel.....	235,824.20	58,956.05
Revelstoke.....	197,350.88	49,337.72
Richmond.....	2,078,548.32	519,637.08
Rossland.....	175,642.68	43,910.67
Saanich.....	2,423,943.24	605,985.81
Salmon Arm.....	198,133.52	49,533.38
Sidney.....	130,372.68	32,593.17
Smithers.....	126,528.60	31,632.15
Squamish.....	204,683.04	51,170.76
Sumas.....	224,290.44	56,072.61
Summerland.....	188,865.32	47,216.33
Surrey.....	3,370,576.60	842,644.15
Terrace.....	355,775.32	88,943.83
Trail.....	481,746.76	120,436.69
Vancouver.....	16,904,167.00	4,226,041.75
Vernon.....	475,346.56	118,836.64
Victoria.....	2,366,603.96	591,650.99
West Vancouver.....	1,317,608.52	329,402.13
White Rock.....	320,762.12	80,190.53
Williams Lake.....	147,961.68	36,990.42
Totals.....	<u>\$60,310,913.96</u>	<u>\$15,077,728.49 "</u>



**66** Mr. *Hall* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following question:—

What was the total number of recipients of Supplementary Social Allowances in Surrey as of December, 1969?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—  
“ 600.”

**68** Mr. *Hall* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

Regarding the Department of Social Welfare, Surrey:—

1. During the year 1969 did any of the employees leave the service in (a) field staff and (b) office staff?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how many, (b) for what reasons, and (c) how many were replaced?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“ 1. (a) Yes, (b) yes (municipal clerical staff), no (Provincial clerical staff).

“ 2. (a) Five members of field staff (two municipal social workers, one municipal welfare aide, and two Provincial social workers) left the employ of the Department of Social Welfare, Surrey, in the year 1969. Two municipal clerical staff were promoted in 1969; (b) the reasons given for termination of service were: Adopting a child, returning to England, starting own business, returning to university, resignation requested; and (c) all positions were replaced.”

**105** Mr. *B. Campbell* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following questions:—

With respect to the regional colleges operating in this Province:—

1. What is the percentage of the operating budgets provided by (a) the Provincial Government and (b) participating school districts?

2. With respect to 1 (b) above, what percentage of the school district's share is provided by the school districts, and what percentage is provided by other revenues such as student fees, etc.?

3. What are the answers to No. 2 above expressed as percentages of the total regional college's budget?

The Hon. *D. L. Brothers* replied as follows:—

“ 1. (a) 60 per cent and (b) 40 per cent.

“ 2. For 1 (b) the school districts provided 55 per cent and 45 per cent was provided by other revenues such as student fees, etc.

“ 3. The school districts provided 22 per cent and 18 per cent was provided by other revenues such as student fees, etc.”

**135** Mr. *Wenman* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following question:—

Regarding British Columbia universities: How many students who met entrance requirements at our universities were denied admission at (a) University of British Columbia, (b) Simon Fraser University, and (c) University of Victoria?

The Hon. *D. L. Brothers* replied as follows:—

“ British Columbia's universities report that in 1969 the number of students who met entrance requirements, but who were denied admission were:—

“ 1. University of Victoria, none.

" 2. Simon Fraser University, none, excepting in the Professional Development Programme where 88 qualified applicants in the fall of 1969, and 82 qualified applicants in the spring of 1970, were not able to be admitted because of a restriction on the total number permitted in this programme.

" 3. University of British Columbia, generally none, excepting in the following faculties, where total enrolment is restricted: Law, 240 eligible applicants were rejected, many of these were not residents of British Columbia; Medicine, 72 eligible British Columbia applicants were rejected; Dentistry, two eligible applicants were rejected; Social Work, 60 eligible applicants were rejected, many of these were not British Columbia residents.

" In both Simon Fraser and the University of British Columbia, students rejected in the special programmes listed might have elected an alternative programme."

**136** Mr. *Wenman* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following questions:—

Regarding British Columbia vocational schools:—

1. How many students applied for admission to British Columbia vocational schools for the fall term of 1969?

2. How many students were admitted?

3. How many students are there who have applied for admission but have not yet been admitted?

The Hon. *D. L. Brothers* replied as follows:—

" 1. The British Columbia vocational schools are in operation twelve months a year with classes commencing at various times, and varying in length from a few weeks up to two years depending on the trade or occupation being taught. Students are, therefore, continuously applying for admission, either directly at the schools or through the Federal Department of Manpower and Immigration which purchases a percentage of the available training spaces from the Province and makes its own selection according to the criteria established in the *Federal Training Act* for adults.

" 2. During the six months, July 1 to December 31, 1969, there were 11,484 students enrolled at various times. As of September 30, 1969, there were 4,893 adult students in training in the regional vocational schools, of this number 2,464, or 50 per cent, were sponsored by Canada Manpower.

" 3. During the six months, July 1 to December 31, 1969, there were 2,370 applicants for whom no immediate training spaces were available. During the month of January, 1970, 1,197 new students were enrolled. The remaining 1,193 applicants will be scheduled into future classes or counselled to apply for another type of programme."

**137** Mr. *Wenman* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following questions:—

Regarding the British Columbia Institute of Technology:—

1. How many students applied for admission to the British Columbia Institute of Technology for the fall semester of 1969?

2. How many students were admitted?

3. How many students are there on lists waiting for admission?

4. What courses were cancelled due to lack of interest and enrolment?

The Hon. *D. L. Brothers* replied as follows:—

“ 1. In September, 1969, 2,384 qualified applicants applied for admission.

“ 2. 1,654 students were accepted and of these 1,561 enrolled.

“ 3. 296 qualified applicants for first year were not admitted due to lack of spaces.

“ 4. None.

“ Total enrolment, September, 1969, British Columbia Institute of Technology: First year students, 1,561; second year students, 1,116; total enrolment, 2,677.”

**141** Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

1. Are there any paraplegics receiving social assistance?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how many male paraplegics, (b) how many female paraplegics, (c) how many are capable of part-time employment, (d) how many are capable of full-time employment, and (e) what is the average amount per month being paid to these paraplegics?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) 33, (b) 12, (c) 5, (d) 2 are in full-time employment and receiving social assistance subsidization, 14 are capable of full-time employment within a sheltered workshop setting if such employment were available, 3 are presently being trained for employment, and (e) approximately \$120 per month.”

**152** Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

1. Was any advertising placed by newspaper, radio, television, or any other form by the Department of Social Welfare for publication during the period July 1, 1969, to August 31, 1969?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what was the total cost of the advertising, (b) what was the name of each company or individual supplying the service, and (c) what amount was paid in each case for this service?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“ 1. None.

“ 2. Answered by No. 1.”

And then the House adjourned at 5.56 p.m.

---

---

**Monday, March 16, 1970**

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

On the motion of Mr. *Gardom*, Bill (No. 72) intituled *An Act for the Regulation of Cigarette Advertising* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 20) intituled *An Act to Amend the Landlord and Tenant Act* a debate arose.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to *nemine contradicente* on the following division:—

YEAS—48

Messieurs

<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Price</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Campbell, B.</i>
<i>Merilees</i>	<i>Clark</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>
<i>Marshall</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Brousson</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>McCarthy, Mrs.</i>	<i>McDiarmid</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Jordan, Mrs.</i>	<i>Capozzi</i>
<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Dawson, Mrs.</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Hall</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Williams, R. A.</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Gagardi</i>
<i>Calder</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Campbell, D. R. J.</i>
<i>Wenman</i>	<i>Daily, Mrs.</i>	<i>Peterson</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>Kripps, Mrs.</i>	<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Shelford</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Richter</i>

PAIR:

Messieurs

*Skillings*                      *Lorimer*

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

The following Bills were read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today:—

Bill (No. 22) intituled *An Act to Amend the Labour Relations Act*.

Bill (No. 23) intituled *An Act to Amend the Chiropractic Act*.

Bill (No. 26) intituled *An Act to Amend the Mental Health Act, 1964*.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 27) intituled *Land Act* a debate arose.

On the motion of Mr. *R. A. Williams* that the debate be adjourned to the next sitting of the House, the House divided.

Motion negated on the following division:—

YEAS—15

Messieurs

<i>Brousson</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Clark</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Daily, Mrs.</i>
<i>Hall</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	

## NAYS—33

## Messieurs

<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Capozzi</i>
<i>Merilees</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Peterson</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Marshall</i>	<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Kripps, Mrs.</i>	<i>McCarthy, Mrs.</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Gaglardi</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Jordan, Mrs.</i>	<i>Campbell, B.</i>	<i>Campbell, D. R. J.</i>
<i>Price</i>	<i>Dawson, Mrs.</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Shelford</i>
<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>McDiarmid</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Chabot</i>			

## PAIRS:

## Messieurs

<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Skillings</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Wenman</i>

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Barrett* that the debate be adjourned to the next sitting of the House, Mr. Speaker ruled the motion out of order on the ground that no intervening proceeding had occurred since the last motion to adjourn the debate.

The debate continued.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:—

## YEAS—42

## Messieurs

<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Jordan, Mrs.</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Merilees</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Dawson, Mrs.</i>	<i>McDiarmid</i>
<i>Marshall</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Capozzi</i>
<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Dailly, Mrs.</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Hall</i>	<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Williams, R. A.</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Peterson</i>	<i>Gaglardi</i>
<i>Calder</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Campbell, D. R. J.</i>
<i>Kripps, Mrs.</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Campbell, B.</i>	<i>Shelford</i>
<i>Price</i>	<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Strachan</i>	<i>McCarthy, Mrs.</i>		

## NAYS—5

## Messieurs

<i>Brousson</i>	<i>Clark</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>
<i>Gardom</i>			

## PAIRS:

## Messieurs

<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>
<i>Wenman</i>	<i>Hartley</i>

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

41 Mrs. *Dailly* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With reference to day-care centres for children:—

1. Are any day-care centres receiving financial subsidies from the Government?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how many centres are there, (b) what is the formula of the subsidy paid, and (c) what was the total amount of subsidy paid to each relevant centre during 1969?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) 80.”

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* stated that, in his opinion, the answers to (b) and (c) should be in the form of a Return and that he had no objection to laying such Return upon the table of the House, and thereupon presented such Return.

The House continued to sit after midnight.

TUESDAY, MARCH 17.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 12.02 p.m.

**Tuesday, March 17, 1970**

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *H. W. Kerley*.

The following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today:—

On the motion of Mr. *Wolfe*, Bill (No. 75) intituled *An Act Respecting Greater Vancouver Regional District Transportation Authority*.

On the motion of the Hon. *Isabel Dawson*, Bill (No. 66) intituled *An Act Respecting Hearing-aid Dealers and Consultants*.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order “Public Bills and Orders.”

The following Bills were read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today:—

Bill (No. 28) intituled *An Act to Amend the Land Surveyors Act*.

Bill (No. 29) intituled *Age of Majority Act*.

Bill (No. 30) intituled *Professional Corporations Act*.

Bill (No. 33) intituled *Litter Act*.

Bill (No. 35) intituled *An Act to Amend the Adoption Act*.

Bill (No. 36) intituled *An Act to Amend the Protection of Children Act*.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 34) intituled *An Act to Amend the Veterinary Medical Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mrs. Dailly, for Mr. Lorimer, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Bill (No. 37) intituled *An Act to Amend the Forest Act* was read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 38) intituled *British Columbia Professional Foresters Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. Nimsick, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Bill (No. 39) intituled *An Act to Amend the Legal Professions Act* was read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 40) intituled *Mineral Processing Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. Calder, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 43) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipalities Enabling and Validating Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. Lorimer, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 44) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipal Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. Lorimer, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 45) intituled *An Act to Amend the Medical Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. Dowding, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 47) intituled *An Act to Amend the Mineral Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. Calder, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The following Bills were read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting today:—

Bill (No. 48) intituled *An Act to Amend the Civil Service Superannuation Act*.

Bill (No. 49) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipal Superannuation Act*.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 61) intituled *Vancouver General Hospital Act, 1902*, a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. Dowding, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 64) intituled *An Act to Amend the Public Schools Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mrs. Daily, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 69) intituled *An Act to Amend the Regional Hospital Districts Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. Dowding, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 63) intituled *An Act to Amend the Pollution Control Act, 1967*, a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. Hall, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 70) intituled *An Act to Amend the Elderly Citizens' Housing Aid Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. Barrett, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 19) intituled *Corrections Act*.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

Bill (No. 4) intituled *An Act to Amend the Provincial New-home Building Assistance Act* was committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8 o'clock p.m. today.

**162** Mr. Barrett asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

1. Was any advertising placed by newspaper, radio, television, or any other form by the Department of Highways for publication during the period July 1, 1969, to August 31, 1969?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what was the total cost of the advertising, (b) what was the name of each company or individual supplying the service, and (c) what amount was paid in each case for this service?

The Hon. W. D. Black replied as follows:—

" 1. Yes; covering contract tender calls, traffic conditions, ferry travel promotion, and schedule changes.

" 2. (a) \$34,927.12; (b) and (c) Vancouver Sun, \$3,113.15; Vancouver Province, \$1,424.85; Journal of Commerce, \$2,488; Calgary Herald, \$297.11; Calgary Albertan, \$235.20; Edmonton Journal, \$353.94; Heavy Construction News, \$837; Kamloops Sentinel, \$117.80; Alaska Highway News, Fort St. John, \$54.40; Omineca Herald, Terrace, \$60.86; Salmon Arm Observer, \$52.20; Prince George Citizen, \$158.20; Prince George Progress, \$127.20; Interior News, Smithers, \$38.20; Fernie Free Press, \$29.44; Prince Rupert News, \$38.33; Revelstoke Review, \$28.26; Victoria Press, \$72.67; Golden Star, \$29.26; Sechelt Peninsula Times, \$26.95; Foster, Young, Ross Anthony & Associates Limited, \$23,702.67; Powell River News, \$35.20; Vernon News, \$14.40; Creston Review, \$13.50; Creston Valley Advance, \$20.25; Nelson Daily News, \$35; Quesnel News, \$48.80; Chilliwack Progress, \$28.26; Nanaimo Free Press, \$30; Comox



District Free Press, \$22; The Upper Island, Campbell River, \$12.24; Trail Times, \$36.75; Lake District News, Burns Lake, \$16.80; Cranbrook Courier, \$18.40; The Townsman, Cranbrook, \$16.45; Williams Lake Tribune, \$21.20; Williams Lake News, \$29.70; Nechako Chronicle, \$11.68; Gordon Rowntree & Company Limited, \$476.37; Queen's Printer, \$754.43."

And then the House adjourned at 5.56 p.m.

---

---

## Tuesday, March 17, 1970

---

EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

JOHN R. NICHOLSON,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith amendments to Bill (No. 20) intituled *An Act to Amend the Landlord and Tenant Act*, enclosed herewith, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*March 17, 1970.*

(ENCLOSURE)

Section 2:

1. In clause (b) of subsection (1) of the proposed new section 34, by adding, after the word "purposes" in the second line, the words "where the rent payable does not exceed five hundred dollars per month."

2. In subsection (2) of the proposed new section 37, by adding, after the word "annually" in the first line, the words ", or fifteen days after the tenancy is terminated, whichever is earlier,".

3. In subsection (2) of the proposed new section 60, by striking out the word "fifteen" in the fourth line and substituting the word "ten".

4. By adding, after the proposed new section 66 of the Act, the following as section 67:—

*"Regulations*

"67. For the purpose of carrying out the provisions of this Act according to their intent, the Lieutenant-Governor in Council may make such regulations and orders as are ancillary thereto and not inconsistent therewith and as are considered necessary or advisable; and every regulation or order made under this section shall be deemed part of the Act and has the force of law, and, without restricting the generality of the foregoing, may make regulations

"(a) respecting applications to Court under this Part and prescribing the rules of practice and procedure and the forms to be used on such applications;

"(b) prescribing short forms of tenancy agreements, and respecting words and expressions used therein and their extended meaning;

“(c) defining for the purpose of this Act or the regulations, a word or expression not defined in this Part; and

“(d) generally respecting any matter required for the purposes of this Act.”

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the amendments accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the proposed amendments to Bill (No. 20) intituled *An Act to Amend the Landlord and Tenant Act*, a draft of which is annexed to the Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, be referred to the Committee having in charge Bill (No. 20).

Resolution reported.

Report adopted.

On the motion of the Hon. *L. R. Peterson*, the following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today:—

Bill (No. 73) intituled *An Act to Amend the Summary Convictions Act*.

Bill (No. 65) intituled *An Act to Amend the Securities Act, 1967*.

The Hon. *D. R. J. Campbell* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

JOHN R. NICHOLSON,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Establish the Municipal Finance Authority of British Columbia*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*March 17, 1970.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 74) intituled *An Act to Establish the Municipal Finance Authority of British Columbia*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of Mr. *Clark*, the following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today:—

Bill (No. 76) intituled *Credit Information Protection Act*.

Bill (No. 77) intituled *An Act Respecting the Broadcasting of Debates and Proceedings of the Legislative Assembly of British Columbia*.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order “Public Bills and Orders.”

The following Bills were committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed:—

Bill (No. 5) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipalities Aid Act*.

Bill (No. 6) intituled *An Act to Amend the Provincial Home-owner Grant Act*.

Bill (No. 10) intituled *An Act to Amend the Income Tax Act, 1962*.

Bill (No. 11) intituled *An Act to Amend the Succession Duty Act* was committed, reported complete without amendment.

The Committee further reported that in consideration of section 1 the Committee divided.

The Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *McGeer*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the division on section 1 be recorded in the Journals of the House as follows:—

#### YEAS—33

##### Messieurs

<i>Wallace</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Capozzi</i>
<i>Ney</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Skillings</i>
<i>Merilees</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Peterson</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Marshall</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Wenman</i>	<i>McCarthy, Mrs.</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Campbell, D. R. J.</i>
<i>Kripps, Mrs.</i>	<i>Jordan, Mrs.</i>	<i>Campbell, B.</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Dawson, Mrs.</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>Shelford</i>
<i>Price</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>McDiarmid</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Vogel</i>			

#### NAYS—16

##### Messieurs

<i>Brousson</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Clark</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Dowding</i>
<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Barrett</i>

#### PAIR:

Mr. *Smith*

Mrs. *Dailly*

The Committee further reported that in consideration of section 5 the Committee divided.

The Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Barrett*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the division on section 5 be recorded in the Journals of the House as follows:—

## YEAS—34

## Messieurs

<i>Wallace</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Capozzi</i>
<i>Ney</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Skillings</i>
<i>Merilees</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Peterson</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Marshall</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Wenman</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Campbell, D. R. J.</i>
<i>Kripps, Mrs.</i>	<i>McCarthy, Mrs.</i>	<i>Campbell, B.</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Jordan, Mrs.</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>Shelford</i>
<i>Price</i>	<i>Dawson, Mrs.</i>	<i>McDiarmid</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>		

## NAYS—16

## Messieurs

<i>Brousson</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Clark</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Dowding</i>
<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Barrett</i>

## PAIR:

Mr. *Smith*Mrs. *Dailly*

The Committee further reported that in consideration of section 6 the Committee divided.

The Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *L. R. Peterson*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the division on section 6 be recorded in the Journals of the House as follows:—

## YEAS—50

## Messieurs

<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Kripps, Mrs.</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Fraser</i>
<i>Ney</i>	<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Campbell, B.</i>
<i>Merilees</i>	<i>Price</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>
<i>Marshall</i>	<i>C'ark</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>McDiarmid</i>
<i>Brousson</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>McCarthy, Mrs.</i>	<i>Capozzi</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Jordan, Mrs.</i>	<i>Skillings</i>
<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Dawson, Mrs.</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Campbell, D. R. J.</i>
<i>Hall</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>Williams, R. A.</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Peterson</i>	<i>Shelford</i>
<i>Calder</i>	<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Wenman</i>	<i>LeCours</i>		

## PAIR:

Mr. *Smith*Mrs. *Daily*

The Committee further reported that in consideration of section 12 the Committee divided.

The Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Barrett*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the division on section 12 be recorded in the Journals of the House as follows:—

## YEAS—34

## Messieurs

<i>Wallace</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Capozzi</i>
<i>Ney</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Skillings</i>
<i>Merilees</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Peterson</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Marshall</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Wenman</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Campbell, D. R. J.</i>
<i>Kripps, Mrs.</i>	<i>McCarthy, Mrs.</i>	<i>Campbell, B.</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Jordan, Mrs.</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>Shelford</i>
<i>Price</i>	<i>Dawson, Mrs.</i>	<i>McDiarmid</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>		

## NAYS—16

## Messieurs

<i>Brousson</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Clark</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Dowding</i>
<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Barrett</i>

## PAIR:

Mr. *Smith*Mrs. *Daily*

On the motion that the Bill be read a third time now a debate arose.

Mr. *Dowding* moved in amendment, seconded by Mr. *Barrett*, that the word “now” be deleted and the words “six months hence” be substituted therefor.

The House divided.

The amendment was negatived on the following division:—

## YEAS—16

## Messieurs

<i>Brousson</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Clark</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Dowding</i>
<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Barrett</i>

## NAYS—35

## Messieurs

<i>Wallace</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Capozzi</i>
<i>Ney</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Skillings</i>
<i>Merilees</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Marshall</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Peterson</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Wenman</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Campbell, D. R. J.</i>
<i>Kripps, Mrs.</i>	<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>McCarthy, Mrs.</i>	<i>Campbell, B.</i>	<i>Shelford</i>
<i>Price</i>	<i>Jordan, Mrs.</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Dawson, Mrs.</i>	<i>McDiarmid</i>	

## PAIR:

Mr. *Smith*Mrs. *Daily*

The debate resumed.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:—

## YEAS—35

## Messieurs

<i>Wallace</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Capozzi</i>
<i>Ney</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Skillings</i>
<i>Merilees</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Marshall</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Peterson</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Wenman</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Campbell, D. R. J.</i>
<i>Kripps, Mrs.</i>	<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>McCarthy, Mrs.</i>	<i>Campbell, B.</i>	<i>Shelford</i>
<i>Price</i>	<i>Jordan, Mrs.</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Dawson, Mrs.</i>	<i>McDiarmid</i>	

## NAYS—16

## Messieurs

<i>Brousson</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Clark</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Dowding</i>
<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Barrett</i>

## PAIR:

Mr. *Smith*Mrs. *Daily*

Bill read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 12) intituled *An Act Respecting Motion Pictures* was committed, reported complete without amendment.

The Committee further reported that in consideration of section 5 the Committee divided.

The Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Barrett*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the division on section 5 be recorded in the Journals of the House as follows:—

## YEAS—17

## Messieurs

<i>Brousson</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Dowding</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>
<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Clark</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Daily, Mrs.</i>
<i>Lorimer</i>			

## NAYS—33

## Messieurs

<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Capozzi</i>
<i>Ney</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Skillings</i>
<i>Merilees</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Peterson</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Marshall</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Kripps, Mrs.</i>	<i>McCarthy, Mrs.</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Campbell, D. R. J.</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Jordan, Mrs.</i>	<i>Campbell, B.</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>Price</i>	<i>Dawson, Mrs.</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>Shelford</i>
<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>McDiarmid</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>LeCours</i>			

Bill read a third time and passed.

The House continued to sit after midnight.

## WEDNESDAY, MARCH 18.

Bill (No. 13) intituled *An Act to Amend the Payment of Wages Act* was committed, reported complete with amendment. Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

The Committee further reported that in consideration of section 2 the Committee divided.

The Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Barrett*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the division on section 2 be recorded in the Journals of the House as follows:—

## YEAS—18

## Messieurs

<i>Brousson</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Daily, Mrs.</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Clark</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>LeCours</i>
<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>McGeer</i>		

## NAYS—32

## Messieurs

<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Capozzi</i>
<i>Ney</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Skillings</i>
<i>Merilees</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Peterson</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Marshall</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Wenman</i>	<i>McCarthy, Mrs.</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Campbell, D. R. J.</i>
<i>Kripps, Mrs.</i>	<i>Jordan, Mrs.</i>	<i>Campbell, B.</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Dawson, Mrs.</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>Shelford</i>
<i>Price</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>McDiarmid</i>	<i>Richter</i>

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 12.12 a.m.

---

---

## Wednesday, March 18, 1970

---

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *S. Parsons*.

By leave of the House, the Hon. *W. D. Black* (Provincial Secretary) presented the Medical Services Commission of British Columbia Over-all Medical Services Plan Financial Statements for the period from July 1, 1968, to March 31, 1969.

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

JOHN R. NICHOLSON,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Hospital Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*March 18, 1970.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 78) intituled *An Act to Amend the Hospital Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today:—

On the motion of Mr. *Little*, Bill (No. 80) intituled *An Act for the Recognition of Independent Schools*.

On the motion of Mr. *Wenman*, Bill (No. 81) intituled *An Act to Establish the W. W. Hastings Wildlife Waterfowl Management Area*.

Order for Committee of Supply called.



Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

141. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$36,288 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1971.

The Committee reported the Resolution.  
Report to be considered at the next sitting.  
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mrs. *Daily*, Notices of Questions numbered 146 and 147 standing in her name on the Order Paper were withdrawn.

**39** Mr. *Cocke* asked the Hon. the Provincial Secretary the following questions:—

With reference to the operations of the British Columbia Over-all Medical Services Plan, including all carriers under the plan, for the period July 1, 1968, to March 31, 1969:—

1. What are the names, salaries, or wages paid and the job classifications of every employee?
2. Who were the recipients of each expenditure in excess of \$500?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“ 1. The direct employees of the Medical Services Commission are detailed in the financial statements of the Medical Services Commission (*see* answer to Part 2). Other detail is not available as employees of the licensed carriers are paid by their societies and reimbursement is made in bulk to each licensed carrier society for that proportion of the gross salaries and employee benefits for the cost of carrying out the duties and responsibilities of the public sector assigned to the licensed carrier by the Medical Services Commission (*see* answer to Question 129).

“ 2. *See* financial statements of the Medical Services Commission for the fiscal period ended March 31, 1969, tabled in the House today.”

**108** Mr. *Nimsick* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following questions:—

1. How many game checks were operating in Game Management Area No. 11 during 1959, 1960, 1968, and 1969?
2. What centres were they operating from in each of these years?
3. What were the number of days that each game check operated for each year?
4. What was the total number of hunters checked at each of these game checks each year respectively?
5. What was the total number of hunter days for each game check each year respectively?

6. How many of the following were checked through each of the checks each year respectively: Bull elk, antlerless elk, buck deer, antlerless deer, bull moose, and antlerless moose?

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Major highway game-check stations operating in Game Management Area No. 11 were: 1959, 3; 1960, 3; 1968, 2; 1969, 2. A number of casual and supplementary game checks have been carried out in past years which are not included above.

“ 2. Stations operated were located as follows: 1959, Cranbrook, Kimberley, and Elko; 1960, Cranbrook, Kimberley, and Elko; 1968, Cranbrook and Kimberley; 1969, Cranbrook and Kimberley.

“ 3. Number of days check stations were operated:—

Year	Cranbrook	Kimberley	Elko
1959.....	9	10	6
1960.....	11	14	11
1968.....	36	36	-----
1969.....	31	31	-----

“ Checks operated in 1968 and 1969 were changed to a random week-end basis. Earlier checks were designed to coincide with major week-ends such as holiday periods and opening days.

“ 4. Hunters checked:—

Year	Cranbrook	Kimberley	Elko
1959.....	3,345	3,990	1,144
1960.....	4,859	3,662	1,525
1968.....	4,048	4,335	-----
1969.....	4,213	3,931	-----

“ 5. Hunter days recorded at check stations:—

Year	Cranbrook	Kimberley	Elko
1959.....	4,003	4,719	1,205
1960.....	5,697	5,743	1,549
1968.....	8,933	5,223	-----
1969.....	8,478	4,923	-----

“ 6. Animals checked by stations (a number of kills were recorded on most checks that could not be classified by sex and age):—

	1959		Elko
	Cranbrook	Kimberley	
Bull elk.....	49	105	32
Antlerless elk.....	53	14	-----
Buck deer.....	415	391	117
Antlerless deer.....	657	557	274
Bull moose.....	3	4	1
Antlerless moose.....	-----	-----	-----

	1960		Elko
	Cranbrook	Kimberley	
Bull elk.....	80	76	26
Antlerless elk.....	44	59	24
Buck deer.....	344	304	103
Antlerless deer.....	543	386	198
Bull moose.....	12	10	2
Antlerless moose.....	-----	-----	-----

	1968		Elko
	Cranbrook	Kimberley	
Bull elk.....	181	53	-----
Antlerless elk.....	165	62	-----
Buck deer.....	277	136	-----
Antlerless deer.....	247	86	-----
Bull moose.....	32	7	-----
Antlerless moose.....	25	7	-----

	1969		
	Cranbrook	Kimberley	Elko
Bull elk.....	63	18	-----
Antlerless elk.....	63	30	-----
Buck deer.....	128	81	-----
Antlerless deer.....	83	29	-----
Bull moose.....	21	4	-----
Antlerless moose.....	27	7	-----

"A note of explanation appears warranted with this reply. Road checks are not designed to produce a measure of the annual kill between sexes of game animals. This is done by the hunter sample.

"Road checks provide biological data used in determining population trends, structure, such as age classes of the kill and other specific information. Daily hunter success is obtained on road checks, but this information is highly subject to variation from weather conditions, time of season, etc. The hunter sample provides the only accurate measure of season success.

"The accompanying tables included with this reply summarize the answers to the questions that have been prepared.

"Hunter success trends are going down in the East Kootenay, and this does reflect declining populations of big-game animals in the region. The decline in big-game populations has not been caused by antlerless seasons. Populations appear to be adjusting to diminishing range-carrying capacity. The severe winter of 1968/69 also depressed game populations and 1969 hunting success in some measure beyond what would otherwise have been expected."

**179** Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following question:—

With the rehabilitation of the One-mile Chain Lakes, in the Princeton area, a major camping problem is anticipated—all these lakes are adjacent to the highway.

Is the Minister considering developing adequate camping facilities on the following lakes: Round, Dry, McCaffery, Laird, Taylor, and Gladstone and, if so, when?

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* replied as follows:—

"No. While the Department maintains a Provincial park at Allison Lake and has been instrumental in the reservation of other areas on the lakes of this chain, early development of additional camp-sites there is not anticipated at this time."

And then the House adjourned at 5.56 p.m.

**Thursday, March 19, 1970**

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *G. Smith*.

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

JOHN R. NICHOLSON,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Health Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*March 18, 1970.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 79) intituled *An Act to Amend the Health Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

142. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$136,129 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, General Administration, to 31st March, 1971.

143. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$3,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Grant to British Columbia Natural Resources Conference, to 31st March, 1971.

144. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$97,436 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Accounting Division, to 31st March, 1971.

145. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$302,040 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Lands Branch, Land Administration Division, to 31st March, 1971.

146. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$300,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Lands Branch, Pre-servicing Crown Lands for Sale or Lease, to 31st March, 1971.

147. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$489,513 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Lands Branch, Land Inspection Division, to 31st March, 1971.

148. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$41,722 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Surveys and Mapping Branch, Administration, to 31st March, 1971.

149. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$31,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources,

Lands Service, Surveys and Mapping Branch, Special Commitments, to 31st March, 1971.

150. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$732,686 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Surveys and Mapping Branch, Legal Surveys Division, to 31st March, 1971.

151. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$233,402 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Surveys and Mapping Branch, Geographic Division, to 31st March, 1971.

152. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$544,804 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Surveys and Mapping Branch, Topographic Division, to 31st March, 1971.

153. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$525,780 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Surveys and Mapping Branch, Air Division, to 31st March, 1971.

154. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, *University Endowment Lands Administration Act*, to 31st March, 1971.

155. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$19,472,331 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, General Administration, Protection, and Management of Forests, to 31st March, 1971.

156. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$3,500,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Reforestation and Forest Nursery, to 31st March, 1971.

157. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$17,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Forestry and Correction Camp Programme, to 31st March, 1971.

158. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$201,758 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Forest Research, to 31st March, 1971.

159. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$61,950 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Public Information and Education, to 31st March, 1971.

160. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$160,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Forest Service Training-school, to 31st March, 1971.

161. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$17,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Grant to Canadian Forestry Association, to 31st March, 1971.

162. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,923,010 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Engineering Services and Forest-development Roads, to 31st March, 1971.

163. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,500,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Fire Suppression, to 31st March, 1971.

164. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$986,221 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Forest Inventory, to 31st March, 1971.

165. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Scaling Fund, to 31st March, 1971.

166. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,583,030 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Silviculture, to 31st March, 1971.

167. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$191,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Grazing Range Improvement Fund, to 31st March, 1971.

168. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$20,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Peace River Community Pastures, to 31st March, 1971.

169. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$3,126,218 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Reservoir Waterway Improvements, to 31st March, 1971.

---

The Chairman reported the Resolutions.  
Report to be considered at the next sitting.  
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

At the hour of 6 o'clock p.m. Mr. Speaker left the chair.

---

## Thursday, March 19, 1970

EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

170. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$116,145 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, General Administration, to 31st March, 1971.

171. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$682,202 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Water Rights Branch, to 31st March, 1971.

172. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$25,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Assistance to Improvement Districts, to 31st March, 1971.

173. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$21,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Canadian Council of Resource Ministers, to 31st March, 1971.

174. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$45,864 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Inspector of Dykes, to 31st March, 1971.

175. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$122,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, River-bank Protection, to 31st March, 1971.

176. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$730,784 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Water Investigations Branch, to 31st March, 1971.

177. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,183,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Investigations, Hydraulic Surveys, and Projects, to 31st March, 1971.

178. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$200,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Environmental Quality Studies, to 31st March, 1971.

179. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$94,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Okanagan Flood Control, to 31st March, 1971.

180. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$6,700,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, *Canada-British Columbia Joint Development Act*, to 31st March, 1971.

181. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$80,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, British Columbia Hydrometric Stream-gauging, to 31st March, 1971.

182. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$335,853 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Southern Okanagan Lands Project, to 31st March, 1971.

183. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$620,398 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Pollution Control, to 31st March, 1971.

184. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$7,000,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Preparation of Libby Reservoir, to 31st March, 1971.

42. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$14,260 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Commercial Transport, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1971.

43. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$92,630 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Commercial Transport, General Administration, to 31st March, 1971.

44. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$122,546 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Commercial Transport, Engineering Branch, to 31st March, 1971.

45. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$762,450 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Commercial Transport, Weigh-scale Branch, to 31st March, 1971.

-----  
 The Committee reported the Resolutions.  
 Report to be considered at the next sitting.  
 Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

**206** Mrs. *Daily* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With reference to the Provincial Alliance of Businessmen for the fiscal year 1969/70:—

1. Who are the members of the Alliance?
2. What are the names, functions, and salaries paid to all persons employed?
3. What are the full details of revenue and expenditure?
4. How many persons have been placed in permanent employment by the Alliance?
5. How many persons have been placed in temporary employment by the Alliance?
6. What was the breakdown by age-group and sex of persons placed in employment?
7. Were any persons placed in employment in Government services or Crown agencies?
8. If the answer to No. 7 is yes, (a) how many persons were placed and in what departments or agencies and (b) how many placements were temporary and how many permanent?
9. Were any persons placed in employment who were at the time of placement recipients of social assistance?
10. If the answer to No. 9 is yes, (a) how many persons were placed by age-group and sex, (b) how many of the placements were permanent and how many temporary, and (c) how many of those placed were heads of families?
11. What is the location and address of each Alliance office in British Columbia?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Chairman, *Hon. P. A. Gaglardi*; committee members, David G. Anstey, Co-ordinator of Adult Technical and Vocational Training, Department of Education; John Melville, Assistant Deputy Minister of Labour, stationed in Vancouver; and Norman S. Brooke, Supervisor of the Social Assistance and Rehabilitation Division of the Department of Social Welfare.

“ 2. R. S. Price, Executive Assistant, salary, \$1,050 per month, under the direction of the Minister; E. N. Delmonico, Regional Director, Vancouver, salary, \$730 per month; A. B. Erskine, Regional Director, Nanaimo, salary, \$730 per month; N. J. H. D'Arcy, Regional Director, Prince George, salary, \$730 per month; D. G. Stewart, Regional Director, Kamloops, salary, \$730 per month; D. A. Chapman, Regional Director, Kelowna, salary, \$850 per month; to set up and administer the regional office which comes under the individual's jurisdiction, and to establish liaison with prospective employers, welfare, and other groups interested in the employment and rehabilitation of the hard-core unemployed employables.

“ B. Hobbs, Assistant Regional Director, Vancouver, salary, \$500 per month; to assist the Regional Director.



" Mrs. M. Carroll, Senior Clerk, Victoria, salary, \$517 per month; to gather statistical data as and when required by the Minister, to supervise the activities of the clerical staff, to order and maintain supplies in all offices, to interview applicants and process their applications, to act in a secretarial and clerical capacity in the Victoria office.

" Miss E. J. McLeod, Receptionist-Secretary, Vancouver, salary, \$411 per month; Mrs. A. Borgal, Receptionist-Secretary, Nanaimo, salary, \$328 per month; Miss D. R. Lucas, Receptionist-Secretary, Kamloops, salary, \$310 per month; Mrs. J. Murphy, Receptionist-Secretary, Kelowna, salary, \$411 per month; and Mrs. E. Robertson, Receptionist-Secretary, Prince George, salary, \$411 per month; under the direction of the Regional Director, to act as secretary-receptionist and to undertake all clerical duties which are required, to accept applications for employment, and to assist the Regional Director to interview when so required.

" Mrs. I. L. Swanston, Clerk-Stenographer, Victoria, salary, \$328 per month; Miss D. T. Renard, Clerk-Stenographer, Vancouver, salary, \$328 per month; Miss M. Nygard, Clerk-Stenographer, Kamloops, salary, \$328 per month; under direction of the Regional Director and secretary, to perform stenographic and clerical duties as required.

" 3. Statement as at January 31, 1970:—

Grants, Province of British Columbia .....	\$140,000.00
Less expenditures—	
Salaries and wages .....	\$61,577.55
Travel expenses .....	7,651.93
Office expense, gross .....	\$9,905.88
Less revenue .....	38.90
	9,866.98
Office rentals .....	11,793.16
Office furniture and equipment .....	20,254.28
Incidentals .....	472.50
	111,616.40
Unexpended as at January 31, 1970 .....	\$28,383.60

" 4. To January 31, 1970, 910; to date, 1,281.

" 5. To January 31, 1970, 167.

" 6. The following information is approximate:—

Age-group	Male	Female
Under 20 .....	173	33
21-30 .....	262	60
31-40 .....	160	46
41-50 .....	162	32
51-60 .....	102	23
Over 60 .....	21	3

" 7. Yes.

" 8. (a) 96 persons were placed in the following: Federal Postal Service, Department of National Health and Welfare, Department of Recreation and Conservation, Department of Highways, Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Department of Labour, British Columbia Ferries, British Columbia Liquor Control Board, Haney Correctional Institute, Air Canada, Department of Public Works, Canadian Pacific Railway Company, British Columbia Vocational School, Department of Attorney-General (Motor-vehicle Branch), Registrar of Voters, Provincial Alliance of Businessmen; and (b) permanent, 83; temporary, 13.

" 9. Yes.

“ 10. (a) Recipients of social assistance placed in employment were:—

Age-group	Male	Female
Under 20.....	42	10
21-30.....	71	15
31-40.....	59	16
41-50.....	56	11
51-60.....	28	3
Over 60.....	7	---

“(b) Permanent, 282; temporary, 47; and (c) not available.

“ 11. Victoria, Room 316, Central Building, 620 View Street; Vancouver, 18th Floor, Avord Towers, 777 Hornby Street; Nanaimo, No. 9, 495 Dunsmuir Street; Prince George, No. 38, Provincial Government Building; Kamloops, Canada Permanent Trust Building, 180 Seymour Street; Kelowna, No. 9, 1638 Pandosy Street.”

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* (Minister of Social Welfare) presented the Annual Report of the Department of Social Welfare for the year ended March 31, 1969.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 11.35 p.m.

**Friday, March 20, 1970**

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *J. Moore*.

On the motion of Mr. *R. A. Williams*, Bill (No. 84) intituled *An Act Regarding Conflicts of Interest* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

JOHN R. NICHOLSON,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Motor-vehicle Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*March 20, 1970.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

## (IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 82) intituled *An Act to Amend the Motor-vehicle Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

JOHN R. NICHOLSON,  
*Lieutenant-Governor.*

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend and Repeal Certain Provisions of the Statute Law*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,*  
*March 20, 1970.*

*Ordered*, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

## (IN THE COMMITTEE)

*Resolved*, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 83) intituled *An Act to Amend and Repeal Certain Provisions of the Statute Law*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

---

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *R. G. Williston*, it was *Ordered* that there be substituted in lieu of Bill (No. 27) intituled *Land Act*, the Bill in revised form for consideration in Committee of the Whole House.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

## (IN THE COMMITTEE)

185. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$42,548 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1971.

186. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,600,566 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, General Administration, to 31st March, 1971.

187. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$32,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, Grants and Subsidies, to 31st March, 1971.

188. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$500,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, Grants in Aid of Mining Roads and Trails, to 31st March, 1971.

189. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$250,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, Grants in Aid of Roads and Trails, Petroleum and Natural Gas, to 31st March, 1971.

190. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,750,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, Construction of Cassiar—Stewart Road, to 31st March, 1971.

191. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$40,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, Grub-staking Prospectors, to 31st March, 1971.

192. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$100,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, Special Mineral Surveys, to 31st March, 1971.

193. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$150,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, *Iron Bounty Act*, to 31st March, 1971.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.  
Report to be considered at the next sitting.  
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Committee further reported that in consideration of Vote 193 the Committee divided.

The Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Barrett*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the division on Vote 193 be recorded in the Journals of the House as follows:—

## YEAS—30

## Messieurs

<i>Wallace</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Peterson</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Ney</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Merilees</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Campbell, B.</i>	<i>Gaglardi</i>
<i>Marshall</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>Campbell, D. R. J.</i>
<i>Wenman</i>	<i>McCarthy, Mrs.</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>Kripps, Mrs.</i>	<i>Dawson, Mrs.</i>	<i>Capozzi</i>	<i>Shelford</i>
<i>Price</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Bennett</i>		

## NAYS—16

## Messieurs

<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Dowding</i>
<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Clark</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Dailly, Mrs.</i>

PAIR:

Messieurs

*Kiernan**Brousson*

On the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

The House resumed the adjourned debates on the motions for the second reading of the following Bills:—

Bill (No. 34) intituled *An Act to Amend the Veterinary Medical Act.*

Bill (No. 38) intituled *British Columbia Professional Foresters Act.*

Bill (No. 40) intituled *Mineral Processing Act.*

Bill (No. 43) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipalities Enabling and Validating Act.*

Bill (No. 44) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipal Act.*

Bill (No. 45) intituled *An Act to Amend the Medical Act.*

Bills read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 47) intituled *An Act to Amend the Mineral Act.*

The debate continued.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:—

YEAS—33

Messieurs

<i>Wallace</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Skillings</i>
<i>Ney</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Merilees</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Peterson</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Marshall</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Gaglardi</i>
<i>Wenman</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Campbell, B.</i>	<i>Campbell, D. R. J.</i>
<i>Kripps, Mrs.</i>	<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>McCarthy, Mrs.</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Shelford</i>
<i>Price</i>	<i>Dawson, Mrs.</i>	<i>Capozzi</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Vogel</i>			

NAYS—16

Messieurs

<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Dowding</i>
<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Clark</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Daily, Mrs.</i>

PAIR:

Messieurs

*Kiernan**Brousson*

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* (Premier) presented the Thirty-first Annual Report of the Public Utilities Commission under the *Public Utilities Act* for the year ended December 31, 1969, and a report of activities pursuant to the *Cemeteries Act* and the *Prearranged Funeral Services Act*.

**149** Mr. *Lorimer* asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Council the following questions:—

1. Has British Columbia Hydro purchased any egg timers during the present fiscal year?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how many timers were purchased, (b) what was the cost per timer, and the total cost, (c) were any of these timers issued to staff to enable them to time the duration of telephone calls and, if so, how many?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. No.

“ 2. Not applicable.”

**170** Mr. *Nimsick* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

In regard to the High Arrow Dam:—

1. Have any leaks been discovered in the base of the dam?

2. If so, (a) what has caused these leaks, (b) what is being done to correct this situation, (c) what is the estimated cost of making this correction, and (d) did previous engineering data forecast such a danger?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. No.

“ 2. (a), (b), (c), and (d) Not applicable.”

**173** Mrs. *Dailly* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following question:—

With reference to the cost of the basic education programme for each school district in the Province in 1969, what was the approved cost for: (a) administration, (b) instruction, (c) operation, (d) repairs and maintenance, and (e) the total cost?

The Hon. *D. L. Brothers* replied as follows:—

“ The cost of the basic education programme is determined for each school district in total dollar amount and is not apportioned in the manner outlined in this question.”

**174** Mrs. *Dailly* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following questions:—

1. Did any school districts in the Province have deficit operating budgets in 1969?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what were the school districts and what amount was involved in each district?

The Hon. *D. L. Brothers* replied as follows:—

“ 1 and 2. Audited statements of school districts are not filed until March 31st, consequently precise information regarding deficits, whether arising from revenue deficiency or expense over-expenditure, is not available at this time.”

**175** Mrs. *Daily* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following question:—

In the case of each school district in the Province: (a) What is the number of approved instructional units for 1970; (b) what is the number of approved special classes for 1970; and (c) what was the total student enrolment as of October, 1969?

The Hon. *D. L. Brothers* replied as follows:—

“(a), (b), and (c). Answered as follows:—

School District	(a) Instructional Units	(b) Special Classes	(c) Pupil Enrolment (Full Time)
No. 1 Fernie.....	85	4	2,019
No. 2 Cranbrook.....	150	6	3,623
No. 3 Kimberley.....	100	5	2,312
No. 4 Windermere.....	56	2	1,289
No. 7 Nelson.....	171	6	4,033
No. 8 Slocan.....	44	1	974
No. 9 Castlegar.....	121	4	2,856
No. 10 Arrow Lakes.....	37	.....	862
No. 11 Trail.....	244	13	5,813
No. 12 Grand Forks.....	59	4	1,445
No. 13 Kettle Valley.....	30	1	647
No. 14 Southern Okanagan.....	98	5	2,328
No. 15 Penticton.....	211	9	5,020
No. 16 Keremeos.....	28	2	666
No. 17 Princeton.....	34	2	786
No. 18 Golden.....	70	3	1,639
No. 19 Revelstoke.....	94	5	2,248
No. 21 Armstrong-Spallumcheen.....	43	2	1,053
No. 22 Vernon.....	262	14	6,289
No. 23 Kelowna.....	439	20	10,708
No. 24 Kamloops.....	538	33.5	13,090
No. 25 Barriere.....	30	.....	682
No. 26 Birch Island.....	36	1	847
No. 27 Williams Lake.....	233	4	5,547
No. 28 Quesnel.....	189	5	4,518
No. 29 Lillooet.....	43	4	1,053
No. 30 South Cariboo.....	84	4	2,062
No. 31 Merritt.....	94	7	2,320
No. 32 Hope.....	73	5.5	1,789
No. 33 Chilliwack.....	377	20.5	9,013
No. 34 Abbotsford.....	297	16	7,199
No. 35 Langley.....	267	15	6,400
No. 36 Surrey.....	1,123	70	27,561
No. 37 Delta.....	391	21.5	9,770
No. 38 Richmond.....	644	25	15,841
No. 39 Vancouver.....	2,938	231.5	72,612
No. 40 New Westminster.....	264	16	6,327
No. 41 Burnaby.....	1,163	52	28,658
No. 42 Maple Ridge.....	273	14	6,654
No. 43 Coquitlam.....	900	56	22,839
No. 44 North Vancouver.....	883	54.9	21,588
No. 45 West Vancouver.....	345	23	8,448
No. 46 Sechelt.....	96	5	2,301
No. 47 Powell River.....	192	6	4,611

School District	(a) Instructional Units	(b) Special Classes	(c) Pupil Enrolment (Full Time)
No. 48 Howe Sound	103	7	2,514
No. 49 Ocean Falls	42	2	987
No. 50 Queen Charlotte	43	3	1,058
No. 52 Prince Rupert	172	6	4,262
No. 54 Smithers	93	4	2,207
No. 55 Burns Lake	69	5	1,632
No. 56 Vanderhoof	111	5	2,562
No. 57 Prince George	610	34	15,317
No. 58 McBride	37	—	889
No. 59 Peace River South	265	14	6,524
No. 60 Peace River North	186	7	4,581
No. 61 Greater Victoria	1,255	82	30,794
No. 62 Sooke	243	13	6,122
No. 63 Saanich	207	9	4,902
No. 64 Gulf Islands	33	1	726
No. 65 Cowichan	236	18	5,680
No. 66 Lake Cowichan	67	3	1,632
No. 67 Ladysmith	106	7	2,496
No. 68 Nanaimo	421	23	10,181
No. 69 Qualicum	78	4	1,846
No. 70 Alberni	323	22	8,055
No. 71 Courtenay	284	18.9	6,894
No. 72 Campbell River	206	11	4,909
No. 75 Mission	149	8	3,478
No. 76 Agassiz	45	4	1,011
No. 77 Summerland	53	1	1,266
No. 79 Ucluelet-Tofino	23	7	559
No. 80 Kitimat	121	8.8	3,076
No. 81 Fort Nelson	33	—	787
No. 82 Chilcotin	10	—	183
No. 83 Portage Mountain	19	—	468
No. 84 Vancouver Island West	37	—	878
No. 85 Vancouver Island North	108	2	2,585
No. 86 Creston-Kaslo	127	7	3,037
No. 87 Stikine	16	—	371
No. 88 Skeena-Cassiar	207	10	5,021
No. 89 Shuswap	206	10	4,830
* Bamfield	2	—	37

" \* Unattached."

**176** Mrs. *Daily* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following questions:—

With reference to school district budgets for 1970:—

1. How many provisional budgets exceeded the 110 per cent ceiling for operating budgets?
2. How many financial budgets submitted by February 15th exceeded the 110 per cent ceiling?
3. How many districts received municipal council approval to exceed the 110 per cent ceiling?
4. How many school districts submitted by-laws for operating expenditures?
5. How many school districts that submitted by-laws received petitions for referenda?

The Hon. *D. L. Brothers* replied as follows:—

- " 1. 53
- " 2. 42.
- " 3. 27.



- “ 4. 15.  
“ 5. Seven.”

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. on Monday next.

And then the House adjourned at 5.57 p.m.

## Monday, March 23, 1970

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *Harold Pendray*.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order “ Public Bills and Orders.”

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 61) intituled *Vancouver General Hospital Act, 1902*.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 64) intituled *An Act to Amend the Public Schools Act*.

The debate continued.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:—

### YEAS—36

#### Messieurs

<i>Wallace</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Capozzi</i>
<i>Ney</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Skillings</i>
<i>Merilees</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Peterson</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Marshall</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Wenman</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Gaglardi</i>
<i>Kripps, Mrs.</i>	<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Campbell B.</i>	<i>Campbell, D. R. J.</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>McCarthy, Mrs.</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>Price</i>	<i>Jordan, Mrs.</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Shelford</i>
<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Dawson, Mrs.</i>	<i>McDiarmid</i>	<i>Richter</i>

### NAYS—16

#### Messieurs

<i>Brousson</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Dowding</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>
<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Clark</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Dailly, Mrs.</i>

## PAIR:

Messieurs

*Williston**Hartley*

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 69) intituled *An Act to Amend the Regional Hospital Districts Act*.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 70) intituled *An Act to Amend the Elderly Citizens' Housing Aid Act*.

The debate continued.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:—

## YEAS—42

Messieurs

<i>Wallace</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Dawson, Mrs.</i>	<i>McDiarmid</i>
<i>Ney</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Capozzi</i>
<i>Merilees</i>	<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Skillings</i>
<i>Marshall</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Brousson</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Peterson</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Gaglardi</i>
<i>Wenman</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Campbell, D. R. J.</i>
<i>Kripps, Mrs.</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Campbell B.</i>	<i>Brothers</i>
<i>Mussallem</i>	<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Wolfe</i>	<i>Shelford</i>
<i>Price</i>	<i>McCarthy, Mrs.</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Clark</i>	<i>Jordan, Mrs.</i>		

## NAYS—12

Messieurs

<i>Cocke</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Barrett</i>
<i>Lorimer</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Dailly, Mrs.</i>

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for committal at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 63) intituled *An Act to Amend the Pollution Control Act, 1967*.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. *McGeer*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

*Resolved*, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8 o'clock p.m. today.

**167** Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. *Grace McCarthy*, Minister without Portfolio, the following questions:—

1. Was any newspaper, radio, television, or other form of advertising placed by you, or on your behalf, and paid for from Vote 291, 1969/70, for publication between July 1, 1969, and August 31, 1969?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what was the total cost of the advertising, (b) what was the name of each company or individual supplying the service, and (c) what amount was paid in each case for this service?

The Hon. *Grace McCarthy* replied as follows:—

“ 1 and 2. *Nil.*”

**168** Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. *Isabel Dawson*, Minister without Portfolio, the following questions:—

1. Was any newspaper, radio, television, or other form of advertising placed by you, or on your behalf, and paid for from Vote 291, 1969/70, for publication between July 1, 1969, and August 31, 1969?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what was the total cost of the advertising, (b) what was the name of each company or individual supplying the service, and (c) what amount was paid in each case for this service?

The Hon. *Isabel Dawson* replied as follows:—

“ 1 and 2. *Nil.*”

**169** Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi*, Minister without Portfolio, the following questions:—

1. Was any newspaper, radio, television, or other form of advertising placed by you, or on your behalf, and paid for from Vote 291, 1969/70, for publication between July 1, 1969, and August 31, 1969?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what was the total cost of the advertising, (b) what was the name of each company or individual supplying the service, and (c) what amount was paid in each case for this service?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“ 1 and 2. *Nil.*”

**203** Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following questions:—

1. Is the Department giving consideration to hatching and propagating steel-head trout?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, will a new hatchery be built?

3. What rivers are being considered for restocking?

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. Yes.

“ 3. Initially, several of the more heavily fished, smaller streams of the Lower Mainland such as the Capilano, Coquitlam, and Alouette Rivers.”

And then the House adjourned at 5.56 p.m.