

Thursday, November 21, 1974

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *W. J. O'Brien*.

By leave of the House, the Hon. *Ernest Hall* (Provincial Secretary) made a statement relating to apparent settlement of negotiations within the British Columbia Ferries Service.

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

Order called for "Orders of the Day."

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *Eileen E. Dailly*, the House proceeded to "Public Bills and Orders."

The committal of Bill (No. 178) intituled *Statute Law Amendment Act, 1974 (No. 2)*, was resumed.

Without the Committee rising, the Committee reported that during consideration of Mr. *Smith's* proposed amendment to section 1 the Chairman had ruled the proposed amendment out of order on the ground that the effect of the amendment would be to impose an obligation on an agency of the Crown and, indirectly, on the Crown itself.

The Chairman's ruling was appealed to the House.

The Chairman's ruling was sustained on the following division:

YEAS—27

<i>Lewis</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Radford</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>
<i>Dent</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Levi</i>
<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	

NAYS—16

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Phillips</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Bennett</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Jordan</i>

The Committee rose, reported progress, and asked leave to sit again. Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Bill (No. 169) intituled *Landlord and Tenant Amendment Act, 1974 (No. 2)*, was committed.

Without the Committee rising, the Committee reported that during consideration of Mr. *Wallace's* proposed amendment to section 10 the Chairman had ruled the proposed amendment out of order on the ground that it altered the principle of the Bill as approved by the House on second reading.

The Chairman's ruling was appealed to the House.

The Chairman's ruling was sustained on the following division:

YEAS—27

<i>Lewis</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>
<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Levi</i>
<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Radford</i>	

NAYS—16

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Phillips</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Bennett</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Jordan</i>

Without the Committee rising, the Committee further reported that the Chairman had ruled that the limited effect of the Minister's proposed amendment to section 10 did not, in his opinion, alter the principle of the Bill as approved on second reading.

The Chairman's ruling was appealed to the House.

The Chairman's ruling was sustained on the following division:

YEAS—25

<i>Lewis</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Radford</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Levi</i>
<i>Sanford</i>			

NAYS—16

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Phillips</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Bennett</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Jordan</i>

Bill (No. 169) was reported complete with amendments.

The Committee further reported that during consideration of Mr. *Gibson's* proposed amendment to section 10 the Committee divided.

The Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Gibson*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the division on Mr. *Gibson's* proposed amendment to section 10 be recorded in the Journals of the House as follows:

YEAS—16

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Phillips</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Bennett</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Jordan</i>

NAYS—29

<i>Liden</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Radford</i>
<i>Lewis</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>
<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Levi</i>
<i>D'Arcy</i>			

The Committee further reported that during consideration of Mr. *D. A. Anderson's* proposed amendment to section 10 the Committee divided.

The Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *D. A. Anderson*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the division on his proposed amendment to section 10 be recorded in the Journals of the House as follows:

YEAS—16

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Phillips</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Bennett</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Jordan</i>

NAYS—26

<i>Lewis</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Radford</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Levi</i>
<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Hall</i>		

The Committee further reported that during consideration of Mr. *D. A. Anderson's* second proposed amendment to section 10 the Committee divided.

The Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *D. A. Anderson*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the division on his second proposed amendment to section 10 be recorded in the Journals of the House as follows:

YEAS—16

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Phillips</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Bennett</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Jordan</i>

NAYS—24

<i>Lewis</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Radford</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Levi</i>

The Committee further reported that during consideration of section 10 the Committee divided.

The Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *L. A. Williams*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the division on section 10 be recorded in the Journals of the House as follows:

YEAS—24

<i>Lewis</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Radford</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Levi</i>

NAYS—15

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Phillips</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	

By leave of the House, Bill as reported to be considered forthwith.

On the motion for the third reading of Bill (No. 169) the House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—26

<i>Lewis</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Radford</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Dent</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Skelly</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Levi</i>
<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Hall</i>		

NAYS—16

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Phillips</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Bennett</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Jordan</i>

Bill read a third time and passed.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8 o'clock p.m. today.

The Hon. *R. A. Williams* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:

WALTER S. OWEN
Lieutenant-Governor

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith amendments to Bill (No. 171) intituled *Timber Products Stabilization Act*, enclosed herewith, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
November 21, 1974

(ENCLOSURE)

Section 1:

(a) By deleting the definition of "board" and substituting the following:

"'board' means the Forest Products Board of British Columbia established under section 3;"

(b) By deleting the definition of "forest products" and substituting the following:

"'forest products' means logs and wood-chips;"

Section 2 (2), lines 4 and 5: By deleting the words "void and unenforceable to the extent of such conflict or inconsistency." and substituting the words "varied to the extent necessary to be consistent with the terms of the order."

Section 2 (3), line 11: By deleting the words "rail-car or truck load," and substituting the words "rail-car, truck, or barge load,"

Section 3 (1), line 2: By deleting the words "British Columbia Forest Products Board," and substituting the words "Forest Products Board of British Columbia,"

Section 4 (6), line 4: By inserting after the word "director" the words "who is not a member of the Legislative Assembly".

Section 4 (7), line 2: By inserting after the word "payments" the words "for reasonable travelling and other out-of-pocket expenses".

Section 8 (3) (b), lines 3 to 7: By deleting all the words after the words "authority for" and substituting the words "export under Part X of the *Forest Act*."

Section 12 (1), line 8: By inserting after the words "section 10 or 11" the words "and this section".

Section 15 (3), lines 3 and 4: By deleting the words "or at any meeting of the directors of the company,"

Section 20 (2), line 2: By deleting the words "fiscal year of the Government" and substituting the word "period".

Resolved, That the said Message, and the amendments accompanying the same, be referred to the Committee having in charge Bill (No. 171).

By leave of the House, the Hon. *Norman Levi* (Minister of Human Resources) presented copy of a memorandum from Mr. T. Reginald Kelly relating to Indians of British Columbia.

193 Mr. *Bennett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:

1. Have any Government departments experienced "over-runs" with respect to expenditures approved by the Legislature for fiscal year 1974/75?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what Government departments have experienced "over-runs" and (b) what is the amount of the "over-run" for each department up to October 31, 1974?

The Hon. *David Barrett* replied as follows:

"1. Yes.

"2. (a) and (b) Attorney-General, Coroners' inquests, \$40,847; Education, night school grants, \$70,012; Health, health agency grants, \$41,486; Economic Development, shared programmes and grants, \$1,767,497; Forests, fire suppression, \$1,368,045; Municipal Affairs, transit services, \$71,855; Provincial Secretary, *Provincial Elections Act*, \$147,953, *Public Inquiries Act*, \$362,773."

217 Mr. *McClelland* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following question:

With respect to the Assessment Appeal Board: What has been the total remuneration paid to the Chairman and members of the Board from January 1, 1974, to the latest available date?

The Hon. *David Barrett* replied as follows:

“\$61,380.”

235 Mr. *Wallace* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following questions:

With respect to the financing of the public-school system—

1. What is the pupils per classroom ratio used by the Provincial Government in granting funds for school construction?

2. Is the ratio referred to in No. 1 the same as the target teacher-pupil ratio upon which efforts to change the current teacher-pupil ratio are based?

3. If the answer to No. 2 is no, then what is the reason for the discrepancy between the two ratios?

The Hon. *Eileen E. Dailly* replied as follows:

“1. The pupil per classroom ratio must be considered under three headings:

“(a) *New elementary schools and major additions to existing elementary schools*—With respect to these projects there is no classroom ratio as such. A maximum square footage of the building is determined in accordance with the tabulation provided all school districts by the Department of Education. The Board may provide such classrooms, open area, or seminar space as it feels best suits its particular staffing policy.

“(b) *Existing elementary schools*—In existing elementary schools standard classrooms of approximately 800 square feet are rated at this time with an effective capacity of 32 pupils. In addition, library and gymnasias are provided without any assignment of pupil capacity. A reduction of 20 pupils is applied to the pupil capacity of any school with respect to all approved special education programmes operating in that school. This situation is currently under review by the Department of Education with a view to finding a more equitable technique for the assessment of capacity of existing buildings, taking into account problems which include the inflexibility of former construction techniques, the large back-log of school construction projects, the falling enrolments in urban areas and increasing enrolments in rural areas, the necessity of replacement of older frame construction, and the lack of relocatability in existing facilities.

“(c) *In secondary schools*—The pupil capacity of each area varies with its nature. Standard classrooms have a pupil capacity of 30, shops of 20, community services laboratories of 24, and science laboratories of either 24 or 30, depending on their size. A school library, which in a 1,000-pupil school would be 2,500 square feet plus work space, is assigned a pupil capacity of 30. Gymnasias are not included in the determination of pupil capacity. The operating capacity of the school is considered to be 90 per cent of the total arrived by the foregoing method.

“2. No.

“3. The two items are not directly comparable. The target pupil-teacher ratio announced was a reduction of 1.5 pupils per teacher in the over-all pupil-teacher ratio of the Province, rather than a specific class size. This can be achieved through

a number of methods including reduction of class size, provision of supportive teaching personnel, increasing the number of teachers in a team teaching situation, teacher librarians, etc.”

And then the House adjourned at 5.50 p.m.

Thursday, November 21, 1974

EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Order called for “Orders of the Day.”

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *Eileen E. Dailly*, the House proceeded to “Public Bills and Orders.”

The committal of Bill (No. 178) intituled *Statute Law Amendment Act, 1974* (No. 2), was resumed.

The Committee rose, reported progress, and asked leave to sit again. Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Bill (No. 171) intituled *Timber Products Stabilization Act* was committed.

The Committee rose, reported progress, and asked leave to sit again. Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 10 o'clock a.m. tomorrow.

59 Mr. *Wallace* asked the Hon. the Minister of Transport and Communications the following question:

Is the Government giving consideration to the introduction of appropriate driving tests for those drivers who drive campers, motor homes, or tow trailers?

The Hon. *R. M. Strachan* replied as follows:

“No; present road test is considered appropriate for people driving recreational vehicles, including campers, motor homes, and recreational trailers.”

201 Mr. *Bennett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Transport and Communications the following questions:

1. Has the Motor-vehicle Branch submitted any billing accounts to the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia with respect to work undertaken by any employee of the Motor-vehicle Branch?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what is the total amount of the billing to date?

The Hon. *R. M. Strachan* replied as follows:

“1. No.

“2. The integration of the licence and insurance systems has been considered a joint venture and billing for work in the joint venture has not been submitted.”

205 Mr. *Bennett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Transport and Communications the following questions:

1. What employees, if any, of the Public Service have been involved in the issuance of drivers' certificates?

2. What, if any, is the special remuneration paid to these employees and to what Government account, if any, is the remuneration charged?

The Hon. *R. M. Strachan* replied as follows:

"1. Clerical employees of Motor Licence Offices and Government Agencies.

"2. No special remuneration was paid."

206 Mr. *Bennett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Transport and Communications the following questions:

1. Has any employee of any Motor-vehicle Branch built up any "over-time credits" with respect to any work undertaken on behalf of the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what is the total "over-time credit" built up by each office employee involved?

The Hon. *R. M. Strachan* replied as follows:

"1. Yes.

"2. Overtime in connection with driver certificate programme built up by J. Cox in the amount of \$1,619.97 and J. Byers in the amount of \$1,428.25."

And then the House adjourned at 11 p.m.

Friday, November 22, 1974

TEN O'CLOCK A.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *G. Smith*.

On the motion of Mr. *Curtis*, Bill (No. 179) intituled *The Government Computer Privacy Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

Order called for "Public Bills and Orders."

The committal of Bill (No. 178) intituled *Statute Law Amendment Act, 1974* (No. 2) was resumed.

The Committee rose, reported progress, and asked leave to sit again. Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Committee further reported that in consideration of section 9 the Committee divided.

The Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Curtis*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the division on section 9 be recorded in the Journals of the House as follows:

YEAS—27

<i>Liden</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Lewis</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Levi</i>
<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	

NAYS—16

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Phillips</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Bennett</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Jordan</i>

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. on Monday next.

238 Mr. *McClelland* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following questions:

1. Has the Department of Education hired one, Ken Novakowski?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what is Mr. Novakowski's salary?
3. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what is the term of reference of his position within the Department?

The Hon. *Eileen E. Dailly* replied as follows:

"1. Yes, Public Service Competition 74:1441.

"2. Salary of Administrative Officer 6, Step 1, \$1,293 per month (1973 salary).

"3. Mr. Novakowski was hired to work on research and development activities to be undertaken by the Department of Education."

And then the House adjourned at 1 p.m.

Monday, November 25, 1974

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *P. W. R. Isles*.

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

Order called for "Orders of the Day."

The following Bills were read a third time and passed:

Bill (No. 166) intituled *Department of Health Amendment Act, 1974*.

Bill (No. 168) intituled *Elevator Construction Industry Labour Disputes Act*.

On the motion for the third reading of Bill (No. 170) intituled *Assessment Amendment Act, 1974*, the House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—29

<i>Liden</i>	<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Lewis</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Anderson, G. H.</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Levi</i>
<i>Dent</i>			

NAYS—17

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Phillips</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Bennett</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Curtis</i>			

Bill read a third time and passed.

The committal of Bill (No. 171) intituled *Timber Products Stabilization Act* was resumed.

The Committee rose, reported progress, and asked leave to sit again. Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Committee further reported that in consideration of Mr. *Bennett's* proposed amendment to section 1 the Committee divided.

The Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Bennett*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the division on Mr. *Bennett's* proposed amendment to section 1 be recorded in the Journals of the House as follows:

YEAS—17

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Phillips</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Bennett</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Curtis</i>			

NAYS—28

<i>Liden</i>	<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Lewis</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Anderson, G. H.</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Levi</i>

The Committee further reported that in consideration of section 1, as amended, the Committee divided.

The Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Bennett*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the division on section 1, as amended, be recorded in the Journals of the House as follows:

YEAS—28

<i>Liden</i>	<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Lewis</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Anderson, G. H.</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Levi</i>

NAYS—17

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Phillips</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Bennett</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Curtis</i>			

The Committee further reported that in consideration of Mr. *Bennett's* proposed amendment to section 2 the Committee divided.

The Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Bennett*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the division on Mr. *Bennett's* proposed amendment to section 2 be recorded in the Journals of the House as follows:

YEAS—17

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Phillips</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Bennett</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Curtis</i>			

NAYS—28

<i>Liden</i>	<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Lewis</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Anderson, G. H.</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Levi</i>

The Committee further reported that in consideration of Mr. *Gibson's* proposed amendment to section 2 the Committee divided.

The Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Gibson*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the division on Mr. *Gibson's* proposed amendment to section 2 be recorded in the Journals of the House as follows:

YEAS—17

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Phillips</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Bennett</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Curtis</i>			

NAYS—28

<i>Liden</i>	<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Lewis</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Anderson, G. H.</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Levi</i>

The Committee further reported that in consideration of section 2, as amended, the Committee divided.

The Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Phillips*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the division on section 2, as amended, be recorded in the Journals of the House as follows:

YEAS—28

<i>Liden</i>	<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Lewis</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Anderson, G. H.</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Levi</i>

NAYS—15

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Phillips</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	

The Committee further reported that in consideration of section 3, as amended, the Committee divided.

The Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Phillips*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the division on section 3, as amended, be recorded in the Journals of the House as follows:

YEAS—28

<i>Liden</i>	<i>Dent</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Lewis</i>	<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Anderson, G. H.</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Levi</i>

NAYS—15

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Phillips</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	

The Committee further reported that in consideration of Mr. *Wallace's* proposed amendment to section 4, as amended, the Committee divided.

The Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Wallace*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the division on Mr. *Wallace's* proposed amendment to section 4, as amended, be recorded in the Journals of the House as follows:

YEAS—15

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Phillips</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	

NAYS—28

<i>Liden</i>	<i>Dent</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Lewis</i>	<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Anderson, G. H.</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Levi</i>

The Committee further reported that in consideration of Mr. *Gibson's* proposed amendment to section 4 the Committee divided.

The Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Gibson*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the division on Mr. *Gibson's* proposed amendment to section 4 be recorded in the Journals of the House as follows:

YEAS—16

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Phillips</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Jordan</i>

NAYS—28

<i>Liden</i>	<i>Dent</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Lewis</i>	<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Anderson, G. H.</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Levi</i>

The Committee further reported that in consideration of section 4, as amended, the Committee divided.

The Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Chabot*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the division on section 4, as amended, be recorded in the Journals of the House as follows:

YEAS—28

<i>Liden</i>	<i>Dent</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Lewis</i>	<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Anderson, G. H.</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Levi</i>

NAYS—15

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Phillips</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	

The Committee further reported that in consideration of section 5 the Committee divided.

The Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *L. A. Williams*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the division on section 5 be recorded in the Journals of the House as follows:

YEAS—29

<i>Liden</i>	<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Lewis</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Anderson, G. H.</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Nicolson</i>	<i>Levi</i>
<i>Dent</i>			

NAYS—16

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Phillips</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Jordan</i>

The Committee further reported that in consideration of Mr. *Gibson's* proposed amendment to section 7 the Committee divided.

The Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Gibson*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the division on Mr. *Gibson's* proposed amendment to section 7 be recorded in the Journals of the House as follows:

YEAS—15

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	

NAYS—28

<i>Liden</i>	<i>Dent</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Lewis</i>	<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Anderson, G. H.</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Levi</i>

The Committee further reported that in consideration of Mr. *Phillips'* proposed amendment to section 8, as amended, the Committee divided.

The Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Phillips*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the division on Mr. *Phillips'* proposed amendment to section 8, as amended, be recorded in the Journals of the House as follows:

YEAS—15

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Phillips</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	

NAYS—28

<i>Liden</i>	<i>Dent</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Lewis</i>	<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Anderson, G. H.</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Levi</i>

The Committee further reported that in consideration of Mr. *Wallace's* proposed amendment to section 16 the Committee divided.

The Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Wallace*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the division on Mr. *Wallace's* proposed amendment to section 16 be recorded in the Journals of the House as follows:

YEAS—16

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Phillips</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Jordan</i>

NAYS—26

<i>Liden</i>	<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Lewis</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Anderson, G. H.</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Levi</i>
<i>Dent</i>	<i>Strachan</i>		

The Committee further reported that in consideration of section 19 the Committee divided.

The Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *D. A. Anderson*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the division on section 19 be recorded in the Journals of the House as follows:

YEAS—37

<i>Liden</i>	<i>Anderson, G. H.</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Gorst</i>
<i>Lewis</i>	<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>
<i>Kelly</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Phillips</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Jordan</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Dent</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>
<i>Richter</i>	<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Levi</i>
<i>Steves</i>			

NAYS—6

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>McGeer</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>		

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

190 Mr. *Gardom* asked the Hon. the Minister of Transport and Communications the following questions:

1. Was Robert Adams under a contract of service or employment with the British Columbia Government or a department thereof?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, was it a verbal or written contract or a combination of both?
3. What are the particulars of all relevant correspondence and documents?
4. Was Robert Adams dismissed?
5. If the answer to No. 4 is yes, why was he dismissed?
6. If the answer to No. 4 is no, what is the reason he is no longer with the British Columbia Government or a department thereof?
7. Did Robert Adams receive severance pay or separation or other form of settlement?
8. If the answer to No. 7 is yes, what are the particulars?

The Hon. *R. M. Strachan* replied as follows:

"Answered by documents tabled in the Legislature June 20, 1974."

202 Mr. *Bennett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Public Works the following questions:

1. Has the Department of Public Works received tenders for the purpose of providing a new table for the Executive Council Chambers?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what are the names of the firms submitting tenders and what was the tendered price in each case?

The Hon. *W. L. Hartley* replied as follows:

"No tenders accepted."

207 Mr. *Bennett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Public Works the following questions:

1. As of September 15, 1972, what was the total square footage of rented or leased space on behalf of all Government departments within the boundaries of the Capital Regional District?

2. As of October 31, 1974, what was the total square footage of rented or leased space on behalf of all Government departments within the boundaries of the Capital Regional District?

The Hon. *W. L. Hartley* replied as follows:

"1. Total of all leased and rented space of all departments of Government by the Department of Public Works as of September 15, 1972, is 685,771.5 square feet.

"2. Total of all leased and rented space of all departments of Government by the Department of Public Works as of October 31, 1974, is 1,221,153.5 square feet. The need for rented space has been accentuated because of the ongoing renovations of such buildings as the Legislature and the Douglas Building, etc."

209 Mr. *Bennett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Public Works the following question:

What, if any, is the total cost of rentals or leases authorized through the Department of Public Works calculated on a yearly basis?

The Hon. *W. L. Hartley* replied as follows:

"See annual reports, 1971/72 and 1972/73. Fiscal year 1973/74, see Estimates."

And then the House adjourned at 7.03 p.m.

Tuesday, November 26, 1974

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Mr. *Hartley D. Dent*.

Order called for "Oral Questions by Members."

Mr. *Phillips* moved adjournment of the House to discuss a definite matter of urgent public importance, namely, the stoppage of work on the British Columbia Railway.

Mr. Speaker reserved his decision.

Order called for "Orders of the Day."

The committal of Bill (No. 171) intituled *Timber Products Stabilization Act* was resumed. Bill reported complete with amendments.

The Committee further reported that in consideration of Mr. *Gibson's* proposed amendment to section 20 (2) the Committee divided.

The Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Gibson*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the division on Mr. *Gibson's* proposed amendment to section 20 (2) be recorded in the Journals of the House as follows:

YEAS—15

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Phillips</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	

NAYS—27

<i>Liden</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Lewis</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Anderson, G. H.</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Levi</i>
<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Lauk</i>	

The Committee further reported that in consideration of section 20 (2) the Committee divided.

The Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Chabot*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the division on section 20 (2) be recorded in the Journals of the House as follows:

YEAS—27

<i>Liden</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Lewis</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Anderson, G. H.</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Levi</i>
<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Lauk</i>	

NAYS—15

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Phillips</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>McClelland</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	

The Committee further reported that in consideration of Mr. *Wallace's* proposed amendment to the title the Committee divided.

The Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Wallace*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the division on Mr. *Wallace's* proposed amendment to the title be recorded in the Journals of the House as follows:

YEAS—14

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Phillips</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>		

NAYS—27

<i>Liden</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Lewis</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Anderson, G. H.</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Levi</i>
<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Lauk</i>	

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *R. A. Williams*, the Bill as reported was considered forthwith.

On the motion for the third reading of Bill (No. 171) the House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—29

<i>Liden</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Radford</i>
<i>Lewis</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Anderson, G. H.</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>
<i>Dent</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Lauk</i>	<i>Levi</i>
<i>Cummings</i>			

NAYS—14

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Phillips</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Morrison</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>		

Bill read a third time and passed.

Mr. Speaker stated that, in his opinion, the matter raised by the Honourable Member for South Peace River, upon which he had earlier reserved his decision, was in order and of urgent public importance, and asked whether the member had leave of the House.

Upon objection being taken, Mr. Speaker requested those members who supported the motion to rise in their places.

Upon more than nine members rising, Mr. Speaker called upon Mr. *Phillips*.

A debate arose.

During the debate, the Hon. *W. S. King* (Minister of Labour) moved that the House revert to "Orders of the Day."

Motion agreed to on the following division:

YEAS—29

<i>Liden</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Lauk</i>
<i>Lewis</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Gorst</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Anderson, G. H.</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>
<i>Dent</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Levi</i>
<i>Cummings</i>			

NAYS—13

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Phillips</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Morrison</i>			

Order called for "Public Bills and Orders."

The committal of Bill (No. 178) intituled *Statute Law Amendment Act, 1974* (No. 2), was resumed.

Bill reported complete with amendments.

The Committee further reported that in consideration of Mr. *Wallace's* proposed amendment to section 12 the Committee divided.

The Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Wallace*, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the division on Mr. *Wallace's* proposed amendment to section 12 be recorded in the Journals of the House as follows:

YEAS—13

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Curtis</i>	<i>Williams, L. A.</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Gardom</i>	<i>Richter</i>	<i>Anderson, D. A.</i>	<i>Smith</i>
<i>Schroeder</i>	<i>Wallace</i>	<i>Fraser</i>	<i>Jordan</i>
<i>Morrison</i>			

NAYS—27

<i>Liden</i>	<i>D'Arcy</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Young</i>
<i>Lewis</i>	<i>Sanford</i>	<i>Dailly</i>	<i>Lea</i>
<i>Webster</i>	<i>Brown</i>	<i>Hall</i>	<i>King</i>
<i>Steves</i>	<i>Calder</i>	<i>Lockstead</i>	<i>Williams, R. A.</i>
<i>Anderson, G. H.</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Gabelmann</i>	<i>Lorimer</i>
<i>Rolston</i>	<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Nunweiler</i>	<i>Levi</i>
<i>Cummings</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Lauk</i>	

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *Ernest Hall*, the Bill as reported was considered forthwith.

Bill read a third time and passed.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.21 p.m.

Tuesday, November 26, 1974

EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor having entered the House, and being seated in the chair—

E. G. MacMinn, Esq., Deputy Clerk of the House, read the titles to the following Bills:

- (No. 165) *Natural Products Marketing (British Columbia) Act.*
- (No. 166) *Department of Health Amendment Act, 1974.*
- (No. 168) *Elevator Construction Industry Labour Disputes Act.*
- (No. 169) *Landlord and Tenant Amendment Act, 1974 (No. 2).*
- (No. 170) *Assessment Amendment Act, 1974.*
- (No. 171) *Timber Products Stabilization Act.*
- (No. 173) *Public Schools Interim Arbitration Procedure Act.*
- (No. 178) *Statute Law Amendment Act, 1974 (No. 2).*

His Honour was pleased in Her Majesty's name to give assent to the said Bills.

The said assent was announced by *Ian M. Horne*, Q.C., Clerk of the House, in the following words:

"In Her Majesty's name, His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor doth assent to these Bills."

His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor was then pleased to retire.

On the motion of the Hon. *Eileen E. Dailly* it was *Resolved*—

That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until Mr. Speaker may be advised by the Government that it is desired to prorogue the Fourth Session of the Thirtieth Parliament of the Province of British Columbia; or until it appears to the satisfaction of Mr. Speaker, after consultation with the Government, that the public interest requires that the House shall meet, Mr. Speaker may give notice that he is so satisfied or has been so advised and thereupon the House shall meet at the time stated in such notice and shall transact its business as if it had been duly adjourned to that time: and

That in the event of Mr. Speaker being unable to act owing to illness or other cause, the Deputy Speaker shall act in his stead for the purpose of this order.

12 Mr. *Curtis* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following questions:

With regard to the appointment of Alistair Crerar to a position with the Secretariat of the Environment and Land Use Committee—

1. At what rate or amount of remuneration were his services initially obtained?
2. What rate or amount of remuneration is he currently receiving?
3. For what length of time, if any, have his services been contractually secured?

The Hon. *R. A. Williams* replied as follows:

- “1. \$33,000 per annum.
- “2. \$39,000 per annum, Deputy Minister status.
- “3. No contract, at pleasure.”

16 Mr. *Curtis* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following questions:

With respect to the export of electrical power—

1. Has the Government revised or does it intend to revise its pricing policy on interruptible power exports to the United States?
2. Has the Government studied the possibility of withdrawing from the Northwest Power Pool?

The Hon. *R. A. Williams* replied as follows:

“1. The B.C. Hydro pricing of interruptible power exports to the United States varies practically from day to day according to the costs of power, the availability of, and demands for, interruptible power.

“2. Because of the assistance which is provided by the Northwest Power Pool in emergencies and exchanges of power, it is not the intention of B.C. Hydro to withdraw from the Northwest Power Pool. As a recent example of the assistance provided by the Northwest Power Pool in an emergency, the Pool supplied B.C. Hydro on February 4, 1974, with about 750,000 kilowatts when both the two 500,000-volt transmission-lines were out of service for about 13 minutes.”

17 Mr. *Curtis* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following questions:

With respect to the development of hydro facilities in northwest British Columbia—

1. How many possible power-generating sites have been studied and what is the location of each?
2. Of the sites mentioned in No. 1, how many have been selected (a) for closer examination and (b) for actual development?
3. For the sites named in the answer to No. 2 (b), what is the projected start and finish of each project?

The Hon. *R. A. Williams* replied as follows:

“1. Preliminary studies have been carried out on three sites on the Iskut River, five sites on the Stikine River, one site on the Skeena River, Cutoff Mountain and the Kemano II development on the Nechako River, both by B.C. Hydro and Brinco.

“2. (a) Kemano II has been selected for closer examination of an environmental nature in order to determine the environmental implications of earlier Alcan plans and (b) none.

“3. Not applicable.”

40 Mr. *Curtis* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following questions:

With respect to the Interior stumpage formula put in force on October 15, 1973—

1. How many complaints from members of the B.C. Truck Loggers Association have been received?
2. In how many instances have complaints from members of the B.C. Truck Loggers Association resulted in changes in stumpage rates?

The Hon. *R. A. Williams* replied as follows:

"1 and 2. The B.C. Truck Loggers Association represents operators on the Coast, not the Interior. They made a submission on the basis that the Interior end use appraisal system would be introduced on the Coast. This was not done pending the results of the Pearse Task Force report, which subsequently recommended, in part, that Coast appraisals be based on a strengthened log market, rather than end use."

43 Mr. *Curtis* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following questions:

With respect to the activities and responsibilities of the Pollution Control Branch during the 12 most recent months for which information is available—

1. What was (a) the average monthly employment, (b) the average monthly cost (including salaries), and (c) the average monthly number of vehicles operated?
2. How many (a) complaints and (b) applications were handled by the Branch?
3. How many (a) recommendations for changes in the statutes under which the Branch operates; (b) recommendations for changes in the regulations under which the Branch operates; and (c) recommendations for changes in the size, structure, or functioning of the Branch were received by the Minister?

The Hon. *R. A. Williams* replied as follows:

"For the period November 1, 1973, through October 31, 1974:

"1. (a) 176.25 persons, (b) average cost \$222,995.26, and (c) 51 vehicles.
 "2. (a) 471 complaints investigated and (b) 771 applications were resolved, resulting in 642 permits and amendments to permits, 17 refusals, and 117 withdrawals of applications due to cessation of discharge, exemptions under the *Pollution Control Act, 1967*, etc. In addition, 89 short-term approvals and 300 sewerage certificates were issued.

"3. There have been a number of verbal as well as written recommendations for legislative, regulatory, and organizational changes and, except for legislative changes, some of these recommendations have been effected; however, there is no adequate information available as to the number of such recommendations made."

48 Mr. *Bennett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following questions:

1. What was the total cost incurred by B.C. Hydro for the assembly of the land contained within the Langley Industrial Park Site?
2. What was the purchase price of each parcel involved with the assembly of the land?
3. Have any parcels been offered for sale or lease?
4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, what were the terms and conditions of each sale or lease?

The Hon. *R. A. Williams* replied as follows:

"1. \$1,757,425 (this is made up of purchases of land, as shown in No. 2, \$1,146,425; property taxes, \$253,000; development costs, \$358,000).

"2.

LANGLEY INDUSTRIAL CENTRE—PURCHASES

Parcel	Purchase Price \$
Lots 3 and 4, Plan 4952	30,000
Lots 6 and 7 and Parcel A, Plan 1395	42,000
Lots 5, 6, 7, and 8, Plan 10268	3,800
Lot 2, Plan 1424	16,000
Lot 10, Plan 25672	13,000
Lot 1, Plan 1424	22,000
Lot 1, Plan 1749 and S. ½ Lot 2, Plan 1749	25,000
N. ½ Lot 2, Plan 1749	12,000
Lot 3, Plan 1749	22,000
Lot 5, Plan 1749	25,230
Lot 4, Plan 1749	20,970
Lot 6, Plan 13784	14,000
Lot 23, Plan 24658	10,000
Lot 4, Plan 1424	17,000
Lot 1, Plan 8810	5,000
N. ½ Lot 16, Plan 1749	7,500
Lot 15, Plan 1749	9,000
Lot 25, Plan 25916	4,400
Lot 24, Plan 25916	10,500
Lot 1, Plan 4952	9,000
Lot 6, Plan 1749, Bk. F, Plan 6341, Lot 2, Plan 7396, and Lot 8, Plan 1749	90,500
Lot 2, Plan 11035, and Lot 13, Plan 1749	20,200
Portion of Lot B, Plan 4338, and Lot 4, Plan 1749	8,000
Parcels M and N, Plan 51888F	22,000
Lots 5 and 6, Plan 1424	30,000
Parcel B, Plan 24914E	12,000
Lot 6, Plan 8013	7,000
Lot 5, Plan 8013	9,000
E. ½ Lot 4, Plan 8013	7,000
W. ½ Lot 4, Plan 8013	5,500
Lot 3, Plan 8013	9,000
Lot 5, Plan 4952	40,000
W. ½ Lot 4, Plan 5376	1,890
Lot 5, Plan 5376	12,000
Lot 6, Plan 5376	12,000
Lot 7, Plan 5376	12,000
Portion of Lot B, Plan 15545	3,460
Lot 6, Plan 4952	20,000
Lot 7, Plan 4952	18,000
Lot 8, Plan 4952, and Lot 1, Plan 10676	29,000
Parcel A of Lot 7, Plan 10618	3,500
Parcel A of Lot 2, Plan 1424	1,900
Lot 9, Plan 10268	5,000
Portion of Lots 7 and 8, Plan 8013	5,000
Lots 1 and 4, Plan 9306	13,500
Lot 2, Plan 8013	16,000
Lot 4, Plan 10268	4,000
Lot A, Plan 10986	7,500
Lot 43, Plan 27211	60,000
Lot 24, Plan 24778	6,000
Portion of Lot 3, Plan 17572	1,550
Lot 47, Plan 27211	125,000
Lot 16, Plan 2020	20,000
Portion of Lot 7, Plan 2020	100

LANGLEY INDUSTRIAL CENTRE—PURCHASES—Continued

Parcel	Purchase Price \$
Portion of Lot 6, Plan 2020	1,000
Lot 13, Plan 2020	10,000
Parcel A, Plan 2020, and Lot 9, Plan 2020	24,900
Lot 14, Plan 2020	27,000
Lots 1 and 2, Plan 10391	5,140
Lots 11 and 12, Plan 2020	25,000
Lot 8, Plan 2020	7,260
Lot 22, Plan 1079	90,125

"3. Yes.

"4.

LANGLEY INDUSTRIAL CENTRE—SALES

Parcel	Sales Price \$	Terms and Conditions*
Lot 42, DL 310, Gp. 2, Plan 29125	4,732	Cash
Lot 2 and the E. 50 ft. of Lot 1, Block 12 of Lot 310, Gp. 2, Plan 7396	9,460	Cash
Lot 40 of DL 310, Gp. 2, Plan 28756	7,000	Cash to Mortgage
Lot 16, NW. ¼ Sec. 3, Tp. 8, Plan 31048	77,157	Cash
Lot 53 of DL 310, Gp. 2, Plan 31541	7,348	Cash to Mortgage
Lot 12, SW. ¼ Sec. 10, Tp. 2, Plan 33007	16,234	Cash to Mortgage
Lot 55 of DL 310, Gp. 2, Plan 34486	12,356	Cash
Lot 57 of DL 310, Gp. 2, Plan 34550	9,000	Cash
Lot 27 of Lot 22, SW. ¼ Sec. 10, Plan 37223	26,997	Cash
Lot 17, NW. ¼ Sec. 3, Tp. 2, Plan 39468	18,472	Cash to Mortgage
Lot 63 of Lot 35, DL 310, Gp. 2, Plan 36830	13,156	Cash to Mortgage
Lot 64 of Lot 35, DL 310, Gp. 2, Plan 36830	14,189	Cash to Mortgage
Lot 13, SW. ¼ Sec. 10, Tp. 8, Plan 33007	32,500	Cash to Mortgage
Portion of Lot 13, NW. ¼ Sec. 3, Tp. 8, Plan 30494	15,000	Cash to Mortgage
Lot 73, DL 310, Gp. 2, Plan 39467	11,584	Cash
Lot 67, DL 310, Gp. 2, Plan 42622	31,290	Cash to Mortgage
Lot 25 of DL 310, Gp. 2, Plan 25916	5,058	Cash
Lot 76 of DL 310, Gp. 2, Plan 39348	16,175	Cash
Lot 43 of Lot 37, DL 310, Gp. 2, Plan 26849	34,766	Cash
Lot 30, SW. ¼ Sec. 10, Tp. 8, Plan 41751	25,500	Cash to Mortgage
Lot 90, DL 310, Gp. 2, Plan 43335	22,125	Cash to Mortgage
Lot 85 of DL 310, Gp. 2, Plan 42309	55,000	Cash
Lot 36, DL 310, Gp. 2, Plan 28649	43,350	Cash
Portion of Lot 13, NW. ¼ Sec. 3, Tp. 8, Plan 30494	87,000	Cash
Lot 86, DL 310, Gp. 2, Plan 42309	55,000	Cash
Portion of Lot 65, DL 310, Gp. 2, Plan 42622	42,125	Cash
Portion of Lot 87, DL 310, Gp. 2, Plan 42622	60,000	Cash
Lot 66 of DL 310, Gp. 2, Plan 42622	58,812	Cash to Mortgage
Portion of Lot 52, DL 310, Gp. 2, Plan 31488	49,625	Cash
Lot 41 of Lots 308 and 309, Gp. 2, Plan 27211	7,500	Cash
Lot 43 of Lots 308 and 309, Gp. 2, Plan 27211	7,500	Cash to Mortgage
Lot 42 of Lots 308 and 309, Gp. 2, Plan 27211	7,500	Cash
Portion of Lot 6 of Lot 310, Gp. 2, Plan 13784	2,750	Cash
Lot 45 of Lots 308 and 309, Gp. 2, Plan 27211	14,250	Cash
Lot 44 of Lots 308 and 309, Gp. 2, Plan 27211	7,500	Cash
Lot 50 of Lots 308 and 309, Gp. 2, Plan 27817	4,137	Cash to Mortgage
Lot 49 of Lots 308 and 309, Gp. 2, Plan 27817	8,056	Cash to Mortgage
Lot 48 of Lots 308 and 309, Gp. 2, Plan 27817	68,500	Cash to Mortgage
Lot 70 of Lot 308, Gp. 2, Plan 32228	9,936	Cash to Mortgage
Lot 74 of Lot 308, Gp. 2, Plan 36021	23,537	Cash
Lot 70, NE. ¼ Sec. 10, Tp. 8, Plan 39945	43,380	Cash
Portion of Lots 13 and 14 of Lot 308, Gp. 2, Plan 2020	55,000	Cash

"* 1. Option to purchase if building not completed within a given period. 2. Right of first refusal for subsequent sale. 3. Inclusion of B.C. Hydro rail for all shipments when possible."

61 Mr. *Wallace* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following questions:

With respect to the Vancouver mill of Evans Products Ltd.—

1. Have any studies been conducted by or for the Provincial Government into the costs and employment benefits of keeping the mill open or the feasibility of the Government's operating it?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what were the findings or recommendations of these studies?

The Hon. *R. A. Williams* replied as follows:

"1. No.

"2. Not applicable."

63 Mr. *Wallace* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following questions:

With respect to the possible construction of a power-generating plant on the Pend-d'Oreille River—

1. Has a firm decision been reached to build the project?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how far from the existing Waneta Dam is the proposed location of the project?

3. Was a complete cost-benefit evaluation of the proposed project done and, if so, was the estimate of recreation and wildlife losses included in the projection of costs?

4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, what was the estimate of recreation and wildlife losses?

The Hon. *R. A. Williams* replied as follows:

"1. Following a four-day public hearing in Trail, B.C., from July 23 to July 26, 1974, a Conditional Water Licence was granted by the Comptroller of Water Rights to the British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority on August 14, 1974.

"2. The proposed project on the Pend-d'Oreille River at Church Creek is located approximately 6 miles from the Waneta Dam at the upstream end of Waneta Reservoir.

"3. The generally accepted method of cost-benefit analysis for public hydro-electric projects is to assign a value for benefits equal to the cost of producing the power by the next cheapest undeveloped alternative source. Extensive comparative cost estimates by B.C. Hydro have shown that the Seven Mile Project would provide energy at a lower cost per kilowatt-hour than any other undeveloped source in the Province, and on this basis would have the highest benefit-cost ratio of all remaining undeveloped energy sources. Recreation and wildlife losses are not included in the comparative cost evaluations at this stage, because environmental impact studies for all promising power sites have not been carried out to the same degree of detail. B.C. Hydro's policy in the past has been to allow approximately 10 per cent of the project capital cost for 'contingencies' (in the case of the Seven Mile Project on the Pend-d'Oreille River the contingency allowance amounts to some \$18,000,000) and to assume that recreation and wildlife mitigation costs would come from this figure.

"4. Recreation and wildlife losses have been estimated by Envirocon Ltd., Pearse Bowden Economic Consultants, in their report *Environmental Impact Report, Seven Mile Project*, dated October 1973. These specialists, retained by B.C. Hydro to identify and study the individual impacts of the proposed project and to suggest mitigation measures, are currently involved in further environmental studies

in the area and have been in frequent consultation with the Department of Recreation and Conservation. The estimates for capitalized values of major recreation losses which would result from construction of the project are as follows:

	Low Estimate	High Estimate
Deer hunting	\$110,000	\$294,000
Grouse hunting	7,500	20,000
Sightseeing and game viewing	50,000	130,000
Totals	\$167,000	\$444,000

“Accurate predictions of future effects are naturally difficult to make; for this reason, a probable high-low range is given. It should be noted that the more conservative estimate of \$444,000 is less than 0.3 per cent of the total estimated project cost and less than 3 per cent of the project contingency allowance. These figures indicate that the effect of the Pend-d’Oreille project on recreation and wildlife would be relatively minor.”

65 Mr. *Wallace* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following questions:

With respect to the proposal to build a second dam on the Peace River—

1. Has B.C. Hydro received any response to its application for a permit to construct a dam approximately 14 miles downstream from the W. A. C. Bennett Dam?

2. Has the Provincial Government accepted Alberta’s suggestion to form a task force comprising representatives from British Columbia, Alberta, and the Federal Government?

3. If the task force mentioned in No. 2 is to be established, when will it commence its deliberations and who will be the British Columbia representative on it?

The Hon. *R. A. Williams* replied as follows:

“1. Federal Government approval under the *Navigable Waters Protection Act* was applied for by British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority and has been obtained.

“2. The question of environmental effects downstream in Alberta was referred by the Governments of Alberta and British Columbia to a committee of Deputy Ministers from the two provinces. A task force was formed by this committee to review and report on the matter.

“3. The task force has reported that there will be no significant environmental change within the Province of Alberta. The Hon. W. J. Yurko, Minister of the Environment for Alberta, and myself as Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources for British Columbia, have accepted this conclusion. The British Columbia representatives on the task force were Mr. H. M. Hunt and Dr. R. J. Buchanan of the Water Resources Service.”

95 Mr. *Bennett* asked the Hon. the Premier, as President of the Council and Minister of Finance, the following questions:

1. Has the Provincial Government or any of the Crown corporations any advertising contracts with Dunsky Advertising Limited, Vancouver, B.C., and Montreal, Quebec, or have they transacted any other business using Dunsky Advertising Limited since September 15, 1972?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what is the description of the advertising contracts or business transactions involved, (b) what is the total cost of the work done since September 15, 1972, to February 1, 1974, and (c) what is the estimated cost of all work to be done for the Provincial Government or the Crown corporations by Dunsky Advertising Limited, up to March 31, 1974?

The Hon. *David Barrett* replied as follows:

"1. Yes.

"2. (a) Advertising *re* parity bond issues in August 1973 and 1974 and (b) \$14,380.40."

98 Mr. *Bennett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following questions:

With reference to natural gas sales by B.C. Hydro—

1. Was a net profit made on the sale of gas during the last reported financial year?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what was the amount of the profit?
3. Was a net loss made on the sale of gas during the last reported financial year?
4. What was the total cost of gas purchased during the last reported financial year?

The Hon. *R. A. Williams* replied as follows:

"For year ended March 31, 1974:

"1. Yes.

"2. \$1,122,460.

"3. No.

"4. \$41,534,682, of which \$34,348,786 was for sale to the public."

109 Mr. *Bennett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following questions:

With respect to timber sales in the Prince Rupert Coast and Prince Rupert Interior Forest Districts—

1. What was the average stumpage paid on timber sales in the Prince Rupert Coast and Prince Rupert Interior Forest Districts between December 1, 1972, and April 1, 1973?
2. What was the average stumpage paid on timber sales in the Prince Rupert Coast and Prince Rupert Interior Forest Districts between April 1, 1973, and February 6, 1974?

The Hon. *R. A. Williams* replied as follows:

"1 and 2. Statistics as to average stumpage prices are not compiled on a day-to-day or month-to-month basis. For the year 1973, the average stumpage prices paid on timber sales in the Coast portion of the Prince Rupert Forest District was \$15.48 per cunit; and in the Interior portion of that district the average stumpage paid was \$11.97 per cunit."

118 Mrs. *Jordan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following questions:

1. How many parks or recreational areas, if any, did B.C. Hydro construct in the years 1969, 1970, 1971, 1972, and 1973?
2. What is the size, location, and recreational purpose of each area?

The Hon. R. A. Williams replied as follows:

"If we take the literal meaning of the words 'did B.C. Hydro construct,' the answer is:

"1. None.

"2. Not applicable.

"It was not B.C. Hydro's policy to 'construct' parks but rather to provide land for other agencies to do so. The dates refer to the commencement of the work or the grant of lease or conveyance as the case may be.

"A—Hydro Properties, Recreational Sites, 1969–73

"1969

"Coquitlam—A 12.32-acre portion on Coast Meridian Road and Gislason Avenue leased for trail riding.

"1971

"Coquitlam—A 4.82-acre portion on David Road leased by the District of Coquitlam for trail riding.

"Delta—A 6.42-acre portion on English Bluff Road leased by the Tsawwassen Tennis Club for tennis courts.

"Hudson Hope—An area containing a community centre and curling rink leased by the District of Hudson Hope.

"Surrey—A 4.63-acre archery range on 88th Avenue and 128th Street leased by the Lucky Bucks Archery Club.

"1972

"Huntingdon—A 5.89-acre recreational area leased by the Huntingdon Community Association.

"Coquitlam—A 19-acre portion near Coast Meridian Road and Gislason Avenue leased for trail riding.

"Delta—A 0.29-acre play area on 92nd Avenue and Scott Road (120th Street) leased by the Corporation of Delta.

"Barriere—A 0.708-acre campsite leased in Barriere.

"Garibaldi—A 21.69-acre portion in the Garibaldi area leased for campsites.

"Buntzen Lake—Use of the transmission-line rights-of-way surrounding Buntzen Lake by Alpine Village Estates Ltd. for a trail-riding club.

"1973

"Coquitlam—A 1.30-acre portion near Coast Meridian Road and Gislason Avenue leased for a riding ring.

"Surrey—A 4.75-acre portion on 74th Avenue and Scott Road (120th Street) leased for pony riding.

"Lillooet—A 10-acre area at Seton Lake to be leased by the Cayoosh Creek Parks Board for campsites.

"Buntzen Lake—A 190-acre portion of the southeast area of Buntzen Lake used for boating, swimming, and hiking activities, supervised by Hydro.

"B—Hydro Properties, Recreational Sites, 1969–73, Columbia Project, Arrow Reservoir

"1969

"Edgewood—Fifteen acres donated to community for park site.

"1971

"Arrowhead—Approximately 20 acres leased for church camp.

"Syringa Creek—Five hundred acres conveyed to Parks Branch. Conveyance of final parcel expected in 1974.

"1972

"Columbia Village, Revelstoke—Fifteen acres conveyed to the City of Revelstoke for museum and grounds.

"Blanket Creek Park—Two hundred acres conveyed to Parks Branch.

"1973

"Edgewood and Shelter Bay—Boat-launching ramps.

"1974 (the following items will be completed in 1974)

"Revelstoke-Illecillewaet Park—Fifty acres leased to the Regional District for community recreation.

"McDonald Creek—Two hundred waterfront acres to be conveyed to the Parks Branch.

"West Demars—One hundred waterfront acres to be conveyed to the Parks Branch.

"Burton—Ten acres to be conveyed for community recreational use.

"Renata—Two hundred acres of waterfront property for transfer to the Parks Branch.

*"C—Programmes of Clearing Undertaken by Hydro to Improve
Recreational Areas*

"1969 and 1970

"Selective logging to remove diseased and over-mature timber in approximately 15 acres adjacent to Buntzen Reservoir.

"1972

"Bear Creek Reservoir, Vancouver Island—Removal of snags and debris from 285 acres. Costs shared with B.C. Forest Service.

"Stave Lake—Ninety acres of drowned timber removed and snags cut to below water-level in 150 acres in conjunction with the B.C. Forest Service and Haney Correctional Institute.

"1973

"Carpenter Lake—Approximately one-third of area cleared of stumps.

"McIvor, Loveland, and Fry Lakes—Removal of stumps and debris.

"Arrow Lakes—Removal of stumps at Syringa Creek, Edgewood, Fauquier, Nakusp, Revelstoke, and Halfway and St. Leon Creeks (3,318 acres).

*"D—Contributions by Hydro to Cost of Work Done by Others for
Recreational Purposes*

"1972

"\$4,000 for development of a park on distribution-line right-of-way at Simon Fraser University.

"\$2,500 for further work on Fauquier Golf Course."

160 Mr. *Bennett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following question:

With respect to stumpage charged in the Prince Rupert Coast and Prince Rupert Interior Forest Districts: What was the average stumpage paid by Can-Cel on timber sales in the Prince Rupert Coast and Prince Rupert Interior Forest Districts from April 1, 1973, to December 31, 1973?

The Hon. *R. A. Williams* replied as follows:

"\$13.98 per cunit."

161 Mr. *Bennett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following question:

With respect to stumpage charged in the Prince Rupert Coast and Prince Rupert Interior Forest Districts: What was the average stumpage paid by Price-Skeena Co. Ltd. on timber sales in the Prince Rupert Coast and Prince Rupert Interior Forest Districts from April 1, 1973, to December 31, 1973?

The Hon. *R. A. Williams* replied as follows:

"Figures are not available for the April cut-off, but for the year 1973 the average was \$10.08 per cunit."

165 Mr. *Smith* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following questions:

With respect to Price Skeena Forest Products, Terrace—

1. What was the total cunits of production by this company in 1973?
2. What was the total amount of stumpage and royalty paid to the Crown for the same period excluding write-offs for road construction?

The Hon. *R. A. Williams* replied as follows:

- "1. 139,723 cunits.
- "2. \$1,407,990.47."

166 Mr. *Smith* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following questions:

With respect to Eurocan (coastal operations at Kitimat)—

1. What was the total cunits of production by this company in 1973?
2. What was the total amount of stumpage and royalty paid to the Crown for the same period excluding write-offs for road construction?

The Hon. *R. A. Williams* replied as follows:

- "1. 204,966 cunits.
- "2. \$1,328,155.60."

167 Mr. *Smith* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following questions:

With respect to Eurocan (interior operations at Ootsa Lake)—

1. What was the total cunits of production by this company in 1973?
2. What was the total amount of stumpage and royalty paid to the Crown for the same period excluding write-offs for road construction?

The Hon. *R. A. Williams* replied as follows:

- "1. 272,163 cunits.
- "2. \$2,381,817.28."

168 Mr. *Smith* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following questions:

With respect to Canadian Cellulose's northwest operation at Terrace, Prince Rupert, Kitwanga, and Hazelton—

1. What was the total cunits of production by this company in 1973?
2. What was the total amount of stumpage and royalty paid to the Crown for the same period excluding write-offs for road construction?

The Hon. *R. A. Williams* replied as follows:

- “1. 916,194 cunits.
- “2. \$4,906,824.63.”

169 Mr. *Smith* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following questions:

With respect to Decker Lake Forest Products, Decker Lake—

1. What was the total cunits of production by this company in 1973?
2. What was the total amount of stumpage and royalty paid to the Crown for the same period excluding write-offs for road construction?

The Hon. *R. A. Williams* replied as follows:

- “1. 14,469 cunits.
- “2. \$148,280.87.”

170 Mr. *Smith* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following questions:

With respect to Rim Forest Products, Hazelton—

1. What was the total cunits of production by this company in 1973?
2. What was the total amount of stumpage and royalty paid to the Crown for the same period excluding write-offs for road construction?

The Hon. *R. A. Williams* replied as follows:

- “1. 50,236 cunits.
- “2. \$732,833.”

239 Mr. *Wallace* asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture the following questions:

With respect to Provincial agricultural credit activities—

1. How many applications for Government-guaranteed farm loans have been received?
2. How many such loans have been guaranteed?
3. What is the total dollar value of loans so far guaranteed?

The Hon. *D. D. Stupich* replied as follows:

“As of October 31, 1974:

- “1. Thirty-one applications.
- “2. Twenty-seven.
- “3. \$1,761,613.”

And then the House adjourned at 8.11 p.m.

Monday, February 17, 1975

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Mr. *Roy Hawes*.

At the request of Mr. Speaker, the members observed one minute of silence, following the Prayers, in memory of the late *Edwin Keary DeBeck*, Esquire, former Clerk of the Legislative Assembly and Clerk Consultant, and the late Senator *Arthur Laing*, a former member of the Legislative Assembly.

Mr. Speaker announced that His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor was about to enter the Chamber.

Mr. *Bennett* rose on a point of order, namely, that as the House had observed Prayers the House should now proceed to routine business, namely, Introduction of Bills, as provided in Orders of the Day.

Mr. Speaker ruled that, pursuant to the resolution of the House passed on the 26th day of November 1974, he had been advised of the desire of the Government to prorogue the Fourth Session of the Thirtieth Parliament and had issued a notice to the honourable members accordingly.

Mr. Speaker further stated that the prorogation of parliament is a prerogative act of the Crown and that the House was bound accordingly and referred the honourable members to the 18th edition of May at page 255.

His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor having entered the House, and being seated in the chair, was pleased to deliver the following gracious Speech:

Mr. Speaker and Members of the Legislative Assembly:

It is with great pleasure that I address you on this closing day of the Fourth Session of the Thirtieth Parliament of British Columbia. In doing so, I wish to express my appreciation for the earnest attention and careful consideration you have given to the Bills, the resolutions, the Supply granted to Her Majesty, and the other numerous and important matters that have come before you. During this Session over one hundred Bills have been enacted, many of them new Bills of far-reaching significance and complexity. That you have given these Bills your fullest consideration is evident from the fact that this Legislature has been in session longer than any previous session. It is difficult to review and assess, at this stage, the impact of this substantial body of legislation and to detail its benefits for the citizens of British Columbia, and I can do no more at this time than highlight the new legislation.

In the field of the administration of justice, a Justice Development Commission was established, a *Unified Family Court Act* was passed for family matters, and other Court legislation was amended to develop and reform the entire system of justice. Likewise, a new *Police Act* was passed. The Legislature also enacted a *Public Officials and Employees Disclosure Act*, a new *Landlord and Tenant Act*, a *Crown Proceedings Act*, and other Bills of a more legal technical nature such as the *Statutes Act*, *Interpretation Act*, *Frustrated Contracts Act*, and *Occupiers' Liability Act*.

In the field of human resources a new *Community Resources Boards Act* was passed.

Consumer services legislation was represented by a *Trade Practices Act*, *Debtor Assistance Act*, and the *Blind Persons' Rights Act*.

In municipal matters a *Transit Services Act* and an *Islands Trust Act* were passed.

Under the Labour Portfolio, a *Special Provincial Employment Programmes Act* was passed.

Health legislation is represented by the *Pharmacy Act*, *Forensic Psychiatric Services Act*, and the *Emergency Health Services Act*.

Housing has been given serious consideration, resulting in a new *Strata Titles Act* and a *Leasehold and Conversion Mortgage Loan Act*.

Energy crises appeared during this Session and a new Part IV of the *Energy Act* was passed to deal with the problem of supply and regulation of energy.

In the field of mining, significant developments took place. A *Mineral Royalties Act*, *Prospectors Assistance Act*, a new *Coal Act*, and a new *Placer Mining Act* were passed.

In agriculture a new *Natural Products Marketing (British Columbia) Act* was passed.

In the field of education a new *Universities Act* and an *Institute of Technology (British Columbia) Act* were passed.

Special consideration was given to financial matters at this Session. Besides the Acts granting Supply to Her Majesty, an *Assessment Authority of British Columbia Act*, *Assessment Act*, *Real Property Tax Deferment Act*, and a *Recreational Land Green Belt Encouragement Act* were passed. Furthermore, an *Economic Policy Analysis Institute of British Columbia Act* was passed to provide for an overview of economic planning.

In matters of forestry a *Timber Products Stabilization Act* was passed.

In respect of the economic development of the Province, a new *Department of Economic Development Act* was passed.

In order to restore normal collective bargaining processes in respect of certain disputes arising during the Session, an *Essential Services Continuation Act*, an *Elevator Construction Industry Labour Disputes Act*, and a *Public Schools Interim Arbitration Procedure Act* were passed.

In addition, amendments to a great number of existing Acts of the various departments were made.

Therefore, I wish to thank you for the enactment of this substantial legislative programme and I trust that it will redound to the benefit and welfare of all the citizens of British Columbia.

Honourable Members, I now relieve you of your labours at the close of the Fourth Session of the Thirtieth Parliament of British Columbia and I thank you for your contributions to the well-being of the Province.

The Hon. *Ernest Hall* (Provincial Secretary) then said:
Mr. Speaker and Members of the Legislative Assembly:

It is His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor's will and pleasure that the Legislative Assembly be prorogued until 3 o'clock p.m. on Tuesday the 18th day of February 1975, and this Provincial Legislative Assembly is hereby prorogued accordingly.

The House prorogued at 2.18 p.m.

G. H. DOWDING, *Speaker*